

FRANKLIN RESOURCES INC
Form 10-Q
January 30, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

(MARK ONE)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2013

OR
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number: 001-09318
FRANKLIN RESOURCES, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

13-2670991
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

One Franklin Parkway, San Mateo, CA
(Address of principal executive offices)
(650) 312-2000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

94403
(Zip Code)

N/A
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Outstanding: 630,845,249 shares of common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, of Franklin Resources, Inc. as of January 23, 2014.

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

	Page	
<u>PART I</u>	Financial Information	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited)	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	5
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	7
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	9
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	23
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	44
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	45
<u>PART II</u>	Other Information	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	46
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	46
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	46
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	47
<u>Signatures</u>		48
<u>Exhibit Index</u>		

Table of Contents

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

FRANKLIN RESOURCES, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income

Unaudited

(in millions, except per share data)	Three Months Ended	
	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Operating Revenues		
Investment management fees	\$1,373.8	\$1,199.9
Sales and distribution fees	636.7	604.1
Shareholder servicing fees	76.1	74.4
Other, net	22.9	23.4
Total operating revenues	2,109.5	1,901.8
Operating Expenses		
Sales, distribution and marketing	776.7	730.9
Compensation and benefits	349.0	335.1
Information systems and technology	50.2	43.6
Occupancy	33.1	33.4
General, administrative and other	87.4	73.7
Total operating expenses	1,296.4	1,216.7
Operating Income	813.1	685.1
Other Income (Expenses)		
Investment and other income, net	48.2	45.5
Interest expense	(12.6) (14.5
Other income, net	35.6	31.0
Income before taxes	848.7	716.1
Taxes on income	252.7	211.4
Net income	596.0	504.7
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to		
Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	(17.2) (12.7
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	9.4	1.3
Net Income Attributable to Franklin Resources, Inc.	\$603.8	\$516.1
Earnings per Share		
Basic	\$0.96	\$0.81
Diluted	0.96	0.81
Dividends per Share	\$0.120	\$1.097

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

FRANKLIN RESOURCES, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
Unaudited

(in millions)	Three Months Ended	
	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Net Income	\$596.0	\$504.7
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)		
Net unrealized losses on investments, net of tax	(10.0) (2.1
Currency translation adjustments, net of tax	8.1	3.9
Net unrealized losses on defined benefit plans, net of tax	(1.3) —
Total comprehensive income	592.8	506.5
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to		
Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	(17.2) (12.7
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	9.4	1.3
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Franklin Resources, Inc.	\$600.6	\$517.9
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.		

Table of Contents

FRANKLIN RESOURCES, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

Unaudited

(in millions)	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$6,552.2	\$6,186.0
Receivables	1,049.6	1,038.9
Investments (including \$1,835.6 and \$1,892.7 at fair value at December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013)	2,480.4	2,439.2
Loans held for sale	189.2	—
Loans receivable, net	9.6	229.7
Assets of consolidated variable interest entities		
Cash and cash equivalents	65.3	44.0
Investments, at fair value	908.8	941.1
Assets of consolidated sponsored investment products		
Cash and cash equivalents	82.2	93.1
Investments, at fair value	1,369.4	1,203.2
Deferred taxes	118.7	112.4
Property and equipment, net	559.4	564.1
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	2,351.0	2,359.2
Other	173.5	179.4
Total Assets	\$15,909.3	\$15,390.3
Liabilities		
Compensation and benefits	\$250.9	\$444.5
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	260.8	262.8
Commissions	454.1	437.7
Deposits	654.4	586.8
Income taxes	211.8	20.8
Debt	1,197.8	1,197.7
Liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities		
Debt, at fair value	936.6	988.5
Other, at fair value	16.3	10.9
Liabilities of consolidated sponsored investment products		
Debt	122.8	108.9
Other (including \$36.8 and nil at fair value at December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013)	45.3	8.5
Deferred taxes	271.6	272.5
Other	248.7	243.4
Total liabilities	4,671.1	4,583.0
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 9)		
Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests	153.8	121.8

[Table continued on next page]

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

FRANKLIN RESOURCES, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

Unaudited

[Table continued from previous page]

(in millions)	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
Stockholders' Equity		
Preferred stock, \$1.00 par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized; none issued	\$ —	\$ —
Common stock, \$0.10 par value, 1,000,000,000 shares authorized; 630,916,855 and 630,917,532 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013	63.1	63.1
Retained earnings	10,423.6	9,991.2
Appropriated retained earnings of consolidated variable interest entities	17.5	12.7
Accumulated other comprehensive income	2.9	6.1
Total Franklin Resources, Inc. stockholders' equity	10,507.1	10,073.1
Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	577.3	612.4
Total stockholders' equity	11,084.4	10,685.5
Total Liabilities, Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 15,909.3	\$ 15,390.3
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.		

Table of ContentsFRANKLIN RESOURCES, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Unaudited

(in millions)	Three Months Ended	
	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Net Income	\$596.0	\$504.7
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Amortization of deferred sales commissions	34.2	32.9
Depreciation and other amortization	25.1	21.0
Stock-based compensation	30.9	27.6
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	(2.8)	(5.7)
Gains on sale of assets	(20.2)	(20.6)
Income from investments in equity method investees	(24.9)	(21.8)
Net (gains) losses on other investments of consolidated sponsored investment products	16.2	(14.1)
Net (gains) losses of consolidated variable interest entities	(6.6)	17.5
Other	(2.2)	3.6
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Increase in receivables, prepaid expenses and other	(126.2)	(56.1)
Increase in trading securities, net	(36.8)	(66.4)
Increase in trading securities of consolidated sponsored investment products, net	(230.4)	(10.5)
Decrease in accrued compensation and benefits	(193.9)	(162.7)
Increase (decrease) in commissions payable	16.4	(109.3)
Increase in income taxes payable	194.5	149.4
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	55.4	(3.1)
Net cash provided by operating activities	324.7	286.4
Purchase of investments	(80.1)	(78.4)
Liquidation of investments	194.1	237.5
Purchase of investments by consolidated sponsored investment products	(95.2)	(41.8)
Liquidation of investments by consolidated sponsored investment products	32.1	48.6
Purchase of investments by consolidated variable interest entities	(45.0)	(243.1)
Liquidation of investments by consolidated variable interest entities	128.0	140.6
Decrease (increase) in loans receivable, net	30.9	(24.9)
Additions of property and equipment, net	(15.0)	(12.3)
Acquisition of subsidiary, net of cash acquired	—	5.7
Increase (decrease) in cash from net consolidation (deconsolidation) of sponsored investment products	(34.0)	2.6
Net cash provided by investing activities	115.8	34.5
Increase in deposits	66.5	213.5
Issuance of common stock	7.2	11.4
Dividends paid on common stock	(64.1)	(757.9)
Repurchase of common stock	(137.1)	(98.0)
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	2.8	5.7
Payments on debt	—	(479.4)
Proceeds from issuance of debt by consolidated sponsored investment products	190.3	133.5
Payments on debt by consolidated sponsored investment products	(176.8)	(146.7)
Payments on debt by consolidated variable interest entities	(60.0)	(40.4)

[Table continued on next page]

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

FRANKLIN RESOURCES, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Unaudited

[Table continued from previous page]

(in millions)	Three Months Ended	
	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Payments on contingent consideration liability	\$(2.7) \$—
Noncontrolling interests	101.4	17.9
Net cash used in financing activities	(72.5) (1,140.4
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	8.6	10.8
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	376.6	(808.7
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	6,323.1	6,051.4
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$6,699.7	\$5,242.7
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Activities		
Transfer of loans receivable, net to loans held for sale	\$189.2	\$—
Contingent consideration liability recognized due to acquisition	—	90.6
Increase in noncontrolling interests due to acquisition	—	38.2
Increase in noncontrolling interests due to net consolidation of sponsored investment products	—	4.1
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information		
Cash paid for income taxes	\$68.0	\$61.6
Cash paid for interest	14.2	19.4
Cash paid for interest by consolidated sponsored investment products and consolidated variable interest entities	11.9	11.4
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.		

Table of Contents

FRANKLIN RESOURCES, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

(Unaudited)

Note 1 – Basis of Presentation

The unaudited interim financial statements of Franklin Resources, Inc. (“Franklin”) and its consolidated subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company”) included herein have been prepared by the Company in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). Under these rules and regulations, some information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) have been shortened or omitted. Management believes that all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the financial position and the results of operations for the periods shown have been made. All adjustments are normal and recurring. These financial statements should be read together with the Company’s audited financial statements included in its Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 (“fiscal year 2013”). Certain amounts for the comparative prior fiscal year period have been reclassified to conform to the financial statement presentation as of and for the period ended December 31, 2013.

Note 2 – New Accounting Guidance

On October 1, 2013, the Company adopted new Financial Accounting Standards Board guidance that requires an entity to report significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component either on the face of the financial statements or in the notes. See Note 12 – Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income for the expanded disclosures.

Note 3 – Stockholders' Equity and Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests

The changes in total stockholders’ equity and redeemable noncontrolling interests were as follows:

(in millions)	Franklin Resources, Inc. Stockholders’ Equity	Nonredeemable Noncontrolling Interests	Total Stockholders’ Equity	Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests
for the three months ended December 31, 2013				
Balance at October 1, 2013	\$10,073.1	\$ 612.4	\$10,685.5	\$ 121.8
Net income (loss)	603.8	(17.2) 586.6	9.4
Net income reclassified to appropriated retained earnings	4.8	(4.8) —	
Other comprehensive loss	(3.2)	(3.2)
Cash dividends on common stock	(75.9)	(75.9)
Repurchase of common stock	(137.1)	(137.1)
Noncontrolling interests				
Net subscriptions (redemptions)		(13.1) (13.1) 114.5
Net deconsolidation of sponsored investment products Other ¹	41.6	—	—	(91.9
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$10,507.1	\$ 577.3	\$11,084.4	\$ 153.8

¹ Primarily relates to stock-based compensation plans.

Table of Contents

(in millions)	Franklin Resources, Inc. Stockholders' Equity	Nonredeemable Noncontrolling Interests	Total Stockholders' Equity	Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests
for the three months ended December 31, 2012				
Balance at October 1, 2012	\$9,201.3	\$ 559.2	\$9,760.5	\$ 26.7
Net income (loss)	516.1	(12.7) 503.4	1.3
Net loss reclassified to appropriated retained earnings	(18.5) 18.5	—	
Other comprehensive income	1.8		1.8	
Cash dividends on common stock	(702.0)	(702.0)
Repurchase of common stock	(98.0)	(98.0)
Noncontrolling interests				
Net subscriptions		12.5	12.5	5.4
Net consolidation of sponsored investment products		4.1	4.1	—
Acquisition		5.4	5.4	32.8
Other ¹	47.1		47.1	
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$8,947.8	\$ 587.0	\$9,534.8	\$ 66.2

¹ Primarily relates to stock-based compensation plans.

During the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company repurchased 2.5 million and 2.2 million shares of its common stock at a cost of \$137.1 million and \$98.0 million under its stock repurchase program. In December 2013, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 30.0 million additional shares of its common stock under the stock repurchase program. At December 31, 2013, 38.7 million shares of common stock remained available for repurchase under the stock repurchase program, which is not subject to an expiration date.

Note 4 – Earnings per Share

The components of basic and diluted earnings per share were as follows:

(in millions, except per share data)	Three Months Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Net Income Attributable to Franklin Resources, Inc.	\$603.8	\$516.1
Less: Allocation of earnings to participating nonvested stock and stock unit awards	3.5	4.0
Net Income Available to Common Stockholders	\$600.3	\$512.1
Weighted-average shares outstanding – basic	628.1	634.5
Effect of dilutive common stock options and non-participating nonvested stock unit awards	0.4	0.9
Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding – Diluted	628.5	635.4
Earnings per Share		
Basic	\$0.96	\$0.81
Diluted	0.96	0.81

Non-participating nonvested stock unit awards excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their effect would have been anti-dilutive were 0.1 million and 0.5 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Table of Contents

Note 5 – Investments

The disclosures below include details of the Company’s investments, excluding those of consolidated variable interest entities (“VIEs”) and consolidated sponsored investment products (“SIPs”). See Note 8 – Variable Interest Entities and Consolidated Sponsored Investment Products for information related to the investments held by these entities.

Investments consisted of the following:

(in millions)	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
Investment securities, trading	\$1,235.2	\$1,196.7
Investment securities, available-for-sale		
SIPs	466.7	534.6
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions	17.5	23.1
Securities of the U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	2.3	2.3
Mortgage-backed securities – agency residential	92.9	110.9
Other equity securities	7.1	11.3
Total investment securities, available-for-sale	586.5	682.2
Investments in equity method investees	589.1	485.4
Other investments	69.6	74.9
Total	\$2,480.4	\$2,439.2

¹ Consist of U.S. government-sponsored enterprise obligations.

At December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, investment securities with aggregate carrying amounts of \$71.5 million and \$82.5 million were pledged as collateral for the ability to borrow from the Federal Reserve Bank, \$16.3 million and \$28.4 million were pledged as collateral for amounts available in secured Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) short-term borrowing capacity, and \$6.9 million and \$7.1 million were pledged as collateral for the ability to borrow from uncommitted short-term bank lines of credit (see Note 7 - Debt).

A summary of the gross unrealized gains and losses relating to investment securities, available-for-sale is as follows:

(in millions)	Gross Unrealized			
as of December 31, 2013	Cost Basis	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
SIPs	\$403.5	\$64.9	\$(1.7)) \$466.7
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions	16.9	0.6	—	17.5
Securities of the U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	2.3	—	—	2.3
Mortgage-backed securities – agency residential	91.2	1.7	—	92.9
Other equity securities	6.6	0.5	—	7.1
Total	\$520.5	\$67.7	\$(1.7)) \$586.5
(in millions)	Gross Unrealized			
as of September 30, 2013	Cost Basis	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
SIPs	\$465.4	\$71.7	\$(2.5)) \$534.6
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions	22.3	0.8	—	23.1
Securities of the U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	2.3	—	—	2.3
Mortgage-backed securities – agency residential	108.9	2.0	—	110.9
Other equity securities	10.9	0.4	—	11.3
Total	\$609.8	\$74.9	\$(2.5)) \$682.2

Table of Contents

The following tables show the gross unrealized losses and fair values of available-for-sale securities with unrealized losses aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position:

(in millions)	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
as of December 31, 2013						
SIPs	\$18.0	\$(1.6)	\$1.4	\$(0.1)	\$19.4	\$(1.7)
(in millions)	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
as of September 30, 2013						
SIPs	\$50.3	\$(2.4)	\$1.4	\$(0.1)	\$51.7	\$(2.5)

The Company recognized other-than-temporary impairment of available-for-sale SIPs in the amounts of \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million, and other-than-temporary impairment of other investments in the amounts of \$0.2 million and nil for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

At December 31, 2013, contractual maturities of available-for-sale debt securities were as follows:

(in millions)	Cost Basis	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$5.1	\$5.3
Due after one year through five years	12.5	12.9
Due after five years through ten years	—	—
Due after ten years	1.6	1.6
Total	\$19.2	\$19.8

Mortgage-backed securities are not included in the table above as their actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations.

Note 6 – Fair Value Measurements

The disclosures below include details of the Company's fair value measurements, excluding those of consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs. See Note 8 – Variable Interest Entities and Consolidated Sponsored Investment Products for information related to fair value measurements of the assets and liabilities of these entities.

The Company uses a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. The three levels of fair value hierarchy are set forth below. The Company's assessment of the hierarchy level of the assets and liabilities measured at fair value is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 quoted prices, such as non-binding quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or inputs other than quoted prices that are observable or corroborated by observable market data. Level 2 quoted prices are generally obtained from two independent third-party brokers or dealers, including prices derived from model-based valuation techniques for which the significant assumptions are observable in the market or corroborated by observable market data. Quoted prices are validated through price variance analysis, subsequent sales testing, stale price review, price comparison across pricing vendors and due diligence reviews of third-party vendors.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity. These inputs require significant management judgment and reflect the Company's estimation of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Table of Contents

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis were as follows:

(in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
as of December 31, 2013				
Assets				
Investment securities, trading	\$1,161.0	\$74.2	\$—	\$1,235.2
Investment securities, available-for-sale				
SIPs	466.7	—	—	466.7
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions	—	17.5	—	17.5
Securities of the U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	—	2.3	—	2.3
Mortgage-backed securities – agency residential	—	92.9	—	92.9
Other equity securities	2.3	4.8	—	7.1
Life settlement contracts	—	—	13.9	13.9
Total Assets Measured at Fair Value	\$1,630.0	\$191.7	\$13.9	\$1,835.6
Liabilities				
Contingent consideration liabilities	\$—	\$—	\$101.2	\$101.2
(in millions)				
as of September 30, 2013	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets				
Investment securities, trading	\$1,121.5	\$75.2	\$—	\$1,196.7
Investment securities, available-for-sale				
SIPs	534.6	—	—	534.6
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions	—	23.1	—	23.1
Securities of the U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	—	2.3	—	2.3
Mortgage-backed securities – agency residential	—	110.9	—	110.9
Other equity securities	11.3	—	—	11.3
Life settlement contracts	—	—	13.8	13.8
Total Assets Measured at Fair Value	\$1,667.4	\$211.5	\$13.8	\$1,892.7
Liabilities				
Contingent consideration liabilities	\$—	\$—	\$97.7	\$97.7

The fair values of substantially all trading investments, all available-for-sale SIPs and certain other equity securities are determined based on their published net asset values. The fair values of certain trading investments, all available-for-sale debt securities and certain other equity securities are determined using quoted market prices, if available, or independent third-party broker or dealer price quotes, which are evaluated for reasonableness. The fair value of life settlement contracts is determined using a discounted cash flow valuation technique.

The fair value of contingent consideration liabilities is determined using an income-based method which considers the net present value of anticipated future cash flows. Substantially all of the balance relates to the Company's commitment to acquire the remaining interests in K2 Advisors Holdings, LLC. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2, or into or out of Level 3, during the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Table of Contents

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis were as follows:

(in millions)	2013		2012	
	Investments	Contingent Consideration Liabilities	Investments	Contingent Consideration Liabilities
for the three months ended December 31,				
Balance at beginning of period	\$13.8	\$ (97.7)	\$14.8	\$ —
Acquisition	—	—	—	(90.6)
Total realized and unrealized gains (losses)				
Included in investment and other income, net	0.7	—	0.4	—
Included in general, administrative and other expense	—	(5.9)	—	(2.4)
Other	—	(0.3)	—	(0.3)
Purchases	0.1	—	0.6	—
Sales	(0.7)	—	(1.6)	—
Settlements	—	2.7	(1.2)	3.0
Balance at End of Period	\$13.9	\$ (101.2)	\$13.0	\$ (90.3)
Change in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income relating to assets and liabilities held at period end	\$0.3	\$ (6.2)	\$0.4	\$ (2.7)

The valuation techniques and significant unobservable inputs used in recurring Level 3 fair value measurements were as follows:

(in millions)	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Range (Weighted Average)
as of December 31, 2013				
Life settlement contracts	\$13.9	Discounted cash flow	Life expectancy Discount rate	25–158 months (75) 3.3%–21.7% (11.7%)
Contingent consideration liabilities	101.2	Discounted cash flow	AUM growth rate EBITDA margin Discount rate	6.0%–21.3% (14.2%) 28.2% - 43.2% (35.7%) 14.0%
(in millions)				
as of September 30, 2013				
Life settlement contracts	\$13.8	Discounted cash flow	Life expectancy Discount rate	25–160 months (76) 3.3%–21.7% (11.7%)
Contingent consideration liabilities	97.7	Discounted cash flow	AUM growth rate EBITDA margin Discount rate	6.0%–25.0% (14.6%) 26.4% - 38.9% (34.4%) 14.0%

For life settlement contracts, a significant increase (decrease) in the life expectancy or the discount rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

For contingent consideration liabilities, a significant increase (decrease) in the AUM growth rate or EBITDA margin, or decrease (increase) in the discount rate, in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement.

Table of Contents

Financial instruments that were not measured at fair value were as follows:

(in millions)	Fair Value Level	December 31, 2013		September 30, 2013	
		Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
Financial Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	1	\$6,552.2	\$6,552.2	\$6,186.0	\$6,186.0
Other investments ¹	2 or 3	55.7	64.3	61.1	69.2
Loans held for sale	2	189.2	189.7	—	—
Loans receivable, net	2	9.6	9.8	229.7	230.1
Financial Liabilities					
Deposits	2	\$654.4	\$654.4	\$586.8	\$587.2
Debt	2	1,197.8	1,209.1	1,197.7	1,221.5

¹ Primarily consist of Level 3 assets.

Note 7 – Debt

The disclosures below include details of the Company's debt, excluding that of consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs. See Note 8 – Variable Interest Entities and Consolidated Sponsored Investment Products for information related to the debt of these entities.

Debt consisted of the following:

(dollars in millions)	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013	Effective Interest Rate
\$250 million 3.125% notes due May 2015	\$249.9	\$249.9	3.32 %
\$300 million 1.375% notes due September 2017	298.8	298.7	1.66 %
\$350 million 4.625% notes due May 2020	349.7	349.7	4.74 %
\$300 million 2.800% notes due September 2022	299.4	299.4	2.93 %
Total Debt	\$1,197.8	\$1,197.7	

At December 31, 2013, the Company's outstanding senior unsecured and unsubordinated notes had an aggregate face value of \$1.2 billion. The notes have fixed interest rates with interest payable semi-annually and contain an optional redemption feature that allows the Company to redeem each series of notes prior to maturity in whole or in part at any time, at a make-whole redemption price. The indentures governing the notes contain limitations on the Company's ability and the ability of its subsidiaries to pledge voting stock or profit participating equity interests in its subsidiaries to secure other debt without similarly securing the notes equally and ratably. The indentures also include requirements that must be met if the Company consolidates or merges with, or sells all or substantially all of its assets to, another entity. At December 31, 2013, the Company was in compliance with the covenants of the notes.

At December 31, 2013, maturities for debt were as follows:

(in millions)	Amount
for the fiscal years ending September 30,	
2014	\$—
2015	249.9
2016	—
2017	298.8
2018	—
Thereafter	649.1
Total	\$1,197.8

At December 31, 2013, the Company had \$500.0 million of short-term commercial paper available for issuance under an uncommitted private placement program which has been inactive since April 2012, \$260.0 million available in uncommitted short-term bank lines of credit under the Federal Reserve system, \$70.0 million available through the secured Federal Reserve Bank short-term discount window, \$15.5 million available in secured FHLB short-term borrowing capacity and \$14.1 million available in uncommitted short-term bank lines of credit.

Table of Contents

Note 8 – Variable Interest Entities and Consolidated Sponsored Investment Products

The Company sponsors and manages various types of investment products, which consist of both VIEs and non-VIEs. The Company consolidates the VIE products for which it is the primary beneficiary and the non-VIE products which it controls. The Company has no right to the consolidated products' assets, other than its direct equity investment in them, and/or investment management fees earned from them. The debt holders of these consolidated entities have no recourse to the Company's assets beyond the level of its direct investment, therefore the Company bears no other risks associated with the entities' liabilities.

The balances of consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs included in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets were as follows:

(in millions)	December 31, 2013			September 30, 2013		
	Consolidated			Consolidated		
	VIEs	SIPs	Total	VIEs	SIPs	Total
Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$65.3	\$82.2	\$147.5	\$44.0	\$93.1	\$137.1
Receivables	5.5	24.9	30.4	37.7	19.1	56.8
Investments, at fair value	908.8	1,369.4	2,278.2	941.1	1,203.2	2,144.3
Other assets	—	0.7	0.7	—	0.7	0.7
Total Assets	\$979.6	\$1,477.2	\$2,456.8	\$1,022.8	\$1,316.1	\$2,338.9
Liabilities						
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$—	\$33.3	\$33.3	\$—	\$25.2	\$25.2
Debt, at fair value	936.6	—	936.6	988.5	—	988.5
Debt	—	122.8	122.8	—	108.9	108.9
Other liabilities	16.3	45.3	61.6	10.9	8.5	19.4
Total liabilities	952.9	201.4	1,154.3	999.4	142.6	1,142.0
Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests	—	153.8	153.8	—	121.8	121.8
Stockholders' Equity						
Franklin Resources, Inc.'s interests	26.7	560.4	587.1	23.4	454.8	478.2
Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	—	561.6	561.6	—	596.9	596.9
Total stockholders' equity	26.7	1,122.0	1,148.7	23.4	1,051.7	1,075.1
Total Liabilities, Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests and Stockholders' Equity	\$979.6	\$1,477.2	\$2,456.8	\$1,022.8	\$1,316.1	\$2,338.9

The consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs did not have a significant impact on net income attributable to the Company during the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Consolidated VIEs

Consolidated VIEs consist of sponsored collateralized loan obligations (“CLOs”), which are asset-backed financing entities collateralized by a pool of corporate debt securities.

The assets and liabilities of the CLOs are carried at fair value. Changes in the fair values were as follows:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended	
	December 31, 2013	2012
Net gains from changes in fair value of assets	\$13.4	\$22.2
Net losses from changes in fair value of liabilities	(7.7)	(41.3)
Total Net Gains (Losses)	\$5.7	\$(19.1)

Table of Contents

The following tables present the unpaid principal balance and fair value of investments, including investments 90 days or more past due, and debt of the CLOs:

(in millions)	Total Investments	Investments 90 Days or More Past Due	Debt
as of December 31, 2013			
Unpaid principal balance	\$ 910.2	\$7.9	\$968.5
Difference between unpaid principal balance and fair value	(1.4)	(7.7)	(31.9)
Fair Value	\$ 908.8	\$0.2	\$936.6
(in millions)	Total Investments	Investments 90 Days or More Past Due	Debt
as of September 30, 2013			
Unpaid principal balance	\$ 943.6	\$7.9	\$1,017.8
Difference between unpaid principal balance and fair value	(2.5)	(7.7)	(29.3)
Fair Value	\$ 941.1	\$0.2	\$988.5

Consolidated SIPs

Consolidated SIPs consist of non-VIE limited partnerships and similar structures that the Company controls and other fund products in which the Company has a controlling financial interest. The Company consolidated 32 SIPs as of December 31, 2013, and 36 SIPs as of September 30, 2013. SIPs are typically consolidated when the Company makes an initial investment in a newly launched fund or limited partnership entity. They are deconsolidated when the Company redeems its investment in the SIP or its voting interests decrease to a minority percentage. The Company's investments in SIPs subsequent to deconsolidation are accounted for as trading or available-for-sale investment securities, or equity method or cost method investments depending on the nature of the SIP and the Company's level of ownership.

Investments

Investments of consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs consisted of the following:

(in millions)	December 31, 2013			September 30, 2013		
	Consolidated			Consolidated		
	VIEs	SIPs	Total	VIEs	SIPs	Total
Investment securities, trading	\$—	\$359.0	\$359.0	\$—	\$244.1	\$244.1
Other debt securities	908.8	254.4	1,163.2	941.1	272.3	1,213.4
Other equity securities	—	756.0	756.0	—	686.8	686.8
Total Investments	\$908.8	\$1,369.4	\$2,278.2	\$941.1	\$1,203.2	\$2,144.3

Investments of consolidated VIEs consist of corporate debt securities. Investment securities, trading held by consolidated SIPs consist of debt and equity securities that are traded in active markets. Other debt and equity securities held by consolidated SIPs primarily consist of direct investments in secured and unsecured debt securities and equity securities of entities in emerging markets, which are generally not traded in active markets. Other equity securities also include investments in funds that are not traded in active markets.

Debt

Debt of consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs consisted of the following:

(dollars in millions)	December 31, 2013	Effective Interest Rate	September 30, 2013	Effective Interest Rate
Debt of consolidated VIEs, at fair value, due fiscal years 2018-2024	\$ 936.6	1.34 %	\$ 988.5	1.32 %
Debt of consolidated SIPs due fiscal years 2014-2019	122.8	3.83 %	108.9	4.08 %
Total Debt	\$ 1,059.4		\$ 1,097.4	

The debt of consolidated VIEs had floating interest rates ranging from 0.47% to 9.75% at December 31, 2013, and from 0.50% to 9.77% at September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

The debt of consolidated SIPs had both fixed and floating interest rates ranging from 2.30% to 5.83% at December 31, 2013, and from 2.45% to 5.83% at September 30, 2013. The repayment of amounts outstanding under the debt agreements is secured by the assets of the consolidated SIPs or a pledge of the right to call capital.

At December 31, 2013, contractual maturities for debt of consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs were as follows: (in millions)

for the fiscal years ending September 30,

2014	\$55.9
2015	—
2016	—
2017	27.8
2018	321.5
Thereafter	654.2
Total	\$1,059.4

Fair Value Measurements

The tables below present the balances of assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs measured at fair value on a recurring basis. See Note 6 – Fair Value Measurements for information related to the three levels of fair value hierarchy.

(in millions)

as of December 31, 2013

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents of consolidated VIEs	\$65.3	\$—	\$—	\$65.3
Receivables of consolidated VIEs	—	5.5	—	5.5
Investments of consolidated VIEs	—	908.3	0.5	908.8
Investments of consolidated SIPs				
Debt securities	0.8	122.0	254.4	377.2
Equity securities	217.9	271.7	502.6	992.2
Total Assets Measured at Fair Value	\$284.0	\$1,307.5	\$757.5	\$2,349.0
Liabilities				
Debt of consolidated VIEs	\$—	\$884.4	\$52.2	\$936.6
Other liabilities of consolidated VIEs	—	16.3	—	16.3
Other liabilities of consolidated SIPs	17.5	19.3	—	36.8
Total Liabilities Measured at Fair Value	\$17.5	\$920.0	\$52.2	\$989.7

(in millions)

as of September 30, 2013

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents of consolidated VIEs	\$44.0	\$—	\$—	\$44.0
Receivables of consolidated VIEs	—	37.7	—	37.7
Investments of consolidated VIEs	—	940.6	0.5	941.1
Investments of consolidated SIPs				
Debt securities	4.5	83.8	272.3	360.6
Equity securities	158.1	213.6	470.9	842.6
Total Assets Measured at Fair Value	\$206.6	\$1,275.7	\$743.7	\$2,226.0
Liabilities				
Debt of consolidated VIEs	\$—	\$928.8	\$59.7	\$988.5
Other liabilities of consolidated VIEs	—	10.9	—	10.9
Total Liabilities Measured at Fair Value	\$—	\$939.7	\$59.7	\$999.4

The fair value of cash and cash equivalents of consolidated VIEs is based on quoted market prices. The fair values of the other assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs are primarily obtained from independent third-party broker or dealer price quotes.

Table of Contents

The fair value of a portion of the debt of consolidated VIEs is determined using significant unobservable inputs in a market-based approach.

Investments of consolidated SIPs consist of trading securities and other investments that are not generally traded in active markets. The fair value of the trading securities is determined using quoted market prices, or independent third-party broker or dealer price quotes if quoted market prices are not available. The fair value of debt and equity securities not traded in active markets, other than fund products, is determined using significant unobservable inputs in either a market-based or income-based approach. The fair value of fund products not traded in active markets is determined using net asset value (“NAV”) as a practical expedient.

Other liabilities of consolidated SIPs consist primarily of short positions in debt and equity securities and are measured at fair value. The fair value of the liabilities is determined based on the fair value of the underlying securities using quoted market prices, or independent third-party broker or dealer price quotes if quoted market prices securities are not available.

The investments in fund products for which fair value was estimated using NAV as a practical expedient consisted of the following:

(in millions)	Redemption Frequency	Fair Value Level	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
Hedge funds	Monthly or quarterly	2	\$ 22.3	\$ 6.6
Global fixed-income fund	Monthly	2	227.6	191.8
Hedge funds	Annually or triennially	3	2.1	1.5
Real estate and private equity funds	Nonredeemable	3	255.3	242.1
Total			\$ 507.3	\$ 442.0

The investments in real estate and private equity funds are expected to be returned through distributions as a result of liquidations of the funds' underlying assets over a weighted-average period of 4.5 years and 4.7 years at December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013. The consolidated SIPs' unfunded commitments to these funds totaled \$148.6 million and \$135.5 million at December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, of which the Company was contractually obligated to fund \$3.5 million and \$2.8 million based on its ownership percentage in the SIPs.

Transfers into Level 2 from Level 1 were nil and \$47.0 million, and transfers into Level 1 from Level 2 were \$0.1 million and nil during the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. The transfers into Level 2 from Level 1 during the prior year consisted of securities for which the quoted market prices were adjusted as of December 31, 2012 due to significant price changes in U.S.-traded market proxies resulting from the resolution of U.S. fiscal cliff negotiations. The impacted securities trade in 16 different countries in Europe, Asia and Latin America. The adjustments were made after the close of the foreign markets and were based on third-party factors derived from model-based valuation techniques for which the significant assumptions were observable in the market. The transfers into Level 1 from Level 2 were securities that were valued using unadjusted quoted market prices. The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis were as follows:

(in millions)	Investments of Consolidated VIEs	Investments of Consolidated Debt	Investments of Consolidated Equity	Total Level 3 Assets	Debt of Consolidated VIEs
for the three months ended December 31, 2013					
Balance at October 1, 2013	\$0.5	\$272.3	\$470.9	\$743.7	\$(59.7)
Realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in investment and other income, net	—	(27.7)	(3.7)	(31.4)	7.5
Purchases	—	22.2	50.2	72.4	—
Sales	—	(13.9)	(15.6)	(29.5)	—
Effect of exchange rate changes	—	1.5	0.8	2.3	—
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$0.5	\$254.4	\$502.6	\$757.5	\$(52.2)
Change in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income relating to assets and liabilities held at December 31, 2013	\$—	\$(28.8)	\$(3.3)	\$(32.1)	\$7.5

Table of Contents

(in millions)	Investments of Consolidated SIPs		Total Level 3 Assets	Debt of Consolidated VIEs
for the three months ended December 31, 2012	Debt	Equity		
Balance at October 1, 2012	\$317.5	\$527.0	\$844.5	\$(67.9)
Realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in investment and other income, net	8.5	9.8	18.3	(10.4)
Purchases	23.3	19.4	42.7	—
Sales	(26.6)	(20.7)	(47.3)	—
Acquisition	—	0.8	0.8	—
Effect of exchange rate changes	3.5	1.3	4.8	—
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$326.2	\$537.6	\$863.8	\$(78.3)
Change in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income relating to assets and liabilities held at December 31, 2012	\$6.2	\$8.0	\$14.2	\$(10.4)

There were no transfers into or out of Level 3 during the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

The valuation techniques and significant unobservable inputs used in recurring Level 3 fair value measurements were as follows:

(in millions)	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Range (Weighted Average)
as of December 31, 2013				
Debt securities	\$254.4	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate Risk premium	3.2%–20.0% (12.7%) 0.0%–19.3% (3.4%)
	154.2	Market comparable companies	EBITDA multiple Discount for lack of marketability	5.0–10.2 (8.9) 20.0%
Equity securities	71.9	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate Discount for lack of marketability	8.0%–18.0% (14.6%) 0.0%–50.0% (21.0%)
	19.1	Market pricing	Price to book value ratio	1.7
(in millions)				
as of September 30, 2013				
Debt securities	\$272.3	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate Risk premium	5.7%–25.6% (8.3%) 0.0%–19.3% (2.2%)
	137.4	Market comparable companies	EBITDA multiple Discount for lack of marketability	5.0–10.2 (8.0) 20.0%–30.0% (23.7%)
Equity securities	70.8	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate Discount for lack of marketability	12.0%–18.0% (16.2%) 0.0%–50.0% (20.6%)
	19.1	Market pricing	Price to book value ratio	1.7

Level 3 debt securities held by consolidated SIPs consisted of mezzanine loans, convertible debentures and corporate loans and notes, and equity securities consisted primarily of common and preferred shares at December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013.

The fair values of Level 3 assets and liabilities that were determined based on third-party pricing information or NAV are excluded from the above two tables. At December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, the exclusions consisted of \$52.2 million and \$59.7 million of debt of consolidated VIEs that was valued using third-party broker or dealer price quotes and \$257.4 million and \$243.6 million of investments in various funds held by consolidated SIPs for which fair value was estimated using NAV as a practical expedient.

Following are descriptions of the sensitivity of the Level 3 recurring fair value measurements to changes in the significant unobservable inputs presented in the above tables.

For securities utilizing the discounted cash flow valuation technique, a significant increase (decrease) in the discount rate, risk premium or discount for lack of marketability in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. The discount for lack of marketability used to determine fair value may include other factors such as liquidity or credit risk.

Table of Contents

Generally, a change in the discount rate is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the risk premium and discount for lack of marketability.

For securities utilizing the market comparable companies valuation technique, a significant increase (decrease) in the EBITDA multiple in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. A significant increase (decrease) in the discount for lack of marketability in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. The discount for lack of marketability used to determine fair value may include other factors such as liquidity or credit risk.

For securities utilizing a market pricing valuation technique, a significant increase (decrease) in the price to book value ratio would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement.

Financial instruments of consolidated SIPs that were not measured at fair value were as follows:

(in millions)	Fair Value Level	December 31, 2013		September 30, 2013	
		Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
Financial Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents of consolidated SIPs	1	\$82.2	\$82.2	\$93.1	\$93.1
Financial Liabilities					
Debt of consolidated SIPs	3	122.8	125.2	108.9	110.4

Non-consolidated VIEs

VIEs for which the Company is not the primary beneficiary consist of sponsored and other investment products from which the Company earns investment management fees and/or in which it has an equity ownership interest.

The carrying values of the investment management fees receivable from and the equity ownership interests in these VIEs included in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets are set forth below. These amounts represent the Company's maximum exposure to loss from these investment products.

(in millions)	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
Receivables	\$53.9	\$46.3
Investments	205.8	198.5
Total	\$259.7	\$244.8

The Company's total AUM of non-consolidated VIEs was \$39.2 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$37.3 billion at September 30, 2013.

While the Company has no contractual obligation to do so, it routinely makes cash investments in the course of launching SIPs. The Company also may voluntarily elect to provide its SIPs with additional direct or indirect financial support based on its business objectives. The Company did not provide financial or other support to its SIPs during fiscal year 2013 or the three months ended December 31, 2013.

Note 9 – Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings

The Company is from time to time involved in litigation relating to claims arising in the normal course of business. Management is of the opinion that the ultimate resolution of such claims will not materially affect the Company's business, financial position, results of operations or liquidity. In management's opinion, an adequate accrual has been made as of December 31, 2013 to provide for any probable losses that may arise from such matters for which the Company could reasonably estimate an amount.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

The Company, in its role as agent or trustee, facilitates the settlement of investor share purchase, redemption and other transactions with affiliated mutual funds. The Company is appointed by the affiliated mutual funds as agent or trustee to manage, on their behalf, bank deposit accounts that contain only (i) cash remitted by investors to the affiliated mutual funds for the direct purchase of fund shares, or (ii) cash remitted by the affiliated mutual funds for direct delivery to the investors for either the proceeds of fund shares liquidated at the investors' direction, or dividends and capital gains earned on fund shares. At December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, the Company held cash of \$165.3 million and \$236.4 million off-balance sheet in agency or trust for investors and the affiliated mutual funds.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2013, there were no changes that would have a material effect on the other commitments and contingencies reported in the Company's Form 10-K for fiscal year 2013.

Note 10 – Stock-Based Compensation

Stock awards generally entitle holders to the right to sell the underlying shares of the Company's common stock once the awards vest. Stock unit awards generally entitle holders to receive the underlying shares of common stock once the awards vest. Certain performance-based long-term stock and stock unit awards have been granted that generally vest based on the achievement of predetermined Company financial performance goals. In the event a performance measure is not achieved at or above a specified threshold level, the portion of the award tied to such performance measure is forfeited.

Total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock and stock unit awards, net of estimated forfeitures, was \$220.3 million at December 31, 2013. This cost is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average vesting period of 2.1 years.

Nonvested stock and stock unit award activity was as follows:

(shares in thousands)	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Nonvested balance at September 30, 2013	3,732	\$ 40.61
Granted	2,586	53.89
Vested	(442)) 39.26
Forfeited/canceled	(62)) 43.52
Nonvested Balance at December 31, 2013	5,814	\$ 46.59

Note 11 – Other Income (Expenses)

Other income (expenses) consisted of the following:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Investment and Other Income, Net		
Dividend income	\$3.1	\$2.8
Interest income	2.3	3.1
Gains on trading investment securities, net	3.4	5.3
Realized gains on sale of investment securities, available-for-sale	19.6	21.2
Realized losses on sale of investment securities, available-for-sale	(0.6))
Income from investments in equity method investees	24.9	21.8
Other-than-temporary impairment of investments	(0.6)) (0.3)
Gains (losses) on investments of consolidated SIPs, net	(5.3)) 20.9
Gains (losses) on assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs, net	5.7	(19.1)
Foreign currency exchange losses, net	(10.5)) (11.3)
Other, net	6.2	1.1
Total	48.2	45.5
Interest Expense	(12.6)) (14.5)
Other Income, Net	\$35.6	\$31.0

Substantially all of the dividend income and realized gains and losses on sale of available-for-sale securities were generated by investments in non-consolidated SIPs. Interest income was primarily generated by cash equivalents and investments in trading securities and debt securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions. Proceeds from the sale of available-for-sale securities were \$125.6 million and \$159.0 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Net gains recognized on the Company's trading investment securities that were held at December 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$1.9 million and \$1.7 million. Net gains recognized on trading investment securities of consolidated SIPs that were held at December 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$10.0 million and \$6.2 million.

Table of Contents

Note 12 – Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component were as follows:

(in millions)	Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Investments	Currency Translation Adjustments	Unrealized Losses on Defined Benefit Plans	Total
for the three months ended December 31, 2013				
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$71.9	\$(63.2)	\$(2.6)	\$6.1
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications, net of tax	0.9	8.1	(1.3)	7.7
Reclassifications to investment and other income, net, net of tax	(10.9)	—	—	(10.9)
Total other comprehensive income	(10.0)	8.1	(1.3)	(3.2)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$61.9	\$(55.1)	\$(3.9)	\$2.9

Note 13 – Banking Regulatory Ratios

Franklin is a bank holding company and a financial holding company subject to various U.S. regulatory capital requirements. Based on the Company's calculations, it exceeded the applicable capital adequacy requirements as listed below.

(dollar amounts in millions)	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013	Capital Adequacy Minimum
Tier 1 capital	\$7,898.7	\$7,449.2	N/A
Total risk-based capital	7,930.4	7,482.6	N/A
Tier 1 leverage ratio	58	% 58	% 4
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	59	% 58	% 4
Total risk-based capital ratio	59	% 58	% 8

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Forward-Looking Statements

In this section, we discuss and analyze the results of operations and financial condition of Franklin Resources, Inc. ("Franklin") and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company"). In addition to historical information, we also make statements relating to the future, called "forward-looking" statements, which are provided under the "safe harbor" protection of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are generally written in the future tense and/or are preceded by words such as "will," "may," "could," "expect," "believe," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "seek," "estimate," or other similar words. Moreover, statements that speculate about future events are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve a number of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause actual results and outcomes to differ materially from any future results or outcomes expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. You should carefully review the "Risk Factors" section set forth below, which describes these risks, uncertainties and other important factors in more detail.

While forward-looking statements are our best prediction at the time that they are made, you should not rely on them. Forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and assumptions regarding our business, the economy and other future conditions. Because forward-looking statements relate to the future, they are subject to inherent uncertainties, risks and changes in circumstances that are difficult to predict. We caution you against relying on any of these forward-looking statements. They are neither statements of historical fact nor guarantees or assurances of future performance. Factors or events that could cause our actual results to differ may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict all of them. If a circumstance occurs after the date of this Form 10-Q that causes any of our forward-looking statements to be inaccurate, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise, we do not have an obligation, and we undertake no obligation, to announce publicly the change to our expectations, or to make any revision to our forward-looking statements, unless required by law.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 (“fiscal year 2013”) filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”), and the condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

Overview

We are a global investment management organization and derive our operating revenues and net income from providing investment management and related services to investors in jurisdictions worldwide through products that include investment funds and institutional, high net-worth and separately-managed accounts (collectively, our “sponsored investment products” or “SIPs”). In addition to investment management, our services include fund administration, sales, distribution, shareholder services, transfer agency, trustee, custodial and other fiduciary services, as well as select private banking services. Our SIPs and investment management and related services are distributed or marketed to the public globally under seven distinct brand names: Franklin®, Templeton®, Mutual Series®, Bissett®, Fiduciary Trust™, Darby®, Balanced Equity Management® and K2®. We offer a broad range of SIPs under equity, hybrid, fixed-income and cash management funds and accounts, including alternative investment products, that meet a wide variety of specific investment needs of individual and institutional investors. We also provide sub-advisory services to certain investment products sponsored by other companies which may be sold to the public under the brand names of those other companies or on a co-branded basis.

The level of our revenues depends largely on the level and relative mix of assets under management (“AUM”). As noted in the “Risk Factors” section set forth below, the amount and mix of our AUM are subject to significant fluctuations and can negatively impact our revenues and income. The level of our revenues also depends on mutual fund sales and the number of mutual fund shareholder accounts. The fees charged for our services are based on contracts with our SIPs or our clients. These arrangements could change in the future.

During the three months ended December 31, 2013, the global equity markets provided strong gains, evidenced by increases of 8% in the MSCI World Index and 11% in the S&P 500 Index. While the equity markets benefited from an improved global economic outlook, the global bond markets remained unstable and the Barclays Global Aggregate Index decreased 0.4%. The overall market improvement benefited our assets under management, fee revenues and operating income, all of which increased significantly from the first quarter of fiscal year 2013.

Our total AUM at December 31, 2013 was \$879.1 billion, 4% higher than at September 30, 2013 and 12% higher than at December 31, 2012. Simple monthly average AUM (“average AUM”) for the three months ended December 31, 2013 increased 13% from the same period in the prior fiscal year, primarily driven by \$77.3 billion of market appreciation and \$24.0 billion of net new flows during the previous twelve months.

The business and regulatory environments in which we operate remain complex, uncertain and subject to change. In the U.S., the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, regulatory changes adopted by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission in 2012 and the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act impose additional restrictions, limitations and registration and reporting requirements on our business. We are also subject to numerous regulations by U.S. and non-U.S. regulators that add further complexity to our ongoing global compliance operations. We expect that such regulatory requirements and developments will cause us to incur additional administrative and compliance costs.

Uncertainties regarding economic stabilization and improvement remain for the foreseeable future. As we continue to confront the challenges of the current economic and regulatory environments, we remain focused on the investment performance of our SIPs and on providing high quality customer service to our clients. While we are focused on expense management, we will also seek to attract, retain and develop employees and invest strategically in systems and technology that will provide a secure and stable environment. We will continue to seek to protect and further our brand recognition while developing and maintaining broker/dealer and client relationships. The success of these and other strategies may be influenced by the factors discussed in the “Risk Factors” section set forth below.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)	Three Months Ended		Percent	Change
	December 31,	2012		
	2013	2012		
Operating revenues	\$2,109.5	\$1,901.8	11	%
Operating income	813.1	685.1	19	%
Net income attributable to Franklin Resources, Inc.	603.8	516.1	17	%
Diluted earnings per share	\$0.96	\$0.81	19	%

Operating margin¹ 38.5 % 36.0 %

¹ Defined as operating income divided by total operating revenues.

24

Table of Contents

Operating income increased \$128.0 million and net income attributable to Franklin Resources, Inc. increased \$87.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013. The increases were primarily due to a 14% increase in investment management fees, which was driven by a 13% increase in average AUM.

Diluted earnings per share increased consistent with the increase in net income and a 1% decrease in diluted average common shares outstanding primarily resulting from repurchases of shares of our common stock during the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2013.

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

AUM by investment objective was as follows:

(dollar amounts in billions)	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	Percent Change	
Equity				
Global/international	\$260.4	\$222.2	17	%
United States	105.3	81.2	30	%
Total equity	365.7	303.4	21	%
Hybrid	147.8	121.0	22	%
Fixed-Income				
Tax-free	69.0	84.3	(18)%
Taxable				
Global/international	231.3	209.8	10	%
United States	58.7	57.6	2	%
Total fixed-income	359.0	351.7	2	%
Cash Management	6.6	5.7	16	%
Total	\$879.1	\$781.8	12	%
Average for the Three-Month Period	\$865.9	\$763.6	13	%

AUM at December 31, 2013 increased 12% from December 31, 2012, primarily due to \$77.3 billion of market appreciation and \$24.0 billion of net new flows during the twelve-month period. Strong positive returns in global markets resulted in valuation increases in all long-term investment objectives with the exception of tax-free fixed-income, which was negatively impacted by investor concerns regarding the economic outlook for certain municipalities. The net new flows were primarily driven by inflows in global/international fixed-income and hybrid products, partially offset by outflows from tax-free fixed-income products.

Average AUM benefited from the same factors, increasing 13% during the three months ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the same period in the prior fiscal year. Average AUM is generally more indicative of trends in revenue for providing investment management and fund administration services than the year-over-year change in ending AUM.

Average AUM and the mix of average AUM by investment objective are shown below.

(dollar amounts in billions) for the three months ended December 31,	Average AUM		Percent Change		Mix of Average AUM		
	2013	2012			2013	2012	
Equity							
Global/international	\$254.6	\$216.5	18	%	29	%	28
United States	101.8	81.3	25	%	12	%	11
Total equity	356.4	297.8	20	%	41	%	39
Hybrid	143.1	115.2	24	%	16	%	15
Fixed-Income							
Tax-free	71.0	84.3	(16)%	8	%	11
Taxable							
Global/international	230.2	203.1	13	%	27	%	27
United States	58.7	57.2	3	%	7	%	7
Total fixed-income	359.9	344.6	4	%	42	%	45
Cash Management	6.5	6.0	8	%	1	%	1

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Total	\$865.9	\$763.6	13	%	100	%	100	%
-------	---------	---------	----	---	-----	---	-----	---

25

Table of Contents

Components of the change in AUM were as follows:

(dollar amounts in billions)	Three Months Ended December 31,		Percent Change	
	2013	2012		
Beginning AUM	\$844.7	\$749.9	13	%
Long-term sales	49.8	46.0	8	%
Long-term redemptions	(49.7)	(44.7)	11	%
Net cash management	(0.1)	(1.0)	(90)%
Net new flows	—	0.3	NM	
Reinvested distributions	9.7	9.1	7	%
Net flows	9.7	9.4	3	%
Distributions	(11.3)	(11.0)	3	%
Acquisition	—	8.7	(100)%
Appreciation and other ¹	36.0	24.8	45	%
Ending AUM	\$879.1	\$781.8	12	%

¹ Includes impact of foreign exchange revaluation.

Components of the change in AUM by investment objective were as follows:

(in billions) for the three months ended December 31, 2013	Equity			Fixed-Income			Cash Management	Total
	Global/ International	United States	Hybrid	Tax-Free	Taxable Global/ International	Taxable United States		
AUM at October 1, 2013	\$243.9	\$97.2	\$137.5	\$72.4	\$228.8	\$58.3	\$6.6	\$844.7
Long-term sales	15.1	6.1	6.5	1.9	15.9	4.3	—	49.8
Long-term redemptions	(12.3)	(6.1)	(4.1)	(4.5)	(18.4)	(4.3)	—	(49.7)
Net exchanges	0.3	0.4	0.8	(0.8)	(0.6)	(0.2)	0.1	—
Net cash management	—	—	—	—	—	—	(0.1)	(0.1)
Net new flows	3.1	0.4	3.2	(3.4)	(3.1)	(0.2)	—	—
Reinvested distributions	3.0	2.5	1.5	0.6	1.6	0.5	—	9.7
Net flows	6.1	2.9	4.7	(2.8)	(1.5)	0.3	—	9.7
Distributions	(3.3)	(2.7)	(1.7)	(0.8)	(2.2)	(0.6)	—	(11.3)
Appreciation and other ¹	13.7	7.9	7.3	0.2	6.2	0.7	—	36.0
AUM at December 31, 2013	\$260.4	\$105.3	\$147.8	\$69.0	\$231.3	\$58.7	\$6.6	\$879.1

¹ Includes impact of foreign exchange revaluation.

(in billions) for the three months ended December 31, 2012	Equity			Fixed-Income			Cash Management	Total
	Global/ International	United States	Hybrid	Tax-Free	Taxable Global/ International	Taxable United States		
AUM at October 1, 2012	\$214.9	\$82.2	\$110.1	\$83.2	\$196.4	\$56.7	\$6.4	\$749.9
Long-term sales	9.6	3.4	5.5	3.8	19.7	4.0	—	46.0
Long-term redemptions	(13.8)	(5.1)	(4.8)	(3.2)	(14.0)	(3.8)	—	(44.7)
Net exchanges	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.2)	—	0.2	0.2	0.3	—
Net cash management	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1.0)	(1.0)
Net new flows	(4.4)	(2.0)	0.5	0.6	5.9	0.4	(0.7)	0.3
Reinvested distributions	2.5	1.4	1.2	0.6	2.9	0.5	—	9.1

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Net flows	(1.9) (0.6) 1.7	1.2	8.8	0.9	(0.7) 9.4
Distributions	(2.6) (1.5) (1.5) (0.8) (3.8) (0.8) —	(11.0)
Acquisition	—	—	8.7	—	—	—	—	8.7
Appreciation and other ¹	11.8	1.1	2.0	0.7	8.4	0.8	—	24.8
AUM at December 31, 2012	\$222.2	\$81.2	\$121.0	\$84.3	\$209.8	\$57.6	\$5.7	\$781.8

¹ Includes impact of foreign exchange revaluation.

Table of Contents

AUM increased \$34.4 billion or 4% during the quarter ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to \$36.0 billion of market appreciation. Positive returns in global markets, evidenced by increases in the MSCI World and S&P 500 indexes of 8% and 11%, resulted in market appreciation across all investment objectives, most significantly in equity and hybrid products. Long-term sales increased 8% to \$49.8 billion, as compared to the prior-year period, primarily due to higher sales of equity and hybrid products, partially offset by lower sales of global/international and tax-free fixed-income products. Long-term redemptions increased 11% to \$49.7 billion, primarily due to higher redemptions of fixed-income products.

AUM increased \$31.9 billion or 4% during the quarter ended December 31, 2012, resulting from \$24.8 billion of market appreciation and \$8.7 billion from the acquisition of K2 Advisors Holdings, LLC (“K2”). The market appreciation mainly related to global/international equity and fixed-income products and reflected overall positive returns in global markets, as evidenced by an increase in the MSCI World Index of 3%.

Average AUM by sales region is shown below.

(dollar amounts in billions)	Three Months Ended December 31,		Percent Change	
	2013	2012		
United States	\$559.6	\$499.1	12	%
International				
Europe, the Middle East and Africa	150.7	124.9	21	%
Asia-Pacific	88.7	78.7	13	%
Canada	37.7	34.5	9	%
Latin America ¹	29.2	26.4	11	%
Total international	306.3	264.5	16	%
Total	\$865.9	\$763.6	13	%

¹ Latin America sales region includes North America-based advisors serving non-resident clients.

Due to the global nature of our business operations, investment management and related services may be performed in locations unrelated to the sales region.

Investment Performance Overview

A key driver of our overall success is the long-term investment performance of our SIPs. A standard measure of the performance of these investment products is the percentage of AUM exceeding benchmarks and peer group medians. The investment performance of our taxable fixed-income products has been strong with AUM frequently outperforming the benchmarks and peer group medians for the one-, three-, five- and ten-year periods ended December 31, 2013. Our global/international fixed-income funds generated notable results with at least 89% of AUM exceeding the benchmarks and at least 97% of AUM exceeding the peer group medians for all four periods presented, as did our hybrid products with at least 85% of AUM exceeding the benchmarks and peer group medians for the three-, five- and ten-year periods. In addition, 99% of AUM in our tax-free fixed-income products exceeded the peer group median for the ten-year period. The performance of our global/international equity products has also been solid with at least 60% of AUM exceeding the benchmarks and peer group medians for all four periods; however the performance of our United States equity products has mostly lagged the benchmarks and peer group medians during the periods presented.

Table of Contents

The performance of our products against benchmarks and peer group medians is presented in the table below.

as of December 31, 2013	Benchmark Comparison				Peer Group Comparison				
	% of AUM Exceeding Benchmark				% of AUM in Top Two Peer Group Quartiles				
	1-Year	3-Year	5-Year	10-Year	1-Year	3-Year	5-Year	10-Year	
Equity									
Global/international	64	% 65	% 60	% 69	% 60	% 67	% 67	% 78	%
United States	27	% 12	% 23	% 41	% 32	% 51	% 38	% 46	%
Total equity	52	% 47	% 48	% 58	% 51	% 62	% 57	% 65	%
Hybrid	86	% 85	% 88	% 93	% 16	% 95	% 100	% 98	%
Fixed-Income									
Tax-free	10	% 56	% 59	% 33	% 15	% 47	% 55	% 99	%
Taxable									
Global/international	94	% 89	% 96	% 95	% 97	% 99	% 99	% 99	%
United States	54	% 70	% 64	% 55	% 81	% 79	% 29	% 58	%
Total fixed-income	70	% 79	% 83	% 75	% 77	% 85	% 78	% 92	%

AUM measured in the benchmark and peer group rankings represents 89% and 86% of our total AUM as of December 31, 2013. The benchmark comparisons are based on each fund's return as compared to a market index that has been selected to be generally consistent with the investment objectives of the fund. The peer group rankings are sourced from Lipper, Morningstar or eVestment in each fund's market and were based on an absolute ranking of returns as of December 31, 2013. For products with multiple share classes, rankings for the primary share class are applied to the entire product. Private equity, certain privately-offered emerging market and real estate funds, cash management and funds acquired in fiscal year 2013 are not included. Certain other funds and products were also excluded because of limited benchmark or peer group data. Had this data been available, the results may have been different. These results assume the reinvestment of dividends, are based on data available as of January 21, 2014 and are subject to revision. While we remain focused on achieving strong long-term performance, our future benchmark and peer group rankings may vary from our past performance.

OPERATING REVENUES

The table below presents the percentage change in each operating revenue category.

(dollar amounts in millions)	Three Months Ended		Percent Change
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	
Investment management fees	\$1,373.8	\$1,199.9	14 %
Sales and distribution fees	636.7	604.1	5 %
Shareholder servicing fees	76.1	74.4	2 %
Other, net	22.9	23.4	(2) %
Total Operating Revenues	\$2,109.5	\$1,901.8	11 %

Investment Management Fees

Investment management fees are generally calculated under contractual arrangements with our SIPs and the products for which we provide sub-advisory services as a percentage of the market value of AUM. Annual rates vary by investment objective and type of services provided. Rates for products sold outside of the U.S. are generally higher than for U.S. products because they are structured to compensate for certain distribution costs.

Investment management fees increased \$173.9 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013, almost entirely due to a 13% increase in average AUM. Average AUM increased in all sales regions and across all long-term investment objectives, with the exception of tax-free fixed-income.

Our effective investment management fee rate (annualized investment management fees divided by average AUM) increased to 63.5 basis points from 62.9 basis points for the three months ended December 31, 2013. The rate increase was primarily due to higher performance fees, partially offset by the impact of fee rebates, which were included in distribution expense in the prior year.

Table of Contents

Performance-based investment management fees were \$26.0 million and \$2.9 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, with the increase primarily related to products managed by K2.

Our product offerings and global operations are diverse. As such, the impact of future changes in the market value of AUM on investment management fees will be affected by the relative mix of investment objective, geographic region, distribution channel and investment vehicle of the assets.

Sales and Distribution Fees

We earn fees from the sale of certain classes of SIPs on which investors pay a commission at the time of purchase (“commissionable sales”). Sales commissions are reduced or eliminated on some share classes and for some sale transactions depending upon the amount invested and the type of investor. Therefore, sales fees will change with the overall level of gross sales, the size of individual transactions, and the relative mix of sales between different share classes and types of investors.

Globally, our mutual funds and certain other products generally pay us distribution fees in return for sales, marketing and distribution efforts on their behalf. Specifically, the majority of U.S.-registered mutual funds, with the exception of certain of our money market mutual funds, have adopted distribution plans under Rule 12b-1 (the “Rule 12b-1 Plans”) promulgated under the Investment Company Act of 1940. The Rule 12b-1 Plans permit the mutual funds to bear certain expenses relating to the distribution of their shares, such as expenses for marketing, advertising, printing and sales promotion, subject to the Rule 12b-1 Plans’ limitations on amounts. The individual Rule 12b-1 Plans set a percentage limit for Rule 12b-1 expenses based on average daily net AUM of the mutual fund. Similar arrangements exist for the distribution of our non-U.S. funds where, generally, the distributor of the funds in the local market arranges for and pays commissions.

We pay substantially all of our sales and distribution fees to the financial advisers and other intermediaries who sell our SIPs to the public on our behalf. See the description of sales, distribution and marketing expenses below.

Sales and distribution fees by revenue driver are presented below:

(dollar amounts in millions)	Three Months Ended		Percent Change	
	December 31, 2013	2012		
Asset-based fees	\$453.5	\$403.7	12	%
Sales-based fees	179.8	198.0	(9)%
Contingent sales charges	3.4	2.4	42	%
Sales and Distribution Fees	\$636.7	\$604.1	5	%

Asset-based distribution fees increased \$49.8 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to a 10% increase in the related average AUM and a higher mix of equity AUM. Equity products typically generate higher distribution fees than fixed-income products. Annualized distribution fees as a percentage of average AUM were 0.21% for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Sales-based fees decreased \$18.2 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to a lower mix of U.S. product commissionable sales and a 4% decrease in total commissionable sales. U.S. products typically generate higher sales fees than non-U.S. products. Sales fees as a percentage of commissionable sales were 3.1% and 3.3% for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. Commissionable sales represented 12% and 13% of total sales for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Contingent sales charges are earned from investor redemptions within a contracted period of time. These charges are levied only on certain shares sold without a front-end sales charge, and vary with the mix of redemptions of these shares.

Shareholder Servicing Fees

We receive shareholder servicing fees as compensation for providing transfer agency services, which include providing customer statements, transaction processing, customer service, and tax reporting. These fees are generally fixed charges per shareholder account that vary with the particular type of fund and the service being rendered. In some instances, we charge SIPs these fees based on the level of AUM. In the U.S., transfer agency service agreements provide that accounts closed in a calendar year generally remain billable at a reduced rate through the second quarter of the following calendar year. In Canada, such agreements that were in place through December 31, 2013 provided

that accounts closed in the calendar year remain billable for four months after the end of the calendar year. Accordingly, the level of fees varies with the change in open accounts and the level of closed accounts that remain billable. A change to the pricing structure in Canada effective January 1, 2014 resulted in the

29

Table of Contents

bundling of investment management and servicing fees, therefore shareholder servicing fees are no longer charged to SIPs in Canada.

Other services include tax planning and preparation for individual and trust clients, for which fees are primarily account based, and trustee services, for which fees are based on the level of AUM.

Shareholder servicing fees increased \$1.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013. The increase was primarily due to a \$1.4 million increase in Europe primarily resulting from higher levels of AUM and a \$1.1 million increase in the U.S. from a 7% increase in active accounts, partially offset by a \$1.1 million decrease in Canada from a 6% decrease in billable shareholder accounts.

Other, Net

Other, net revenue primarily consists of interest and dividend income from consolidated SIPs. It also includes interest income and expense and provisions for loan losses from lending activities.

Other, net revenue decreased \$0.5 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to a \$0.6 million decrease resulting from a continued decline in the level of lending activities.

OPERATING EXPENSES

The table below presents the percentage change in each operating expense category.

(dollar amounts in millions)	Three Months Ended		Percent Change	
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012		
Sales, distribution and marketing	\$776.7	\$730.9	6	%
Compensation and benefits	349.0	335.1	4	%
Information systems and technology	50.2	43.6	15	%
Occupancy	33.1	33.4	(1))%
General, administrative and other	87.4	73.7	19	%
Total Operating Expenses	\$1,296.4	\$1,216.7	7	%

Sales, Distribution and Marketing

Sales, distribution and marketing expenses primarily consist of payments to financial advisers, broker/dealers and other third parties for providing services to investors in our SIPs, including marketing support services. Sales expenses are determined as percentages of sales and are incurred from the same commissionable sales transactions that generate sales fee revenues. Distribution expenses are determined as percentages of AUM and are incurred from assets that generate either distribution fees or higher levels of investment management fees. Marketing support expenses are based on sales, AUM or a combination thereof. Also included is the amortization of deferred sales commissions related to up-front commissions on shares sold without a front-end sales charge to investors. The deferred sales commissions are amortized over the periods in which commissions are generally recovered from distribution fee revenues and contingent sales charges received from investors in the funds upon redemption of their shares.

Sales, distribution and marketing expenses by cost driver are presented below.

(dollar amounts in millions)	Three Months Ended		Percent Change	
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012		
Asset-based expenses	\$578.5	\$514.6	12	%
Sales-based expenses	164.0	183.4	(11))%
Amortization of deferred sales commissions	34.2	32.9	4	%
Sales, Distribution and Marketing	\$776.7	\$730.9	6	%

Asset-based expenses increased \$63.9 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to distribution expense increases of \$34.1 million related to non-U.S. products and \$27.2 million related to U.S. products. The distribution expense increases primarily resulted from higher related average AUM of 16% internationally and 8% in the U.S. The non-U.S. product expense increase was partially offset by a \$10.8 million decrease related to fee rebates, which were included in distribution expense in the prior year. The U.S. product expenses were also impacted by a higher mix of equity and hybrid products, which generally have higher expense rates than fixed-income products. Annualized asset-based expenses as a percentage of average AUM were

Table of Contents

0.27% for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. Distribution expenses, which are typically higher for non-U.S. products, are generally not directly correlated with distribution fee revenues due to international fee structures which provide for recovery of certain distribution costs through investment management fees.

Sales-based expenses decreased \$19.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to a lower mix of U.S. product commissionable sales and a 4% decrease in total commissionable sales. U.S. products typically generate higher sales commissions than non-U.S. products. Sales-based expenses as a percentage of sales-based fees were 91% and 93% for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Amortization of deferred sales commissions increased \$1.3 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to higher deferred sales commissions in Canada resulting from a prior year change to self-financing of up-front commissions on shares sold without a front-end sales charge to investors.

Compensation and Benefits

Compensation and benefit expenses increased \$13.9 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to a \$15.5 million increase in salaries, wages and benefits. The increase primarily resulted from higher staffing levels and annual merit salary adjustments that were effective December 1, 2013 and 2012. Compensation and benefit expenses as a percentage of operating revenues were 17% and 18% for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. At December 31, 2013, our global workforce had increased to approximately 9,100 employees from approximately 8,700 employees at December 31, 2012.

We continue to place a high emphasis on our pay for performance philosophy. As such, any changes in the underlying performance of our SIPs or changes in the composition of our incentive compensation offerings could have an impact on compensation and benefit expenses going forward. However, in order to attract and retain talented individuals, our level of compensation and benefit expenses may increase more quickly or decrease more slowly than our revenue.

Information Systems and Technology

Information systems and technology expenses increased \$6.6 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to increases of \$4.9 million related to higher investments in strategic technology projects for operational purposes and \$1.6 million in external data service costs.

Details of capitalized information systems and technology costs are shown below.

(in millions)	Three Months Ended	
	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Net carrying value at beginning of period	\$93.5	\$90.3
Additions, net of disposals	5.9	10.1
Amortization	(10.8) (9.3
Net Carrying Value at End of Period	\$88.6	\$91.1

Occupancy

We conduct our worldwide operations using a combination of leased and owned facilities. Occupancy expenses include rent and other facilities-related costs including depreciation and utilities.

Occupancy expenses decreased \$0.3 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to a decrease in equipment lease expense, partially offset by an increase in building maintenance expense.

General, Administrative and Other

General, administrative and other operating expenses primarily consist of fund administration services and shareholder servicing fees payable to external parties, corporate travel and entertainment, advertising and promotion costs, professional fees, and other miscellaneous expenses.

General, administrative and other operating expenses increased \$13.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to the acquisition of K2 in November 2012 and higher levels of professional and third party servicing fees. The change in the fair value of the K2 contingent consideration liability increased \$3.3 million due to K2's performance and the amortization of K2's intangible assets increased \$1.4 million due to the timing of the acquisition and final valuation of the assets. Professional fees increased \$3.2 million due to changes in our private banking business and other corporate activities, and third party servicing fees increased \$2.3 million primarily due to a pricing structure change.

Table of Contents

We are committed to investing in advertising and promotion in response to changing business conditions, and to advance our products where we see continued or potential new growth opportunities. As a result of potential changes in our strategic marketing campaigns, the level of advertising and promotion expenditures may increase more rapidly, or decrease more slowly, than our revenues.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES)

Other income (expenses) were as follows:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended		Percent Change	
	December 31,			
	2013	2012		
Investment and other income, net	\$48.2	\$45.5	6	%
Interest expense	(12.6)	(14.5)	(13)%
Other Income, Net	\$35.6	\$31.0	15	%

Other income (expenses) consists of net investment and other income and interest expense, excluding interest income and expense from lending activities. Net investment and other income consists primarily of income (losses) from equity method investees; realized gains (losses) on sale of available-for-sale investment securities; gains (losses) on investments of consolidated SIPs, trading investment securities, and assets and liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities (“VIEs”); dividend and interest income; other-than-temporary impairments and foreign currency exchange gains (losses).

Other income, net increased \$4.6 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to several offsetting factors. Changes in the fair value of the assets and liabilities of consolidated collateralized loan obligations (“CLOs”) resulted in \$5.7 million of net gains as compared to \$19.1 million of net losses in the prior year, income from equity method investees increased \$3.1 million and interest expense decreased \$1.9 million. These net increases were largely offset by \$5.3 million of net losses from securities held by consolidated SIPs as compared to \$20.9 million of net gains in the prior year.

Substantially all of the net gains (losses) of consolidated CLOs and limited partnerships and similar structures and a significant noncontrolling percentage of the net gains (losses) from other consolidated SIPs are offset in noncontrolling interests in our condensed consolidated statements of income.

Our investments in SIPs include initial cash investments made in the course of launching mutual fund and other investment product offerings, as well as investments for other business reasons. The market conditions that impact our AUM similarly affect the investment income earned or losses incurred on our SIPs investments.

Table of Contents

The consolidated cash, cash equivalents and investments portfolio by investment objective at December 31, 2013 was as follows:

(dollar amounts in millions)	Total Portfolio	Percent of Total Portfolio	Trading Securities Included in Portfolio	Percent of Total Trading Securities	Assets of Consolidated VIEs and SIPs Included in Total Portfolio	Percent of Total
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$6,699.7	58 %	\$—	0 %	\$147.5	6 %
Investment Securities						
Equity						
Global/international	519.2	5 %	—	0 %	426.0	17 %
United States	24.5	0 %	—	0 %	—	0 %
Total equity	543.7	5 %	—	0 %	426.0	17 %
Hybrid	356.9	3 %	—	0 %	284.0	12 %
Fixed-Income						
Tax-free	17.5	0 %	—	0 %	—	0 %
Taxable						
Global/international	984.9	9 %	75.1	6 %	632.9	26 %
United States	2,196.9	19 %	1,160.1	94 %	935.3	39 %
Total fixed-income	3,199.3	28 %	1,235.2	100 %	1,568.2	65 %
Total Investment Securities	4,099.9	36 %	1,235.2	100 %	2,278.2	94 %
Other Investments	658.7	6 %	—	0 %	—	0 %
Total Cash and Cash Equivalents and Investments	\$11,458.3	100 %	\$1,235.2	100 %	\$2,425.7	100 %

Investments of consolidated VIEs and SIPs are generally assigned a classification in the table above based on the investment objective of the consolidated VIEs and SIPs holding the securities. Other investments include \$528.2 million of investments in equity method investees that hold securities that are subject to market valuation risks and primarily have a global/international equity investment objective.

TAXES ON INCOME

As a multi-national corporation, we provide many of our services from locations outside the U.S. Some of these jurisdictions have lower tax rates than the U.S. The mix of pre-tax income subject to these lower rates, when aggregated with income originating in the U.S., produces a lower overall effective income tax rate than existing U.S. federal and state income tax rates.

Our effective income tax rate was 29.8% and 29.5% for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. The rate increase was primarily due to an increase in foreign earnings subject to U.S. taxes and the impact of changes in state tax legislation in the current year, partially offset by higher forecasted non-U.S. earnings in lower tax jurisdictions and lower net losses attributable to noncontrolling interests.

The effective income tax rate for future reporting periods will continue to reflect the relative contributions of non-U.S. earnings that are subject to reduced tax rates and that are not currently included in U.S. taxable income. Changes in tax rates in these jurisdictions may affect our effective income tax rate and net income.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash flows were as follows:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended December 31,	
Cash Flow Data	2013	2012
Operating cash flows	\$324.7	\$286.4
Investing cash flows	115.8	34.5
Financing cash flows	(72.5)	(1,140.4)

Net cash provided by operating activities increased during the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to increases in commissions payable, other liabilities and income taxes payable and higher net income, partially offset by higher net

33

Table of Contents

increases in trading securities of consolidated SIPs and receivables, prepaid expenses and other. Net cash provided by investing activities increased mainly due to lower purchases of investments by consolidated VIEs and a net decrease in loans receivable, partially offset by higher purchases of investments by consolidated SIPs, lower liquidations of investments, and a decrease in cash due to net deconsolidation of SIPs. Net cash used in financing activities decreased primarily due to lower dividends paid on common stock in the current year and payments on debt in the prior year, partially offset by a lower increase in deposits.

The assets and liabilities of our consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs attributable to third-party investors do not impact our liquidity and capital resources. We have no right to these consolidated entities' assets, other than our direct equity investment in them, and/or investment management fees earned from them. The debt holders of these consolidated entities have no recourse to our assets beyond the level of our direct investment, therefore we bear no other risks associated with the entities' liabilities. Accordingly, the assets and liabilities of our consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs, other than our direct investments in them, are excluded from the amounts and discussion below. Our liquid assets and debt consisted of the following:

(in millions)	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$6,552.2	\$6,186.0
Receivables	1,019.2	982.1
Investments	2,360.3	2,280.8
Total Liquid Assets	\$9,931.7	\$9,448.9
Liabilities		
Debt	1,197.8	1,197.7
Liquidity		

Liquid assets consist of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, and certain investments. Cash and cash equivalents primarily consist of cash on hand, deposits with financial institutions, money market funds, securities of U.S. government-sponsored enterprises and the U.S. Treasury, and time deposits. Liquid investments consist of trading and available-for-sale securities, investments in equity method investees consisting of mutual fund SIPs, direct investments in redeemable consolidated SIPs, and time deposits with maturities greater than three months. Cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2013 increased from September 30, 2013 primarily due to net cash provided by operating and investing activities, partially offset by net cash used in financing activities. The percentages of cash and cash equivalents held by our U.S. and non-U.S. operations were 37% and 63% at both December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013.

We utilize a significant portion of our liquid assets to fund operational and regulatory requirements and capital contributions relating to our SIPs. Certain of our subsidiaries are required by our internal policy or regulation to maintain minimum levels of capital which are partially maintained by retaining cash and cash equivalents. As a result, such subsidiaries may be restricted in their ability to transfer cash to their parent companies. Also, as a multi-national corporation, we operate in various locations outside of the U.S. Certain of our non-U.S. subsidiaries are subject to regulatory or contractual repatriation restrictions or requirements. Such restrictions and requirements limit our ability to transfer cash between various international jurisdictions, including repatriation to the U.S. Should we require more capital in the U.S. than is generated domestically, we could elect to reduce the level of discretionary activities, such as share repurchases, or we could elect to repatriate future earnings from non-U.S. jurisdictions or raise capital through debt or equity issuance. Certain of these alternatives could result in higher effective tax rates, increased interest expense or other dilution to our earnings. At December 31, 2013, our U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries held \$2,101.9 million and \$2,249.2 million of liquid assets to satisfy operational and regulatory requirements and capital contributions to our SIPs, as compared to \$1,864.7 million and \$2,178.7 million held at September 30, 2013. Included in these amounts were U.S. and non-U.S. liquid assets that were restricted from transfer to Franklin and other subsidiaries of \$617.5 million and \$338.4 million at December 31, 2013 and \$551.0 million and \$334.6 million at September 30, 2013.

Capital Resources

We believe that we can meet our present and reasonably foreseeable operating cash needs and future commitments through existing liquid assets, continuing cash flows from operations, the ability to issue debt or equity securities and borrowing capacity under current credit facilities.

In prior fiscal years, we issued senior unsecured and unsubordinated notes for general corporate purposes, to redeem outstanding notes and to finance an acquisition. At December 31, 2013, \$1,197.8 million of the notes were outstanding with a total face value of \$1,200.0 million. The notes consist of \$250.0 million issued at a fixed interest rate of 3.125% per annum which

Table of Contents

mature in 2015, \$300.0 million issued at a fixed interest rate of 1.375% per annum which mature in 2017, \$350.0 million issued at a fixed interest rate of 4.625% per annum which mature in 2020 and \$300.0 million issued at a fixed interest rate of 2.800% per annum which mature in 2022.

Interest on the notes is payable semi-annually. The notes contain an optional redemption feature that allows us to redeem each series of notes prior to maturity in whole or in part at any time, at a make-whole redemption price. The indentures governing the notes contain limitations on our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to pledge voting stock or profit participating equity interests in our subsidiaries to secure other debt without similarly securing the notes equally and ratably. The indentures also include requirements that must be met if we consolidate or merge with, or sell all of our assets to, another entity. As of December 31, 2013, we were in compliance with the covenants of the notes.

At December 31, 2013, we had \$500.0 million of short-term commercial paper available for issuance under an uncommitted private placement program which has been inactive since April 2012, \$260.0 million available in uncommitted short-term bank lines of credit under the Federal Reserve system, \$70.0 million available through the secured Federal Reserve Bank short-term discount window, \$15.5 million available in secured Federal Home Loan Bank short-term borrowing capacity and \$14.1 million available in uncommitted short-term bank lines of credit. Our ability to access the capital markets in a timely manner depends on a number of factors, including our credit rating, the condition of the global economy, investors' willingness to purchase our securities, interest rates, credit spreads and the valuation levels of equity markets. If we are unable to access capital markets in a timely manner, our business could be adversely impacted.

Uses of Capital

We expect that our main uses of cash will be to invest in and grow our business, acquire shares of our common stock, invest in our SIPs, fund property and equipment purchases, pay operating expenses of the business, enhance technology infrastructure and business processes, pay stockholder dividends and repay and service debt.

We declare and pay dividends on a quarterly basis. We declared a regular cash dividend of \$0.12 per share during the three months ended December 31, 2013, and a regular cash dividend of \$0.097 per share and a special cash dividend of \$1.00 per share during the three months ended December 31, 2012. We currently expect to continue paying comparable regular cash dividends on a quarterly basis to holders of our common stock depending upon earnings and other relevant factors.

We maintain a stock repurchase program to manage our equity capital with the objective of maximizing shareholder value. Our stock repurchase program is effected through regular open-market purchases and private transactions in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. During the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, we repurchased 2.5 million and 2.2 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$137.1 million and \$98.0 million. In December 2013, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 30.0 million additional shares of our common stock under the stock repurchase program. At December 31, 2013, 38.7 million shares of our common stock remained available for repurchase under our common stock repurchase program, which is not subject to an expiration date.

During the three months ended December 31, 2013, we invested \$82.5 million, net of redemptions, in our SIPs. We redeemed \$11.7 million, net of investments, from our SIPs during the three months ended December 31, 2012.

In October 2012, we redeemed our outstanding 2.000% senior notes due in May 2013 at a make-whole redemption price of \$305.4 million.

In November 2012, we acquired an ownership interest of approximately 69% in K2. The purchase consideration included a \$182.9 million cash investment in K2, which was primarily used to retire \$176.5 million of debt immediately following the acquisition.

The funds that we manage have their own resources available for purposes of providing liquidity to meet shareholder redemptions, including securities that can be sold or provided to investors as in-kind redemptions, and lines of credit. While we have no contractual obligation to do so, we may voluntarily elect to provide the funds with direct or indirect financial support based on our business objectives.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS, COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

At December 31, 2013, there were no material changes outside the ordinary course of business in our contractual obligations, commitments and contingent liabilities that are summarized in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013.

35

Table of Contents

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

In our role as agent or trustee, we facilitate the settlement of investor share purchase, redemption, and other transactions with affiliated mutual funds. We are appointed by the affiliated mutual funds as agent or trustee to manage, on their behalf, bank deposit accounts that contain only (i) cash remitted by investors to the affiliated mutual funds for the direct purchase of fund shares, or (ii) cash remitted by the affiliated mutual funds for direct delivery to the investors for either the proceeds of fund shares liquidated at the investors' direction, or dividends and capital gains earned on fund shares. At December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, we held cash of \$165.3 million and \$236.4 million off-balance sheet in agency or trust for investors and the affiliated mutual funds.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which require the use of estimates, judgments, and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented. These estimates, judgments, and assumptions are affected by our application of accounting policies. Actual results may differ from those estimates under different assumptions. The following are updates to our critical accounting policies disclosed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013.

Consolidation

We consolidate our subsidiaries and SIPs in which we have a controlling financial interest. We have a controlling financial interest when we own a majority of the voting interest in an entity or are the primary beneficiary of a VIE. We also consolidate non-VIE limited partnerships and similar structures that we control.

Our VIEs are all investment entities and our variable interests consist of our equity ownership interest in and/or investment management fees earned from these entities. As of December 31, 2013, we were the primary beneficiary of three CLOs and two other SIP VIEs.

Fair Value Measurements

We record a substantial amount of our investments at fair value or amounts that approximate fair value on a recurring basis. We use a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable.

As of December 31, 2013, Level 3 assets represented 18% of total assets measured at fair value, substantially all of which related to investments of consolidated SIPs in equity and debt securities of entities and funds that are not traded in active markets. Level 3 liabilities, comprised of debt of consolidated VIEs and contingent consideration liabilities, represented 14% of total liabilities measured at fair value at December 31, 2013. There were no transfers into or out of Level 3 during the three months ended December 31, 2013.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Subsequent to our annual impairment tests as of August 1, 2013, there were no impairments to goodwill or indefinite-lived intangible assets as we determined no events occurred or circumstances changed that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of our reporting unit below its carrying value, or indicate that our indefinite-lived intangible assets might be impaired.

We test definite-lived intangible assets for impairment quarterly. As of December 31, 2013, the undiscounted future cash flow projections for \$94.7 million, or 92%, of our definite-lived intangible assets exceeded their carrying values by at least 70%. We estimated the future undiscounted cash flows for these assets using AUM growth rates ranging from (5)% to 21%. As of December 31, 2013, a decline in these assets' related AUM of 43% could cause us to evaluate whether their fair value is below the carrying value. There was no impairment of definite-lived intangible assets during the three months ended December 31, 2013.

Revenues

Investment management fees, other than performance-based fees, and distribution fees are determined based on a percentage of AUM, primarily on a monthly basis using average daily AUM. Performance-based investment management fees are based on performance targets established in the related investment management contracts. AUM is generally based on the fair value of the underlying securities held by SIPs and is calculated using fair value methods

derived primarily from unadjusted quoted market prices, unadjusted independent third-party broker or dealer price quotes in active markets, or market prices or price quotes adjusted for observable price movements after the close of the primary market. The fair values of the underlying securities for which market

Table of Contents

prices are not readily available are internally valued using various methodologies which incorporate unobservable inputs as appropriate for each security type. As of December 31, 2013, our total AUM by fair value hierarchy level was 51% Level 1, 48% Level 2 and 1% Level 3.

NEW ACCOUNTING GUIDANCE

See Note 2 – New Accounting Guidance in the notes to condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of Part I of this Form 10-Q.

RISK FACTORS

Volatility and disruption of the capital and credit markets, and adverse changes in the global economy, may significantly affect our results of operations and may put pressure on our financial results. The capital and credit markets continue to experience volatility and disruption worldwide. Declines in global financial market conditions have in the past resulted in significant decreases in our assets under management (“AUM”), revenues and income, and future declines may negatively impact our financial results. Such declines have had and may in the future have an adverse impact on our results of operations. We may need to modify our business, strategies or operations and we may be subject to additional constraints or costs in order to compete in a changing global economy and business environment.

The amount and mix of our AUM are subject to significant fluctuations. Fluctuations in the amount and mix of our AUM may be attributable in part to market conditions outside of our control that have had, and in the future could have, a negative impact on our revenues and income. We derive our operating revenues and net income from providing investment management and related services. The level of our revenues depends largely on the level and mix of AUM. Our investment management fee revenues are primarily based on a percentage of the value of AUM and vary with the nature of the account or product managed. Any decrease in the value or amount of our AUM because of market volatility or other factors, such as a decline in the price of stocks, in particular market segments or in the securities market generally, negatively impacts our revenues and income. We are subject to an increased risk of asset volatility from changes in the global financial, equity and debt markets. Individual financial, equity and debt markets may be adversely affected by economic, political, financial or other instabilities that are particular to the country or region in which a market is located, including without limitation local acts of terrorism, economic crises, political protests, insurrection or other business, social or political crises. Global economic conditions, exacerbated by war, terrorism, natural disasters or financial crises, changes in the equity or debt marketplaces, unanticipated changes in currency exchange rates, interest rates, inflation rates, the yield curve, defaults by derivative counterparties, bond default risks, the sovereign debt crisis in Europe and other factors that are difficult to predict affect the mix, market values and levels of our AUM. The funds we manage may be subject to an unanticipated large number of redemptions as a result of such events, causing the funds to sell securities they hold, possibly at a loss, or draw on any available lines of credit to obtain cash to settle these redemptions, or settle in-kind with securities held in the applicable fund. We may also, in our discretion, provide financial support to a fund to enable it to maintain sufficient liquidity in such event. Moreover, changing market conditions may cause a shift in our asset mix between international and U.S. assets, potentially resulting in a decline in our revenues and income depending upon the nature of our AUM and the level of management fees we earn based on our AUM. We generally derive higher investment management and distribution fees from our international products than from our U.S. products, and higher sales fees from our U.S. products than from our international products. Additionally, changing market conditions may cause a shift in our asset mix towards fixed-income products and a related decline in our revenues and income, as we generally derive higher fee revenues and income from equity products than from fixed-income products we manage. On the other hand, increases in interest rates, in particular if rapid, or high interest rates, as well as any uncertainty in the future direction of interest rates, may have a negative impact on our fixed-income products as rising interest rates or interest rate uncertainty typically decrease the total return on many bond investments due to lower market valuations of existing bonds. Any decrease in the level of our AUM resulting from market declines, interest rate volatility or uncertainty, increased redemptions or other factors could negatively impact our revenues and income.

We are subject to extensive, complex, overlapping and frequently changing rules, regulations and legal interpretations. There is uncertainty associated with the regulatory environment in which we operate. Our business is subject to extensive and complex, overlapping and/or conflicting, and frequently changing rules, regulations, policies and legal

interpretations in the countries in which we operate, including, among others, securities and other financial instruments, advisory, banking, accounting, tax, compensation, ethics and privacy laws and regulations. The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), as well as other legislative and regulatory changes, impose additional restrictions and limitations on us, resulting in increased scrutiny and oversight of our financial services and products. We continue to analyze the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act as implementing rules are adopted and become effective. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, which imposes a number of new regulations governing over-the-counter swaps and derivative transactions, certain categories of swaps are currently required, and further categories of swaps will be required, to be submitted for clearing by a regulated clearing organization, and the posting of collateral will be required for uncleared swaps.

Table of Contents

These and other requirements are likely to impact how we manage our investment strategies because of, among other things, an increase in the costs and expenses of utilizing swaps and other derivatives. The so-called “Volcker Rule” provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act restrict the ability of affiliates of insured depository institutions, such as Franklin, to sponsor or invest in private funds or to engage in certain types of proprietary trading. On December 10, 2013, the final Volcker Rule was issued by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”), and the three banking agencies, the Federal Reserve Board (“FRB”), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”), and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (“OCC”). The effective date of the final rule is April 1, 2014, but banking institutions will have until July 21, 2015 to conform their activities and investments to the requirements of the Volcker Rule. We are continuing to prepare for the potential impact of the Volcker Rule and the final rules adopted thereunder. In addition to the rulemaking mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act, rules adopted by the CFTC in 2012 removed or limited previously available exemptions and exclusions from registration and regulation as a commodity pool operator and commodity trading advisor on which we had relied, resulting in the imposition of additional registration, disclosure and reporting requirements for operators of certain of our registered mutual funds and other pooled vehicles that use or trade in futures, swaps and other derivatives considered commodity interests and regulated by the CFTC. Additionally, the SEC recently adopted its final rule setting forth the definition of a municipal adviser and requiring such advisers to register with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board. We are analyzing this rule to determine whether registration is required for certain Franklin entities. Further, the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act (“FATCA”), which is intended to address tax compliance issues related to U.S. taxpayers holding non-U.S. accounts, will impose customer due diligence, reporting and withholding requirements on certain non-U.S. financial institutions. Full implementation of FATCA and related intergovernmental agreements with foreign governments, which will impact our implementation of FATCA, will be phased in over a multi-year period, and additional guidance is expected over time. Also, the SEC has developed proposals for stricter regulation of money market funds that could significantly change the structure and operations of those funds. We expect that such regulatory requirements and developments will cause us to incur additional administrative and compliance costs.

Financial reporting requirements, and the processes, controls and procedures that have been put in place to address them, are often comprehensive and complex. We may be adversely affected as a result of new or revised legislation or regulations or by changes in the interpretation of existing laws and regulations. While management has focused attention and resources on our compliance policies, procedures and practices, non-compliance with applicable laws or rules or regulations, conflicts of interest requirements or fiduciary principles, or our inability to keep up with, or adapt to, an ever changing, complex regulatory environment, could result in civil liability, criminal liability and/or sanctions against us, including fines and censures, injunctive relief, suspension or expulsion from a particular jurisdiction or market or the revocation of licenses or charters, any of which could adversely affect our reputation, prospects, revenues and income.

We are subject to U.S. federal securities laws, state laws regarding securities fraud, other federal and state laws and rules and regulations of certain regulatory and self-regulatory organizations, including those rules and regulations promulgated by, among others, the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority and the New York Stock Exchange. We are also subject to the rules and regulations promulgated by the CFTC and the National Futures Association. Because of our non-U.S. operations and/or trading in our sponsored investment products (“SIPs”) that take place outside the U.S., we are also subject to regulation by non-U.S. regulators and U.S. regulators such as the Department of Justice and the SEC with respect to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977. Certain of our subsidiaries are registered with the SEC under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and many of our funds are registered with the SEC under the Investment Company Act, both of which impose numerous obligations, as well as detailed operational requirements, on our subsidiaries that are investment advisers to registered investment companies. Our subsidiaries must comply with a myriad of complex and changing U.S. and/or non-U.S. rules and regulations, some of which may conflict, as well as complex tax regimes. Additionally, as we continue to expand our operations, sometimes rapidly, into additional non-U.S. jurisdictions, the rules and regulations of these non-U.S. jurisdictions become applicable, sometimes with short compliance deadlines, and add further regulatory complexity to our ongoing global compliance operations.

We are also a bank holding company and a financial holding company subject to the supervision and regulation of the FRB and are subject to the restrictions, limitations and prohibitions of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (the “BHC Act”) and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. In addition, significant aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act relate to changes in the regulation of banks, thrifts, holding companies and related institutions, including with respect to regulation and supervision in the banking industry, and the imposition of various restrictions and limitations on certain activities of such entities. The Dodd-Frank Act, together with other regulatory initiatives, includes a number of measures that will increase capital and liquidity requirements, impose limits on leverage, and enhance supervisory authority and regulatory oversight of non-banking entities which may apply to our business. In July 2013, the U.S. federal banking agencies adopted new capital rules to implement the Basel Committee's capital framework, known as “Basel III”, for U.S. bank holding companies and banks. Basel III will impose more stringent capital requirements on Franklin and its banking subsidiaries. The new capital rules will become effective for Franklin, Fiduciary Trust Company International (“Fiduciary Trust”) and Franklin Templeton Bank & Trust, F.S.B. (“FTB&T”) on January 1, 2015 (subject to certain phase-in periods through January 1, 2019). The FRB has indicated that it plans to propose further rules that would impose capital requirements beyond those required by the Basel III rules. The FRB may impose additional limitations or restrictions on our

Table of Contents

activities, including if the FRB believes that we do not have the appropriate financial and managerial resources to commence or conduct an activity or make an acquisition. Further, our banking subsidiaries Fiduciary Trust and FTB&T are subject to extensive regulation, supervision and examination by their respective banking regulators, which include the FDIC for both, the New York State Department of Financial Services for Fiduciary Trust, and the OCC for FTB&T.

The laws and regulations imposed by our banking regulators generally involve restrictions and requirements in connection with a variety of technical, specialized, and expanding matters and concerns. For example, compliance with anti-money laundering and Know-Your-Customer requirements, both domestically and internationally, and the Bank Secrecy Act has taken on heightened importance with regulators as a result of efforts to, among other things, limit terrorism. At the same time, there has been increased regulation with respect to the protection of customer privacy and the need to secure sensitive customer information. As we continue to address these requirements or focus on meeting new or expanded ones, we may expend a substantial amount of time and resources. Any inability to meet these requirements, within the timeframes set by regulators, may subject us to sanctions or other restrictions by the regulators that could adversely impact our broader business objectives. Moreover, being subject to banking regulation may put us at a disadvantage compared to our competitors which are not subject to such requirements.

U.S. regulatory and legislative actions and reforms have made the regulatory environment in which we operate more costly and future actions and reforms could adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations. Since 2001, the federal securities laws have been augmented substantially and made significantly more complex by, among other measures, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the USA Patriot Act of 2001 and the Dodd-Frank Act. Moreover, the adoption of new laws or regulations and changes in the interpretation or enforcement of existing laws or regulations have directly affected, and may continue to affect, our business. With new laws and changes in interpretation of existing requirements, the associated time we must dedicate to, and related costs we must incur in, meeting the regulatory complexities of our business have increased. In particular, certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act still require the adoption of rules to implement the Dodd-Frank Act and mandate multiple studies, which could result in additional legislative or regulatory action. We may be required to invest significant additional management time and resources to address the new regulations being adopted pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act. In addition, the SEC has proposed changes to Rule 12b-1 promulgated under the Investment Company Act which, if adopted, could limit our ability to recover expenses relating to the distribution of our funds. Outlays associated with meeting regulatory complexities have also increased as we expand our business into new jurisdictions. Compliance activities to meet these and other new legal requirements have required us to expend additional time and resources, and, consequently, we are incurring increased costs of doing business, which potentially negatively impacts our profitability and future financial results. Moreover, any potential accounting or reporting error, whether financial or otherwise, if material, could damage our reputation, adversely affect our ability to conduct business, and decrease revenues and income. Finally, any regulatory and legislative actions and reforms affecting the mutual fund industry, including compliance initiatives, may negatively impact revenues by increasing our costs of accessing or operating in the financial markets or by making certain investment offerings less favorable to our clients.

Failure to comply with the laws, rules or regulations in any of the non-U.S. jurisdictions in which we operate could result in substantial harm to our reputation and results of operations. As with all investment management companies, our activities are highly regulated in almost all countries in which we conduct business. The regulatory environments of the non-U.S. jurisdictions where we conduct our business or where the funds and products we manage are organized or sold are complex, uncertain and subject to change. Local regulatory environments may vary widely and place additional demands on our sales, investment, legal and compliance personnel. Failure to comply with the applicable laws, rules, regulations, codes, directives, notices or guidelines in any of our non-U.S. jurisdictions could result in a wide range of penalties and disciplinary actions, including fines, censures and the suspension or expulsion from a particular jurisdiction or market or the revocation of licenses, any of which could adversely affect our reputation and operations. In recent years, the non-U.S. regulatory environments in which we operate have seen significant increased and evolving regulation, which has imposed and may continue to impose additional compliance and operational costs on us in the applicable jurisdictions. Regulators in non-U.S. jurisdictions could also change their policies or laws in a manner that might restrict or otherwise impede our ability to offer our investment products and

services in their respective markets, or we may be unable to keep up with, or adapt to, the ever changing, complex regulatory requirements in such jurisdictions or markets, which could further negatively impact our business. Changes in tax laws or exposure to additional income tax liabilities could have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. We are subject to income taxes as well as non-income based taxes, in both the U.S. and various non-U.S. jurisdictions and are subject to ongoing tax audits in the U.S. and various non-U.S. jurisdictions. Tax authorities may disagree with certain positions we have taken and assess additional taxes. We regularly assess the likely outcomes of these audits in order to determine the appropriateness of our tax provision. However, there can be no assurance that we will accurately predict the outcomes of these audits, and the actual outcomes of these audits could have a material impact on our net income or financial condition. Changes in tax laws or tax rulings could materially impact our effective tax rate. For example, proposals for fundamental U.S. corporate tax reform, if enacted, could change the amount of taxes we are required to pay and have a significant impact on our future results of operations, profitability and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Any significant limitation, failure or security breach of our information and cyber security infrastructure, software applications, technology or other systems that are critical to our operations could constrain our operations. We are highly dependent upon the use of various proprietary and third-party information and security technology, software applications and other technology systems to operate our business. We are also dependent on the effectiveness of our information and cyber security policies, procedures and capabilities to protect our computer and telecommunications systems and the data that reside on or are transmitted through them. We use our technology to, among other things, obtain securities pricing information, process client transactions, and provide reports and other customer services to the clients of the funds we manage. Any inaccuracies, delays, systems failures or security breaches in these and other processes could subject us to client dissatisfaction and losses. Although we take protective measures, including measures to effectively secure information through system security technology, our technology systems may still be vulnerable to unauthorized access, computer viruses or other events that have a security impact, such as an external hacker attack or an authorized employee or vendor inadvertently causing us to release confidential information, which could materially damage our operations or result in the unauthorized disclosure or modification of sensitive or confidential information. Breach of our technology systems could result in the loss of valuable information, breach of client contracts, liability for stolen assets, information or identity, remediation costs to repair damage caused by the breach, additional security costs to mitigate against future incidents, regulatory actions and litigation costs resulting from the incident. Moreover, loss or unauthorized disclosure of confidential customer identification information could harm our reputation and subject us to liability under laws that protect confidential personal data, resulting in increased costs or a decline in our revenues or common stock price.

Further, although we take precautions to password protect and encrypt our laptops and other mobile electronic hardware, if such hardware is stolen, misplaced or left unattended, it may become vulnerable to hacking or other unauthorized use, creating a possible security risk and resulting in potentially costly actions by us. Most of the software applications that we use in our business are licensed from, and supported, upgraded and maintained by, third-party vendors. A suspension or termination of certain of these licenses or the related support, upgrades and maintenance could cause temporary system delays or interruption. In addition, our failure to properly manage and operate our data centers could have an adverse impact on our business. Although we have in place certain disaster recovery plans, we may experience system delays and interruptions as a result of natural disasters, power failures, acts of war, and third-party failures. Technology is subject to rapid change and we cannot guarantee that our competitors may not implement more advanced technology platforms for their products, which could affect our business. Potential system failures or breaches, or advancements in technology, and the cost necessary to address them, could result in material financial loss or costs, regulatory actions, breach of client contracts, reputational harm or legal claims and liability, which in turn could negatively impact our revenues and income.

Our business operations are complex and a failure to properly perform operational tasks or the misrepresentation of our products and services, or the termination of investment management agreements representing a significant portion of our AUM, could have an adverse effect on our revenues and income. Through our subsidiaries, we provide investment management and related services to our SIPs, that consist of investment funds and institutional, high net-worth and separately-managed accounts. In addition to investment management, our services include fund administration, sales, distribution, shareholder services, transfer agency, trustee, custodial and other fiduciary services, as well as select private banking services. In order to be competitive and comply with our agreements, we must properly perform our fund and portfolio administration and related responsibilities, including portfolio recordkeeping and accounting, security pricing, corporate actions, investment restrictions compliance, daily net asset value computations, account reconciliations, and required distributions to fund shareholders. In addition, the intentional or unintentional misrepresentation of our products and services in advertising materials, public relations information, social media or other external communications could adversely affect our reputation and business prospects. Our investment management fees, which represent a majority of our revenues, are dependent on fees earned under investment management agreements that we have with the SIPs we advise. Our revenues could be adversely affected if such agreements representing a significant portion of our AUM are terminated or significantly altered. Further, certain of our subsidiaries may act as general partner for various investment partnerships, which may subject them to liability for the partnerships' liabilities. If we fail to properly perform and monitor our operations, our business could

suffer and our revenues and income could be adversely affected.

We face risks, and corresponding potential costs and expenses, associated with conducting operations and growing our business in numerous countries. We sell mutual funds and offer investment management and related services in many different regulatory jurisdictions around the world, and intend to continue to expand our operations internationally. As we do so, we will continue to face challenges to the adequacy of our resources, procedures and controls to consistently and effectively operate our business. In order to remain competitive, we must be proactive and prepared to implement necessary resources when growth opportunities present themselves, whether as a result of a business acquisition or rapidly increasing business activities in particular markets or regions. Local regulatory environments may vary widely, as may the adequacy and sophistication of each. Similarly, local distributors, and their policies and practices as well as financial viability, may be inconsistent or less developed or mature. Notwithstanding potential long-term cost savings by increasing certain operations, such as transfer agent and other back-office operations, in countries or regions of the world with lower operating costs, growth of our international operations may involve near-term increases in expenses as well as additional capital costs, such as information systems and technology costs and costs

Table of Contents

related to compliance with particular regulatory or other local requirements or needs. Local requirements or needs may also place additional demands on sales and compliance personnel and resources, such as meeting local language requirements, while also integrating personnel into an organization with a single operating language. Finding, hiring and retaining additional, well-qualified personnel and crafting and adopting policies, procedures and controls to address local or regional requirements remain a challenge as we expand our operations internationally. Moreover, regulators in non-U.S. jurisdictions could also change their policies or laws in a manner that might restrict or otherwise impede our ability to distribute or register investment products in their respective markets. Any of these local requirements, activities, or needs could increase the costs and expenses we incur in a specific jurisdiction without any corresponding increase in revenues and income from operating in the jurisdiction. Recently, certain laws and regulations outside the U.S. have included extraterritorial application. This may lead to duplicative or conflicting legal or regulatory burdens and additional costs and risks. In addition, from time to time we enter into international joint ventures or take minority stakes in companies in which we may not have control. These investments may involve risks, including the risk that the controlling stakeholder or joint venture partner may have business interests, strategies or goals that are inconsistent with ours, and the risk that business decisions or other actions or omissions of the controlling stakeholder, joint venture partner or the entity itself may result in liability for us or harm to our reputation or adversely affect the value of our investment in the entity.

We depend on key personnel and our financial performance could be negatively affected by the loss of their services. The success of our business will continue to depend upon our key personnel, including our portfolio and fund managers, investment analysts, investment advisers, sales and management personnel and other professionals as well as our executive officers and business unit heads. Competition for qualified, motivated, and highly skilled executives, professionals and other key personnel in the investment management industry remains significant. Our success depends to a substantial degree upon our ability to find, attract, retain, and motivate qualified individuals, including through competitive compensation packages, and upon the continued contributions of these people. Laws and regulations, including those contained in or relating to the Capital Requirements Directive of the European Union (“EU”), those recently adopted under the EU’s Alternative Investment Fund Manager Directive, those required to be adopted under the Dodd-Frank Act and certain regulations proposed under the EU’s draft Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities V Directive and Markets in Financial Instruments Directive II, could impose restrictions on compensation paid by financial institutions, which could restrict our ability to compete effectively for qualified professionals. As our business grows, we are likely to need to increase correspondingly the overall number of individuals that we employ. Moreover, in order to retain certain key personnel, we may be required to increase compensation to such individuals, resulting in additional expense without a corresponding increase in potential revenues. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in finding, attracting and retaining qualified individuals, and the departure of key investment personnel, in particular, if not replaced, could cause us to lose clients, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and business prospects. Strong competition from numerous and sometimes larger companies with competing offerings and products could limit or reduce sales of our products, potentially resulting in a decline in our market share, revenues and income. We compete with numerous investment management companies, securities brokerage and investment banking firms, insurance companies, banks and other financial institutions. Our investment products also compete with products offered by these competitors as well as real estate investment trusts, hedge funds and others. The periodic establishment of new investment management companies and other competitors increases the competition that we face. At the same time, consolidation in the financial services industry has created stronger competitors with greater financial resources and broader distribution channels than our own. Competition is based on various factors, including, among others, business reputation, investment performance, product mix and offerings, service quality and innovation, distribution relationships, and fees charged. Additionally, competing securities broker/dealers whom we rely upon to distribute and sell our mutual funds may also sell their own proprietary funds and investment products, which could limit the distribution of our investment products. To the extent that existing or potential clients, including securities broker/dealers, decide to invest in or distribute the products of our competitors, the sales of our products as well as our market share, revenues and income could decline. Our ability to attract and retain AUM is also dependent on the relative investment performance of our funds and other managed investment portfolios, offering a mix of SIPs

that meets investor demand and our ability to maintain our investment management fees and pricing structure at competitive levels.

Changes in the third-party distribution and sales channels on which we depend could reduce our income and hinder our growth. We derive nearly all of our fund sales through third-party broker/dealers and other similar investment advisers and financial intermediaries. Increasing competition for these distribution channels and regulatory initiatives have caused our distribution costs to rise and could cause further increases in the future or could otherwise negatively impact the distribution of our products. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the SEC may establish different standards for broker/dealers in their interaction with retail customers, which could have an impact on sales and/or distribution costs. Higher distribution costs lower our income; consolidations in the broker/dealer industry could also adversely impact our income. Moreover, if several of the major financial advisers who distribute our products were to cease operations or limit or otherwise end the distribution of our products, it could have a significant adverse impact on our income. In addition, the U.K. and the Netherlands have adopted regimes which ban the payment of commissions to intermediaries in relation to certain sales to retail customers and similar regimes are under consideration in several other markets.

Table of Contents

Depending on their exact terms, such regimes may result in existing flows of business moving to less profitable channels or even to competitors providing substitutable products outside the regime. There is no assurance we will continue to have access to the third-party broker/dealers and similar investment advisers that currently distribute our products, or continue to have the opportunity to offer all or some of our existing products through them. A failure to maintain strong business relationships with the major investment advisers who currently distribute our products may also impair our distribution and sales operations. Because we use broker/dealers and other similar investment advisers to sell our products, we do not control the ultimate investment recommendations given to clients. Any inability to access and successfully sell our products to clients through third-party distribution channels could have a negative effect on our level of AUM, income and overall business and financial condition.

Our increasing focus on international markets as a source of investments and sales of investment products subjects us to increased exchange rate and other risks in connection with our revenues and income generated overseas. While we maintain a significant portion of our operations in the U.S., we also provide services and earn revenues in The Bahamas, Asia-Pacific, Europe, Canada, Latin America, the Middle East and Africa. As a result, we are subject to foreign exchange risk through our non-U.S. operations. Fluctuations in the exchange rates to the U.S. dollar may affect our financial results from one period to the next. While we have taken steps to reduce our exposure to foreign exchange risk, for example, by denominating a significant amount of our transactions in U.S. dollars, the situation may change in the future as our business continues to grow outside the U.S. Appreciation of the U.S. dollar could moderate revenues from managing investment products internationally or could affect relative investment performance of certain funds invested in non-U.S. securities. In addition, we have risk associated with the foreign exchange revaluation of U.S. dollar balances held by certain non-U.S. subsidiaries for which the local currency is the functional currency. Separately, management fees that we earn tend to be higher in connection with international AUM than with U.S. AUM. Consequently, a downturn in international markets could have a significant effect on our revenues and income. Moreover, as our business continues to grow in non-U.S. markets, any ongoing and future business, economic, social or political unrest affecting these markets, in addition to any direct consequences such as unrest may have on our personnel and facilities located in the affected area, may also have a more lasting impact on the long-term investment climate in these and other areas and, as a result, our AUM and the corresponding revenues and income that we generate from them may be negatively affected.

Harm to our reputation or poor investment performance of our products could reduce the level of our AUM or affect our sales, potentially negatively impacting our revenues and income. Our reputation is critical to the success of our business. We believe that our Franklin Templeton Investments brand has been, and continues to be, well received both in our industry and with our clients, reflecting the fact that our brand, like our business, is based in part on trust and confidence. If our reputation is harmed, existing clients may reduce amounts held in, or withdraw entirely from, funds that we advise or funds may terminate their management agreements with us, which could reduce the amount of AUM and cause us to suffer a corresponding loss in our revenues and income. Our investment performance, along with achieving and maintaining superior distribution and client services, is also critical to the success of our business. Strong investment performance often stimulates sales of our investment products. Poor investment performance as compared to third-party benchmarks or competitive products could lead to a decrease in sales of investment products we manage and stimulate redemptions from existing products, generally lowering the overall level of AUM and reducing the management fees we earn. We cannot assure you that past or present investment performance in the investment products we manage will be indicative of future performance. Any poor investment performance may negatively impact our revenues and income. Reputational harm or poor investment performance may cause us to lose current clients and we may be unable to continue to attract new clients or develop new business. If we fail to address, or appear to fail to address, successfully and promptly the underlying causes of any reputational harm or poor investment performance, we may be unsuccessful in repairing any existing harm to our reputation or performance and our future business prospects would likely be affected.

Our future results are dependent upon maintaining an appropriate level of expenses, which is subject to fluctuation. The level of our expenses is subject to fluctuation and may increase for the following or other reasons: changes in the level and scope of our operating expenses in response to market conditions; variations in the level of total compensation expense due to, among other things, bonuses, changes in our employee count and mix, and competitive

factors; changes in expenses and capital costs, including costs incurred to maintain and enhance our administrative and operating services infrastructure or to cover uninsured losses, and an increase in insurance expenses including through the assumption of higher deductibles and/or co-insurance liability.

Our ability to successfully manage and grow our business can be impeded by systems and other technological limitations. Our continued success in effectively managing and growing our business depends on our ability to integrate the varied accounting, financial, information, and operational systems on a global basis. Moreover, adapting or developing our existing technology systems to meet our internal needs, as well as client needs, industry demands and new regulatory requirements, is also critical for our business. The constant introduction of new technologies presents new challenges to us. We have an ongoing need to continually upgrade and improve our various technology systems, including our data processing, financial, accounting, shareholder servicing and trading systems. Further, we also must be proactive and prepared to implement technology systems when growth opportunities present themselves, whether as a result of a business acquisition or rapidly increasing business activities in particular markets or regions. These needs could present operational issues or require, from time to time, significant capital spending. It also may require

Table of Contents

us to reevaluate the current value and/or expected useful lives of our technology systems, which could negatively impact our results of operations.

Our inability to successfully recover should we experience a disaster or other business continuity problem could cause material financial loss, loss of human capital, regulatory actions, reputational harm, or legal liability. Should we experience a local or regional disaster or other business continuity problem, such as an earthquake, tsunami, terrorist attack, pandemic or other natural or man-made disaster, our continued success will depend, in part, on the safety and availability of our personnel, our office facilities, and the proper functioning of our computer, telecommunication and other systems and operations. While our operational size, the diversity of locations from which we operate, and our redundant back-up systems provide us with a strong advantage should we experience a local or regional disaster or other business continuity event, we could still experience near-term operational challenges, in particular depending upon how a local or regional event may affect our human capital across our operations or with regard to particular aspects of our operations, such as key executive officers or personnel in our technology group. Moreover, as we grow our operations in new geographic regions, the potential for particular types of natural or man-made disasters, political, economic or infrastructure instabilities, or other country- or region-specific business continuity risks increases. Past disaster recovery efforts have demonstrated that even seemingly localized events may require broader disaster recovery efforts throughout our operations and, consequently, we regularly assess and take steps to improve upon our existing business continuity plans and key management succession. However, a disaster on a significant scale or affecting certain of our key operating areas within or across regions, or our inability to successfully recover should we experience a disaster or other business continuity problem, could materially interrupt our business operations and cause material financial loss, loss of human capital, regulatory actions, reputational harm, or legal liability.

Certain of the portfolios we manage, including our emerging market portfolios, are vulnerable to significant market-specific political, economic or other risks, any of which may negatively impact our revenues and income. Our emerging market portfolios and revenues derived from managing these portfolios are subject to significant risks of loss from political, economic, and diplomatic developments, currency fluctuations, social instability, changes in governmental policies, expropriation, nationalization, asset confiscation and changes in legislation related to non-U.S. ownership. International trading markets, particularly in some emerging market countries, are often smaller, less liquid, less regulated and significantly more volatile than those in the U.S.

Regulatory and governmental examinations and/or investigations, litigation and the legal risks associated with our business, could adversely impact our AUM, increase costs and negatively impact our profitability and/or our future financial results. From time to time we may receive requests for documents or other information from governmental authorities or regulatory bodies or we also may become the subject of governmental or regulatory investigations and/or examinations, and governmental or regulatory investigations and/or examinations that have been inactive could become active. In addition, we may be named in litigation. We may be obligated, and under our certificate of incorporation and by-laws and our standard form of indemnification agreement with certain directors in some instances, we are obligated, or we may choose, to indemnify directors, officers or employees against liabilities and expenses they may incur in connection with such matters to the extent permitted under applicable law. Even if claims made against us are without merit, litigation typically is an expensive process. Risks associated with legal liability often are difficult to assess or quantify and their existence and magnitude can remain unknown for significant periods of time. Eventual exposures from and expenses incurred relating to any litigation, investigations, examinations and settlements could adversely impact our AUM, increase costs and negatively impact our profitability and/or our financial results. Judgments, findings or allegations of wrongdoing by regulatory or governmental authorities or in litigation against us or settlements with respect thereto could affect our reputation, increase our costs of doing business and/or negatively impact our revenues, any of which could have a material negative impact on our financial results.

Our ability to meet cash needs depends upon certain factors, including the market value of our assets, operating cash flows and our perceived creditworthiness. Our ability to meet anticipated cash needs depends upon factors such as the market value of our assets, our operating cash flows and our creditworthiness as perceived by lenders. If we are unable to obtain funds and financing, we may be forced to incur unanticipated costs or revise our business plans. Further, our access to the capital markets depends significantly on our credit ratings. A reduction in our long- or short-term credit

ratings could increase our borrowing costs and limit our access to the capital markets. Volatility in the global financing markets may also impact our ability to access the capital markets should we seek to do so, and have an adverse effect on investors' willingness to purchase our securities, interest rates, credit spreads and the valuation levels of equity markets. If we are unable to obtain funds and financing, or access the capital markets in a timely manner, we may be forced to incur unanticipated costs or revise our business plans, and our business could be adversely impacted. Our business could be negatively affected if we or our banking subsidiaries fail to satisfy regulatory and supervisory standards. Franklin and its banking subsidiaries are subject to significant regulation, supervision and examination by their respective banking regulators, which includes minimum regulatory capital standards. Franklin is subject to minimum capital and other regulatory and supervisory standards because it is a bank holding company and financial holding company registered with and supervised by the FRB under the BHC Act, and Franklin's banking subsidiaries are subject to substantially similar minimum

Table of Contents

capital rules and to other regulatory and supervisory standards administered by the FDIC and the OCC. Our business could be negatively affected if Franklin or its banking subsidiaries fail to meet these standards. Loss of financial holding company status could require that we either cease activities that are only permissible for us to engage in as a financial holding company or divest our banking subsidiaries if we desire to continue such activities. The banking regulators are authorized (and sometimes required) to impose a wide range of requirements, conditions, and restrictions on banks, thrifts, and bank holding companies that fail to maintain adequate capital levels. The U.S. federal banking agencies' new capital rules implementing the Basel III capital framework in the U.S. will impose more stringent capital requirements on Franklin and its banking subsidiaries. In addition, liquidity needs could affect our banking services, which may be subject to an unanticipated large number of withdrawals as a result of a number of factors, such as changed or unstable economic conditions, adverse trends or events, interest rates paid by competitors, general interest rate levels, and returns available to clients on alternative investments. Our banking subsidiaries may be required from time to time to rely on secondary sources of liquidity, such as the sale of investment securities and federal funds lines to enable them to meet such withdrawal demands. These secondary sources may not be sufficient to meet liquidity needs.

We are dependent on the earnings of our subsidiaries. Substantially all of our operations are conducted through our subsidiaries. As a result, our cash flow and our ability to fund operations are dependent upon the earnings of our subsidiaries and the distribution of earnings, loans or other payments by our subsidiaries. Our subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities and have no obligation to provide us with funds for our payment obligations, whether by dividends, distributions, loans or other payments. Any payments to us by our subsidiaries could be subject to statutory or contractual restrictions and are contingent upon our subsidiaries' earnings and business considerations. Certain of our subsidiaries are subject to regulatory restrictions which may limit their ability to transfer funds to their parent companies and/or our ability to repatriate funds to the U.S. Our financial condition could be adversely affected if certain of our subsidiaries are unable to distribute funds to us.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

In the normal course of business, our financial position is subject to market risk, including, but not limited to, potential loss due to changes in the value of financial instruments including those resulting from adverse changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and market valuation. Financial instruments include, but are not limited to, investment securities, loans, deposits and debt obligations. Management is responsible for managing market risk. Our Enterprise Risk Management Committee is responsible for providing a framework to assist management to identify, assess, and manage market and other risks.

Our market risk from assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs is limited to that of our direct investments in, and/or investment management fees earned from, these entities. Accordingly, the assets and liabilities of our consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs are excluded from the discussion below.

AUM Market Price Risk

We are exposed to market risk through our investment management and distribution fees, which are generally calculated as a percentage of the market value of AUM. Changes in equity market prices, interest rates, credit spreads, foreign exchange rates, or a combination of these factors could cause the value of AUM to decline, which would result in lower investment management and distribution fees. Our exposure to these risks is minimized as we sponsor a broad range of investment products in various global jurisdictions, which serves to mitigate the impact of changes in any particular market(s) or region(s).

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to changes in interest rates, primarily through our investments in debt securities, loans receivable, deposits and debt obligations. At December 31, 2013, we had exposure from \$1,631.1 million of investments in debt securities and SIPs that invest in debt securities, \$189.2 million of loans held for sale, \$9.6 million of net loans receivable and \$477.4 million of interest-bearing deposits. We minimize the impact of changes in interest rates related to investments in debt securities by managing the maturities of these securities, and through diversification, ensuring an appropriate mix of fixed rate and floating rate investments. Our exposure to the risks from investments in SIPs is also minimized by our broad range of products in various global jurisdictions, mitigating the impact of changes in any particular market(s) or region(s). We minimize the impact of changes in interest rates related to our debt obligations

by entering into financing transactions that ensure an appropriate mix of debt at fixed and variable interest rates. At December 31, 2013, all of our outstanding debt was issued at fixed rates. In addition, we monitor the interest rates and average maturities of our loan and deposit portfolios.

As of December 31, 2013, we have considered the potential impact of a 100 basis point movement in market interest rates on our interest-earning cash and cash equivalents, portfolio of debt securities, loans receivable, deposits and debt obligations. Based on our analysis, we do not expect that such a change would have a material impact on our operating revenues or results of operations in the next twelve months, for any of these categories or in the aggregate.

Table of Contents

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

We are subject to foreign currency exchange risk through our international operations. While the majority of our revenues are earned in the U.S., we also provide services and earn revenues in The Bahamas, Asia-Pacific, Europe, Canada, Latin America, the Middle East and Africa. Our exposure to foreign currency exchange risk is minimized in relation to our results of operations since a significant portion of these revenues is denominated in U.S. dollars. This situation may change in the future as our business continues to grow outside the U.S. and expenses incurred denominated in foreign currencies increase. The exposure to foreign currency exchange risk in our condensed consolidated balance sheet mostly relates to cash and cash equivalents and investments that are denominated in foreign currencies, primarily in Euro, Indian Rupee, Pound Sterling and Canadian Dollar. These assets accounted for 8% of the total cash and cash equivalents and investments at December 31, 2013. We also have exposure to foreign exchange revaluation of U.S. dollar balances held by certain non-U.S. subsidiaries for which their local currency is the functional currency. These assets accounted for 9% of the total cash and cash equivalents and investments at December 31, 2013. We generally do not use derivative financial instruments to manage foreign currency exchange risk exposure. As a result, both positive and negative currency fluctuations against the U.S. dollar may affect our results of operations and accumulated other comprehensive income.

Market Valuation Risk

We are exposed to market valuation risks related to securities we hold that are carried at fair value. To mitigate the risks we maintain a diversified investment portfolio and, from time to time, we may enter into derivative agreements. The following is a summary of the effect of a 10% increase or decrease in the carrying values of our financial instruments subject to market valuation risks at December 31, 2013. If such a 10% increase or decrease in carrying values were to occur, the changes from trading investment securities and direct investments in consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs would result in a \$180.5 million increase or decrease in our pre-tax earnings. The changes from available-for-sale investment securities would not result in a change to other-than-temporary impairment charges that would be material to our pre-tax earnings.

(in millions)	Carrying Value	Carrying Value Assuming a 10% Increase	Carrying Value Assuming a 10% Decrease
Investment securities, trading	\$1,235.2	\$ 1,358.7	\$ 1,111.7
Investment securities, available-for-sale	586.5	645.2	527.9
Direct investments in consolidated VIEs and consolidated SIPs	570.1	627.1	513.1
Total	\$2,391.8	\$ 2,631.0	\$ 2,152.7

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

The Company's management evaluated, with the participation of the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers, the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")) as of December 31, 2013. Based on their evaluation, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2013 were designed and are functioning effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports filed under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to management, including the principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the Company's fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2013, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

For a description of our legal proceedings, please see the description set forth in the “Legal Proceedings” section in Note 9 – Commitments and Contingencies in the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of Part I of this Form 10-Q, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 filed with the SEC includes a detailed discussion of the Risk Factors applicable to us, which are also set forth under the heading “Risk Factors” in Item 2 of Part I of this Form 10-Q. There are no material changes from the Risk Factors as previously disclosed in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

The following table provides information with respect to the shares of our common stock that we repurchased during the three months ended December 31, 2013.

Month	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 2013	558,500	\$52.58	558,500	10,701,769
November 2013	1,008,649	\$53.68	1,008,649	9,693,120
December 2013	975,505	\$54.96	975,505	38,717,615
Total	2,542,654		2,542,654	

Under our stock repurchase program, we can repurchase shares of our common stock from time to time in the open market and in private transactions in accordance with applicable laws and regulations, including without limitation applicable federal securities laws. In order to pay taxes due in connection with the vesting of employee and executive officer stock and stock unit awards, we may repurchase shares under our program using a net stock issuance method. In December 2013, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 30.0 million additional shares of our common stock under the stock repurchase program. At December 31, 2013, 38.7 million shares remained available for repurchase under the program, which is not subject to an expiration date. There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during the period covered by this report.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit No.	Description
3(i)(a)	Registrant's Certificate of Incorporation, as filed November 28, 1969, incorporated by reference to Exhibit (3)(i) to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1994 (File No. 001-09318) (the "1994 Annual Report")
3(i)(b)	Registrant's Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, as filed March 1, 1985, incorporated by reference to Exhibit (3)(ii) to the 1994 Annual Report
3(i)(c)	Registrant's Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, as filed April 1, 1987, incorporated by reference to Exhibit (3)(iii) to the 1994 Annual Report
3(i)(d)	Registrant's Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, as filed February 2, 1994, incorporated by reference to Exhibit (3)(iv) to the 1994 Annual Report
3(i)(e)	Registrant's Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, as filed on February 4, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit (3)(i)(e) to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended December 31, 2004 (File No. 001-09318)
3(ii)	Registrant's Amended and Restated Bylaws (as adopted and effective December 10, 2013), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 11, 2013 (File No. 001-09318)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith)
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith)
101	The following materials from the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2013, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL), include: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) related notes (filed herewith)

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FRANKLIN RESOURCES, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: January 30, 2014

By: /S/ KENNETH A. LEWIS
Kenneth A. Lewis
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President
(Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
3(i)(a)	Registrant’s Certificate of Incorporation, as filed November 28, 1969, incorporated by reference to Exhibit (3)(i) to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1994 (File No. 001-09318) (the “1994 Annual Report”)
3(i)(b)	Registrant’s Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, as filed March 1, 1985, incorporated by reference to Exhibit (3)(ii) to the 1994 Annual Report
3(i)(c)	Registrant’s Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, as filed April 1, 1987, incorporated by reference to Exhibit (3)(iii) to the 1994 Annual Report
3(i)(d)	Registrant’s Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, as filed February 2, 1994, incorporated by reference to Exhibit (3)(iv) to the 1994 Annual Report
3(i)(e)	Registrant’s Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, as filed on February 4, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit (3)(i)(e) to the Registrant’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended December 31, 2004 (File No. 001-09318)
3(ii)	Registrant’s Amended and Restated Bylaws (as adopted and effective December 10, 2013), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant’s Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 11, 2013 (File No. 001-09318)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith)
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith)
101	The following materials from the Registrant’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2013, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL), include: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow