

KMG CHEMICALS INC
Form 10-Q
June 09, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

**For the quarterly period ended April 30, 2010
or**

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number: 000-29278

KMG CHEMICALS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Texas

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

75-2640529

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**9555 West Sam Houston Parkway South, Suite 600
Houston, Texas**

(Address of principal executive offices)

77099

(Zip Code)

(713) 600-3800

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of June 8, 2010, there were 11,229,487 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

SIGNATURES

Exhibit 31.1

Exhibit 31.2

Exhibit 32.1

Exhibit 32.2

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****KMG CHEMICALS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(in thousands except for share and per share data)**

	April 30, 2010 (unaudited)	July 31, 2009
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,099	\$ 7,174
Accounts receivable:		
Trade, net of allowances of \$477 and \$595 at April 30, 2010 and July 31, 2009, respectively	26,458	21,206
Other	2,012	1,896
Inventories, net	35,362	28,163
Current deferred tax assets	698	698
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,168	1,638
Total current assets	70,797	60,775
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, net	69,132	54,834
DEFERRED TAX ASSETS	906	923
GOODWILL	3,778	3,778
INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net	20,851	20,149
RESTRICTED CASH	193	313
OTHER ASSETS, net	2,861	2,736
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 168,518	\$ 143,508

LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**CURRENT LIABILITIES:**

Accounts payable	\$ 16,872	\$ 16,606
Accrued liabilities	5,365	7,151
Current deferred tax liabilities	311	328
Current portion of long-term debt	8,000	6,966
Total current liabilities	30,548	31,051
LONG-TERM DEBT, net of current portion	53,333	39,326
DEFERRED TAX LIABILITIES	1,688	874
OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	1,224	1,280
Total liabilities	86,793	72,531

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, none issued		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 40,000,000 shares authorized, 11,224,293 shares issued and outstanding at April 30, 2010 and 11,101,345 shares issued and outstanding at July 31, 2009	112	111
Additional paid-in capital	24,001	23,084
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,890)	(1,464)
Retained earnings	60,502	49,246
Total stockholders equity	81,725	70,977
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 168,518	\$ 143,508

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**KMG CHEMICALS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(UNAUDITED)**

(in thousands except for per share data)

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Nine Months Ended April 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
NET SALES	\$ 51,614	\$ 45,869	\$ 146,162	\$ 142,309
COST OF SALES	35,658	30,519	95,103	97,693
Gross Profit	15,956	15,350	51,059	44,616
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	10,124	9,910	30,353	33,144
Operating income	5,832	5,440	20,706	11,472
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):				
Interest income	3	1	5	7
Interest expense	(542)	(732)	(1,634)	(2,396)
Other, net	(69)	(15)	(168)	(295)
Total other expense, net	(608)	(746)	(1,797)	(2,684)
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	5,224	4,694	18,909	8,788
Provision for income taxes	(1,882)	(1,902)	(6,984)	(3,473)
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	3,342	2,792	11,925	5,315
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS				
Loss from discontinued operations, before income taxes		(15)		(22)
Income tax benefit		5		8
Loss from discontinued operations		(10)		(14)

Edgar Filing: KMG CHEMICALS INC - Form 10-Q

NET INCOME	\$	3,342	\$	2,782	\$	11,925	\$	5,301
EARNINGS PER SHARE:								
Basic								
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.30	\$	0.25	\$	1.07	\$	0.48
Loss from discontinued operations								
Net income	\$	0.30	\$	0.25	\$	1.07	\$	0.48
Diluted								
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.29	\$	0.25	\$	1.05	\$	0.47
Loss from discontinued operations								
Net income	\$	0.29	\$	0.25	\$	1.05	\$	0.47
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES								
OUTSTANDING:								
Basic		11,198		11,090		11,168		11,080
Diluted		11,436		11,208		11,410		11,217
See notes to consolidated financial statements.								

Table of Contents

KMG CHEMICALS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)
(in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended	
	April 30,	
	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 11,925	\$ 5,301
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	4,442	4,704
Amortization of loan costs included in interest expense	66	66
Impairment on assets of discontinued operations		15
Stock-based compensation expense	450	416
Bad debt expense	166	134
Inventory valuation adjustment	(4)	364
Deferred rental income		(48)
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	806	(693)
Tax benefit from stock-based awards	(331)	(37)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition:		
Accounts receivable trade	(5,648)	10,706
Accounts receivable other	(225)	(857)
Inventories	202	(4,234)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(728)	(815)
Accounts payable	376	(7,056)
Accrued liabilities	(1,329)	1,062
Net cash provided by operating activities	10,168	9,028
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(1,233)	(2,442)
Cash used in connection with the electronic chemicals acquisition		(3,257)
Cash used in connection with General Chemical acquisition	(26,744)	
Change in restricted cash	109	
Net cash used in investing activities	(27,868)	(5,699)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net borrowings under revolver credit agreement	20,000	4,777
Principal payments on borrowings on term loan	(4,958)	(8,125)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants	138	119
Tax benefit from stock-based awards	331	37
Payment of dividends	(669)	(665)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	14,842	(3,857)

EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH	(217)	(262)
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(3,075)	(790)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	7,174	2,605
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 4,099	\$ 1,815
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 1,550	\$ 2,360
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 7,633	\$ 917
See notes to consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

(1) Basis of Presentation. The (a) consolidated balance sheet as of July 31, 2009, which has been derived from audited consolidated financial statements, and (b) the unaudited consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for interim reporting. As permitted under those requirements, certain footnotes or other financial information that are normally required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted. Management believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information not misleading and in the opinion of management reflect all adjustments, including those of a normal recurring nature, that are necessary for a fair presentation of financial position and results of operations for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results of operations to be expected for the full year. The unaudited consolidated financial statements included herein should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 31, 2009.

These consolidated financial statements are prepared using certain estimates by management and include the accounts of KMG Chemicals, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the Company). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior period consolidated financial statements to conform to the current period presentation.

The Company has evaluated all events and transactions occurring after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements were issued, and has included the appropriate disclosures in this Report.

(2) Acquisition. On March 29, 2010, the Company acquired certain assets of the electronic chemicals business of General Chemical Performance Products, LLC (General Chemical). The acquired business includes products similar to the products of the Company s existing electronic chemicals business. The purpose of the acquisition was to expand the Company s product line and increase market share.

The purchase included inventory, a 48,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Hollister, California and certain equipment at General Chemical s Bay Point, California facility. The Company additionally entered into a manufacturing agreement with General Chemical under which they will continue to manufacture certain acid products for us at their Bay Point facility, using the equipment at the facility which was purchased by the Company. The Company paid \$26.7 million in cash which was financed with available cash and borrowings under the Company s revolving credit facility.

