

AMERISTAR CASINOS INC

Form 10-K

March 16, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20549**

**Form 10-K**

**☐ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010**

**OR**

**○ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from to**

**Commission file number: 0-22494**

**AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.**

*(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)*

**Nevada**

*State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization*

**88-0304799**

*(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)*

**3773 Howard Hughes Parkway  
Suite 490 South**

**Las Vegas, Nevada 89169**

*(Address of Principal Executive Offices)*

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code:**

**(702) 567-7000**

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:**

<b>Title of Each Class</b>	<b>Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered</b>
<b>Common Stock, \$.01 par value</b>	<b>Nasdaq Global Select Market</b>

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:**

**None**

*(Title of Class)*

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Edgar Filing: AMERISTAR CASINOS INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2010: \$395,476,383

Number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of March 10, 2011: 58,355,981

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (which has not been filed as of the date of this filing) are incorporated by reference into Part III.

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>PART I</u></b>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Business</u>	3
<u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u>	27
<u>Item 1B.</u> <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	36
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Properties</u>	37
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	37
<u>Item 4.</u> <u>[Reserved]</u>	40
<b><u>PART II</u></b>	
<u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	40
<u>Item 6.</u> <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	41
<u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	42
<u>Item 7a.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	55
<u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	56
<u>Item 9.</u> <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	56
<u>Item 9a.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	56
<u>Item 9b.</u> <u>Other Information</u>	56
<b><u>PART III</u></b>	
<u>Item 10.</u> <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	56
<u>Item 11.</u> <u>Executive Compensation</u>	57
<u>Item 12.</u> <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	57
<u>Item 13.</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	57
<u>Item 14.</u> <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	57
<b><u>PART IV</u></b>	
<u>Item 15.</u> <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	57
<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	S-1
<u>EX-23</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32</u>	

## **Table of Contents**

*Unless the context indicates otherwise, all references in this Report to Ameristar or ACI refer to Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and all references to the Company, we, our, ours or us refer to Ameristar and its consolidated subsidiaries, collectively. This Report contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act, including management's plans and objectives for our business, operations and financial performance. These forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the context of the statement or the use of forward-looking terminology, such as believes, estimates, anticipates, intends, expects, plans, is confident that or words of similar meaning, with reference to us or our management. Similarly, statements that describe our future plans, objectives, strategies, financial results, financial position, operational expectations or goals are forward-looking statements. Although management believes that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements are reasonable, these assumptions and the forward-looking statements are subject to various factors, risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. Accordingly, actual results could differ materially from those contemplated by any forward-looking statements. In addition to the other cautionary statements relating to certain forward-looking statements throughout this Report, attention is directed to Item 1A. Risk Factors and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion of some of the factors, risks and uncertainties that could materially affect the outcome of future results contemplated by forward-looking statements.*

*You should also be aware that while we communicate from time to time with securities analysts, we do not disclose to them any material non-public information, internal forecasts or other confidential business information. Therefore, you should not assume that we agree with any statement or report issued by any analyst, irrespective of the content of the statement or report. To the extent that reports issued by securities analysts contain projections, forecasts or opinions, those reports are not our responsibility. Furthermore, we do not undertake any duty to update any earnings guidance or other forward-looking statements that we may publicly issue, and you should not assume that information set forth in any publicly issued forward-looking statements remains accurate.*

## **PART I**

### **Item 1. Business**

#### **Introduction**

We are a developer, owner and operator of casino entertainment facilities in local and regional markets. Ameristar has been a public company since November 1993. We have eight properties in seven markets.

Our goal is to capitalize on our high-quality facilities and products and dedication to superior guest service to effectively compete in each of our markets and to drive growth that creates value for our stockholders. In 2010, we celebrated the success of the first full year of operating with a new hotel and under favorable regulatory changes at Ameristar Black Hawk. We also announced a hotel room renovation project at our East Chicago property slated for completion in 2011, and the addition of 106 rooms and a fitness facility to our Kansas City property scheduled to be completed in 2012.

We believe the Ameristar experience differentiates us from our competitors. That experience is built upon our high-quality facilities and products, such as slots, food, lodging, entertainment and the friendly service our 7,600 team members offer our guests. Our casinos feature spacious gaming floors and typically have the largest number of gaming positions in our markets. We believe we feature more of the newest and most popular slot machines than any other casino in each market. We design the flow of our casino floors to attractively position and draw attention to our newest and most popular games and provide convenient access to other amenities, which we believe creates a more entertaining experience for our guests.

Most of our revenue comes from slot play, but we also offer a wide range of table games, including blackjack, craps, roulette and poker in the majority of our markets. We set minimum and maximum betting limits for the properties based on competitive conditions and other factors. Our gaming revenues are derived from a broad base of guests, and we do not depend exclusively upon high- or low- stakes players. We extend gaming credit at our properties in Indiana, Mississippi and Nevada.

**Table of Contents**

We offer a greater variety of high-end lodging and dining choices than other casinos in our markets. Our hotels offer upscale accommodations with tastefully appointed rooms offering appealing amenities. Our signature dining concepts include steakhouses, elaborate buffets and casual dining restaurants, including sports bars. Whether in our steakhouses or delis, our emphasis is on quality in all aspects of the dining experience – food, service, ambiance and facilities. The private Star Clubs offer our Star Awards members an exclusive place to relax at all Ameristar-branded properties. Our properties also showcase a range of live entertainment.

The following table presents selected statistical and other information concerning our properties as of March 1, 2011.

	<b>Ameristar Casino Resort  Spa  St. Charles</b>	<b>Ameristar  Casino Hotel  Kansas City</b>	<b>Ameristar Casino Hotel Council Bluffs</b>	<b>Ameristar Casino Resort Spa Black  Hawk</b>	<b>Ameristar  Casino Hotel  Vicksburg</b>	<b>Ameristar Casino Hotel  East  Chicago</b>	<b>The Jackpot  Properties(1)</b>
Opening Year	1994	1997	1996	2001	1994	1997	1956
Acquisition Year	2000	2000		2004		2007	
Casino Square Footage (approx.)	130,000	140,000	38,500	56,000	70,000	56,000	29,000
Slot Machines (approx.)	2,750	2,890	1,530	1,500	1,550	1,880	770
Table Games	72(2)	73(2)	30	40(2)	42(2)	46	35(2)
Hotel Rooms	397	184	444(3)	536	149	290	416
Restaurants/Bars	7/7	9/7(4)	4/5	4/3	3/1	6/1(4)	5/4
Restaurant/Bar Seating Capacity	1,752/193	1,634/405(4)	1,020/67	753/128	746/297	622/31(4)	530/126
Guest Parking Spaces (approx.)	6,280	8,320	3,080	1,500	2,200	2,245	1,100
Other Amenities	20,000-Square-Foot Conference and Banquet Center; Indoor/Outdoor Swimming Pool; Full-Service Spa; 228-Seat VIP Players Club; Gift Shop; Amusement Arcade	1,350-Seat Entertainment Facility; Meeting Space; 18-Screen Movie Theater(5); 150-Seat VIP Players Club; Gift Shop; Kids Quest Children's Activity Center(5); Amusement	Meeting Space; 92-Seat VIP Players Club; Indoor Swimming Pool; Exercise Facility; Gift Shop; Kids Quest Children's Activity Center(5)	15,000-Square-Foot Event and Meeting Center; 84-Seat VIP Players Club; Starbucks Coffee Bar; Gift Shop; Rooftop Swimming Pool; Full-Service Spa; Rooftop Lounge	Meeting Space; 65-Seat VIP Players Club; Swimming Pool; Gift Shop; Service Station; Convenience Store; Subway Restaurant Franchise; RV Park	5,370-Square-Foot Banquet Room; 151-Seat VIP Players Lounge and Club Facilities; Gift Shop; Winners Square Promotion Center	3,940-Seat Outdoor Entertain Facility; 318-Seat Showroom Meeting Space; Sp Book(5); Swimming Pool; Gift Shop; Ser Station; General S Amuseme Arcade;

(1) Includes the operations of Cactus Petes Resort Casino and The Horseshu Hotel and Casino.



**Table of Contents**

- (2) Includes 19 poker tables at Ameristar Casino Resort Spa St. Charles, 14 poker tables at Ameristar Casino Hotel Kansas City, 10 poker tables at Ameristar Casino Hotel Vicksburg, 17 poker tables at Ameristar Casino Resort Spa Black Hawk and seven poker tables at the Jackpot Properties.
- (3) Includes 284 rooms operated by affiliates of Kinseth Hospitality Corporation and located on land owned by us and leased to affiliates of Kinseth.
- (4) Includes a 114-seat food court featuring Sbarro and Burger King restaurants and Arthur Bryant's Barbeque restaurant at Ameristar Casino Hotel Kansas City and a 51-seat Sbarro restaurant at Ameristar Casino Hotel East Chicago, all of which are leased to and operated by third parties.
- (5) Leased to and/or operated by a third party.

*Ameristar Casino Resort Spa St. Charles.* Ameristar Casino Resort Spa St. Charles serves the greater St. Louis metropolitan market with a large casino and a variety of new amenities that opened in 2008, including our luxury all-suite hotel and spa. We believe the hotel's expansive luxury suites rank among the greater St. Louis area's most upscale accommodations. The hotel also features a 7,000-square-foot, full-service spa and an indoor/outdoor pool. In 2009, the hotel received the prestigious American Automobile Association (AAA) Four Diamond designation. The property has seven dining venues, a state-of-the-art conference and banquet center and several bars, some of which offer live entertainment.

The property is located immediately north of the Interstate 70 bridge at the Missouri River, strategically situated to attract patrons from the St. Charles and greater St. Louis areas, as well as tourists from outside the region. The property is in close proximity to the St. Charles convention facility. Interstate 70 is a 10-lane, east-west freeway offering easy access to, and direct visibility of, the Ameristar Casino Resort Spa St. Charles site.

*Ameristar Casino Hotel Kansas City.* Ameristar Casino Hotel Kansas City ranks among the largest state-licensed casino floors in the United States. Our 184-room hotel offers a mix of suites and standard rooms that feature custom finishes. Guests can select from nine restaurants and seven bars/lounges, some of which offer live entertainment.

Located seven miles from downtown Kansas City, Missouri, Ameristar Casino Hotel Kansas City attracts guests from the greater Kansas City area, as well as regional overnight guests. The property is in close proximity to the Interstate 435 bridge over the Missouri River. Interstate 435 is a six-lane, north-south expressway offering easy access to, and direct visibility of, Ameristar Casino Hotel Kansas City.

*Ameristar Casino Hotel Council Bluffs.* Opened in 1996, Ameristar Casino Hotel Council Bluffs serves the Omaha and southwestern Iowa markets. The property's hotel and Main Street Pavilion comprise its landside facilities. Ameristar Casino Hotel Council Bluffs' 160 rooms include luxury suites and king whirlpool rooms. Ameristar Council Bluffs has earned the AAA Four Diamond designation for 13 consecutive years. The property also offers dining, live entertainment and meeting space.

Located across the Missouri River from Omaha, the property is adjacent to the Nebraska Avenue exit on Interstate 29, immediately north of the junction of Interstate 29 and Interstate 80.

*Ameristar Casino Resort Spa Black Hawk.* Ameristar Casino Resort Spa Black Hawk is one of the largest casinos in Colorado and has completed its transformation into a premier gaming and resort destination with the opening of its new luxury hotel in 2009. The 33-story hotel tower includes 536 rooms and suites and a meeting and event center. In 2011, the hotel was awarded the AAA Four Diamond designation. It has Black Hawk's only full-service spa, an

enclosed rooftop swimming pool and indoor/outdoor whirlpool spas. We believe these amenities and services are unequaled in the Denver gaming market. The property also has four dining venues and several bars, some of which offer live entertainment.

Ameristar Casino Resort Spa Black Hawk is located in the center of the Black Hawk gaming district, approximately 40 miles west of Denver, and it caters primarily to patrons from the Denver metropolitan area and surrounding states.

*Ameristar Casino Hotel Vicksburg.* Ameristar Casino Hotel Vicksburg has been the market leader for 16 consecutive years, a distinction we attribute to its superior location and premier product and dining and entertainment options. The property completed a major expansion in 2008, which included a casino expansion that added

**Table of Contents**

the market's only live poker room, two new restaurants, a Star Club lounge and a new 1,000-space parking garage with direct access to the casino. The property's 149-room hotel was also renovated in 2008. The three-level dockside casino is significantly wider than most other casinos in the market, providing a spacious, land-based feel. The property also offers our guests dining and live entertainment options.

Ameristar Casino Hotel Vicksburg is located one-quarter mile north of Interstate 20 in Vicksburg, Mississippi. The property is visible from the highway exit ramp and is the closest casino to I-20, a major east-west thoroughfare that connects Atlanta and Dallas. Ameristar Casino Hotel Vicksburg caters primarily to guests from the Vicksburg and Jackson, Mississippi and Monroe, Louisiana areas, along with tourists visiting the area.

*Ameristar Casino Hotel East Chicago.* Ameristar Casino Hotel East Chicago serves metropolitan Chicago and Northwest Indiana, the United States' third-largest commercial gaming market. East Chicago's dining choices include six restaurants and one bar. The property also features a 290-room hotel, banquet space and a Star Club players lounge.

We purchased the property, formerly known as Resorts East Chicago, in September 2007. In connection with its June 2008 rebranding, we completed a number of enhancements to the facility, including a remodeled casino floor featuring a new design and layout, an enhanced mix of games, improved food and beverage offerings and the introduction of Ameristar's Star Awards players' program.

Located approximately 25 miles from downtown Chicago, Illinois, Ameristar East Chicago currently draws approximately 70% of its guest base from Illinois, with the remaining 30% coming from Northwest Indiana and surrounding areas.

*The Jackpot Properties.* Cactus Petes Resort Casino and The Horseshu Hotel and Casino are located in Jackpot, Nevada, just south of the Idaho border. Cactus Petes has been operating since 1956. The properties' resort amenities include 416 hotel rooms and suites, an Olympic-sized pool, a heated spa, a styling salon, a recreational vehicle park and access to a nearby 18-hole golf course. In addition, an adjacent general store and service station serve guests and regional travelers. The properties also offer several dining selections and a showroom showcasing live entertainment. A remodeling of the hotel tower at Cactus Petes was completed in 2008.

The properties are located on either side of Nevada State Highway 93, a major regional north-south route, and serve guests primarily from Idaho, and secondarily from Oregon, Washington, Montana, northern California and the southwestern Canadian provinces.

**Markets**

The following table presents a summary of the market characteristics and market performance of our Ameristar-branded properties as of December 31, 2010.

	<b>Ameristar Casino Resort Spa St. Charles</b>	<b>Ameristar Casino Hotel Kansas City</b>	<b>Ameristar Casino Hotel Council Bluffs</b>	<b>Ameristar Casino Resort Spa Black Hawk(1)</b>	<b>Ameristar Casino Hotel Vicksburg</b>	<b>Ameristar Casino Hotel East Chicago(2)</b>
Adult population within	2.0 million	1.6 million	750,000	2.1 million	400,000	5.9 million

50 miles Adult population within 100 miles	2.9 million	2.1 million	1.3 million	3.0 million	1.1 million	8.9 million
No. of market participants	6	4	3	17	5	3
2010 annual market gaming revenue \$ in millions	\$ 1,085.6	\$ 714.5	\$ 429.3	\$ 559.4	\$ 270.1	\$ 1,023.2
2010 market growth rate	3.4%	(0.7)%	(0.5)%	5.3%	(3.7)%	1.6%
2010 market share	25.9%	33.7%	37.2%	27.5%	43.8%	24.1%
2009 market share	28.5%	33.6%	36.6%	20.5%	42.7%	27.5%
2010 market share rank	#1	#1	#2	#1	#1	#2

## **Table of Contents**

- (1) The Colorado Limited Gaming Control Commission reports the Black Hawk and Central City, Colorado markets separately. The Black Hawk information in this table excludes seven casinos in Central City, adjacent to Black Hawk, which generated \$65.7 million in total gaming revenues in 2010.
- (2) In the Northwest Indiana market, there are a total of three operators, including Ameristar East Chicago (located in East Chicago, Hammond and Gary, Indiana), that generated \$1.0 billion in annual gaming revenues. In the broader Chicagoland market, there are five additional state-licensed casinos operating in the states of Illinois and Indiana and one Native American casino in Michigan. The eight state-licensed casinos generated a total of \$2.1 billion in annual gaming revenues.

The primary market area for the Jackpot properties is Twin Falls, Idaho (located approximately 45 miles north of Jackpot) and Boise, Idaho (located approximately 150 miles from Jackpot). The primary market area comprises approximately 600,000 adults. The balance of the Jackpot properties' guests comes primarily from the northwestern United States and southwestern Canada. As of December 31, 2010, the Jackpot properties had approximately 58% of the slot machines and 76% of the table game positions in the Jackpot market.

## **Competition**

### ***St. Charles***

Ameristar St. Charles competes with five other gaming operations located in the metropolitan St. Louis area. Two of these competitors are located in Illinois. The St. Louis market is currently insulated from other casino gaming markets, with no competitors within 100 miles.

In March 2010, a gaming operator opened a new casino facility in Lemay, which is located in the southeastern portion of St. Louis County, approximately 30 miles from our St. Charles property. Ameristar's location is the farthest of the Missouri-based casinos in the St. Louis area from the new casino.

In January 2010, the Missouri Gaming Commission approved the revocation of the gaming license of a competitor in downtown St. Louis. The casino operator surrendered the license and the casino was closed in June 2010. In December 2010, the Missouri Gaming Commission allowed a different operator to commence construction of a new casino property in Cape Girardeau, Missouri, approximately 100 miles southeast of the St. Louis market. Construction of that property is expected to begin in the summer of 2011. Assuming the successful completion and licensing of the Cape Girardeau property, no additional gaming licenses will be awarded in the State of Missouri as the number of permitted casinos was capped at 13 by referendum in 2008.

We currently do not anticipate any new competition in the Illinois portion of the St. Louis market. However, increased competition for our St. Charles property would result if Illinois law is changed in the future to allow the operation of slot machines at the existing pari-mutuel racetrack near East St. Louis. This has been proposed from time to time by members of the Illinois legislature.

### ***Kansas City***

Ameristar Kansas City competes with three other gaming operations located in and around Kansas City, Missouri. In 2007, the Kansas legislature enacted a law that authorizes up to four state-owned and operated freestanding casinos and three racetrack slot machine parlors developed and managed by third parties. One casino and one racetrack location are authorized in the Kansas City market. Currently, the racetrack is closed and it is unclear if it will reopen with slot machines due to the high tax rate imposed on such operations. In 2010, the Kansas Lottery Gaming Facility

Review Board granted approval for a casino and entertainment facility to be constructed at the Kansas Speedway in Wyandotte County, Kansas, approximately 24 miles from Ameristar Kansas City. The first phase of the project includes a casino, restaurants and other entertainment options and is projected to open in the first half of 2012.

With the opening of the new Kansas casino, we will face additional competition at Ameristar Kansas City. However, Ameristar Kansas City's location is the farthest east from the new Kansas casino development, which may mitigate the impact of the new casino.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Council Bluffs***

Ameristar Council Bluffs operates one of three gaming licenses issued for the Council Bluffs gaming market pursuant to an operating agreement with Iowa West Racing Association. The two other licenses are operated by a single company and consist of another riverboat casino and a land-based casino with a pari-mutuel racetrack. In 2009, the land-based competitor opened a nationally-branded 153-room hotel adjacent to its casino.

The Council Bluffs market is currently insulated from other casino gaming markets, with the nearest competitor located approximately 90 miles away.

In 2007, the National Indian Gaming Commission (the NIGC) approved the request of the Ponca Tribe of Nebraska to allow a five-acre parcel owned by the tribe in Carter Lake, Iowa to be utilized for gaming purposes. The parcel is located approximately five miles from Ameristar Council Bluffs. In 2008, in a lawsuit brought by the State of Nebraska and joined by the State of Iowa and the City of Council Bluffs, the federal district court reversed the NIGC's decision. The U.S. Department of the Interior appealed the district court ruling, and in 2010 the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the district court's decision and ordered the court to remand the matter to the NIGC for further consideration. The Court of Appeals directed the NIGC to revisit the issue, taking into consideration, among other things, a 2003 agreement between the State of Iowa and the Bureau of Indian Affairs. That agreement stated that the five-acre parcel would be utilized for a health center and not for gaming purposes. If the tribe is allowed to conduct gaming at this location, the additional competition would adversely affect our Council Bluffs casino.

### ***Black Hawk***

Ameristar Black Hawk competes with 23 other gaming operations located in the Black Hawk and Central City gaming markets in Colorado. Ameristar has the largest single gaming floor and parking garage of any casino in the market. Of the other casinos in the market, only two are considered large operators, with over 750 slot machines. Ameristar's primary competitor is one of the first major casinos encountered when entering Black Hawk from Denver via State Route 119. This competitor's primary casino is connected via a skywalk to an adjacent casino the operator also owns, thereby offering increased availability of hotel rooms, parking capacity and gaming positions to guests.

In 2008, Colorado voters approved Amendment 50, which gave local gaming jurisdictions the option of increasing bet limits, expanding permitted table games and increasing the hours of operation. In July 2009, the positive regulatory changes were implemented statewide, allowing the Ameristar Black Hawk property to extend casino operating hours from 18 hours daily to 24 hours daily, increase the maximum single bet limit from \$5 to up to \$100 and offer additional table games, including roulette and craps.

In September 2009, Ameristar opened a 536-room luxury hotel and spa featuring upscale furnishings and amenities. The hotel includes a versatile meeting and ballroom center and also has Black Hawk's only full-service spa and an enclosed rooftop swimming pool with indoor/outdoor whirlpool facilities. Ameristar Black Hawk offers destination resort amenities and services that we believe are unequalled in the Denver gaming market. The completed hotel also effectively doubled the room capacity in the Black Hawk market.

The Black Hawk and Central City gaming market is currently insulated from other casino gaming markets, with no competitors within 50 miles. There have been several proposals for the development of Native American and racetrack casinos in the Denver metropolitan area over the years. Both types of casinos have been defeated in past ballot initiatives.

Should additional gaming development occur in the Denver metropolitan area, the Black Hawk and Central City market would face increased competition.

***Vicksburg***

Ameristar Vicksburg currently competes with four other gaming operations located in Vicksburg, Mississippi. Vicksburg is located approximately 45 miles west of Mississippi's largest city, Jackson.

Proposals have been made from time to time to develop new Native American casinos in Louisiana and Mississippi, some of which could be competitive with the Vicksburg market. None are under construction currently.

The Vicksburg market also faces competition from two casinos owned by a Native American tribe in Philadelphia, Mississippi, located about 70 miles east of Jackson and 115 miles east of Vicksburg. Vicksburg is also



## **Table of Contents**

subject to competition from four casinos and one slots-only racetrack in Shreveport and Bossier City, Louisiana, located approximately 175 miles from Vicksburg, as well as casinos located along the Mississippi Gulf Coast.

### ***East Chicago***

Ameristar East Chicago's core competitive market of Northwest Indiana is comprised of three casino operators, including Ameristar, and includes East Chicago, Hammond and Gary, Indiana. The three properties are located within five miles of each other on Lake Michigan. The property also competes with six other casinos located in Illinois, Indiana and Michigan within 60 miles of East Chicago.

Illinois casinos are subject to higher gaming taxes than Indiana casinos. They are also subject to gaming position limitations and a statewide indoor smoking ban. Located within the Chicago metropolitan area, Ameristar East Chicago currently draws approximately 70% of its guest base from Illinois, with the remaining 30% coming from Northwest Indiana and surrounding areas.

In 2008, the Illinois Gaming Board (the IGB) awarded the exclusive right to apply for the tenth and final Illinois casino license to a company proposing a casino entertainment complex in Des Plaines, Illinois, which is approximately 40 miles northwest of East Chicago, Indiana. In 2010, the IGB found the developer suitable for the operation of the license. The project calls for a \$450 million casino and entertainment complex. The facility is currently under construction and is expected to open in late 2011. Legislation regarding the possible further expansion of gaming in Illinois may be considered by Illinois lawmakers. If enacted, the legislation could lead to a significant level of additional competition in the Chicagoland market.

In 2009, the Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) permanently closed the Cline Avenue bridge near Ameristar East Chicago due to safety concerns discovered during an inspection of the bridge. The closure has forced Ameristar's guests to utilize local arterial roads to access the property. Access via these roads is less convenient, which has caused the property's business levels and operating results to suffer. INDOT is in the process of improving access; however, it has stated that it does not intend to rebuild the bridge due to the high cost.

### ***Jackpot***

The Jackpot properties compete with three other hotels and motels (all of which also have casinos) in Jackpot and a Native American casino near Pocatello, Idaho. The Native American casino operates video lottery terminals, which are similar to slot machines.

### ***Other***

In addition to the competition that our properties face from other casinos in their geographic markets, we also compete, to a lesser extent, with casinos in other locations, including major tourist destinations such as Las Vegas, with gaming on cruise ships and with other forms of gaming in the United States, including state-sponsored lotteries, racetracks, off-track wagering, Internet and other account wagering and card parlors.

## **Employees and Labor Relations**

As of March 4, 2011, we employed approximately 4,950 full-time and 2,675 part-time employees. Approximately 250 employees at our East Chicago property are employed pursuant to collective bargaining agreements. We believe our employee relations are good.

## **Incorporation**

Ameristar was incorporated in Nevada in 1993.

**Government Regulation**

The ownership and operation of casino gaming facilities are subject to extensive state and local regulation. We are required to obtain and maintain gaming licenses in each of the jurisdictions in which we conduct gaming. The limitation, conditioning or suspension of gaming licenses could (and the revocation or non-renewal of gaming

**Table of Contents**

licenses would) materially adversely affect our operations in that jurisdiction. In addition, changes in law that restrict or prohibit our gaming operations in any jurisdiction could have a material adverse effect on us.

***Missouri***

Our gaming operations in St. Charles and Kansas City, Missouri are conducted by our wholly owned subsidiaries, Ameristar Casino St. Charles, Inc. ( ACSCI ) and Ameristar Casino Kansas City, Inc. ( ACKCI ), respectively. The ownership and operation of riverboat and dockside gaming facilities in Missouri are subject to extensive state and local regulation, but primarily the licensing and regulatory control of the Missouri Gaming Commission. The Licensed Gaming Activities Chapter of the Missouri Revised Statutes (the Missouri Act ) provides for the licensing and regulation of riverboat and dockside gaming operations on the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers in the State of Missouri and the licensing and regulation of persons who distribute gaming equipment and supplies to gaming licensees.

The Missouri Gaming Commission has discretion to approve gaming license applications for permanently moored ( dockside ) casinos, powered ( excursion ) riverboat casinos and barges and to determine the type of excursion gambling boats allowed each licensee. The total number of excursion gambling boat licenses may not exceed 13. Due to safety concerns, all gaming vessels on the Missouri River are permitted to be moored in moats within 1,000 feet of the river. Gaming licenses are initially issued for two one-year periods and must be renewed every two years thereafter. The gaming licenses held by ACSCI and ACKCI are next subject to renewal in October 2012. No gaming licensee may pledge or transfer in any way any license, or any interest in a license, issued by the Missouri Gaming Commission. As a result, the gaming licenses of our Missouri subsidiaries were not pledged to secure our senior credit facilities.

The issuance, transfer and pledge of ownership interests in a gaming licensee are also subject to strict notice and approval requirements. Missouri Gaming Commission regulations prohibit a licensee from doing any of the following without at least 60 days prior notice to the Missouri Gaming Commission, and during such period, the Missouri Gaming Commission may disapprove the transaction or require the transaction be delayed pending further investigation:

any transfer or issuance of an ownership interest in a gaming licensee that is not a publicly held entity or a holding company that is not a publicly held entity, and

any pledge or grant of a security interest in an ownership interest in a gaming licensee that is not a publicly held entity or a holding company that is not a publicly held entity; provided that no ownership interest may be transferred in any way pursuant to any pledge or security interest without separate notice to the Missouri Gaming Commission at least 30 days prior to such transfer, which restriction must be specifically included in the pledge or grant of a security interest.

Under the Missouri Act, all members of the Boards of Directors of ACSCI and ACKCI, certain members of their managements and certain of their employees associated with their gaming businesses are required to obtain and maintain occupational licenses. We believe that all such persons currently required to obtain occupational licenses have obtained or applied for them. The Missouri Gaming Commission may deny an application for a license for any cause that it deems reasonable.

Substantially all loans, leases, sales of securities and similar financing transactions by a gaming licensee must be reported to and approved by the Missouri Gaming Commission. Missouri Gaming Commission regulations require a licensee to notify the Missouri Gaming Commission of its intention to consummate any of the following transactions at least 15 days prior to such consummation, and the Missouri Gaming Commission may reopen the licensing hearing prior to or following the consummation date to consider the effect of the transaction on the licensee's suitability:

any issuance of an ownership interest in a publicly held gaming licensee or a publicly held holding company, if such issuance would involve, directly or indirectly, an amount of ownership interest equaling 5% or greater of the ownership interest in the gaming licensee or holding company after the issuance is complete,

**Table of Contents**

any private incurrence of debt equal to or exceeding \$1 million by a gaming licensee or holding company that is affiliated with the holder of a license,

any public issuance of debt by a gaming licensee or holding company that is affiliated with the holder of a license, and

any significant related party transaction as defined in the regulations.

The Missouri Gaming Commission may waive or reduce the 15-day notice requirement.

The Missouri Act imposes operational requirements on riverboat operators, including an admission fee of \$2 per gaming guest that licensees must pay to the Missouri Gaming Commission, certain minimum payout requirements, a 21% tax on adjusted gross receipts, prohibitions against providing credit to gaming guests (except, subject to certain conditions, for the use of credit and debit cards and the cashing of checks) and a requirement that each licensee reimburse the Missouri Gaming Commission for all costs of any Missouri Gaming Commission staff necessary to protect the public on the licensee's riverboat. Licensees must also submit audited quarterly and annual financial reports to the Missouri Gaming Commission and pay the associated auditing fees. Other areas of operation that are subject to regulation under Missouri rules are the size, denomination and handling of chips and tokens, the surveillance methods and computer monitoring of electronic games, accounting and audit methods and procedures and approval of an extensive internal control system. The Missouri rules also require that all of an operator's chips, tokens, dice, playing cards and electronic gaming devices must be acquired from suppliers licensed by the Missouri Gaming Commission or another person or entity approved by the Missouri Gaming Commission.

Although the Missouri Act provides no limit on the amount of riverboat space that may be used for gaming, the Missouri Gaming Commission can impose space limitations through the adoption of rules and regulations. Additionally, United States Coast Guard safety regulations could affect the amount of riverboat space that may be devoted to gaming. The Missouri Act also includes requirements as to the form of riverboats, which must resemble Missouri's riverboat history to the extent practicable and include certain non-gaming amenities. All licensees currently operating riverboat gaming operations in Missouri are authorized to conduct all or a portion of their operations on a dockside basis, and open and continuous boarding is permitted. Gaming is permitted to be conducted 24 hours each day, with the exception of one hour per week.

The Missouri Act requires each licensee to post a bond or other surety to guarantee that the licensee complies with its statutory obligations. The Missouri Act also gives the Missouri Gaming Commission the authority to use the bond or other form of surety to, among other things, guarantee the completion of an expansion of a gaming facility within a time period determined by the Missouri Gaming Commission.

To promote safety, the Missouri Gaming Commission has required that gaming entertainment barges obtain annual certification from the American Bureau of Shipping.

If the Missouri Gaming Commission decides that a licensee, such as one of our Missouri subsidiaries, violated a gaming law or regulation, the Missouri Gaming Commission could limit, condition, suspend or revoke the license of the licensee. In addition, a licensee, its parent company and the persons involved could be subject to substantial fines for each separate violation. Limitation, conditioning or suspension of any gaming license could (and revocation of any gaming license would) materially adversely affect Ameristar and our Missouri subsidiaries' gaming operations.

