

BANNER CORP  
Form 10-Q  
May 07, 2010

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

---

(Mark  
One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED March 31, 2010.

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 0-26584

BANNER CORPORATION  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

---

Washington 91-1691604  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

10 South First Avenue, Walla Walla, Washington 99362  
(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (509) 527-3636

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer’s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

|   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| Title of class:                         | As of April 30, 2010 |
| Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share | 23,539,984 shares*   |

\* Includes 240,381 shares held by the Employee Stock Ownership Plan that have not been released, committed to be released, or allocated to participant accounts.

BANNER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Table of Contents

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 - Financial Statements. The Consolidated Financial Statements of Banner Corporation and Subsidiaries filed as a part of the report are as follows:

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 3

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 4

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 5

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 6

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 9

Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements 11

Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements 32

Executive Overview 32

Comparison of Financial Condition at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 36

Comparison of Results of Operations for the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 37

Asset Quality 41

Liquidity and Capital Resources 45

Capital Requirements 46

Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market Risk and Asset/Liability Management 47

Sensitivity Analysis 47

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Item 4 - Controls and Procedures                                     | 51 |
| PART II - OTHER INFORMATION  |    |
| Item 1 - Legal Proceedings   | 52 |
| Item 1A - Risk Factors   | 52 |
| Item 2 - Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 53 |
| Item 3 - Defaults upon Senior Securities                             | 53 |
| Item 4 - [Removed and Reserved]                                      |    |
| Item 5 - Other Information   | 53 |
| Item 6 - Exhibits  | 54 |
| SIGNATURES   | 56 |

BANNER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION  
(Unaudited) (In thousands, except shares)  
March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009

|   | March 31<br>2010 | December<br>31<br>2009 |
|---|------------------|------------------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>   |                  |                        |
| Cash and due from banks   | \$ 277,752       | \$ 323,005             |
| Securities—trading, cost \$162,997 and \$192,853, respectively                                      | 138,659          | 147,151                |
| Securities—available-for-sale, cost \$95,376 and \$95,174, respectively                             | 96,718           | 95,667                 |
| Securities—held-to-maturity, fair value \$76,390 and \$76,489, respectively                         | 73,555           | 74,834                 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock   | 37,371           | 37,371                 |
| Loans receivable:   |                  |                        |
| Held for sale, fair value \$4,472 and \$4,534, respectively   | 4,398            | 4,497                  |
| Held for portfolio  | 3,684,459        | 3,785,624              |
| Allowance for loan losses   | (95,733)         | (95,269)               |
|   | 3,593,124        | 3,694,852              |
| Accrued interest receivable   | 18,501           | 18,998                 |
| Real estate owned, held for sale, net   | 95,074           | 77,743                 |
| Property and equipment, net   | 101,541          | 103,542                |
| Other intangibles, net  | 10,426           | 11,070                 |
| Deferred income tax asset, net  | 14,470           | 14,811                 |
| Income taxes receivable, net  | 18,844           | 17,436                 |
| Bank-owned life insurance (BOLI)  | 55,125           | 54,596                 |
| Other assets  | 50,551           | 51,145                 |
|   | \$ 4,581,711     | \$ 4,722,221           |
| <b>LIABILITIES</b>  |                  |                        |
| Deposits:   |                  |                        |
| Non-interest-bearing  | \$ 549,291       | \$ 582,480             |
| Interest-bearing transaction and savings accounts   | 1,404,301        | 1,341,145              |
| Interest-bearing certificates   | 1,896,186        | 1,941,925              |
|   | 3,849,778        | 3,865,550              |
| Advances from FHLB at fair value  | 62,108           | 189,779                |
| Other borrowings  | 177,244          | 176,842                |
| Junior subordinated debentures at fair value (issued in connection with Trust Preferred Securities) | 48,147           | 47,694                 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities  | 24,049           | 24,020                 |
| Deferred compensation   | 13,661           | 13,208                 |
|   | 4,174,987        | 4,317,093              |
| <b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 16)</b>  |                  |                        |
| <b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>   |                  |                        |

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

|  |              |              |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Preferred stock - \$0.01 par value, 500,000 shares authorized; Series A – liquidation preference                       |              |              |
| \$1,000 per share, 124,000 shares issued and outstanding   | 117,805      | 117,407      |
| Common stock and paid in capital - \$0.01 par value per share, 75,000,000 shares authorized, 21,101,149 shares issued: |              |              |
| 22,860,768 shares and 21,299,209 shares outstanding at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively              | 335,877      | 331,538      |
| Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)  | (45,775)     | (42,077)     |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income:  |              |              |
| Unrealized gain on securities available for sale and/or transferred to held to maturity                                | 804          | 249          |
| Unearned shares of common stock issued to Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) trust at cost:                          |              |              |
| 240,381 restricted shares outstanding at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009  | (1,987)      | (1,987)      |
| Carrying value of shares held in trust for stock related compensation plans  | (8,888)      | (9,045)      |
| Liability for common stock issued to deferred, stock related, compensation plans                                       | 8,888        | 9,043        |
|  | --           | (2)          |
|  | 406,724      | 405,128      |
|  | \$ 4,581,711 | \$ 4,722,221 |

See selected notes to consolidated financial statements

BANNER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(Unaudited) (In thousands except for per share amounts)  
For the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

|  | Quarters Ended |           |
|--|----------------|-----------|
|  | March 31       |           |
|  | 2010           | 2009      |
| <b>INTEREST INCOME:</b>  |                |           |
| Loans receivable   | \$ 52,759      | \$ 56,347 |
| Mortgage-backed securities   | 1,126          | 1,801     |
| Other securities and cash equivalents                                  | 2,085          | 2,183     |
|  | 55,970         | 60,331    |
| <b>INTEREST EXPENSE:</b>   |                |           |
| Deposits   | 15,798         | 23,092    |
| FHLB advances  | 361            | 720       |
| Other borrowings   | 634            | 227       |
| Junior subordinated debentures   | 1,027          | 1,333     |
|  | 17,820         | 25,372    |
| Net interest income before provision for loan losses                   | 38,150         | 34,959    |
| <b>PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES</b>                                       | 14,000         | 22,000    |
| Net interest income  | 24,150         | 12,959    |
| <b>OTHER OPERATING INCOME:</b>   |                |           |
| Deposit fees and other service charges                                 | 5,169          | 4,936     |
| Mortgage banking operations  | 948            | 2,715     |
| Loan servicing fees (expense)  | 313            | (270)     |
| Miscellaneous  | 617            | 520       |
|  | 7,047          | 7,901     |
| Other-than-temporary impairment losses                                 | (1,231)        | --        |
| Net change in valuation of financial instruments carried at fair value | 1,908          | (3,253)   |
| Total other operating income   | 7,724          | 4,648     |
| <b>OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES:</b>                                       |                |           |
| Salary and employee benefits   | 16,559         | 17,601    |
| Less capitalized loan origination costs                                | (1,605)        | (2,116)   |
| Occupancy and equipment  | 5,604          | 6,054     |
| Information/computer data services                                     | 1,506          | 1,534     |
| Payment and card processing expenses                                   | 1,424          | 1,453     |
| Professional services  | 1,287          | 1,194     |
| Advertising and marketing  | 1,950          | 1,832     |
| Deposit insurance  | 2,132          | 1,497     |
| State/municipal business and use taxes                                 | 480            | 540       |
| REO operations   | 3,058          | 623       |
| Amortization of core deposit intangibles                               | 644            | 690       |
| Miscellaneous  | 2,376          | 2,891     |

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

|  |            |             |
|--|------------|-------------|
| Total other operating expenses                                 | 35,415     | 33,793      |
| Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes | (3,541)    | (16,186)    |
| PROVISION FOR (BENEFIT FROM) INCOME TAXES                      | (2,024)    | (6,923)     |
| NET INCOME (LOSS)  | (1,517)    | (9,263)     |
| PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDEND AND DISCOUNT ACCRETION                |            |             |
| Preferred stock dividend                                       | 1,550      | 1,550       |
| Preferred stock discount accretion                             | 398        | 373         |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS             | \$ (3,465) | \$ (11,186) |
| Earnings (loss) per common share:                              |            |             |
| Basic  | \$ (0.16)  | \$ (0.65)   |
| Diluted  | \$ (0.16)  | \$ (0.65)   |
| Cumulative dividends declared per common share:                | \$ 0.01    | \$ 0.01     |

See selected notes to consolidated financial statements



BANNER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)  
(Unaudited) (In thousands)  
For the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

|   | Quarters Ended<br>March 31 |             |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|
|   | 2010                       | 2009        |
| NET INCOME (LOSS)   | \$ (1,517 )                | \$ (9,263 ) |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS), NET<br>OF INCOME TAXES:  |                            |             |
| Unrealized holding gain (loss) during the period, net of<br>deferred<br>income tax (benefit) of \$306 and \$150, respectively | 543                        | 264         |
| Amortization of unrealized loss on tax exempt<br>securities transferred from available-for-sale to<br>held-to-maturity        | 12                         | 14          |
| Other comprehensive income (loss)   | 555                        | 278         |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)   | \$ (962 )                  | \$ (8,985 ) |

See selected notes to consolidated financial statements

BANNER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
(Unaudited) (In thousands)  
For the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

|   | Preferred<br>Stock | Common<br>Stock and<br>Paid in<br>Capital | Retained<br>Earnings<br>(Accumulated<br>Deficit) | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Income | Unearned<br>Restricted<br>ESOP<br>Shares | Carrying<br>Value, Net<br>of Liability,<br>Of Shares<br>Held in<br>Trust for<br>Stock-Related<br>Compensation<br>Plans | Stockholders'<br>Equity |
|---|--------------------|---|--|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2010  | \$ 117,407         | \$ 331,538                                | \$ (42,077)                                      | \$ 249  | \$ (1,987)                               | (2)  | \$ 405,128              |
| Net income (loss)   |                    |   | (1,517 )   |   |  |  | (1,517 )                |
| Change in valuation of securities—available-for-sale, net of income tax   |                    |   |  | 543   |  |  | 543                     |
| Amortization of unrealized loss on tax exempt securities transferred from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity, net of income taxes |                    |   |  | 12  |  |  | 12                      |
| Accretion of preferred stock discount   | 398                |   | (398)  |   |  |  | --                      |
| Accrual of dividends on preferred stock   |                    |   | (1,550)  |   |  |  | (1,550)                 |
| Accrual of dividends on common stock (\$0.01/share cumulative)  |                    |   | (233)  |   |  |  | (233)                   |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock for stockholder reinvestment program, net of registration expenses                             |                    | 4,322                                     |  |   |  |  | 4,322                   |
| Amortization of compensation related to MRP   |                    |   |  |   |  | 2  | 2                       |
| Amortization of compensation related to   |                    | 17  |  |   |  |  | 17                      |

stock options

|                         |            |            |             |        |            |    |            |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|--------|------------|----|------------|
| BALANCE, March 31, 2010 | \$ 117,805 | \$ 335,877 | \$ (45,775) | \$ 804 | \$ (1,987) | -- | \$ 406,724 |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|--------|------------|----|------------|

See selected notes to consolidated financial statements

6

---

BANNER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)  
(Unaudited) (In thousands)  
For the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

|   | Preferred<br>Stock | Common<br>Stock and<br>Paid in<br>Capital | Retained<br>Earnings | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Income | Unearned<br>Restricted<br>ESOP<br>Shares | Carrying<br>Value, Net<br>of Liability,<br>Of Shares<br>Held in<br>Trust for<br>Stock-Related<br>Compensation<br>Plans | Stockholders'<br>Equity |
|---|--------------------|---|----------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2009  | \$ 115,915         | \$ 316,740                                | \$ 2,150             | \$ 572  | \$ (1,987)                               | \$ (42)  | \$ 433,348              |
| Net income (loss)   |                    |   | (9,263)              |   |  |  | (9,263)                 |
| Change in valuation of securities—available-for-sale, net of income tax   |                    |   |                      | 264   |  |  | 264                     |
| Amortization of unrealized loss on tax exempt securities transferred from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity, net of income taxes |                    |   |                      | 14  |  |  | 14                      |
| Additional registration costs for issuance of preferred stock   |                    | (42)                                      |                      |   |  |  | (42)                    |
| Accretion of preferred stock discount   | 373                |   | (373)                |   |  |  | --                      |
| Accrual of dividends on preferred stock   |                    |   | (1,550)              |   |  |  | (1,550)                 |
| Accrual of dividends on common stock (\$.01/share cumulative)   |                    |   | (174)                |   |  |  | (174)                   |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock for stockholder reinvestment program, net of registration expenses                             |                    | 1,897                                     |                      |   |  |  | 1,897                   |

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

|   |            |            |            |        |            |         |         |
|---|------------|------------|------------|--------|------------|---------|---------|
| Amortization of compensation related to MRP           |            |            |            |        |            | 16      | 16      |
| Amortization of compensation related to stock options |            |            |            |        |            | 33      | 33      |
| BALANCE, March 31, 2009                               | \$ 116,288 | \$ 318,628 | \$ (9,210) | \$ 850 | \$ (1,987) | \$ (26) | 424,543 |

See selected notes to consolidated financial statements

BANNER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (continued)  
(Unaudited) (In thousands)  
For the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

|  | Quarters Ended<br>March 31 |               |
|--|----------------------------|---------------|
|  | 2010                       | 2009          |
| <b>COMMON STOCK—SHARES ISSUED AND OUTSTANDING:</b>                               |                            |               |
| Common stock, shares issued, beginning of period                                 | 21,539                     | 17,152        |
| Purchase and retirement of common stock  | --                         | --            |
| Issuance of common stock for exercised stock options and/or employee stock plans | --                         | --            |
| Issuance of common stock for stockholder reinvestment program                    | 1,562                      | 493           |
| Net number of shares issued during the period                                    | 1,562                      | 493           |
| <b>COMMON SHARES ISSUED AND OUTSTANDING, END OF PERIOD</b>                       | <b>23,101</b>              | <b>17,645</b> |
| <b>UNEARNED, RESTRICTED ESOP SHARES:</b>   |                            |               |
| Number of shares, beginning of period  | (240)                      | (240)         |
| Issuance/adjustment of earned shares   | --                         | --            |
| Number of shares, end of period  | (240)                      | (240)         |
| <b>NET COMMON STOCK—SHARES OUTSTANDING</b>                                       | <b>22,861</b>              | <b>17,405</b> |

See selected notes to consolidated financial statements

BANNER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(Unaudited) (In thousands)  
For the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

|  | Quarters Ended<br>March 31 |            |
|--|----------------------------|------------|
|  | 2010                       | 2009       |
| <b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>   |                            |            |
| Net income (loss)  | \$ (1,517)                 | \$ (9,263) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: |                            |            |
| Depreciation   | 2,319                      | 2,538      |
| Deferred income and expense, net of amortization   | 609                        | (937)      |
| Amortization of core deposit intangibles   | 644                        | 690        |
| Other-than-temporary impairment losses   | 1,231                      | 1,078      |
| Net change in valuation of financial instruments carried at fair value                   | (1,908)                    | 2,175      |
| Purchases of securities—trading  | --                         | (23,785)   |
| Principal repayments and maturities of securities—trading                                | 9,394                      | 53,965     |
| Deferred taxes   | 35                         | (1,171)    |
| Equity-based compensation  | 19                         | 49         |
| Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance                            | (529)                      | (483)      |
| Gain on sale of loans, excluding capitalized servicing rights                            | (692)                      | (1,205)    |
| Loss (gain) on disposal of real estate held for sale and property and equipment          | 708                        | (70)       |
| Provision for losses on loans and real estate held for sale                              | 15,067                     | 22,050     |
| Origination of loans held for sale   | (67,132)                   | (152,985)  |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale   | 67,231                     | 149,327    |
| Net change in:   |                            |            |
| Other assets   | (326)                      | (5,216)    |
| Other liabilities  | 408                        | (2,051)    |
| Net cash provided from operating activities  | 25,561                     | 34,706     |
| <b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>   |                            |            |
| Purchases of securities available for sale   | (5,022)                    | (18,672)   |
| Principal repayments and maturities of securities available for sale                     | 2,778                      | 5,389      |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale                                     | 1,965                      | --         |
| Purchases of securities held to maturity   | --                         | (7,649)    |
| Principal repayments and maturities of securities held to maturity                       | 1,269                      | 25         |
| Principal repayments of loans, net of originations                                       | 59,807                     | 14,401     |
| Purchases of loans and participating interest in loans                                   | (12)                       | --         |
| Purchases of property and equipment, net   | (318)                      | (2,735)    |

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

|   |                   |                  |
|---|-------------------|------------------|
| Proceeds from sale of real estate held for sale, net            | 9,078             | 2,056            |
| Other   | (40)              | (139)            |
| Net cash provided from (used by) investing activities           | 69,505            | (7,324)          |
| <b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                    |                   |                  |
| Decrease in deposits  | (15,772)          | (151,346)        |
| Proceeds from FHLB advances                                     | --                | 91,200           |
| Repayment of FHLB advances                                      | (127,502)         | (30,002)         |
| Increase in other borrowings, net                               | 400               | 35,964           |
| Cash dividends paid   | (1,767)           | (2,293)          |
| Cash proceeds from issuance of stock, net of registration costs | 4,322             | 1,855            |
| Net cash used by financing activities                           | (140,319)         | (54,622)         |
| <b>NET DECREASE IN CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS</b>                  | <b>(45,253)</b>   | <b>(27,240)</b>  |
| <b>CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD</b>             | <b>323,005</b>    | <b>102,750</b>   |
| <b>CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS, END OF PERIOD</b>                   | <b>\$ 277,752</b> | <b>\$ 75,510</b> |

(Continued on next page)



BANNER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (continued)  
(Unaudited) (In thousands)  
For the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

|   | Quarters Ended<br>March 31 |           |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|
|   | 2010                       | 2009      |
| <b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH<br/>FLOW INFORMATION:</b>   |                            |           |
| Interest paid in cash   | \$ 18,868                  | \$ 25,600 |
| Taxes paid (received) in cash   | (561)                      | 173       |
| <b>NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING<br/>TRANSACTIONS:</b>   |                            |           |
| Loans, net of discounts, specific loss allowances and<br>unearned income,<br>transferred to real estate owned and other repossessed<br>assets | 28,218                     | 19,262    |
| Net decrease in accrued dividends payable   | (16)                       | (569)     |
| Change in other assets/liabilities  | 213                        | 179       |

See selected notes to consolidated financial statements

BANNER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
SELECTED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1: BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Banner Corporation (Banner or the Company) is a bank holding company incorporated in the State of Washington. We are primarily engaged in the business of planning, directing and coordinating the business activities of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Banner Bank and Islanders Bank. Banner Bank is a Washington-chartered commercial bank that conducts business from its main office in Walla Walla, Washington and, as of March 31, 2010, its 86 branch offices and seven loan production offices located in Washington, Oregon and Idaho. Islanders Bank is also a Washington-chartered commercial bank that conducts business from three locations in San Juan County, Washington. Banner Corporation is subject to regulation by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Banner Bank and Islanders Bank (the Banks) are subject to regulation by the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Banks and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

In the opinion of management, the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition and related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows reflect all adjustments (which include reclassifications and normal recurring adjustments) that are necessary for a fair presentation in conformity with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the statement of financial condition in the accompanying notes. Various elements of our accounting policies, by their nature, are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. In particular, management has identified several accounting policies that, due to the judgments, estimates and assumptions inherent in those policies, are critical to an understanding of the financial statements. These policies relate to (i) the methodology for the recognition of interest income, (ii) determination of the provision and allowance for loan and lease losses, (iii) the valuation of financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value, including other-than-temporary impairment losses (OTTI), (iv) the valuation of intangibles, such as goodwill, core deposit intangibles and mortgage servicing rights, (v) the valuation of real estate held for sale and (vi) deferred tax assets and liabilities. These policies and the judgments, estimates and assumptions are described in greater detail in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (Critical Accounting Policies) in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Management believes that the judgments, estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements are appropriate based on the factual circumstances at the time. However, because of the sensitivity of the financial statements to these critical accounting policies, the use of other judgments, estimates and assumptions could result in material differences in our results of operations or financial condition. Further, subsequent changes in economic or market conditions could have a material impact on these estimates and the Company's financial condition and operating results in future periods.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) became effective on July 1, 2009. At that date, the ASC became the source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities, superseding existing FASB, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) and related literature. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under the authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. All other accounting literature is considered non-authoritative. The implementation of the ASC affects the way companies refer to GAAP standards in financial statements and accounting policies, but it has not had a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Certain information and disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC. Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2009 Consolidated Financial Statements and/or schedules to conform to the 2010 presentation. These reclassifications may have affected certain ratios for the prior periods. The effect of these reclassifications is considered immaterial. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

The information included in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed with the SEC. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

#### Note 2: RECENT DEVELOPMENTS AND SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

**Regulatory Actions:** On March 23, 2010, Banner Bank entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the FDIC and Washington DFI. The Company also entered into a similar MOU with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco on March 29, 2010. Under its MOU, Banner Bank is required, among other things, to develop and implement plans to reduce commercial real estate concentrations; to improve asset quality and reduce classified assets; to improve profitability; and to increase Tier 1 leverage capital to equal or exceed 10% of average assets. In addition, Banner Bank will not be able to pay cash dividends to Banner Corporation without prior approval from the FDIC and Washington DFI and the Company and Banner Bank must obtain prior regulatory approval before adding any new director or senior executive officer or changing the responsibilities of any current senior executive officer. Further, the Company may not pay any dividends on common or preferred stock, pay interest or principal on the balance of its junior subordinated debentures or repurchase our common stock without the prior written non-objection of the Federal Reserve Bank. See Item 1A, Risk Factors—"We are required to comply with the terms of memoranda of understanding issued by the FDIC and DFI and the Federal Reserve and lack of compliance could result in additional regulatory actions."

**FDIC Prepayment:** On November 12, 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule that required insured depository institutions to prepay an estimate of their expected quarterly deposit insurance premiums for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2011 and 2012. Insured institutions were required to deposit funds with the FDIC in the amount of the prepaid assessment on December 30, 2009. The

insured institutions will not receive interest on the deposited funds. For purposes of calculating an institution's prepaid assessment amount, for the fourth quarter of 2009 and all of 2010, that institution's assessment rate was its total base assessment rate in effect on September 30, 2009. That rate was then increased by three basis points for all of 2011 and 2012. Again, for purposes of calculating the prepaid amount, an institution's third quarter 2009 assessment base was assumed to increase quarterly by an estimated five percent annual growth rate through the end of 2012. Each institution was directed to record the entire amount of its prepaid assessment as a prepaid expense (asset) as of December 30, 2009. Thereafter, each institution will record an expense (charge to earnings) for its regular quarterly assessment for the quarter and an offsetting credit to the prepaid assessment until the asset is exhausted. Once the asset is exhausted, the institution will record an expense and an accrued expense payable each quarter for its regular assessment, which would be paid in arrears to the FDIC at the end of the following quarter. If the prepaid assessment is not exhausted by June 30, 2013, any remaining amount will be returned to the institution. For Banner Corporation, the consolidated balance of the prepaid assessment was \$27.5 million at March 31, 2010 and is recorded among "other assets" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition.