The following table summarizes the consideration paid for the acquired assets and the preliminary acquisition accounting for the fair value of the assets recognized at the acquisition date (in thousands):

Consideration:

Cash	\$ 26,744
------	-----------

Fair value of identifiable assets acquired:

Inventory, net of allowance	\$ 7,604
Property, plant and equipment	17,690
Intangible assets:	
Value of product qualifications	1,300
Non-compete agreement	150
Total intangible assets	1,450
Total identifiable assets acquired	\$ 26,744

Table of Contents

Acquisition-related costs (included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2010, in thousands):

	Three Months Ended April 30, 2010	Nine Months Ended April 30, 2010
	\$ 25	\$ 341

The Company expects to realize efficiencies by combining its electronic chemicals business with the operations of the business acquired from General Chemical, including efficiencies in manufacturing, purchasing, warehousing and distribution, customer service and sales, as well as all finance and accounting functions. In the one month in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 that the Company owned the new business, we had \$4.2 million of revenue from it. The operating expenses associated with those sales were included with the overall operating expenses of the Electronic Chemicals-North American segment, and it is not practicable to determine expenses associated solely with the acquired business. Additionally, the Company has begun the process of moving production of certain products to and from the newly acquired manufacturing sites, consolidating purchasing for all facilities and optimizing the supply chain logistics to customers. Considering the commonality of product lines and customer list with the newly acquired business, it will not be practicable in future reported quarters to determine the revenue solely attributable to the acquired business.

The following table sets forth pro forma results had the acquisition occurred as of the beginning of the periods presented (in thousands, except per share data). The unaudited pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what our consolidated results of operations would have been had we completed the acquisition as of the dates indicated.

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Nine Months Ended April 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Revenues	\$ 58,810	\$ 55,404	\$ 174,381	\$ 180,295
Operating income	6,241	5,003	22,642	12,475
Net income	3,617	2,478	13,105	5,747
Earnings per share Basic	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.22	\$ 1.17	\$ 0.52

Depreciation included in the pro forma financial information is approximately \$198,000 per month for the nine months ended April 30, 2009, and \$180,000 per month for each of the other periods.

(3) Recent Accounting Standards. The Company has considered all recently issued Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) accounting standards updates and SEC rules and interpretative releases, and believes that only the following could have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued its Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) which establishes the source of authoritative GAAP to be applied by nongovernmental entities. The Codification was created by combining the various sources of then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. This guidance, which is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009, supersedes all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative. The Company adopted this guidance on August 1, 2009, which did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In November 2008, the FASB issued new accounting guidance for intangible assets acquired in a business combination or asset acquisition that an entity does not intend to actively use but intends to hold as defensive

intangible assets to prevent others from obtaining access to them, referred to as defensive intangible assets. Historically, these assets have been typically allocated little or no value. Under this guidance defensive intangible assets are required to be accounted for as a separate identifiable asset recognized at fair value with an assigned useful life. The effective date of this guidance is for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted this guidance on August 1, 2009, which did not have a material impact on its financial statements, and will apply the requirements prospectively to intangible assets acquired after the adoption date.

In April 2008, the FASB issued new accounting guidance for the determination of the useful life of intangible assets. This guidance amends the factors an entity should consider when developing renewal or extension assumptions for determining the useful life of recognized intangible assets. The guidance is intended to improve the consistency between the useful life of recognized intangible assets and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of assets accounted for under guidance specific to business combinations and other GAAP. The guidance also requires expanded disclosure related to an entity's intangible assets. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years, and shall be applied prospectively to intangible assets recognized as of, and subsequent to, the effective date. The Company adopted this guidance on August 1, 2009, which did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements. It is the Company's policy to expense costs as incurred in connection with the renewal or extension of its intangible assets.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which establishes revised principles and requirements for the recognition and measurement of assets and liabilities in a business combination. This new guidance requires (i) recognition of the fair values of acquired assets and assumed liabilities at the acquisition date, (ii) contingent consideration to be recorded at acquisition date at fair value, (iii) transaction costs to be expensed as incurred, (iv) pre-acquisition contingencies to be accounted for at acquisition date at fair value and (v) costs of a plan to exit an activity or terminate or relocate employees to be accounted for as post-combination costs. The FASB issued additional guidance in February 2009 which amended certain provisions related to the accounting for contingencies in a business combination. The guidance under these new issuances is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted the new guidance on August 1, 2009, which did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements, and will apply the requirements prospectively to business combinations that occur after the date of adoption.

In September 2006, the FASB issued new accounting guidance for the accounting of fair value measurements which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. In February 2008, the FASB issued additional guidance which deferred the effective date of certain items under the September 2006 guidance including nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statement on a non-recurring basis until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company adopted the provisions of this new guidance for financial assets and liabilities and nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually) effective August 1, 2008, which did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements. The Company elected to apply the deferral for nonfinancial assets and liabilities recognized or disclosed on a non-recurring basis, including its goodwill, indefinite-lived intangibles and non-financial assets measured at fair value for annual impairment assessment, and adopted this guidance on August 1, 2009 which did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

(4) Earnings Per Share. Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average shares outstanding plus potentially dilutive common shares. The following table presents information necessary to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Nine Months Ended April 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 3,342	\$ 2,792	\$ 11,925	\$ 5,315
Loss from discontinued operations		(10)		(14)
Net income	\$ 3,342	\$ 2,782	\$ 11,925	\$ 5,301
Weighted average shares outstanding Basic	11,198	11,090	11,168	11,080
Dilutive effect of options, warrants and stock awards	238	118	242	137
Weighted average shares outstanding Diluted	11,436	11,208	11,410	11,217
BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE				
	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.25	\$ 1.07	\$ 0.48

Basic earnings per share from continuing operations

Basic earnings per share on loss from discontinued operations

Basic earnings per share	\$	0.30	\$	0.25	\$	1.07	\$	0.48
--------------------------	----	------	----	------	----	------	----	------

DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE

Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations

Diluted earnings per share on loss from discontinued operations

Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.29	\$	0.25	\$	1.05	\$	0.47
----------------------------	----	------	----	------	----	------	----	------

Outstanding stock based awards are not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share under the treasury stock method, if including them would be anti-dilutive. There were less than 1,000 shares of potentially dilutive securities not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three and nine month periods ended April 30, 2010, respectively. For the three months ended April 30, 2009 there were 78,347 potentially dilutive securities not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share, and for the nine months ended April 30, 2009 there was an average of 56,400 potentially dilutive securities not included.

Table of Contents

(5) Inventories. Inventories are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

	April 30, 2010	July 31, 2009
Raw materials and supplies	\$ 8,741	\$ 5,865
Finished products	27,089	22,693
Less reserve for inventory obsolescence	(468)	(395)
Inventories, net	\$ 35,362	\$ 28,163

(6) Property, Plant and Equipment. Property, plant and equipment and related accumulated depreciation and amortization are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	April 30, 2010	July 31, 2009
Land	\$ 9,580	\$ 8,946
Buildings and improvements	33,985	30,546
Equipment	40,176	26,679
Leasehold improvements	132	153
	83,873	66,324
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(16,144)	(12,605)
	67,729	53,719
Construction-in-progress	1,403	1,115
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 69,132	\$ 54,834

(7) Stock-Based Compensation. The Company has stock-based incentive plans which are described in more detail in note 12 to the consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2009. The Company recognized stock-based compensation costs of approximately \$238,000 and \$180,000, respectively, for the three months ended April 30, 2010 and 2009, and approximately \$450,000 and \$416,000, respectively, for the nine months ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 which are recorded as selling, general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of income.

As of April 30, 2010, the unrecognized compensation costs related to outstanding stock-based awards was approximately \$2.0 million, including approximately \$42,000 related to outstanding unvested stock options expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately two years and \$2.0 million related to unvested performance and time-based stock awards expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately two years.

A summary of stock option and stock activity is presented below.

Stock Options and Warrants

A summary of activity for the nine months ended April 30, 2010 is presented below.

	Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
Outstanding on July 31, 2009	339,500	\$ 3.97
Granted		
Exercised (1)	(67,500)	3.92

Forfeited/Expired

Outstanding on April 30, 2010

272,000

3.98

(1) Includes options to purchase 40,000 shares of the Company's stock which were exercised as a net settlement. The net settlement resulted in the issuance of 33,482 shares of the Company's stock and reflects the gross number of options available for exercise reduced by the number of options equaling the aggregate exercise price which were surrendered to the Company in consideration of the exercise price. There was no cash received by the Company on the net settlement.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at April 30, 2010 based on fully vested (currently exercisable) stock option awards and stock options awards expected to vest:

	Options Outstanding	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) (1)
Fully vested and currently exercisable	207,000	\$ 3.88	5.1	\$ 3,014
Expected to vest	65,000	4.31	12.2	918
Total outstanding stock options	272,000	3.98	6.8	\$ 3,932

(1) The aggregate intrinsic value is computed based on the closing price of the Company's stock on April 30, 2010.

No options were granted in the first nine months of fiscal years 2010.

The total intrinsic value of options/warrants exercised in the first nine months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009 was approximately \$934,000 and \$119,000, respectively.

Performance Shares

During the nine months ended April 30, 2010, there were no performance-based stock awards vested and there were 106,007 performance-based stock awards granted to certain executives as Series 1 and Series 2 awards. The fair value of the award was measured on the date of grant on March 17, 2010 using the Company's closing stock price of \$15.55. Stock-based compensation on the award will be recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of 28.5 months, beginning on the date of grant, based on the number of shares projected to vest at the end of the measurement period ending July 31, 2012, as set forth in the table below.

As of April 30, 2010, the outstanding performance-based stock awards granted to certain executives in fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, are summarized below.

Date of Grant	Series	Maximum Award (Shares)	Grant-Date Fair Value	3-Year Measurement Period Ending	Expected Percentage of Vesting(1)(2)	Shares Expected to Vest
<u>2010 Award</u>						
03/17/2010	Series 1	63,605	\$ 15.55	07/31/2012	100%	63,605
03/17/2010	Series 2	42,402	\$ 15.55	07/31/2012	100%	42,402

		106,007				106,007
<u>2009 Award</u>						
12/02/2008	Series 1	54,745	\$ 3.19	07/31/2011	55%	30,110
12/02/2008	Series 2	36,497	\$ 3.19	07/31/2011	20%	7,299
		91,242				37,409
<u>2008 Award</u>						
03/03/2008	Series 1	23,220	\$ 16.76	07/31/2010	52.5%	12,191
03/03/2008	Series 2	15,480	\$ 16.76	07/31/2010	0%	
		38,700				12,191
Total		235,949				155,607

- (1) Series 1:
 Vesting for the Series 1 awards are subject to a performance requirement composed of certain revenue growth objectives and average annual return on invested capital or equity objectives measured across a three year period. These objectives are estimated quarterly using the Company's budget, actual results and long term projections. The expected percentage of vesting is based

on performance through April 30, 2010 and reflects the percentage of shares projected to vest for the respective awards at the end of their measurement periods.

- (2) Series 2: Vesting of the Series 2 awards are subject to performance requirements pertaining to the growth rate in the Company's basic earnings per share over a three year period. The achievement of performance requirements is estimated quarterly using the Company's budget, actual results and long-term projections. The expected percentage of vesting is based on performance through April 30, 2010 and reflects the percentage of shares projected to vest for the respective awards at the end of their measurement periods.

Table of Contents

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of performance awards outstanding at the beginning and end of the nine months ended April 30, 2010 was \$6.09 per share and \$12.67 per share, respectively.

Time Based Shares

During the nine months ended April 30, 2010, there were 7,029 time-based stock awards granted to certain employees which vest on August 1, 2012. The fair value of the award of \$109,300 was measured on the date of grant on March 17, 2010, using the Company's closing stock price of \$15.55, and will be recognized on a straight-line basis over the 28.5 month requisite service period beginning on the date of grant.

The Company also granted time-based stock awards to its non-employee directors during the nine months ended April 30, 2010. Each non-employee director will be issued shares having a value of \$50,000 for service as a director for the twelve-month period ending November 30, 2010. Each non-employee director shall be issued shares in quarterly installments for service as a director in the preceding three months in an amount equal in value to \$12,500 valued on the closing price of the Company's stock as of the last trading day of each three month service period ending in February, May, August and November. The issuance of shares will be prorated for any non-employee director starting or ending service during the annual period, based on the number of months during such period that the non-employee director served as a director, with any day of service during a month being counted as service for the month. The aggregate grant-date fair value of the award was \$391,667, which includes awards of \$350,000 for seven non-employee directors, with a grant date of December 8, 2009, and \$41,667 for one non-employee director with a grant date of February 22, 2010. Stock-based compensation for non-employee director awards is recognized on a straight-line basis over the respective requisite service period between the grant date and November 30, 2010.

A summary of activity for time-based stock awards for the nine months ended April 30, 2010 is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Outstanding on July 31, 2009	31,959	\$ 6.61
Granted (1)	32,091	15.61
Vested	(26,986)	7.08
Forfeited	(2,351)	15.95
Outstanding on April 30, 2010	34,713	13.93

- (1) Includes the fiscal year 2010 non-employee director awards calculated based on the aggregate value of the award of \$391,667 divided by the Company's closing stock price on the respective date of grant. The number of

shares reflected here does not represent the number of shares expected to vest, since the shares vested will be determined on the last trading day at the end of each three-month service period beginning December 1, 2009.

The total fair value of shares vested during the nine months ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 was approximately \$191,000 and \$96,000, respectively.

There were 11,410 time based awards granted during the nine months ended April 30, 2009 with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$3.19.

Table of Contents**(8) Intangible Assets.**

Intangible assets are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Original Cost	April 30, 2010 Accumulated Amortization	Carrying Amount
Intangible assets subject to amortization: (range of useful life):			
Creosote supply contract (10 years)	\$ 4,000	\$ (3,622)	\$ 378
Other creosote related assets (5 years)	131	(131)	
Penta supply contract and other related assets (3-5 years)	7,288	(7,286)	2
Animal health trademarks (4-5 years)	364	(356)	8
Animal health product registrations and other related assets (5-20 years)	6,165	(1,583)	4,582
Electronic chemicals-related contracts (3-8 years)	1,164	(762)	402
Electronic chemicals-related trademarks and patents (10-15 years)	117	(24)	93
Electronic chemicals-value of product qualifications (5 years)	1,300	(18)	1,282
Total intangible assets subject to amortization	\$ 20,529	\$ (13,782)	6,747
Intangible assets not subject to amortization:			
Creosote product registrations and other creosote related assets			5,339
Penta product registrations			8,765
Total intangible assets not subject to amortization			14,104
Total intangible assets, net			\$ 20,851

	Original Cost	July 31, 2009 Accumulated Amortization	Carrying Amount
Intangible assets subject to amortization: (range of useful life):			
Creosote supply contract (10 years)	\$ 4,000	\$ (3,422)	\$ 578
Other creosote related assets (5 years)	131	(129)	2
Penta supply contract and other related assets (3-5 years)	7,288	(7,273)	15
Animal health trademarks (4-5 years)	364	(349)	15
Animal health product registrations and other related assets (5-20 years)	6,165	(1,328)	4,837
Electronic chemicals-related contracts (3-5 years)	1,014	(516)	498
Electronic chemicals-related trademarks and patents (10-15 years)	117	(17)	100
Total intangible assets subject to amortization	\$ 19,079	\$ (13,034)	6,045