Under rules adopted pursuant to the Missouri Act, a holder of any direct or indirect legal or beneficial publicly traded interest in excess of five percent in a gaming licensee, applicant or key person is required, unless exempted, to be

licensed as a key person by the Missouri Gaming Commission. A holder, for passive investment purposes, of such a direct or indirect interest that is not more than 10% may be exempted from such licensure by the executive director of the Missouri Gaming Commission, and a holder of up to 20% may be exempted by the Missouri Gaming Commission, if such holder applies in advance of acquiring such interest or within 10 days thereafter and certifies certain information under oath, including that it (i) is acquiring the interest for passive investment purposes; (ii) does not and will not have any involvement in the management activities of the entity; (iii) does not have any intention of controlling the entity regardless of additional stock that may be acquired; (iv) will within 10 days notify the Missouri Gaming Commission of any sale or purchase of more than 1% of the entity's outstanding stock; and

**Table of Contents**

(v) will, in the event that it subsequently develops an intention of controlling or participating in the management of such entity, notify the Missouri Gaming Commission and refrain from participating in management or exercising control until approved for licensure by the Missouri Gaming Commission.

The Missouri Gaming Commission regulates the issuance of excursion liquor licenses, which authorize the licensee to serve, offer for sale, or sell intoxicating liquor aboard any excursion gambling boat, or facility immediately adjacent to and contiguous with the excursion gambling boat, which is owned and operated by the licensee. An excursion liquor license is granted for a one-year term by the Missouri Gaming Commission and is renewable annually. The Missouri Gaming Commission can discipline an excursion liquor licensee for any violation of Missouri law or the Missouri Gaming Commission's rules. Licensees are responsible for the conduct of their business and for any act or conduct of any employee on the premises that is in violation of the Missouri Act or the rules of the Missouri Gaming Commission. Missouri Gaming Commission liquor control regulations also include prohibitions on certain intoxicating liquor promotions and a ban on fees accepted for advertising products. Only Class B licensees such as ACSCI and ACKCI can obtain a liquor license from the Missouri Gaming Commission. Class B licenses are licenses granted by the Missouri Gaming Commission to allow the holder to conduct gambling games on an excursion gambling boat and to operate an excursion gambling boat. The sale of alcoholic beverages produced at Amerisports at Ameristar Kansas City is subject to licensing, control and regulation by the City of Kansas City, Missouri, Clay County, the State of Missouri and the Division of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms of the U.S. Treasury Department.

***Iowa***

Ameristar's Council Bluffs operations are conducted by our wholly owned subsidiary, Ameristar Casino Council Bluffs, Inc. (ACCBI), and are subject to Chapter 99F of the Iowa Code and the regulations promulgated thereunder. ACCBI's gaming operations are subject to the licensing and regulatory control of the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission (the Iowa Gaming Commission).

Under Iowa law, wagering on a gambling game is legal when conducted by a licensee on an excursion gambling boat. An excursion gambling boat is an excursion boat or moored barge on which lawful gambling is authorized and licensed. Gambling game means any game of chance authorized by the Iowa Gaming Commission. In 2004, the Iowa legislature eliminated the mandatory cruising requirement for an excursion gambling boat, and ACCBI's riverboat is now classified as a permanently moored vessel.

The legislation permitting riverboat gaming in Iowa authorizes the granting of licenses to qualified sponsoring organizations. A qualified sponsoring organization is defined as a person or association that can show to the satisfaction of the Iowa Gaming Commission that the person or association is eligible for exemption from federal income taxation under Section 501(c)(3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (10) or (19) of the Internal Revenue Code (hereinafter not-for-profit corporation). The not-for-profit corporation is permitted to enter into operating agreements with persons qualified to conduct riverboat gaming operations. Such operators must be approved and licensed by the Iowa Gaming Commission. On January 27, 1995, the Iowa Gaming Commission authorized the issuance of a license to conduct gambling games on an excursion gambling boat to Iowa West Racing Association (the Association), a not-for-profit corporation organized for the purpose of facilitating riverboat gaming in Council Bluffs. The Association has entered into a sponsorship agreement with ACCBI (the Operator's Contract) authorizing ACCBI to operate riverboat gaming operations in Council Bluffs under the Association's gaming license, and the Iowa Gaming Commission has approved this contract. The term of the Operator's Contract runs until March 31, 2015, and ACCBI has an option to extend the term for an additional three-year period through March 31, 2018.

Under Iowa law, a license to conduct gambling games may be issued in a county only if the county electorate has approved such gambling games. The electorate of Pottawattamie County, which includes the City of Council Bluffs, most recently reauthorized by referendum in November 2010 the gambling games conducted by ACCBI, and a

reauthorization referendum must be submitted to the electorate in the general election to be held in 2018 and each eight years thereafter. Each such referendum requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the persons voting thereon. In the event a future reauthorization referendum is defeated, the licenses granted to the Association and ACCBI would not be subject to renewal and ACCBI would be required to cease conducting gambling games. After



Table of Contents

a referendum has been held which defeated a proposal to conduct gambling games on excursion gambling boats, another referendum on a proposal to conduct gambling games on excursion gambling boats may not be held for at least eight years.

Substantially all of ACCBI's material transactions are subject to review and approval by the Iowa Gaming Commission. Written and oral contracts and business arrangements involving a related party or in which the term exceeds three years or the total value in a calendar year exceeds \$100,000 are agreements that qualify for submission to and approval by the Iowa Gaming Commission (Qualifying Agreements). Qualifying Agreements are limited to: (1) any obligations that expend, encumber or lend ACCBI assets to anyone other than a not-for-profit entity or a unit of government for the payment of taxes and utilities; (2) any disposal of ACCBI assets or the provision of goods and services at less than market value to anyone other than a not-for-profit entity or a unit of government; (3) a previously approved Qualifying Agreement, if consideration exceeds the approved amount by the greater of \$100,000 or 25%; and (4) any type of contract, regardless of value or term, where a third party provides electronic access to cash or credit for a patron of the facility. Each Qualifying Agreement must be submitted to the Iowa Gaming Commission within 30 days of execution. Iowa Gaming Commission approval must be obtained prior to implementation, unless the Qualifying Agreement contains a written clause stating that the agreement is subject to Iowa Gaming Commission approval. Qualifying Agreements that are ongoing or open-ended need only be submitted on initiation, unless there is a material change in terms or noncompliance with the requirement that consideration be given to the use of Iowa resources, goods and services. Additionally, contracts negotiated between ACCBI and a related party must be accompanied by economic and qualitative justification.

ACCBI is required to maintain records regarding its equity structure and owners. The Iowa Gaming Commission may require ACCBI to submit background information on all persons participating in any capacity at ACCBI. The Iowa Gaming Commission may suspend or revoke the license of a licensee if the licensee is found to be ineligible in any respect, such as want of character, moral fitness, financial responsibility or due to failure to meet other criteria employed by the Iowa Gaming Commission.

ACCBI must submit detailed financial, operating and other reports to the Iowa Gaming Commission. ACCBI must file weekly and monthly gaming reports indicating adjusted gross receipts received from gambling games, the total number and amount of money received from admissions and the amount of regulatory fees paid. Additionally, ACCBI must file annual financial statements covering all financial activities related to its operations for each fiscal year.

Iowa has a graduated wagering tax equal to 5% of the first \$1.0 million of annual adjusted gross receipts, 10% of the next \$2.0 million of annual adjusted gross receipts and 22% of annual adjusted gross receipts over \$3.0 million for an excursion gambling boat. In addition, the state charges other fees on a per-guest basis. Additionally, ACCBI pays the City of Council Bluffs a fee equal to \$0.50 per passenger. Under the Operator's Contract, ACCBI also pays the Association a fee equal to 3% of adjusted gross receipts.

All persons participating in any capacity at a gaming facility, with the exception of certified law enforcement officers while they are working for the facility as uniformed officers, are required to obtain occupational licenses from the Iowa Gaming Commission. All such licenses must be renewed every two years. The Iowa Gaming Commission has broad discretion to deny or revoke any occupational license.

If the Iowa Gaming Commission decides that a gaming law or regulation has been violated, the Iowa Gaming Commission has the power to assess fines, revoke or suspend licenses or to take any other action as may be reasonable or appropriate to enforce the gaming rules and regulations.

ACCBI is subject to licensure by the Alcoholic Beverages Division (ABD) of the Iowa Department of Commerce, which administers and enforces the laws of the State of Iowa concerning alcoholic beverages. Additionally, ACCBI is

subject to liquor ordinances adopted by local authorities. A local authority may adopt ordinances governing establishments that are located within their jurisdiction. Local ordinances may be more restrictive than state law, but they may not conflict with state law. The ABD and the local authorities have full power to suspend or revoke any license for the serving of alcoholic beverages.

Table of Contents

*Indiana*

Ameristar conducts its Indiana gaming operations through its indirect wholly owned subsidiary, Ameristar Casino East Chicago, LLC, which owns and operates Ameristar East Chicago in East Chicago, Indiana. The ownership and operation of casino facilities in Indiana are subject to extensive state and local regulation, including primarily the licensing and regulatory control of the Indiana Gaming Commission (the Commission). The Commission is given extensive powers and duties for administering, regulating and enforcing riverboat gaming in Indiana.

Pursuant to the Indiana Riverboat Gaming Act, as amended (the Indiana Act), the Commission is authorized to award up to 10 owner's licenses and one operating agent contract for purposes of owning and operating riverboat casinos in the State of Indiana. This includes five licenses for riverboat casinos in counties contiguous to Lake Michigan in northern Indiana; five licenses for riverboat casinos in certain counties contiguous to the Ohio River in southern Indiana; and one operating agent contract for a riverboat casino in a county that contains a historic hotel district (i.e., Orange County). Referenda required by the Indiana Act to authorize the five licenses to be issued for counties contiguous to Lake Michigan have been conducted. In these counties, gaming has been authorized for the cities of Hammond, East Chicago, and Gary in Lake County, Indiana, and for Michigan City in LaPorte County, Indiana, to the east of Lake County. In April 2007, the Indiana General Assembly enacted legislation that empowered the Commission to issue gambling game licenses to the holders of Indiana's two pari-mutuel horse racing permits. In March 2008, the Commission granted each permit holder a five-year gambling game license authorizing the installation and use of up to 2,000 slot machines at each horse track, one of which is located in Anderson and the other in Shelbyville, Indiana. Under Indiana law, installation of slot machines beyond the statutorily authorized number of 2,000 would require further approval by the Commission. The slot operations at the tracks opened in the second quarter of 2008.

The Indiana Act strictly regulates the facilities, persons, associations and practices related to gaming operations pursuant to the police powers of Indiana, including comprehensive law enforcement provisions. The Indiana Act vests the Commission with the power and duties of administering, regulating and enforcing the system of riverboat gaming in Indiana. The Commission's jurisdiction extends to every person, association, corporation, partnership and trust involved in riverboat gaming operations in Indiana.

The Indiana Act requires the owner of a riverboat gaming operation to hold an owner's license issued by the Commission. To obtain an owner's license, the Indiana Act requires extensive disclosure of records and other information concerning an applicant. Applicants for licensure must submit a comprehensive application and personal disclosure forms and undergo an exhaustive background investigation prior to the issuance of a license. The Commission has the authority to request specific information on or license anyone who holds an ownership interest or anyone who plays a key management role, such as officers, directors, and employees, regardless of ownership. The applicant may be required to disclose the identity of every person holding an ownership interest in the applicant. Persons holding an interest of 5% or more in the applicant normally must undergo a background investigation and be licensed. Institutional investors, within the meaning of the Indiana Act, are exempted from this requirement provided that they acquire shares in the ordinary course of their investment business and for investment purposes, and neither for the purpose of electing a majority of the board of directors of the licensee nor for the purpose of changing the licensee's charter, management, policies or operations. Institutional investors are defined to include certain retirement funds, investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, collective investment trusts organized by banks under the rules of the Comptroller of the Currency, closed-end investment trusts, chartered or licensed life insurance or property and casualty insurance companies, banking institutions, investment advisors registered under the Investment Advisors Act of 1940 and such other entities as the Commission may determine.

Each owner's license entitles the licensee to own and operate one riverboat and gaming equipment as part of a gaming operation. The Indiana Act allows a person to hold up to 100% of up to two individual licenses.

Each initial owner's license runs for a period of five years. Thereafter, the license is subject to renewal on an annual basis upon a determination by the Commission that the licensee continues to be eligible for an owner's license pursuant to the Indiana Act and the rules and regulations adopted thereunder. Ameristar Casino

**Table of Contents**

East Chicago, LLC submitted an application for the required annual license renewal in 2010 and such license renewal was approved.

The Indiana Act requires that a licensed owner undergo a complete investigation every three years. If for any reason the license is terminated, the assets of the riverboat gaming operation cannot be disposed of without the approval of the Commission. In 2009, the Indiana General Assembly enacted legislation requiring all casino operators to submit for approval by the Commission a written power of attorney identifying a person who would serve as trustee to temporarily operate the casino in certain rare circumstances, such as the revocation or non-renewal of an owner's license. Ameristar Casino East Chicago, LLC submitted its power of attorney by the November 1, 2009 statutory deadline, and the power of attorney was approved by the Commission in March 2010.

A holder of a gaming license is required to post a bond with the Commission in an amount that the Commission determines will adequately reflect the amount that a local community will expend for infrastructure and other facilities associated with a riverboat operation. A licensee must hold insurance of the type and amount deemed necessary by the Commission.

The Commission has also promulgated a rule mandating that licensees maintain a cash reserve to protect patrons against defaults in gaming debts. The cash reserve is to be equal to a licensee's average payout for a three-day period based on the riverboat's performance during the prior calendar quarter. The cash reserve can consist of cash on hand, cash maintained in Indiana bank accounts and cash equivalents not otherwise committed or obligated.

The Indiana Act does not limit the maximum bet or per patron loss. Each licensee sets minimum and maximum wagers on its own games. Wagering may not be conducted with money or other negotiable currency. No person under the age of 21 is permitted to wager, and wagers may only be taken from persons present on a licensed riverboat.

The Commission places special emphasis on the participation of minority business enterprises ( MBEs ) and women business enterprises ( WBEs ) in the riverboat industry. Each licensee is required to submit annually to the Commission a report that includes the total dollar value of contracts awarded for goods and services and the percentage awarded to MBEs and WBEs, respectively. The Commission has previously required licensees to establish goals of expending 10% of the total dollars spent on the majority of goods and services with MBEs and 5% with WBEs. In 2007, the Commission conducted a disparity study entitled "A Disparity Study for the Commission, May 2007" (the "Disparity Study") to determine whether there existed a gap between the capacity of MBEs and WBEs and the utilization thereof by riverboat casinos in Indiana. The Disparity Study concluded that, with the exception of WBE purchases in the construction area, there was no statistically significant disparity. As a result, the Commission issued Resolution 2007-58 to mandate that, effective January 1, 2008, annual goals for expenditures to WBEs for the purchase of construction goods and services shall be set at 10.9%. In November 2010, relying on two years of expenditure data that indicated a statistically significant disparity, the Commission issued Resolution 2010-217 to mandate that, effective January 1, 2011, annual goals for expenditures to MBEs for the purchase of construction goods and services shall be set at 23.2%. Failure to meet these goals will be scrutinized heavily by the Commission and the Indiana Act authorizes the Commission to suspend, limit or revoke an owner's gaming license or impose a fine or other appropriate conditions for failure to comply with these guidelines. However, if a determination is made that a licensee has failed to demonstrate compliance with these guidelines, the licensee has 90 days from the date of the determination to comply. For expenditures in all areas where formal goals have not been established, the Commission has taken the position that the capacity percentages set forth in the Disparity Study for MBEs and WBEs, respectively, are targets for which best faith efforts of each licensee are expected.

A licensee may not lease, hypothecate, borrow money against or lend money against an owner's riverboat gaming license. An ownership interest in an owner's riverboat gaming license may only be transferred in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Indiana Act.

The Indiana Act stipulates a graduated wagering tax with a starting tax rate of 15% and a top rate of 40% for adjusted gross receipts in excess of \$600,000,000. In addition to the wagering tax, an admissions tax of \$3 per

Table of Contents

admission is assessed. The Indiana Act provides for the suspension or revocation of a license if the wagering and admissions taxes are not timely submitted.

A licensee may enter into debt transactions that total \$1,000,000 or more only with the prior approval of the Commission. Such approval is subject to compliance with requisite procedures and a showing that each person with whom the licensee enters into a debt transaction would be suitable for licensure under the Indiana Act. Unless waived, approval of debt transactions requires consideration by the Commission at two business meetings. The Commission, by resolution, has authorized its Executive Director, subject to subsequent ratification by the Commission, to approve debt transactions after a review of the transaction documents and consultation with the Commission Chair and the Commission's financial consultant(s).

The Commission may subject a licensee to fines, suspension or revocation of its license for any act that is in violation of the Indiana Act or the regulations of the Commission or for any other fraudulent act. In addition, the Commission may revoke an owner's license if the Commission determines that the revocation of the license is in the best interests of the State of Indiana.

The Indiana Act provides that the sale of alcoholic beverages at riverboat casinos is subject to licensing, control and regulation pursuant to Title 7.1 of the Indiana Code and the rules adopted by the Indiana Alcohol and Tobacco Commission.

*Mississippi*

The ownership and operation of casino gaming facilities in the State of Mississippi are subject to extensive state and local regulation, but primarily the licensing and regulatory control of the Mississippi Gaming Commission (the Mississippi Commission).

The Mississippi Gaming Control Act (the Mississippi Act) is similar to the Nevada Gaming Control Act. The Mississippi Commission has adopted regulations that are also similar in many respects to the Nevada gaming regulations.

The laws, regulations and supervisory procedures of the Mississippi Commission are based upon declarations of public policy that are concerned with, among other things, (1) the prevention of unsavory or unsuitable persons from having direct or indirect involvement with gaming at any time or in any capacity; (2) the establishment and maintenance of responsible accounting practices and procedures; (3) the maintenance of effective controls over the financial practices of licensees, including the establishment of minimum procedures for internal fiscal affairs and the safeguarding of assets and revenues, providing for reliable record keeping and requiring the filing of periodic reports with the Mississippi Commission; (4) the prevention of cheating and fraudulent practices; (5) providing a source of state and local revenues through taxation and licensing fees; and (6) ensuring that gaming licensees, to the extent practicable, employ Mississippi residents. The regulations are subject to amendment and interpretation by the Mississippi Commission. We believe that our compliance with the licensing procedures and regulatory requirements of the Mississippi Commission will not affect the marketability of our securities. Changes in Mississippi laws or regulations may limit or otherwise materially affect the types of gaming that may be conducted and such changes, if enacted, could have an adverse effect on us and our Mississippi gaming operations.

The Mississippi Act provides for legalized gaming in each of the 14 counties that border the Gulf Coast or the Mississippi River, but only if the voters in the county have not voted to prohibit gaming in that county. Currently, gaming is permissible in nine of the 14 eligible counties in the state and gaming operations take place in seven counties. Traditionally, Mississippi law required gaming vessels to be located on the Mississippi River or on navigable waters in eligible counties along the Mississippi River or in the waters lying south of the counties along the

Mississippi Gulf Coast. However, the Mississippi legislature has amended the Mississippi Act to permit licensees in the three counties along the Gulf Coast to establish land-based casino operations provided that the gaming areas do not extend more than 800 feet beyond the 19-year mean high water line, except in Harrison County, where the limit can be extended as far as the greater of 800 feet beyond the 19-year mean water line or the southern boundary of Highway 90. Due to another change to the Mississippi Act, the Commission has also permitted licensees in approved river counties to conduct gaming operations on permanent structures, provided that the majority of any such structure is located on the river side of the bank full line of the Mississippi River.



**Table of Contents**

The Mississippi Act permits unlimited stakes gaming on a 24-hour basis and does not restrict the percentage of space that may be utilized for gaming. The Mississippi Act permits substantially all traditional casino games and gaming devices.

ACI and any subsidiary of ACI that operates a casino in Mississippi (a Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary ) are subject to the licensing and regulatory control of the Mississippi Commission. As the sole stockholder of Ameristar Casino Vicksburg, Inc. ( ACVI ), a licensee of the Mississippi Commission, ACI is registered under the Mississippi Act as a publicly traded corporation (a Registered Corporation ). As a Registered Corporation, we are required periodically to submit detailed financial and operating reports to the Mississippi Commission and furnish any other information that the Mississippi Commission may require. If we are unable to continue to satisfy the registration requirements of the Mississippi Act, we and any Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary cannot own or operate gaming facilities in Mississippi. No person may become a stockholder of or receive any percentage of profits from a Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary without first obtaining licenses and approvals from the Mississippi Commission. We have obtained such approvals in connection with our ownership of ACVI.

A Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary must maintain a gaming license from the Mississippi Commission to operate a casino in Mississippi. Such licenses are issued by the Mississippi Commission subject to certain conditions, including continued compliance with all applicable state laws and regulations. There are no limitations on the number of gaming licenses that may be issued in Mississippi. Gaming licenses require the payment of periodic fees and taxes, are not transferable, are issued for a three-year period and must be renewed periodically thereafter. ACVI most recently was granted a renewal of its gaming license by the Mississippi Commission on January 25, 2009. This license expires on January 24, 2012.

Certain of our officers and employees and the officers, directors and certain key employees of our Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary must be found suitable or approved by the Mississippi Commission. We believe that we have obtained, applied for or are in the process of applying for all necessary findings of suitability with respect to such persons affiliated with Ameristar or ACVI, although the Mississippi Commission, in its discretion, may require additional persons to file applications for findings of suitability. In addition, any person having a material relationship or involvement with Ameristar or ACVI may be required to be found suitable, in which case those persons must pay the costs and fees associated with such investigation. The Mississippi Commission may deny an application for a finding of suitability for any cause that it deems reasonable. Changes in certain licensed positions, including changes in any person s corporate position or title, must be reported to the Mississippi Commission. In addition to having authority to deny an application for a finding of suitability, the Mississippi Commission has jurisdiction to disapprove a change in such person s corporate position or title and such changes must be reported to the Mississippi Commission. The Mississippi Commission has the power to require us and any Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary to suspend or dismiss officers, directors and other key employees or sever relationships with other persons who refuse to file appropriate applications or whom the authorities find unsuitable to act in such capacities. Determinations of suitability or questions pertaining to licensing are not subject to judicial review in Mississippi.

At any time, the Mississippi Commission has the power to investigate and require the finding of suitability of any record or beneficial stockholder of Ameristar. The Mississippi Act requires any person who acquires more than 5% of any class of voting securities of a Registered Corporation, as reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission, to report the acquisition to the Mississippi Commission, and such person may be required to be found suitable. Also, any person who becomes a beneficial owner of more than 10% of any class of voting securities of a Registered Corporation, as reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission, must apply for a finding of suitability by the Mississippi Commission and must pay the costs and fees that the Mississippi Commission incurs in conducting the investigation. If a stockholder who must be found suitable is a corporation, partnership or trust, it must submit detailed business and financial information, including a list of beneficial owners.

The Mississippi Commission generally has exercised its discretion to require a finding of suitability of any beneficial owner of more than 5% of any class of voting securities of a Registered Corporation. However, under certain circumstances, an institutional investor, as defined in the Mississippi Commission's regulations, which acquires more than 10% but not more than 15% of the voting securities of a Registered Corporation may apply to the Mississippi Commission for a waiver of such finding of suitability if such institutional investor holds the voting securities for investment purposes only. An institutional investor shall not be deemed to hold voting securities for

**Table of Contents**

investment purposes unless the voting securities were acquired and are held in the ordinary course of business as an institutional investor and not for the purpose of causing, directly or indirectly, the election of a majority of the members of the board of directors of the Registered Corporation, any change in the corporate charter, bylaws, management, policies or operations of the Registered Corporation or any of its gaming affiliates, or any other action which the Mississippi Commission finds to be inconsistent with holding the voting securities for investment purposes only. Activities that are not deemed to be inconsistent with holding voting securities for investment purposes include (1) voting on all matters voted on by stockholders; (2) making financial and other inquiries of management of the type normally made by securities analysts for informational purposes and not to cause a change in the Registered Corporation's management, policies or operations; and (3) such other activities as the Mississippi Commission may determine to be consistent with such investment intent.

Any person who fails or refuses to apply for a finding of suitability or a license within 30 days after being ordered to do so by the Mississippi Commission may be found unsuitable. The same restrictions apply to a record owner of our securities if the record owner, after request, fails to identify the beneficial owner. Any person found unsuitable and who holds, directly or indirectly, any beneficial ownership of our securities beyond such time as the Mississippi Commission prescribes may be guilty of a misdemeanor. We may be subject to disciplinary action if, after receiving notice that a person is unsuitable to be a stockholder or to have any other relationship with us or any Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary owned by us, the company involved (1) pays the unsuitable person any dividend or other distribution upon such person's voting securities; (2) recognizes the exercise, directly or indirectly, of any voting rights conferred by securities held by the unsuitable person; (3) pays the unsuitable person any remuneration in any form for services rendered or otherwise, except in certain limited and specific circumstances; or (4) fails to pursue all lawful efforts to require the unsuitable person to divest himself of the securities, including, if necessary, the immediate purchase of the securities for cash at fair market value.

We may be required to disclose to the Mississippi Commission, upon request, the identities of the holders of our debt or other securities. In addition, under the Mississippi Act, the Mississippi Commission, in its discretion, may require the holder of any debt security of a Registered Corporation to file an application, be investigated and be found suitable to own the debt security if the Mississippi Commission has reason to believe that the holder's ownership of such debt securities would be inconsistent with the declared policies of the State of Mississippi.

Although the Mississippi Commission generally does not require the individual holders of obligations such as notes to be investigated and found suitable, the Mississippi Commission retains the discretion to do so for any reason, including but not limited to a default, or where the holder of the debt instruments exercises a material influence over the gaming operations of the entity in question. Any holder of debt securities required to apply for a finding of suitability must pay all investigative fees and costs of the Mississippi Commission in connection with such an investigation.

If the Mississippi Commission determines that a person is unsuitable to own a debt security, then the Registered Corporation may be sanctioned, including the loss of its approvals, if without the prior approval of the Mississippi Commission it (1) pays to the unsuitable person any dividend, interest or any distribution whatsoever; (2) recognizes any voting right by the unsuitable person in connection with those securities; (3) pays the unsuitable person remuneration in any form; or (4) makes any payment to the unsuitable person by way of principal, redemption, conversion, exchange, liquidation or similar transaction.

Each Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary must maintain in Mississippi a current ledger with respect to the ownership of its equity securities and we must maintain in Mississippi a current list of our stockholders, which must reflect the record ownership of each outstanding share of any class of our equity securities. The ledger and stockholder lists must be available for inspection by the Mississippi Commission at any time. If any securities are held in trust by an agent or by a nominee, the record holder may be required to disclose the identity of the beneficial owner to the Mississippi

Commission. A failure to make such disclosure may be grounds for finding the record holder unsuitable. We must also render maximum assistance in determining the identity of the beneficial owner.

The Mississippi Act requires that the certificates representing securities of a Registered Corporation bear a legend indicating that the securities are subject to the Mississippi Act and the regulations of the Mississippi Commission. We have received from the Mississippi Commission a waiver of this legend requirement. The Mississippi Commission has the power to impose additional restrictions on the holders of our securities at any time.

**Table of Contents**

Substantially all material loans, leases, sales of securities and similar financing transactions by a Registered Corporation or a Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary must be reported to or approved by the Mississippi Commission. A Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary may not make a public offering of its securities, but may pledge or mortgage casino facilities. A Registered Corporation may not make a public offering of its securities without the prior approval of the Mississippi Commission if any part of the proceeds of the offering is to be used to finance the construction, acquisition or operation of gaming facilities in Mississippi or to retire or extend obligations incurred for those purposes. Such approval, if given, does not constitute a recommendation or approval of the investment merits of the securities subject to the offering. We have received a waiver of the prior approval requirement with respect to public offerings and private placements of securities, subject to certain conditions, including the ability of the Mississippi Commission to issue a stop order with respect to any such offering if the staff determines it would be necessary to do so.

Under the regulations of the Mississippi Commission, a Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary may not guarantee a security issued by an affiliated company pursuant to a public offering, or pledge its assets to secure payment or performance of the obligations evidenced by a security issued by an affiliated company, without the prior approval of the Mississippi Commission. A pledge of the stock of a Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary and the foreclosure of such a pledge are ineffective without the prior approval of the Mississippi Commission. Moreover, restrictions on the transfer of an equity security issued by a Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary or its holding companies and agreements not to encumber such securities are ineffective without the prior approval of the Mississippi Commission. We have obtained approvals from the Mississippi Commission for such guarantees, pledges and restrictions in connection with offerings of securities, subject to certain restrictions, but we must obtain separate prior approvals from the Mississippi Commission for pledges and stock restrictions imposed in connection with certain financing transactions. Moreover, the regulations of the Mississippi Commission require us to file a Loan to Licensees Report within 30 days following certain financing transactions and the offering of certain debt securities. If the Mississippi Commission were to deem it appropriate, the Mississippi Commission could order any such transaction rescinded.

Changes in control of the Company through merger, consolidation, acquisition of assets, management or consulting agreements or any act or conduct by a person by which he or she obtains control may not occur without the prior approval of the Mississippi Commission. Entities seeking to acquire control of a Registered Corporation must satisfy the Mississippi Commission in a variety of stringent standards prior to assuming control of the Registered Corporation. The Mississippi Commission also may require controlling stockholders, officers, directors and other persons having a material relationship or involvement with the entity proposing to acquire control to be investigated and found suitable as part of the approval process relating to the transaction.

The Mississippi legislature has declared that some corporate acquisitions opposed by management, repurchases of voting securities and other corporate defense tactics that affect corporate gaming licensees in Mississippi and Registered Corporations may be injurious to stable and productive corporate gaming. The Mississippi Commission has established a regulatory scheme to ameliorate the potentially adverse effects of these business practices upon Mississippi's gaming industry and to further Mississippi's policy to (1) assure the financial stability of corporate gaming operators and their affiliates; (2) preserve the beneficial aspects of conducting business in the corporate form; and (3) promote a neutral environment for the orderly governance of corporate affairs.

Approvals are, in certain circumstances, required from the Mississippi Commission before a Registered Corporation may make exceptional repurchases of voting securities (such as repurchases which treat holders differently) in excess of the current market price and before a corporate acquisition opposed by management can be consummated. Mississippi's gaming regulations also require prior approval by the Mississippi Commission of a plan of recapitalization proposed by the Registered Corporation's board of directors in response to a tender offer made directly to the Registered Corporation's stockholders for the purpose of acquiring control of the Registered Corporation.

Neither we nor any Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary may engage in gaming activities in Mississippi while also conducting gaming operations outside of Mississippi without approval of, or a waiver of such approval by, the Mississippi Commission. The Mississippi Commission may require determinations that, among other things, there are means for the Mississippi Commission to have access to information concerning the out-of-state gaming

## **Table of Contents**

operations of us and our affiliates. We previously have obtained, or otherwise qualified for, a waiver of foreign gaming approval from the Mississippi Commission for operations in other jurisdictions in which we conduct gaming operations and will be required to obtain the approval or a waiver of such approval from the Mississippi Commission prior to engaging in any additional future gaming operations outside of Mississippi; provided, however, that such waiver shall be automatically granted under the Mississippi Commission's regulations in connection with foreign gaming activities (except for internet gaming activities) conducted (1) within the 50 states or any territory of the United States, (2) on board any cruise ship embarking from a port located therein or (3) in any other jurisdiction in which a casino operator's license or its equivalent is not required in order to legally conduct gaming operations.