**FDIC Special Assessment:** On May 22, 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule imposing a five basis point special assessment on each insured depository institution's total assets minus Tier 1 capital as of June 30, 2009, with the maximum amount of the special assessment for any institution not to exceed ten basis points times the institution's assessment base for the second quarter 2009 risk-based assessment. The special assessment was collected on September 30, 2009 at the same time the regular quarterly risk based assessment for the second quarter of 2009 was collected. For Banner Corporation, this assessment was \$2.1 million, which was recognized in other operating expenses during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The FDIC Board may vote to impose additional special assessments if the FDIC estimates that the Deposit Insurance Fund reserve ratio will fall to a level that the Board believes would adversely affect public confidence or to a level that will be close to or below zero.

**FDIC Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program:** Banner Corporation, Banner Bank and Islanders Bank have chosen to participate in the FDIC's Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (the "TLGP"), which applies to all U.S. depository institutions insured by the FDIC and all United States bank holding companies, unless they have opted out. Under the TLGP, the FDIC guarantees certain senior unsecured debt of insured institutions and their holding companies, as well as non-interest-bearing transaction account deposits. Under the transaction account guarantee component of the TLGP, all non-interest-bearing and certain interest-bearing transaction accounts maintained at Banner Bank and Islanders Bank are insured in full by the FDIC until December 31, 2010, regardless of the standard maximum deposit insurance amounts. The Banks are required to pay a fee (annualized) on balances of each covered account in excess of \$250,000 while the extra deposit insurance is in place. The annualized fee for the transaction account guarantee program is 10 basis points through December 31, 2009 and will be within a range from 15 to 25 basis points from January 1 through December 31, 2010. On March 31, 2009, Banner Bank completed an offering of \$50 million of qualifying senior bank notes covered by the TLGP at a fixed rate of 2.625% which mature on March 31, 2012. Under the debt guarantee component of the TLGP, the FDIC will pay the unpaid principal and interest on an FDIC-guaranteed debt instrument upon the uncured failure of the participating entity to make a timely payment of principal or interest. Under the terms of the TLGP, the Bank is not permitted to use the proceeds from the sale of securities guaranteed under the TLGP to prepay any of its other debt that is not guaranteed by the FDIC. Banner Bank is required to pay a 1.00% fee (annualized) on this debt, which will result in a total fee of \$1.5 million over three years. None of the senior notes are redeemable prior to maturity.

### Note 3: ACCOUNTING STANDARDS RECENTLY ADOPTED OR ISSUED

In December 2009, FASB issued ASU No. 2009-17, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860)—Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets. This update codifies SFAS No. 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets—an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, which was previously issued by FASB in June 2009 but was not included in the original codification. ASU 2009-17 eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, creates more stringent

conditions for reporting a transfer of a portion of a financial asset as a sale, clarifies other sale-accounting criteria, and changes the initial measurement of a transferor's interest in transferred financial assets. This statement was effective for annual reporting periods beginning after November 15, 2009, and for interim periods therein. This standard will primarily impact the Company's accounting and reporting of transfers representing a portion of a financial asset for which the Company has a continuing involvement. In order to recognize the transfer of a portion of a financial asset as a sale, the transferred portion and any portion that continues to be held by the transferor must represent a participating interest, and the transfer of the participating interest must meet the conditions for surrender of control. To qualify as a participating interest, (i) the portions of a financial asset must represent a proportionate ownership interest in an entire financial asset, (ii) from the date of transfer, all cash flows received from the entire financial asset must be divided proportionately among the participating interest holders in an amount equal to their share of ownership, (iii) involve no recourse (other than standard representation and warranties) to, or subordination by, any participating interest holder, and (iv) no party has the right to pledge or exchange the entire financial asset. If the participating interest or surrender of control criteria are not met, the transfer is not accounted for as a sale and derecognition of the asset is not appropriate. Rather, the transaction is accounted for as a secured borrowing arrangement. The impact of certain participations being reported as secured borrowings rather than derecognizing a portion of a financial asset would increase total assets, liabilities and their respective interest income and expense. An increase in total assets also increases regulatory risk-weighted assets and could negatively impact our capital ratios. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2009, FASB issued ASU No. 2009-18, Consolidations (Topic 810)—Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities. This update codifies SFAS No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), which was previously issued by FASB in June 2009 but was not included in the original codification. ASU 2009-18 eliminates FASB Interpretations 46(R) (FIN 46(R)) exceptions to consolidating qualifying special-purpose entities, contains new criteria for determining the primary beneficiary, and increases the frequency of required reassessments to determine whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity (VIE). The new guidance also contains a new requirement that any term, transaction, or arrangement that does not have a substantive effect on an entity's status as a VIE, a company's power over a VIE, or a company's obligation to absorb losses or its right to receive benefits of an entity

must be disregarded in applying the previous provisions. The elimination of the qualifying special-purpose entity concept and its consolidation exceptions means more entities will be subject to consolidation assessments and reassessments. This statement requires additional disclosures regarding an entity's involvement in a VIE. This statement was effective for annual reporting periods beginning after November 15, 2009, and for interim periods therein. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System issued final risk-based capital rules related to the adoption of FASB ASC Topic 860-10 and FASB ASC Topic 810-10. Banking organizations affected by these recent pronouncements generally will be subject to higher regulatory capital requirements intended to better align risk-based capital levels with the actual risks of certain exposures. The adoption of the new risk-based capital rules in relation to these new pronouncements did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820)—Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. ASU No. 2010-06 requires:

- fair value disclosures by each class of assets and liabilities (generally a subset within a line item as presented in the statement of financial position) rather than major category,
- for items measured at fair value on a recurring basis, the amounts of significant transfers between Levels 1 and 2, and transfers into and out of Level 3, and the reasons for those transfers, including separate discussion related to the transfers into each level apart from transfers out of each level, and
- gross presentation of the amounts of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the Level 3 recurring measurement reconciliation.

Additionally, the ASU clarifies that a description of the valuation techniques(s) and inputs used to measure fair values is required for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. Also, if a valuation technique has changed, entities should disclose that change and the reason for the change. Disclosures other than the gross presentation changes in the Level 3 reconciliation are effective for the first reporting period beginning after December 15, 2009. The requirement to present the Level 3 activity of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. The sections of this ASU already adopted did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The further adoption of the requirement to present the Level 3 reconciliation differently is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2010, FASB issued ASU No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events (Topic 855)—Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements. ASU No. 2010-09 establishes separate subsequent event recognition criteria and disclosure requirements for SEC filers. SEC filers are defined in this update as entities that are required to file or to furnish their financial statements with either the SEC or another appropriate agency, (such as the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or Office of Thrift Supervision) under Section 12(i) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Effective with the release date, the financial statements of SEC filers will no longer disclose either the date through with subsequent events were reviewed or that subsequent events were evaluated through the date the financial statements were issued. The requirement to evaluate subsequent events through the date of issuance is still in place; only the disclosure is affected. This ASU also removes the requirement to make those disclosures in financial statements revised for either a correction of an error or a retrospective application of an accounting change. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Company is managed by legal entity and not by lines of business. Each of the Banks is a community oriented commercial bank chartered in the State of Washington. The Banks' primary business is that of a traditional banking institution, gathering deposits and originating loans for its portfolio in its respective primary market areas. The Banks offer a wide variety of deposit products to its consumer and commercial customers. Lending activities include the origination of real estate, commercial/agriculture business and consumer loans. Banner Bank is also an active participant in the secondary market, originating residential loans for sale on both a servicing released and servicing retained basis. In addition to interest income on loans and investment securities, the Banks receive other income from deposit service charges, loan servicing fees and from the sale of loans and investments. The performance of the Banks is reviewed by the Company's executive management and Board of Directors on a monthly basis. All of the executive officers of the Company are members of Banner Bank's management team.

GAAP establishes standards to report information about operating segments in annual financial statements and require reporting of selected information about operating segments in interim reports to stockholders. We have determined that the Company's current business and operations consist of a single business segment and have presented our financial statements accordingly.

## Note 5: INTEREST-BEARING DEPOSITS AND SECURITIES

The following table sets forth additional detail regarding our interest-bearing deposits and securities at the dates indicated (includes securities—trading, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity, all at carrying value) (in thousands):

|  | March 31<br>2010 | December 31<br>2009 | March 31<br>2009 |
|--|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Interest-bearing deposits included in cash and due from\$<br>banks | 236,629          | \$ 244,641          | \$ 2,699         |
| Mortgage-backed or related securities                              |                  |                     |                  |
| GNMA   | 17,514           | 18,458              | 32,139           |
| FHLMC  | 40,106           | 43,469              | 59,576           |
| FNMA   | 35,907           | 37,549              | 44,548           |
| Private issuer   | 3,881            | 6,465               | 8,836            |
| Total mortgage-backed securities                                   | 97,408           | 105,941             | 145,099          |
| U.S. Agency obligations  | 95,247           | 94,367              | 44,446           |
| Taxable municipal bonds  | 3,243            | 3,717               | 4,651            |
| Corporate bonds  | 43,366           | 43,267              | 35,758           |
| Total other taxable securities                                     | 141,856          | 141,351             | 84,855           |
| Tax-exempt municipal bonds   | 69,287           | 70,018              | 66,170           |
| Equity securities (excludes FHLB stock)                            | 381              | 342                 | 203              |
| Total securities   | 308,932          | 317,652             | 296,327          |
| FHLB stock   | 37,371           | 37,371              | 37,371           |
|  | \$ 582,932       | \$ 599,664          | \$ 336,397       |

Securities—Available-for-Sale: The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities available for sale at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

|  | March 31, 2010    |                              |                               |                            |                        |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
|  | Amortized<br>cost | Gross<br>unrealized<br>gains | Gross<br>unrealized<br>losses | Estimated<br>fair<br>value | Percent<br>of<br>Total |
| U.S. Government and agency\$<br>obligations  | 58,680            | \$ 171                       | \$ (96)                       | \$ 58,755                  | 60.7%                  |
| Mortgage-backed or related<br>securities:    |                   |                              |                               |                            |                        |
| FHLMC collateralized mortgage<br>obligations | 15,961            | 607                          | --                            | 16,568                     | 17.2%                  |
| GNMA certificates                            | 16,652            | 862                          | --                            | 17,514                     | 18.1%                  |
| Other collateralized mortgage<br>obligations | 4,083             | --                           | (202)                         | 3,881                      | 4.0%                   |



Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

\$ 95,376    \$ 1,640    \$ (298)    \$ 96,718    100.0%

December 31, 2009

|   | Amortized<br>cost | Gross<br>unrealized<br>gains | Gross<br>unrealized<br>losses | Estimated<br>fair<br>value | Percent<br>of<br>Total |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| U.S. Government and agency obligations    | \$ 53,732         | \$ 22                        | \$ (642)                      | \$ 53,112                  | 55.5%                  |
| Mortgage-backed or related securities:    |                   |                              |                               |                            |                        |
| FHLMC collateralized mortgage obligations | 17,410            | 223                          | --                            | 17,633                     | 18.4%                  |
| GNMA certificates                         | 17,741            | 716                          | --                            | 18,457                     | 19.3%                  |
| Other collateralized mortgage obligations | 6,291             | 174                          |                               | 6,465                      | 6.8%                   |
|   | \$ 95,174         | \$ 1,135                     | \$ (642)                      | \$ 95,667                  | 100.0%                 |

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

At March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, an aging of unrealized losses and fair value of related securities—available-for-sale were as follows (in thousands):

|   | March 31, 2010      |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
|   | Less than 12 months |                   | 12 months or more |                   | Total      |                   |
|   | Fair Value          | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value        | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. Government and agency obligations    | \$ 28,916           | \$ (96)           | \$ --             | \$ --             | \$ 28,916  | \$ (96)           |
| Other collateralized mortgage obligations | 3,881               | (202)             | --                | --                | 3,881      | (202)             |
|   | \$ 32,797           | \$ (298)          | \$ --             | \$ --             | \$ 32,797  | \$ (298)          |

|  | December 31, 2009   |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
|  | Less than 12 months |                   | 12 months or more |                   | Total      |                   |
|  | Fair Value          | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value        | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. Government and agency obligations | \$ 48,713           | \$ (642)          | \$ --             | \$ --             | \$ 48,713  | \$ (642)          |
|  | \$ 48,713           | \$ (642)          | \$ --             | \$ --             | \$ 48,713  | \$ (642)          |

Management does not believe that any individual unrealized loss as of March 31, 2010 represents an other-than-temporary impairment. The decline in fair market value of these securities is generally due to changes in interest rates and changes in market-desired spreads subsequent to their purchase. At March 31, 2010, there were six securities—available-for-sale with unrealized losses, compared to eight at December 31, 2009.

Securities--Held to Maturity: The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities held to maturity are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

|                  | March 31, 2010 |                        |                         |                      |                  |
|------------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
|                  | Amortized cost | Gross unrealized gains | Gross unrealized losses | Estimated fair value | Percent of Total |
| Municipal bonds: |                |                        |                         |                      |                  |
| Taxable          | \$ 2,380       | \$ 151                 | \$ --                   | \$ 2,531             | 3.3%             |
| Tax Exempt       | 62,925         | 3,136                  | (84)                    | 65,977               | 86.4%            |
|                  | 65,305         | 3,287                  | (84)                    | 68,508               | 89.7%            |
| Corporate bonds  | 8,250          | 8                      | (376)                   | 7,882                | 10.3%            |
|                  | \$ 73,555      | \$ 3,295               | \$ (460)                | \$ 76,390            | 100.0%           |

|                  | December 31, 2009 |                        |                         |                      |                  |
|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
|                  | Amortized cost    | Gross unrealized gains | Gross unrealized losses | Estimated fair value | Percent of Total |
| Municipal bonds: |                   |                        |                         |                      |                  |
| Taxable          | \$ 2,683          | \$ 66                  | \$ (30)                 | \$ 2,719             | 3.6%             |

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

|                 |           |          |            |           |        |
|-----------------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|--------|
| Tax Exempt      | 63,901    | 2,731    | (72)       | 66,560    | 87.0%  |
|                 | 66,584    | 2,797    | (102)      | 69,279    | 90.6%  |
| Corporate bonds | 8,250     | --       | (1,040)    | 7,210     | 9.4%   |
|                 | \$ 74,834 | \$ 2,797 | \$ (1,142) | \$ 76,489 | 100.0% |

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

At March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, an aging of unrealized losses and fair value of related securities—held-to-maturity were as follows (in thousands):

|                 | March 31, 2010      |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
|                 | Less than 12 months |                   | 12 months or more |                   | Total      |                   |
|                 | Fair Value          | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value        | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Corporate bonds | \$ 2,883            | \$ (167)          | \$ 3,791          | \$ (209)          | \$ 6,624   | \$ (376)          |
| Municipal bonds | 4,481               | (34)              | 3,548             | (50)              | 8,029      | (84)              |
|                 | \$ 7,364            | \$ (201)          | \$ 7,339          | \$ (259)          | \$ 14,653  | \$ (460)          |

|                 | December 31, 2009   |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
|                 | Less than 12 months |                   | 12 months or more |                   | Total      |                   |
|                 | Fair Value          | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value        | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Corporate bonds | \$ 2,556            | \$ (444)          | \$ 3,404          | \$ (596)          | \$ 5,960   | \$ (1,040)        |
| Municipal bonds | 2,920               | (43)              | 10,112            | (59)              | 13,032     | (102)             |
|                 | \$ 5,476            | \$ (487)          | \$ 13,516         | \$ (655)          | \$ 18,992  | \$ (1,142)        |

Management does not believe that any individual unrealized losses as of March 31, 2010 or December 31, 2009 represent an other-than-temporary impairment. The decline in fair market value of these securities is generally due to changes in interest rates and changes in market-desired spreads subsequent to their purchase. There were nine and twelve securities held-to-maturity with unrealized losses at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

The following table presents, as of March 31, 2010, investment securities which were pledged to secure borrowings, public deposits or other obligations as permitted or required by law (in thousands):

|  | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--|----------------|------------|
| Federal Reserve Bank, TT&L deposits                    | \$ 1,651       | \$ 1,715   |
| State and local governments public deposits            | 84,955         | 88,353     |
| Pacific Coast Bankers' Bank (PCBB) interest rate swaps | 3,862          | 4,037      |
| Retail repurchase transaction accounts                 | 137,238        | 140,723    |
| Other  | 4,356          | 4,390      |
| Total pledged securities                               | \$ 232,062     | \$ 239,218 |

The carrying value of investment securities pledged as of March 31, 2010 was \$236.6 million.

Note 6: FHLB STOCK

At March 31, 2010, the Company carried on its books \$37.4 million in Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle (FHLB) stock, which represents our investment in the stock at its par value. Ownership of this stock allows the Banks access to funding for liquidity and other borrowing needs. Ownership of FHLB stock is restricted to FHLB member institutions and can only be purchased and redeemed at par. Shares are not publicly traded and do not have a readily determinable fair value. FHLB stock is generally acknowledged to be a long-term investment. Accordingly, when

evaluating for impairment, the value is determined based on the ultimate recovery of the par value.

As of March 31, 2010, the FHLB was classified as "undercapitalized" by its regulator and therefore did not pay a dividend for the first quarter of 2010 and will not repurchase capital stock or pay a dividend while it is classified as undercapitalized. The FHLB reported that it did meet all of its regulatory capital targets, including its risk-based capital requirement as of March 31, 2010. The FHLB reported a risk-based capital surplus of \$490.1 million as of March 31, 2010 compared to \$531.7 million as of December 31, 2009. The FHLB's total capital at March 31, 2010 was \$1.051 billion compared to \$993.7 million at December 31, 2009.

Management periodically evaluates FHLB stock for other-than-temporary or permanent impairment. Management's determination of whether these investments are impaired is based on its assessment of the ultimate recoverability of cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability of cost is influenced by criteria such as (1) the significance of any decline in net assets of the FHLB as compared to the capital stock amount for the FHLB and the length of time this situation has persisted, (2) commitments by the FHLB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the FHLB, (3) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on its member institutions or the FHLB itself, and (4) the liquidity position of the FHLB.

Based on the above, the Company has determined there is not an other-than-temporary impairment of its FHLB stock investment as of March 31, 2010.

## Note 7: LOANS RECEIVABLE

We originate residential mortgage loans for both portfolio investment and sale in the secondary market. At the time of origination, mortgage loans are designated as held for sale or held for investment. Loans held for sale are stated at lower of cost or estimated fair value determined on an aggregate basis. Net unrealized losses on loans held for sale are recognized through a valuation allowance by charges to income. We also originate construction and land, commercial and multifamily real estate, commercial business, agricultural and consumer loans for portfolio investment. Loans receivable not designated as held for sale are recorded at the principal amount outstanding, net of allowance for loan losses, deferred fees, discounts and premiums. Premiums, discounts and deferred loan fees are amortized to maturity using the level-yield methodology.

Interest is accrued as earned unless management doubts the collectability of the loan or the unpaid interest. Interest accruals are generally discontinued when loans become 90 days past due for scheduled interest payments. All previously accrued but uncollected interest is deducted from interest income upon transfer to nonaccrual status. Future collection of interest is included in interest income based upon an assessment of the likelihood that the loans will be repaid or recovered. A loan may be put on nonaccrual status sooner than this policy would dictate if, in management's judgment, the loan may be uncollectable. Such interest is then recognized as income only if it is ultimately collected.

Our loans receivable, including loans held for sale, at March 31, 2010 and 2009 and December 31, 2009 are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

|  | March 31<br>2010 |                     | December 31<br>2009 |                     | March 31<br>2009 |                     |
|--|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|  | Amount           | Percent<br>of Total | Amount              | Percent<br>of Total | Amount           | Percent<br>of Total |
| Loans (including loans held for sale):               |                  |                     |                     |                     |                  |                     |
| Commercial real estate                               |                  |                     |                     |                     |                  |                     |
| Owner occupied                                       | \$ 515,542       | 14.0%               | \$ 509,464          | 13.4%               | \$ 460,569       | 11.8%               |
| Investment properties                                | 557,134          | 15.1                | 573,495             | 15.1                | 575,716          | 14.7                |
| Multifamily real estate                              | 147,659          | 4.0                 | 153,497             | 4.1                 | 149,442          | 3.8                 |
| Commercial construction                              | 83,879           | 2.3                 | 80,236              | 2.1                 | 103,643          | 2.6                 |
| Multifamily construction                             | 61,924           | 1.7                 | 57,422              | 1.5                 | 46,568           | 1.2                 |
| One- to four-family construction                     | 213,438          | 5.8                 | 239,135             | 6.3                 | 365,421          | 9.3                 |
| Land and land development                            |                  |                     |                     |                     |                  |                     |
| Residential  | 256,607          | 7.0                 | 284,331             | 7.5                 | 406,948          | 10.4                |
| Commercial   | 48,194           | 1.3                 | 43,743              | 1.2                 | 39,180           | 1.0                 |
| Commercial business                                  | 616,396          | 16.7                | 637,823             | 16.8                | 650,123          | 16.6                |
| Agricultural business, including secured by farmland | 187,207          | 5.1                 | 205,307             | 5.4                 | 197,972          | 5.1                 |
| One- to four-family real estate                      | 697,565          | 18.9                | 703,277             | 18.6                | 643,705          | 16.4                |
| Consumer   | 109,092          | 3.0                 | 110,937             | 2.9                 | 90,834           | 2.4                 |

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

|   |                     |               |                     |               |                     |               |
|---|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Consumer secured by<br>one- to four-<br>family real estate  | 194,220             | 5.1           | 191,454             | 5.1           | 185,426             | 4.7           |
| <b>Total consumer</b>                                       | <b>303,312</b>      | <b>8.1</b>    | <b>302,391</b>      | <b>8.0</b>    | <b>276,260</b>      | <b>7.1</b>    |
| <b>Total loans outstanding</b>                              | <b>3,688,857</b>    | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>3,790,121</b>    | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>3,915,547</b>    | <b>100.0%</b> |
| Less allowance for loan<br>losses                           | (95,733)            |               | (95,269)            |               | (79,724)            |               |
| <b>Total net loans<br/>outstanding at<br/>end of period</b> | <b>\$ 3,593,124</b> |               | <b>\$ 3,694,852</b> |               | <b>\$ 3,835,823</b> |               |

Loans are net of unearned, unamortized loan fees or discounts of \$11.5 million, \$11.2 million and \$6.7 million, respectively, at March 31, 2010, December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009.

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

The geographic concentration of our loans at March 31, 2010 was as follows (dollars in thousands):

|  | Washington          | Oregon            | Idaho             | Other            | Total               |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Commercial real estate</b>                              |                     |                   |                   |                  |                     |
| Owner occupied   | \$ 404,284          | \$ 64,585         | \$ 46,673         | \$ --            | \$ 515,542          |
| Investment properties                                      | 411,242             | 102,735           | 43,157            | --               | 557,134             |
| Multifamily real estate                                    | 121,362             | 12,740            | 13,557            | --               | 147,659             |
| Commercial construction                                    | 60,732              | 13,295            | 9,852             | --               | 83,879              |
| Multifamily construction                                   | 33,704              | 28,220            | --                | --               | 61,924              |
| One- to four-family construction                           | 101,947             | 100,840           | 10,651            | --               | 213,438             |
| <b>Land and land development</b>                           |                     |                   |                   |                  |                     |
| Residential  | 133,016             | 95,131            | 28,460            | --               | 256,607             |
| Commercial   | 33,941              | 11,778            | 2,475             | --               | 48,194              |
| Commercial business  | 436,556             | 92,602            | 69,451            | 17,787           | 616,396             |
| Agricultural business,<br>including<br>secured by farmland | 95,895              | 39,320            | 51,992            | --               | 187,207             |
| One- to four-family real estate                            | 464,960             | 200,573           | 31,145            | 887              | 697,565             |
| Consumer   | 78,657              | 24,318            | 6,117             | --               | 109,092             |
| Consumer secured by one- to<br>four-family<br>real estate  | 137,196             | 42,460            | 14,564            | --               | 194,220             |
| <b>Total loans outstanding</b>                             | <b>\$ 2,513,492</b> | <b>\$ 828,597</b> | <b>\$ 328,094</b> | <b>\$ 18,674</b> | <b>\$ 3,688,857</b> |
| <b>Percent of total loans</b>                              | <b>68.1%</b>        | <b>22.5%</b>      | <b>8.9%</b>       | <b>0.5%</b>      | <b>100.0%</b>       |

The geographic concentration of our land and land development loans at March 31, 2010 was as follows (dollars in thousands):

|  | Washington        | Oregon            | Idaho            | Total             |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| <b>Residential</b>   |                   |                   |                  |                   |
| Acquisition and development                                  | \$ 64,106         | \$ 58,380         | \$ 6,481         | \$ 128,967        |
| Improved lots  | 45,044            | 29,899            | 2,218            | 77,161            |
| Unimproved land  | 23,866            | 6,852             | 19,761           | 50,479            |
| <b>Commercial and industrial</b>                             |                   |                   |                  |                   |
| Acquisition and development                                  | 8,302             | --                | 554              | 8,856             |
| Improved land  | 9,725             | 10,054            | --               | 19,779            |
| Unimproved land  | 15,914            | 1,724             | 1,921            | 19,559            |
| <b>Total land and land development loans<br/>outstanding</b> | <b>\$ 166,957</b> | <b>\$ 106,909</b> | <b>\$ 30,935</b> | <b>\$ 304,801</b> |
| <b>Percent of total land and land development<br/>loans</b>  | <b>54.8%</b>      | <b>35.1%</b>      | <b>10.1%</b>     | <b>100.0%</b>     |



As noted in the tables above, substantially all of our loans are to borrowers in the states of Washington, Oregon and Idaho. Accordingly, their ultimate collectability is particularly susceptible to, among other things, changes in market and economic conditions within these states.

The amount of impaired loans, including performing troubled debt restructurings (TDRs), net of any charge-offs recorded as a result of specific impairment analysis, and the related allocated reserve for loan losses were as follows (in thousands):

|                         | March 31, 2010 |                    | December 31, 2009 |                    |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
|                         | Loan amount    | Allocated reserves | Loan amount       | Allocated reserves |
| <b>Impaired loans:</b>  |                |                    |                   |                    |
| Nonaccrual              | \$ 195,948     | \$ 18,736          | \$ 213,401        | \$ 18,872          |
| Accrual, including TDRs | 45,645         | 1,769              | 48,337            | 3,309              |
|                         | \$ 241,593     | \$ 20,505          | \$ 261,738        | \$ 22,181          |

The Company originates both adjustable- and fixed-rate loans. The maturity and repricing composition of those loans, less undisbursed amounts and deferred fees, were as follows (in thousands):

|   | March 31<br>2010 | December 31<br>2009 | March 31<br>2009 |
|---|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| <b>Fixed-rate (term to maturity):</b>             |                  |                     |                  |
| Due in one year or less                           | \$ 187,373       | \$ 162,894          | \$ 201,049       |
| Due after one year through three years            | 203,602          | 198,107             | 200,264          |
| Due after three years through five years          | 233,299          | 239,145             | 214,076          |
| Due after five years through ten years            | 130,261          | 142,900             | 122,625          |
| Due after ten years                               | 548,973          | 551,375             | 445,292          |
|   | 1,303,508        | 1,294,421           | 1,183,306        |
| <b>Adjustable-rate (term to rate adjustment):</b> |                  |                     |                  |
| Due in one year or less                           | 1,496,376        | 1,582,046           | 2,488,166        |
| Due after one year through three years            | 438,413          | 417,777             | 84,071           |
| Due after three years through five years          | 406,687          | 447,228             | 37,477           |
| Due after five years through ten years            | 42,663           | 47,287              | 122,527          |
| Due after ten years                               | 1,210            | 1,362               | --               |
|   | 2,385,349        | 2,495,700           | 2,732,241        |
|   | \$ 3,688,857     | \$ 3,790,121        | \$ 3,915,547     |

The adjustable-rate loans may have interest rate adjustment limitations and are generally indexed to various Prime or London Interbank Offered Rates (LIBOR), or One to Five Year Constant Maturity Treasury Indices or FHLB borrowing rates. Future market factors may affect the correlation of the interest rate adjustment with the rates the Banks pay on the short-term deposits that primarily have been utilized to fund these loans.

#### Note 8: ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The following is a schedule of our allocation of the allowance for loan losses at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

|  | March 31<br>2010 | December 31<br>2009 | March 31<br>2009 |
|--|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| <b>Specific or allocated loss allowances:</b>        |                  |                     |                  |
| Commercial real estate                               | \$ 8,279         | \$ 8,278            | \$ 4,972         |
| Multifamily real estate                              | 2,072            | 90                  | 84               |
| Construction and land                                | 44,078           | 45,209              | 46,297           |
| One- to four-family real estate                      | 3,093            | 2,912               | 814              |
| Commercial business                                  | 24,530           | 22,054              | 18,186           |
| Agricultural business, including secured by farmland | 949              | 919                 | 587              |
| Consumer   | 1,898            | 1,809               | 1,682            |
| Total allocated                                      | 84,899           | 81,271              | 72,622           |
| Estimated allowance for undisbursed commitments      | 1,161            | 1,594               | 1,358            |
| Unallocated  | 9,673            | 12,404              | 5,744            |
| Total allowance for loan losses                      | \$ 95,733        | \$ 95,269           | \$ 79,724        |
|  | 2.60%            | 2.51%               | 2.04%            |

Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans  
outstanding

|  |     |     |     |
|--|-----|-----|-----|
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of<br>non-performing loans | 49% | 45% | 36% |
|--|-----|-----|-----|

An analysis of the changes in our allowance for loan losses is as follows (dollars in thousands):

|   | Quarters Ended |           |
|---|----------------|-----------|
|   | March 31       |           |
|   | 2010           | 2009      |
| Balance, beginning of the period                                    | \$ 95,269      | \$ 75,197 |
| Provision for loan losses   | 14,000         | 22,000    |
| Recoveries of loans previously charged off:                         |                |           |
| Commercial real estate  | --             | --        |
| Multifamily real estate   | --             | --        |
| Construction and land   | 37             | 52        |
| One- to four-family real estate                                     | 350            | 2         |
| Commercial business   | 1,290          | 70        |
| Agricultural business, including secured by farmland                | --             | --        |
| Consumer  | 59             | 31        |
|   | 1,736          | 155       |
| Loans charged off:  |                |           |
| Commercial real estate  | (92)           | --        |
| Multifamily real estate   | --             | --        |
| Construction and land   | (7,724)        | (12,417)  |
| One- to four-family real estate                                     | (2,115)        | (1,091)   |
| Commercial business   | (4,784)        | (3,794)   |
| Agricultural business, including secured by farmland                | (2)            | --        |
| Consumer  | (555)          | (326)     |
|   | (15,272)       | (17,628)  |
| Net (charge-offs) recoveries  | (13,536)       | (17,473)  |
| Balance, end of the period  | \$ 95,733      | \$ 79,724 |
| Net loan charge-offs to average outstanding loans during the period | 0.36%          | 0.44%     |

#### Note 9: REAL ESTATE OWNED, NET

The following table presents the changes in real estate owned (REO), net of valuation allowance, for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

|                              | Quarters Ended March 31 |           |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
|                              | 2010                    | 2009      |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 77,743               | \$ 21,782 |

|                                     |           |           |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Additions from loan foreclosures    | 28,161    | 19,175    |
| Addition from capitalized costs     | 752       | 1,039     |
| Dispositions of REO                 | (9,814)   | (3,094)   |
| Gain (loss) on sale of REO          | (701)     | 99        |
| Valuation adjustments in the period | (1,067)   | (50)      |
| Balance, end of period              | \$ 95,074 | \$ 38,951 |

REO properties are recorded at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan (prior to foreclosure) or the fair market value of the property, less expected selling costs. Valuation allowances on REO balances are based on updated appraisals of the underlying properties as received during a period or management's authorization to reduce the selling price of a property during the period.

#### Note 10: OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

Other Intangible Assets: At March 31, 2010, intangible assets consisted primarily of core deposit intangibles (CDI), which are amounts recorded in business combinations or deposit purchase transactions related to the value of transaction-related deposits and the value of the

customer relationships associated with the deposits. Historically, intangible assets also included goodwill. However, in 2008, the Company wrote off all goodwill against earnings.

We amortize core deposit intangibles over their estimated useful life and review them at least annually for events or circumstances that could impact their recoverability. The core deposit intangible assets shown in the table below represent the value ascribed to the long-term deposit relationships acquired in three separate bank acquisitions during 2007. These intangible assets are being amortized using an accelerated method over estimated useful lives of eight years. The core deposit intangible assets are not estimated to have a significant residual value. Other intangible assets are amortized over their useful lives and are also reviewed for impairment.

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company's core deposit intangibles and other intangibles for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

|                                | Core<br>Deposit<br>Intangibles |       | Other     | Total |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Balance, December 31, 2009     | \$ 11,057                      | \$ 13 | \$ 11,070 |       |
| Additions through acquisitions | --                             | --    | --        |       |
| Amortization                   | (644)                          | --    | (644)     |       |
| Impairment write-off           | --                             | --    | --        |       |
| Balance, March 31, 2010        | \$ 10,413                      | \$ 13 | \$ 10,426 |       |

|  | Core<br>Deposit<br>Intangibles |       | Other     | Total |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Balance, December 31, 2008               | \$ 13,701                      | \$ 15 | \$ 13,716 |       |
| Adjustments related to 2008 acquisitions | --                             | --    | --        |       |
| Amortization                             | (690)                          | --    | (690)     |       |
| Impairment write-off                     | --                             | --    | --        |       |
| Balance, March 31, 2009                  | \$ 13,011                      | \$ 15 | \$ 13,026 |       |

Estimated annual amortization expense with respect to existing intangibles as of March 31, 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

| Year Ended        | Core<br>Deposit<br>Intangibles |       | Other     | Total |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| December 31, 2010 | \$ 2,459                       | \$ 2  | \$ 2,461  |       |
| December 31, 2011 | 2,276                          | 2     | 2,278     |       |
| December 31, 2012 | 2,092                          | 2     | 2,094     |       |
| December 31, 2013 | 1,908                          | 2     | 1,910     |       |
| December 31, 2014 | 1,724                          | 2     | 1,726     |       |
| Thereafter        | 598                            | 3     | 601       |       |
|                   | \$ 11,057                      | \$ 13 | \$ 11,070 |       |

**Mortgage Servicing Rights:** Mortgage servicing rights are reported in other assets. Mortgage servicing rights are initially reported at fair value and are amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future net servicing income of the underlying financial assets. Mortgage servicing rights are subsequently evaluated for impairment based upon the fair value of the rights compared to the amortized cost (remaining unamortized initial fair

value). If the fair value is less than the amortized cost, a valuation allowance is created through an impairment charge to servicing fee income. However, if the fair value is greater than the amortized cost, the amount above the amortized cost is not recognized in the carrying value. Loans serviced for others totaled \$684.8 million and \$509.5 million at March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Custodial accounts maintained in connection with this servicing totaled \$3.3 million and \$5.1 at March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Mortgage servicing rights as a percentage of total loans serviced for others was 0.81% and 0.82%, respectively, for the same time periods.

An analysis of our mortgage servicing rights for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 is presented below (in thousands):

|                                  | Quarters Ended |          |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------|
|                                  | March 31       |          |
|                                  | 2010           | 2009     |
| Balance, beginning of the period | \$ 5,703       | \$ 3,554 |
| Amounts capitalized              | 256            | 1,510    |
| Amortization*                    | (397)          | (612)    |
| Impairment                       | --             | (300)    |
| Balance, end of the period       | \$ 5,562       | \$ 4,152 |

\*Amortization of mortgage servicing rights is recorded as a reduction of loan servicing income and includes any remaining unamortized balance, which is written off if the loan repays in full.

## Note 11: DEPOSITS AND CUSTOMER REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS

Deposits consisted of the following at March 31, 2010 and 2009 and December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

| Deposits:                             | March 31<br>2010 |                     | December 31<br>2009 |                     | March 31<br>2009 |                     |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|                                       | Amount           | Percent<br>of Total | Amount              | Percent<br>of Total | Amount           | Percent<br>of Total |
| Non-interest-bearing accounts         | \$ 549,291       | 14.3%               | \$ 582,480          | 15.1%               | \$ 508,593       | 14.0%               |
| Interest-bearing checking             | 366,786          | 9.5                 | 360,256             | 9.3                 | 307,741          | 8.5                 |
| Regular savings accounts              | 577,704          | 15.0                | 538,765             | 13.9                | 490,239          | 13.5                |
| Money market accounts                 | 459,811          | 11.9                | 442,124             | 11.4                | 301,857          | 8.3                 |
| Total transaction and saving accounts | 1,953,592        | 50.7                | 1,923,625           | 49.7                | 1,608,430        | 44.3                |
| Certificates which mature or reprice: |                  |                     |                     |                     |                  |                     |
| Within 1 year                         | 1,597,519        | 41.5                | 1,593,575           | 41.3                | 1,504,958        | 41.5                |
| After 1 year, but within 3 years      | 260,729          | 6.8                 | 311,115             | 8.0                 | 464,576          | 12.8                |
| After 3 years                         | 37,938           | 1.0                 | 37,235              | 1.0                 | 49,540           | 1.4                 |
| Total certificate accounts            | 1,896,186        | 49.3                | 1,941,925           | 50.3                | 2,019,074        | 55.7                |
| Total deposits                        | \$ 3,849,778     | 100.0%              | \$ 3,865,550        | 100.0%              | \$ 3,627,504     | 100.0%              |
| Included in total deposits:           |                  |                     |                     |                     |                  |                     |
| Public transaction accounts           | \$ 80,942        | 2.1%                | \$ 78,202           | 2.0%                | \$ 47,639        | 1.3%                |
| Public interest-bearing certificates  | 82,362           | 2.1                 | 88,186              | 2.3                 | 175,418          | 4.8                 |
| Total public deposits                 | \$ 163,304       | 4.2%                | \$ 166,388          | 4.3%                | \$ 223,057       | 6.1%                |
| Total brokered deposits               | \$ 150,577       | 3.9%                | \$ 165,016          | 4.3%                | \$ 249,619       | 6.9%                |

Geographic Concentration of Deposits  
at

| March 31, 2010 | Washington   | Oregon     | Idaho      | Total        |
|----------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|
|                | \$ 2,952,735 | \$ 623,302 | \$ 273,741 | \$ 3,849,778 |

In addition to deposits, we also offer retail repurchase agreements which are customer funds that are primarily associated with sweep account arrangements tied to transaction deposit accounts. While we include these collateralized borrowings in other borrowings reported in our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, these accounts primarily represent customer utilization of our cash management services and related deposit accounts. The following table presents customer repurchase agreement balances as of March 31, 2010 and 2009 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):



|                              | March 31<br>2010 | December 31<br>2009 | March 31<br>2009 |
|------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Retail Repurchase Agreements | \$ 126,954       | \$ 124,330          | \$ 131,224       |

#### Note 12: FAIR VALUE ACCOUNTING AND MEASUREMENT

We have elected to record certain assets and liabilities at fair value. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale). The GAAP standard (ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements) establishes a consistent framework for measuring fair value and disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. Among other things, the standards require us to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our estimates for market assumptions. These two types of inputs create the following fair value hierarchy:

- Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical instruments. An active market is a market in which transactions occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis. A quoted price in an active market provides the most reliable evidence of fair value and shall be used to measure fair value whenever available.
- Level 2 – Observable inputs other than Level 1 including quoted prices in active markets for similar instruments, quoted prices in less active markets for identical or similar instruments, or other observable inputs that can be corroborated by observable market data.

- Level 3 – Unobservable inputs supported by little or no market activity for financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation; also includes observable inputs from non-binding single dealer quotes not corroborated by observable market data.

The estimated fair value amounts of financial instruments have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is required to interpret data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. In addition, reasonable comparability between financial institutions may not be likely due to the wide range of permitted valuation techniques and numerous estimates that must be made given the absence of active secondary markets for many of the financial instruments. This lack of uniform valuation methodologies also introduces a greater degree of subjectivity to these estimated fair values.

Items Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis:

We record trading account securities, securities available-for-sale, FHLB debt and junior subordinated debentures at fair value on a recurring basis.

- The securities assets primarily consist of U.S. Government Agency obligations, municipal bonds, corporate bonds, single issue trust preferred securities (TPS), pooled trust preferred collateralized debt obligation securities (TRUP CDO), mortgage-backed securities, equity securities and certain other financial instruments. At March 31, 2010, management used inputs from each of the three fair value hierarchy levels to value these assets. The Level 1 measurements are based upon quoted prices in active markets. The Level 2 measurements are generally based upon a matrix pricing model from an investment reporting and valuation service. Matrix pricing is a mathematical technique used principally to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities. The Level 3 measurements are based primarily on unobservable inputs. In developing Level 3 measurements, management incorporates whatever market data might be available and uses discounted cash flow models where appropriate. These calculations include projections of future cash flows, including appropriate default and loss assumptions, and market based discount rates.

During the ongoing credit crisis, from 2008 through the current quarter, the lack of active markets and market participants for certain securities resulted in an increase in Level 3 measurements. This has been particularly true for our TRUP CDO securities. As of March 31, 2010, we owned approximately \$33 million in current par value of these securities, exclusive of those securities we elected to write-off completely. The market for these securities is inactive, which was evidenced first by a significant widening of the bid-ask spread in the brokered markets in which TRUP CDOs trade and then by a significant decrease in the volume of trades relative to historical levels. The new issue market is also inactive as almost no new TRUP CDOs have been issued since 2007. There are currently very few market participants who are willing and/or able to transact for these securities. Thus, a low market price for a particular bond may only provide evidence of stress in the credit markets in general rather than being an indicator of credit problems with a particular issuer.

Given these conditions in the debt markets and the absence of observable transactions in the secondary and new issue markets, management determined that for TRUP CDOs:

- o The few observable transactions and market quotations that were available are not reliable for purposes of determining fair value at March 31, 2010,

- o An income valuation approach technique (present value technique) that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs is equally or more representative of fair value than the market approach valuation technique used at prior measurement dates, and
- o The Company's TRUP CDOs are classified exclusively within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy because of the significant assumptions required to determine fair value at the measurement date.

The TRUP CDO valuations were prepared by an independent third party who used its proprietary cash flow model recognized as the industry standard for analyzing all types of collateralized debt obligations. Its approach to determining fair value involves considering the credit quality of the collateral using average risk-neutral probability of default values, assumes a level of defaults based on the probability of default of each underlying trust preferred security and assumed level of correlation among the assets, and creates an expected cash flows for each security, discounted at the risk-free rate plus a liquidity premium.

Where possible, management reviewed the valuation methodology and assumptions used by the independent third party providers, determined that with respect to performing securities the fair value estimates were reasonable and utilized those estimates in our reported financial statements. However, beginning with the quarter ended June 30, 2009 and continuing with the quarter ended March 31, 2010, for two securities for which we currently are not receiving any cash payments, management elected to override the third party fair value estimates and to reflect the fair value of these securities at zero, resulting in an other-than-temporary impairment charge.

At March 31, 2010, we also directly owned approximately \$20 million in current par value of TPS securities issued by three individual financial institutions for which no market data or independent valuation source is available. Additionally, we have one TPS security with a par value of \$5 million that is activity traded. Similar to the TRUP CDOs above, there were too few, if any, issuances of new TPS securities or sales of existing TPS securities to provide Level 1 or even Level 2 fair value measurements. Management, therefore, utilized a discounted cash-flow model to calculate the present value of each security's expected future cash flows to determine their respective fair values. Management took into consideration what little market data was available regarding discount rates, but concluded that most of the available information represented dated transactions and/or was not representative of active market transactions. Since these three TPS securities are also concentrated in the financial institutions sector, which continues to be under extreme pricing pressure at March 31, 2010, management applied credit factors to differentiate these issues based upon its judgment of the risk profile of the various issuers. These credit factors were then incorporated into the model at March 31, 2010, and discount rates equal to three-month LIBOR plus 600 to 900 basis points were used to calculate the respective fair values of these securities. In addition to the three TPS considered Level 3 and one TPS considered Level 1, on its credit analysis, management determined that collection of two specific TPS securities was highly unlikely and therefore elected to write off the balance of these securities as other-than-temporary impairment charges—one in the third quarter of 2009 and one during the first quarter of 2010.

- Fair valuations for FHLB advances are estimated using fair market values provided by the lender, the FHLB of Seattle. The FHLB of Seattle prices advances by discounting the future contractual cash flows for individual advances using its current cost of funds curve to provide the discount rate. Management considers this to be a Level 2 input method.
- The fair valuations of junior subordinated debentures (TPS debt that the Company has issued) were valued using discounted cash flows to maturity or to the next available call date, if based upon the current interest rate and credit market environment it was considered likely that we would elect early redemption. The majority, \$98 million, of these debentures carry interest rates that reset quarterly, using the three-month LIBOR index plus spreads of 1.38% to 3.35%. The remaining \$26 million issue has a current interest rate of 6.56%, which is fixed through December 2011 and then resets quarterly to equal three-month LIBOR plus a spread of 1.62%. In valuing the debentures at March 31, 2010, management evaluated discounted cash flows to maturity and for the discount rate used the March 31, 2010 three-month LIBOR plus 800 basis points. While the quarterly reset of the index on this debt would seemingly keep it close to market values, the disparity in the fixed spreads above the index and the inability to determine realistic current market spreads, due to lack of new issuances and trades, resulted in having to rely more heavily on assumptions about what spread would be appropriate if market transactions were to take place. In periods prior to third quarter of 2008, the discount rate used was based on recent issuances or quotes from brokers on the date of valuation for comparable bank holding companies and was considered to be a Level 2 input method. However, as noted above in the discussion of TPS and TRUP CDOs, due to the unprecedented disruption of certain financial markets, management concluded that there were insufficient transactions or other indicators to continue to reflect these measurements as Level 2 inputs. Due to this reliance on assumptions and not on directly observable transactions, management considers this to be a Level 3 input method.

The following tables present financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

|                               | March 31, 2010 |         |         |         |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                               | Total          | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets:                       |                |         |         |         |
| Securities—available-for-sale |                |         |         |         |
| U.S government and agency     | 58,755         | --      | 58,755  | --      |
|                               | \$             | \$      | \$      | \$      |

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

|   |            |          |            |           |
|---|------------|----------|------------|-----------|
| Mortgage-backed securities  | 37,963     | --       | 37,963     | --        |
|   | 96,718     | --       | 96,718     | --        |
| <b>Securities—trading</b>   |            |          |            |           |
| U.S government and agency   | 36,492     | --       | 36,492     | --        |
| Municipal bonds   | 7,224      | --       | 7,224      | --        |
| TPS and TRUP CDOs   | 35,116     | 5,050    | --         | 30,066    |
| Mortgage-backed securities  | 59,445     | --       | 59,445     | --        |
| Equity securities and other   | 382        | 360      | 22         | --        |
|   | 138,659    | 5,410    | 103,183    | 30,066    |
|   | \$ 235,377 | \$ 5,410 | \$ 199,901 | \$ 30,066 |
| <b>Liabilities</b>  |            |          |            |           |
| Advances from FHLB at fair value  | \$ 62,108  | \$ --    | \$ 62,108  | \$ --     |
| Junior subordinated debentures net of unamortized deferred issuance costs at fair value | 48,147     | -        | --         | 48,147    |
|   | \$ 110,255 | \$ --    | \$ 62,108  | \$ 48,147 |

| December 31, 2009   |            |          |            |           |
|---|------------|----------|------------|-----------|
|   | Total      | Level 1  | Level 2    | Level 3   |
| <b>Assets:</b>  |            |          |            |           |
| <b>Securities—available-for-sale</b>  |            |          |            |           |
| U.S government and agency   | 53,112     | --       | 53,112     | --        |
| Mortgage-backed securities  | 42,555     | --       | 42,555     | --        |
|   | 95,667     | --       | 95,667     | --        |
| <b>Securities—trading</b>   |            |          |            |           |
| U.S government and agency   | 41,255     | --       | 41,255     | --        |
| Municipal bonds   | 7,151      | --       | 7,151      | --        |
| TPS and TRUP CDOs   | 35,017     | 4,825    | --         | 30,192    |
| Mortgage-backed securities  | 63,386     | --       | 63,386     | --        |
| Equity securities and other   | 342        | 328      | 14         | --        |
|   | 147,151    | 5,153    | 111,806    | 30,192    |
|   | \$ 242,818 | \$ 5,153 | \$ 207,473 | \$ 30,192 |
| <b>Liabilities</b>  |            |          |            |           |
| Advances from FHLB at fair value  | \$ 189,779 | \$ --    | \$ 189,779 | \$ --     |
| Junior subordinated debentures net of unamortized deferred issuance costs at fair value | 47,694     | --       | --         | 47,694    |
|   | \$ 237,473 | \$ --    | \$ 189,779 | \$ 47,694 |

The following tables provides a reconciliation of the assets and liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on a recurring basis during the quarter ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

|  | Level 3 Fair Value Inputs |  |
|--|---------------------------|--|
|  | TPS and TRUP CDOs         | Borrowings— junior subordinated debentures |
| Beginning balance at December 31, 2009 | 30,192                    | \$ 47,694                                  |
| Total gains or losses recognized       | (126)                     | --   |

|  |        |    |        |
|--|--------|----|--------|
| Assets gains (losses),<br>including OTTI |        |    |        |
| Liabilities (gains)                      | --     |    | (453)  |
| losses                                   |        |    |        |
| Purchases, issuances and<br>settlements  | --     |    | --     |
| Paydowns and maturities                  | --     |    | --     |
| Transfers in and/or out of<br>Level 3    | --     |    | --     |
| Ending balance at March \$<br>31, 2010   | 30,066 | \$ | 48,147 |

|  | Level 3 Fair Value Inputs |    |   |
|--|---------------------------|----|---|
|  | TPS and<br>TRUP<br>CDOs   |    | Borrowings—<br>junior<br>subordinated<br>debentures |
| Beginning balance at \$<br>December 31, 2008 | 36,295                    | \$ | 61,776  |
| Total gains or losses<br>recognized          |                           |    |   |
| Assets gains (losses)                        | (10,968)                  |    | --  |
| Liabilities (gains) losses                   | --                        |    | (7,957)   |
| Purchases, issuances and<br>settlements      | --                        |    | --  |
| Paydowns and maturities                      | --                        |    | --  |
| Transfers in and/or out of<br>Level 3        | --                        |    | --  |
| Ending balance at March \$<br>31, 2009       | 25,327                    | \$ | 53,813  |

The Company has elected to continue to recognize the interest income and dividends from the securities reclassified to fair value as a component of interest income as was done in prior years when they were classified as available for sale. Interest expense related to the FHLB advances and junior subordinated debentures continues to be measured based on contractual interest rates and reported in interest expense. The change in fair market value of these financial instruments has been recorded as a component of other operating income.

## Items Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis:

The Company records impaired loans at fair value on a non-recurring basis. From time to time, non-recurring fair value adjustments to collateral dependent loans are recorded to reflect partial write-downs based on an observable market price or current appraised value of collateral, less costs to sell. As of March 31, 2010, the Company reviewed all of its classified loans totaling \$422 million for potential impairment, and identified \$242 million of impaired loans, which consisted of \$99 million in residential construction and related land development loans, and \$21 of commercial business loans. The \$174 million fair value of impaired loans reported in the table below, represents loans with an initial carrying value of \$200 million, net of aggregate charge-offs of \$26 million. These valuation inputs are considered to be Level 3 inputs.

The Company also records real estate owned (acquired through a lending relationship) at fair value on a non-recurring basis. All real estate owned properties are recorded at amounts which are equal to or less than the fair value of the properties based on independent appraisals (reduced by estimated selling costs) upon transfer of the loans to real estate owned. From time to time, non-recurring fair value adjustments to real estate owned are recorded to reflect partial write downs based on an observable market price or current appraised value of property. We consider any valuation inputs related to real estate owned to be Level 3 inputs. The individual carrying values of these assets are reviewed for impairment at least annually and any additional impairment charges are expensed to operations. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, we recognized \$1.1 million of additional impairment charges related to these types of assets, compared to \$50,000 for the same quarter one year earlier.

The following tables present the fair value measurement of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and the level within the ASC 820 fair value hierarchy of the fair value measurements for those assets at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

|                           | Fair Value | March 31, 2010   |   |   |
|---------------------------|------------|--|---|---|
|                           |            | Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
| Impaired loans            | \$ 88,014  | --   | --  | \$ 88,014                                 |
| Other real estate owned   | 95,074     | --   | --  | 95,074                                    |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 5,562      | --   | --  | 5,562                                     |

|                           | Fair Value | December 31, 2009  |   |   |
|---------------------------|------------|--|---|---|
|                           |            | Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
| Impaired loans            | \$ 111,945 | --   | --  | \$ 111,945                                |
| Other real estate owned   | 77,743     | --   | --  | 77,743                                    |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 5,703      | --   | --  | 5,703                                     |





## Fair Values of Financial Instruments:

The following table presents estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 whether or not recognized or recorded in the consolidated balance sheets. The estimated fair value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data in the development of the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. The estimated fair value of financial instruments is as follows (in thousands):

|   | March 31, 2010 |                      | December 31, 2009 |                      |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|   | Carrying value | Estimated fair value | Carrying value    | Estimated fair value |
| <b>Assets:</b>                                  |                |                      |                   |                      |
| Cash and due from banks                         | \$ 277,752     | \$ 277,752           | \$ 323,005        | \$ 323,005           |
| Securities—trading                              | 138,659        | 138,659              | 147,151           | 147,151              |
| Securities—available-for-sale                   | 96,718         | 96,718               | 95,667            | 95,667               |
| Securities—held-to-maturity                     | 73,555         | 74,307               | 74,834            | 76,489               |
| Loans receivable held for sale                  | 4,398          | 4,472                | 4,497             | 4,534                |
| Loans receivable                                | 3,588,726      | 3,411,326            | 3,690,355         | 3,490,419            |
| FHLB stock                                      | 37,371         | 37,371               | 37,371            | 37,371               |
| Bank-owned life insurance (BOLI)                | 55,125         | 55,125               | 54,596            | 54,596               |
| <b>Liabilities:</b>                             |                |                      |                   |                      |
| Demand, NOW and money market accounts           | 1,375,888      | 1,262,714            | 1,384,860         | 1,272,322            |
| Regular savings                                 | 577,704        | 531,988              | 538,765           | 495,409              |
| Certificates of deposit                         | 1,896,186      | 1,906,932            | 1,941,925         | 1,954,825            |
| FHLB advances at fair value                     | 62,108         | 62,108               | 189,779           | 189,779              |
| Junior subordinated debentures at fair value    | 48,147         | 48,147               | 47,694            | 47,694               |
| Other borrowings                                | 177,244        | 177,244              | 176,842           | 176,447              |
| <b>Off-balance-sheet financial instruments:</b> |                |                      |                   |                      |
| Commitments to originate loans                  | 362            | 362                  | 362               | 362                  |
| Commitments to sell loans                       | (362)          | (362)                | (362)             | (362)                |

Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions are set forth below for the Company's financial and off-balance-sheet instruments:

**Cash and Due from Banks:** The carrying amount of these items is a reasonable estimate of their fair value.

**Securities:** The estimated fair values of investment securities and mortgage-backed securities are priced using current active market quotes, if available, which are considered Level 1 measurements. For most of the portfolio, matrix pricing based on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted prices is used to establish the fair

value. These measurements are considered Level 2. Due to the increasing credit concerns in the capital markets and inactivity in the trust preferred markets that have limited the observability of market spreads for some of the Company's single issue trust preferred securities and pooled trust preferred securities (see earlier discussion above in determining the securities' fair market value), management has classified its trust preferred securities as a Level 3 fair value measure.

**Loans Receivable:** Fair values are estimated first by stratifying the portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type such as multifamily real estate, residential mortgage, nonresidential mortgage, commercial/agricultural, consumer and other. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed- and adjustable-rate interest terms and by performing and non-performing categories. For performing loans held in portfolio, the fair value is based on discounted cash flows using as a discount rate the current rate offered on similar products. The carrying values of variable rate construction and land development loans and nonresidential real estate loans are discounted by a liquidity adjustment related to the current market environment.

The fair value of performing residential mortgages held for sale is estimated based upon secondary market sources by type of loan and terms such as fixed or variable interest rates.

Fair value for significant non-performing loans is based on recent appraisals or estimated cash flows discounted using rates commensurate with risk associated with the estimated cash flows. Assumptions regarding credit risk, cash flows and discount rates are judgmentally determined using available market information and specific borrower information.

**FHLB Stock:** The fair value is based upon the redemption value of the stock which equates to its carrying value.

**Mortgage Servicing Rights:** Fair values are estimated based on current pricing for sales of servicing for new loans adjusted up or down based on the serviced loan's interest rate versus current loan sales of servicing.

**Deposit Liabilities:** The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as savings, checking and NOW accounts, is estimated by applying decay rate assumptions to segregated portfolios of similar deposit types to generate cash flows which are then discounted using short-term market interest rates. The market value of certificates of deposit is based upon the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is determined using the rates currently offered on comparable instruments.

**FHLB Advances and Other Borrowings:** Fair valuations for our FHLB advances are estimated using fair market values provided by the lender, the FHLB of Seattle. The FHLB of Seattle prices advances by discounting the future contractual cash flows for individual advances using its current cost of funds curve to provide the discount rate. This is considered to be a Level 2 input method. Other borrowings are priced using discounted cash flows to the date of maturity based on using current rates at which such borrowings can currently be obtained.

**Junior Subordinated Debentures:** Due to the increasing credit concerns in the capital markets and inactivity in the trust preferred markets that have limited the observability of market spreads (see earlier discussion above in determining the junior subordinated debentures' fair market value), junior subordinated debentures have been classified as a Level 3 fair value measure. Management believes that the credit risk adjusted spread utilized is indicative of those that would be used by market participants.

**Commitments:** Commitments to sell loans with notional balances of \$31 million and \$25 million at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, have a carrying value of \$362,000 at the end of each period, representing the fair value of such commitments. Interest rate lock commitments to originate loans held for sale with notional balances of \$31 million and \$25 million at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, have a carrying value of (\$362,000) at the end of each period. The fair value of commitments to sell loans and of interest rate locks reflect changes in the level of market interest rates from the date of the commitment or rate lock to the date of our financial statements. Other commitments to fund loans totaled \$752 million and \$777 million at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, and have no carrying value at both dates, representing the cost of such commitments. There were no commitments to purchase or sell securities at March 31, 2010 or December 31, 2009.

**Limitations:** The fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since that date and, therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.

Fair value estimates are based on existing on- and off-balance-sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business. The fair value has not been estimated for assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. Significant assets and liabilities that are not financial instruments include the deferred tax assets/liabilities; land, buildings and equipment; costs in excess of net assets acquired; and real estate held for sale.

#### Note 13: INCOME TAXES AND DEFERRED TAXES

The Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries file consolidated U.S. federal income tax returns, as well as state income tax returns in Oregon and Idaho. The tax years which remain subject to examination by the taxing authorities are the years ending December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method a deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the enacted tax rates which will be in effect when the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of existing assets and liabilities are expected to be reported in the Company's income tax returns. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted revised accounting standards for Income Taxes. The standard, ASC 740, provides guidance related to the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. Adoption of this standard did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The revisions prescribe a recognition threshold and measurement process for financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return, and also provide guidance on the de-recognition of previously recorded benefits and their classification, as well as the proper recording of interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosures and transition. The Company periodically reviews its income tax positions based on tax laws and regulations and financial reporting considerations, and records adjustments as appropriate. This review takes into consideration the status of current taxing authorities' examinations of the Company's tax returns, recent positions taken by the taxing authorities on similar transactions, if any, and the overall tax environment.

As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had recorded net deferred income tax assets of approximately \$14.5 million and \$14.8 million, respectively. Our largest deferred tax item is related to the allowance for loan losses. For federal income tax purposes, only net loan charge-offs are deductible, not the booked provision for loan losses. The difference between these two methodologies creates a deferred tax asset related to the allowance for loan losses (\$36.1 million). Two other significant components of our deferred tax asset relate to the timing of deferred compensation (\$6.6 million) and our net operating loss carryforward (\$5.6 million). Our material deferred tax liabilities relate to fair value adjustments for financial instruments carried at fair value (\$12.5 million), FHLB stock dividends (\$6.2 million), timing differences related to depreciation (\$5.4 million), loan origination costs (\$4.9 million), and intangible assets (\$3.7 million).

The following table reflects the effect of temporary differences that give rise to the components of the net deferred tax asset as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

|   | March 31, 2010 | December 31,<br>2009 |
|---|----------------|----------------------|
| Deferred tax assets:  |                |                      |
| REO and loan loss reserves, book vs. tax                        | \$ 36,051      | \$ 35,653            |
| Deferred compensation   | 6,570          | 6,470                |
| Net operating loss carryforward                                 | 5,586          | 5,586                |
| Other   | 85             | 98                   |
|   | 48,292         | 47,807               |
| Deferred tax liabilities:                                       |                |                      |
| FHLB stock dividends  | 6,230          | 6,230                |
| Depreciation  | 5,396          | 5,423                |
| Deferred loan fees, servicing rights and loan origination costs | 4,895          | 5,002                |
| Intangibles   | 3,738          | 3,969                |
| Financial instruments accounted for under fair value accounting | 12,491         | 12,194               |
| Other   | 589            | 1                    |
|   | 33,339         | 32,819               |
|   | 14,953         | 14,988               |
| Unrealized loss on securities available for sale                | (483)          | (177)                |
| Deferred tax asset, net   | \$ 14,470      | \$ 14,811            |

Under GAAP (ASC 740), a valuation allowance is required to be recognized if it is “more likely than not” that all or a portion of our deferred tax assets will not be realized. “More likely than not” is defined as greater than a 50% probability of occurrence. A determination as to the ultimate realization of the deferred tax assets is dependent upon management’s judgment and evaluation of both positive and negative evidence, forecasts of future taxable income, applicable tax planning strategies, and an assessment of current and future economic and business conditions. Positive evidence reviewed included long-term earnings history prior to recent economic downturn, recent improved performance trends, proven ability to forecast earnings and our projections of future income over the next three years, capital levels and net operating loss carryback availability. Negative evidence reviewed included the losses sustained by the Company during the past two years and continuing into the current quarter.

After considering both the positive and negative factors, management believes we have sufficient positive indicators to outweigh the negative factors and therefore believe that it is more likely than not that we will be able to fully realize all of our recorded deferred tax assets. Accordingly, we did not establish a valuation allowance for the deferred tax assets of \$48.3 million and \$47.8 million at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, as management believes it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized principally through future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and based on projections of future taxable income from operations.

#### Note 14: CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING FOR EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE (EPS)

The following table reconciles basic to diluted weighted shares outstanding used to calculate earnings per share data dollars and shares (in thousands, except per share data):

Quarters Ended

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

|   | March 31   |             |
|---|------------|-------------|
|   | 2010       | 2009        |
| Net income (loss)   | \$ (1,517) | \$ (9,263)  |
| Preferred stock dividend accrual  | 1,550      | 1,550       |
| Preferred stock discount accretion  | 398        | 373         |
| Net income (loss) available to<br>common shareholders   | \$ (3,465) | \$ (11,186) |
|   |            |             |
| Basic weighted average shares<br>outstanding  | 22,132     | 17,160      |
| Plus MRP, common stock option and<br>common stock warrants<br>considered outstanding for diluted<br>EPS | 2          | 2           |
| Less dilutive shares not included as<br>they are anti-dilutive for<br>calculations of loss per share    | (2)        | (2)         |
|   | 22,132     | 17,160      |
|   |            |             |
| Earnings (loss) per common share  |            |             |
| Basic   | \$ (0.16)  | \$ (0.65)   |
| Diluted   | \$ (0.16)  | \$ (0.65)   |

Note 15: STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS AND STOCK OPTIONS

The Company operates the following stock-based compensation plans as approved by the shareholders: the 1996 Management Recognition and Development Plan (MRP), a restricted stock plan; and the 1996 Stock Option Plan, the 1998 Stock Option Plan and the 2001 Stock Option Plan (collectively, SOPs). Authority to grant awards under the 1996 MRP and 1996 and 1998 SOPs has expired and, as of March 31, 2010, there were only 51,595 options eligible for grants under the 2001 SOP. We did not make any grants under any of these plans during the three months ended March 31, 2010 or the twelve months ended December 31, 2009. Stock based compensation costs related to the MRP and SOPs were \$19,000 and \$49,000 for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. At March 31, 2010, there were options for 495,372 shares outstanding with a weighted average exercise price of \$22.34 per share and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 3.5 years. None of the options had any intrinsic value on that date. The Company had \$69,000 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options at March 31, 2010 that are expected to be recognized over the remaining contractual term of the underlying grants. All compensation expense related to the MRP program was fully amortized as of March 31, 2010.

**Banner Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan:** In June 2006, the Board of Directors adopted the Banner Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan effective July 1, 2006. The Plan is an account-based type of benefit, the value of which is directly related to changes in the value of Company common stock, dividends declared on the Company common stock and changes in Banner Bank's average earnings rate, and for accounting purposes is considered a stock appreciation right (SAR). Each SAR entitles the holder to receive cash, upon vesting, equal to the excess of the fair market value of a share of the Company's common stock on the date of exercise over the fair market value of such share on the date granted plus the dividends declared on the stock from the date of grant to the date of vesting. On April 27, 2008, the Board of Directors amended the Plan and also authorized the repricing of certain awards to non-executive officers based upon the price of Banner common stock three business days following the public announcement of the Company's earnings for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. The primary objective of the Plan is to create a retention incentive by allowing officers who remain with the Company or the Banks for a sufficient period of time to share in the increases in the value of Company stock. Detailed information with respect to the Plan and the amendments to the Plan were disclosed on Forms 8-K filed with SEC on July 19, 2006 and May 6, 2008. The accounting standards require us to remeasure the fair value of SARs each reporting period until the award is settled. In addition, compensation expense must be recognized each reporting period for changes in fair value and vesting. We recognized compensation expense of \$137,000 and \$16,000 for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to the change in the fair value of SARs and additional vesting during the period.

Note 16: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Financial Instruments with Off-Balance-Sheet Risk

We have financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk generated in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Our exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument from commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual notional amount of those instruments. We use the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as for on-balance-sheet instruments. As of March 31, 2010, outstanding commitments for which no liability has been recorded consisted of the following (in thousands):



|   | Contract or<br>Notional Amount |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk:             |                                |
| Commitments to extend credit  |                                |
| Real estate secured for commercial, construction or land development            | \$ 94,521                      |
| Revolving open-end lines secured by 1-4 family residential properties           | 120,021                        |
| Credit card lines   | 68,502                         |
| Other, primarily business and agricultural loans                                | 431,063                        |
| Real estate secured by one- to four-family residential properties               | 31,337                         |
| Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees                              | 6,936                          |
| <b>Total</b>  | <b>\$ 752,380</b>              |
| Commitments to sell loans secured by one- to four-family residential properties | \$ 31,337                      |
| Interest rate swaps notional amount   | \$ 19,519                      |

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer, as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Many of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon; therefore, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Each customer's creditworthiness is evaluated on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies, but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, and income producing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee a customer's performance or payment to a third party. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

Interest rates on residential one- to four-family mortgage loan applications are typically rate locked (committed) to customers during the application stage for periods ranging from 30 to 60 days, the most typical period currently being 45 days. Typically, pricing for the sale of these loans is locked with various qualified investors under a best-efforts delivery program at or near the time the interest rate is locked with the customer. We attempt to deliver these loans before their rate locks expire. This arrangement generally requires us to deliver the loans prior to the expiration of the rate lock. Delays in funding the loans can require a lock extension. The cost of a lock extension at times is borne by the customer and at times by us. These lock extension costs paid by us are not expected to have a material impact to our operations. This activity is managed daily. Changes in the value of rate lock commitments are recorded as other assets and liabilities. For additional information, see "Derivative Instruments" under Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed with the SEC.

The Company has stand-alone derivative instruments in the form of interest rate swap agreements, which derive their value from underlying interest rates. These transactions involve both credit and market risk. The notional amount is the amount on which calculations, payments and the value of the derivative are based. The notional amount does not represent direct credit exposure. Direct credit exposure is limited to the net difference between the calculated amount to be received and paid. This difference represents the fair value of the derivative instrument. All of the Company's interest rate swap agreements are with the Pacific Coast Bankers' Bank (PCBB) as the counterparty. The Company has swapped fixed-rate cash flows that it receives from its customers for variable-rate cash flows that it receives from PCBB. The net changes in fair value of the derivatives are recorded in loans and other liabilities.

The Company is exposed to credit-related losses in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty to these agreements. Credit risk of the financial contract is controlled through the credit approval, limits, and monitoring procedures and management does not expect the counterparty to fail its obligations.

ITEM 2 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Management’s Discussion and Analysis and other portions of this report on Form 10-Q contain certain forward-looking statements concerning our future operations. Management desires to take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and is including this statement so that we may rely on the protections of such safe harbor with respect to all forward-looking statements contained in this report. We have used forward-looking statements to describe future plans and strategies, including expectations of our future financial results. Our ability to predict results or the effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could cause actual results to differ materially include, but are not limited to, the credit risks of lending activities, including changes in the level and trend of loan delinquencies and write-offs and changes in our allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses that may be impacted by deterioration in the housing and commercial real estate markets; changes in general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas; changes in the levels of general interest rates and the relative differences between short and long-term interest rates, deposit interest rates, our net interest margin and funding sources; fluctuations in the demand for loans, the number of unsold homes, land and other properties and fluctuations in real estate values in our market areas; secondary market conditions for loans and our ability to sell loans in the secondary market; results of examinations of us by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve Board) and of our bank subsidiaries by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC), the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Banks (the Washington DFI) or other regulatory authorities, including our compliance with the Memoranda of Understanding and the possibility that any such regulatory authority may, among other things, institute a formal or informal enforcement action against us or any of the Banks which could require us to increase our reserve for loan losses, write-down assets, change our regulatory capital position or affect our ability to borrow funds or maintain or increase deposits, which could adversely affect our liquidity and earnings; legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect our business including changes in regulatory policies and principles, or the interpretation of regulatory capital or other rules; our ability to attract and retain deposits; further increases in premiums for deposit insurance; our ability to control operating costs and expenses; the use of estimates in determining fair value of certain of our assets, which estimates may prove to be incorrect or result in significant declines in valuation; staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect our workforce and potential associated charges; the failure or security breach of computer systems on which we depend; our ability to retain key members of our senior management team; costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments; our ability to implement our business strategies; our ability to successfully integrate any assets, liabilities, customers, systems, and management personnel we may acquire into our operations and our ability to realize related revenue synergies and cost savings within expected time frames and any goodwill charges related thereto; increased competitive pressures among financial services companies; changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits; the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules, or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions; our ability to pay dividends on our common and preferred stock and interest or principal payments on our junior subordinated debentures; adverse changes in the securities markets; inability of key third-party providers to perform their obligations to us; changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the financial institution regulatory agencies or the Financial Accounting Standards Board including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods; war or terrorist activities; other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and technological factors affecting our operations, pricing, products and services; future legislative changes in the United States Department of Treasury (Treasury) Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) Capital Purchase Program; and other risks detailed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Any forward-looking statements are based upon management’s beliefs and assumptions at the time they are made. We do not undertake and specifically disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statements included in this report or to update the reasons why actual results could differ from those contained in such statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. These

risks could cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements by, or on behalf of, us. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking statements discussed in this report might not occur, and you should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements.

As used throughout this report, the terms “we”, “our”, “us”, or the “Company” refer to Banner Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries.

#### Executive Overview

We are a bank holding company incorporated in the State of Washington and own two subsidiary banks, Banner Bank and Islanders Bank. Banner Bank is a Washington-chartered commercial bank that conducts business from its main office in Walla Walla, Washington and, as of March 31, 2010, its 86 branch offices and seven loan production offices located in Washington, Oregon and Idaho. Islanders Bank is also a Washington-chartered commercial bank and conducts its business from three locations in San Juan County, Washington. As of March 31, 2010, we had total consolidated assets of \$4.6 billion, total loans of \$3.6 billion, total deposits of \$3.8 billion and total stockholders' equity of \$406.7 million.

Banner Bank is a regional bank which offers a wide variety of commercial banking services and financial products to individuals, businesses and public sector entities in its primary market areas. Islanders Bank is a community bank which offers similar banking services to individuals, businesses and public entities located in the San Juan Islands. The Banks' primary business is that of traditional banking institutions, accepting deposits and originating loans in locations surrounding their offices in portions of Washington, Oregon and Idaho. Banner Bank is also an active participant in the secondary market, engaging in mortgage banking operations largely through the origination and sale of one- to four-family residential loans. Lending activities include commercial business and commercial real estate loans, agriculture business loans, construction and land development loans, one- to four-family residential loans and consumer loans.

Weak economic conditions and ongoing strains in the financial and housing markets which accelerated throughout 2008 and generally continued in 2009 and into the first quarter of 2010 have presented an unusually challenging environment for banks. For Banner Corporation, this has been particularly evident in our need to provide for credit losses during these periods at significantly higher levels than our historical experience

and has also affected our net interest income and other operating revenues and expenses. As a result of these factors, for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we had a net loss of \$1.5 million which, after providing for the preferred stock dividend and related discount accretion, resulted in a net loss to common shareholders of \$3.5 million, or (\$0.16) per diluted share, compared to a net loss to common shareholders of \$11.2 million, or (\$0.65) per diluted share, for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. Although there are indications that economic conditions are improving, this stress in the economy has been the most significant challenge impacting our recent operating results and, like most financial institutions, our future operating results will be significantly affected by the course of recovery from the recession.

Our provision for loan losses was \$14.0 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$22.0 million recorded for the same period a year earlier. The significant provision for loan losses in both quarters reflects material levels of delinquencies, non-performing loans and net charge-offs, particularly for loans for the construction of one- to four-family homes and for acquisition and development of land for residential properties. For most of the past two and a half years, housing markets remained weak in many of our primary service areas, resulting in elevated levels of delinquencies and non-performing assets and deterioration in property values, particularly for residential land and building lots, and the resultant need to provide for realized and anticipated losses. By contrast, other non-housing related segments of our loan portfolio, while showing some signs of stress, have performed as expected with only normal levels of credit problems given the serious economic slowdown. Since the second quarter of 2008, the higher than historical provision for loan losses has been the most significant factor affecting our operating results and, while we are encouraged by the continuing reduction in our exposure to residential construction loans and the recent slowdown in the surfacing of new problem assets, looking forward we anticipate our credit costs will remain elevated for a number of quarters and will have a continuing adverse effect on our earnings during 2010. (See Note 8, Allowance for Loan Losses, as well as "Asset Quality" below.)

Aside from the level of loan loss provision, our operating results depend primarily on our net interest income, which is the difference between interest income on interest-earning assets, consisting of loans and investment securities, and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, composed primarily of customer deposits and borrowings. Net interest income is primarily a function of our interest rate spread, which is the difference between the yield earned on interest-earning assets and the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, as well as a function of the average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. As more fully explained below, our net interest income before provision for loan losses increased by \$3.2 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 to \$38.2 million compared to \$35.0 million for the same quarter one year earlier, reflecting improvement in our net interest spread and net interest margin as asset yields have remained relatively stable over the past year while our funding costs continued to decrease. Similar to the second half of 2009, our net interest margin improved meaningfully in the current quarter as rapidly declining interest expense on deposits contributed to significantly lower funding costs. This trend to lower funding costs and the resulting increase in the net interest margin represents an important improvement in the core operating fundamentals of the Company, which should provide a solid base to build upon as the economy begins to recover.

Our net income also is affected by the level of our other operating income, including deposit fees and service charges, loan origination and servicing fees, gains and losses on the sale of loans and securities, non-interest operating expenses and income tax provisions. In addition, our net income is affected by the net change in the value of certain financial instruments carried at fair value (see Note 12, Fair Value Accounting and Measurement) and in certain periods by other-than-temporary losses on investment securities. For the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we recorded an aggregate net gain of \$677,000 (\$433,000 after tax) in fair value adjustments, which was comprised of \$1.9 million (\$1.2 million after tax) in net fair value gains which were significantly offset by a \$1.2 million (\$788,000 after tax) other-than-temporary impairment charge for a single-issuer trust preferred security that we wrote off. By comparison, we recorded a net loss of \$3.3 million (\$2.1 million after tax) in fair value adjustments for the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

Other operating income, excluding the fair value adjustments and other-than-temporary impairment losses, decreased \$854,000 to \$7.0 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 from \$7.9 million for the same period one year earlier, primarily as a result of decreased gain on the sale of loans from mortgage banking operations somewhat offset by an increase in loan servicing fees. Revenues (net interest income before the provision for loan losses plus other operating income), excluding fair value adjustments and other-than-temporary impairment losses, increased \$2.3 million to \$45.2 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$42.9 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, primarily as a result of the significant decrease in the cost of deposits over the past twelve months. Other operating expenses were \$35.4 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to the \$33.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. The current quarter's expenses reflect significantly increased costs associated with problem loan collection activities including professional services and charges related to real estate owned, and increased deposit insurance expense. These increases were partially offset by reductions in compensation, occupancy, and miscellaneous expenses.

As noted above, in the quarter ended March 31, 2010, our net income included a \$1.9 million net gain in the valuation of the selected financial assets and liabilities we record at fair value that was significantly offset by a \$1.2 million other-than-temporary impairment loss. These adjustments resulted in a net reduction of \$433,000 (net after tax), or \$0.02 per share (diluted), to the net loss reported for the quarter ended March 31, 2010. By comparison, the \$3.3 million fair value charge in the same quarter one year earlier contributed \$2.1 million (net after tax), or (\$0.12) per share (diluted) to the net loss. Excluding the net fair value adjustments and other-than-temporary impairment loss, the net loss from core operations was \$2.0 million (\$3.9 million available to common shareholders) for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$7.2 million (\$9.1 million available to common shareholders) for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. Earnings or loss from core operations and other earnings information excluding the change in valuation of financial instruments carried at fair value and other-than-temporary impairment loss represent non-GAAP financial measures. Management has presented these non-GAAP financial measures in this discussion and analysis because it believes that they provide useful and comparative information to assess trends in our core operations. Where applicable, we have also presented comparable earnings information using GAAP financial measures. The decreased loss from core operations primarily reflects the decreased loan loss provisioning and wider net interest margin, partially offset by increased collection costs on real estate owned and higher FDIC insurance charges. See "Comparison of Results of Operations for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009" for more detailed information about our financial performance.

We offer a wide range of loan products to meet the demands of our customers. Historically, our lending activities have been primarily directed toward the origination of real estate and commercial loans. Real estate lending activities have been significantly focused on residential construction and first mortgages on owner occupied, one- to four-family residential properties; however, over the past two years our origination of construction and land development loans has declined materially and the proportion of the portfolio invested in these types of loans has declined. By contrast, residential mortgage loan originations during this cycle have remained reasonably stable, primarily reflecting the impact of exceptionally low interest rates on the demand for loans to refinance existing debt as well as loans to finance home purchases. Our real estate lending activities have also included the origination of multifamily and commercial real estate loans. Our commercial business lending has been directed toward meeting the credit and related deposit needs of various small- to medium-sized business and agri-business borrowers operating in our primary market areas. Reflecting the recessionary environment, in recent periods demand for these types of commercial business loans has been weak; however, total outstanding balances have remained relatively unchanged. We have also increased our emphasis on consumer lending, although demand for consumer loans also has been modest in recent quarters. Still, the portion of the loan portfolio invested in consumer loans has increased and as of March 31, 2010 represented 8% of total loans. While continuing our commitment to residential lending, including our mortgage banking activities, we expect commercial lending (including owner-occupied commercial real estate, commercial business and agricultural loans) and consumer lending to become increasingly more important activities for us. By contrast, we anticipate residential construction and related land development lending, which at March 31, 2010 represented 13% of the loan portfolio, compared to 20% a year earlier, will continue to be restrained by market conditions for the foreseeable future, as well as by our efforts to reduce our concentration in this type of lending. We also expect non-owner-occupied investor commercial real estate lending, for both construction and longer-term financing, to be curtailed with balances declining for the foreseeable future as we manage our concentration in these types of loans.

Deposits, customer retail repurchase agreements and loan repayments are the major sources of our funds for lending and other investment purposes. We compete with other financial institutions and financial intermediaries in attracting deposits. There is strong competition for transaction balances and savings deposits from commercial banks, credit unions and nonbank corporations, such as securities brokerage companies, mutual funds and other diversified companies, some of which have nationwide networks of offices. Much of the focus of our marketing effort and branch expansion, relocations and renovations has been directed toward attracting additional deposit customer relationships and balances. The long-term success of our deposit gathering activities is reflected not only in the growth of deposit balances, but also in increases in the level of deposit fees, service charges and other payment processing revenues compared to periods prior to that expansion. Reflecting a seasonal pattern, deposits declined slightly in the current quarter but increased by \$222 million, to \$3.850 billion at March 31, 2010 from \$3.628 billion at March 31, 2009 despite our decision to significantly reduce our exposure to public funds deposits, as the new higher collateralization requirements under Washington and Oregon state regulations have made retaining these deposits less desirable than in the past. In addition, although brokered deposits have never been an important component of our funding, we also chose to reduce brokered deposits by \$99 million over the same twelve-month period. Further, changes in the mix of deposits, which reflected the 8% year-over-year growth in non-interest-bearing accounts and a 28% increase in interest-bearing transaction and savings accounts, has been an important element in the improved funding costs of recent quarters.

We generally attract deposits from within our primary market areas by offering a broad selection of deposit instruments, including demand checking accounts, negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts, money market deposit accounts, regular savings accounts, certificates of deposit, cash management services and retirement savings plans. Deposit account terms vary according to the minimum balance required, the time periods the funds must remain on deposit and the interest rate, among other factors. In determining the terms of deposit accounts, we consider current market interest rates, profitability, matching deposit and loan products, and customer preferences and concerns.

Management's discussion and analysis of results of operations is intended to assist in understanding our financial condition and results of operations. The information contained in this section should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Selected Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

#### Summary of Critical Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 included in the Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 16, 2010. Various elements of our accounting policies, by their nature, are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. In particular, management has identified several accounting policies that, due to the judgments, estimates and assumptions inherent in those policies, are critical to an understanding of our financial statements. These policies relate to (i) the methodology for the recognition of interest income, (ii) determination of the provision and allowance for loan and lease losses, (iii) the valuation of financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value, (iv) the valuation of intangibles such as goodwill, core deposit intangibles and mortgage servicing rights and (v) the valuation of real estate held-for-sale. These policies and judgments, estimates and assumptions are described in greater detail below. Management believes that the judgments, estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of the financial statements are appropriate based on the factual circumstances at the time. However, given the sensitivity of the financial statements to these critical accounting policies, the use of other judgments, estimates and assumptions could result in material differences in our results of operations or financial condition. Further, subsequent changes in economic or market conditions could have a material impact on these estimates and our financial condition and operating results in future periods. There have been no significant changes in our application of accounting policies since December 31, 2009. For additional information concerning critical accounting policies, see Notes 1, 8, 9, 24 and 25 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2009 Form 10-K and the following:

**Interest Income:** Interest on loans and securities is accrued as earned unless management doubts the collectability of the asset or the unpaid interest. Interest accruals on loans are generally discontinued when loans become 90 days past due, at which time the loans are placed on nonaccrual status. All previously accrued but uncollected interest is deducted from interest income upon transfer to nonaccrual status. For any future payments collected, interest income is recognized only upon management's assessment that there is a strong likelihood that the full



amount of a loan will be repaid or recovered. A loan may be put on nonaccrual status sooner than this policy would dictate if, in management's judgment, the interest may be uncollectable. While less common, similar interest reversal and nonaccrual treatment is applied to investment securities if their ultimate collectability becomes questionable.

**Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses:** (Note 8) The provision for loan losses reflects the amount required to maintain the allowance for losses at an appropriate level based upon management's evaluation of the adequacy of general and specific loss reserves. We maintain an allowance for loan losses consistent in all material respects with the GAAP guidelines outlined in ASC 450, Contingencies. We have established systematic methodologies for the determination of the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses. The methodologies are set forth in a formal policy and take into consideration the need for an overall general valuation allowance as well as specific allowances that are tied to individual problem loans. We increase our allowance for loan losses by charging provisions for probable loan losses against our income and value impaired loans consistent with the accounting guidelines outlined in ASC 310, Receivables.

The allowance for losses on loans is maintained at a level sufficient to provide for estimated losses based on evaluating known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio and upon our continuing analysis of the factors underlying the quality of the loan portfolio. These factors include changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio, delinquency rates, actual loan loss experience, current and anticipated economic conditions, detailed analysis of individual loans for which full collectability may not be assured, and determination of the existence and realizable value of the collateral and guarantees securing the loans. Realized losses related to specific assets are applied as a reduction of the carrying value of the assets and charged immediately against the allowance for loan loss reserve. Recoveries on previously charged off loans are credited to the allowance. The reserve is based upon factors and trends identified by us at the time financial statements are prepared. Although we use the best information available, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary due to economic, operating, regulatory and other conditions beyond our control. The adequacy of general and specific reserves is based on our continuing evaluation of the pertinent factors underlying the quality of the loan portfolio, including changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio, delinquency rates, actual loan loss experience and current economic conditions, as well as individual review of certain large balance loans. Large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment include residential real estate and consumer loans and, as appropriate, smaller balance non-homogeneous loans. Larger balance non-homogeneous residential construction and land, commercial real estate, commercial business loans and unsecured loans are individually evaluated for impairment. Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, we determine that it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors involved in determining impairment include, but are not limited to, the financial condition of the borrower, the value of the underlying collateral and the current status of the economy. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or, as a practical expedient, at the loan's observable market price or the fair value of collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Subsequent changes in the value of impaired loans are included within the provision for loan losses in the same manner in which impairment initially was recognized or as a reduction in the provision that would otherwise be reported.

Our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance consists of several key elements, which include specific allowances, an allocated formula allowance and an unallocated allowance. Losses on specific loans are provided for when the losses are probable and estimable. General loan loss reserves are established to provide for inherent loan portfolio risks not specifically provided for. The level of general reserves is based on analysis of potential exposures existing in our loan portfolio including evaluation of historical trends, current market conditions and other relevant factors identified by us at the time the financial statements are prepared. The formula allowance is calculated by applying loss factors to outstanding loans, excluding those loans that are subject to individual analysis for specific allowances. Loss factors are based on our historical loss experience adjusted for significant environmental considerations, including the experience of other banking organizations, that in our judgment affect the collectability

of the portfolio as of the evaluation date. The unallocated allowance is based upon our evaluation of various factors that are not directly measured in the determination of the formula and specific allowances. This methodology may result in losses or recoveries differing significantly from those provided in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

While we believe the estimates and assumptions used in our determination of the adequacy of the allowance are reasonable, there can be no assurance that such estimates and assumptions will not be proven incorrect in the future, or that the actual amount of future provisions will not exceed the amount of past provisions or that any increased provisions that may be required will not adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the determination of the amount of the Banks' allowance for loan losses is subject to review by bank regulators as part of the routine examination process, which may result in the adjustment of reserves based upon their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

**Fair Value Accounting and Measurement:** (Note 12) We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. We include in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements information about the extent to which fair value is used to measure financial assets and liabilities, the valuation methodologies used and the impact on our results of operations and financial condition. Additionally, for financial instruments not recorded at fair value we disclose, where appropriate, our estimate of their fair value. For more information regarding fair value accounting, please refer to Note 12 in this Form 10-Q.

**Other Intangible Assets:** (Note 10) Other intangible assets consists primarily of core deposit intangibles (CDI), which are amounts recorded in business combinations or deposit purchase transactions related to the value of transaction-related deposits and the value of the customer relationships associated with the deposits. The major component of our intangible assets is core deposit intangibles arising from acquisitions. Core deposit intangibles are being amortized on an accelerated basis over a weighted average estimated useful life of eight years. These assets are reviewed at least annually for events or circumstances that could impact their recoverability. These events could include loss of the underlying core deposits, increased competition or adverse changes in the economy. To the extent other identifiable intangible assets are deemed unrecoverable, impairment losses are recorded in other non-interest expense to reduce the carrying amount of the assets.

Real Estate Owned: (Note 9) Property acquired by foreclosure or receiving a deed in lieu of foreclosure is recorded at the lower of estimated fair value, less cost to sell, or the carrying value of the defaulted loan. Development and improvement costs relating to the property are capitalized. The carrying value of the property is periodically evaluated by management and, if necessary, allowances are established to reduce the carrying value to net realizable value. Gains or losses at the time the property is sold are charged or credited to operations in the period in which they are realized. The amounts the Banks will ultimately recover from real estate may differ substantially from the carrying value of the assets because of market factors beyond the Banks' control or because of changes in the Banks' strategies for recovering the investment.

#### Comparison of Financial Condition at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009

General. Total assets decreased \$141 million, or 3%, to \$4.582 billion at March 31, 2010, from \$4.722 billion at December 31, 2009. Net loans receivable (gross loans less loans in process, deferred fees and discounts, and allowance for loan losses) decreased \$102 million, or 3%, to \$3.593 billion at March 31, 2010, from \$3.695 billion at December 31, 2009. The contraction in net loans was largely due to decreases of \$26 million in one- to four-family construction loans and \$23 million in land and land development loans. We also experienced decreases of \$21 million in commercial business loans, \$18 million in agricultural loans and \$10 million in commercial real estate loans. These changes were partially offset by increases of \$8 million in commercial and multi-family construction loans and \$4 million in commercial land and land development loans. We continue to maintain a significant, although decreasing, investment in construction and land loans, as new originations of these types of loans during the past two-and-a-half years has declined substantially and is expected to remain modest for the foreseeable future. As a result of the much slower pace of new originations and continuing payoffs on existing loans, transfers to real estate owned and charge-offs, loans to finance the construction of one- to four-family residential real estate, which totaled \$213 million at March 31, 2010, have decreased by \$442 million, or 67%, since their peak quarter-end balance of \$655 million at June 30, 2007. In addition, land and development loans, which totaled \$305 million at March 31, 2010, have decreased by \$197 million, or 39%, compared to their peak quarter-end balances of \$502 million at March 31, 2008. Given the current housing and economic environment, we anticipate that construction and land loan balances will continue to decline for the foreseeable future, although the pace of decline will be more modest as originations of new construction loans likely will increase somewhat as inventories of completed homes have been reduced and the build out of existing development projects will cautiously resume.

Securities decreased marginally, to \$309 million at March 31, 2010 from \$318 million at December 31, 2009, as repayments and sales exceeded a single \$5 million purchase and net fair value adjustments. During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, net fair value adjustments for trading and available-for-sale securities increased their carrying values by \$1 million, which was net of a \$1 million other-than-temporary impairment charge on a single-issuer trust preferred security. Effective January 1, 2007, we elected to reclassify many of our securities to fair value and, although we have not historically engaged in trading activities, these securities are reported as trading securities for financial reporting purposes. At March 31, 2010, the fair value of our trading securities was \$24 million less than their amortized cost. The reduction reflected in the fair value of these securities compared to their amortized cost primarily was due to a net decrease of \$21 million in the value of single-issuer trust preferred securities and collateralized debt obligations secured by pools of trust preferred securities issued by bank holding companies and insurance companies as well as a decrease of \$7 million in the value of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac common and preferred equity securities, offset by a \$4 million gain in all other trading securities. (See Note 12, Fair Value Accounting and Measurement, in the Selected Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.) Periodically, we also acquire securities which are designated as available-for-sale or held-to-maturity. At March 31, 2010, we recorded an increase of \$849,000 (\$543,000 net of tax) in net fair value adjustments related to available-for-sale securities, which was included as a component of other comprehensive income. Generally, securities designated as held-to-maturity are reported at their amortized cost for financial reporting purposes.

Real estate owned acquired through foreclosures increased \$17 million, to \$95 million at March 31, 2010, from \$78 million at December 31, 2009. The total balance of real estate owned included \$71 million in land or land development projects, \$8 million in commercial real estate and \$16 million in single-family homes at March 31, 2010. During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we transferred \$28 million of loans into real estate owned, capitalized additional investments of \$752,000 in acquired properties, disposed of approximately \$11 million of properties and recognized \$1.1 million in charges against earnings for valuation adjustments related to currently owned properties. (See "Asset Quality" discussion below.)

Deposits decreased \$16 million, to \$3.850 billion at March 31, 2010 from \$3.866 billion at December 31, 2009. Non-interest-bearing deposits decreased by \$33 million, or 6%, to \$549 million from \$582 million, and interest-bearing deposits increased by \$17 million, to \$3.300 billion at March 31, 2010 from \$3.283 billion at December 31, 2009. In response to the now higher costs of collateralizing public fund deposits and to reduce the shared risk exposure under Washington and Oregon State regulations, we encouraged the runoff of an additional \$3 million in public funds during the first quarter of 2010. We anticipate further declines in public fund deposits as we continue to adjust to these new regulations. We also elected to reduce brokered deposits by \$14 million during the quarter ended March 31, 2010, as funding from retail deposit growth was more than adequate to meet loan demand. The decrease in public funds and brokered deposits was offset by modest growth in retail deposits during the quarter.

FHLB advances decreased \$128 million, to \$62 million at March 31, 2010 from \$190 million at December 31, 2009, while other borrowings remained relatively unchanged at \$177 million at each of the last two quarter ends. The decrease in FHLB advances reflects the repayment of temporary increases in overnight borrowings that were outstanding at December 31, 2009 as a part of our short-term cash management activities. Other borrowings at March 31, 2010 include \$127 million of retail repurchase agreements that are primarily related to customer cash management accounts. Retail repurchase agreements increased by \$3 million during the quarter ended March 31, 2010. Other borrowings also include \$50 million of senior notes guaranteed by the FDIC under the TLGP, which is unchanged from the amount reported at December 31, 2009.

Junior subordinated debentures increased by \$453,000 since December 31, 2009, reflecting only modest fair value adjustments resulting from a minor increase in the level of three month LIBOR, as changes in credit market conditions during the quarter had an insignificant impact on the valuation of this type of security. Changes in the fair value of the junior subordinated debentures, while not significant in the first quarter of 2010, represent non-cash valuation adjustments that have no effect on our liquidity or ability to fund our operations. (See Note 12, Fair Value of Financial Instruments.)

During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we issued 1,561,559 additional shares of common stock for \$4 million at an average net per share price of \$2.77 through our Dividend Reinvestment and Direct Stock Purchase and Sale Plan. This stock issuance activity was partially offset by the changes in retained earnings as a result of losses from operations and the accrual of preferred stock dividends, resulting in a net \$2 million increase in stockholders' equity. During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we did not issue or repurchase any shares of Banner Corporation common stock in connection with the exercise of vested stock options and grants.

#### Comparison of Results of Operations for the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

Reflecting the economic recession, ongoing strains in the financial and housing markets, and further deterioration of property values for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we had a net loss of \$1.5 million which, after providing for the preferred stock dividend of \$1.6 million and related discount accretion of \$398,000, resulted in a net loss to common shareholders of \$3.5 million, or (\$0.16) per diluted share. This loss compares to a net loss to common shareholders of \$11.2 million, or (\$0.65) per diluted share, for the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

The net loss for the current quarter continues to reflect an elevated level of loan loss provisioning compared to our historical experience. However, our net interest margin did improve compared to the same quarter in the prior year, as well as the immediately preceding quarter, in response to declining deposit costs over the last twelve months. As more fully explained below, our provision for loan losses was \$14.0 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$22.0 million for the same quarter in the prior year. While decreased compared to the prior quarter and the first quarter of 2009, our provision for losses in the current quarter continues to reflect high levels of delinquencies, non-performing loans and net charge-offs, particularly for loans for the construction of one- to four-family homes and for acquisition and development of land for residential properties.

Our operating results for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 also reflected an increase in other operating income that included a \$1.9 million (1.2 million after tax) net gain as a result of changes in the valuation of financial instruments carried at fair value which was significantly offset by a \$1.2 million (\$788,000 after tax) other-than-temporary impairment loss. In the quarter ended March 31, 2009, our fair value adjustments resulted in a net loss of \$3.3 million (\$2.1 million after tax) and we did not have any impairment losses. Excluding these fair value adjustments and the other-than-temporary impairment loss, other operating income decreased to \$7.0 million for the quarter compared to \$7.9 million for the same quarter in 2009, primarily as a result of decreased gain on the sale of loans from mortgage banking operations. Other operating expenses increased \$1.6 million to \$35.4 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 from \$33.8 million a year earlier, which is primarily reflective of increased costs related to real estate owned and deposit insurance and was partially offset by decreased compensation and occupancy costs.

Compared to levels a year ago, total assets increased 2% to \$4.582 billion at March 31, 2010, net loans decreased 6% to \$3.593 billion, and deposits increased 6% to \$3.850 billion, while borrowings, including customer sweep accounts (retail repurchase agreements) and junior subordinated debentures, decreased \$120 million, or 29%, to \$287 million. The average balance of interest-earning assets was \$4.290 billion for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, a decrease of \$57 million, or 1%, compared to \$4.346 billion one year earlier.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income before provision for loan losses increased by \$3.2 million, or 9%, to \$38.2 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$35.0 million for the same quarter one year earlier, as a result of the increase in the net interest margin and despite a modest decrease in average interest-earning assets. The net interest margin of 3.61% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 was 35 basis points higher than the same quarter in the prior year, largely as a result of the effect of a much lower cost of deposits. The positive impact to our net interest margin from lower funding costs was partially offset by the adverse effect of continued high levels of nonaccrual loans and other non-performing assets. Nonaccruing loans reduced the margin by 34 basis points in the quarter ended March 31, 2010 compared to a 38 basis point reduction for the first quarter in the prior year. In addition, the mix of earning assets changed to include fewer loans and more securities and interest-bearing deposits over the past twelve months, as our on-balance-sheet liquidity has increased. This change in the mix in the current very low interest rate environment had an adverse effect on earning asset yields; however, this was more than offset by the significantly lower deposit costs. Reflecting a large increase in low rate interest-bearing deposits at the Federal Reserve, as well as further reduction in the yield on other securities caused by repayments and calls and a modest decrease in loan yields, the yield on earning assets for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 decreased by 34 basis points compared to the same quarter in prior year. Importantly, however, funding costs for the same period decreased by 77 basis points compared to a year earlier and more than offset this lower asset yield. As a result, the net interest spread expanded to 3.56% for the quarter compared to 3.13% for the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

Interest Income. Interest income for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 was \$56.0 million, compared to \$60.3 million for the same quarter in the prior year, a decrease of \$4.4 million, or 7%. The decrease in interest income occurred reflecting a 34 basis point decrease in the yield on earning assets coupled with a \$57 million decrease in the average balance of those assets. The yield on average interest-earning assets decreased to 5.29% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to 5.63% for the same quarter one year earlier. The decrease in the yield on earning assets primarily reflects the large amount of funds we have on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco at very low interest rates, as we have chosen to increase our on-balance-sheet liquidity. Average loans receivable for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$217 million, or 5%, to \$3.726 billion, compared to \$3.943 billion for the same quarter in the prior year. Interest income on loans decreased by \$3.6 million, or 6%, to \$52.8 million for the current quarter from \$56.3 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, reflecting the impact of a six basis point decrease in the average yield on loans, along with the \$217 million decrease in average loan balances. The decrease in average loan

yields reflects the continuing very low level of market interest rates during the past year and the maturity or repayment of higher yielding loans. The average yield on loans was 5.74% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to 5.80% for the same quarter one year earlier.

The combined average balance of mortgage-backed securities, investment securities, and daily interest-bearing deposits increased by \$160 million (excluding the effect of fair value adjustments) for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, while the interest and dividend income from those investments decreased by \$773,000 compared to the same quarter in the prior year. The effect of the increased average balance was more than offset as the average yield on the securities portfolio and cash equivalents decreasing 169 basis points to 2.31% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, from 4.00% for the same quarter one year earlier. As mentioned above, this decrease in the yield on the securities portfolio is a reflection of the current lower rate environment and a significant increase in daily interest-bearing deposits.

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 was \$17.8 million, compared to \$25.4 million for the prior quarter one year earlier, a decrease of \$7.6 million, or 30%. The decrease in interest expense occurred as a result of a 77 basis point decrease in the average cost of all interest-bearing liabilities to 1.73% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, from 2.50% for the same quarter one year earlier, somewhat offset by a \$64 million increase in average interest-bearing liabilities. The small increase in interest-bearing balances reflects net deposit growth during the year partially offset by an aggregate decrease in outstanding FHLB advances. The effect of lower average market rates for the quarter on the cost of these funds was partially mitigated by deposit pricing characteristics noted below.

Deposit interest expense decreased \$7.3 million, or 32%, to \$15.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$23.1 million for the same quarter in the prior year as a result of an 85 basis point decrease in the cost of interest-bearing deposits and despite a modest increase in the average balance of deposits. Average deposit balances increased \$108 million, to \$3.801 billion for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, from \$3.693 billion for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, while the average rate paid on deposit balances decreased to 1.69% in the current quarter from 2.54% for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. Deposit costs are significantly affected by changes in the level of market interest rates; however, changes in the average rate paid for interest-bearing deposits tend to be less severe and to lag changes in market interest rates. In addition, non-interest-bearing deposits dampen the effect of changes in market rates on our aggregate cost of deposits. This lower degree of volatility and lag effect for deposit pricing have been evident in the decrease in deposit costs as the Federal Reserve pursued policies first to aggressively lower short-term interest rates by 500 basis points from September 18, 2007 to December 31, 2008 and more recently to maintain the very low level of interest rates. Furthermore, competitive pricing pressure for interest-bearing deposits was quite intense for certain periods twelve to eighteen months ago, as many financial institutions experienced increased liquidity concerns in the deteriorating economic conditions. However, as market rates have remained low for an extended period and competitors' liquidity strains have been generally mitigated, we have experienced significantly declining deposit costs during 2009 and continuing into the first quarter of 2010. While we do not anticipate further reductions in market interest rates, we do expect additional declines in deposit costs over the near term as account maturities will present further repricing opportunities and competitive pricing has become more rational in response to modest loan demand in the current economic environment. Further, continued changes in our deposit mix, reflecting growth in lower cost transaction and savings accounts as our branch network has continued to mature, have also meaningfully contributed to the decrease in our funding costs.

Average FHLB advances (excluding the effect of fair value adjustments) decreased to \$69 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$134 million for the same quarter one year earlier. The average rate paid on FHLB advances for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 decreased slightly by five basis points to 2.13%, compared to the same quarter in the prior year, and augmented the effect of the \$65 million decrease in average FHLB borrowings, resulting in a \$359,000 decrease in the related interest expense. The lower average rate for FHLB advances primarily reflects the maturity of certain fixed-rate advances. Other borrowings consist of retail repurchase agreements with

customers, secured by certain investment securities, the senior bank notes issued under the TLGP, as well as overnight federal funds borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco and correspondent banks. The average balance for other borrowings, consisting of \$131 million in customer retail repurchase agreements and \$50 million of senior bank notes, was \$181 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, an increase of \$22 million over the same quarter in the prior year. The related interest expense for other borrowings increased by \$407,000, to \$634,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, from \$227,000 for the same quarter one year earlier, as an 84 basis point increase in the average rate paid was augmented by an increase in the average balance outstanding for the quarter. The average rate paid on other borrowings was 1.42% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to 0.58% for the same quarter one year earlier, largely reflecting the higher cost of the senior bank notes, which were only outstanding for one day in the quarter ended March 31, 2009. Repurchase agreements and federal funds borrowings generally have relatively short terms and therefore reprice to current market levels more quickly than deposits, which generally lag current market rates. The senior bank notes which were issued on March 31, 2009, have a fixed rate of 2.625% and fixed maturity with a 24 month remaining term to maturity at March 31, 2012. Junior subordinated debentures which were issued in connection with trust preferred securities had an average balance of \$124 million (excluding the effect of fair value adjustments) and an average cost of 3.37% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010. Junior subordinated debentures outstanding in the same quarter in the prior year had the same average balance of \$124 million (excluding the effect of fair value adjustments) but with a higher average rate of 4.37%. Generally, the junior subordinated debentures are adjustable-rate instruments with repricing frequencies of three months based upon the three-month LIBOR index. The lower average cost of the junior subordinated debentures in the current quarter reflects the impact of lower short-term market interest rates.



The following tables provide additional comparative data on our operating performance (dollars in thousands):

| Average Balances<br>(in thousands)  | Quarters Ended |              |
|---|----------------|--------------|
|   | March 31       |              |
|   | 2010           | 2009         |
| Investment securities and cash equivalents  | \$ 428,842     | \$ 221,035   |
| Mortgage-backed obligations   | 97,349         | 145,108      |
| FHLB stock  | 37,371         | 37,371       |
| Total average interest-earning securities and cash equivalents                                      | 563,562        | 403,514      |
| Loans receivable  | 3,726,243      | 3,942,917    |
| Total average interest-earning assets   | 4,289,805      | 4,346,431    |
| Non-interest-earning assets (including fair value adjustments on interest-earning assets)           | 258,060        | 193,188      |
| Total average assets  | \$ 4,547,865   | \$ 4,539,619 |
| Deposits  | \$ 3,800,888   | \$ 3,693,345 |
| Advances from FHLB  | 68,603         | 134,022      |
| Other borrowings  | 180,873        | 159,189      |
| Junior subordinated debentures  | 123,716        | 123,716      |
| Total average interest-bearing liabilities  | 4,174,080      | 4,110,272    |
| Non-interest-bearing liabilities (including fair value adjustments on interest-bearing liabilities) | (36,459)       | (7,922)      |
| Total average liabilities   | 4,137,621      | 4,102,350    |
| Equity  | 410,244        | 437,269      |
| Total average liabilities and equity  | \$ 4,547,865   | \$ 4,539,619 |
| Interest Rate Yield/Expense (rates are annualized)  |                |              |
| Interest Rate Yield:  |                |              |
| Investment securities and cash equivalents  | 1.97%          | 4.01%        |
| Mortgage-backed obligations   | 4.69%          | 5.03%        |
| FHLB stock  | 0.00%          | 0.00%        |
| Total interest rate yield on securities and cash equivalents  | 2.31%          | 4.00%        |
| Loans receivable  | 5.74%          | 5.80%        |
| Total interest rate yield on interest-earning assets  | 5.29%          | 5.63%        |
| Interest Rate Expense:  |                |              |
| Deposits  | 1.69%          | 2.54%        |
| Advances from FHLB  | 2.13%          | 2.18%        |
| Other borrowings  | 1.42%          | 0.58%        |
| Junior subordinated debentures  | 3.37%          | 4.37%        |

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

|  |         |         |
|--|---------|---------|
| Total interest rate expense on interest-bearing liabilities              | 1.73%   | 2.50%   |
| Interest spread  | 3.56%   | 3.13%   |
| Net interest margin on interest earning assets                           | 3.61%   | 3.26%   |
| Additional Key Financial Ratios (ratios are annualized)                  |         |         |
| Return (loss) on average assets  | (0.14)% | (0.83)% |
| Return (loss) on average equity  | (1.50)% | (8.59)% |
| Average equity / average assets  | 9.02%   | 9.63%   |
| Average interest-earning assets / interest-bearing liabilities           | 102.77% | 105.75% |
| Non-interest (other operating) income/average assets                     | 0.69%   | 0.42%   |
| Non-interest (other operating) expenses / average assets                 | 3.16%   | 3.02%   |
| Efficiency ratio<br>[non-interest (other operating) expenses / revenues] | 77.20%  | 85.32%  |
| Tangible common stockholders' equity to tangible assets (1)              | 6.09%   | 6.56%   |

(1) Tangible common equity and tangible assets exclude preferred stock, goodwill, core deposit and other intangibles.

Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses. During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, the provision for loan losses was \$14.0 million, compared to \$22.0 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. As discussed in the Summary of Critical Accounting Policies section above and in Note 1 of the Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, the provision and allowance for loan losses is one of the most critical accounting estimates included in our Consolidated Financial Statements. Throughout 2009 and continuing into the current quarter, the provision for loan losses has been the most important factor contributing to our disappointing operating results. The provision for loan losses reflects the amount required to maintain the allowance for losses at an appropriate level based upon management's evaluation of the adequacy of general and specific loss reserves, trends in delinquencies and net charge-offs and current economic conditions. We believe that the allowance for loan losses as of March 31, 2010 was adequate to absorb the probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio at that date and that the estimates and assumptions used in our determination of the adequacy of the allowance are reasonable.

While the provision for loan losses in the quarter ended March 31, 2010 declined compared to the immediately preceding quarter and the same quarter one year ago, it still remains significantly elevated in relation to previous historical loss rates. Nonetheless, it is meaningful to note that in the second half of the prior year and continuing into the current quarter the pace of net charge-offs and problem loan identification moderated in each successive quarter. The provision for loan losses for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 continued to primarily reflect material levels of delinquent and non-performing construction, land and land development loans for one- to four-family properties and additional declines in property values. It also reflects our concerns that the significant number of distressed sellers and lender foreclosures may further disrupt certain housing markets and adversely affect home prices and the demand for building lots. These concerns heightened during the second half of 2008 and remained elevated through 2009 and into the current year as evidence of price declines for certain housing and related lot and land markets has become more apparent. This has been particularly the case in certain areas of the Puget Sound and Portland regions where a significant portion of our construction and development loans are located, although more recently prices in certain sub-markets have shown signs of stabilizing. Aside from housing-related construction and development loans, non-performing loans generally reflect unique operating difficulties for the individual borrower; however, the weak pace of general economic activity has also become a significant contributing factor. We recorded net charge-offs of \$14 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$17 million for the preceding quarter and \$22 million for the same quarter in the prior year. Non-performing loans decreased by \$28 million over the last twelve months to \$196 million at March 31, 2010, compared to \$224 million at March 31, 2009. A comparison of the allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2010 and 2009 reflects an increase of \$16 million, or 20%, to \$96 million at March 31, 2010, from \$80 million at March 31, 2009. Similarly, the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans (loans receivable excluding allowance for losses) increased to 2.60% at March 31, 2010, compared to 2.04% at March 31, 2009. Likewise the allowance as a percentage of non-performing loans increased to 49% at March 31, 2010, compared to 36% a year earlier.

As of March 31, 2010, we had identified \$242 million of impaired loans, including \$45 million of restructured loans which are currently performing according to their restructured payment terms. Of those impaired loans, \$98 million have no allowances for credit losses as their estimated collateral value is equal to or exceeds their carrying costs, which in some cases is net of substantial write-offs. The remaining \$144 million have related allowances for credit losses totaling \$21 million. Impaired loans that have been individually evaluated for specific reserves totaled \$77 million and accounted for \$16 million of the allowances for impaired loans at March 31, 2010. Also, at March 31, 2010, impaired loans with related allowances for credit losses that are collectively evaluated as homogeneous pools totaled \$67 million and accounted for \$5 million of the total allowance related to impaired loans.

We believe that the allowance for loan losses as of March 31, 2010 was adequate to absorb the known and inherent risks of loss in the loan portfolio at that date. While we believe the estimates and assumptions used in our determination of the adequacy of the allowance are reasonable, there can be no assurance that such estimates and assumptions will not be proven incorrect in the future, or that the actual amount of future provisions will not exceed

the amount of past provisions or that any increased provisions that may be required will not adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the determination of the amount of the allowance for loan losses is subject to review by bank regulators as part of the routine examination process, which may result in the establishment of additional reserves based upon their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

**Other Operating Income.** Other operating income, which includes changes in the valuation of financial instruments carried at fair value as well as non-interest revenues from core operations, was \$7.7 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$4.6 million for the same quarter in the prior year. Excluding the fair value adjustments, other operating income from core operations decreased by \$854,000, or 11%, to \$7.0 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$7.9 million for the same quarter prior year, primarily as a result of decreased mortgage banking activity. The pace of mortgage banking activity began moderating in the final quarter of 2009; however, for much of the prior year it was strong and, as a result, gain on sale of loans decreased by \$1.8 million to \$948,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$2.7 million for the same quarter in the prior year. Loan sales for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 totaled \$67 million, compared to \$149 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. By contrast, reflecting slower amortization due to early loan payoffs as well as an increase in the balance of loans serviced for others, servicing fees increased by \$583,000 compared to the same quarter a year earlier. The weak pace of economic activity adversely affected our payment processing revenues in both years as activity levels for deposit customers, cardholders and merchants remained subdued. Despite the restrained level of customer transaction volumes, income from deposit fees and other service charges increased modestly by \$233,000, or approximately 5%, to \$5.2 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$4.9 million for the same quarter in the prior year, aided in part by growth in our account base. For the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we recorded an aggregate net gain of \$677,000 in fair value adjustments, which was comprised of \$1.9 million in net fair value gains which were significantly offset by a \$1.2 million other-than-temporary impairment charge for a single-issuer trust preferred security that we wrote off. By comparison, we recorded a net loss of \$3.3 million in fair value adjustments for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. The fair value adjustments in the current quarter were modest and primarily reflect changes in the value of certain investment securities, including the other-than-temporary impairment charge. The fair value adjustments in the quarter ended March 31, 2009 were larger and included significant reductions in the values of trust preferred securities which we own, including collateralized debt obligations secured by pools of trust preferred securities, which were partially offset by reductions in the values of junior subordinated debentures we have issued. As discussed more thoroughly in Note 12 of the Selected

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the valuation of these financial instruments has become very difficult and more subjective in recent periods as current and reliable observable transaction data does not exist.

**Other Operating Expenses.** Other operating expenses for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 increased \$1.6 million or 5% to \$35.4 million compared to \$33.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. Expenses for the first quarter of 2010 reflected significantly higher costs associated with problem loan collection activities including charges related to real estate owned, and increased deposit insurance expense, generally offset by reductions in compensation and occupancy costs. As a result, other operating expenses as a percentage of average assets was 3.16% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to 3.02% for the same quarter one year earlier. Expenses related to real estate owned, including losses on sales and valuation adjustments as well as taxes and maintenance, increased \$2.4 million, or 391% to \$3.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$623,000 for the same quarter in the prior year. Likewise, the cost of FDIC insurance increased \$635,000, or 42% to \$2.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$1.5 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, reflecting increased assessment rates and incremental charges for certain deposits in excess of \$250,000. Advertising and marketing expenditures increased by \$118,000, or 6% to \$2.0 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$1.8 million in the same quarter in the prior year, primarily as a result of a number of targeted deposit acquisition campaigns and costs associated with our Great Northwest Home Rush and Peace of Mind programs. In contrast, salary and employee benefits decreased \$1.0 million, or 6% to \$16.6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 from \$17.6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, reflecting reduced staffing levels as well as reductions in the level of employer paid retirement contributions. Likewise, occupancy costs decreased \$450,000, or 7% to \$5.6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$6.1 million in the same quarter one year ago as we continued to achieve additional operating efficiencies in this important area. Miscellaneous expense for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 decreased by \$515,000 compared to the same quarter a year earlier when we recoded a charge of \$655,000 for a shared risk assessment from the Washington Public Deposit Protection Commission related to the failure of a Washington State chartered commercial bank.

**Income Taxes.** Our normal, expected statutory income tax rate is 36.4%, representing a blend of the statutory federal income tax rate of 35.0% and apportioned effects of the Oregon and Idaho income tax rates of 6.6% and 7.6%, respectively. Our effective tax rates for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 were 57.2% and 42.8%, respectively, in each case reflecting a tax benefit rather than a tax expense. In both years the effective tax rate reflects the recording of tax credits related to certain Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) investments combined with the tax benefits of tax exempt income from municipal securities and bank-owned life insurance policies. The impact of those tax credits and tax exempt income, combined with a taxable loss in the current year, results in an effective tax rate that is somewhat higher than the expected statutory rate.

#### Asset Quality

Over the past two and a half years as housing markets have continued to weaken in many of our primary service areas, we have experienced significantly increasing delinquencies and non-performing assets, primarily in our construction and land development loan portfolios. Beginning in the third quarter of 2008 and continuing into the early months of 2009, home and lot sales activity was exceptionally slow, causing stress on builders' and developers' cash flows and their ability to service debt, which is reflected in our increased non-performing asset totals. Further, property values generally declined during this period, reducing the value of the collateral securing loans. In addition, other non-housing-related segments of the loan portfolio are showing some signs of stress and increasing levels of non-performing loans as the effects of the recessionary economy are becoming more evident. As a result, for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, our provision for loan losses was significantly higher than historical levels and our normal expectations. This higher level of delinquencies and non-accruals also had a material adverse effect on operating income as a result of foregone interest revenues and increased loan collection costs. Although our future results will depend on the course of recovery from the economic recession, we are actively engaged with our borrowers in resolving problem loans. While property values have continued to decline in most markets, our reserve

levels are substantial and, as a result of our impairment analysis and charge-off actions, reflect current appraisals and valuation estimates as well as recent regulatory examination results.

**Non-Performing Assets:** Non-performing assets decreased slightly to \$294 million, or 6.42% of total assets, at March 31, 2010, from \$296 million, or 6.27% of total assets at December 31, 2009. Slow sales and excess inventory in most housing markets have been the primary cause of the increase in delinquencies and foreclosures of residential construction and land development loans, which, including related real estate owned, represented approximately 64% of our non-performing assets at March 31, 2010. As a result of this softness in the housing market, property values, particularly values for residential land and building lots, declined throughout 2009 and in certain sub-markets continuing in the first quarter of 2010. Reflecting these value declines, we further increased our allowance for loan losses even though total loans outstanding declined. While less significant, other non-housing-related segments of the loan portfolio also experienced increased non-performing loans as a result of deteriorating economic conditions and we increased the allocated allowance for those portions of our portfolio as well. At March 31, 2010, our allowance for loan losses was \$95.7 million, or 2.60% of total loans and 49% of non-performing loans, compared to \$95.3 million, or 2.51% of total loans and 45% of non-performing loans at December 31, 2009. We continue to believe our level of non-performing loans and assets, which remained relatively unchanged over the current quarter, is manageable, and we believe that we have sufficient capital and human resources to manage the collection of our one- to four-family residential construction and related land loan portfolios and other non-performing assets in an orderly fashion. However, our operating results will continue to be adversely impacted until we are able to significantly reduce the level of our non-performing assets.

While non-performing assets are geographically disbursed, they are concentrated largely in land and land development loans. The primary components of the \$294 million in non-performing assets are \$196 million in nonaccrual loans, including \$138 million of construction and land development loans, and \$95 million in real estate owned (REO) and other repossessed assets. While we had a modest decrease in our non-accrual loans in the most recent quarter, it was substantially offset by a corresponding increase in REO as we continued to work through these problem credits. The geographic distribution of non-performing construction, land and land development loans and related real estate owned included approximately \$94 million, or 43%, in the Puget Sound region, \$80 million, or 36%, in the greater Portland market area, \$23 million,

or 10%, in the greater Boise market area, with the remaining \$24 million, or 11%, distributed in various eastern Washington, eastern Oregon and northern Idaho markets.

Loans are reported as restructured when we grant concessions to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties that we would not otherwise consider. As a result of these concessions, restructured loans are impaired as the Bank will not collect all amounts due, both principal and interest, in accordance with the terms of the original loan agreement. If any restructured loan becomes delinquent or other matters call into question the borrower's ability to repay full interest and principal in accordance with the restructured terms, the restructured loan(s) would be reclassified as non-accrual.

The following table sets forth information with respect to our non-performing assets and restructured loans at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

|   | March 31<br>2010 | December 31<br>2009 | March 31<br>2009 |
|---|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Nonaccrual Loans: (1)   |                  |                     |                  |
| Secured by real estate:   |                  |                     |                  |
| Commercial  | \$ 6,801         | \$ 7,300            | \$ 15,180        |
| Multifamily   | 373              | 383                 | 968              |
| Construction and land   | 138,245          | 159,264             | 175,794          |
| One- to four-family   | 19,777           | 14,614              | 21,900           |
| Commercial business   | 19,353           | 21,640              | 7,500            |
| Agricultural business, including secured by farmland                        | 8,013            | 6,277               | 2,176            |
| Consumer  | 3,387            | 3,923               | 275              |
|   | 195,949          | 213,401             | 223,793          |
| Loans more than 90 days delinquent, still on accrual:                       |                  |                     |                  |
| Secured by real estate:   |                  |                     |                  |
| Commercial  | --               | --                  | --               |
| Multifamily   | --               | --                  | --               |
| Construction and land   | --               | --                  | --               |
| One- to four-family   | --               | 358                 | 161              |
| Commercial business   | --               | --                  | --               |
| Agricultural business, including secured by farmland                        | --               | --                  | --               |
| Consumer  | 61               | 91                  | 143              |
|   | 61               | 449                 | 304              |
| Total non-performing loans  | 196,010          | 213,850             | 224,097          |
| Securities on nonaccrual at fair value                                      | 3,000            | 4,232               | 160              |
| Real estate owned and other repossessed assets held for sale,<br>net        | 95,167           | 77,802              | 39,109           |
| Total non-performing assets   | \$ 294,177       | \$ 295,884          | \$ 263,366       |
| Total non-performing loans to net loans before allowance<br>for loan losses | 5.31%            | 5.64%               | 5.72%            |
| Total non-performing loans to total assets                                  | 4.28%            | 4.53%               | 4.97%            |
| Total non-performing assets to total assets                                 | 6.42%            | 6.27%               | 5.84%            |
| Restructured loans (2)  | \$ 45,471        | \$ 43,683           | \$ 27,550        |

|  |    |        |    |        |    |         |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|----|---------|
| Loans 30-89 days past due and on accrual | \$ | 51,328 | \$ | 34,156 | \$ | 111,683 |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|----|---------|

(1) For the quarter ended March 31, 2010, \$3.6 million in interest income would have been recorded had nonaccrual loans been current, and no interest income on these loans was included in net income for this period.

(2) These loans are performing under their restructured terms.



The following table sets forth the Company's non-performing assets by geographic concentration at March 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

|  | Washington | Oregon    | Idaho     | Other    | Total      |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Non-performing loans:                                |            |           |           |          |            |
| Secured by real estate:                              |            |           |           |          |            |
| Commercial   | \$ 6,106   | \$ 12     | \$ 339    | \$ 344   | \$ 6,801   |
| Multifamily  | 373        | --        | --        | --       | 373        |
| Construction and land                                |            |           |           |          |            |
| One- to four-family construction                     | 13,529     | 12,989    | 5,723     | --       | 32,241     |
| Multifamily construction                             | 11,283     | --        | --        | --       | 11,283     |
| Commercial construction                              | 1,552      | --        | --        | --       | 1,552      |
| Residential land acquisition & development           |            |           |           |          |            |
| Residential land improved lots                       | 29,992     | 22,063    | 1,071     | --       | 53,126     |
| Residential land improved lots                       | 6,317      | 8,145     | 588       | --       | 15,050     |
| Residential land unimproved                          | 10,127     | 348       | 321       | --       | 10,796     |
| Commercial land acquisition & development            |            |           |           |          |            |
| Commercial land improved                             | --         | --        | --        | --       | --         |
| Commercial land improved                             | --         | 10,065    | --        | --       | 10,065     |
| Commercial land unimproved                           | 4,132      | --        | --        | --       | 4,132      |
| Total construction and land                          | 76,932     | 53,610    | 7,703     | --       | 138,245    |
| One- to four-family                                  | 9,663      | 9,834     | 280       | --       | 19,777     |
| Commercial business                                  | 13,393     | 361       | 1,015     | 4,584    | 19,353     |
| Agricultural business, including secured by farmland |            |           |           |          |            |
| Agricultural business, including secured by farmland | 1,775      | 121       | 6,117     | --       | 8,013      |
| Consumer   | 2,828      | 96        | --        | 524      | 3,448      |
| Total non-performing loans                           | 111,070    | 64,034    | 15,454    | 5,452    | 196,010    |
| Securities on nonaccrual                             | 3,000      | --        | --        | --       | 3,000      |
| Real estate owned (REO) and repossessed assets       |            |           |           |          |            |
| Real estate owned (REO) and repossessed assets       | 45,930     | 30,566    | 18,671    | --       | 95,167     |
| Total non-performing assets                          | \$ 160,000 | \$ 94,600 | \$ 34,125 | \$ 5,452 | \$ 294,177 |
| Percent of non-performing assets                     | 54.4%      | 32.2%     | 11.6%     | 1.8%     | 100.0%     |

In addition to the non-performing loans as of March 31, 2010, we had other classified loans with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$196 million that are not on nonaccrual status, with respect to which known information concerning possible credit problems with the borrowers or the cash flows of the properties securing the respective loans has caused management to be concerned about the ability of the borrowers to comply with present loan repayment terms. This may result in the future inclusion of such loans in the nonaccrual loan category.

Real estate acquired by us as a result of foreclosure or by deed-in-lieu of foreclosure is classified as real estate held for sale until it is sold. When property is acquired, it is recorded at the lower of its cost (the unpaid principal balance of the related loan plus foreclosure costs) or net realizable value. Subsequent to acquisition through foreclosure, the

property is carried at the lower of the foreclosed amount or net realizable value. If a new appraisal and market analysis determines that the net realizable value has decreased, the carrying value is written down to the anticipated sales price, less selling and holding costs, by a charge to operating expense.

The most significant of our non-performing loan exposures at March 31, 2010 are included in the following table (dollars in thousands):

| Amount    | Percent of total non-performing loans | Collateral securing the indebtedness                              | Geographic location         |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| \$ 16,458 | 8.4%                                  | 86 residential lots<br>Four completed homes                       | Greater Seattle-Puget Sound |
| 11,283    | 5.8                                   | 163-unit multi-family complex under construction                  | Greater Seattle-Puget Sound |
| 10,065    | 5.1                                   | 13 acres with three developed commercial lots                     | Central Oregon              |
| 8,876     | 4.5                                   | 105 residential lots  | Greater Seattle-Puget Sound |
| 7,123     | 3.6                                   | 68 completed townhouse lots                                       | Greater Portland, OR area   |
| 6,069     | 3.1                                   | Five parcels of land with plat approval for 51 residential lots   | Greater Seattle-Puget Sound |
| 5,682     | 2.9                                   | 37 residential lots<br>Two completed homes                        | Greater Portland, OR area   |
| 4,728     | 2.4                                   | 89 residential lots<br>Four completed new home                    | Central Oregon              |
| 4,585     | 2.3                                   | Accounts receivable, inventory and equipment                      | Helena, MT                  |
| 4,248     | 2.2                                   | Dairy cows and farm equipment                                     | Greater Boise area          |
| 4,076     | 2.1                                   | Seven acres commercial land                                       | Greater Seattle-Puget Sound |
| 3,450     | 1.8                                   | Three residential lots<br>Two completed homes                     | Greater Spokane, WA         |
| 3,392     | 1.7                                   | 13 residential lots<br>Three completed homes<br>3.7 acres of land | Greater Portland, OR area   |
| 3,329     | 1.7                                   | Four residential lots<br>Five completed homes                     | Greater Portland, OR area   |

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

|            |        |  |                                   |
|------------|--------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 3,239      | 1.7    | 13 completed condominium units<br>30 completed condominium sites | Greater Portland, OR area         |
| 3,200      | 1.6    | Promissory note secured by a 250-unit<br>multi-family<br>complex | Houston, TX                       |
| 96,207     | 49.1   | Various collateral; relationships under<br>\$3 million           | Various (mostly in WA,<br>OR, ID) |
| \$ 196,010 | 100.0% | Total non-performing loans                                       |                                   |

At March 31, 2010, we had \$95.2 million of real estate owned and other repossessed assets, the most significant component of which is an unfinished subdivision in the greater Seattle metropolitan area with 167 platted lots and a book value of \$14.8 million. The second largest holding is a 165-lot townhouse development in the greater Portland area with a book value of \$9.0 million. The third largest holding is a mixed-use three-story office/retail commercial property in the greater Seattle area with a book value of \$6.8 million. The fourth largest holding is an unfinished residential subdivision with 35 lots and a book value of \$3.5 million in the Greater Portland area. The table below summarizes our REO by geographic location and property type as of March 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

| Amount    | Percent of total REO | Geographic Location         | REO Description   |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| \$ 39,149 | 41.2 %               | Greater Seattle-Puget Sound | 19 completed homes<br>Six homes under construction<br>One mixed-use three-story retail/commercial property<br>58 residential lots<br>One land development project:<br>167 SFD lots<br>22 acres of land<br>One agricultural property with a SFD<br>Three parcels of land for residential development |
| 33,113    | 34.8 %               | Greater Portland, OR area   | 17 completed homes<br>267 residential lots<br>177 townhouse lots<br>One developed parcel of commercial land<br>One underdeveloped parcel of commercial land   |
| 18,671    | 19.6 %               | Greater Boise, ID           | Six completed four-plexes<br>16 completed homes<br>156 residential lots<br>Five land development projects<br>16 commercial lots<br>Two acres improved residential land<br>Two parcels unimproved residential land<br>32 townhouse lots  |
| 3,174     | 3.3 %                | Other Washington locations  | One completed home<br>One home under construction<br>27 residential lots<br>Two mini-storage sites<br>Five acres of land  |

|    |        |         |  |   |
|----|--------|---------|--|---|
|    | 1,060  | 1.1 %   | Greater Spokane, WA area               | Three completed homes<br>Land for 81 residential lots |
| \$ | 95,167 | 100.0 % | Total real estate owned, held for sale |   |

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary sources of funds are deposits, borrowings, proceeds from loan principal and interest payments and sales of loans, and the maturity of and interest income on mortgage-backed and investment securities. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and mortgage-backed securities are a predictable source of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by market interest rates, economic conditions and competition.

Our primary investing activity is the origination of loans, however, during the quarter ended March 31, 2010 repayments exceeded loan originations, by \$73 million. In addition, during the quarter ended March 31, 2010 we sold \$67 million of loans while repayments and sales of securities exceeded purchases by \$10 million. Net deposits decreased \$16 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, including a further decrease of \$3 million in public funds in response to changes in the collateralization requirements under the Washington and Oregon State public deposit protection regulations. In addition to reducing our collateral requirements, allowing those deposits to run off also reduced our exposure to future shared-risk assessments under those regulations. Deposit activity for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 also included a net decrease of \$14 million of brokered deposits. Brokered deposits and public funds are generally more price sensitive than retail deposits and our use of those deposits varies significantly based upon our liquidity management strategies at any point in time. FHLB advances (excluding fair value adjustments) decreased \$128 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010. Other borrowings, including \$50 million of senior bank notes issued under the FDIC Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (TLGP), were nearly unchanged for the quarter ended March 31, 2010. As a result of all of this activity, although our overall liquidity remained strong, our net cash position declined by \$45 million during the quarter ended March 31, 2010.

We must maintain an adequate level of liquidity to ensure the availability of sufficient funds to accommodate deposit withdrawals, to support loan growth, to satisfy financial commitments and to take advantage of investment opportunities. During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we

used our sources of funds primarily to fund loan commitments, pay maturing savings certificates and deposit withdrawals and to reduce FHLB advances. At March 31, 2010, we had outstanding loan commitments totaling \$752 million, including undisbursed loans in process and unused credit lines totaling \$723 million. This level of commitments is proportionally consistent with our historical experience and does not represent a departure from normal operations. We generally maintain sufficient cash and readily marketable securities to meet short-term liquidity needs; however, our primary liquidity management practice is to increase or decrease short-term borrowings, including FHLB advances and FRBSF borrowings. We maintain credit facilities with the FHLB of Seattle, which at March 31, 2010 provide for advances that in the aggregate may equal the lesser of 35% of Banner Bank's assets or adjusted qualifying collateral, up to a total possible credit line of \$1.007 billion, and 25% of Islanders Bank's assets or adjusted qualifying collateral, up to a total possible credit line of \$43 million. Advances under these credit facilities totaled \$61 million, or 1% of our assets at March 31, 2010. In addition, Banner Bank has been approved for participation in the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco's Borrower-In-Custody (BIC) program. Under this program we can borrow up to 65% of eligible loans not already pledged for other borrowings, which we currently estimate would provide additional borrowing capacity of \$405 million. We utilize this facility on a limited basis, however, we had no funds borrowed from the Federal Reserve Bank at March 31, 2009 or 2010.

At March 31, 2010, certificates of deposit amounted to \$1.896 billion, or 49% of our total deposits, including \$1.598 billion which were scheduled to mature within one year. While no assurance can be given as to future periods, historically, we have been able to retain a significant amount of our deposits as they mature. Management believes it has adequate resources and funding potential to meet our foreseeable liquidity requirements.

#### Capital Requirements

Banner Corporation is a bank holding company registered with the Federal Reserve. Bank holding companies are subject to capital adequacy requirements of the Federal Reserve under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (BHCA), and the regulations of the Federal Reserve. Banner Bank and Islanders Bank, as state-chartered, federally insured commercial banks, are subject to the capital requirements established by the FDIC.

The capital adequacy requirements are quantitative measures established by regulation that require Banner Corporation and the Banks to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of capital. The Federal Reserve requires Banner Corporation to maintain capital adequacy that generally parallels the FDIC requirements. The FDIC requires the Banks to maintain minimum ratios of Tier 1 total capital to risk-weighted assets as well as Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets. At March 31, 2010, Banner Corporation and the Banks each exceeded all current regulatory capital requirements. (See Item 1, "Business—Regulation," and Note 20 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Banner Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 for additional information regarding regulatory capital requirements for Banner and the Banks for the year ended December 31, 2009.)

The actual regulatory capital ratios calculated for Banner Corporation, Banner Bank and Islanders Bank as of March 31, 2010, along with the minimum capital amounts and ratios, were as follows (dollars in thousands):

|                                      | Actual |       | Minimum for capital adequacy purposes |       | Minimum to be categorized as "well-capitalized" under prompt corrective action provisions |       |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|---|-------|
|                                      | Amount | Ratio | Amount                                | Ratio | Amount  | Ratio |
| March 31, 2010:                      |        |       |                                       |       |   |       |
| B a n n e r Corporation—consolidated |        |       |                                       |       |   |       |

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

|   |            |        |            |       |            |        |
|---|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|--------|
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets     | \$ 491,175 | 12.93% | \$ 303,984 | 8.00% |            |        |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets    | 443,082    | 11.66  | 151,992    | 4.00  |            |        |
| Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets | 443,082    | 9.76   | 181,592    | 4.00  |            |        |
| <b>Banner Bank</b>                        |            |        |            |       |            |        |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets     | 467,903    | 12.93  | 289,467    | 8.00  | \$ 361,834 | 10.00% |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets    | 422,075    | 11.66  | 144,734    | 4.00  | 217,100    | 6.00   |
| Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets | 422,075    | 9.71   | 173,873    | 4.00  | 217,341    | 5.00   |
| <b>Islanders Bank</b>                     |            |        |            |       |            |        |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets     | 27,382     | 13.43  | 16,313     | 8.00  | 20,391     | 10.00  |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets    | 25,346     | 12.43  | 8,156      | 4.00  | 12,235     | 6.00   |
| Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets | 25,346     | 11.75  | 8,626      | 4.00  | 10,783     | 5.00   |



### ITEM 3 – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

#### Market Risk and Asset/Liability Management

Our financial condition and operations are influenced significantly by general economic conditions, including the absolute level of interest rates as well as changes in interest rates and the slope of the yield curve. Our profitability is dependent to a large extent on our net interest income, which is the difference between the interest received from our interest-earning assets and the interest expense incurred on our interest-bearing liabilities.

Our activities, like all financial institutions, inherently involve the assumption of interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will have an adverse impact on the institution's earnings and underlying economic value. Interest rate risk is determined by the maturity and repricing characteristics of an institution's assets, liabilities and off-balance-sheet contracts. Interest rate risk is measured by the variability of financial performance and economic value resulting from changes in interest rates. Interest rate risk is the primary market risk affecting our financial performance.

The greatest source of interest rate risk to us results from the mismatch of maturities or repricing intervals for rate sensitive assets, liabilities and off-balance-sheet contracts. This mismatch or gap is generally characterized by a substantially shorter maturity structure for interest-bearing liabilities than interest-earning assets, although our floating-rate assets tend to be more immediately responsive to changes in market rates than most funding deposit liabilities. Additional interest rate risk results from mismatched repricing indices and formulae (basis risk and yield curve risk), and product caps and floors and early repayment or withdrawal provisions (option risk), which may be contractual or market driven, that are generally more favorable to customers than to us. An exception to this generalization is the beneficial effect of interest rate floors on a portion of our floating-rate loans, which help us maintain higher loan yields in periods when market interest rates decline significantly. However, in a declining interest rate environment, as loans with floors are repaid they generally are replaced with new loans which have lower interest rate floors. Further, many of the floating-rate loans with interest rate floors are in portions of the portfolio currently experiencing higher levels of delinquencies, which tends to mitigate the beneficial effect of the floors. As of March 31, 2010, our loans with interest rate floors totaled approximately \$1.7 billion and had a weighted average floor rate of 5.77%. An additional consideration is the lagging and somewhat inelastic pricing adjustments for interest rates on certain deposit products as market interest rates change. These deposit pricing characteristics are particularly relevant to the administered rates paid on certain checking, savings and money market accounts and contributed to the narrowing of our net interest margin following the Federal Reserve's actions to lower market interest rates beginning in late 2007 and accelerating in 2008, as asset yields declined while the reduction in deposit costs lagged. Further, deposit costs have not declined as much as other short-term market interest rates as credit concerns and liquidity issues for certain large financial institutions, particularly in the summer and fall of 2008, created heightened competitive pricing pressures. Fortunately, these competitive pressures have decreased over recent quarters and deposit costs have declined sharply over the same period leading to an improving net interest margin. As previously noted, our net interest margin has been adversely affected by an increase in loan delinquencies as well as changes in the portfolio mix as construction and development lending has slowed. However, changes in the deposit mix resulting in proportionality more non-interest bearing and transaction and saving accounts have also contributed to recent improvement in our interest margin.

The principal objectives of asset/liability management are: to evaluate the interest rate risk exposure; to determine the level of risk appropriate given our operating environment, business plan strategies, performance objectives, capital and liquidity constraints, and asset and liability allocation alternatives; and to manage our interest rate risk consistent with regulatory guidelines and policies approved by the Board of Directors. Through such management, we seek to reduce the vulnerability of our earnings and capital position to changes in the level of interest rates. Our actions in this regard are taken under the guidance of the Asset/Liability Management Committee, which is comprised of

members of our senior management. The Committee closely monitors our interest sensitivity exposure, asset and liability allocation decisions, liquidity and capital positions, and local and national economic conditions and attempts to structure the loan and investment portfolios and funding sources to maximize earnings within acceptable risk tolerances.

#### Sensitivity Analysis

Our primary monitoring tool for assessing interest rate risk is asset/liability simulation modeling, which is designed to capture the dynamics of balance sheet, interest rate and spread movements and to quantify variations in net interest income resulting from those movements under different rate environments. The sensitivity of net interest income to changes in the modeled interest rate environments provides a measurement of interest rate risk. We also utilize economic value analysis, which addresses changes in estimated net economic value of equity arising from changes in the level of interest rates. The net economic value of equity is estimated by separately valuing our assets and liabilities under varying interest rate environments. The extent to which assets gain or lose value in relation to the gains or losses of liability values under the various interest rate assumptions determines the sensitivity of net economic value to changes in interest rates and provides an additional measure of interest rate risk.

The interest rate sensitivity analysis performed by us incorporates beginning-of-the-period rate, balance and maturity data, using various levels of aggregation of that data, as well as certain assumptions concerning the maturity, repricing, amortization and prepayment characteristics of loans and other interest-earning assets and the repricing and withdrawal of deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities into an asset/liability computer simulation model. We update and prepare simulation modeling at least quarterly for review by senior management and the directors. We believe the data and assumptions are realistic representations of our portfolio and possible outcomes under the various interest rate scenarios. Nonetheless, the interest rate sensitivity of our net interest income and net economic value of equity could vary substantially if different assumptions were used or if actual experience differs from the assumptions used.

The table of Interest Rate Risk Indicators sets forth, as of March 31, 2010, the estimated changes in our net interest income over a one-year time horizon and the estimated changes in market value of equity based on the indicated interest rate environments.

## Interest Rate Risk Indicators

| Change (in Basis Points) in Interest Rates (1) | Estimated Change in |       |                        |         |  |
|--|---------------------|-------|------------------------|---------|--|
|  | Net Interest Income |       | Net Economic Value     |         |  |
|  | Next 12 Months      |       | (dollars in thousands) |         |  |
| +400   | \$ 6,450            | 3.9%  | \$ (183,620)           | (34.3)% |  |
| +300   | 6,730               | 4.1   | (154,338)              | (28.8)  |  |
| +200   | 6,634               | 4.1   | (113,176)              | (21.1)  |  |
| +100   | 4,165               | 2.5   | (60,259)               | (11.2)  |  |
| 0  | 0                   | 0     | 0                      | 0       |  |
| -25  | (509)               | (0.3) | 10,219                 | 1.9     |  |
| -50  | (632)               | (0.4) | 19,207                 | 3.6     |  |

(1) Assumes an instantaneous and sustained uniform change in market interest rates at all maturities.

Another although less reliable monitoring tool for assessing interest rate risk is “gap analysis.” The matching of the repricing characteristics of assets and liabilities may be analyzed by examining the extent to which assets and liabilities are “interest sensitive” and by monitoring an institution’s interest sensitivity “gap.” An asset or liability is said to be interest sensitive within a specific time period if it will mature or reprice within that time period. The interest rate sensitivity gap is defined as the difference between the amount of interest-earning assets anticipated, based upon certain assumptions, to mature or reprice within a specific time period and the amount of interest-bearing liabilities anticipated to mature or reprice, based upon certain assumptions, within that same time period. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest-sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest-sensitive liabilities. A gap is considered negative when the amount of interest-sensitive liabilities exceeds the amount of interest-sensitive assets. Generally, during a period of rising rates, a negative gap would tend to adversely affect net interest income while a positive gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income. During a period of falling interest rates, a negative gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income while a positive gap would tend to adversely affect net interest income.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in gap analysis. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods of repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in market rates. Additionally, certain assets, such as ARM loans, have features that restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. Further, in the event of a change in interest rates, prepayment and early withdrawal levels would likely deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the table. Finally, the ability of some borrowers to service their debt may decrease in the event of a severe change in market rates.

The table of Interest Sensitivity Gap presents our interest sensitivity gap between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities at March 31, 2010. The table sets forth the amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities which are anticipated by us, based upon certain assumptions, to reprice or mature in each of

the future periods shown. At March 31, 2010, total interest-bearing liabilities maturing or repricing within one year exceeded total interest-earning assets maturing or repricing in the same time period by \$158.6 million, representing a one-year cumulative gap to total assets ratio of (3.46%).

Management is aware of the sources of interest rate risk and in its opinion actively monitors and manages it to the extent possible. The interest rate risk indicators and interest sensitivity gaps as of March 31, 2010 are within our internal policy guidelines and management considers that our current level of interest rate risk is reasonable.

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-Q

| Interest Sensitivity Gap as of<br>March 31,<br>2010                                  | Within<br>6 Months     | After 6<br>Months<br>Within 1<br>Year | After<br>1 Year<br>Within<br>3 Years | After 3<br>Years<br>Within<br>5<br>Years | After 5<br>Years<br>Within<br>10<br>Years | Over<br>10<br>Years | Total            |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------|------------------|
| Interest-earning assets: (1)   |                        |                                       |                                      |  |   |                     |                  |
|  | (dollars in thousands) |                                       |                                      |  |   |                     |                  |
| Construction loans   | \$ 345,284             | \$ 33,617                             | \$ 28,378                            | \$ 6,274                                 | \$ 428                                    | \$ 789              | \$ 414,770       |
| Fixed-rate mortgage loans  | 112,178                | 54,512                                | 221,944                              | 174,068                                  | 180,591                                   | 193,178             | 936,471          |
| Adjustable-rate mortgage loans   | 488,642                | 132,507                               | 394,766                              | 259,654                                  | 19,178                                    | --                  | 1,294,747        |
| Fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities  | 15,404                 | 12,915                                | 32,260                               | 11,840                                   | 5,714                                     | 1,348               | 79,481           |
| Adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities   | 5,376                  | 4,084                                 | 3,902                                | 615                                      | --  | --                  | 13,977           |
| Fixed-rate commercial/agricultural loans   | 63,237                 | 33,358                                | 74,289                               | 28,195                                   | 6,955                                     | 762                 | 206,796          |
| Adjustable-rate commercial/agricultural loans  | 514,666                | 12,188                                | 43,044                               | 12,546                                   | --  | --                  | 582,444          |
| Consumer and other loans   | 170,196                | 8,205                                 | 37,779                               | 25,042                                   | 19,137                                    | 3,111               | 263,470          |
| Investment securities and interest-earning deposits                                  | 370,001                | 12,838                                | 37,457                               | 15,746                                   | 33,275                                    | 63,304              | 532,621          |
| <b>Total rate sensitive assets</b>   | <b>2,084,984</b>       | <b>304,224</b>                        | <b>873,819</b>                       | <b>533,980</b>                           | <b>265,278</b>                            | <b>262,492</b>      | <b>4,324,777</b> |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: (2)  |                        |                                       |                                      |  |   |                     |                  |
| Regular savings and NOW accounts   | 158,773                | 138,656                               | 323,531                              | 323,531                                  | --  | --                  | 944,491          |
| Money market deposit accounts  | 229,906                | 137,943                               | 91,962                               | --                                       | --  | --                  | 459,811          |
| Certificates of deposit  | 1,086,930              | 519,384                               | 251,779                              | 34,802                                   | 3,241                                     | 50                  | 1,896,186        |
| FHLB advances  | 15,227                 | 35,800                                | 10,000                               | --                                       | --  | --                  | 61,027           |
| Other borrowings   | 290                    | --                                    | 50,000                               | --                                       | --  | --                  | 50,290           |
| Junior subordinated debentures   | 97,942                 | --                                    | 25,774                               | --                                       | --  | --                  | 123,716          |
| Retail repurchase agreements   | 126,953                | --                                    | --                                   | --                                       | --  | --                  | 126,953          |
| <b>Total rate sensitive liabilities</b>  | <b>1,716,021</b>       | <b>831,783</b>                        | <b>753,046</b>                       | <b>358,333</b>                           | <b>3,241</b>                              | <b>50</b>           | <b>3,662,474</b> |
| Excess (deficiency) of interest-sensitive assets over interest-sensitive liabilities |                        |                                       |                                      |  |   |                     |                  |
|  | \$ 368,963             | \$ (527,559)                          | \$ 120,773                           | \$ 175,647                               | \$ 262,037                                | \$ 262,442          | \$ 662,303       |
| Cumulative excess (deficiency) of interest-sensitive assets                          | \$ 368,963             | \$ (158,596)                          | \$ (37,823)                          | \$ 137,824                               | \$ 399,861                                | \$ 662,303          | \$ 662,303       |
| Cumulative ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities          | % 121.50               | % 93.78                               | % 98.85                              | % 103.77                                 | % 110.92                                  | % 118.08            | % 118.08         |
| Interest sensitivity gap to total assets   | 8.05%                  | (11.51)%                              | 2.64%                                | 3.83%                                    | 5.72%                                     | 5.73%               | 14.46%           |

|   |       |         |         |       |       |        |        |
|---|-------|---------|---------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| Ratio of cumulative gap to total assets | 8.05% | (3.46)% | (0.83)% | 3.01% | 8.73% | 14.46% | 14.46% |
|---|-------|---------|---------|-------|-------|--------|--------|

(footnotes on following page)

Footnotes for Table of Interest Sensitivity Gap

(1) Adjustable-rate assets are included in the period in which interest rates are next scheduled to adjust rather than in the period in which they are due to mature, and fixed-rate assets are included in the period in which they are scheduled to be repaid based upon scheduled amortization, in each case adjusted to take into account estimated prepayments. Mortgage loans and other loans are not reduced for allowances for loan losses and non-performing loans. Mortgage loans, mortgage-backed securities, other loans and investment securities are not adjusted for deferred fees, unamortized acquisition premiums and discounts.

(2) Adjustable-rate liabilities are included in the period in which interest rates are next scheduled to adjust rather than in the period they are due to mature. Although regular savings, demand, NOW, and money market deposit accounts are subject to immediate withdrawal, based on historical experience management considers a substantial amount of such accounts to be core deposits having significantly longer maturities. For the purpose of the gap analysis, these accounts have been assigned decay rates to reflect their longer effective maturities. If all of these accounts had been assumed to be short-term, the one-year cumulative gap of interest-sensitive assets would have been \$(897.6) million, or (19.6%) of total assets at March 31, 2010. Interest-bearing liabilities for this table exclude certain non-interest-bearing deposits which are included in the average balance calculations in the table contained in Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Comparison of Results of Operations for the Quarters Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009" of this report.

ITEM 4 - Controls and Procedures

The management of Banner Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). A control procedure, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that its objectives are met. Also, because of the inherent limitations in all control procedures, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. As a result of these inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Further, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures: An evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act) was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and several other members of our senior management as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of March 31, 2010, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is (i) accumulated and communicated to our management (including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) in a timely manner, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

(b) Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting: In the quarter ended March 31, 2010, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.



## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, we have various legal proceedings and other contingent matters outstanding. These proceedings and the associated legal claims are often contested and the outcome of individual matters is not always predictable. These claims and counter claims typically arise during the course of collection efforts on problem loans or with respect to action to enforce liens on properties in which we hold a security interest. We are not a party to any pending legal proceedings that management believes would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or operations.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in Part 1, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (File No. 0-26584) except as set forth below:

We are required to comply with the terms of memoranda of understanding issued by the FDIC and DFI and the Federal Reserve and lack of compliance could result in additional regulatory actions.

In March 2010, the FDIC and the DFI determined that Banner Bank required additional supervisory attention and reached an agreement on a Memorandum of Understanding, or Bank MOU, with Banner Bank. Under the terms of the Bank MOU, Banner Bank, without the prior written approval, or nonobjection, of the FDIC and/or the DFI, may not:

- appoint any new director or senior executive officer or change the responsibilities of any current senior executive officers; or
- pay cash dividends to its holding company, Banner Corporation.

Other material provisions of the MOU require Banner Bank to:

- maintain Tier 1 Capital of not less than 10.0% of the Bank's adjusted total assets pursuant to Part 325 of the FDIC Rules and Regulations by July 21, 2010, and maintain capital ratios above well capitalized thresholds as defined under Section 325.103 of the FDIC Rules and Regulations;
  - utilize a comprehensive policy for determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan loss;
    - formulate and implement a written profit plan acceptable to the FDIC and the DFI;
    - eliminate from its books all assets classified Loss that have not been previously collected or charged-off;
- by June 30, 2010, reduce all assets classified "Substandard" in the report of examination to not more than 80.0% of Tier 1 capital plus the allowance for loan losses;
  - develop a written plan for reducing adversely classified assets;
  - develop a written plan for reducing the aggregate amount of its commercial real estate concentration; and
    - revise, adopt and fully implement a written liquidity and funds management policy.

Following the effective date of the MOU, Banner Bank is required to provide the FDIC and DFI with progress reports regarding its compliance with the provisions of the MOU.

In addition, on March 29, 2010, the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (FRB) determined that the Company required additional supervisory attention and entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Company (the FRB MOU). Under the terms of the FRB MOU, the Company, without prior written approval, or non-objection, of the FRB, may not:

- appoint any new director or senior executive officer or change the responsibilities of any current senior executive officers;
- receive dividends or any other form of payment or distribution representing a reduction in capital from Banner Bank;
- declare or pay any dividends, or make any other capital distributions;
- incur, renew, increase, or guarantee any debt;
- issue any trust preferred securities; or
- purchase or redeem any of its stock.

Following the effective date of the FRB MOU, the Company is required to provide the FRB with progress reports regarding its compliance with the provisions of the FRB MOU.

The Bank MOU and the FRB MOU will remain in effect until stayed, modified, terminated or suspended by the FDIC and the DFI or FRB, as the case may be. If either the Company or the Bank was found not in compliance with their respective MOU, it could be subject to various remedies, including among others, the power to enjoin “unsafe or unsound” practices, to require affirmative action to correct any conditions resulting from any violation or practice, to direct an increase in capital, to restrict growth, to remove officers and/or directors, and to assess civil monetary penalties. Management of the Company and the Bank have been taking action and implementing programs to comply with the requirements of the FRB MOU and the Bank MOU, respectively. Although compliance will be determined by the FDIC, DFI and FRB, management believes that the Company and the Bank will comply in all material respects with the provisions of the MOU. Any of these regulators may determine, however, in their sole discretion that the issues raised by the FRB MOU or the Bank MOU have not been addressed satisfactorily, or that any current or past actions, violations or deficiencies could be the subject of further regulatory enforcement actions. Such

enforcement actions could involve penalties or limitations on the Company's business and negatively affect its ability to implement its business plan, pay dividends on its common stock or the value of its common stock, as well as its financial condition and results of operations.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we did not sell any securities that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933.

We did not have any repurchases of our common stock from January 1, 2010 through March 31, 2010.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable.

Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]

Item 5. Other Information

Not Applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit | Index of Exhibits  |
|---------|--|
| 3{a}    | Articles of Incorporation of Registrant.   |
| 3{b}    | Certificate of designation relating to the Company's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock Series A [incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 24, 2008 (File No. 000-26584)].  |
| 3{c}    | Bylaws of Registrant [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 24, 1998 (File No. 0-26584)].  |
| 4{a}    | Warrant to purchase shares of Company's common stock dated November 21, 2008 [incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 24, 2008 (File No. 000-26584)].   |
| 4{b}    | Letter Agreement (including Securities Purchase Agreement Standard Terms attached as Exhibit A) dated November 21, 2008 between the Company and the United States Department of the Treasury [incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 24, 2008 (File No. 000-26584)]. |
| 10{a}   | Executive Salary Continuation Agreement with Gary L. Sirmon [incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 1996 (File No. 0-26584)].  |
| 10{b}   | Employment Agreement with Michael K. Larsen [incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 1996 (File No. 0-26584)].  |
| 10{c}   | Employment Agreement with Mark J. Grescovich [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 29, 2010 (File No. 000-265840)].   |
| 10{d}   | Executive Salary Continuation Agreement with Michael K. Larsen [incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 1996 (File No. 0-26584)].   |
| 10{e}   | 1996 Stock Option Plan [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 dated August 26, 1996 (File No. 333-10819)].   |
| 10{f}   | 1996 Management Recognition and Development Plan [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 dated August 26, 1996 (File No. 333-10819)].   |
| 10{g}   | Consultant Agreement with Jesse G. Foster, dated as of December 19, 2003. [incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 0-23584)].   |
| 10{h}   | Supplemental Retirement Plan as Amended with Jesse G. Foster [incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 1997 (File No. 0-26584)].   |
| 10{i}   | Employment Agreement with Lloyd W. Baker [incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (File No. 0-26584)].  |

- 10{j} Employment Agreement with D. Michael Jones [incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (File No. 0-26584)].
- 10{k} Supplemental Executive Retirement Program Agreement with D. Michael Jones [incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 0-26584)].
- 10{l} Form of Supplemental Executive Retirement Program Agreement with Gary Sirmon, Michael K. Larsen, Lloyd W. Baker, Cynthia D. Purcell, Richard B. Barton and Paul E. Folz [incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 and the exhibits filed with the Form 8-K on May 6, 2008].
- 10{m} 1998 Stock Option Plan [incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Registration Statement on Form S-8 dated February 2, 1999 (File No. 333-71625)].
- 10{n} 2001 Stock Option Plan [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 dated August 8, 2001 (File No. 333-67168)].
- 10{o} Form of Employment Contract entered into with Cynthia D. Purcell, Richard B. Barton, Paul E. Folz, John R. Neill and Douglas M. Bennett [incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 0-26584)].
- 10{p} 2004 Executive Officer and Director Stock Account Deferred Compensation Plan [incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (File No. 0-26584)].
- 10{q} 2004 Executive Officer and Director Investment Account Deferred Compensation Plan [incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (File No. 0-26584)].
- 10{r} Long-Term Incentive Plan [incorporated by reference to the exhibits filed with the Form 8-K on May 6, 2008].
- 10{s} Form of Compensation Modification Agreement [incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 24, 2008 (File No. 000-26584)].
- 10{t} 2005 Executive Officer and Director Stock Account Deferred Compensation Plan.

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Banner Corporation

May 7, 2010

/s/D. Michael Jones  
D. Michael Jones  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

May 7, 2010

/s/Lloyd W. Baker  
Lloyd W. Baker  
Treasurer and Chief Financial  
Officer  
(Principal Financial and  
Accounting Officer)