Intangible assets not subject to amortization:

Creosote product registrations and other creosote related assets	5,339
Penta product registrations	8,765
Total intangible assets not subject to amortization	14,104
Total intangible assets, net	\$ 20,149

Intangible assets subject to amortization are amortized over their estimated useful lives. Amortization expense was approximately \$261,000 and \$249,000 for the three month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and approximately \$748,000 and \$1.5 million for the nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(9) Dividends. Dividends of approximately \$224,000 (\$0.02 per share) and \$222,000 (\$0.02 per share) were declared and paid in the third quarter of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively. Dividends of approximately \$669,000 (\$.04 per share) and \$665,000 (\$.04 per share) were declared and paid in the first nine months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

(10) Comprehensive Income (Loss). The Company's other comprehensive income (loss) includes foreign currency translation gains and losses which are recognized as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the consolidated balance sheets. The following table summarizes total comprehensive income for the applicable periods (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Nine Months Ended April 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income	\$ 3,342	\$ 2,782	\$ 11,925	\$ 5,301
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Net foreign currency translation (loss) gain	(1,080)	737	(1,426)	(3,969)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 2,262	\$ 3,519	\$ 10,499	\$ 1,332

(11) Segment Information. The Company operates five reportable segments organized around its three product lines: electronic chemicals, wood preserving chemicals, animal health products.

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Nine Months Ended April 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(Amounts in thousands)			
Sales				
Electronic Chemicals North America (1)	\$ 24,755	\$ 14,284	\$ 61,199	\$ 53,027
Electronic Chemicals International (2)	4,817	2,960	14,278	12,028
Penta	5,734	6,914	16,784	19,883
Creosote	13,022	18,211	47,219	50,249
Animal Health	3,286	3,500	6,682	7,122
Total sales for reportable segments	\$ 51,614	\$ 45,869	\$ 146,162	\$ 142,309
Depreciation and amortization				
Electronic Chemicals North America	\$ 940	\$ 656	\$ 2,383	\$ 1,920
Electronic Chemicals International	213	178	624	562
Penta	149	155	458	1,249
Creosote	67	75	206	224
Animal Health	190	191	573	570
Other general corporate	66	61	198	179
Total consolidated depreciation and amortization	\$ 1,625	\$ 1,316	\$ 4,442	\$ 4,704
Segment income (loss) from operations (3)				
Electronic Chemicals North America	\$ 3,005	\$ 382	\$ 6,929	\$ 3,132
Electronic Chemicals International	(57)	(1,031)	534	(787)
Penta	1,755	2,842	5,790	6,029
Creosote	3,031	4,686	14,237	8,854
Animal Health	281	185	(9)	(353)

month periods ended April 30, 2009, respectively, which were eliminated in consolidated sales.

- (3) Certain reclassifications of prior year amounts have been made to conform to current year presentation.
- (4) Includes \$26.7 million of assets acquired on March 29, 2010 in connection with the General Chemical acquisition. See note 2.

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of total segment information to consolidated amounts is as follows:

	April 30, 2010		July 31, 2009	
	(Amounts in thousands)			
Assets:				
Total assets for reportable segments	\$	159,874	\$	132,088
Total assets for discontinued operations (1)		760		856
Cash and cash equivalents		2,739		6,613
Prepaid and other current assets		2,145		1,070
Other		3,000		2,881
 Total assets	 \$	 168,518	 \$	 143,508
	Three Months Ended April 30,		Nine Months Ended April 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Sales:				
Total sales for reportable segments	\$	51,614	\$	45,869
			\$	146,162
			\$	142,309
Net sales	\$	51,614	\$	45,869
			\$	146,162
			\$	142,309
 Segment income from operations:				
Total segment income from operations (2)	\$	8,015	\$	7,064
Other corporate expense (2)		(2,183)		(1,624)
			\$	27,481
			\$	16,875
Operating income		5,832		5,440
Interest income		3		1
Interest expense		(542)		(732)
Other expense, net		(69)		(15)
			20,706	11,472
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$	5,224	\$	4,694
			\$	18,909
			\$	8,788

(1) Includes approximately \$760,000 and \$830,000 as of April 30, 2010 and July 31, 2009, respectively, of long-term deferred tax assets related to

discontinued
operations.

- (2) Certain reclassifications of prior year amounts have been made to conform to current year presentation.

Other corporate expenses as disclosed in the table above represent those expenses that could not be directly identified with a particular business segment. Those expenses include acquisition transaction costs and expenses associated with the Company's Houston headquarters, such as executives and other employees, outside legal and accounting services, board compensation, expenses associated with being a publicly traded entity, audit expense and fees related to the listing of our stock.

(12) Long-Term Obligations. The Company's debt consisted of the following (in thousands):

	April 30, 2010	July 31, 2009
Senior Secured Debt:		
Note Purchase Agreement, maturing on December 31, 2014, interest rate of 7.43%	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000
Secured Debt:		
Term Loan Facility, maturing on December 31, 2012, variable interest rates based on LIBOR plus 1.75% (2.02% at April 30, 2010)	21,333	26,292
Revolving Loan Facility, maturing on December 31, 2012, variable interest rates based on LIBOR plus 1.75% (2.00% at April 30, 2010)	20,000	
Total debt	61,333	46,292
Current portion of long-term debt	(8,000)	(6,966)
Long-term debt, net of current portion	\$ 53,333	\$ 39,326

Table of Contents

To finance the acquisition of the electronic chemicals business in fiscal year 2008, the Company entered into an amended and restated credit agreement and a note purchase agreement. The credit facility included a revolving loan facility of \$35.0 million and a term loan facility of \$35.0 million. The amended and restated facility was entered into with Wachovia Bank, National Association (a subsidiary of Wells Fargo and Co.), Bank of America, N.A., The Prudential Insurance Company of America, and Pruco Life Insurance Company. Advances under the revolving loan and the term loan mature on December 31, 2012. The Company amended those facilities in March 2010 to increase the amount that may be borrowed under the revolving loan facility to \$50.0 million. The revolving loan and term loan facilities each bear interest at varying rate of LIBOR plus a margin based on our funded debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA).

Ratio of Funded Debt to EBITDA

	Margin
Equal to or greater than 3.0 to 1.0	2.75%
Equal to or greater than 2.5 to 1.0, but less than 3.0 to 1.0	2.50%
Equal to or greater than 2.0 to 1.0, but less than 2.5 to 1.0	2.25%
Equal to or greater than 1.5 to 1.0, but less than 2.0 to 1.0	2.00%
Less than 1.5 to 1.0	1.75%

Currently, advances on the revolving facility and the term loan facility bear interest at 2.1% per annum (LIBOR plus 1.75%). For the first 24 months of the term facility, principal payments were \$458,333 per month and then beginning in January 2010 principal payments are \$666,667 per month for the balance of the term prior to maturity.

The purchase of electronic chemicals assets from General Chemical on March 29, 2010 was funded with available cash and borrowings under the revolving facility.

At April 30, 2010, there was \$20.0 million outstanding on the revolving facility, and the amount outstanding on the term loan was \$21.3 million.

In fiscal year 2008, the Company also entered into a \$20.0 million note purchase agreement with the Prudential Insurance Company of America. Advances under the note purchase agreement mature on December 31, 2014, and bear interest at 7.43% per annum. Principal is payable at maturity. At April 30, 2010, \$20.0 million was outstanding under the note purchase agreement.