If the Mississippi Commission were to determine that we or ACVI had violated a gaming law or regulation, the Mississippi Commission could limit, condition, suspend or revoke our approvals and the license of ACVI, subject to compliance with certain statutory and regulatory procedures. In addition, we, ACVI and the persons involved could be subject to substantial fines for each separate violation. Because of such a violation, the Mississippi Commission could attempt to appoint a supervisor to operate the casino facilities. Limitation, conditioning or suspension of any gaming license or approval or the appointment of a supervisor could (and revocation of any gaming license or approval would) materially adversely affect us, our gaming operations and our results of operations.

License fees and taxes, computed in various ways depending on the type of gaming or activity involved, are payable to the State of Mississippi, the Mississippi Commission and the counties and cities in which a Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary's operations are conducted. Depending upon the particular fee or tax involved, these fees and taxes are payable either monthly, quarterly or annually. Generally, gaming fees and taxes are based upon the following: (1) a percentage of the gross gaming revenues received by the casino operation; (2) the number of gaming devices operated by the casino; or (3) the number of table games operated by the casino.

The license fee payable to the State of Mississippi is based upon gaming receipts (generally defined as gross receipts less payouts to guests as winnings) and the current maximum tax rate imposed is 8% of all gaming receipts in excess of \$134,000 per month. The foregoing license fees we pay are allowed as a credit against ACVI's Mississippi income tax liability for the year paid. The gross revenues fee imposed by the City of Vicksburg equals approximately 4% of gaming receipts.

The Mississippi Commission's regulations require as a condition of licensure or license renewal that an existing licensed gaming establishment's plan include adequate parking facilities in close proximity to the casino complex and infrastructure facilities, such as hotels, which amount to at least 100% of the casino cost. The Mississippi Commission's current infrastructure requirement applies to new casinos or acquisitions of closed casinos. Ameristar Vicksburg was grandfathered under a prior version of that regulation that required that the infrastructure investment be equal to only 25% or more of the casino cost.

The sale of alcoholic beverages at Ameristar Vicksburg is subject to licensing, control and regulation by the Alcoholic Beverage Control Division of the Mississippi State Tax Commission (the ABC) and by the City of Vicksburg. Ameristar Vicksburg is located in a designated special resort area, which allows ACVI to serve alcoholic beverages on a 24-hour basis. If ABC regulations are violated, the ABC has the power to limit, condition, suspend or revoke any license for the serving of alcoholic beverages or to place such licensee on probation with or without conditions. Certain officers and managers of ACVI must be investigated by the ABC in connection with ACVI's liquor permit and changes in certain key positions must be approved by the ABC.

### ***Colorado***

As prescribed by the Colorado Limited Gaming Act of 1991 (the Colorado Act), the ownership and operation of limited stakes gaming facilities in Colorado are subject to the Colorado Gaming Regulations (the Colorado

Regulations ) and final authority of the Colorado Limited Gaming Control Commission (the Colorado Commission ). The Colorado Act also created the Colorado Division of Gaming within the Colorado Department of Revenue to license, supervise and enforce the conduct of limited stakes gaming in Colorado.

Ameristar Casino Black Hawk, Inc. ( ACBHI ) holds operator, retail gaming and manufacturer/distributor licenses for Ameristar Casino Black Hawk issued by the Colorado Commission. The Colorado Act requires that



**Table of Contents**

applications for renewal of operator, retail gaming and manufacturer/distributor licenses be filed every two years with the Commission not less than 120 days prior to the expiration of the current licenses. ACBHI's current licenses expire on December 16, 2011.

The Colorado Act declares public policy on limited stakes gaming to be that: (1) the success of limited stakes gaming is dependent upon public confidence and trust that licensed limited stakes gaming is conducted honestly and competitively; the rights of the creditors of licensees are protected; and gaming is free from criminal and corruptive elements; (2) public confidence and trust can be maintained only by strict regulation of all persons, locations, practices, associations and activities related to the operation of licensed gaming establishments and the manufacture or distribution of gaming devices and equipment; (3) all establishments where limited gaming is conducted and where gambling devices are operated, and all manufacturers, sellers and distributors of certain gambling devices and equipment, must therefore be licensed, controlled and assisted to protect the public health, safety, good order and the general welfare of the inhabitants of the state to foster the stability and success of limited stakes gaming and to preserve the economy, policies and free competition in Colorado; and (4) no applicant for a license or other affirmative Colorado Commission approval has any right to a license or to the granting of the approval sought. Having the authority to impose fines, the Colorado Commission has broad discretion to issue, condition, suspend for up to six months, revoke, limit or restrict at any time the following licenses: slot machine manufacturer or distributor, operator, retail gaming, support and key employee gaming licenses. With limited exceptions applicable to licensees that are publicly traded entities, no person may sell, lease, purchase, convey or acquire any interest in a retail gaming or operator license or business without the prior approval of the Colorado Commission. Any license issued or other Colorado Commission approval granted pursuant to the Colorado Act is a revocable privilege, and no holder acquires any vested rights therein.

Pursuant to an amendment to the Colorado Constitution (the Colorado Amendment), limited stakes gaming became lawful in the cities of Central City, Black Hawk and Cripple Creek on October 1, 1991. Currently, limited stakes gaming means a maximum single bet of \$100 on slot machines and in the games of blackjack, poker, craps and roulette. Gaming is permitted to be conducted 24 hours each day.

Limited stakes gaming is confined to the commercial districts of these cities as defined by Central City on October 7, 1981, by Black Hawk on May 4, 1978, and by Cripple Creek on December 3, 1973. In addition, the Colorado Amendment restricts limited stakes gaming to structures that conform to the architectural styles and designs that were common to the areas prior to World War I and that conform to the requirements of applicable city ordinances regardless of the age of the structures. Under the Colorado Amendment, no more than 35% of the square footage of any building and no more than 50% of any one floor of any building may be used for limited stakes gaming. Persons under the age of 21 cannot participate in limited stakes gaming.

The Colorado Constitution provides for a tax on the total amount wagered less all payouts to players at the following annual rates. The gaming tax rates in effect as of July 1, 2008 can only be changed by amendment to the Colorado Constitution by voters in a statewide election. With respect to games of poker, the tax is calculated based on the sums wagered that are retained by the licensee as compensation, which must be consistent with the minimum and maximum amounts established by the Colorado Commission.

0.25% up to and including \$2 million of the subject amounts;

2% on amounts from \$2 million to \$5 million;

9% on amounts from \$5 million to \$8 million;

11% on amounts from \$8 million to \$10 million;

16% on amounts from \$10 million to \$13 million; and

20% on amounts over \$13 million.

The City of Black Hawk also assesses two monthly device fees that are based on the number of slot machines operated. Those consist of a \$62.50 fee per device and a transportation device fee of \$6.42 per device.

The Colorado Commission has enacted Rule 4.5, which imposes requirements on publicly traded corporations holding gaming licenses in Colorado and on gaming licenses owned directly or indirectly by a publicly traded

**Table of Contents**

corporation, whether through a subsidiary or intermediary company. The term publicly traded corporation includes corporations, firms, limited liability companies, trusts, partnerships and other forms of business organizations. Such requirements automatically apply to any ownership interest held by a publicly traded corporation, holding company or intermediary company thereof, where the ownership interest directly or indirectly is, or will be upon approval of the Colorado Commission, 5% or more of the entire licensee. In any event, if the Colorado Commission determines that a publicly traded corporation or a subsidiary, intermediary company or holding company has the actual ability to exercise influence over a licensee, regardless of the percentage of ownership possessed by such entity, the Colorado Commission may require the entity to comply with the disclosure regulations contained in Rule 4.5.

Under Rule 4.5, gaming licensees, affiliated companies and controlling persons commencing a public offering of voting securities must notify the Colorado Commission no later than 10 business days after the initial filing of a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Licensed publicly traded corporations are also required to send proxy statements to the Division of Gaming within five days after their distribution. Licensees to whom Rule 4.5 applies must include in their charter documents provisions that restrict the rights of the licensees to issue voting interests or securities except in accordance with the Colorado Act and the Colorado Regulations; limit the rights of persons to transfer voting interests or securities of licensees except in accordance with the Colorado Act and the Colorado Regulations; and provide that holders of voting interests or securities of licensees found unsuitable by the Colorado Commission may, within 60 days of such finding of unsuitability, be required to sell their interests or securities back to the issuer at the lesser of the cash equivalent of the holders' investment or the market price as of the date of the finding of unsuitability. Alternatively, the holders may, within 60 days after the finding of unsuitability, transfer the voting interests or securities to a suitable person, as determined by the Colorado Commission. Until the voting interests or securities are held by suitable persons, the issuer may not pay dividends or interest, the securities may not be voted and may not be included in the voting or securities of the issuer, and the issuer may not pay any remuneration in any form to the holders of the securities.

Pursuant to Rule 4.5, persons who acquire direct or indirect beneficial ownership of (a) 5% or more of any class of voting securities of a publicly traded corporation that is required to include in its articles of incorporation the Rule 4.5 charter language provisions; or (b) 5% or more of the beneficial interest in a gaming licensee directly or indirectly through any class of voting securities of any holding company or intermediary company of a licensee, referred to as qualifying persons, shall notify the Division of Gaming within 10 days of such acquisition, are required to submit all requested information and are subject to a finding of suitability as required by the Division of Gaming or the Colorado Commission. Licensees also must notify any qualifying persons of these requirements. A qualifying person other than an institutional investor whose interest equals 10% or more must apply to the Colorado Commission for a finding of suitability within 45 days after acquiring such securities. Licensees must also notify any qualifying persons of these requirements. Whether or not notified, qualifying persons are responsible for complying with these requirements.

A qualifying person who is an institutional investor under Rule 4.5 and who, individually or in association with others, acquires, directly or indirectly, the beneficial ownership of 15% or more of any class of voting securities must apply to the Colorado Commission for a finding of suitability within 45 days after acquiring such interests.

The Colorado Regulations provide for exemption from the requirements for a finding of suitability when the Colorado Commission finds such action to be consistent with the purposes of the Colorado Act.

Pursuant to Rule 4.5, persons found unsuitable by the Colorado Commission must be removed from any position as an officer, director or employee of a licensee, or from a holding or intermediary company. Such unsuitable persons also are prohibited from any beneficial ownership of the voting securities of any such entities. Licensees, or affiliated entities of licensees, are subject to sanctions for paying dividends or distributions to persons found unsuitable by the Colorado Commission, or for recognizing voting rights of, or paying a salary or any remuneration for services to, unsuitable persons. Licensees or their affiliated entities also may be sanctioned for failing to pursue efforts to require

unsuitable persons to relinquish their interest. The Colorado Commission may determine that anyone with a material relationship to, or material involvement with, a licensee or an affiliated company must apply for a finding of suitability or must apply for a key employee license.

## **Table of Contents**

The Colorado Regulations require that every officer, director and stockholder of private corporations or equivalent office or ownership holders for non-corporate applicants, and every officer, director or stockholder holding either a 5% or greater interest or controlling interest of a publicly traded corporation or owners of an applicant or licensee, shall be a person of good moral character and submit to a full background investigation conducted by the Division of Gaming and the Colorado Commission. The Colorado Commission may require any person having an interest in a license to undergo a full background investigation and pay the cost of investigation in the same manner as an applicant.

The sale of alcoholic beverages in gaming establishments is subject to strict licensing, control and regulation by State and local authorities. Alcoholic beverage licenses are revocable and nontransferable. State and local licensing authorities have full power to limit, condition, suspend for as long as six months or revoke any such licenses.

There are various classes of retail liquor licenses which may be issued under the Colorado Liquor Code. A gaming licensee may sell malt, vinous or spirituous liquors only by the individual drink for consumption on the premises. An application for an alcoholic beverage license in Colorado requires notice, posting and a public hearing before the local liquor licensing authority prior to approval. The Colorado Department of Revenue's Liquor Enforcement Division must also approve the application. ACBHI has been approved for a hotel and restaurant liquor license by both the local Black Hawk licensing authority and the State Division of Liquor Enforcement for Ameristar Black Hawk.

### ***Nevada***

The ownership and operation of casino gaming facilities in Nevada are subject to: (1) the Nevada Gaming Control Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder (collectively, the Nevada Act ); and (2) various local regulations. Our operations are subject to the licensing and regulatory control of the Nevada Gaming Commission ( Nevada Commission ), the Nevada State Gaming Control Board ( Nevada Board ), and the Liquor Board of Elko County. The Nevada Commission, the Nevada Board and the Liquor Board of Elko County are collectively referred to in this section as the Nevada Gaming Authorities.

The laws, regulations and supervisory procedures of the Nevada Gaming Authorities are based upon declarations of public policy which are concerned with, among other things, (1) the prevention of unsavory or unsuitable persons from having a direct or indirect involvement with gaming at any time or in any capacity; (2) the establishment and maintenance of effective controls over the financial practices of licensees, including the establishment of minimum procedures for internal fiscal affairs and the safeguarding of assets and revenues; (3) providing reliable record keeping and requiring the filing of periodic reports with the Nevada Gaming Authorities; (4) the prevention of cheating and fraudulent practices; and (5) providing a source of state and local revenues through taxation and licensing fees. Change in such laws, regulations and procedures could have an adverse effect on our gaming operations.

Cactus Pete's, Inc. ( CPI ), which owns and operates the Jackpot properties, is required to be licensed by the Nevada Gaming Authorities. The gaming licenses require the periodic payment of fees and taxes and are not transferable. Ameristar is registered by the Nevada Commission as a publicly traded corporation (a Registered Corporation ) and has been found suitable to own the stock of CPI, which is a corporate licensee (a Corporate Licensee ) under the terms of the Nevada Act. As a Registered Corporation, Ameristar is required periodically to submit detailed financial and operating reports to the Nevada Commission and furnish any other information that the Nevada Commission may require. No person may become a stockholder of, or receive any percentage of profits from, a Corporate Licensee without first obtaining licenses and approvals from the Nevada Gaming Authorities. Ameristar and CPI have obtained from the Nevada Gaming Authorities the various registrations, findings of suitability, approvals, permits and licenses currently required in order to engage in gaming activities in Nevada.

The Nevada Gaming Authorities may investigate any individual who has a material relationship to, or material involvement with, CPI or Ameristar in order to determine whether such individual is suitable or should be licensed as a business associate of a gaming licensee. Officers, directors and certain key employees of CPI must file applications with the Nevada Gaming Authorities and may be required to be licensed or found suitable by the Nevada Gaming Authorities. Officers, directors and key employees of Ameristar who are actively and directly

## **Table of Contents**

involved in gaming activities of CPI may be required to be reviewed or found suitable by the Nevada Gaming Authorities. The Nevada Gaming Authorities may deny an application for licensing for any cause that they deem reasonable. A finding of suitability is comparable to licensing, and both require submission of detailed personal and financial information followed by a thorough investigation. The applicant for licensing or a finding of suitability must pay all the costs of the investigation. Changes in licensed positions must be reported to the Nevada Gaming Authorities, and in addition to their authority to deny an application for a finding of suitability or licensure, the Nevada Gaming Authorities have jurisdiction to disapprove a change in a corporate position.

If the Nevada Gaming Authorities were to find an officer, director or key employee unsuitable for licensing or unsuitable to continue having a relationship with CPI or Ameristar, the companies involved would have to sever all relationships with such person. In addition, the Nevada Commission may require CPI or Ameristar to terminate the employment of any person who refuses to file appropriate applications. Determinations of suitability or of questions pertaining to licensing are not subject to judicial review in Nevada.

CPI and Ameristar are required to submit detailed financial and operating reports to the Nevada Commission. Substantially all material loans, leases, sales of securities and similar financing transactions by Ameristar and CPI must be reported to, or approved by, the Nevada Commission.

If it were determined that the Nevada Act was violated by CPI, the gaming licenses it holds or has applied for could be limited, denied, conditioned, suspended or revoked, subject to compliance with certain statutory and regulatory procedures. In addition, CPI, Ameristar and the persons involved could be subject to substantial fines for each separate violation of the Nevada Act at the discretion of the Nevada Commission. Further, a supervisor could be appointed by the Nevada Commission to operate CPI's gaming properties and, under certain circumstances, earnings generated during the supervisor's appointment (except for the reasonable rental value of the premises) could be forfeited to the State of Nevada. Limitation, conditioning or suspension of any gaming license or the appointment of a supervisor could (and denial or revocation of any gaming license would) materially adversely affect our gaming operations.

Any beneficial holder of Ameristar's voting or non-voting securities, regardless of the number of shares owned, may be required to file an application, be investigated and have his suitability as a beneficial holder of Ameristar's voting securities determined if the Nevada Commission has reason to believe that such ownership would otherwise be inconsistent with the declared policy of the State of Nevada. The applicant must pay all costs of investigation incurred by the Nevada Gaming Authorities in conducting any such investigation.

The Nevada Act requires any person who acquires beneficial ownership of more than 5% of a Registered Corporation's voting securities to report the acquisition to the Nevada Commission. The Nevada Act requires that beneficial owners of more than 10% of a Registered Corporation's voting securities apply to the Nevada Commission for a finding of suitability within 30 days after the Chairman of the Nevada Board mails the written notice requiring such filing. However, an institutional investor, as defined in the Nevada Act, which beneficially owns more than 10% but not more than 11% of a Registered Company's voting securities as a result of a stock repurchase by the Registered Company may not be required to file such an application. Further, an institutional investor which acquires more than 10%, but not more than 25%, of a Registered Corporation's voting securities may apply to the Nevada Commission for a waiver of such finding of suitability if such institutional investor holds the voting securities for investment purposes only. An institutional investor that has obtained a waiver may hold more than 25% but not more than 29% of a Registered Corporation's voting securities and maintain its waiver where the additional ownership results from a stock repurchase by the Registered Corporation. An institutional investor shall not be deemed to hold voting securities for investment purposes unless the voting securities were acquired and are held in the ordinary course of business as an institutional investor and not for the purpose of causing, directly or indirectly, the election of a majority of the members of the board of directors of the Registered Corporation, any change in the corporate charter, bylaws,

management, policies or operations of the Registered Corporation or any of its gaming affiliates, or any other action which the Nevada Commission finds to be inconsistent with holding the Registered Corporation's voting securities for investment purposes only. Activities which are not deemed to be inconsistent with holding voting securities for investment purposes only include (1) voting on all matters voted on by stockholders; (2) making financial and other inquiries of management of the type normally made by securities analysts for informational purposes and not to cause a change in its management, policies or operations; and



**Table of Contents**

(3) such other activities as the Nevada Commission may determine to be consistent with such investment intent. If the beneficial holder of voting securities who must be found suitable is a corporation, partnership or trust, it must submit detailed business and financial information, including a list of beneficial owners. The applicant is required to pay all costs of investigation.

Any person who fails or refuses to apply for a finding of suitability or a license within 30 days after being ordered to do so by the Nevada Commission or the Chairman of the Nevada Board may be found unsuitable. The same restrictions apply to a record owner if the record owner, after request, fails to identify the beneficial owner. Any equity security holder found unsuitable and who holds, directly or indirectly, any beneficial ownership of the equity securities of a Registered Corporation beyond such period of time as may be prescribed by the Nevada Commission may be guilty of a criminal offense. Ameristar is subject to disciplinary action if, after it receives notice that a person is unsuitable to be a security holder or to have any other relationship with Ameristar or CPI, Ameristar (1) pays that person any dividend or interest upon voting securities of Ameristar, (2) allows that person to exercise, directly or indirectly, any voting right conferred through securities held by the person, (3) pays remuneration in any form to that person for services rendered or otherwise, or (4) fails to pursue all lawful efforts to require such unsuitable person to relinquish his securities including, if necessary, the immediate purchase of such securities by Ameristar for cash at fair market value. Additionally, the Liquor Board of Elko County has the authority to approve all persons owning or controlling the stock of any corporation controlling a gaming license within its jurisdiction.

The Nevada Commission may, at its discretion, require the holder of any debt security of a Registered Corporation to file applications, be investigated and be found suitable to own the debt security of a Registered Corporation if it has reason to believe that such holder's acquisition of such ownership would otherwise be inconsistent with the declared policy of the State of Nevada. If the Nevada Commission determines that a person is unsuitable to own such security, then pursuant to the Nevada Act, the Registered Corporation can be sanctioned, including the loss of its approvals, if without the prior approval of the Nevada Commission, it (1) pays to the unsuitable person any dividend, interest, or any distribution whatsoever; (2) recognizes any voting right by such unsuitable person in connection with such securities; (3) pays the unsuitable person remuneration in any form; or (4) makes any payment to the unsuitable person by way of principal, redemption, conversion, exchange, liquidation or similar transaction.

Ameristar is required to maintain a current stock ledger in Nevada, which may be examined by the Nevada Gaming Authorities at any time. If any securities are held in trust by an agent or by a nominee, the record holder may be required to disclose the identity of the beneficial owner to the Nevada Gaming Authorities. A failure to make such disclosure may be grounds for finding the record holder unsuitable. Ameristar is also required to render maximum assistance in determining the identity of the beneficial owner. The Nevada Commission has the power to require Ameristar stock certificates to bear a legend indicating that the securities are subject to the Nevada Act. However, to date, the Nevada Commission has not imposed such a requirement on Ameristar.

Ameristar may not make a public offering of its securities without the prior approval of the Nevada Commission if the securities or the proceeds therefrom are intended to be used to construct, acquire or finance gaming facilities in Nevada, or to retire or extend obligations incurred for such purposes. On March 19, 2009, the Nevada Commission granted us approval to make public offerings for a period of two years, subject to specified conditions (the Shelf Approval). We have filed an application for renewal of the Shelf Approval, which is scheduled to be considered by the Nevada Commission on March 24, 2011. The Shelf Approval also applies to any company we wholly own that is a publicly traded corporation or would become a publicly traded corporation pursuant to a public offering (Affiliate). The Shelf Approval also includes approval for CPI to guarantee any security issued by, and to hypothecate its assets to secure the payment or performance of any obligations evidenced by a security issued by, us or an Affiliate in a public offering. The Shelf Approval also includes approval to place restrictions upon the transfer of, and enter into agreements not to encumber the equity securities of, CPI. The Shelf Approval, however, may be rescinded for good cause, without prior notice upon the issuance of an interlocutory stop order by the Chairman of the Nevada Board. The

Shelf Approval does not constitute a finding, recommendation or approval by the Nevada Commission or the Nevada Board as to the accuracy or adequacy of the investment merits of the securities offered. Any representation to the contrary is unlawful.

## **Table of Contents**

Changes in control of Ameristar through merger, consolidation, stock or asset acquisitions, management or consulting agreements, or any act or conduct by a person whereby he obtains control may not occur without the prior approval of the Nevada Commission. Entities seeking to acquire control of a Registered Corporation must satisfy the Nevada Board and Nevada Commission in a variety of stringent standards prior to assuming control of such Registered Corporation. The Nevada Commission may also require controlling stockholders, officers, directors and other persons having a material relationship or involvement with the entity proposing to acquire control to be investigated and licensed as part of the approval process relating to the transaction.

The Nevada legislature has declared that some corporate acquisitions opposed by management, repurchases of voting securities and corporate defense tactics affecting Nevada Corporate Licensees, and Registered Corporations that are affiliated with those operations, may be injurious to stable and productive corporate gaming. The Nevada Commission has established a regulatory scheme to ameliorate the potentially adverse effects of these business practices upon Nevada's gaming industry and to further Nevada's policy to (1) assure the financial stability of Corporate Licensees and their affiliates; (2) preserve the beneficial aspects of conducting business in the corporate form; and (3) promote a neutral environment for the orderly governance of corporate affairs. Approvals are, in certain circumstances, required from the Nevada Commission before the Registered Corporation can make exceptional repurchases of voting securities above the current market price thereof and before a corporate acquisition opposed by management can be consummated. The Nevada Act also requires prior approval of a plan of recapitalization proposed by the Registered Corporation's board of directors in response to a tender offer made directly to the Registered Corporation's stockholders for the purposes of acquiring control of the Registered Corporation.

Ameristar has adopted and maintains a Gaming Compliance Program ( Program ) that has been approved by the Chairman of the Nevada Board. The Program is designed to assist our efforts to maintain compliance with the gaming laws of the various jurisdictions under which we conduct our gaming operations. Under the Program, a Compliance Committee, assisted by a Compliance Officer, conducts reviews of specified types of proposed business and employment transactions and relationships and other matters related to regulatory requirements, and advises the Board of Directors and management accordingly. The Compliance Committee's activities are designed primarily to help assure the suitability of business associations of the Company and its affiliates.

License fees and taxes, computed in various ways depending on the type of gaming or activity involved, are payable to the State of Nevada and to the counties and cities in which the Nevada licensee's respective operations are conducted. Depending upon the particular fee or tax involved, these fees and taxes are payable monthly, quarterly or annually and are based upon: (1) a percentage of the gross revenues received; (2) the number of gaming devices operated; or (3) the number of table games operated. A live entertainment tax is also paid by certain casino operations where entertainment is furnished in connection with admission fees, the selling or serving of food and refreshments, or the selling of merchandise.

Any person who is licensed, required to be licensed, registered, required to be registered or is under common control with such persons (collectively, Licensees ), and who proposes to become involved in a gaming venture outside of Nevada, is required to deposit with the Nevada Board, and thereafter maintain, a revolving fund in the amount of \$10,000 to pay the expenses of investigation of the Nevada Board of their participation in such foreign gaming. The revolving fund is subject to increase or decrease at the discretion of the Nevada Commission. Thereafter, Licensees are required to comply with certain reporting requirements imposed by the Nevada Act. Licensees are also subject to disciplinary action by the Nevada Commission if they knowingly violate any laws of the foreign jurisdiction pertaining to the foreign gaming operation, fail to conduct the foreign gaming operation in accordance with the standards of honesty and integrity required of Nevada gaming operations, engage in activities or enter into associations that are harmful to the State of Nevada or its ability to collect gaming taxes and fees or employ, contract with or associate with a person in the foreign operation who has been denied a license or finding of suitability in Nevada on the ground of unsuitability.

***Other Jurisdictions***

We expect to be subject to rigorous regulatory standards, which may or may not be similar to the foregoing standards, in each jurisdiction in which we may seek to conduct gaming operations in the future. There can be no

## **Table of Contents**

assurance that statutes or regulations adopted or fees and taxes imposed by other jurisdictions will permit us to operate profitably.

### ***Federal Regulation of Slot Machines***

We are required to make annual filings with the U.S. Department of Justice in connection with the sale, distribution or operation of slot machines. All requisite filings for the current year have been made.

### ***Other Regulations***

Our business is subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations in addition to those discussed above. These laws and regulations include, but are not limited to, those concerning employees, taxation, zoning and building codes, environmental protection, maritime operations, marketing and advertising, currency transaction reporting and the extension and collection of credit. Such laws and regulations could change or could be interpreted differently in the future, or new laws and regulations could be enacted. Material changes, new laws or regulations or material differences in interpretations by courts or governmental authorities could adversely affect our business.

### **Web Access to Periodic Reports**

Our Internet website address is [www.ameristar.com](http://www.ameristar.com). We make available free of charge through our website our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company's code of ethics applicable to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer is also available on our website. Information contained on our website shall not be deemed to be incorporated in or a part of this Report.

### **Recent Development**

On February 27, 2011, we entered into a binding letter agreement (the "Estate Agreement") with the Estate of Craig H. Nielsen (the "Estate"), our founder and former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer who died in 2006. Pursuant to the Estate Agreement, we will purchase 26,150,000 shares of our Common Stock held by the Estate at a purchase price of \$17.50 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$457,625,000 (the "Repurchase Transaction"). The shares to be repurchased represent approximately 45% of our outstanding shares and 83% of the Estate's current holdings in Ameristar. After giving effect to the transaction, the Estate will own approximately 17% of our Common Stock. The Repurchase Transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2011, subject to financing and customary closing conditions, including the receipt of any necessary gaming and other regulatory approvals. In connection with the Repurchase Transaction, we plan to obtain approximately \$2.1 billion in new debt financing, the net proceeds of which will be used to retire our approximately \$1.5 billion of existing indebtedness, to fund the Repurchase Transaction and for general working capital purposes (the "Refinancing"). You can find more information concerning the Repurchase Transaction and the Refinancing in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2011.

### **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

#### ***Our business is sensitive to reductions in discretionary consumer spending.***

Our business has been and may continue to be adversely affected by the economic downturn currently being experienced in the United States, as we are highly dependent on discretionary spending by our guests. We are not able to predict the length or severity of the downturn. Changes in discretionary consumer spending or consumer

preferences brought about by factors such as increased or continuing high unemployment, significant increases in energy prices, perceived or actual deterioration in general economic conditions, the protracted disruption in the housing markets, the availability of credit, perceived or actual decline in disposable consumer income and wealth (including declines resulting from any increase in personal income tax rates) and changes in consumer confidence in the economy may continue to reduce customer demand for the leisure activities we offer and adversely affect our revenues and cash flow.

**Table of Contents**

***We have substantial debt and intend to incur significant additional debt through the Refinancing; leverage may impair our financial condition and restrict our operations.***

We currently have a substantial amount of debt. As of December 31, 2010, our total consolidated debt was \$1.53 billion. Pursuant to the Estate Agreement, we are required to use our reasonable best efforts to obtain new debt financing satisfactory to us ( New Financing ) with sufficient borrowing capacity to enable us to retire our existing senior credit facilities and our senior unsecured notes and fund the Repurchase Transaction. If we are able to obtain the New Financing, we anticipate that by June 30, 2011 our total consolidated debt will increase to approximately \$2.1 billion, consisting of a combination of new senior secured credit facilities and unsecured notes. Upon consummation of the Refinancing and the Repurchase Transaction, for accounting purposes, our total liabilities will exceed our total assets.

Subject to specified limitations, the indenture governing our senior unsecured notes permits us to incur substantial additional debt. In addition, our senior credit facilities permit us to borrow up to an additional \$73.8 million as of December 31, 2010 (subject to the maintenance of required debt covenant ratios). The revolving credit facility requires commitment reductions of \$12.0 million each quarter from December 31, 2010 through June 30, 2012, with the remaining balance of loans due August 10, 2012. Our term loan requires \$94.2 million principal payments due quarterly from December 31, 2011 through June 30, 2012, with the remaining balance of \$94.3 million due November 10, 2012. Our substantial debt and the additional debt we incur as a result of the Refinancing could have important consequences to our business, including:

increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

limiting our ability to obtain additional financing to fund capital expenditures and acquisitions;

requiring a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations for the payment of interest on our debt and reducing our ability to use our cash flows to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, dividends, stock repurchases and general corporate requirements;

limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate; and

placing us at a competitive disadvantage to less leveraged competitors.

***Servicing our debt will require a significant amount of cash, which we expect to increase following the Refinancing, and our ability to generate sufficient cash depends on many factors, some of which are beyond our control.***

Our ability to make payments on and refinance our debt and to fund capital expenditures depends on our ability to generate cash flow in the future. If we obtain New Financing, our debt service requirements will increase substantially due to the higher principal amount of debt that will be outstanding. To some extent, our ability to generate future cash flow is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative and regulatory factors and other factors that are beyond our control. In addition, the ability to borrow funds under our existing senior credit facilities or replacement credit facilities in the future will depend on our satisfying the financial covenants in the agreement governing such facilities. We cannot assure that our business will generate cash flow from operations or that future borrowings will be available to us under our senior credit facilities in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our debt or to fund other liquidity needs.

Our extended revolving loan commitments mature in August 2012 and our term loan matures in November 2012. We will need to refinance all or a portion of our debt before maturity and, as noted above, we intend to consummate the Refinancing in the second quarter of 2011. We cannot assure that we will be able to obtain New Financing satisfactory to us or to refinance any of our debt on favorable terms. Any inability to generate sufficient cash flow or refinance our debt on favorable terms could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.



**Table of Contents**

***Covenant restrictions under our senior credit facilities and the indenture governing our senior notes and any New Financing may limit our ability to operate our business.***

The agreement governing our existing senior credit facilities and the indenture governing our senior notes contain covenants that restrict our ability to, among other things, borrow money, pay dividends, make capital expenditures and effect a consolidation, merger or disposal of all or substantially all of our assets. Although the covenants in our senior credit facilities and the indenture are subject to various exceptions, we cannot assure you that these covenants will not adversely affect our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage in other activities that may be in our best interest. In addition, our long-term debt requires us to maintain specified financial ratios and satisfy certain financial condition tests, which may require that we take action to reduce our debt or to act in a manner contrary to our business objectives. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under our senior credit facilities and the indenture. If an event of default under our senior credit facilities occurs, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding thereunder, together with accrued interest, to be immediately due and payable. In addition, our senior credit facilities are secured by first priority security interests on substantially all of our real and personal property, including the capital stock of our subsidiaries. If we are unable to pay all amounts declared due and payable in the event of a default, the lenders could foreclose on these assets. We expect that any New Financing that we obtain will contain generally similar covenants and provisions.

***The gaming industry is very competitive and increased competition could have a material adverse effect on our future operations.***

The gaming industry is very competitive, and we face dynamic competitive pressures in each of our markets. Several of our competitors are larger and have greater financial and other resources. We may choose or be required to take actions in response to competitors that may increase our marketing costs and other operating expenses.

Our operating properties are located in jurisdictions that restrict gaming to certain areas or are adjacent to states that prohibit or restrict gaming operations. These restrictions and prohibitions provide substantial benefits to our business and our ability to attract and retain guests. The legalization or expanded legalization or authorization of gaming within or near a market area of one of our properties could result in a significant increase in competition and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Economic difficulties faced by state governments, as well as the increased acceptance of gaming as a leisure activity, could lead to intensified political pressure for the expansion of legalized gaming.

In 2007, the Kansas legislature enacted a law that authorizes up to four state-owned and operated freestanding casinos and three racetrack slot machine parlors developed and managed by third parties. At that time, one casino and one racetrack location were authorized in Wyandotte County in the greater Kansas City market. The owner of the potential racetrack slot machine parlor license surrendered its racing license and closed the facility due to concerns about the tax rate that would apply to its gaming operations, which was substantially higher than the tax rate in Missouri or applicable to Kansas freestanding casinos. The future status of the racetrack license is uncertain; however, there have been discussions about reducing the tax rate in order to incentivize the installation of slot machines at the racetracks and reduce the state's budget deficit. In February 2010, the Kansas Lottery Gaming Facility Review Board approved a proposal by a partnership that includes a major commercial casino operator to develop a large land-based casino and entertainment facility at the Kansas Speedway, approximately 24 miles from Ameristar Kansas City. Construction of the first phase of the project is underway and it is expected to open in the first half of 2012. This facility will provide significant additional competition for Ameristar Kansas City that could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations of that property.

Our East Chicago property currently competes with seven other casino gaming facilities in the Chicagoland market in Indiana and Illinois and with one Native American casino in Michigan. One of our property's principal competitors is

located in Hammond, Indiana, which is closer to and has significantly better access for customers who live in Chicago, Illinois and the Chicago suburbs that are the primary feeder markets for Ameristar East Chicago. The Hammond facility opened a \$485 million expansion in 2008 that has adversely affected our property's business, particularly table games and poker, and we expect will continue to do so.

In December 2008, the Illinois Gaming Board awarded the exclusive right to apply for the tenth and final Illinois casino license to a developer for a property in Des Plaines, Illinois, located approximately 40 miles from

**Table of Contents**

Ameristar East Chicago. Construction is underway and that facility is expected to open in late 2011. From time to time, the Illinois General Assembly has also considered other forms of gaming expansion in the state. In December 2010, the Illinois Senate passed a measure that would have authorized one land-based casino in the City of Chicago, four new riverboat casinos in downstate Illinois, slot machines at the existing racetracks and at Chicago's two major airports and an increase in the number of gaming positions at each of the existing Illinois casinos (which are currently limited to 1,200 positions). The measure would have also reduced the top gaming tax rate on adjusted gross receipts from 50% to 44%. The measure was not passed by the Illinois House before the legislative session ended; however, it is possible this or a similar measure will be introduced in the current legislative session. If Illinois materially expands gaming, particularly in downtown Chicago or the south Chicago suburbs, the additional competition could materially adversely affect the financial performance of Ameristar East Chicago.

A state senator has again introduced a measure in the Indiana legislature that would allow one of the two adjacent riverboat casino licenses held by the same operator in Gary, Indiana, approximately five miles from Ameristar East Chicago, to be moved to a nearby land-based location. If the casino is allowed to relocate inland, Ameristar East Chicago would face significant additional competition that would adversely affect its financial performance.

In December 2007, a competitor opened a new casino in downtown St. Louis, approximately 22 miles from Ameristar St. Charles, and in March 2010 the same competitor opened an additional casino facility in southeastern St. Louis County, approximately 30 miles from Ameristar St. Charles. The new facilities have resulted in significant additional competition for Ameristar St. Charles that has adversely impacted Ameristar St. Charles' business. In addition, if legislation is enacted in Illinois to permit the operation of slot machines at racetracks, Ameristar St. Charles would face additional competition from the racetrack near East St. Louis, Illinois.

The Missouri State Lottery authority is considering introducing video lottery terminals ( VLTs ) at the state's existing lottery outlets in order to help make up a shortfall in the state budget. VLTs are very similar in appearance and performance to casino slot machines. If this occurs, the VLTs would represent additional competition for our St. Charles and Kansas City properties.

Native American gaming facilities in some instances operate under regulatory and financial requirements that are less stringent than those imposed on state-licensed casinos, which could provide them with a competitive advantage and lead to increased competition in our markets. In December 2007, the National Indian Gaming Commission (the NIGC ) approved the request of the Ponca Tribe of Nebraska to have a five-acre parcel owned by the tribe in Carter Lake, Iowa, located approximately five miles from Ameristar Council Bluffs, approved for the operation of gaming. In December 2008, in a lawsuit brought by the State of Nebraska and joined by the State of Iowa and the City of Council Bluffs, the federal district court reversed the NIGC's decision. The U.S. Department of the Interior appealed the district court ruling, and in October 2010 the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the district court's decision and ordered the court to remand the matter to the NIGC for further consideration. The Court of Appeals directed the NIGC to revisit the issue, taking into consideration, among other things, a 2003 agreement between the State of Iowa and the Bureau of Indian Affairs. That agreement stated that the five-acre parcel would be utilized for a health center and not for gaming purposes. If the tribe is allowed to conduct gaming at this location, the additional competition would adversely affect our Council Bluffs business.

The entry into our current markets of additional competitors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, particularly if a competitor were to obtain a license to operate a gaming facility in a superior location. Furthermore, increases in the popularity of, and competition from, Internet and other account wagering and gaming services, which allow customers to wager on a wide variety of sporting events and play Las Vegas-style casino games from home, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects.

***Our business may be adversely affected by legislation prohibiting tobacco smoking.***

Legislation in various forms to ban indoor tobacco smoking in public places has recently been enacted or introduced in many states and local jurisdictions, including several of the jurisdictions in which we operate. Effective January 1, 2008, a Colorado smoking ban was extended to include casino floors. We believe this ban has significantly negatively impacted business volumes in all Colorado gaming markets. In April 2008, voters in the

**Table of Contents**

City of Kansas City approved a ballot measure, which was subsequently modified by the City Council, that prohibits smoking in most indoor public places within the City, including restaurants, but which contains an exemption for casino floors and 20% of all hotel rooms. Two of Ameristar Kansas City's competitors are not subject to a smoking ban in any form, which we believe has had some negative impact on our business. On July 1, 2008, a statewide indoor smoking ban went into effect in the State of Iowa. The law includes an exemption for casino floors and 20% of all hotel rooms. A bill is pending in the Iowa General Assembly that would eliminate the casino floor exemption. Nevada has a statewide indoor smoking ban, with exemptions for the gaming areas of casinos and bars where prepared food is not served. In January 2011, a statewide indoor smoking ban was passed by the Indiana House, and the governor has stated he will sign the measure if it is passed by the full legislature. Similar bills have been introduced from time to time in the Missouri General Assembly, and some members of the St. Charles County Council have recently stated they favor a public referendum on a county-wide indoor smoking ban that would not exempt the casino floor of Ameristar St. Charles. If additional restrictions on smoking are enacted in jurisdictions in which we operate, particularly if such restrictions are applicable to casino floors, our business could be materially adversely affected.

***If the jurisdictions in which we operate increase gaming taxes and fees, our results could be adversely affected.***

State and local authorities raise a significant amount of revenue through taxes and fees on gaming activities. From time to time, legislators and government officials have proposed changes in tax laws, or in the administration of such laws, affecting the gaming industry. Periods of economic downturn and budget deficits, such as are currently being experienced in most states, may intensify such efforts to raise revenues through increases in gaming taxes. If the jurisdictions in which we operate were to increase gaming taxes or fees, depending on the magnitude of the increase and any offsetting factors (such as the elimination of the buy-in limit in Missouri that became effective in 2008), our financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Currently, the governor of Iowa is proposing that the legislature increase the gaming tax rate paid by riverboat casinos on adjusted gross receipts from 22% to 36% and reduce the state's business earnings taxes. If enacted, a gaming tax increase of this magnitude would materially adversely affect the results of operations of Ameristar Council Bluffs and the other casinos in the state.

***We are subject to the risk of rising interest rates.***

Our outstanding debt under our senior credit facilities bears interest at variable rates. As of December 31, 2010, we had \$890.0 million outstanding under our senior credit facilities. If we obtain New Financing as we contemplate, our variable interest rate debt may increase substantially. If short-term interest rates rise from current levels, our interest cost would increase, which would adversely affect our net income and available cash.

***Our business is subject to restrictions and limitations imposed by gaming regulatory authorities that could adversely affect us.***

The ownership and operation of casino gaming facilities are subject to extensive state and local regulation. The states of Missouri, Iowa, Indiana, Mississippi, Colorado and Nevada and the applicable local authorities require various licenses, findings of suitability, registrations, permits and approvals to be held by us and our subsidiaries. The Missouri Gaming Commission, the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission, the Indiana Gaming Commission, the Mississippi Gaming Commission, the Colorado Limited Gaming Control Commission and the Nevada Gaming Commission may, among other things, limit, condition, suspend, revoke or not renew a license or approval to own the stock of any of our Missouri, Iowa, Indiana, Mississippi, Colorado or Nevada subsidiaries, respectively, for any cause deemed reasonable by such licensing authority. Our gaming licenses in Missouri and Colorado must be renewed every two years, our gaming licenses in Iowa and Indiana must be renewed every year, and our gaming license in Mississippi must be renewed every three years. If we violate gaming laws or regulations, substantial fines could be

levied against us, our subsidiaries and the persons involved, and we could be forced to forfeit portions of our assets. The suspension, revocation or non-renewal of any of our licenses or the levy on us of substantial fines or forfeiture of assets could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**Table of Contents**

To date, we have obtained all governmental licenses, findings of suitability, registrations, permits and approvals necessary for the operation of our currently operating gaming activities. However, gaming licenses and related approvals are deemed to be privileges under the laws of all the jurisdictions in which we operate. We cannot assure you that our existing licenses, permits and approvals will be maintained or extended. We also cannot assure you that any new licenses, permits and approvals that may be required in the future will be granted to us.

***Holders of our Common Stock may be subject to gaming regulatory requirements or could be forced to sell their shares.***

All of the jurisdictions in which we operate gaming facilities have regulations requiring owners of more than a specified percentage of our outstanding stock to notify gaming regulatory authorities, provide information or certifications to those authorities and, in some cases, apply for a finding of suitability or license. The threshold level of ownership for such requirements in some jurisdictions is as low as 5% of our outstanding Common Stock, although exceptions or reduced requirements may be applicable for timely submissions by holders who generally qualify as institutional investors as defined in that jurisdiction and own not more than 10% of our stock. The specific qualifications vary by jurisdiction, but the lack of intent to exercise control over the company or its operations, by itself, is not a sufficient basis for exception or reduced requirements in some jurisdictions. For more information, see Item 1. Business Government Regulation.

Upon consummation of the Repurchase Transaction, the percentage ownership of each existing stockholder will increase without any change in the number of shares owned by such holder. Therefore, owners of more than approximately 2.75% of our outstanding Common Stock immediately prior to the Repurchase Transaction who are not already subject to these requirements will be required to make regulatory submissions promptly after the consummation of the Repurchase Transaction, and owners of more than approximately 5.5% of our stock immediately prior to the transaction could face significantly increased regulatory burdens.

Owners of sufficient percentages of our Common Stock who do not or cannot comply with these requirements may be compelled to dispose of their Common Stock quickly and at a time at which they do not desire to do so. Any such sales by a significant holder of Common Stock, and the regulatory disincentives to acquire significant ownership positions, could affect the trading price of our Common Stock.

***Adverse weather conditions or natural disasters in the areas in which we operate, or other conditions that restrict access to our properties, could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.***

Adverse weather conditions, particularly flooding, heavy snowfall and other extreme conditions, as well as natural disasters, can deter our guests from traveling or make it difficult for them to visit our properties. If any of our properties were to experience prolonged adverse weather conditions, or if multiple properties were to simultaneously experience adverse weather conditions, our results of operations and financial condition would be adversely affected. Our business may also be adversely affected by other events or conditions that restrict access to our properties, such as road closures.

On December 28, 2009, the Indiana Department of Transportation announced that it was permanently closing the Cline Avenue bridge near Ameristar East Chicago. The bridge had been closed since November 13, 2009 due to safety concerns discovered during an inspection of the bridge. Closure of the bridge has made access to the property inconvenient for many of Ameristar East Chicago's customers and has significantly impacted the property's business levels and operating results, and we expect this to continue unless and until improved access is developed. As a result of the bridge closure, access to our property has significantly deteriorated relative to the access of our primary competitors.

In Black Hawk, a project to widen a mile-long stretch of State Route 119 near Ameristar Black Hawk to four lanes began in late 2010 and is expected to last until mid-2012. Also, in the spring of 2011 a drainage pipe will be buried underneath the portion of Route 119 that runs through the center of Black Hawk, directly in front of our property. The details of that project have not yet been finalized. These projects are expected to create some inconvenience for guests of Ameristar Black Hawk and adversely affect its business levels while they are ongoing.



## **Table of Contents**

The Missouri Department of Transportation has announced it will be undertaking a major renovation of the westbound span of the Blanchette Bridge, which carries Interstate 70 over the Missouri River near Ameristar St. Charles. The project is expected to begin in early 2012 and last approximately one year. During the project, the westbound span of the bridge will be closed and westbound traffic will be diverted to the eastbound span, which will carry three lanes in each direction, compared to the current five lanes in each direction. The project will create inconvenience for guests of Ameristar St. Charles that we expect will materially adversely affect its business levels while it is ongoing.

We have limited insurance coverage for earthquake damage at our properties. Several of our properties, particularly Ameristar St. Charles, are located near historically active earthquake faults. In the event one of our properties were to sustain significant damage from an earthquake, our business could be materially adversely affected.

### ***We have limited opportunities to develop new properties.***

The casino gaming industry has limited new development opportunities. Most jurisdictions in which casino gaming is currently permitted place numerical and/or geographical limitations on the issuance of new gaming licenses. Although a number of jurisdictions in the United States and foreign countries are considering legalizing or expanding casino gaming, in some cases new gaming operations may be restricted to specific locations, such as pari-mutuel racetracks. Moreover, it is not clear whether the tax, land use planning and regulatory structures that may be applicable to any new gaming opportunity would make the development and operation of a casino financially acceptable. We expect that there will be intense competition for any attractive new opportunities that do arise, and many of the companies competing for such opportunities will have greater resources and name recognition than we do. Therefore, we cannot assure that we will be able to successfully expand our business through new development.

### ***The Estate of Craig H. Neilsen currently owns a majority of our Common Stock and may have interests that differ from those of the other holders of our Common Stock.***

Craig H. Neilsen, our founder and former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, died in November 2006. At the time of his death, Mr. Neilsen beneficially owned approximately 56% of our outstanding Common Stock. As a result of his death, these shares passed by operation of law to Mr. Neilsen's Estate. The co-executors of the Estate are Ray H. Neilsen, our Chairman of the Board, and Gordon R. Kanofsky, our Chief Executive Officer and Vice Chairman. Craig H. Neilsen's estate plan provides that 25,000,000 shares of our Common Stock owned by the Estate (or approximately 43% of our shares currently outstanding) will ultimately pass to The Craig H. Neilsen Foundation, a private foundation primarily focused on funding spinal cord injury research and treatment (the Foundation). Messrs. Neilsen and Kanofsky serve as the co-trustees of the Foundation, and they also serve on the Foundation's five-person board of directors. In light of their control over a majority of our Common Stock, Messrs. Neilsen and Kanofsky jointly have the ability to elect our entire Board of Directors over time and, except as otherwise provided by law or our Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws, to approve or disapprove other matters that may be submitted to a vote of the stockholders. Some of the factors influencing the Estate's investment decisions with respect to our Common Stock may not be relevant to other holders of our Common Stock.

If the Repurchase Transaction is consummated, the Estate will own approximately 17% of our outstanding Common Stock. Due to its liquidity needs, in order to diversify its investments or for other reasons, the Estate or its beneficiaries, including the Foundation, may sell additional shares of our stock in the market or otherwise, which could adversely affect the market price of the stock.

### ***The continued payment of dividends on our stock is dependent on a number of factors and is not assured.***

Holders of our Common Stock are only entitled to receive such dividends as our Board of Directors may declare out of funds legally available for such payments. The payment of future dividends will depend upon our earnings,

economic conditions, liquidity and capital requirements and other factors, including the higher debt leverage and debt service requirements we will have if we consummate the Refinancing. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that future dividends will be paid at levels comparable to our historical distributions, if at all. In addition,

## **Table of Contents**

our senior credit facilities and the indenture governing our senior notes impose limitations on the amount of dividends we may pay, and we expect the terms of any New Financing we obtain will impose limitations on our ability to pay dividends determined by one or more of the amount of dividends, the satisfaction of certain financial covenants or other conditions.

***Many factors, some of which are beyond our control, could adversely affect our ability to successfully complete our construction and development projects as planned.***

*General Construction Risks – Delays and Cost Overruns.* Construction and expansion projects for our properties entail significant risks. These risks include: (1) shortages of materials (including slot machines or other gaming equipment); (2) shortages of skilled labor or work stoppages; (3) unforeseen construction scheduling, engineering, environmental or geological problems; (4) weather interference, floods, hurricanes, fires or other casualty losses; (5) unanticipated cost increases; (6) delays or increased costs in obtaining required governmental permits and approvals; and (7) construction period disruption to existing operations.

Our anticipated costs and construction periods for construction projects are based upon budgets, conceptual design documents and construction schedule estimates prepared by us in consultation with our architects, consultants and contractors. The cost of any construction project undertaken by us may vary significantly from initial expectations, and we may have a limited amount of capital resources to fund cost overruns on any project. If we cannot finance cost overruns on a timely basis, the completion of one or more projects may be delayed until adequate cash flows from operations or other financing is available. The completion date of any of our construction projects could also differ significantly from initial expectations for construction-related or other reasons. We cannot assure you that any project will be completed on time, if at all, or within established budgets. Significant delays or cost overruns on our construction projects could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We are currently engaged in litigation with the general contractor for our St. Charles hotel project, which was completed later and at a higher cost than originally announced.

From time to time, we may employ fast-track design and construction methods in our construction and development projects. This involves the design of future stages of construction while earlier stages of construction are underway. Although we believe the use of fast-track design and construction methods may reduce the overall construction time, these methods may not always result in such reductions, often involve greater construction costs than otherwise would be incurred and may increase the risk of disputes with contractors, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

*Construction Dependent upon Available Financing and Cash Flows from Operations.* The availability of funds under our senior credit facilities at any time are dependent upon, among other factors, the amount of our EBITDA, as defined in the senior credit facilities, during the preceding four consecutive fiscal quarters. Our future operating performance will be subject to financial, economic, business, competitive, regulatory and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that our future consolidated EBITDA and the resulting availability of operating cash flows or borrowing capacity will be sufficient to allow us to undertake or complete future construction projects.

As a result of operating risks, including those described in this section, and other risks associated with a new venture, we cannot assure you that, once completed, any development project will increase our operating profits or operating cash flows.

***Our business may be materially impacted by an act of terrorism or by additional security requirements that may be imposed on us.***

The U.S. Department of Homeland Security has stated that places where large numbers of people congregate, including hotels, are subject to a heightened risk of terrorism. An act of terrorism affecting one of our properties, whether or not covered by insurance, or otherwise affecting the gaming, travel or tourism industry in the United States, may have a material adverse effect on our business. Additionally, our business may become subject to increased security measures designed to prevent terrorist acts.

**Table of Contents**

***Our business may be adversely affected by our ability to retain and attract key personnel.***

We depend on the continued performance of our entire senior management team. If we lose the services of any of our key executives or our senior property management personnel and cannot replace such persons in a timely manner, it could have an adverse effect on our business.

We have experienced and expect to continue to experience strong competition in hiring and retaining qualified property and corporate management personnel, including competition from numerous Native American gaming facilities that are not subject to the same taxation regimes as we are and therefore may be willing and able to pay higher rates of compensation. From time to time, we have a number of vacancies in key corporate and property management positions. If we are unable to successfully recruit and retain qualified management personnel at our properties or at our corporate level, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

As we recruit personnel, we expect successful candidates to exhibit a collaborative, communicative and collegial nature. We also employ a high degree of centralization in a generally highly decentralized industry. These factors create risk in attracting management personnel in a timely fashion, as well as hiring candidates we expect to be successful within our Company.

***The concentration and evolution of the slot machine manufacturing industry or other technological conditions could impose additional costs on us.***

The majority of our revenues are attributable to slot machines operated by us at our casinos. It is important, for competitive reasons, that we offer the most popular and up-to-date slot machine games with the latest technology to our guests.

In recent years, the prices of new slot machines have escalated faster than the rate of inflation. Furthermore, in recent years, slot machine manufacturers have frequently refused to sell slot machines featuring the most popular games, instead requiring participating lease arrangements in order to acquire the machines. Participation slot machine leasing arrangements typically require the payment of a fixed daily rental. Such agreements may also include a percentage payment of coin-in or net win. Generally, a participating lease is substantially more expensive over the long term than the cost to purchase a new machine.

For competitive reasons, we may be forced to purchase new slot machines or enter into participating lease arrangements that are more expensive than the costs associated with the continued operation of our existing slot machines. If the newer slot machines do not result in sufficient incremental revenues to offset the increased investment and participating lease costs, it could hurt our profitability.

We materially rely on a variety of hardware and software products to maximize revenue and efficiency in our operations. Technology in the gaming industry is developing rapidly, and we may need to invest substantial amounts to acquire the most current gaming and hotel technology and equipment in order to remain competitive in the markets in which we operate. Ensuring the successful implementation and maintenance of any new technology acquired is an additional risk.

***Any loss from service of our operating facilities for any reason could materially adversely affect us.***

Our operating facilities could be lost from service due to casualty, mechanical failure, extended or extraordinary maintenance, floods or other severe weather conditions. Our riverboat and barge facilities are especially exposed to these risks and the changes in water levels.

The Ameristar Vicksburg site has experienced ongoing geologic instability that requires periodic maintenance and improvements. Although we have reinforced the cofferdam basin in which the vessel is drydocked on a concrete foundation, further reinforcements may be necessary. We are also monitoring the site and expect that further steps will be necessary to stabilize the site in order to permit operations to continue. A site failure would require Ameristar Vicksburg to limit or cease operations.

The loss of an operating facility from service for any period of time likely would adversely affect our operating results and borrowing capacity under our senior credit facilities or any replacement facilities in an amount that we

**Table of Contents**

are unable to reasonably accurately estimate. It could also result in the occurrence of an event of a default under our senior credit facilities or any replacement facilities.

***A change in control could result in the acceleration of our debt obligations.***

Certain changes in control of Ameristar could result in the acceleration of our senior credit facilities and the obligation to offer to repurchase our senior notes or any replacement credit facilities or notes that we obtain or issue in the Refinancing. We cannot assure that we would be able to repay or refinance any indebtedness that is accelerated as a result of a change in control, and this would likely materially adversely affect our financial condition. These acceleration provisions arising from a change in control contained in our senior credit facilities and senior notes should not be implicated in connection with the contemplated Repurchase Transaction as a result of our planned contemporaneous refinancing of such obligations.

***We are subject to non-gaming regulation.***

We are subject to certain federal, state and local environmental laws, regulations and ordinances that apply to non-gaming businesses generally, including the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, the Resource Conservation Recovery Act, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act and the Oil Pollution Act of 1990. Under various federal, state and local laws and regulations, an owner or operator of real property may be held liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances or wastes located on its property, regardless of whether or not the present owner or operator knows of, or is responsible for, the presence of such substances or wastes. We have not identified any issues associated with our properties that could reasonably be expected to have an adverse effect on us or the results of our operations. However, certain of our properties are located in industrial areas or were used for industrial purposes for many years. As a consequence, it is possible that historical or neighboring activities have affected one or more of our properties and that, as a result, environmental issues could arise in the future, the precise nature of which we cannot now predict. We do not have environmental liability insurance to cover most such events, and the environmental liability insurance coverage we maintain to cover certain events includes significant limitations and exclusions. In addition, if we discover any significant environmental contamination affecting any of our properties, we could face material remediation costs or additional development costs for future expansion activities.

Regulations adopted by the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network of the U.S. Treasury Department require us to report currency transactions in excess of \$10,000 occurring within a gaming day, including identification of the patron by name and social security number. U.S. Treasury Department regulations also require us to report certain suspicious activity, including any transaction that exceeds \$5,000 if we know, suspect or have reason to believe that the transaction involves funds from illegal activity or is designed to evade federal regulations or reporting requirements. Substantial penalties can be imposed against us if we fail to comply with these regulations.

Our riverboats must comply with certain federal and state laws and regulations with respect to boat design, on-board facilities, equipment, personnel and safety. In addition, we are required to have third parties periodically inspect and certify all of our casino barges for stability and single compartment flooding integrity. Our casino barges also must meet local fire safety standards. We would incur additional costs if any of our gaming facilities were not in compliance with one or more of these regulations.

We are also subject to a variety of other federal, state and local laws and regulations, including those relating to zoning, construction, land use, employment, marketing and advertising and the sale of alcoholic beverages. If we are not in compliance with these laws and regulations, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The imposition of a substantial penalty or the loss of service of a gaming facility for a significant period of time would have a material adverse effect on our business.

**Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments***

None.



**Table of Contents**

**Item 2. Properties**

*Ameristar St. Charles.* Ameristar St. Charles is located on approximately 58 acres that we own along the west bank of the Missouri River immediately north of Interstate 70. Ameristar St. Charles owns various other real property in the region, including undeveloped land held for possible future wetlands remediation.

*Ameristar Kansas City.* Ameristar Kansas City is located on approximately 183 acres of property that we own. The site is east of and adjacent to Interstate 435 along the north bank of the Missouri River.

*Ameristar Council Bluffs.* Ameristar Council Bluffs is located on an approximately 69-acre site along the east bank of the Missouri River. We own approximately 46 acres of this site and have rights to use the remaining portion of the site that is owned by the State of Iowa for a term expiring in 2045. We lease approximately one acre of the Ameristar Council Bluffs site to affiliates of Kinseth Hospitality Corporation for the operation of a 188-room limited service Holiday Inn Suites Hotel and a 96-room Hampton Inn Hotel.

*Ameristar East Chicago.* Ameristar East Chicago is located on a 28-acre site in East Chicago, Indiana, approximately 25 miles from downtown Chicago, Illinois. We lease the site from the City of East Chicago under a ground lease that expires (after giving effect to our renewal options) in 2086. We own the casino vessel, hotel and other improvements on the site.

*Ameristar Vicksburg.* Ameristar Vicksburg is located on two parcels, totaling approximately 50 acres, that we own in Vicksburg, Mississippi on either side of Washington Street near Interstate 20. We own or lease various other properties in the vicinity that are not part of our facility, including a service station and convenience store and a recreational vehicle park that we operate.

*Ameristar Black Hawk.* Ameristar Black Hawk is located on a site of approximately 5.7 acres that we own on the north side of Colorado Highway 119 in Black Hawk, Colorado. We own various other properties in the vicinity that are not part of our facility, including approximately 100 acres of largely hillside land across Richman Street from the casino site, portions of which are used for overflow parking, administrative offices and other operational uses, and a warehouse.

*The Jackpot Properties.* We own approximately 116 acres in or around Jackpot, Nevada, including the 35-acre site of Cactus Petes and the 25-acre site of The Horseshu. The Cactus Petes and Horseshu sites are across from each other on either side of U.S. Highway 93. We also own 288 housing units in Jackpot that support the primary operations of the Jackpot properties.

*Other.* We lease office and warehouse space in various locations outside of our operating properties, including our corporate offices in Las Vegas, Nevada. We own or lease other real property in various locations in the United States that is used in connection with our business.

Substantially all of our owned and leased real property collateralizes our obligations under our senior credit facilities.

**Item 3. Legal Proceedings**

*St. Charles Hotel Project Construction Litigation.* In November 2005, ACSCI entered into a contract (the Contract) with Walton Construction Company, L.L.C. (Walton), pursuant to which Walton was to provide general contracting and construction management services for the construction of the 397-suite hotel and related amenities at Ameristar

St. Charles. The Contract provides for payment of the actual cost of the work subject to a guaranteed maximum price ( GMP ).

The original Contract completion date was November 12, 2007 and that date was extended to December 7, 2007 by written amendment in March 2007. While we were able to open the hotel facility in stages as it was being completed in the first half of 2008 in order to mitigate damages from the delay, the project was not substantially completed until June 2008. After the March 2007 amendment, Walton asserted various claims for additional compensation, in excess of the agreed-upon GMP, based on alleged changes to the Contract scope of work and asserted delays and other impacts to the completion of the project. We reviewed and rejected many of these claims,

**Table of Contents**

but did accept others and issued appropriate change orders to Walton. The GMP, as agreed to by us, is slightly less than \$201 million.

On June 20, 2008, Walton filed a mechanic's lien against the St. Charles property. In addition, on that same day, Walton filed suit in the Circuit Court of St. Charles County, Missouri seeking recovery of the amounts included in its mechanic's lien. Walton also has sought interest on unpaid amounts pursuant to the Missouri Prompt Pay Act, which gives the judge discretion to award up to 1.5% per month interest on amounts that are not paid pursuant to the terms of an enforceable contract and discretion to award attorneys' fees to the prevailing party, if any, in the dispute.

Walton's lawsuit and lien essentially claim that the GMP ought to be increased to approximately \$224.5 million, with the increase representing certain amounts allegedly due to subcontractors for work performed as well as amounts claimed by Walton for its own management, supervision and general conditions. Since the filing of the lien and lawsuit, we have resolved the majority of the claims for subcontractor work directly with the affected subcontractors. We expect that these efforts will result in our making a total contract expenditure (inclusive of amounts previously paid to Walton pursuant to the GMP and amounts paid directly to subcontractors) of approximately \$204 million. We believe that the additional amounts claimed by Walton in its lawsuit (approximately \$23 million) primarily relate to the claims that Walton has asserted for its own extended general conditions, added contingency and other costs for its own account. All those claims remain disputed and contested by Ameristar. We have also filed a counterclaim against Walton seeking damages in excess of \$5 million based on the delay in completion of the project and defective and deficient work by Walton.

We are vigorously defending against Walton's claims and have asserted our own claims. The litigation continues in the discovery stage, including depositions.

In addition to Walton's mechanic's lien, certain subcontractors to Walton have filed mechanic's liens against the St. Charles property, and some also filed suit to foreclose on such liens. Our settlement of claims directly with subcontractors has resulted in the dismissal, with prejudice, of a number of these liens and lawsuits.

*East Chicago Local Development Agreement Litigation.* In 1994, Showboat Casino Marina Partnership ( Showboat ), the original owner of our East Chicago casino property, entered into a local development agreement (the LDA ), agreeing to pay 3.75% of its adjusted gross receipts ( AGR ) for local economic development purposes. The payments were to be made: (a) 1% to the City of East Chicago (the City ); (b) 1% each to two separate community non-profit foundations, which subsequently merged with each other ( Foundations ); and (c) 0.75% to East Chicago Second Century, Inc., a for-profit Indiana corporation formed by Showboat to pursue local economic development ( Second Century ). In 1999, Showboat sold the property to an affiliate of Harrah's Entertainment, Inc. ( Harrah's ). During the entire period that Showboat and Harrah's owned the property, they paid 3.75% of their AGR to these entities. In April 2005, RIH Acquisitions IN, LLC ( RIH ) (now known as Ameristar Casino East Chicago, LLC ) purchased the property from Harrah's. Shortly before that time, the City began to assert a right to all of the LDA funds.

In June 2006, the Indiana Gaming Commission (the IGC ) adopted a resolution disapproving of that portion of the LDA requiring the casino licensee to make any payments to Second Century due to its concerns with the individuals owning and controlling Second Century, who were associates of the former Mayor of the City. The resolution directed RIH to propose to the IGC a plan of action for how RIH would continue making the LDA payments in light of the IGC's decision disapproving of the payments to Second Century and the competing and irreconcilable claims of Second Century and the City to those funds. To comply with the resolution, on June 15, 2006, RIH filed a proposed plan of action with the IGC. Among other things, RIH proposed that it would pay the 0.75% of AGR payments earmarked for Second Century into a separate interest-bearing bank account and hold those funds and the interest thereon in the account until a court of competent jurisdiction ordered otherwise. The IGC did not take further action on the plan of action, and on June 15, 2006, RIH started making these payments to the separate account.

After we acquired RIH on September 18, 2007, in accordance with the purchase agreement, RIH opened a new separate interest-bearing bank account under our federal tax identification number and transferred the entire balance in the former separate account to this new account. RIH has continued to deposit 0.75% of its AGR into this account. As of February 28, 2011, this account had a balance of approximately \$10.6 million.

**Table of Contents**

In April 2007, the Indiana legislature enacted a bill, which was signed into law by the governor, permitting the Common Council of the City, upon transfer of the controlling interest in the East Chicago casino license, to adopt an ordinance voiding any term of the LDA and allowing for any payment of funds under the LDA to be redirected to the City. The Common Council of the City adopted an ordinance in October 2007 voiding those terms of the LDA that provide for payment of LDA funds to Second Century and adopted a similar ordinance that applies to the funds formerly paid to Foundations. These ordinances purport to redirect the payment of all LDA funds to the City, including the funds held by RIH in the separate bank account.

On June 1, 2007, prior to the closing of our acquisition of RIH, Second Century filed a complaint against ACI and RIH in Superior Court of Marion County, Indiana. The complaint alleges that RIH's action to stop making LDA payments to Second Century and instead make the payments to the separate bank account was a breach of the LDA, conversion, criminal conversion and constructive fraud. Second Century is seeking to recover an amount equal to the 0.75% of AGR payments it claims should have been made to it since June 15, 2006, compensatory damages, treble damages under Indiana's crime victims statute and its attorneys' fees, and is also seeking a declaration from the court that ACI is now bound by the LDA and is required to pay 0.75% of RIH's AGR to Second Century.

In December 2007, the court issued an order requiring RIH to continue paying the 0.75% of AGR payments to the separate bank account and to hold all the funds in that account until it or another court of competent jurisdiction orders otherwise.

Second Century moved for partial summary judgment against RIH, seeking rulings that RIH is in breach of the LDA and that its failure to pay the LDA funds to Second Century amounts to criminal conversion (which would entitle Second Century to treble damages and its attorneys' fees). In January 2008, ACI and RIH filed a response opposing the motion for summary judgment and seeking summary judgment in favor of RIH on both the contract and conversion claims. The City also filed a brief in opposition to Second Century's motion for partial summary judgment. On September 4, 2008, the court issued an amended order granting summary judgment in favor of ACI and RIH and denying summary judgment in favor of Second Century on Second Century's conversion claim. The court denied each party's motion for summary judgment on Second Century's breach of contract claim. The court entered a final judgment on the conversion claim on October 23, 2008. Second Century filed a motion to reconsider the court's order directing entry of final judgment, which the court denied on January 27, 2009. Second Century did not appeal the final judgment granting ACI and RIH summary judgment on the criminal conversion claim.

In 2007, Foundations filed suit challenging the constitutionality of the 2007 legislation and the City's ordinance adopted under that legislation. RIH intervened in the case, and in December 2007 the trial court ordered RIH to establish an interest-bearing account and deposit in that account the funds in dispute in Foundations' case challenging the constitutionality of the 2007 legislation and City ordinance. As of February 28, 2011, this separate account had a balance of approximately \$17.7 million.

On June 30, 2009, the Indiana Supreme Court issued an opinion in one of multiple appeals related to the East Chicago riverboat casino license. The court held that the IGC has the authority to revoke or cancel the licenses and their attendant conditions. The court further held that the City does not have the authority unilaterally to terminate or alter the terms and conditions of a license issued by the Gaming Commission.

In 2010, the Indiana Supreme Court issued an opinion in Foundations' constitutional challenge lawsuit, holding that the 2007 legislation does not by its terms even purport to alter the Commission's regulatory authority. The Indiana Supreme Court did not determine whether Foundations was entitled to receive funds under the gaming license, but instead determined that "[w]hether [Foundations] is entitled to receive funds under the gaming license . . . is first and foremost a matter of administrative law for the Indiana Gaming Commission to decide.

We have not taken a position on the merits of the other parties' disputes over the LDA funds, and have stated that we are committed to continue paying the 3.75% of AGR for local economic development purposes unless a court of competent jurisdiction orders otherwise. We intend to comply with the two trial court orders requiring RIH to hold and continuing paying the LDA funds formerly paid to Second Century and Foundations in the respective designated separate bank accounts, and we intend to vigorously contest any claims against us seeking money beyond our stated commitment to pay 3.75% of RIH's AGR for local economic development purposes.

**Table of Contents**

From time to time, we are party to other litigation, most of which arises in the ordinary course of business. We are not currently a party to any litigation that management believes would be likely to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**Item 4. [Reserved]****PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****(a) Market Information**

Our Common Stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol ASCA. The price per share of common stock presented below represents the highest and lowest sales prices for our Common Stock on the Nasdaq Global Select Market during each calendar quarter indicated.

	<b>High</b>	<b>Low</b>
2010		
First Quarter	\$ 19.00	\$ 14.17
Second Quarter	20.69	15.00
Third Quarter	18.41	13.44
Fourth Quarter	19.23	15.48
2009		
First Quarter	\$ 13.62	\$ 6.86
Second Quarter	23.00	12.28
Third Quarter	21.27	15.36
Fourth Quarter	18.50	13.94

**(b) Holders**

As of March 10, 2011, there were approximately 214 holders of record of our Common Stock.

**(c) Dividends**

We have paid four quarterly dividends each year on our Common Stock since 2004, except for 2008, when we made three quarterly dividend payments. The payment of future dividends will depend upon our earnings, economic conditions, liquidity and capital requirements and other factors.

In 2010 and 2009, we paid four quarterly cash dividends of \$0.105 per share, for an annual total of \$0.42 per share.

Our senior credit facilities obligate us to comply with certain covenants that place limitations on the payment of dividends. In March 2009, our senior credit facilities were amended to reduce permitted annual dividends from \$40.0 million to \$30.0 million beginning with the year ending December 31, 2009, with any unused portion of such amount permitted to be carried over to future years. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we paid dividends totaling \$24.4 million and \$24.2 million, respectively. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources and Note 6—Long-term debt of





**Table of Contents****Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following data have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction with those statements, certain of which are included in this Report.

**AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

	<b>For the Years Ended December 31,</b>				
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
	<b>(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)</b>				
<b>STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA(1):</b>					
<b>REVENUES:</b>					
Casino	\$ 1,247,034	\$ 1,254,590	\$ 1,296,806	\$ 1,083,380	\$ 1,008,311
Food and beverage	134,854	135,941	156,987	136,471	131,795
Rooms	79,403	66,411	56,024	30,844	27,972
Other	30,559	32,692	38,491	30,387	29,082
	1,491,850	1,489,634	1,548,308	1,281,082	1,197,160
Less: Promotional allowances	(302,568)	(274,189)	(280,406)	(200,559)	(196,862)
Net revenues	1,189,282	1,215,445	1,267,902	1,080,523	1,000,298
<b>OPERATING EXPENSES:</b>					
Casino	544,001	556,684	604,747	478,504	439,101
Food and beverage	64,451	65,633	74,650	70,439	68,744
Rooms	17,591	10,466	11,221	9,341	6,780
Other	12,419	14,240	21,154	19,157	18,749
Selling, general and administrative	244,964	241,853	265,622	229,801	200,588
Depreciation and amortization	109,070	107,005	105,895	94,810	93,889
Impairment of goodwill	21,438	111,700	130,300		
Impairment of other intangible assets	34,791		184,200		
Impairment loss on assets	224	3,929	1,031	4,758	931
Net loss (gain) on disposition of assets	255	411	683	1,408	(683)
Total operating expenses	1,049,204	1,111,921	1,399,503	908,218	828,099
<b>INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS</b>	<b>140,078</b>	<b>103,524</b>	<b>(131,601)</b>	<b>172,305</b>	<b>172,199</b>
<b>OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):</b>					
Interest income	452	515	774	2,113	2,746

Edgar Filing: AMERISTAR CASINOS INC - Form 10-K

Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	(121,233)	(106,849)	(76,639)	(57,742)	(50,291)
Loss on early retirement of debt		(5,365)			(26,264)
Other	1,463	2,006	(3,404)	(178)	
Income (loss) before income tax provision (benefit)	20,760	(6,169)	(210,870)	116,498	98,390
Income tax provision (benefit)	12,130	(1,502)	(80,198)	47,065	38,825
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 8,630	\$ (4,667)	\$ (130,672)	\$ 69,433	\$ 59,565
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE:					
Basic	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.08)	\$ (2.28)	\$ 1.22	\$ 1.06
Diluted	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.08)	\$ (2.28)	\$ 1.19	\$ 1.04
WEIGHTED-AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING:					
Basic	58,025	57,543	57,191	57,052	56,155
Diluted	58,818	57,543	57,191	58,322	57,327

**Table of Contents**

	2010	2009	December 31, 2008	2007	2006
	(Amounts in thousands)				
<b>BALANCE SHEET AND OTHER DATA:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 71,186	\$ 96,493	\$ 73,726	\$ 98,498	\$ 101,140
Total assets	2,061,542	2,214,628	2,225,238	2,412,096	1,541,475
Total long-term debt, net of current maturities	1,432,551	1,541,739	1,643,997	1,641,615	878,668
Stockholders equity(2)	351,020	335,993	338,780	503,126	434,164
Capital expenditures(3)	58,396	136,615	241,826	277,312	249,123

- (1) We acquired Ameristar East Chicago on September 18, 2007 and the operating results of this property are included only from its acquisition date.
- (2) Dividends of \$24.4 million, \$24.2 million, \$18.0 million, \$23.4 million and \$21.1 million were paid in 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The annual dividend per share was \$0.42 in 2010, \$0.42 in 2009, \$0.315 in 2008, \$0.41 in 2007 and \$0.375 in 2006.
- (3) (Decreases) increases in construction contracts payable were \$(6.5) million, \$(28.4) million, \$5.9 million, \$5.6 million and \$16.2 million in 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

*The following information should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto included in this Report. The information in this section and in this Report generally includes forward-looking statements. See Item 1A. Risk Factors.*

**Overview**

We develop, own and operate casinos and related hotel, food and beverage, entertainment and other facilities, with eight properties in operation in Missouri, Iowa, Colorado, Mississippi, Indiana and Nevada. Our portfolio of casinos consists of: Ameristar Casino Resort Spa St. Charles (serving the St. Louis, Missouri metropolitan area); Ameristar Casino Hotel Kansas City (serving the Kansas City metropolitan area); Ameristar Casino Hotel Council Bluffs (serving Omaha, Nebraska and southwestern Iowa); Ameristar Casino Resort Spa Black Hawk (serving the Denver metropolitan area); Ameristar Casino Hotel Vicksburg (serving Jackson, Mississippi and Monroe, Louisiana); Ameristar Casino Hotel East Chicago (serving the Chicagoland area); and Cactus Petes Resort Casino and The Horseshu Hotel and Casino in Jackpot, Nevada (serving Idaho and the Pacific Northwest).

Our financial results are dependent upon the number of patrons that we attract to our properties and the amounts those patrons spend per visit. Additionally, our operating results may be affected by, among other things, overall economic conditions affecting the disposable income of our patrons, our gaming hold percentages, weather conditions affecting our properties, achieving and maintaining cost efficiencies, competitive factors, gaming tax increases and other regulatory changes, the commencement of new gaming operations, charges associated with debt refinancing or property acquisition and disposition transactions, construction at existing facilities and general public sentiment regarding travel. We may experience significant fluctuations in our quarterly operating results due to seasonality and

other factors. Historically, our fourth quarter is weaker than other periods due mostly to the combined effects of inclement weather and guest visitation and spending patterns between the Thanksgiving and Christmas holidays. Consequently, our operating results for any quarter or year are not necessarily comparable and may not be indicative of future periods' results.

The following significant factors and trends should be considered in analyzing our operating performance:

*General Economic Conditions.* The weak economic conditions continue to adversely impact the gaming industry and our Company. We believe our guests have reduced their discretionary spending as a result of uncertainty and instability relating to employment and the credit and housing markets.

**Table of Contents**

*Cost Efficiencies.* In July 2008, we began to implement a strategic plan to improve efficiencies and reduce our cost structure as weak economic conditions continued to adversely impact business volumes. As part of this plan, we reduced our workforce costs through position eliminations, adjusting staffing practices and attrition. We also restructured the organization of our property and corporate management teams to be more efficient and streamlined.

*Ameristar Black Hawk.* In July 2009, positive statewide regulatory changes became effective in Black Hawk. The regulatory changes extended casino operating hours from 18 hours daily to 24 hours daily, increased the maximum single bet limit from \$5 to up to \$100 and allowed for additional table games, including roulette and craps. Also, in September 2009, we opened a 536-room luxury hotel and spa featuring upscale furnishings and amenities. The hotel includes a versatile meeting and ballroom center and has Black Hawk's only full-service spa and an enclosed rooftop swimming pool with indoor/outdoor whirlpool facilities. Ameristar Black Hawk offers destination resort amenities and services that we believe are unequalled in the Denver gaming market. As a result of these regulatory changes and the opening of the new hotel, net revenues and operating income increased year-over-year in 2010 by 47.6% and 106.6%, respectively, compared to 2009. The property also increased its 2010 annual market share on a year-over-year basis from 20.5% to 27.5%.

*East Chicago Bridge Closure and Intangible Asset Impairment.* In 2008, we recorded a total of \$314.5 million (\$186.2 million on an after-tax basis) in non-cash impairment charges for the goodwill and gaming license related to our September 2007 East Chicago property acquisition. The 2008 reduction in the value of these intangible assets was attributable to the significant deterioration of the debt and equity capital markets, as well as a lowering of our growth assumptions for the property to reflect its then-current operating performance (relative to our assumptions at the time of acquisition) and the decline in general economic conditions.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, the highway bridge near our Ameristar East Chicago property was permanently closed by the Indiana Department of Transportation due to safety concerns. The bridge closure has made access to the property inconvenient for many of our guests and has significantly impacted the property's admissions levels and operating results. The adverse business impact is expected to continue unless and until improved access to the property is developed. As a result, in the fourth quarter of 2009, we recorded an additional non-cash impairment charge of \$111.7 million (\$66.2 million on an after-tax basis) for the impairment of goodwill related to our East Chicago property acquisition. During the second quarter of 2010, we recorded another non-cash charge of \$56.0 million (\$33.2 million on an after-tax basis) for the impairment of goodwill and the gaming license. The bridge closure continues to impact our business, resulting in a year-over-year decrease in 2010 net revenues of \$35.2 million, or 14.0%, as compared to 2009.

*Missouri Properties.* In late 2008, positive regulatory reform was implemented in Missouri benefitting our Kansas City and St. Charles properties. The regulatory reform eliminated the \$500 buy-in limit and the requirement for all casino guests to use player identification and tracking cards. Additionally, the Missouri gaming reform raised taxes on gross gaming receipts from 20% to 21% and placed a moratorium on the issuance of additional gaming licenses.

In early March 2010, a gaming operator opened a new casino facility located in the southeastern portion of St. Louis County, approximately 30 miles from our St. Charles property. The additional competition has adversely affected the financial performance of Ameristar St. Charles and the other facilities that operate in the market. The new casino and unusually low table games hold percentages contributed to declines in our property's 2010 net revenues and operating income of 8.1% and 16.2%, respectively, compared to 2009.

*Debt and Interest Expense.* At December 31, 2010 and 2009, total debt was \$1.53 billion and \$1.68 billion, respectively. Net debt repayments totaled \$149.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2010, of which \$145.0 million related to the repayment of a portion of the principal balance outstanding under the revolving credit facility. In November 2009, we successfully completed an extension of \$600.0 million, or 80%, of our revolving loan facility commitments. On November 10, 2010, we retired the \$107.0 million outstanding under the non-extended portion of the revolving credit facility by borrowing \$87.0 million under the extended revolving credit facility due in August 2012 and utilizing \$20.0 million of cash from operations.

**Table of Contents**

In March 2009, we amended our senior credit facility to provide us significant relief under our leverage ratio and senior leverage ratio covenants for the foreseeable future (thereby improving our borrowing flexibility related to currently available funds under the revolving loan facility). The amendment also increased the interest rate add-on for term loan and revolving loan borrowings under the senior credit facility by 125 basis points. At December 31, 2010, our total leverage and senior leverage ratios (each as defined in the senior credit facility) were required to be no more than 5.75:1 and 5.25:1, respectively. As of that date, our total leverage and senior leverage ratios were each 4.76:1.

In May 2009, we issued \$650.0 million aggregate principal amount of 9 1/4% Senior Notes due 2014 (the Notes ). We used the net proceeds from the sale of the Notes (approximately \$620.0 million, after deducting discounts and expenses) to repay a portion of the revolving loan indebtedness outstanding under the senior credit facility.

Our interest expense has increased significantly as a result of the senior credit facility amendment, Notes issuance and extension of our revolving loan facility that all took place in 2009. In 2010, consolidated net interest expense increased by \$14.4 million, or 13.5%, compared to 2009, primarily due to these debt restructuring transactions and lower capitalized interest. Capitalized interest decreased from \$9.0 million in 2009 to \$0.7 million in 2010, primarily due to the completion of the Ameristar Black Hawk hotel. Consolidated net interest expense for the last half of 2010 decreased when compared to the same period in 2009 by \$11.6 million, or 18.0%, due to the expiration of our two interest rate swap agreements on July 19, 2010.

**Table of Contents****Results of Operations****Selected Financial Measures by Property**

The following table sets forth certain information concerning our consolidated cash flows and the results of operations of our operating properties:

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(Dollars in thousands)</b>		
<b>Consolidated Cash Flow Information:</b>			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 218,827	\$ 220,182	\$ 239,501
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (70,006)	\$ (172,941)	\$ (249,824)
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (174,128)	\$ (24,474)	\$ (14,449)
<b>Net Revenues:</b>			
Ameristar St. Charles	\$ 267,139	\$ 290,675	\$ 289,793
Ameristar Kansas City	223,404	230,370	239,964
Ameristar Council Bluffs	154,468	156,421	174,778
Ameristar Black Hawk	152,254	103,168	79,883
Ameristar Vicksburg	114,516	120,152	133,204
Ameristar East Chicago	216,514	251,695	282,866
Jackpot Properties	60,987	62,964	67,414
Consolidated net revenues	\$ 1,189,282	\$ 1,215,445	\$ 1,267,902
<b>Operating Income (Loss):</b>			
Ameristar St. Charles	\$ 59,658	\$ 71,231	\$ 60,436
Ameristar Kansas City	59,134	61,601	50,414
Ameristar Council Bluffs	47,027	46,887	50,728
Ameristar Black Hawk	33,060	16,003	10,661
Ameristar Vicksburg	33,528	32,902	36,453
Ameristar East Chicago(1)	(41,874)	(78,077)	(285,871)
Jackpot Properties	11,526	13,338	11,803
Corporate and other	(61,981)	(60,361)	(66,225)
Consolidated operating income (loss)(1)	\$ 140,078	\$ 103,524	\$ (131,601)
<b>Operating Income (Loss) Margins:</b>			
Ameristar St. Charles	22.3%	24.5%	20.9%
Ameristar Kansas City	26.5%	26.7%	21.0%
Ameristar Council Bluffs	30.4%	30.0%	29.0%
Ameristar Black Hawk	21.7%	15.5%	13.3%
Ameristar Vicksburg	29.3%	27.4%	27.4%



Edgar Filing: AMERISTAR CASINOS INC - Form 10-K

Ameristar East Chicago(1)	(19.3)%	(31.0)%	(101.1)%
Jackpot Properties	18.9%	21.2%	17.5%
Consolidated operating income (loss) margin(1)	11.8%	8.5%	(10.4)%

(1) For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, operating income (loss) and operating income (loss) margin were adversely impacted by \$56.0 million, \$111.7 million and \$314.5 million, respectively, in impairment charges related to Ameristar East Chicago intangible assets.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents detail of our net revenues:

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(Amounts in thousands)</b>		
<b>Casino Revenues:</b>			
Slots	\$ 1,103,711	\$ 1,106,575	\$ 1,140,383
Table games	143,323	148,015	156,423
Casino revenues	1,247,034	1,254,590	1,296,806
<b>Non-Casino Revenues:</b>			
Food and beverage	134,854	135,941	156,987
Rooms	79,403	66,411	56,024
Other	30,559	32,692	38,491
Non-casino revenues	244,816	235,044	251,502
	1,491,850	1,489,634	1,548,308
<b>Less: Promotional Allowances</b>	<b>(302,568)</b>	<b>(274,189)</b>	<b>(280,406)</b>
<b>Total Net Revenues</b>	<b>\$ 1,189,282</b>	<b>\$ 1,215,445</b>	<b>\$ 1,267,902</b>

**Year Ended December 31, 2010 Versus Year Ended December 31, 2009***Net Revenues*

Consolidated net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010 decreased \$26.2 million, or 2.2%, from 2009. Net revenues declined on a year-over-year basis at six of our seven gaming locations. These declines were partially mitigated by an increase in revenues at Ameristar Black Hawk. We believe the weak national economic conditions, the permanent closure of the East Chicago Cline Avenue bridge, the increased competition in our St. Charles market and unusually low table games hold percentages adversely impacted financial results in 2010. Ameristar Black Hawk 2010 net revenues increased by \$49.1 million, or 47.6%, compared to 2009. The increase is primarily attributable to the opening of the new hotel in September 2009 and the implementation of the beneficial regulatory reform in July 2009.

Consolidated casino revenues for 2010 decreased \$7.6 million from the prior year. All of our properties, except Ameristar Black Hawk and Ameristar Council Bluffs, posted casino revenue declines compared to 2009, primarily as a result of the difficult economic conditions, the bridge closure and the increased competition indicated above.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, consolidated promotional allowances increased \$28.4 million, or 10.4%, from the same 2009 period. The increase in promotional allowances was primarily the result of additional promotional spending related to the new hotel in Black Hawk and our efforts to attract guests to our East Chicago property following the bridge closure. For 2010 and 2009, promotional allowances as a percentage of casino revenues were 24.3% and 21.9%, respectively.

*Operating Income*

Consolidated operating income for 2010 was \$140.1 million, compared to \$103.5 million reported in 2009. Operating income for 2010 was adversely impacted by the non-cash impairment charge of \$56.0 million recorded in the second quarter of 2010 that eliminated the remaining net book value of goodwill associated with the acquisition of the East Chicago property and reduced the carrying value of the property's gaming license to \$12.6 million, the new competition entering the St. Charles market and unusually low table games hold percentages. The 2009 consolidated operating income and the related margin were negatively impacted by \$111.7 million in impairment charges for goodwill at Ameristar East Chicago, \$3.9 million of hotel pre-opening expenses, \$3.8 million in impairment losses relating to discontinued expansion projects and \$1.3 million relating to a one-time non-cash adjustment to property taxes at Ameristar Black Hawk. Ameristar Black Hawk's 2010 operating income increased by \$17.1 million, or 106.6%, as a result of the opening of the new hotel and the beneficial regulatory reform. In

**Table of Contents**

2010, operating income increased from 2009 by 1.9% at Ameristar Vicksburg and remained relatively flat at Ameristar Council Bluffs, indicating these properties are continuing to operate efficiently despite slight declines in net revenues. Corporate expense increased \$1.6 million, or 2.7%, in 2010 as compared to 2009 due mostly to \$1.5 million of non-operational professional fees.

*Interest Expense*

The following table summarizes information related to interest on our long-term debt:

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<b>(Dollars in thousands)</b>	
Interest cost	\$ 121,917	\$ 115,813
Less: Capitalized interest	(684)	(8,964)
Interest expense, net	\$ 121,233	\$ 106,849
Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 118,149	\$ 95,066
Weighted-average total debt balance outstanding	\$ 1,623,114	\$ 1,667,772
Weighted-average interest rate	7.4%	6.5%

For the year ended December 31, 2010, consolidated interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, increased \$14.4 million (13.5%) from 2009. The increase is due primarily to higher interest rate add-ons resulting from the senior credit facility amendment, increased interest expense from the issuance of the Notes and the incremental interest incurred on the portion of the revolving credit facility that was extended. Additionally, since the opening of the Ameristar Black Hawk hotel, we no longer capitalize the interest on the associated debt, which has caused our net interest expense to rise relative to prior periods.

*Income Tax Expense*

The income tax provision was \$12.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to a tax benefit of \$1.5 million for 2009. For 2010 and 2009, our effective income tax rates were 58.4% and 24.3%, respectively. Excluding the impact of the intangible asset impairments at Ameristar East Chicago in both 2010 and 2009, the effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2010 would have been 45.5% compared to 41.8% for 2009. This increase is mostly attributable to the absence in 2010 of benefits from the permanent reversal of uncertain tax positions in 2009.

*Net Income (Loss)*

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we reported net income of \$8.6 million and a net loss of \$4.7 million, respectively. The East Chicago impairment charges adversely affected net income in 2010 and 2009 by \$33.2 million and \$66.2 million, respectively. Diluted earnings per share was \$0.15 for 2010, compared to diluted loss per share of \$0.08 in the prior year. The East Chicago impairment charges adversely affected diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 by \$0.56 and \$1.15, respectively.

***Year Ended December 31, 2009 Versus Year Ended December 31, 2008***

*Net Revenues*

Consolidated net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009 decreased \$52.5 million, or 4.1%, from 2008. Net revenues declined year-over-year by 11.0% at Ameristar East Chicago, 10.5% at Ameristar Council Bluffs, 9.8% at Ameristar Vicksburg, 6.6% at the Jackpot Properties and 4.0% at Ameristar Kansas City. These declines were partially offset by an increase in revenues at Ameristar Black Hawk. We believe the weak national economic conditions and the increased competition in our East Chicago and Vicksburg markets adversely impacted financial results throughout 2009. Ameristar Black Hawk's 2009 net revenues increased by \$23.3 million, or 29.1%, compared to 2008. The increase is primarily attributable to the opening of the new hotel in September 2009 and the

**Table of Contents**

implementation of the beneficial regulatory reform on July 2, 2009. We believe the regulatory reform in Missouri had a beneficial impact on the net revenues of Ameristar St. Charles and Ameristar Kansas City. However, these benefits only partially offset the decline in revenues from the weak economic conditions in both markets.

Consolidated casino revenues for 2009 decreased \$42.2 million from the prior year. All of our properties, except Ameristar Black Hawk and Ameristar St. Charles, posted casino revenue declines compared to 2008, primarily as a result of the difficult economic conditions and the increased competition indicated above.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, consolidated promotional allowances decreased \$6.2 million (2.2%) from the same 2008 period, which was proportional to the decline in casino revenues in 2009 compared to 2008. For 2009 and 2008, promotional allowances as a percentage of casino revenues were 21.9% and 21.6%, respectively.

*Operating Income (Loss)*

Consolidated operating income for 2009 was \$103.5 million, compared to a \$131.6 million consolidated operating loss reported in 2008. Operating expenses for 2009 were adversely impacted by \$111.7 million in impairment charges for goodwill at Ameristar East Chicago, \$3.9 million of hotel pre-opening expenses, \$3.8 million in impairment losses relating to discontinued expansion projects and \$1.3 million relating to a one-time non-cash adjustment to property taxes at Ameristar Black Hawk. The 2008 consolidated operating income and the related margin were negatively impacted by \$314.5 million in impairment charges for intangible assets at Ameristar East Chicago, \$9.7 million of costs related to Missouri and Colorado ballot initiative campaigns and \$8.0 million of pre-opening and rebranding expenses. Excluding the 2009 and 2008 Ameristar East Chicago impairment charges, 2009 consolidated operating income improved \$32.3 million, or 17.7%, when compared to the same period in 2008. This increase is primarily attributable to the operational efficiencies implemented in 2008, the opening of the Ameristar Black Hawk hotel and the favorable regulatory reforms in Missouri and Colorado.

*Interest Expense*

The following table summarizes information related to interest on our long-term debt:

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(Dollars in thousands)</b>	
Interest cost	\$ 115,813	\$ 90,730
Less: Capitalized interest	(8,964)	(14,091)
Interest expense, net	\$ 106,849	\$ 76,639
Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 95,066	\$ 66,618
Weighted-average total debt balance outstanding	\$ 1,667,772	\$ 1,637,795
Weighted-average interest rate	6.5%	5.4%

For the year ended December 31, 2009, consolidated interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, increased \$30.2 million (39.4%) from 2008. The increase is due primarily to higher interest rate add-ons resulting from the

senior credit facility amendment, increased interest expense from the issuance of the Notes and the incremental interest incurred on the portion of the revolving credit facility that was extended. Additionally, since we opened the Black Hawk hotel on September 29, 2009, we no longer capitalize the interest on the associated debt, which has increased our net interest expense.

*Income Tax Expense*

The income tax benefit was \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to a benefit of \$80.2 million for 2008. For 2009 and 2008, our effective income tax rates were 24.3% and 38.0%, respectively. Excluding the impact of the intangible asset impairments at Ameristar East Chicago in both 2009 and 2008, the effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2009 would have been 41.8% compared to 46.2% for 2008. This decrease is mostly attributable to the benefits from the permanent reversal of uncertain tax positions in 2009 and the

**Table of Contents**

absence in 2009 of nondeductible lobbying costs incurred in 2008 associated with the Missouri and Colorado ballot initiatives.

*Net Loss*

For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we reported net losses of \$4.7 million and \$130.7 million, respectively. The East Chicago impairment charges adversely affected net income in 2009 and 2008 by \$66.2 million and \$186.2 million, respectively. Diluted loss per share was \$0.08 for 2009, compared to diluted loss per share of \$2.28 in the prior year. The East Chicago impairment charges adversely affected diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 by \$1.15 and \$3.25, respectively. Increased interest expense in 2009 also negatively impacted diluted earnings per share by \$0.34 for the year ended December 31, 2009.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources***Cash Flows Summary*

Our cash flows consisted of the following:

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(Amounts in thousands)</b>		
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	\$ 218,827	\$ 220,182	\$ 239,501
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>			
Capital expenditures	(58,396)	(136,615)	(241,826)
(Decrease) increase in construction contracts payable	(6,489)	(28,375)	5,882
Proceeds from sale of assets	405	527	1,222
Increase in deposits and other non-current assets	(5,526)	(8,478)	(15,102)
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(70,006)</b>	<b>(172,941)</b>	<b>(249,824)</b>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>			
Debt borrowings	12,000	671,485	86,015
Principal payments of debt	(161,794)	(644,594)	(83,467)
Cash dividends paid	(24,389)	(24,195)	(18,015)
Proceeds from stock option exercises	2,238	2,140	891
Purchases of treasury stock	(1,638)	(871)	(45)
Tax effect from stock-based arrangements	(412)	910	172
Debt issuance costs	(133)	(29,349)	
<b>Net cash used in financing activities</b>	<b>(174,128)</b>	<b>(24,474)</b>	<b>(14,449)</b>
<b>Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>\$ (25,307)</b>	<b>\$ 22,767</b>	<b>\$ (24,772)</b>

Our business is primarily conducted on a cash basis. Accordingly, operating cash flows follow trends in our operating income, excluding non-cash items. For the year ended December 31, 2010, net cash provided by operating activities



decreased \$1.4 million from the 2009 period, mostly as a result of the changed competitive environments at the Ameristar St. Charles and Ameristar East Chicago properties described above, offset by the changes in our accounts payable and deferred income tax balances in 2010. The decrease in operating cash flows from 2008 to 2009 was mostly attributable to the changes in our income tax receivable and deferred income tax balances in 2009.

Capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2010 included minor construction projects, slot machine purchases and the acquisition of long-lived assets relating to various capital maintenance projects at all of our properties. For each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, capital expenditures were primarily related to our hotel project at Ameristar Black Hawk, our expansion at Ameristar St. Charles, our expansion at Ameristar Vicksburg, slot machine purchases and the acquisition of long-lived assets relating to various capital maintenance projects.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes our capital spending activity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

<b>Capital Expenditures by Project</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Year Ended</b>	<b>Year Ended</b>
	<b>Ended</b>	<b>December 31,</b>	<b>December 31,</b>
	<b>December 31,</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>2010</b>	<b>(Amounts in thousands)</b>	
Black Hawk expansion	\$ 1,582	\$ 74,711	\$ 102,538
Council Bluffs air quality improvements	833		
Kansas City hotel addition	823		
East Chicago hotel renovation	482		
Vicksburg expansion	429	97	40,618
St. Charles expansion		894	26,720
Other construction projects	3,624	9,877	29,165
Total construction projects	7,773	85,579	199,041
Other fixed asset purchases	50,623	51,036	42,785
Total capital expenditures	\$ 58,396	\$ 136,615	\$ 241,826

We completed construction of the luxury hotel and spa at Ameristar Black Hawk, which opened in September 2009. Capital expenditures relating to the hotel project totaled \$74.7 million in 2009. The total cost of the project was approximately \$230.0 million.

At Ameristar St. Charles, we completed construction of the 397-room, all-suite hotel with an indoor/outdoor pool and a 7,000 square-foot, full-service spa at the end of the second quarter of 2008.

We completed the \$100 million expansion and the new 1,000-space parking garage at our Vicksburg property in May 2008. Since the opening of the garage and casino expansion, a new Star Club lounge was completed in July 2008 and two additional restaurants opened in September 2008.

A renovation of the Cactus Petes hotel was completed in May 2008 at a cost of approximately \$16 million.

During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, our Board of Directors declared four quarterly cash dividends of \$0.105 per share on our Common Stock. In 2008, our Board of Directors declared three quarterly cash dividends of \$0.105 per share on our Common Stock.

**Liquidity**

On March 13, 2009, we amended our senior credit facility to increase the maximum permitted leverage and senior leverage ratios (each as defined in the senior credit facility). Increases of 0.25:1 to 0.50:1 were made to the maximum permitted leverage ratio for each of our fiscal quarters ending on and after September 30, 2009, and increases of 0.50:1 to 1.25:1 were made to the maximum permitted senior leverage ratio for each of our fiscal quarters ending on and after March 31, 2009. Additionally, the amendment increased the interest rate margin, or add-on, for all revolving and term loan borrowings under the senior credit facility by 125 basis points; reduced permitted annual dividends

from \$40.0 million to \$30.0 million beginning with the year ending December 31, 2009, with any unused portion of such amount permitted to be carried over to future years; increased the aggregate limit on capital expenditures by \$100.0 million; and decreased the permitted amount of cumulative stock repurchases, in addition to any amount available under the dividend basket, from \$125.0 million to \$50.0 million. The amendment also eliminated the \$500.0 million limit on the future issuance of subordinated debt and permits us to issue an unlimited amount of senior unsecured debt.

On May 27, 2009, we used the net proceeds from the sale of the senior unsecured Notes (approximately \$620.0 million, after deducting discounts and expenses) to repay a portion of the revolving loan indebtedness outstanding under our senior credit facility. Simultaneously, we terminated \$650.0 million of revolving loan commitments under the senior credit facility that matured in November 2010. Interest on the Notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on June 1 and December 1 of each year.

**Table of Contents**

On November 17, 2009, we completed an extension of the maturity of \$600.0 million, or 80%, of our senior revolving credit facility commitments (under which \$510.0 million of loans were outstanding at December 31, 2010) to August 10, 2012. On November 10, 2010, we retired the \$107.0 million outstanding under the non-extended portion of the revolving credit facility by borrowing \$87.0 million under the extended revolving credit facility due in August 2012 and utilizing \$20.0 million of cash from operations. As a result of entering into the extension, we paid one-time fees totaling approximately \$6.7 million and are required to pay a higher interest rate margin for the extended portion of the revolving loans.

All mandatory principal repayments on the senior credit facility debt have been made through December 31, 2010. Net debt repayments totaled \$149.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2010, of which \$145.0 million related to the repayment of a portion of the principal balance outstanding under the revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2010, the amount of the revolving loan facility available for borrowing was \$73.8 million, after giving effect to \$4.2 million of outstanding letters of credit. The revolving credit facility requires commitment reductions of \$12.0 million each quarter from December 31, 2010 through June 30, 2012, with the remaining balance of loans due August 10, 2012. Our term loan requires \$94.2 million principal payments due quarterly from December 31, 2011 through June 30, 2012, with the remaining balance of \$94.3 million due November 10, 2012.

To date in 2011, we have made \$35.0 million in debt repayments on the revolving credit facility. We anticipate making an additional \$10.0 million repayment prior to March 31, 2011.

In connection with the senior credit facility amendment, the issuance of the Notes and the revolving credit facility commitment extension, we paid one-time fees and expenses totaling approximately \$29.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2009, most of which was capitalized and will be amortized over the respective remaining terms of the Notes and the senior credit facility. During the year ended December 31, 2009, deferred debt issuance costs totaling approximately \$5.4 million were expensed as a result of the early retirement of a portion of the outstanding revolving loan facility.

On February 27, 2011, we entered into the Estate Agreement with the Estate of Craig H. Nielsen, our founder and former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer who died in 2006. Pursuant to the Estate Agreement, we will purchase 26,150,000 shares of our Common Stock held by the Estate at a purchase price of \$17.50 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$457,625,000. The shares to be repurchased represent approximately 45% of our outstanding shares and 83% of the Estate's current holdings in Ameristar. After giving effect to the transaction, the Estate will own approximately 17% of our Common Stock. It is expected that the transaction will close in the second quarter of 2011, subject to financing and customary closing conditions, including the receipt of any necessary gaming and other regulatory approvals. In connection with the Repurchase Transaction, we plan to obtain approximately \$2.1 billion in new debt financing, the net proceeds of which will be used to retire our approximately \$1.5 billion of existing indebtedness, to fund the Repurchase Transaction and for general working capital purposes.

Our interest expense has increased significantly as a result of senior credit facility amendment, Notes issuance and extension of our revolving credit facility that all took place in 2009. In 2010, consolidated net interest expense increased by \$14.4 million, or 13.5%, compared to 2009, primarily due to these debt restructuring transactions. Additionally, capitalized interest decreased from \$9.0 million in 2009 to \$0.7 million in 2010, primarily due to the completion of the Ameristar Black Hawk hotel.

The credit facility accrues interest based on the applicable margin plus LIBOR or the base rate as defined in the credit facility agreement. Our two interest rate swap agreements, which effectively fixed the rate of interest payable under the credit facility, expired on July 19, 2010. Our interest expense has declined since the termination of these agreements, as the rates we paid under the swap agreements were substantially greater than the current floating rate under the credit facility.

In addition to the availability under the senior credit facility, we had \$71.2 million of cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2010, approximately \$70.0 million of which are required for daily operations.

Historically, we have funded our daily operations through net cash provided by operating activities and our significant capital expenditures primarily through operating cash flows, bank debt and other debt financing. If our existing sources of cash are insufficient to meet our operations and liquidity requirements, we will be required to seek additional financing that would likely be significantly more expensive than our senior credit facility and/or



Long-term debt of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

We routinely enter into operational contracts in the ordinary course of our business, including construction contracts for projects that are not material to our business or financial condition as a whole. Our commitments relating to these contracts are recognized as liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets when services are provided with respect to such contracts.

At December 31, 2010, we had outstanding letters of credit in the amount of \$4.2 million, which reduced the amount available to borrow under our revolving loan facility. We do not have any other guarantees, contingent commitments or other material liabilities that are not reflected in our consolidated financial statements or disclosed in the notes, thereto. For more information, see Note 6 Long-term debt of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Management's discussion and analysis of our results of operations and liquidity and capital resources are based on our consolidated financial statements. To prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, we must make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. We regularly evaluate these estimates and assumptions, particularly in areas we consider to be critical accounting estimates, where changes in the estimates and assumptions could have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position and, generally to a lesser extent, cash flows. Senior management and the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors have reviewed the disclosures included herein about our critical accounting estimates, and have reviewed the processes to determine those estimates.

### ***Property and Equipment***

We have significant capital invested in our property and equipment, which represents approximately 85% of our total assets. Judgments are made in determining the estimated useful lives of assets, salvage values to be assigned to assets and if or when an asset has been impaired. The accuracy of these estimates affects the amount of depreciation expense recognized in our financial results and the extent to which we have a gain or loss on the disposal of the asset. We assign lives to our assets based on our standard policy, which we believe is representative of the useful life of each category of assets. We review the carrying value of our property and equipment whenever events and circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable from the estimated future cash flows expected to result from its use and eventual disposition. The factors we consider in performing this assessment include current operating results, trends and prospects, as well as the effect of obsolescence, demand, competition and other economic factors.

### ***Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets***

At December 31, 2010, after recording the impairment charge at Ameristar East Chicago described below, we had approximately \$72.2 million in goodwill and \$12.6 million in other intangible assets on our consolidated balance sheet resulting from the acquisition of Ameristar East Chicago in September 2007 and our two Missouri properties in December 2000. As required under ASC 350, we perform an annual assessment of our goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets to determine if the carrying value exceeded the fair value. Additionally, ASC 350 requires an immediate impairment assessment if a change in circumstances occurs that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount.

We perform impairment reviews under a two-step method. Under the first step, we are required to estimate the fair value of reporting units to determine if any implied impairment exists. We utilize both the market approach and the income approach present value techniques in the determination of fair value. Under the market approach, the value of invested capital is derived through industry multiples and other assumptions. The income approach requires fair value to be measured through the present value of future cash flows expected to be generated by the reporting unit. Taking into account both the income and market approach fair value estimates, during the impairment review we performed in the second quarter of 2010, we determined that the carrying value of Ameristar East Chicago exceeded the fair value and we were required to perform the second step of the impairment test.

In step two of the impairment test, we determined the implied value of goodwill by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit determined in step one to the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination. The implied fair value of the Ameristar East Chicago goodwill and gaming license was less than the carrying value and the excess was recorded as an impairment charge.



The goodwill of our Missouri properties was also tested for impairment. The assessment did not result in any impairment charges for these assets.

***Guest Rewards Programs***

Our guest rewards programs allow guests to earn certain point-based cash rewards or complimentary goods and services based on the volume of the guests' gaming activity. Guests can accumulate reward points over time that

## **Table of Contents**

they may redeem at their discretion under the terms of the programs. The reward credit balance is forfeited if a guest does not earn any reward credits over any subsequent 12-month period. As a result of the ability of the guest to bank the reward points, we accrue the expense of reward points, after giving effect to estimated forfeitures, as they are earned. The accruals are based on historical data, estimates and assumptions regarding the mix of rewards that will be redeemed and the costs of providing those rewards. The retail value of the point-based cash rewards or complimentary goods and services is netted against revenue as a promotional allowance. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the outstanding guest reward point liability was \$13.9 million and \$11.4 million, respectively.

### ***Cash, Hotel and Food Coupons***

Our former, current and future gaming guests may be awarded, on a discretionary basis, cash, hotel and food coupons based, in part, on their play volume. The coupons are provided on a discretionary basis to induce future play and are redeemable within a short time period (generally seven days for cash coupons and one month for hotel and food coupons). There is no ability to renew or extend the offer. We recognize a reduction in revenue as a promotional allowance for these coupons when the coupons are redeemed.

### ***Self-Insurance Reserves***

We are self-insured for various levels of general liability, workers' compensation and employee health coverage. Insurance claims and reserves include accruals of estimated settlements for known claims, as well as accrued estimates of incurred but not reported claims. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, our estimated liabilities for unpaid and incurred but not reported claims totaled \$10.8 million and \$11.1 million, respectively. We consider historical loss experience and certain unusual claims in estimating these liabilities. We believe the use of this method to account for these liabilities provides a consistent and effective way to measure these highly judgmental accruals; however, changes in health care costs, accident or illness frequency and severity and other factors can materially affect the estimates for these liabilities. In 2003, the Company entered into a trust participation agreement with an insurance provider. The Company currently has \$5.9 million deposited in a trust account as collateral for the Company's obligation to reimburse the insurance provider for the self-retained portion of our workers' compensation claims.

### ***Accounting for Share-Based Compensation***

All share-based payments to employees are recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values on the grant date. We recognize those costs in the financial statements over the vesting period during which the employee provides services in exchange for the award. These fair values are calculated by using the Black-Scholes-Merton pricing formula, which requires estimates for expected volatility, expected dividends, the risk-free interest rate and the expected term of the equity grant. We are required to include an estimate of the number of awards that will be forfeited and update that number based on actual forfeitures.

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$14.3 million, \$12.9 million and \$10.6 million, respectively, as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of operations. As of December 31, 2010, there was approximately \$27.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Company's stock incentive plans. This unrecognized compensation cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.7 years.

### ***Income Taxes***

Our income tax returns are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) and other tax authorities in the locations where we operate. We assess potentially unfavorable outcomes of such examinations based on the

criteria of ASC 740, which prescribes a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. The guidance utilizes a two-step approach for evaluating tax positions. Recognition (Step I) occurs when we conclude that a tax position, based on its technical merits, is more likely than not (i.e., the likelihood of occurrence is greater than 50%) to be sustained upon examination. Measurement (Step II) is only addressed if the position is deemed to be more likely than not to be sustained. Under

## **Table of Contents**

Step II, the tax benefit is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is more likely than not to be realized upon settlement.

The tax positions failing to qualify for initial recognition are to be recognized in the first subsequent interim period that they meet the more likely than not standard. If it is subsequently determined that a previously recognized tax position no longer meets the more likely than not standard, it is required that the tax position be derecognized. As applicable, we recognize accrued penalties and interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes.

### ***Litigation, Claims and Assessments***

We utilize estimates for litigation, claims and assessments related to our business and tax matters. These estimates are in accordance with accounting standards regarding contingencies and are based upon our knowledge and experience about past and current events, as well as upon reasonable assumptions about future events. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

#### **ASU No. 2010-16, *Entertainment-Casinos (Topic 924): Accruals for Casino Jackpot Liabilities***

The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued ASU No. 2010-16, *Entertainment-Casinos (Topic 924): Accruals for Casino Jackpot Liabilities*. The guidance clarifies that an entity should not accrue jackpot liabilities (or portions thereof) before a jackpot is won if the entity can avoid paying that jackpot since the machine can legally be removed from the gaming floor without payment of the base amount. Jackpots should be accrued and charged to revenue when an entity has the obligation to pay the jackpot. This guidance applies to both base jackpots and the incremental portion of progressive jackpots. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2010. This guidance should be applied by recording a cumulative-effect adjustment to opening retained earnings in the period of adoption. As a result of implementing this change, we recorded an increase of \$5.6 million to retained earnings in January 2011.

#### **Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk***

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest rate risk associated with our senior credit facility. Outstanding amounts borrowed under our senior credit facility bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR (in the case of Eurodollar loans) or the prime interest rate (in the case of base rate loans), plus an applicable margin, or add-on. As of December 31, 2010, we had \$890.0 million outstanding under our senior credit facility, bearing interest at variable rates indexed to three-month LIBOR. At December 31, 2010, the average interest rate applicable to the senior credit facility debt outstanding was 3.5%. An increase of one percentage point in the average interest rate applicable to the senior credit facility debt outstanding at December 31, 2010 would increase our annual interest cost by \$8.9 million.

On July 19, 2010, our two interest rate swap agreements expired. (See Note 7 Derivative instruments and hedging activities of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more discussion of the interest rate swaps.) We may enter into additional swap transactions or other interest rate protection agreements from time to time in the future. However, the May 2009 refinancing of a substantial portion of our variable-rate debt with the fixed-rate senior unsecured Notes reduces our exposure to interest rate risk and, if we obtain New Financing, we anticipate that a significant portion of the New Financing would consist of fixed-rate notes. Accordingly, we have determined not to renew the use of interest rate swaps in the near term.

Should we elect to use derivative instruments to hedge exposure to changes in the interest rates in the future, we again would be exposed to the potential failure of our counterparties to perform under the terms of the agreements. We would minimize this risk by entering into interest rate swap agreements with highly rated commercial banks.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data***

The Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm appear at pages F-2 and F-3 hereof, and our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements appear at pages F-4 through F-30 hereof.

**Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure***

None.

**Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures***

**(a) *Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2010. Based on the evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

**(b) *Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm***

The information required to be furnished pursuant to this item is set forth under the captions Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm and is included in this Annual Report at pages F-1 and F-2.

**(c) *Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting***

As required by Rule 13a-15(d) under the Exchange Act, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated our internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the fourth fiscal quarter of 2010 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, there was no such change during the fourth fiscal quarter of 2010.

**Item 9B. *Other Information***

Not applicable.

**PART III**

**Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance***

The information required by this Item will be set forth under the captions "Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors" and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the definitive Proxy Statement for our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (our "Proxy Statement") to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before May 2, 2011 and is incorporated herein by this reference.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 11. *Executive Compensation***

The information required by this Item will be set forth under the caption *Executive Compensation* in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by this reference.

**Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters***

The information required by this Item will be set forth under the captions *Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management* and *Executive Compensation Equity Compensation Plan Information* in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by this reference.

**Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence***

The information required by this Item will be set forth under the captions *Proposal No. 1 - Election of Directors* and *Transactions with Related Persons* in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by this reference.

**Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services***

The information required by this Item will be set forth under the caption *Proposal No. 2 Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm* in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by this reference.

**PART IV**

**Item 15. *Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules***

The following are filed as part of this Report:

(a) 1. *Financial Statements*

<u>Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u>	F-1
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	F-7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-8

(a) 2. *Financial Statement Schedules*

All schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under related instructions or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.

(a) 3. *Exhibits*

The following exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this Report. Certain of the listed exhibits are incorporated by reference to previously filed reports of ACI under the Exchange Act, including Forms 10-K, 10-Q and



8-K. These reports have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission under File No. 0-22494.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of Exhibit</b>	<b>Method of Filing</b>
3(i)(a)	Articles of Incorporation of ACI	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed by ACI under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (File No. 33-68936) (the Form S-1 ).
3(i)(b)	Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of ACI	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to ACI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002.
3(i)(c)	Certificate of Change Pursuant to NRS 78.209	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i).1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 8, 2005.
3(ii)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of ACI, effective May 31, 2008	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2008 (the June 2008 8-K ).
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ACI's amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2008 (the 2008 10-K ).
4.2	Credit Agreement dated as of November 10, 2005 among ACI, the various Lenders party thereto from time to time, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Joint Lead Arranger and Syndication Agent, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., as Joint Lead Arranger, the Documentation Agents and Managing Agents party thereto, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas ( DBTCA ), as Administrative Agent (exhibits and schedules omitted) (the Credit Agreement )	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to ACI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (the 2005 10-K ).
4.3	First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of August 21, 2006, among ACI, the various Lenders party to the Credit Agreement and DBTCA, as Administrative Agent	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2006.
4.4	Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2007, among ACI, the various Lenders party thereto and DBTCA, as Administrative Agent	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 11, 2007.
4.5	Incremental Commitment Agreement, dated September 18, 2007, among ACI, the various Lenders party thereto and DBTCA	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 21, 2007.
4.6	Third Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of March 13, 2009, among ACI, the various Lenders party thereto and DBTCA, as Administrative Agent	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 16, 2009.
4.7	Extending Revolving Loan Commitment Agreement, dated November 17, 2009, among ACI, the various lenders party thereto and	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 19, 2009.

Edgar Filing: AMERISTAR CASINOS INC - Form 10-K

- |     |  |  |
|-----|--|--|
| 4.8 | DBTCA, as Administrative Agent<br>Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 10, 2010, among ACI, the various lenders party thereto and DBTCA, as Administrative Agent | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 15, 2010. |
| 4.9 | Indenture, dated as of May 27, 2009, among ACI, the Guarantors named therein and DBTCA, as trustee   | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2009.      |

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of Exhibit</b>	<b>Method of Filing</b>
4.10	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 7, 2009, among ACI, the Guarantors party thereto, DBTCA and Wilmington Trust FSB, as successor trustee	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed by ACI and certain of its subsidiaries under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (File No. 333-163578).
*10.1(a)	Employment Agreement dated November 15, 1993 between ACI and Thomas M. Steinbauer	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(a) to ACI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994 (the 1994 10-K).
*10.1(b)	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement dated as of October 5, 2001 between ACI and Thomas M. Steinbauer	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to ACI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001 (the September 2001 10-Q).
*10.1(c)	Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement dated as of August 15, 2002 between ACI and Thomas M. Steinbauer	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to ACI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 (the September 2002 10-Q).
*10.1(d)	Amendment No. 3 to Employment Agreement dated as of November 7, 2008 between ACI and Thomas M. Steinbauer	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(d) to the 2008 10-K.
*10.1(e)	Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement dated as of March 11, 2002 between ACI and Gordon R. Kanofsky	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(c) to ACI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (the 2001 10-K).
*10.1(f)	Amendment to Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement dated as of August 16, 2002 between ACI and Gordon R. Kanofsky	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the September 2002 10-Q.
*10.1(g)	Amendment Number 2 to Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement dated as of May 31, 2008 between ACI and Gordon R. Kanofsky	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the June 2008 8-K.
*10.1(h)	Executive Employment Agreement dated as of March 13, 2002 between ACI and Peter C. Walsh	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(d) to the 2001 10-K.
*10.1(i)	Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated as of August 16, 2002 between ACI and Peter C. Walsh	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the September 2002 10-Q.
*10.1(j)	Amendment Number 2 to Executive Employment Agreement dated as of May 31, 2008 between ACI and Peter C. Walsh	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the June 2008 8-K.
*10.1(k)	Executive Employment Agreement dated as of May 31, 2008 between ACI and Ray H. Neilsen	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the June 2008 8-K.
*10.1(l)	Executive Employment Agreement dated as of May 31, 2008 between ACI and Larry A. Hodges	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the June 2008 8-K.
*10.2		

Edgar Filing: AMERISTAR CASINOS INC - Form 10-K

	Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Amended and Restated 1999 Stock Incentive Plan, effective as of December 15, 2007	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to ACI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 (the 2007 10-K ).
*10.3	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Amended and Restated 1999 Stock Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the 2008 10-K.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of Exhibit</b>	<b>Method of Filing</b>
*10.4	Ameristar Casinos, Inc. 2002 Non-Employee Directors Stock Election Plan	Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the definitive Proxy Statement filed by ACI under cover of Schedule 14A on April 30, 2002.
*10.5	Form of Indemnification Agreement between ACI and each of its directors and executive officers and its Chief Accounting Officer	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to Amendment No. 2 to the Form S-1.
*10.6	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Amended and Restated 1999 Stock Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the 2007 10-K.
10.7	Second Amended and Restated Excursion Boat Sponsorship and Operations Agreement dated as of November 18, 2004 between Iowa West Racing Association and ACCBI (the Iowa West Agreement )	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to ACI s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
10.8	Settlement, Use and Management Agreement and DNR Permit, dated May 15, 1995, between the State of Iowa acting through the Iowa Department of Natural Resources and ACCBI as assignee of Koch Fuels, Inc.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibits 10.12 and 99.1 to ACI s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996.
*10.9	Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of January 1, 2008	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to ACI s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 10-Q (the September 2007 10-Q ).
*10.10	Master Trust Agreement for Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, dated as of April 1, 2001, between ACI and Wilmington Trust Company	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to ACI s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
*10.11	Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Performance-Based Annual Bonus Plan	Incorporated by reference to Appendix D to ACI s definitive Proxy Statement for its 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, filed under cover of Schedule 14A on April 30, 2007.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of Exhibit</b>	<b>Method of Filing</b>
10.12	Redevelopment Project Lease, dated as of October 19, 1995, between the City of East Chicago, Indiana (the City ) and Showboat Marina Partnership ( SMP ), as subsequently amended and assigned by Lease Assignment and Assumption Agreement, dated as of March 28, 1996, between SMP and Showboat Marina Casino Partnership ( SMCP ); Acknowledgement of Commencement Date of Redevelopment Project Lease and Notice of Election to Take Possession of Leased Premises, dated as of March 28, 1996, between the City and SMCP; First Amendment to Redevelopment Project Lease, dated as of March 28, 1996, between the City and SMCP; Second Amendment to Redevelopment Project Lease, dated as of January 20, 1999, between the City and SMCP; Assignment and Assumption of Lease, dated as of April 26, 2005, between SMCP and RIH; Assignment and Assumption of Lease, dated as of October 25, 2006, between RIH and RIH Propco IN, LLC; and Memorandum of Merger of Leasehold Interests, dated as of September 18, 2007, between RIH and the City	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the September 2007 10-Q.
10.13	Documents comprising the local development agreement between the City and RIH, consisting of: letter agreement dated April 8, 1994 between SMP and Robert A. Pastrick, Mayor of the City of East Chicago, Indiana (the Mayor ); letter dated April 18, 1995 from SMP to the Mayor; Side Agreement: East Chicago Second Century, Inc., dated as of December 22, 1998, among SMP, Waterfront Entertainment and Development, Inc. ( Waterfront ), Thomas S. Cappas ( Cappas ) and Michael A. Pannos ( Pannos ); Confirmation of Agreement and Implementation: East Chicago Second Century, Inc., dated as of February 26, 1999, Among SMP, Waterfront, Cappas and Pannos; and Memorandum of Understanding, dated August 25, 2000, between SMCP and the City	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the September 2007 10-Q.
*10.14	Form of Performance Share Unit Agreement, dated December 15, 2007, under Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Amended and Restated 1999	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the 2007 10-K.

Edgar Filing: AMERISTAR CASINOS INC - Form 10-K

	Stock Incentive Plan	
*10.15	Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Change in Control Severance Plan, effective December 4, 2007	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the 2007 10-K.
*10.16	Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Change in Control Severance Plan for Director-Level Employees, effective December 4, 2007	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the 2007 10-K.
*10.17	Ameristar Casinos, Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 4, 2009.



**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of Exhibit</b>	<b>Method of Filing</b>
*10.18	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under Ameristar Casinos, Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to ACI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (the 2009 10-K).
*10.19	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under Ameristar Casinos, Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the 2009 10-K.
10.20	Amendment to the Iowa West Agreement, dated February 16, 2010, between Iowa West Racing Association and ACCBI	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the 2009 10-K.
*10.21	Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, dated January 29, 2010, between ACI and Gordon R. Kanofsky	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the 2009 10-K.
10.22	Letter Agreement dated February 27, 2011 between ACI and the Estate of Craig H. Neilsen	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 28, 2011.
10.23	Plan of Reorganization, dated November 15, 1993, between ACI and Craig H. Neilsen in his individual capacity and as trustee of the testamentary trust created under the Last Will and Testament of Ray Neilsen dated October 9, 1963	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the 1994 10-K.
21	Subsidiaries of ACI	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21 to the 2008 10-K.
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	Filed electronically herewith.
31.1	Certification of Gordon R. Kanofsky, Chief Executive Officer and Vice Chairman of the Board, pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed electronically herewith.
31.2	Certification of Thomas M. Steinbauer, Senior Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed electronically herewith.
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed electronically herewith.
99	Agreement of ACI, dated as of March 15, 2006, to furnish the Securities and Exchange Commission certain instruments defining the rights of holders of certain long-term debt	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the 2005 10-K.

\* Denotes a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

62

---

**Table of Contents****SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.  
(Registrant)

March 16, 2011

By:  
/s/ Gordon R. Kanofsky

Gordon R. Kanofsky  
Chief Executive Officer and Vice Chairman

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<b>Signature</b>	<b>Name and Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
/s/ Gordon R. Kanofsky	Gordon R. Kanofsky, Chief Executive Officer and Vice Chairman of the Board and Director (principal executive officer)	March 16, 2011
/s/ Thomas M. Steinbauer	Thomas M. Steinbauer, Senior Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Director (principal financial officer)	March 16, 2011
/s/ Heather A. Rollo	Heather A. Rollo, Senior Vice President of Accounting (principal accounting officer)	March 16, 2011
/s/ Ray H. Neilsen	Ray H. Neilsen, Chairman of the Board and Director	March 16, 2011
/s/ Larry A. Hodges	Larry A. Hodges, President, Chief Operating Officer and Director	March 16, 2011
/s/ Carl Brooks	Carl Brooks, Director	March 16, 2011
/s/ Luther P. Cochrane	Luther P. Cochrane, Director	March 16, 2011
/s/ Leslie Nathanson Juris	Leslie Nathanson Juris, Director	March 16, 2011

/s/ J. William Richardson

J. William Richardson, Director

March 16, 2011

S-1

---

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL  
CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

Management of Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the Company's assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that the Company's receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of its management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making this assessment, the Company's management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*. Based on its assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2010, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm has issued an audit report on our internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page F-2.

Ameristar Casinos, Inc.  
Las Vegas, Nevada  
March 16, 2011

/s/ Gordon R. Kanofsky

Gordon R. Kanofsky  
Chief Executive Officer and Vice Chairman

/s/ Thomas M. Steinbauer

Thomas M. Steinbauer  
Senior Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial  
Officer and Treasurer

**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries:

We have audited Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 of the Company and our report dated March 16, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Las Vegas, Nevada  
March 16, 2011

F-2

---

**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company ) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 16, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Las Vegas, Nevada  
March 16, 2011



Table of Contents

**AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	<b>December 31,</b> <b>2010                      2009</b> <b>(Amounts in thousands,</b> <b>except share data)</b>	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current Assets:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 71,186	\$ 96,493
Restricted cash	5,925	6,425
Accounts receivable, net	7,391	8,048
Income tax refunds receivable	3,295	17,404
Inventories	7,158	7,735
Prepaid expenses	12,567	13,212
Deferred income taxes	12,238	13,825
 Total current assets	 119,760	 163,142
<b>Property and Equipment, at cost:</b>		
Buildings and improvements	1,906,533	1,890,639
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	578,498	546,565
	2,485,031	2,437,204
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(834,434)	(741,328)
	1,650,597	1,695,876
 Land	 83,403	 83,401
Construction in progress	12,299	18,423
 Total property and equipment, net	 1,746,299	 1,797,700
 Goodwill	 72,177	 94,821
Other intangible assets	12,600	47,546
Deferred income taxes	20,884	20,978
Deposits and other assets	89,822	90,441
 <b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	 <b>\$ 2,061,542</b>	 <b>\$ 2,214,628</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
<b>Current Liabilities:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 23,658	\$ 30,294
Construction contracts payable	2,257	8,746
Accrued liabilities	136,345	147,411

Edgar Filing: AMERISTAR CASINOS INC - Form 10-K

Current maturities of long-term debt	97,247	135,389
Total current liabilities	259,507	321,840
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	1,432,551	1,541,739
Deferred compensation and other long-term liabilities	18,464	15,056
Commitments and contingencies (Note 14)		
<b>Stockholders Equity:</b>		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value: Authorized 30,000,000 shares; Issued none		
Common stock, \$.01 par value: Authorized 120,000,000 shares; Issued 59,232,486 and 58,573,843 shares; Outstanding 58,287,697 and 57,730,296 shares	592	586
Additional paid-in capital	278,726	262,582
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(16,274)
Treasury stock, at cost (944,789 and 843,547 shares)	(20,228)	(18,590)
Retained earnings	91,930	107,689
Total stockholders equity	351,020	335,993
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>	<b>\$ 2,061,542</b>	<b>\$ 2,214,628</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)</b>		
<b>Revenues:</b>			
Casino	\$ 1,247,034	\$ 1,254,590	\$ 1,296,806
Food and beverage	134,854	135,941	156,987
Rooms	79,403	66,411	56,024
Other	30,559	32,692	38,491
	1,491,850	1,489,634	1,548,308
Less: Promotional allowances	(302,568)	(274,189)	(280,406)
Net revenues	1,189,282	1,215,445	1,267,902
<b>Operating Expenses:</b>			
Casino	544,001	556,684	604,747
Food and beverage	64,451	65,633	74,650
Rooms	17,591	10,466	11,221
Other	12,419	14,240	21,154
Selling, general and administrative	244,964	241,853	265,622
Depreciation and amortization	109,070	107,005	105,895
Impairment of goodwill	21,438	111,700	130,300
Impairment of other intangible assets	34,791		184,200
Impairment of fixed assets	224	3,929	1,031
Net loss on disposition of assets	255	411	683
Total operating expenses	1,049,204	1,111,921	1,399,503
Income (loss) from operations	140,078	103,524	(131,601)
<b>Other Income (Expense):</b>			
Interest income	452	515	774
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	(121,233)	(106,849)	(76,639)
Loss on early retirement of debt		(5,365)	
Other	1,463	2,006	(3,404)
<b>Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit)</b>	20,760	(6,169)	(210,870)
Income tax provision (benefit)	12,130	(1,502)	(80,198)
<b>Net Income (Loss)</b>	\$ 8,630	\$ (4,667)	\$ (130,672)
<b>Earnings (Loss) Per Share:</b>			
Basic	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.08)	\$ (2.28)

Diluted	\$	0.15	\$	(0.08)	\$	(2.28)
<b>Cash Dividends Declared Per Share</b>	\$	0.42	\$	0.42	\$	0.32
<b>Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding:</b>						
Basic		58,025		57,543		57,191
Diluted		58,818		57,543		57,191

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

---

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**

	<b>Capital Stock Number of Shares</b>	<b>Capital Amount</b>	<b>Additional Paid-In Capital</b>	<b>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (Amounts in thousands)</b>	<b>Treasury Stock</b>	<b>Retained Earnings</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance, December 31, 2007	57,159	\$ 579	\$ 234,983	\$	\$ (17,674)	\$ 285,238	\$ 503,126
Net loss						(130,672)	(130,672)
Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements				(27,295)			(27,295)
Total comprehensive loss							(157,967)
Exercise of stock options and issuance of restricted shares	147	2	889				891
Tax effect from stock-based arrangements			172				172
Dividends						(18,015)	(18,015)
Stock-based compensation expense			10,618				10,618
Restricted shares remitted for tax withholding	(5)				(45)		(45)
Balance, December 31, 2008	57,301	581	246,662	(27,295)	(17,719)	136,551	338,780
Net loss						(4,667)	(4,667)
Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements				11,021			11,021
Total comprehensive income							6,354
Exercise of stock options and issuance of restricted shares	480	5	2,135				2,140
Tax effect from stock-based arrangements			910				910

Edgar Filing: AMERISTAR CASINOS INC - Form 10-K

Dividends						(24,195)	(24,195)
Stock-based compensation expense			12,875				12,875
Restricted shares remitted for tax withholding	(51)				(871)		(871)
Balance, December 31, 2009	57,730	586	262,582	(16,274)	(18,590)	107,689	335,993
Net income						8,630	8,630
Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements				16,274			16,274
Total comprehensive income							24,904
Exercise of stock options and issuance of restricted shares	659	6	2,232				2,238
Tax effect from stock-based arrangements			(412)				(412)
Dividends						(24,389)	(24,389)
Stock-based compensation expense			14,324				14,324
Restricted shares remitted for tax withholding	(101)				(1,638)		(1,638)
Balance, December 31, 2010	58,288	\$ 592	\$ 278,726	\$	\$ (20,228)	\$ 91,930	\$ 351,020

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(Amounts in thousands)</b>		
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>			
Net income (loss)	\$ 8,630	\$ (4,667)	\$ (130,672)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	109,070	107,005	105,895
Amortization of debt issuance costs and debt discounts	10,203	7,876	1,922
Stock-based compensation expense	14,324	12,875	10,618
Loss on early retirement of debt		5,365	
Net change in deferred compensation liability	1,938	(1,795)	(2,730)
Impairment loss on goodwill	21,438	111,700	130,300
Impairment loss on other intangible assets	34,791		184,200
Impairment loss on fixed assets	224	3,929	1,031
Net loss on disposition of assets	255	411	683
Net change in deferred income taxes	2,894	(18,846)	(106,928)
Excess tax benefit from stock option exercises		(910)	(172)
Net change in fair value of swap agreements	1,015	(523)	(492)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Restricted cash	500		
Accounts receivable, net	657	4,587	(4,523)
Income tax refunds receivable	14,109	(17,404)	13,539
Inventories	577	191	(497)
Prepaid expenses	645	(5,183)	4,472
Accounts payable	(6,636)	2,774	6,511
Income taxes payable		(2,653)	3,735
Accrued liabilities	4,193	15,450	22,609
Net cash provided by operating activities	218,827	220,182	239,501
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>			
Capital expenditures	(58,396)	(136,615)	(241,826)
(Decrease) increase in construction contracts payable	(6,489)	(28,375)	5,882
Proceeds from sale of assets	405	527	1,222
Increase in deposits and other non-current assets	(5,526)	(8,478)	(15,102)
Net cash used in investing activities	(70,006)	(172,941)	(249,824)
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>			
Debt borrowings	12,000	671,485	86,015
Principal payments of debt	(161,794)	(644,594)	(83,467)
Cash dividends paid	(24,389)	(24,195)	(18,015)

Edgar Filing: AMERISTAR CASINOS INC - Form 10-K

Proceeds from stock option exercises	2,238	2,140	891
Purchases of treasury stock	(1,638)	(871)	(45)
Tax effect from stock-based arrangements	(412)	910	172
Debt issuance costs	(133)	(29,349)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(174,128)	(24,474)	(14,449)
<b>Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents</b>	<b>(25,307)</b>	<b>22,767</b>	<b>(24,772)</b>
<b>Cash and Cash Equivalents Beginning of Year</b>	<b>96,493</b>	<b>73,726</b>	<b>98,498</b>
<b>Cash and Cash Equivalents End of Year</b>	<b>\$ 71,186</b>	<b>\$ 96,493</b>	<b>\$ 73,726</b>
<b>Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures:</b>			
Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 118,149	\$ 95,066	\$ 66,618
Cash (received) paid for federal and state income taxes (net of refunds received)	\$ (3,144)	\$ 36,958	\$ 10,840

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-7

---



**Table of Contents**

**AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 1 Basis of presentation**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Ameristar Casinos, Inc. ( ACI ) and its wholly owned subsidiaries (collectively, the Company ). Through its subsidiaries, the Company owns and operates eight casino properties in seven markets. The Company s portfolio of casinos consists of: Ameristar Casino Resort Spa St. Charles (serving the St. Louis, Missouri metropolitan area); Ameristar Casino Hotel East Chicago (serving the Chicagoland area); Ameristar Casino Hotel Kansas City (serving the Kansas City metropolitan area); Ameristar Casino Hotel Council Bluffs (serving Omaha, Nebraska and southwestern Iowa); Ameristar Casino Hotel Vicksburg (serving Jackson, Mississippi and Monroe, Louisiana); Ameristar Casino Resort Spa Black Hawk (serving the Denver, Colorado metropolitan area); and Cactus Petes Resort Casino and The Horseshu Hotel and Casino in Jackpot, Nevada (serving Idaho and the Pacific Northwest). The Company views each property as an operating segment and all such operating segments have been aggregated into one reporting segment. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

The Company has evaluated certain events and transactions occurring after December 31, 2010. On February 27, 2011, ACI entered into a binding letter agreement (the Estate Agreement ) with the Estate of Craig H. Neilsen (the Estate ), ACI s founder and former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer who died in 2006. Pursuant to the Estate Agreement, ACI will purchase 26,150,000 shares of ACI s common stock held by the Estate at a purchase price of \$17.50 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$457,625,000 (the Repurchase Transaction ). The shares to be repurchased represent approximately 45% of ACI s outstanding shares and 83% of the Estate s current holdings in ACI. After giving effect to the transaction, the Estate will own approximately 17% of ACI s common stock. The Repurchase Transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2011, subject to financing and customary closing conditions, including the receipt of any necessary gaming and other regulatory approvals. In connection with the Repurchase Transaction, the Company plans to obtain approximately \$2.1 billion in new debt financing, the net proceeds of which will be used to retire approximately \$1.5 billion of the Company s existing indebtedness, to fund the Repurchase Transaction and for general working capital purposes (the Refinancing ).

**Note 2 Summary of significant accounting policies**

***Use of estimates***

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to apply significant judgment in defining the appropriate estimates and assumptions for calculating financial estimates. By their nature, these judgments are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. The Company s judgments are based in part on its historical experience, terms of existing contracts, observance of trends in the gaming industry and information available from other outside sources. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

***Cash and cash equivalents***

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are carried at cost, which approximates fair market value, due to the short-term maturities of these instruments.

***Restricted cash***

On September 2, 2003, the Company entered into a trust participation agreement with an insurance provider. At December 31, 2010, the Company has \$5.9 million deposited in a trust account as collateral for the Company's obligation to reimburse the insurance provider for the Company's workers' compensation claims. At December 31, 2009, the deposit was \$6.4 million. The Company is permitted to invest the trust funds in certain investment vehicles

F-8

---

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

with stated maturity dates not to exceed six months. Any interest or other earnings are disbursed to the Company. The Company utilized Level 1 inputs as described in Note 8 Fair value measurements to determine fair value.

***Accounts receivable***

Trade receivables, including casino and hotel receivables, are typically non-interest bearing and are initially recorded at cost. Accounts are written off when management deems the account to be uncollectible. Recoveries of accounts previously written off are recorded when received. An estimated allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained to reduce the Company's receivables to their carrying amount, which approximates fair value. The allowance is estimated based on specific review of customer accounts as well as historical collection experience and current economic and business conditions. The increase in the allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded in the financial statements as an operating expense. Management believes that as of December 31, 2010, no significant concentrations of credit risk existed for which an allowance had not already been recorded.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, total accounts receivable were \$8.8 million and \$10.7 million, respectively. Gaming receivables were \$4.0 million and \$6.7 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and are included in the Company's accounts receivable balance. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, an allowance of \$1.4 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, has been applied to reduce total accounts receivable to amounts anticipated to be collected.

***Inventories***

Inventories primarily consist of food and beverage items, gift shop and general store retail merchandise, engineering and slot supplies, uniforms, linens, china and other general supplies. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined principally on the weighted-average basis.

***Capitalization and depreciation***

Property and equipment are recorded at cost, including capitalized interest cost associated with major development and construction projects. When no debt is incurred specifically for construction projects, interest is capitalized on amounts expended using the weighted-average cost of the Company's outstanding borrowings. Capitalization of interest ceases when the project is substantially complete or construction activity is suspended for more than a brief period. Interest of \$0.7 million, \$9.0 million and \$14.1 million was capitalized for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Betterments, renewals and repairs that either materially add to the value of an asset or appreciably extend its useful life are capitalized. Ordinary maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Costs of major renovation projects are capitalized in accordance with existing policies.

Depreciation is provided on the straight-line method. Amortization of building and furniture, fixtures and equipment under capitalized leases is provided over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the asset or the term of the associated lease (including lease renewals or purchase options the Company expects to exercise). Depreciation and amortization is provided over the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings and improvements

5 to 40 years

Furniture, fixtures and equipment

3 to 15 years

Gains or losses on dispositions of property and equipment are included in the consolidated statements of operations.

***Impairment of long-lived assets***

The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the book value of the asset may not be recoverable. The Company reviews long-lived assets for such events or

F-9

---

**Table of Contents**

**AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

changes in circumstances at each balance sheet date. If a long-lived asset is to be held and used, the Company assesses recoverability based on the future undiscounted cash flows of the related asset over the remaining life compared to the asset's book value. If the undiscounted cash flows exceed the carrying value, no impairment is indicated. If an impairment exists, the asset is adjusted to fair value based on quoted market prices or another valuation technique, such as discounted cash flow analysis. If a long-lived asset is to be sold, the asset is reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell, with fair value measured as discussed above.

In 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded impairment charges of \$0.2 million, \$3.8 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, related to previously capitalized design costs on discontinued expansion projects.

***Goodwill and other intangible assets***

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over fair market value of net assets acquired in business combinations. Other intangible assets include gaming licenses, trade names and player lists. Intangible assets are reviewed for impairment at least annually and more frequently if events or circumstances indicate a possible impairment. The Company performs an annual review of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year.

The Company performs an impairment review under a two-step method. Under the first step, the Company is required to estimate the fair value of reporting units to determine if any implied impairment exists. The Company utilizes both the market approach and the income approach present value techniques in the determination of fair value. Under the market approach, the value of invested capital is derived through industry multiples and other assumptions. The income approach requires fair value to be measured through the present value of future cash flows expected to be generated by the reporting unit.

If the first step fails, step two of the impairment test is performed, whereby the Company determines the implied value of goodwill by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit determined in step one to the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination. If implied fair value of the goodwill is less than the carrying value, the excess is recorded as an impairment charge.

See also Note 13 Goodwill and other intangible assets.

***Debt issuance costs***

Debt issuance costs are capitalized and amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method or a method that approximates the effective interest method over the term of the related debt instrument. The Company expenses debt issuance costs proportionately in connection with any early debt retirements.

***Derivative instruments and hedging activities***

The Company records all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. For a derivative such as an interest rate swap that is designated as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on the consolidated balance sheet and the ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognized directly in earnings. To the extent the effective portion of a hedge subsequently becomes ineffective, the corresponding amount of the change in fair value of the

derivative initially reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is reclassified and is recognized directly in earnings. Accordingly, on a quarterly basis, the Company assesses the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in fair value or cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in fair value or cash flows of a hypothetical designated perfect hedged item or transaction. If the change in the actual swap is greater than the change in the hypothetical perfect swap, the difference is referred to as ineffectiveness and is recognized in earnings in the current period.

F-10

---

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Revenue recognition***

Casino revenues consist of the net win from gaming activities, which is the difference between amounts wagered and amounts paid to winning patrons. Additionally, the Company recognizes revenue upon the occupancy of its hotel rooms, upon the delivery of food, beverage and other services and upon performance for entertainment revenue. The retail value of hotel accommodations, food and beverage items and entertainment provided to guests without charge is included in gross revenues and then deducted as promotional allowances to arrive at net revenues. Promotional allowances consist of the retail value of complimentary food and beverage, rooms, entertainment, progress towards earning points for cash-based loyalty programs and targeted direct mail coin coupons.

The estimated departmental costs of providing complimentary food and beverage, rooms, entertainment and other are included in casino operating expenses and consisted of the following:

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(Amounts in thousands)</b>		
Food and beverage	\$ 50,276	\$ 50,003	\$ 62,902
Rooms	11,669	12,681	12,148
Entertainment	2,517	3,026	3,328
Other	2,469	3,632	4,508
	<b>\$ 66,931</b>	<b>\$ 69,342</b>	<b>\$ 82,886</b>

***Guest Rewards Programs***

The Company's guest rewards programs allow guests to earn certain point-based cash rewards or complimentary goods and services based on the volume of the guests' gaming activity. Guests can accumulate reward points over time that they may redeem at their discretion under the terms of the programs. The reward credit balance is forfeited if a guest does not earn any reward credits over any subsequent 12-month period. As a result of the ability of the guest to bank the reward points, the Company accrues the expense of reward points, after giving effect to estimated forfeitures, as they are earned. The accruals are based on historical data, estimates and assumptions regarding the mix of rewards that will be redeemed and the costs of providing those rewards. The retail value of the point-based cash rewards or complimentary goods and services is netted against revenue as a promotional allowance. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the outstanding guest reward point liability was \$13.9 million and \$11.4 million, respectively.

***Cash, Hotel and Food Coupons***

The Company's former, current and future gaming guests may be awarded cash, hotel and food coupons based, in part, on their gaming play volume. The coupons are provided on a discretionary basis to induce future play and are redeemable within a short time period (generally seven days for cash coupons and one month for hotel and food coupons). There is no ability to renew or extend the offer. The Company recognizes a reduction in revenue as a promotional allowance for these coupons when the coupons are redeemed.

***Advertising***

The Company expenses advertising costs the first time the advertising takes place. Advertising expense included in selling, general and administrative expenses was approximately \$26.1 million, \$27.6 million and \$34.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

F-11

---



**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Income taxes***

The guidance for income taxes requires recognition of deferred income tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective income tax bases. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

***Earnings (loss) per share***

Basic earnings (loss) per share are computed by dividing reported earnings by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflect the additional dilution from all potentially dilutive securities, such as stock options and restricted stock units. Diluted loss per share excludes the additional dilution from all potentially dilutive securities.

The weighted-average number of shares of common stock and common stock equivalents used in the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share consisted of the following:

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(Amounts in thousands)</b>		
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding-basic earnings per share	58,025	57,543	57,191
Dilutive effect of stock options	793		
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding-diluted earnings per share	58,818	57,543	57,191

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the potentially dilutive stock options excluded from the earnings (loss) per share computation, as their effect would be anti-dilutive, totaled 3.3 million, 3.2 million and 3.4 million, respectively.

***Accounting for stock-based compensation***

The Company has various stock incentive plans for directors, officers, employees, consultants and advisers of the Company. The plans permit the grant of non-qualified stock options, incentive (qualified) stock options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, performance share units or any combination of the foregoing. In accordance with ASC Topic 718, the Company's cost relating to stock-based payment transactions is measured by reference to the fair value of the equity instruments at the date at which they are granted. These fair values are calculated by using the Black-Scholes-Merton pricing model, which requires estimates for expected volatility, expected dividends, the risk-free interest rate and the expected term of the awards. The cost is measured at the grant date, based on the calculated fair value of the award, and is recognized in selling, general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of operations over the vesting period during which the employee provides service in exchange

for the award. The guidance for stock-based compensation also requires an estimate of the number of awards that will be forfeited and updating that number based on actual forfeitures.

***Recent accounting pronouncements***

ASU No. 2010-16, *Entertainment-Casinos (Topic 924): Accruals for Casino Jackpot Liabilities*

The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued ASU No. 2010-16, *Entertainment-Casinos (Topic 924): Accruals for Casino Jackpot Liabilities*. The guidance clarifies that an entity should not accrue jackpot liabilities (or portions thereof) before a jackpot is won if the entity can avoid paying that jackpot since the machine can legally be removed from the gaming floor without payment of the base amount. Jackpots should be accrued and charged to

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

revenue when an entity has the obligation to pay the jackpot. This guidance applies to both base jackpots and the incremental portion of progressive jackpots. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2010. This guidance should be applied by recording a cumulative-effect adjustment to opening retained earnings in the period of adoption. As a result of implementing this change, the Company recorded an increase of \$5.6 million to retained earnings in January 2011.

**Note 3 Missouri Development District receivables**

In 1997, the Missouri 210 Highway Transportation Development District (the Highway 210 District) was formed to finance a highway improvement project near the Kansas City property prior to our purchase of that property. In December 2000, the Company purchased the Kansas City property and assumed several agreements related to the Highway 210 District, including a guarantee by the Kansas City property to fund any shortfall payments related to the Highway 210 District's debt service obligations. Through December 31, 2010, the Company had funded \$2.1 million in shortfall payments. Under the agreements, the Company is entitled to be reimbursed for the shortfall payments plus accrued interest by the Highway 210 District. The Company has classified these shortfall payments as a long-term receivable included in deposits and other assets in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and anticipates that it will be reimbursed in full from the Highway 210 District's future available cash flows.

In 2005, the St. Charles Riverfront Transportation Development District (the Riverfront District) was formed to finance certain improvements to the access roads near the St. Charles property. The St. Charles Riverfront Community Improvement District (the Riverfront Community District) was also formed in 2005 to provide incremental funding to the Riverfront District. In connection with the establishment of the Riverfront District, the Company agreed to pay certain costs associated with the road improvements and related administrative costs. Under the Riverfront District agreements, the Company is entitled to be reimbursed for these costs plus accrued interest. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had a balance due from the Riverfront District of approximately \$14.7 million. The Company has classified these payments as a long-term receivable included in deposits and other assets in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and anticipates it will be reimbursed in full from the Riverfront District's future available cash flows.

**Note 4 Accrued liabilities**

Major classes of accrued liabilities consisted of the following as of December 31:

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<b>(Amounts in thousands)</b>	
Compensation and related benefits	\$ 57,120	\$ 50,314
Taxes other than state and federal income taxes	27,878	27,141
Progressive slot machine and related accruals	13,094	11,161
Players' club rewards	13,882	11,418
Interest	11,190	18,054
Interest rate swap liability		15,259
Marketing and other accruals	13,181	14,064



**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 5 Federal and state income taxes**

The components of the income tax provision (benefit) are as follows:

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(Amounts in thousands)</b>		
Current:			
Federal	\$ 2,340	\$ (4,908)	\$ 4,975
State	7,795	10,322	10,005
Total current	10,135	5,414	14,980
Deferred:			
Federal	1,640	(4,193)	(80,792)
State	(849)	(3,927)	(15,590)
Total deferred	791	(8,120)	(96,382)
Federal benefit applied to reduce goodwill	1,204	1,204	1,204
Total	\$ 12,130	\$ (1,502)	\$ (80,198)

The reconciliation of income tax at the federal statutory rate to income tax expense is as follows:

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income tax expense, net of federal benefit	19.7	(45.1)	4.6
Change in uncertain tax provisions	0.2	32.2	0.2
Nondeductible expenses for tax purposes	6.8	(17.8)	(2.1)
Tax credits	(3.8)	15.7	0.4
Other	0.5	4.3	(0.1)
	58.4%	24.3%	38.0%

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred income taxes consisted of the following:

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<b>(Amounts in thousands)</b>	
Deferred income tax assets:		
Goodwill amortization	\$ 135,572	\$ 127,924
Net operating loss carryforwards	10,893	12,011
Deferred compensation	9,009	7,852
Accrued expenses	9,481	9,545
Stock-based compensation	13,961	12,776
Accrued vacation	2,242	2,272
Other	1,961	2,379
 Total deferred income tax assets	 183,119	 174,759
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Property and equipment	(148,335)	(138,118)
Prepaid insurance	(1,662)	(1,838)
 Total deferred income tax liabilities	 (149,997)	 (139,956)
 Net deferred income tax assets	 \$ 33,122	 \$ 34,803

At December 31, 2010, the Company had available \$249.3 million of state net operating loss carryforwards that relate to the Company's Missouri properties and may be applied against future taxable income. At December 31, 2010, the Company also had available \$1.2 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards and \$1.2 million of state net operating loss carryforwards that were acquired as part of the Ameristar Black Hawk acquisition. The acquired federal net operating loss carryforwards are subject to IRS change of ownership limitations. The Company also had available \$9.3 million of additional Colorado net operating losses that relate to the post-acquisition period for the Ameristar Black Hawk property. In general, the remaining unused federal and state net operating loss carryforwards will expire in 2020 through 2029. No valuation allowance has been provided against deferred income tax assets as the Company believes it is more likely than not that deferred income tax assets are fully realizable because of the future reversal of existing taxable temporary differences, availability of tax strategies and future projected taxable income.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

**Years Ended  
December 31,**

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<b>(Amounts in thousands)</b>	
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 5,067	\$ 16,089
Increases for tax positions of the current year	564	570
Increases for tax positions of prior years		127
Decreases for tax positions of prior years	(34)	(34)
Lapses of applicable statute of limitations	(683)	(11,685)
Balance at end of year	\$ 4,914	\$ 5,067

F-15

---

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the total amount of unrecognized benefits that would affect the effective tax rate if recognized was \$1.1 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

Interest and penalties related to income taxes are classified as income tax expense in the financial statements. As of December 31, 2010, accrued interest and penalties totaled \$0.7 million, of which \$0.5 million would affect the effective tax rate if recognized. As of December 31, 2009, accrued interest and penalties totaled \$0.6 million, of which \$0.4 million would affect the effective tax rate if recognized.

The Company files income tax returns in numerous tax jurisdictions. The statutes of limitations vary by jurisdiction, with certain of these statutes expiring without examination each year. With the normal expiration of statutes of limitations, the Company anticipates that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by \$0.6 million within the next 12 months. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to federal or state examinations by taxing authorities for years ended on or before December 31, 2005. The Company's 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 federal income tax returns are currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service.

The net tax effect on other comprehensive income for the interest rate swaps for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$10.6 million and \$7.2 million, respectively.

**Note 6 Long-term debt**

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<b>(Amounts in thousands)</b>	
Senior credit facilities, secured by first priority security interest in substantially all real and personal property assets of ACI and its subsidiaries, consisting of the following:		
Revolving loan facility, at variable interest (3.5% at December 31, 2010 and 2009); \$12.0 million quarterly commitment reductions from December 31, 2010 through June 30, 2012 with remaining balance of loans due August 10, 2012	\$ 510,000	\$ 655,000
Term loan facility, at variable interest (3.5% at December 31, 2010 and 2009); \$1.0 million principal payments due quarterly through September 30, 2011; \$94.2 million principal payments due quarterly from December 31, 2011 through June 30, 2012 with remaining balance of \$94.3 million due November 10, 2012	380,000	384,000
Senior notes, unsecured, 9.25% fixed interest, payable semi-annually on June 1 and December 1, principal due June 1, 2014 (net of \$10,315 and \$12,779 discount at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively)	639,685	637,221
Other	113	907
	1,529,798	1,677,128
Less: Current maturities	(97,247)	(135,389)



\$ 1,432,551      \$ 1,541,739

F-16

---

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Maturities of the Company's borrowings for each of the next five years and thereafter as of December 31, 2010 are as follows (amounts in thousands):

<b>Year</b>	<b>Maturities</b>
2011	\$ 97,247
2012	792,765
2013	5
2014	650,007
2015	6
Thereafter	83
	1,540,113
Debt discount	(10,315)
Long-term debt, including current portion	\$ 1,529,798

***Credit facility***

The Company's senior secured credit facility (the Credit Facility) currently includes a \$588.0 million revolving loan facility maturing in August 2012 and a term loan facility maturing in November 2012.

At December 31, 2010, the Company's principal debt outstanding under the Credit Facility consisted of \$510.0 million under the revolving loan facility and \$380.0 million under the term loan facility. All mandatory principal repayments have been made through December 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2010, the amount of the revolving loan facility available for borrowing was \$73.8 million, after giving effect to \$4.2 million of outstanding letters of credit. The revolving loan facility is subject to commitment reductions of \$12.0 million each quarter from December 31, 2010 through June 30, 2012, with the remaining balance of loans due August 10, 2010. The term loan requires \$94.2 million principal payments due quarterly from December 31, 2011 through June 30, 2012, with the remaining balance of \$94.3 million due November 10, 2012.

In November 2009, the Company entered into an Extending Revolving Loan Commitment Agreement (the Extending Commitment Agreement) that effectively extended the original maturity date of a portion of the revolving loan facility. Pursuant to the Extending Commitment Agreement, an aggregate of \$600.0 million of revolving loan commitments maturing November 10, 2010 were replaced by new extended revolving loan commitments maturing August 10, 2012. Additionally, on November 10, 2010, the Company retired the remaining \$107.0 million outstanding under the non-extended portion of the revolving credit facility by borrowing \$87.0 million under the extended revolving credit facility and utilizing \$20.0 million of cash from operations.

The term loan facility debt bears interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a margin, or add-on, of 325 basis points, or at the base rate plus a margin of 225 basis points, at the Company's option. The revolving loan facility's LIBOR margin is subject to adjustment between 225 and 350 basis points and the base rate margin is subject

to adjustment between 125 and 250 basis points, in each case depending on the Company's leverage ratio. The commitment fee on the revolving loan facility ranges from 37.5 to 62.5 basis points, depending on the leverage ratio. In the case of LIBOR-based loans, the Company has the option of selecting a one-, two-, three- or six-month interest period. The Company also has the option to select a nine- or 12-month interest period if agreed to by all Credit Facility lenders. Interest is payable at the earlier of three months from the borrowing date or upon expiration of the interest period selected.

The agreement governing the Credit Facility requires the Company to comply with various affirmative and negative financial and other covenants, including restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness, restrictions on dividend payments and other restrictions and requirements to maintain certain financial ratios and tests. As of December 31, 2010, the Company was required to maintain a leverage ratio, calculated as

F-17

---

**Table of Contents**

**AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

consolidated debt divided by EBITDA as defined in the Credit Facility, of no more than 5.75:1, and a senior leverage ratio, calculated as senior debt divided by EBITDA, of no more than 5.25:1. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company's leverage ratio was 4.76:1 and 4.87:1, respectively. The senior leverage ratio as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 was also 4.76:1 and 4.87:1, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, the Company was required to maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio (calculated as EBITDA divided by fixed charges, as defined) of at least 1.25:1. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company's fixed charge coverage ratio was 2.03:1 and 2.14:1, respectively.

As a result of a March 2009 amendment to the Credit Facility, the Company is required at all times to maintain minimum consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Facility) of \$275.0 million for the trailing four full fiscal quarters. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company's consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Facility) was \$323.7 million and \$344.0 million, respectively.

Beginning in 2009, the Credit Facility permits the Company to make annual dividend payments of up to \$30.0 million, with any unused portion of such amount permitted to be carried over to future years. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company paid dividends totaling \$24.4 million and \$24.2 million, respectively.

The Credit Facility allows up to a total of \$50.0 million in cash repurchases of the Company's stock during the period from November 10, 2005 through the final maturity of the Credit Facility, in addition to any amount available under the dividend basket. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had paid \$20.2 million to repurchase stock during the term of the Credit Facility.

The Credit Facility limits the Company's cumulative capital expenditures to \$1.1 billion during the period from November 10, 2005 through the final maturity of the Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2010, capital expenditures made during the term of the Credit Facility totaled \$935.5 million.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company was in compliance with all applicable covenants.

Under the Credit Facility, the Company is permitted to enter into one or more additional extending revolving loan commitment agreements with lenders with respect to the conversion to, increase or provision of additional extending revolving loan commitments, in each case without increasing the total amount of loans that may be outstanding under the Credit Facility. Additionally, the Credit Facility permits the incurrence of senior unsecured debt without limitation as to amount.

Certain changes in control of the Company, as defined, could result in the acceleration of the obligations under the Credit Facility.

In connection with obtaining the Credit Facility, certain of ACI's subsidiaries, including each of its material subsidiaries (the Guarantors), entered into a guaranty (the Guaranty) pursuant to which the Guarantors guaranteed ACI's obligations under the Credit Facility. The obligations of ACI under the Credit Facility, and of the Guarantors under the Guaranty, are secured by substantially all of the assets of ACI and the Guarantors.

***Senior unsecured notes***

On May 27, 2009, ACI completed private offerings of \$650.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 9 1/4% Senior Notes due 2014 (the Notes). Of the total, \$500.0 million principal amount of the Notes were sold at a price of

97.097% of the principal amount and \$150.0 million principal amount of the Notes were sold at a price of 100% of the principal amount. ACI used the net proceeds from the sale of the Notes (approximately \$620.0 million, after deducting discounts and expenses) to repay a portion of the revolving loan indebtedness outstanding under the Credit Facility. Simultaneously, ACI terminated \$650.0 million of revolving loan commitments under the Credit Facility.

The terms of the Notes are governed by an indenture (the Indenture ). Interest on the Notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on June 1 and December 1 of each year. The Notes mature on June 1, 2014. The Notes and the

**Table of Contents**

**AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

guarantees of the Notes are senior unsecured obligations of ACI and the Guarantors, respectively, and rank equally with or senior to, in right of payment, all existing or future unsecured indebtedness of ACI and each Guarantor, respectively, but will be effectively subordinated in right of payment to the Credit Facility indebtedness and any future secured indebtedness, to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness.

The Guarantors have jointly and severally, and fully and unconditionally, guaranteed the Notes. Each of the Guarantors is a wholly owned subsidiary of ACI and the Guarantors constitute substantially all of ACI's direct and indirect subsidiaries. ACI is a holding company with no operations or material assets independent of those of the Guarantors, other than its investment in the Guarantors, and the aggregate assets, liabilities, earnings and equity of the Guarantors are substantially equivalent to the assets, liabilities, earnings and equity on a consolidated basis of the Company. Separate financial statements and certain other disclosures concerning the Guarantors are not presented because, in the opinion of management, such information is not material to investors. Other than customary restrictions imposed by applicable corporate statutes, there are no restrictions on the ability of the Guarantors to transfer funds to ACI in the form of cash dividends, loans or advances.

The Indenture contains covenants that limit ACI's and its Restricted Subsidiaries (as defined in the Indenture) ability to, among other things, (i) pay dividends or make distributions, repurchase equity securities, prepay subordinated debt or make certain investments, (ii) incur additional debt or issue certain disqualified stock or preferred stock, (iii) create liens on assets, (iv) merge or consolidate with another company or sell all or substantially all assets and (v) enter into transactions with affiliates. In addition, pursuant to the Indenture, if ACI experiences certain changes of control, each holder of the Notes can require ACI to repurchase all or a portion of such holder's outstanding Notes at a price of 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the repurchase date. As of December 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all applicable covenants.

***Debt issuance costs***

In connection with the issuance of the Notes, the March 2009 Credit Facility amendment and the Extending Commitment Agreement, the Company paid certain one-time fees and expenses totaling approximately \$29.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2009, most of which was capitalized and will be amortized over the respective remaining terms of the Notes and Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, total unamortized capitalized debt issuance costs were \$15.2 million and \$22.9 million, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2009, deferred debt issuance costs totaling approximately \$5.4 million were expensed as a result of the early retirement of a portion of the outstanding revolving loan facility.

**Note 7 Derivative instruments and hedging activities**

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the guidance under ASC Topic 815. The guidance provides users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of (i) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (ii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under the previous guidance and its related interpretations and (iii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In 2008, the Company entered into two forward interest rate swaps with two different commercial banks to fix the interest rate on certain LIBOR-based borrowings under the Credit Facility. Both swaps were designated as cash flow hedges. Pursuant to each of the interest rate swap agreements, the Company was obligated to make quarterly fixed rate

payments to the counterparty, while the counterparty was obligated to make quarterly variable rate payments to the Company based on three-month LIBOR on the same notional amount.

The Company's objective in using derivatives is to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements or other identified risks. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate swaps as part of its cash flow hedging strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts in exchange for fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying principal amount. The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and currently does not have any derivatives in place.

As of December 31, 2010, the fair value of the interest rate swap liability was zero due to the expiration of both interest rate swap agreements on July 19, 2010. As of December 31, 2009, the Company's interest rate swaps were valued as a \$15.3 million liability and were included in accrued liabilities. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the swaps increased the Company's interest expense by \$16.8 million and \$23.7 million, respectively.

The Company may enter into additional swap transactions or other interest rate protection agreements in the future, although it has no current intention to do so.

**Note 8 Fair value measurements**

The Company measures the fair value of its deferred compensation plan assets and liabilities on a recurring basis pursuant to ASC Topic 820. ASC Topic 820 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include:

*Level 1:* Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

*Level 2:* Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value driver is observable.

*Level 3:* Unobservable inputs in which little or no market data is available, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

The following table presents the Company's financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value as of December 31, 2010 (amounts in thousands):

	<b>Fair Value Measurements Using:</b>		
	<b>Quoted Market Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)</b>	<b>Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)</b>	<b>Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</b>
Assets:			
Deferred compensation plan assets	\$	\$ 18,199	\$
Liabilities:			
Deferred compensation plan liabilities	\$	\$ 15,668	\$



The fair value of the deferred compensation assets is based on the cash surrender value of rabbi trust-owned life insurance policies, which are invested in variable life insurance separate accounts that are similar to mutual funds. These investments are in the same accounts and purchased in substantially the same amounts as the deferred compensation plan participants' selected investments, which represent the underlying liabilities to participants. Liabilities under the deferred compensation plan are recorded at amounts due to participants, based on the fair value of participants' selected investments.

***Fair value of long-term debt***

The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt at December 31, 2010 was approximately \$1.559 billion, versus its book value of \$1.530 billion. The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt at December 31, 2009 was approximately \$1.704 billion, versus its book value of \$1.677 billion. The estimated fair value of the Notes and the term loan facility debt was based on quoted market prices on or about December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The estimated fair value of the revolving loan facility debt was based on its bid price on or about December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 9 Leases*****Operating leases***

The Company maintains operating leases for certain office facilities, vehicles, office equipment, signage and land. Rent expense under operating leases totaled \$4.4 million, \$3.6 million and \$3.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Future minimum lease payments required under operating leases for each of the five years subsequent to December 31, 2010 and thereafter are as follows (amounts in thousands):

<b>Year</b>	<b>Payments</b>
2011	\$ 4,058
2012	3,469
2013	882
2014	469
2015	140
Thereafter	
	\$ 9,018

**Note 10 Benefit plans*****401(k) plan***

The Company maintains a defined contribution 401(k) plan, which covers all employees who meet certain age and length of service requirements. Plan participants can elect to defer pre-tax compensation through payroll deductions. These deferrals are regulated under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company matches 50% of eligible participants' deferrals that do not exceed 4% of their pay (subject to limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code). The Company's matching contributions were \$2.1 million, \$2.2 million and \$2.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Neither the 401(k) plan nor any other Company benefit plan holds or invests in shares of the Company's common stock or derivative securities based on the Company's common stock.

***Health benefit plan***

The Company maintains a qualified employee health benefit plan that is self-funded by the Company with respect to claims up to a certain amount. The plan requires contributions from eligible employees and their dependents. The Company's contribution expense for the plan was approximately \$32.0 million, \$27.3 million and \$39.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. At December 31, 2010, estimated liabilities for unpaid and incurred but not reported claims totaled \$4.1 million, compared to \$4.2 million at December 31, 2009.

***Deferred compensation plan***

In 2001, the Company adopted a non-qualified deferred compensation plan for certain highly compensated employees, which was amended and restated effective January 1, 2008. The Company matches, on a dollar-for-dollar basis, up to the first 5% of participants' annual salary deferrals and the first 5% of participants' annual bonus deferrals in each participant's account. Matching contributions by the Company for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$1.2 million, \$0.9 million, and \$1.0 million, respectively. The Company's obligation under the plan represents an unsecured promise to pay benefits in the future. In the event of bankruptcy or

F-21

---

**Table of Contents**

**AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

insolvency of the Company, assets of the plan would be available to satisfy the claims of general creditors. To increase the security of the participants' deferred compensation plan benefits, the Company has established and funded a grantor trust (known as a rabbi trust). The rabbi trust is specifically designed so that assets are available to pay plan benefits to participants in the event the Company is unwilling or unable to pay the plan benefits for any reason other than bankruptcy or insolvency. As a result, the Company is prevented from withdrawing or accessing assets for corporate needs. Plan participants choose to receive a return on their account balances equal to the return on various investment options. The Company currently invests plan assets in an equity-based life insurance product of which the rabbi trust is the owner and beneficiary.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, plan assets were \$18.2 million and \$16.7 million, respectively, and are reflected in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The liabilities due the participants were \$15.7 million and \$12.3 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, net deferred compensation expense was \$1.4 million, \$0.8 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

**Note 11 Stock-based compensation**

The Company provides benefits to its employees in the form of stock-based payments, such as grants of stock options and restricted stock units, whereby employees render service in exchange for shares or rights to shares. The maximum number of shares available for issuance under the currently effective plan is 6.0 million, subject to certain limitations. At December 31, 2010, 3.4 million shares were available for issuance. The Compensation Committee of ACI's Board of Directors administers the plans and has broad discretion to establish the terms of stock awards, including, without limitation, the power to set the term (up to 10 years), vesting schedule and exercise price of stock options.

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, stock-based compensation expense was \$14.3 million, \$12.9 million and \$10.6 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, there was approximately \$27.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock-based compensation arrangements granted under the Company's stock incentive plans. This unrecognized compensation cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.7 years.

***Stock options***

Stock options are valued at the date of award, which does not precede the approval date, and compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis, net of estimated forfeitures, over the requisite service period. The outstanding stock options generally vest over four or five years and have seven-year or 10-year contractual terms.

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Summary information for stock option activity under the Company's plans is as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2010		2009		2008	
	Options (Amounts in thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Options (Amounts in thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Options (Amounts in thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	\$ 5,090	\$ 20.40	\$ 5,271	\$ 20.37	\$ 5,632	\$ 21.91
Granted	664	15.70	690	18.02	769	12.81
Exercised	(265)	8.43	(274)	7.80	(98)	8.77
Forfeited or expired	(639)	20.32	(597)	23.13	(1,032)	24.27
Outstanding at end of year	4,850	\$ 20.46	5,090	\$ 20.40	5,271	\$ 20.37
Options exercisable at end of year	3,286	\$ 21.59	3,309	\$ 20.31	3,099	\$ 19.17

The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$2.5 million, \$2.4 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding was \$4.4 million, \$6.1 million and \$1.0 million at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercisable at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$3.4 million, \$4.6 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value that would have been realized by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on the applicable date. The intrinsic value of a stock option is the excess of the Company's closing stock price on that date over the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options.

At December 31, 2010, the weighted-average remaining contractual life for stock options outstanding and stock options exercisable was 4.7 years and 3.1 years, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the amount of cash received by the Company from the exercise of stock options was \$2.2 million, \$2.1 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. Expected volatility is based on historical volatility trends as well as implied future volatility observations as determined by independent third parties. In determining the expected life of the option grants, the Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination behavior. The expected life represents an estimate of the time options are expected to remain outstanding. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. treasury yield in effect at the time of grant. The following table sets

forth fair value per share information, including related assumptions, used to determine

F-23

---

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

compensation cost for the Company's non-qualified stock options consistent with the requirements of ASC Topic 718.

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Weighted-average fair value per share of options granted during the year</b>	\$ 5.73	\$ 7.28	\$ 4.05
<b>Weighted-average assumptions:</b>			
Expected stock price volatility	51.5%	57.8%	50.4%
Risk-free interest rate	1.5%	2.3%	2.9%
Expected option life (years)	4.6	4.5	4.2
Expected annual dividend yield	2.4%	2.6%	3.0%

The following table summarizes the Company's unvested stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2010:

	<b>Shares (Amounts in thousands)</b>	<b>Weighted- Average Exercise Price (per Share)</b>
Unvested at January 1, 2010	1,780	\$ 20.57
Granted	664	15.70
Vested	(697)	22.17
Forfeited	(183)	19.01
Unvested at December 31, 2010	1,564	\$ 18.08

***Restricted stock units***

For each of the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company granted restricted stock units in addition to stock options. The value of the restricted stock units at the date of grant is amortized through expense over the requisite service period using the straight-line method. The requisite service period for the restricted stock units that were granted is generally four years. The Company uses historical data to estimate employee forfeitures, which are compared to actual forfeitures on a quarterly basis and adjusted if necessary.

The following table summarizes the Company's unvested restricted stock unit activity for the year ended December 31, 2010:

**Weighted-  
Average Grant  
Date Fair Value**

	<b>Units (Amounts in thousands)</b>	<b>(per Unit)</b>
Unvested at January 1, 2010	1,453	\$ 17.34
Granted	800	15.65
Vested	(394)	17.49
Forfeited	(161)	16.29
Unvested at December 31, 2010	1,698	\$ 16.61

F-24

---



Table of Contents**AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 12 Stock repurchases**

In July 2006, the Company's Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to 2.8 million shares of the Company's common stock, representing approximately 5% of its issued and outstanding common stock, in a stock repurchase program. The program provided that the shares could be repurchased by the Company from time to time during the three-year period ended July 24, 2009 in open market transactions or privately negotiated transactions at the Company's discretion, subject to market conditions and other factors. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company did not repurchase any shares of common stock in open market transactions; however, the Company did receive treasury shares in satisfaction of employees' income tax withholding liability with respect to the vesting of certain restricted stock units. As of December 31, 2010, the aggregate cost of treasury shares received for these tax withholding obligations totaled \$2.6 million. As of December 31, 2010, a total of 0.9 million treasury shares had been acquired at an aggregate cost of \$20.2 million, an average of \$21.39 per share.

**Note 13 Goodwill and other intangible assets**

The following table summarizes the activity relating to goodwill and other intangible assets:

	Weighted-Average Useful Lives	Gross Carrying Amount	Amortization/ Purchase Price Adjustments (Amounts in thousands)	Impairments	Net Carrying Amount at December 31, 2010
Goodwill:					
Ameristar East Chicago acquisition	Indefinite	\$ 262,247	\$ 1,193	\$ (263,440)	\$
Missouri properties acquisition	Indefinite	86,435	(14,263)		72,172
Other	Indefinite	5			5
		348,687	(13,070)	(263,440)	72,177
Other Intangible Assets:					
Ameristar East Chicago gaming license	Indefinite	231,400		(218,800)	12,600
Ameristar East Chicago trade name and customer list	2.1 years	1,630	(1,630)		
Ameristar St. Charles HOME nightclub service mark license	3.6 years	300	(109)	(191)	
		233,330	(1,739)	(218,991)	12,600

\$ 582,017 \$ (14,809) \$ (482,431) \$ 84,777

At December 31, 2010, the Company had approximately \$72.2 million in goodwill and \$12.6 million in other intangible assets on its consolidated balance sheet resulting from the acquisition of Ameristar East Chicago in September 2007 and the Missouri properties in December 2000. In the fourth quarter of each year, the Company performs an impairment review of the goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for Ameristar East Chicago, Ameristar Kansas City and Ameristar St. Charles to determine if the carrying value exceeds the fair value. Additionally, the guidance requires an immediate impairment assessment if a change in circumstances occurs that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. In addition to its annual fourth quarter review, the Company performed an impairment review of Ameristar East Chicago's goodwill and intangible assets in the second quarter of 2010 due to weakening economic conditions and changes in forecasted operations that materially affected the property's fair value.

**Table of Contents**

**AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company performs an impairment review under a two-step method. Under the first step, the Company is required to estimate the fair value of reporting units to determine if any implied impairment exists. The Company utilizes both the market approach and the income approach present value techniques in the determination of fair value. Under the market approach, the value of invested capital is derived through industry multiples and other assumptions. The income approach requires fair value to be measured through the present value of future cash flows expected to be generated by the reporting unit. In the second quarter of 2010, taking into account both the income and market approach fair value estimates, the Company determined that the carrying value of Ameristar East Chicago exceeded the fair value and the Company was required to perform the second step of the impairment test.

In step two of the impairment test, the Company determines the implied value of goodwill by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit determined in step one to the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination. If implied fair value of the goodwill is less than the carrying value, the excess is recorded as an impairment charge. The implied fair value of the Ameristar East Chicago goodwill was less than the carrying value and the excess was recorded as an impairment charge.

The Ameristar East Chicago impairment assessment performed in the second quarter of 2010 resulted in a total of \$56.0 million of non-cash impairment charges, with \$21.4 million relating to the goodwill and \$34.6 million relating to the gaming license. The impairment charge completely eliminated the carrying value of the goodwill. The East Chicago gaming license was reduced to \$12.6 million. The impairment charge was due to the permanent closure of the bridge near the East Chicago property that significantly adversely impacted forecasted financial results for the property. Closure of the bridge has made access to the property inconvenient for many of Ameristar East Chicago's guests and has significantly impacted the property's business levels and operating results.

In 2008, the Company recorded a total of \$314.5 million in non-cash impairment charges for the goodwill and gaming license related to the East Chicago property acquisition. The 2008 reduction in the value of these intangible assets was attributable to the significant deterioration of the debt and equity capital markets, as well as a lowering of the Company's growth assumptions for the property to reflect its then-current operating performance (relative to the assumptions at the time of acquisition) and the decline in general economic conditions. In 2009, the Company recorded an additional non-cash impairment charge of \$111.7 million for the impairment of goodwill related to the East Chicago property acquisition as a result of the bridge closure described above.

Intangible assets initially recorded as part of the Ameristar East Chicago acquisition included a customer list with an estimated value of \$0.4 million and an estimated useful life of five years and a trade name with an estimated value of \$1.2 million and an estimated useful life of one year. The East Chicago gaming license, which has an indefinite life, is not amortized.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, goodwill relating to the Missouri properties acquisition decreased \$1.2 million. Goodwill will continue to be reduced through 2016 by annual tax benefits of \$1.2 million resulting from differences in the values assigned to certain purchased assets for financial reporting and tax purposes.

In addition to its annual fourth quarter review, the Company performed an impairment review of Ameristar St. Charles HOME nightclub service mark license during the third quarter of 2010 due to the permanent closure of the nightclub. The impairment assessments performed resulted in a total of \$0.2 million of impairment charges and completely eliminated the carrying value of the license. The license was acquired by the Company in 2009 to use certain trade names and other intellectual property related to the HOME nightclub at the St. Charles property.

The Company utilized Level 2 inputs as described in Note 8 Fair value measurements to determine fair value relating to goodwill and intangible assets.

**Note 14 Commitments and contingencies**

*St. Charles Hotel Project Construction Litigation.* In November 2005, ACSCI entered into a contract (the Contract ) with Walton Construction Company, L.L.C. ( Walton ), pursuant to which Walton was to provide

F-26

---

**Table of Contents**

**AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

general contracting and construction management services for the construction of the 397-suite hotel and related amenities at Ameristar St. Charles. The Contract provides for payment of the actual cost of the work subject to a guaranteed maximum price ( GMP ).

The original Contract completion date was November 12, 2007 and that date was extended to December 7, 2007 by written amendment in March 2007. While the Company was able to open the hotel facility in stages as it was being completed in the first half of 2008 in order to mitigate damages from the delay, the project was not substantially completed until June 2008. After the March 2007 amendment, Walton asserted various claims for additional compensation, in excess of the agreed-upon GMP, based on alleged changes to the Contract scope of work and asserted delays and other impacts to the completion of the project. The Company reviewed and rejected many of these claims, but did accept others and issued appropriate change orders to Walton. The GMP, as agreed to by the Company, is slightly less than \$201 million.

On June 20, 2008, Walton filed a mechanic's lien against the St. Charles property. In addition, on that same day, Walton filed suit in the Circuit Court of St. Charles County, Missouri seeking recovery of the amounts included in its mechanic's lien. Walton also has sought interest on unpaid amounts pursuant to the Missouri Prompt Pay Act, which gives the judge discretion to award up to 1.5% per month interest on amounts that are not paid pursuant to the terms of an enforceable contract and discretion to award attorneys' fees to the prevailing party, if any, in the dispute.

Walton's lawsuit and lien essentially claim that the GMP ought to be increased to approximately \$224.5 million, with the increase representing certain amounts allegedly due to subcontractors for work performed as well as amounts claimed by Walton for its own management, supervision and general conditions. Since the filing of the lien and lawsuit, the Company has resolved the majority of the claims for subcontractor work directly with the affected subcontractors. The Company expects that these efforts will result in the Company making a total contract expenditure (inclusive of amounts previously paid to Walton pursuant to the GMP and amounts paid directly to subcontractors) of approximately \$204 million. The Company believes that the additional amounts claimed by Walton in its lawsuit (approximately \$23 million) primarily relate to the claims that Walton has asserted for its own extended general conditions, added contingency and other costs for its own account. All those claims remain disputed and contested by Ameristar. The Company has also filed a counterclaim against Walton seeking damages in excess of \$5 million based on the delay in completion of the project and defective and deficient work by Walton.

The Company is vigorously defending against Walton's claims and has asserted its own claims. The litigation continues in the discovery stage, including depositions.

In addition to Walton's mechanic's lien, certain subcontractors to Walton have filed mechanic's liens against the St. Charles property, and some also filed suit to foreclose on such liens. The Company's settlement of claims directly with subcontractors has resulted in the dismissal, with prejudice, of a number of these liens and lawsuits.

*East Chicago Local Development Agreement Litigation.* In 1994, Showboat Casino Marina Partnership ( Showboat ), the original owner of the East Chicago casino property, entered into a local development agreement (the LDA ), agreeing to pay 3.75% of its adjusted gross receipts ( AGR ) for local economic development purposes. The payments were to be made: (a) 1% to the City of East Chicago (the City ); (b) 1% each to two separate community non-profit foundations, which subsequently merged with each other ( Foundations ); and (c) 0.75% to East Chicago Second Century, Inc., a for-profit Indiana corporation formed by Showboat to pursue local economic development ( Second Century ). In 1999, Showboat sold the property to an affiliate of Harrah's Entertainment, Inc. ( Harrah's ). During the

entire period that Showboat and Harrah s owned the property, they paid 3.75% of their AGR to these entities. In April 2005, RIH Acquisitions IN, LLC ( RIH ) (now known as Ameristar Casino East Chicago, LLC ) purchased the property from Harrah s. Shortly before that time, the City began to assert a right to all of the LDA funds.

In June 2006, the Indiana Gaming Commission (the IGC ) adopted a resolution disapproving of that portion of the LDA requiring the casino licensee to make any payments to Second Century due to its concerns with the individuals owning and controlling Second Century, who were associates of the former Mayor of the City. The

F-27

---

**Table of Contents**

**AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

resolution directed RIH to propose to the IGC a plan of action for how RIH would continue making the LDA payments in light of the IGC's decision disapproving of the payments to Second Century and the competing and irreconcilable claims of Second Century and the City to those funds. To comply with the resolution, on June 15, 2006, RIH filed a proposed plan of action with the IGC. Among other things, RIH proposed that it would pay the 0.75% of AGR payments earmarked for Second Century into a separate interest-bearing bank account and hold those funds and the interest thereon in the account until a court of competent jurisdiction ordered otherwise. The IGC did not take further action on the plan of action, and on June 15, 2006, RIH started making these payments to the separate account.

After the Company acquired RIH on September 18, 2007, in accordance with the purchase agreement, RIH opened a new separate interest-bearing bank account under the Company's federal tax identification number and transferred the entire balance in the former separate account to this new account. RIH has continued to deposit 0.75% of its AGR into this account. As of February 28, 2011, this account had a balance of approximately \$10.6 million.

In April 2007, the Indiana legislature enacted a bill, which was signed into law by the governor, permitting the Common Council of the City, upon transfer of the controlling interest in the East Chicago casino license, to adopt an ordinance voiding any term of the LDA and allowing for any payment of funds under the LDA to be redirected to the City. The Common Council of the City adopted an ordinance in October 2007 voiding those terms of the LDA that provide for payment of LDA funds to Second Century and adopted a similar ordinance that applies to the funds formerly paid to Foundations. These ordinances purport to redirect the payment of all LDA funds to the City, including the funds held by RIH in the separate bank account.

On June 1, 2007, prior to the closing of the Company's acquisition of RIH, Second Century filed a complaint against ACI and RIH in Superior Court of Marion County, Indiana. The complaint alleges that RIH's action to stop making LDA payments to Second Century and instead make the payments to the separate bank account was a breach of the LDA, conversion, criminal conversion and constructive fraud. Second Century is seeking to recover an amount equal to the 0.75% of AGR payments it claims should have been made to it since June 15, 2006, compensatory damages, treble damages under Indiana's crime victims statute and its attorneys' fees, and is also seeking a declaration from the court that ACI is now bound by the LDA and is required to pay 0.75% of RIH's AGR to Second Century.

In December 2007, the court issued an order requiring RIH to continue paying the 0.75% of AGR payments to the separate bank account and to hold all the funds in that account until it or another court of competent jurisdiction orders otherwise.

Second Century moved for partial summary judgment against RIH, seeking rulings that RIH is in breach of the LDA and that its failure to pay the LDA funds to Second Century amounts to criminal conversion (which would entitle Second Century to treble damages and its attorneys' fees). In January 2008, ACI and RIH filed a response opposing the motion for summary judgment and seeking summary judgment in favor of RIH on both the contract and conversion claims. The City also filed a brief in opposition to Second Century's motion for partial summary judgment. On September 4, 2008, the court issued an amended order granting summary judgment in favor of ACI and RIH and denying summary judgment in favor of Second Century on Second Century's conversion claim. The court denied each party's motion for summary judgment on Second Century's breach of contract claim. The court entered a final judgment on the conversion claim on October 23, 2008. Second Century filed a motion to reconsider the court's order directing entry of final judgment, which the court denied on January 27, 2009. Second Century did not appeal the final judgment granting ACI and RIH summary judgment on the criminal conversion claim.

In 2007, Foundations filed suit challenging the constitutionality of the 2007 legislation and the City's ordinance adopted under that legislation. RIH intervened in the case, and in December 2007 the trial court ordered RIH to establish an interest-bearing account and deposit in that account the funds in dispute in Foundations' case.



**Table of Contents**

**AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

challenging the constitutionality of the 2007 legislation and City ordinance. As of February 28, 2011, this separate account had a balance of approximately \$17.7 million.

On June 30, 2009, the Indiana Supreme Court issued an opinion in one of multiple appeals related to the East Chicago riverboat casino license. The court held that the IGC has the authority to revoke or cancel the licenses and their attendant conditions. The court further held that the City does not have the authority unilaterally to terminate or alter the terms and conditions of a license issued by the Gaming Commission.

In 2010, the Indiana Supreme Court issued an opinion in Foundations constitutional challenge lawsuit, holding that the 2007 legislation does not by its terms even purport to alter the Commission's regulatory authority. The Indiana Supreme Court did not determine whether Foundations was entitled to receive funds under the gaming license, but instead determined that [w]hether [Foundations] is entitled to receive funds under the gaming license . . . is first and foremost a matter of administrative law for the Indiana Gaming Commission to decide.

The Company has not taken a position on the merits of the other parties' disputes over the LDA funds, and has stated that RIH is committed to continue paying the 3.75% of AGR for local economic development purposes, unless a court of competent jurisdiction orders otherwise. The Company intends to comply with the two trial court orders requiring RIH to hold and continuing paying the LDA funds formerly paid to Second Century and Foundations in the respective designated separate bank accounts, and intends to vigorously contest any claims against the Company seeking money beyond RIH's stated commitment to pay 3.75% of its AGR for local economic development purposes.

From time to time, we are party to other litigation, most of which arises in the ordinary course of business. We are not currently a party to any litigation that management believes would be likely to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

*Self-Insurance Reserves.* The Company is self-insured for various levels of general liability, workers' compensation and employee health coverage. Insurance claims and reserves include accruals of estimated settlements for known claims, as well as accrued estimates of incurred but not reported claims. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the estimated liabilities for unpaid and incurred but not reported claims totaled \$10.8 million and \$11.1 million, respectively. The Company considers historical loss experience and certain unusual claims in estimating these liabilities. The Company believes the use of this method to account for these liabilities provides a consistent and effective way to measure these highly judgmental accruals; however, changes in health care costs, accident or illness frequency and severity and other factors can materially affect the estimate for these liabilities.

*Guarantees.* In December 2000, the Company assumed several agreements with the Missouri 210 Highway Transportation Development District (Development District) that had been entered into in order to assist the Development District in the financing of a highway improvement project in the area around the Ameristar Kansas City property prior to the Company's purchase of that property. In order to pay for the highway improvement project, the Development District issued revenue bonds totaling \$9.0 million with scheduled maturities from 2006 through 2011.

The Company has provided an irrevocable standby letter of credit from a bank in support of obligations of the Development District for certain principal and interest on the revenue bonds. The amount outstanding under this letter of credit was \$2.6 million as of December 31, 2010 and may be subsequently reduced as principal and interest mature under the revenue bonds. Additionally, the Company is obligated to pay any shortfall in the event that amounts on deposit are insufficient to cover the obligations under the bonds, as well as any costs incurred by the Development

District that are not payable from the taxed revenues used to satisfy the bondholders. Through December 31, 2010, the Company had paid \$2.1 million in shortfalls and other costs. As required by the agreements, the Company anticipates that it will be reimbursed for these shortfall payments by the Development District from future available cash flow, as defined, and has recorded a corresponding receivable as of December 31, 2010.

**Table of Contents****AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 15 Selected quarterly financial results (Unaudited)**

The following table sets forth certain consolidated quarterly financial information for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

	<b>For the Fiscal Quarters Ended</b>				<b>Total</b>
	<b>March 31, 2010</b>	<b>June 30, 2010(3)</b>	<b>September 30, 2010</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>	
	<b>(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)</b>				
Net revenues(1)	\$ 302,619	\$ 293,004	\$ 299,567	\$ 294,093	\$ 1,189,282
Income (loss) from operations	52,751	(5,998)	48,713	44,612	140,078
Income (loss) before income tax provision (benefit)(1)	18,844	(40,667)	21,718	20,866	20,760
Net income (loss)(1)	10,678	(24,892)	11,924	10,921	8,630
Basic earnings (loss) per share(2)	\$ 0.18	\$ (0.43)	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.15
Diluted earnings (loss) per share(2)	\$ 0.18	\$ (0.43)	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.15

	<b>For the Fiscal Quarters Ended</b>				<b>Total</b>
	<b>March 31, 2009</b>	<b>June 30, 2009</b>	<b>September 30, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2009(3)</b>	
	<b>(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)</b>				
Net revenues	\$ 315,837	\$ 308,902	\$ 299,430	\$ 291,276	\$ 1,215,445
Income (loss) from operations	69,301	55,578	50,694	(72,049)	103,524
Income (loss) before income tax provision (benefit)	52,084	25,919	21,652	(105,824)	(6,169)
Net income (loss)	29,900	14,280	14,462	(63,309)	(4,667)
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.25	\$ (1.10)	\$ (0.08)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.25	\$ (1.10)	\$ (0.08)

- (1) The sum of the amounts for the four quarters does not equal the total for the year due to rounding.
- (2) Because earnings (loss) per share amounts are calculated using the weighted-average number of common and dilutive common equivalent shares outstanding during each quarter, the sum of the per-share amounts for the four quarters may not equal the total earnings (loss) per share amounts for the year.
- (3) As discussed in Note 13 Goodwill and other intangible assets, the Company impaired a portion of the goodwill and gaming license acquired in the purchase of the East Chicago property. The pre-tax impairment charges

recorded in the second quarter of 2010 was \$56.0 million. This charge adversely impacted diluted earnings per share by \$0.56. The pre-tax impairment charge recorded in the fourth quarter of 2009 was \$111.7 million. This charge adversely impacted diluted earnings per share by \$1.15.

F-30