Loans under the amended and restated credit facility and the note purchase agreement are secured by the Company's assets, including inventory, accounts receivable, equipment, intangible assets, and real property. The credit facility and the note purchase agreement have restrictive covenants, including that the Company must maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.5 to 1.0, and a ratio of funded debt to EBITDA as amended effective January 30, 2009, of 3.5 to 1.0 through January 31, 2009, 3.25 to 1.0 from February 1, 2009 through April 30, 2009 and 3.0 to 1.0 thereafter. The Company is also obligated to maintain a debt to capitalization ratio of not more than 50%. For purposes of calculating these financial covenant ratios, the Company uses a pro forma EBITDA, but adds back extraordinary or non-recurring expense or loss as may be approved by our lenders. On April 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all of its debt covenants.

The Company's purchase of certain pentachlorophenol assets from Basic Chemical Company in fiscal 2006 was financed in part by a \$10.0 million loan from the seller. The indebtedness was payable in five equal annual installments of \$2.0 million plus interest at 4% per annum. That indebtedness was paid in full on October 30, 2008.

(13) Discontinued Operations. In fiscal year 2008 the Company discontinued operations of its herbicide product line that had comprised the agricultural chemical segment. During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2009, there were no sales reported in discontinued operations, and the Company reported a net loss from discontinued operations of \$10,000 and \$14,000, respectively. No amounts were recorded for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2010.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

We manufacture, formulate and distribute specialty chemicals globally. We operate businesses engaged in electronic chemicals, industrial wood preservation chemicals and animal health products. Our electronic chemicals are used in the manufacturing of semiconductors. Our wood preserving chemicals, pentachlorophenol (penta) and creosote, are used by our industrial customers primarily to extend the useful life of utility poles and railroad crossties. Our animal health products are used on cattle, other livestock and poultry to protect the animals from flies and other pests.

On March 29, 2010, we completed the acquisition of certain assets of the electronic chemicals business of General Chemical Performance Products, LLC (General Chemical). The acquisition included chemical manufacturing equipment and a facility at Hollister, CA and certain equipment at Pittsburg, CA (Bay Point) for a total cash purchase price of \$26.7 million. The purchase included inventory of \$7.6 million. The assets comprised the high purity process chemicals business of General Chemical, a leading supplier of high purity wet process chemicals to the semiconductor industry.

The acquisition increases our share of the wet process chemicals market in the United States and expands our presence in Asian markets. We expect to achieve greater operational efficiencies from the acquisition, and have added certain strategic processes and products we did not previously have the capability to produce at our other facilities. After completion of the integration of the acquisition, we expect to eventually achieve an improvement of approximately two percentage points in the operating margin for our Electronic Chemicals-North American segment.

Results of Operations**Three and Nine Month Periods Ending April 30, 2010 compared with Three and Nine Month Periods Ending April 30, 2009***Segment Data*

Segment data is presented for our five reportable segments for the three and nine month periods ended April 31, 2010 and 2009.

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	April 30,		April 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(Amounts in thousands)			
Sales				
Electronic Chemicals North America	\$ 24,755	\$ 14,284	\$ 61,199	\$ 53,027
Electronic Chemicals International	4,817	2,960	14,278	12,028
Penta	5,734	6,914	16,784	19,883
Creosote	13,022	18,211	47,219	50,249
Animal Health	3,286	3,500	6,682	7,122
Total sales for reportable segments	\$ 51,614	\$ 45,869	\$ 146,162	\$ 142,309

The segment data should be read with our consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

Net Sales

Net sales increased \$5.7 million, or 12.5%, to \$51.6 million in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 as compared with \$45.9 million for the same period of the prior year. For the first nine months of fiscal year 2010, net sales increased \$3.9 million, an increase of 2.7%, to \$146.2 million from \$142.3 million for the same period in the prior fiscal year. Sales of electronic chemicals in North America and internationally improved in the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal year 2010 compared with the same periods in fiscal year 2009, as electronic chemical sales have recovered from the downturn they experienced beginning in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009. However, improved net sales in electronic chemicals was offset by a decline in sales in both of our wood treating chemical segments in the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal year 2010 as compared with the fiscal year 2009

periods.

Table of Contents

In the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, the electronic chemicals North America segment had net sales of \$24.8 million, an increase of \$10.5 million, or 73.3%, as compared to the prior year period. For the first nine months of fiscal year 2010, electronic chemical sales in North America were up as compared to fiscal year 2009 by \$8.2 million, or 15.4%, to \$61.2 million from \$53.0 million. Both the three and nine month periods of fiscal year 2010 included \$4.2 million of net sales related to the recently acquired General Chemicals business reflecting one month of sales. Our electronic chemicals international segment had net sales of \$4.8 million in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 as compared to \$2.9 million for the prior year, an improvement of \$1.9 million, or 62.7%. The segment improved \$2.3 million for the full nine months in fiscal year 2010 over the prior year to \$14.3 million from \$12.0 million, an 18.7% improvement. In the world-wide economic downturn, demand softened in both of our electronic chemicals segments beginning in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009. We have seen substantial improvement in both electronic chemical segments in fiscal year 2010.

Net sales of penta products decreased \$1.2 million, or 17.1%, to \$5.7 million in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 as compared to net sales of \$6.9 million in the prior year period. In the first nine months of fiscal year 2010, penta sales declined to \$16.8 million from \$19.9 million, a decrease of \$3.1 million, or 15.6%. The decrease in sales for the quarter and for the nine month period was from lower volume as we have seen a lessening of utility pole treating in response to overall economic conditions. Additionally, the first quarter of fiscal year 2009 was an especially strong quarter for penta sales, so the comparison for the nine month period shows a particularly marked decline. We do not anticipate a further significant decrease from those levels through the end of the calendar year.

Creosote net sales decreased in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, as compared with the prior year period, by \$5.2 million, or 28.5%, to \$13.0 million from \$18.2 million. In the first nine months of fiscal year 2010, creosote net sales declined by \$3.0 million, or 6.0%, to \$47.2 million from \$50.2 million in fiscal year 2009. Creosote volume for the three and nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 declined approximately 32% and 23%, respectively, in each of those periods as compared with the prior year period. We have seen a decrease in demand by railroads for crossties treated with creosote from the top of the historical range where it had been for several years. Railroads generally react to lessened traffic by slowing maintenance programs. We are currently negotiating a long term supply agreement with a large creosote customer who purchased a portion of its 2010 needs from outside of the United States, but we expect to see a continuation of the effect of those purchases in the fourth quarter. We expect creosote sales volume in the fourth quarter to increase marginally from third quarter levels.

Net sales of animal health products decreased by \$214,000, or 6.1%, to \$3.3 million in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 as compared with \$3.5 million in the prior year period. For the first nine months of fiscal year 2010, net sales of animal health products were down \$440,000, or 6.2%, to \$6.7 million from \$7.1 million in the prior year. We incurred approximately \$221,000 of third party distributor fees in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, which are accounted for as a reduction in net sales. As a result, sales in the third quarter and first nine months of the current fiscal year compared to the same periods of fiscal year 2009 were relatively flat and lower by \$219,000, respectively. The seasonal usage of animal health pesticides is dependent on varying seasonal patterns, weather conditions and weather-related pressure from pests, as well as customer marketing programs and requirements. Our revenue from the animal health products segment is seasonal and weighted to the third and fourth fiscal quarters. Revenues from products subject to significant seasonal variations represented less than 6.0% of our fiscal year 2009 revenues.

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased by \$606,000, or 3.9%, to \$16.0 million in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 from \$15.4 million in the same quarter the prior year. Gross profit increased by \$6.4 million, or 14.4%, to \$51.1 million in the first nine months of fiscal year 2010 from \$44.6 million in the same period of the prior year. Gross profit as a percentage of sales decreased to 30.9% in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 from 33.5% in fiscal year 2009, but increased to 34.9% for the full nine months of fiscal year 2010 from 31.4% in the prior year period. For the third quarter, gross profit improved on increased sales in both of our electronic chemicals segments by \$3.5 million as compared to the same period a year ago. This was offset in part by a decrease in both of our wood treating segments which realized declines in net sales for the period. For the nine month period we realized higher gross profits in our electronic chemicals and creosote segment, mainly on improved sales in our electronic chemicals segments and a shift in sales to a higher margin product for creosote during the second quarter of fiscal year 2010. It is also worth noting

that gross profit margins in the first half of fiscal year 2009 were depressed by the rapid increase in commodity prices that comprised our input costs, resulting in a more marked improvement in margins in fiscal year 2010.

A manufacturing agreement was entered into with General Chemical in connection with our acquisition of their electronic chemicals business on March 29, 2010. That agreement requires KMG to pay all of the direct costs of manufacturing associated with the production of electronic chemicals at General Chemical's Bay Point, CA facility in addition to a monthly fee set initially at \$117,000, which we incurred for one month in the third quarter. The monthly fee is expected to reduce to approximately \$42,000 near the beginning of calendar year 2011.

Other companies may include certain of the costs that we record in cost of sales as selling, general and administrative expenses, and may include certain of the costs that we record in selling, general and administrative expenses as a component of cost of sales, resulting in a lack of comparability between our gross profit and that reported by other companies.

Table of Contents*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses*

Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased \$214,000 in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 to \$10.1 million, or 19.6% of net sales, from \$9.9 million, or 21.6% of net sales, for the same quarter of the prior fiscal year. Selling, general, and administrative expenses decreased \$2.8 million in the first nine months of fiscal year 2010 to \$30.4 million, or 20.8% of net sales, from \$33.1 million, or 23.3% of net sales, for the same period of the prior fiscal year.

Selling, general and administrative expenses associated with our two electronic chemicals segments of \$6.1 million in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 was flat as compared to \$6.2 million for the third quarter of fiscal year 2009, and decreased \$2.7 million, to \$17.6 million, in the first nine months of fiscal year 2010 compared to \$20.3 million in the first nine months of fiscal year 2009.

We acquired our electronic chemicals business in December 2007 and incurred substantial transitional services expense with the seller of that business until the end of September 2008, as well as incurring fees to consultants assisting in the integration of the business. The transitional services expense we incurred with the seller in the first two months of fiscal year 2009 came while we built and staffed our post-transition infrastructure. These transition and integration costs totaled approximately \$1.0 million and were incurred predominantly in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009.

Additionally, distribution expenses in our two electronic chemicals segments, which are included in selling, general and administrative expenses, were flat for the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 at \$4.0 million as compared to \$4.0 million for the same period a year ago, and decreased by approximately \$2.0 million, to \$11.7 million for the first nine months of fiscal year 2010 as compared to \$13.7 million in the same period a year ago. The reduction in distribution expenses for the nine months ended April 30, 2010 as compared to the same prior year periods was due to lower storage, handling, packaging and freight costs of approximately \$2.3 million resulting from improved efficiencies in our electronic chemicals business supply chain. Distribution expenses as a percentage of aggregate net sales for our electronic chemicals segments for the nine months ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 was approximately 15.5% and 21.1%, respectively.

Outside of electronic chemicals, selling, general and administrative expenses related to our wood treating and animal health segments decreased by \$282,000 for the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 as compared to the same period for the prior year and decreased by \$1.4 million for the nine month period ended April 30, 2010 as compared to the same period a year ago. The nine-month period ended April 30, 2010 included lower amortization expenses of approximately \$795,000 as compared with the prior year period, because certain penta segment assets that we acquired in an earlier acquisition were fully amortized by January 2009. Because of operational efficiencies initiated in fiscal year 2009 and savings realized from using a third party for distribution of certain animal health products, our animal health segment incurred lesser costs of approximately \$600,000 for the nine month period compared to the prior year. Distribution expenses for our wood preservative chemicals and animal health segments, which represented approximately 3.5% and 2.9% of the aggregate net sales of those segments in the nine months ended April 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were \$783,000 and \$2.5 million for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2010, respectively, and \$610,000 and \$2.2 million for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2009, respectively.

Other corporate expenses for the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 increased by \$559,000 compared to the same period in fiscal year 2009, and increased by \$1.4 million in the nine months ended April 30, 2010 compared to the same period in fiscal year 2009. The increase was due primarily to an increase in other professional services and employee related costs. In the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2010, we incurred expense of \$126,000, and \$441,000, respectively, related to our acquisition and integration of certain electronic chemicals assets from General Chemical.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$542,000 in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 as compared with \$732,000, in the same period of fiscal year 2009. Interest expense was \$1.6 million and \$2.4 million for the nine month period ended April 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease was due to principal reductions on the indebtedness we incurred to fund the acquisition of the electronic chemicals business in fiscal year 2008.

Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate was 36.0% and 40.5% in the third quarter of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively, and 36.9% and 39.5% in the first nine months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively. The lower effective tax rate for the fiscal year 2010 came primarily from a reduction in a previously recorded valuation allowance.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources***Cash Flows*

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$10.2 million for the first nine months of fiscal year 2010 and net cash provided by operating activities was \$9.0 million for the first nine months of fiscal year 2009. Net income adjusted for depreciation and amortization increased cash to \$16.4 million in the first nine months of fiscal year 2010. Cash was unfavorably impacted by an increase of \$5.6 million in accounts receivable primarily in our electronic chemicals North America segment.

Net cash used in investing activities in the first nine months of fiscal 2010 was \$27.9 as compared with \$5.7 million in the prior year period. We made additions to property, plant and equipment of \$1.2 million during the first nine months of fiscal year 2010 primarily for the purchase of shipping containers in our electronic chemicals segments as compared to \$2.4 million in the first nine months of fiscal year 2009 which included \$1.4 million for purchases of software and shipping containers for our electronic chemicals business and \$496,000 for the purchase of additional land adjacent to our facility in Matamoros. In the first nine months of fiscal year 2010, we used \$26.7 million to complete the purchase of the General Chemical assets which included \$17.7 million for additions to property, plant and equipment and \$7.6 million of inventory. We also spent \$2.9 million in the first nine months of fiscal year 2009 to purchase additional inventory and accounts receivable in Israel pertaining to our acquisition of the electronic chemicals business.

In the first nine months of fiscal year 2010, we made principal payments of \$5.0 million on the term loan indebtedness we incurred when we purchased the electronic chemicals business from Air Products. We had net borrowings under our revolving credit facility of \$20.0 million that was used to finance the General Chemical asset acquisition during the third quarter of fiscal year 2010. In the first nine months of fiscal year 2009, we made principal payments of \$8.1 million on our indebtedness which included \$4.1 million related to debt incurred when we purchased the electronic chemicals business from Air Products, and \$4.0 million of principal outstanding on seller-financed indebtedness incurred when we purchased certain penta assets in fiscal year 2006. We had \$4.8 million of net borrowings on the revolver during the nine months ended April 30, 2009. We paid dividends of \$669,000 and \$665,000 in the first nine months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively. It is our policy to pay dividends from available cash after taking into consideration our profitability, capital requirements, financial condition, growth, business opportunities and other factors which our board of directors may deem relevant.

Working Capital

We have a revolving line of credit under an amended and restated credit agreement. At April 30, 2010, we had \$20.0 million outstanding under that revolving facility, and our net borrowing base availability was \$11.5 million. Management believes that our current credit facility, combined with cash flows from operations, will adequately provide for our working capital needs for current operations for the next twelve months.

Long Term Obligations

To finance the acquisition of the electronic chemicals business in fiscal year 2008, we entered into an amended and restated credit agreement and a note purchase agreement. The credit facility included a revolving loan facility of \$35.0 million and a term loan facility of \$35.0 million. The amended and restated facility was entered into with Wachovia Bank, National Association, Bank of America, N.A. (subsidiary of Wells Fargo and Company), The Prudential Insurance Company of America, and Pruco Life Insurance Company. Advances under the revolving loan and the term loan mature on December 31, 2012. We amended these facilities in March 2010 to increase the amount that may be borrowed under our revolving loan facility to \$50.0 million. The revolving loan and term loan facilities each bear interest at varying rate of LIBOR plus a margin based on our funded debt to EBITDA.

Ratio of Funded Debt to EBITDA

	Margin
Equal to or greater than 3.0 to 1.0	2.75%
Equal to or greater than 2.5 to 1.0, but less than 3.0 to 1.0	2.50%
Equal to or greater than 2.0 to 1.0, but less than 2.5 to 1.0	2.25%
Equal to or greater than 1.5 to 1.0, but less than 2.0 to 1.0	2.00%
Less than 1.5 to 1.0	1.75%

Table of Contents

Currently, advances on the revolving facility and the term loan facility bear interest at 2.1% per annum (LIBOR plus 1.75%). Through December 31, 2009 principal payments on the term loan were \$458,333 per month, and then beginning in January 2010 principal payments became \$666,667 per month for the balance of the term prior to maturity. The purchase of electronic chemicals assets from General Chemical on March 29, 2010 was funded with available cash and borrowings under the revolving facility. At April 30, 2010, we had \$20.0 million borrowed on the revolving facility, and the amount outstanding on the term loan was \$21.3 million.

We also have a \$20.0 million note purchase agreement with the Prudential Insurance Company of America. Advances under the note purchase agreement mature on December 31, 2014, and bear interest at 7.43% per annum. Principal is payable at maturity. At April 30, 2010, \$20.0 million was outstanding under the note purchase agreement.

Loans under the amended and restated credit facility and the note purchase agreement are secured by our assets, including inventory, accounts receivable, equipment, intangible assets, and real property. The credit facility and the note purchase agreement have restrictive covenants, including maintaining a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.5 to 1.0, and a ratio of funded debt to EBITDA, as amended effective January 30, 2009, of 3.5 to 1.0 through January 31, 2009, 3.25 to 1.0 from February 1, 2009 through April 30, 2009, and 3.0 to 1.0 thereafter. We must also maintain a debt to capitalization ratio of not more than 50%. For purposes of calculating these financial covenant ratios, we use a pro forma EBITDA, but add back extraordinary or non-recurring expense or loss as may be approved by our lenders. On April 30, 2010, we were in compliance with all our debt covenants.

Our purchase of certain penta assets from Basic Chemical Company in fiscal year 2006 was financed in part by a \$10.0 million loan from the seller. The indebtedness was payable in five equal annual installments of \$2.0 million plus interest at 4% per annum. That indebtedness was paid in full on October 30, 2008.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements, such as financing or unconsolidated variable interest entities.

Recent Accounting Standards

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued its Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) which establishes the source of authoritative accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) to be applied by nongovernmental entities. The Codification was created by combining the various sources of then-existing non-Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) accounting and reporting standards. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. This guidance, which is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009, supersedes all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative. The Company adopted this guidance on August 1, 2009, which did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In November 2008, the FASB issued new accounting guidance for intangible assets acquired in a business combination or asset acquisition that an entity does not intend to actively use but intends to hold as defensive intangible assets to prevent others from obtaining access to them, referred to as defensive intangible assets. Historically, these assets have been typically allocated little or no value. Under this guidance defensive intangible assets are required to be accounted for as a separate identifiable asset recognized at fair value with an assigned useful life. The effective date of this guidance is for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted this guidance on August 1, 2009, which did not have a material impact on its financial statements, and will apply the requirements prospectively to intangible assets acquired after the adoption date.

In April 2008, the FASB issued new accounting guidance for the determination of the useful life of intangible assets. This guidance amends the factors an entity should consider when developing renewal or extension assumptions for determining the useful life of recognized intangible assets. The guidance is intended to improve the consistency between the useful life of recognized intangible assets and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of assets accounted for under guidance specific to business combinations and other GAAP. The guidance also requires expanded disclosure related to an entity's intangible assets. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years, and shall be applied prospectively to intangible assets recognized as of, and subsequent to, the effective date. The Company adopted this guidance on

August 1, 2009, which did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements. It is the Company's policy to expense costs as incurred in connection with the renewal or extension of its intangible assets.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which establishes revised principles and requirements for the recognition and measurement of assets and liabilities in a business combination. This new guidance requires (i) recognition of the fair values of acquired assets and assumed liabilities at the acquisition date, (ii) contingent consideration to be recorded at acquisition date at fair value, (iii) transaction costs to be expensed as incurred, (iv) pre-acquisition contingencies to be accounted for at acquisition date at fair value and (v) costs of a plan to exit an activity or terminate or relocate employees to be accounted for as post-combination costs. The FASB issued additional guidance in February 2009 which amended certain provisions related to the accounting for contingencies in a business combination. The guidance under these new issuances is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted the new guidance on August 1, 2009, which did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements, and will apply the requirements prospectively to business combinations that occur after the date of adoption.

In September 2006, the FASB issued new accounting guidance for the accounting of fair value measurements which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. In February 2008, the FASB issued additional guidance which deferred the effective date of certain items under the September 2006 guidance including nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statement on a non-recurring basis until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company adopted the provisions of this new guidance for financial assets and liabilities and nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually) effective August 1, 2008, which did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements. The Company elected to apply the deferral for nonfinancial assets and liabilities recognized or disclosed on a non-recurring basis, including its goodwill, indefinite-lived intangibles and non-financial assets measured at fair value for annual impairment assessment, and adopted this guidance on August 1, 2009 which did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with GAAP. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires the use of estimates, judgments, and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented. There were no significant changes in our critical accounting policies as described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2009.

Disclosure Regarding Forward Looking Statements

We are including the following discussion to inform our existing and potential security holders generally of some of the risks and uncertainties that can affect us and to take advantage of the safe harbor protection for forward-looking statements that applicable federal securities law affords. From time to time, our management or persons acting on our behalf make forward-looking statements to inform existing and potential security holders about our company. These forward-looking statements include information about possible or assumed future results of our operations. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included or incorporated by reference in this report that address activities, events or developments that we expect or anticipate may occur in the future, including such things as future capital expenditures, business strategy, competitive strengths, goals, growth of our business and operations, plans and references to future successes may be considered forward-looking statements. Also, when we use words such as anticipate, believe, estimate, intend, plan, project, forecast, may, should, budget, goal, expect, expressions, we are making forward-looking statements. Many risks and uncertainties may impact the matters addressed in these forward-looking statements. Our forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made and we will not update forward-looking statements unless the securities laws require us to do so.

Some of the key factors which could cause our future financial results and performance to vary from those expected include:

- the loss of primary customers;

- our ability to implement productivity improvements, cost reduction initiatives or facilities expansions;

market developments affecting, and other changes in, the demand for our products and the introduction of new competing products;

availability or increases in the price of our primary raw materials or active ingredients;

Table of Contents

the timing of planned capital expenditures;

our ability to identify, develop or acquire, and market additional product lines and businesses necessary to implement our business strategy and our ability to finance such acquisitions and development;

the condition of the capital markets generally, which will be affected by interest rates, foreign currency fluctuations and general economic conditions;

cost and other effects of legal and administrative proceedings, settlements, investigations and claims, including environmental liabilities which may not be covered by indemnity or insurance;

the ability to obtain registration and re-registration of our products under applicable law;

the political and economic climate in the foreign or domestic jurisdictions in which we conduct business; and

other United States or foreign regulatory or legislative developments which affect the demand for our products generally or increase the environmental compliance cost for our products or impose liabilities on the manufacturers and distributors of such products.

The information contained in this report, including the information set forth under the heading "Risk Factors", identifies additional factors that could cause our results or performance to differ materially from those we express in our forward-looking statements. Although we believe that the assumptions underlying our forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of these assumptions and, therefore, the forward-looking statements based on these assumptions, could themselves prove to be inaccurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements which are included in this report and the exhibits and other documents incorporated herein by reference, our inclusion of this information is not a representation by us or any other person that our objectives and plans will be achieved.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to certain market risks in the ordinary course of our business, arising primarily from changes in interest rates and to a lesser extent foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. Generally we do not utilize derivative financial instruments or hedging transactions to manage that risk.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

As of April 30, 2010 our fixed rate debt consisted of \$20.0 million of term notes with an interest rate of 7.43%, maturing on December 31, 2014.

As of April 30, 2010 our variable rate debt consisted of a credit facility with an interest rate of LIBOR plus 1.75%, maturing on December 31, 2012. On April 30, 2010, we had \$20.0 million borrowed on our \$50.0 million revolving credit line under that facility, and \$21.3 million borrowed on a term loan under that same facility. Principal payments on the term loan were \$458,333 per month until December 31, 2009 and they are \$666,667 per month for the remaining term of the facility.

Based on the outstanding balances of the term loan and revolving credit line, LIBOR rate as of April 30, 2010, and incorporating anticipated principal payments for the next twelve months, a 1.0% change in the interest rate would result in a change of approximately \$340,000 in interest expense for the next twelve months.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Sensitivity

We are exposed to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates from our electronic chemicals international segment. This segment uses a different functional currency than the U.S. Dollar which is our consolidated reporting currency. Currency translation gains and losses result from the process of translating the segment's financial statements from its functional currency (Euros) into our reporting currency. Currency translation gains and losses have no impact on the consolidated statements of income and are recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income or loss within stockholders' equity in our consolidated balance sheets. Assets and liabilities have been translated using exchange rates

in effect at the balance sheet dates. Revenues and expenses have been translated using the average exchange rates during the period.

Table of Contents

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2010, we recognized foreign currency translation losses of approximately \$1.1 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, as accumulated other comprehensive loss in the consolidated balance sheets. At April 30, 2010, the cumulative foreign currency translation loss reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss was approximately \$2.9 million.

Additionally we have limited exposure to certain transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency in our Italy operations. Accordingly, we recognize exchange gains or losses in our consolidated statement of operations from these transactions. We believe the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates does not have a material effect on our results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The term disclosure controls and procedures is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. This term refers to the controls and procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

There were no changes to our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this Report on Form 10-Q that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We have previously reported that a lawsuit was filed in 2007 against us in Superior Court, Fulton County, Georgia (Atlanta) styled *John Bailey, et al vs. Cleveland G. Meredith et al*. The plaintiffs are persons living near the wood treating facility of one of our customers. The plaintiffs complain that air emissions from the wood treating facility have caused harm to their property and person, and claim that we are also responsible because we sold wood preservative chemicals to the facility. The court granted our motion for summary judgment and dismissed us from the case, but the plaintiffs have appealed that ruling. Given the inherent uncertainties of litigation, the ultimate outcome cannot be predicted at this time, nor can the amount of any potential loss be reasonably estimated.

We have discontinued the operation of our agricultural herbicide product line, referred to as MSMA, but in connection with that product line we were a member of the MSMA task force. As previously reported, an entity related to the MSMA task force, Arsonate Herbicide Products, Limited) (AHP), was sued by Albaugh, Inc. in 2007 claiming that AHP overbilled it for certain task force expenses. Although Albaugh Inc. had agreed to reimburse AHP for certain task force expenses for MSMA studies and registration support costs, it now claims that it was overbilled for many years by at least \$900,000. The case was tried in October 2009 in the U.S. District Court for the So. District of Iowa, and styled as *Albaugh, Inc. vs. Arsonate Herbicide Products, Limited*. The court has not yet rendered a ruling in the case. The ultimate outcome cannot be predicted at this time, nor can the amount of any potential loss be reasonably estimated.

We have previously reported that a lawsuit was filed against our subsidiary, KMG de Mexico, respecting the title to the land on which our facility in Matamoros is located. The plaintiffs claim that their title to the land was superior to the person from whom our subsidiary bought the land. The lawsuit was initially filed in 1998 Matamoros, Mexico under *Adolfo Cazares Rosas, et al vs. KMG de Mexico and Guillermo Villarreal*. The plaintiffs are seeking to have our purchase overturned and to recover the land or its value. In January 2008, the case was sent by the appeals court back to the lower court to obtain additional factual information, and in April 20, 2009 the plaintiffs were required to re-file the case in the First Civil Court in Matamoros, Tamaulipas, Mexico as *Adolfo Cazares, Luis Escudero and Juan Cue vs. KMG de Mexico and Guillermo Villarreal*. The ultimate outcome of this litigation cannot be determined at this time, nor can the amount of any potential loss be reasonably estimated.

We are periodically a party to other legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. We do not believe that the outcome of any of those matters will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial

condition and operating results.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes to the risk factors contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2009.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider recommendations for directors made by shareholders for fiscal year 2011, if such recommendations are received in writing, addressed to the chair of the committee, Mr. Urbanowski, in care of the Company, at 9555 W. Sam Houston Parkway S., Suite 600, Houston, Texas 77099 by July 2, 2010.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The financial statements are filed as part of this report in Item 1. The following documents are filed as exhibits. Documents (if any) marked with an asterisk (*) are management contracts or compensatory plans, and portions of documents marked with a dagger (†) have been granted confidential treatment.

31.1 Certificates under Section 302 the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of the Chief Executive Officer.

31.2 Certificates under Section 302 the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of the Chief Financial Officer.

32.1 Certificates under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of the Chief Executive Officer.

32.2 Certificates under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of the Chief Financial Officer.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

KMG Chemicals, Inc.

By: /s/ J. Neal Butler

Date: June 9, 2010

J. Neal Butler
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ John V. Sobchak

Date: June 9, 2010

John V. Sobchak
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer