NIC INC Form DEF 14A March 26, 2010

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant [X]
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant [_]
Check the appropriate box:
[_] Preliminary Proxy Statement
[_] Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as Permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
[X] Definitive Proxy Statement
[_] Definitive Additional Materials
[_] Soliciting Material under § 240.14a-12
NIC INC.
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)
Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
[X] No fee required.
[_] Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):			
(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:			
(5)	Total fee paid:			
[_Fee pa	paid previously with preliminary materials.			
which	eck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and id ich the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration stater or Schedule and the date of its filing.	•		
(1)	Amount previously paid:			
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:			
	(3) Filing Party:			
	(4) Date Filed:			

NIC INC. 25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300 Olathe, Kansas 66061

Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders To Be Held May 4, 2010

Date: May 4, 2010 Time: 10:00 a.m. CDT Place: The Oread

1200 Oread Avenue, Lawrence, Kansas 66044

At the Annual Meeting of Stockholders, of NIC Inc. (the "Company") you will be asked to:

- 1. Elect the Company's nominees as directors;
- 2. Consider and vote upon the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2010; and
- 3. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the Annual Meeting.

Stockholders of record at the close of business on March 8, 2010 are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. We hope that you will vote your shares as soon as possible. You may vote by timely mailing a proxy card or you may vote your shares by timely returning the voter instruction form provided by your bank, broker or other nominee. You may also vote by Internet, by telephone or in person at the Annual Meeting before the deadline provided in the proxy card. Please review the instructions for the various voting options which are provided on the proxy card.

By Order of the Board of Directors

William F. Bradley, Jr. Secretary March 26, 2010

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholders Meeting to Be Held on May 4, 2010: The Proxy Statement and Annual Report to Stockholders are available to you at www.proxyvote.com.

PROXY	STATEMENT

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of NIC Inc., a Delaware corporation ("NIC" or the "Company" or "we"), for NIC's Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or any adjournment or postponement thereof, to be held at The Oread, 1200 Oread Avenue, Lawrence, Kansas 66044 on Tuesday, May 4, 2010 at 10:00 a.m., local time. The Board of Directors encourages you to read this Proxy Statement and to vote on the matters to be considered at the Annual Meeting.

The Company will pay the cost if any, of soliciting proxies. NIC may supplement the mailed proxy solicitations by additional communications, which may include communications by mail, fax, telephone or personal delivery, but no additional compensation will be paid to directors, officers or employees for such solicitation. The Company will request brokers, banks and other nominees who hold shares of NIC common stock ("Common Stock") in their names to furnish proxy materials to beneficial owners of the shares and will reimburse such brokers, banks and nominees for their reasonable expenses incurred in forwarding solicitation materials to such beneficial owners.

The Company's Annual Report to Stockholders for 2009 is being mailed to stockholders with the Proxy Statement. The Annual Report to Stockholders is not incorporated in this Proxy Statement and is not to be deemed part of the proxy soliciting materials.

Definitive copies of these proxy materials were first mailed to our stockholders entitled to vote on or about March 26, 2010.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholders Meeting to Be Held on May 4, 2010: The Proxy Statement and Annual Report to Stockholders are available to you at www.proxyvote.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
VOTING PROCEDURES AND RELATED MATTERS	1
STRUCTURE AND PRACTICES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	6
REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	14
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (ITEM 1 ON PROXY CARD)	15
RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC	
ACCOUNTING FIRM (ITEM 2 ON PROXY CARD)	19
SUBMISSION OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS	20
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	21
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	21
COMPENSATION TABLES	29
SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE (1)	29
GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS IN LAST FISCAL YEAR	31
OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END	33
OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN LAST FISCAL YEAR	34
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	35
EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION	36
EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS AND SEVERANCE PAYMENTS	37

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	41
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	42
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	42
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	43
OTHER BUSINESS	45

VOTING PROCEDURES AND RELATED MATTERS

Your vote is very important.

You can vote your shares at the Annual Meeting if you are present in person or represented by proxy.

Who can vote?

Stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 8, 2010 (also referred to as the Record Date) are entitled to vote. On that date, approximately 64,359,506 shares of Common Stock were outstanding and eligible to vote.

How many votes do I have?

On each matter presented at the Annual Meeting, you are entitled to one vote for each share of Common Stock owned by you at the close of business on the Record Date.

What is the difference between a stockholder of record and a beneficial owner?

Most NIC stockholders hold their shares through a broker, bank or other nominee rather than directly in their own name. As summarized below, there are some distinctions between shares held of record and those owned beneficially.

Stockholder of Record. If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., you are considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares, and these proxy materials are being sent directly to you by NIC. As the stockholder of record, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to us or to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, or to vote by Internet or telephone. We have enclosed a proxy card for you to use.

Beneficial Owner. If your shares are held in a brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name," and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker, bank or other nominee who is considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or other nominee on how to vote and are also invited to attend the Annual Meeting. However, because you are not the stockholder of record, you may not vote these shares in person at the Annual Meeting, unless you obtain a proxy from your broker, bank or other nominee and present it to the inspector of elections at the Annual Meeting with your ballot. Your broker, bank or other nominee has enclosed a voting instruction card for you to use in directing the broker, bank or other nominee regarding how to vote your shares.

How do I vote?

If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote by telephone, on the Internet, by mail or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting by ballot in person, each as described below. If you hold shares beneficially in street name, you may vote by returning the voter instruction form to your broker, bank or other nominee. For directions on how to vote, please refer to the instructions below and those included on your proxy card or, for shares held beneficially in street name, the voting instruction form provided by your broker, bank or other nominee.

Internet Voting. To vote by Internet, follow the instructions on your proxy card that instruct you to vote at www.proxyvote.com up until 11:59 p.m., Eastern time, on the day before the Annual Meeting date. Most NIC stockholders who hold shares beneficially in street name may vote by accessing the website specified on the voting instruction form provided by their brokers, banks or other nominees. Please check the voting instruction form for Internet voting availability.

Telephone Voting. To vote by telephone, follow the instructions on your proxy card that instruct you to vote by calling the number specified on the proxy card up until 11:59 p.m., Eastern time, on the day before the Annual Meeting date. Most NIC stockholders who hold shares beneficially in street name may vote by telephone by calling the number specified on the voting instruction form provided by their brokers, banks or other nominees. Please check the voting instruction form for telephone voting availability.

Voting By Mail. Stockholders not wishing to vote electronically on the Internet or by telephone, or whose voting instruction form does not reference Internet or telephone voting information, should follow these instructions for voting by mail. Stockholders of record of Common Stock may submit proxies by completing, signing and dating their proxy cards and mailing them in the accompanying pre-addressed envelopes. Proxies submitted by mail must be received no later than the day before the Annual Meeting date to ensure that they are counted. NIC stockholders who hold shares beneficially in street name may vote by mail by completing, signing and dating the voting instruction form provided by their brokers, banks or other nominees and mailing them in the accompanying pre-addressed envelopes.

Voting in Person. Stockholders of record of Common Stock may also vote their shares in person at the Annual Meeting. However, if your shares are held beneficially in street name and you wish to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain a proxy issued in your name from the record holder (e.g., your broker, bank or other nominee) and bring it with you to the Annual Meeting. Signing and returning your proxy card or submitting your vote on the Internet or by telephone does not affect your right to vote in person at the Annual Meeting.

How will my votes be counted?

If you timely return your properly signed proxy card or voting instruction form, the shares they represent will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you timely return your properly signed proxy card or voting instruction form, but do not mark selections, the related shares will be voted FOR the election of the nominees named herein as directors and FOR the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2010.

If you are a beneficial owner and hold your shares in street name through a broker, bank or other nominee and do not return the voting instruction form, the broker, bank or other nominee will determine if it has the discretionary authority to vote on the particular matter. Under applicable rules, brokers, banks and other nominees have the discretion to vote on "routine" matters, such as the ratification of the selection of accounting firms, but do not have discretion to vote on matters that are not considered "routine." The proposal relating to the election of directors is not considered a routine matter. Consequently, if you do not provide your broker, bank or other nominee with your voting instructions for this proposal, the broker, bank or other nominee cannot vote your shares on this proposal, which is referred to as a "broker non-vote."

The enclosed proxy card or voting instruction form also grants the proxy holders discretionary authority to vote on any other business that may properly come before the meeting as well as any procedural matters.

What vote is required?

Business cannot be conducted at the Annual Meeting unless a quorum is present. In order to have a quorum, a majority of the shares of Common Stock that are outstanding and entitled to vote at the meeting must be represented in person or by proxy. If there are not sufficient votes in attendance at the Annual Meeting in person or by proxy to constitute a quorum for approval of any matters to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting, the Annual Meeting may be adjourned to permit further solicitation of proxies in order to achieve a quorum.

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast for the election of directors at the Annual Meeting and the director nominees who receive the most votes will be elected. The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of all the outstanding shares of Common Stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote thereon is required to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2010.

How are abstentions and broker non-votes counted?

Abstentions or withhold votes and broker non-votes will be counted to determine whether there is a quorum present. Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast for the election of directors at the Annual Meeting, with the nominees obtaining the most votes being elected. Because there is no minimum vote required for the election of directors, abstentions or withhold votes and broker non-votes will be entirely excluded from the vote and will have no effect on its outcome.

Abstentions are counted in determining the total number of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote thereon with respect to a proposal that requires the affirmative vote of a majority of such shares and, therefore, will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2010. Broker non-votes are not counted in determining the number of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote thereon with respect to a proposal that requires the affirmative vote of a majority of such shares and, therefore, will not affect the outcome of the voting on this proposal.

What are the Board of Directors' Recommendations?

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote all of your shares:

- 1. FOR the election of the seven nominees named herein for director; and
- 2. FOR the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2010.

May I revoke my proxy?

If you are a stockholder of record, you may revoke your proxy by:

- delivering a written notice to the NIC Corporate Secretary bearing a date later than the proxy stating that you would like to revoke your proxy;
- completing, executing and delivering a new, later dated proxy card for the same shares prior to the deadline specified on the proxy card and following the instructions on the proxy card;

- logging onto the Internet website specified on your proxy card in the same manner you would do to submit your proxy electronically or by calling the telephone number specified on your proxy card (in each case if you are eligible to do so) prior to the deadline specified on the proxy card and following the instructions on the proxy card; or
 - attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. Your attendance alone will not revoke your proxy.

Any written notice of revocation should be delivered to NIC Inc. Attention: Corporate Secretary, at 25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300, Olathe, Kansas 66061, no later than the day before the Annual Meeting date to ensure that it is received in a timely manner.

If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name" by your broker, bank or other nominee and you have instructed such broker, bank or other nominee to vote your shares, you must follow directions received from such broker, bank or other nominee to change those instructions.

Who are the proxies who will vote my shares at the Annual Meeting if I timely return my proxy card?

The Company has designated Harry H. Herington, the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, and William F. Bradley, Jr., the Company's Chief Operating Officer, General Counsel and Secretary, with full power of substitution, to vote the authorized proxies during the Annual Meeting.

Who will assist in the distribution of proxy materials and tabulate the vote?

The Company has retained Broadridge Investor Communication Services to assist in the distribution of proxy materials and tally the vote. The inspectors of election appointed for the Annual Meeting will certify the results.

Is my vote confidential?

It is the Company's policy to maintain the confidentiality of proxy cards, ballots and voting tabulations that identify individual stockholders, except where disclosure is mandated by law and in other limited circumstances.

Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

The Company intends to announce preliminary voting results at the Annual Meeting and disclose final results in a current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within four business days after the Annual Meeting. If final results are not yet known within that four business day period, the Company will disclose preliminary voting results in the Form 8-K and file an amendment to the Form 8-K to disclose the final results within four business days after such final results are known.

Why did I receive only one set of proxy materials when there are several stockholders at my address?

If you and other residents at your mailing address own shares in street name, your broker, bank or other nominee may have sent you a notice that your household will receive only one annual report and proxy statement for each company in which you hold shares through that broker, bank or nominee. This practice is called "householding." If you did not respond that you did not want to participate in householding, you are deemed to have consented to that process. If these procedures apply to you, your broker, bank or other nominee will have sent one copy of our Annual Report to Stockholders and Proxy Statement to your address. You may revoke your consent to householding at any time by contacting your broker, bank or other nominee.

If you did not receive an individual copy of our Annual Report to Stockholders and Proxy Statement, we will send copies to you if you contact us at 25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300, Olathe, Kansas 66061, (877) 234-3468, Attention: Corporate Secretary. If you and other residents at your address have been receiving multiple copies of our Annual Report to Stockholders and Proxy Statement and desire to receive only a single copy of these materials, you may contact your broker, bank or other nominee or contact us at the above address or telephone number.

Who can help answer my questions?

If you have any questions about the matters proposed in this Proxy Statement or the procedures for voting your shares, you should contact:

NIC Inc. Attention: Corporate Secretary 25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300 Olathe, Kansas 66061 (877) 234-3468

STRUCTURE AND PRACTICES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

NIC's business and affairs are managed under the direction of the Board of Directors. Currently, there are seven directors: Harry H. Herington; Art N. Burtscher; Daniel J. Evans; Ross C. Hartley; Alexander C. Kemper; William M. Lyons; and Pete Wilson. All of the directors are standing for election and their biographies appear on pages 16 to 17.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICES AND CODE OF BUSINESS CONDUCT AND ETHICS

The Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Principles and Practices ("Principles and Practices") that address the practices of the Board and, together with the Articles of Incorporation, Bylaws and Board Committee charters, provide the framework for governance of NIC. The Company has also adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which applies to all employees, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer. The Principles and Practices and the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics are available on the Company's website at www.nicusa.com/html/info/investor/governance.html. If you would like to receive a copy of the Principles and Practices or the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, send your request in writing to the Corporate Secretary, NIC Inc., 25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300, Olathe, Kansas 66061.

MEETINGS OF THE BOARD

In 2009, the NIC Board of Directors had four regularly scheduled meetings, and special meetings were held as necessary, for a total of six meetings. Each of the incumbent directors attended over 75% of the meetings of the Board and the committees to which the director was assigned, except that Mr. Bunce, who did not stand for reelection in May 2009, attended less than 75% of the Board and committee meetings to which he was assigned from January through May 4, 2009. The directors, in the aggregate, attended 98% of the Board meetings. In addition, management and the directors communicate informally on a variety of topics, including suggestions for Board or committee agenda items, recent developments, and other matters of interest to the directors. The Board has access to management at all times. Directors standing for election are encouraged to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. All directors standing for election at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders attended the meeting in person or by phone.

INDEPENDENCE

The Board evaluates the independence of each director in accordance with applicable laws and regulations, the listing standards of the NASDAQ and the criteria set forth in NIC's Corporate Governance Principles and Practices. These standards include evaluating material relationships with NIC, if any, to the best of each director's knowledge, including vendor, supplier, consulting, legal, banking, accounting, charitable and family relationships. Based on the recommendation of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, the Board of Directors has determined for the calendar year 2010 that all of the directors, except Mr. Herington, are independent as required by applicable laws and regulations, by the listing standards of the NASDAQ and by the Corporate Governance Principles and Practices. The Board has also assessed the independence of the members of the Audit, Compensation and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committees based on applicable laws and regulations, the listing standards of the NASDAQ and the Corporate Governance Principles and Practices and has found all members of those committees to be independent. The Board's findings are included in the discussion of the committees below.

STOCKHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS WITH DIRECTORS

A stockholder who would like to communicate directly with the Board, a committee of the Board or with an individual director, should send the communication to:

NIC Inc.

Board of Directors [or committee name or director's name, as appropriate] 25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300 Olathe, Kansas 66061

Also, stockholders can contact the Board of Directors at board@nicusa.com.

NIC will forward all such stockholder correspondence to the Board, committee or individual director, as appropriate. This process has been approved by the independent directors of NIC.

BOARD LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE

Harry H. Herington serves as the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. Art N. Burtscher serves as the Lead Independent Director. The Board believes that combining the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and having a Lead Independent Director provides an efficient and effective leadership model for the Company, combining clarity on strategy and decision-making with effective independent oversight. The Board believes that the combined role of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer promotes unified leadership and direction for the Company and provides a single leader to guide the Company in executing the Company's business strategy. The Board does not believe that the Board's independence is compromised by having a single person serve as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. The Board believes that having a Lead Independent Director ensures that a strong, independent director leads the Board's independent directors and is a single point of contact for the Chairman on most routine board items, especially between meetings. The Board believes this structure avoids the management issues that often arise when the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer duties are separated. Further, a Lead Independent Director helps facilitate dialogue between the Board and stockholders by specifically identifying an independent director available for consultation and communication.

Pursuant to the Company's Bylaws, the Chairman of the Board is responsible for presiding over all meetings of the Board of Directors and performs such other duties and may exercise such other powers as from time to time may be assigned to him or her by the Bylaws or by the Board or which he believes are appropriate. The Lead Independent Director's powers, duties and responsibilities established by the Board include the following: (a) calling and presiding at executive sessions of the Board at which only independent directors are permitted to be present, along with other persons invited to attend such sessions by the Lead Independent Director or a majority of the independent directors; (b) as deemed appropriate by the Lead Independent Director, communicating with other independent directors in advance of each executive session to develop an agenda of issues for discussion in the executive session; (c) presiding at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman of the Board is not present, including executive sessions of the independent directors; (d) calling special meetings of the Board; (e) serving as liaison between the Chairman of the Board and the independent directors; (f) reviewing or adding materials sent to the Board that are initially prepared by or under the direction of the Chairman of the Board; (g) reviewing or adding meeting agendas for the Board that are initially prepared by the Chairman of the Board; (h) reviewing meeting schedules that are initially prepared by the Chairman of the Board in order to assure that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items; (i) making recommendations to the Board regarding the structure of Board meetings; (j) recommending matters for consideration by the Board; (k) serving as an independent point of contact for stockholders wishing to communicate with the Board other than through the Chairman of the Board; (1) collaborating with the Chairman of the Board on recommending tasks to be assigned to the appropriate committees; (m) with the approval of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, overseeing the annual evaluation of the Board and its committees; and (n) having the right to engage legal, financial and other advisers to represent the independent directors.

RISK OVERSIGHT

The Board has delegated to the Audit Committee, consisting solely of independent directors, the responsibility to oversee the assessment and management of the Company's risks, including reviewing with management significant risk exposures potentially facing the Company and the policies and steps implemented by management to identify, assess, manage and monitor such exposures. The Company's Compensation Committee, consisting solely of independent directors, is responsible for overseeing the management of risks relating to the Company's compensation plans and arrangements and reporting to the Audit Committee and the Board. The Board is regularly informed through committee reports regarding the Company's risks, and reviews and discusses such risks in overseeing the Company's business strategy and operations.

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

As described below, there are three standing committees of the Board. Each committee's activities are governed by a charter that is available on the Company's website at www.nicusa.com/investors/CoporateGov, or by sending your request in writing to the Corporate Secretary, NIC Inc., 25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300, Olathe, Kansas 66061.

The table below shows the members of each Committee of the Board:

Audit	Compensation	Corporate Governance and
Committee	Committee	Nominating Committee
Art N. Burtscher, Chairman	Daniel J. Evans, Chairman	Alexander C. Kemper, Chairman
Daniel J. Evans	Art N. Burtscher	Art N. Burtscher
Alexander C. Kemper	Alexander C. Kemper	Daniel J. Evans
William M. Lyons	William M. Lyons	William M. Lyons
Pete Wilson	Pete Wilson	Pete Wilson

The Audit Committee

The Audit Committee oversees management's responsibility for the integrity of the Company's accounting and financial reporting and systems of internal controls. The Committee also oversees the performance of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. In addition, the Committee has the responsibility to oversee the assessment and management of the Company's risks. The Audit Committee met six times during 2009. The report of the Audit Committee is included in this Proxy Statement starting on page 14.

The Board of Directors has determined that all of the members of the Audit Committee are independent as required by applicable laws and regulations, the listing standards of NASDAQ and the Corporate Governance Principles and Practices. The Board of Directors has determined that at least one member of the Committee, Mr. Burtscher, qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert."

The Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for the establishment and oversight of the Company's executive compensation program. The Committee also has responsibility for general oversight of the Company's compensation policies and practices for all employees, particularly with respect to how such policies relate to the achievement of Company business goals and the Company's management of risk. It is the responsibility of the Committee to review, recommend and approve changes to the Company's compensation policies and benefits programs and to otherwise ensure that the Company's compensation philosophy is consistent with the Company's best interests and is properly implemented. The Committee establishes the compensation levels of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Company's other executive officers, and reviews and makes recommendations to the Board regarding the level and form of the Company's director compensation. The Committee also administers the Company's stock plans, including the 2006 Amended and Restated Stock Option and Incentive Plan, the 1999 Stock Option Plan of SDR Technologies, Inc. and the 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Finally, the Committee performs other duties related to compensation that the Board from time to time may assign. The Compensation Committee held five meetings in 2009. The Board of Directors has determined that all of the members of the Committee are independent as required by applicable laws and regulations, the listing standards of NASDAQ and the Corporate Governance Principles and Practices.

The Compensation Committee may delegate any of its responsibilities to sub-committees and may delegate day-to-day administration of incentive and employee benefit plans to appropriate Company personnel. In addition, upon occasion matters have been escalated from the Compensation Committee to the full Board of Directors for action. The executive officers receive assignments from the Compensation Committee, for example, researching compensation levels for employees, executives or directors at companies in comparable industries or of comparable size in terms of number of employees, annual revenues or market capitalization. The Compensation Committee also tasks the executive team with the first, and subsequent, drafts of the executive incentive compensation plan each year and with drafting revisions based upon Committee guidance.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed the design and operation of the Company's compensation arrangements, including the performance objectives and target levels used in connection with incentive awards, with management and evaluated the relationship between the Company's risk management and these arrangements. The Compensation Committee believes that the Company's compensation policies and practices do not encourage unnecessary or excessive risk taking and that any risks arising from the Company's compensation policies and practices for its employees are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Committee has the authority to retain and terminate any compensation consultant used to assist in the evaluation of executive officer compensation. From time to time, and as recently as 2007 as further discussed below, the executive team with the approval of the Committee has used an external compensation consultant, Mercer Human Resource Consulting, to assess the Company's management compensation structure, including executive compensation, and to perform peer review analysis among other public companies engaged in the information technology services industries with similar annual revenues, number of employees, market capitalization and other financial metrics. In 2008, as further discussed below, the executive team with the approval of the Committee engaged Semler Brossy Consulting Group, an external compensation consultant, to undertake a similar analysis with respect to the compensation of the Company's directors.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee met five times in 2009. The Board of Directors has determined that all of the members of the Committee are independent as required by applicable laws and regulations, the listing standards of NASDAQ and the Corporate Governance Principles and Practices. The Committee focuses on two primary areas: corporate governance and nomination of directors.

The Committee provides oversight and guidance to the Board of Directors to ensure that the membership, structure, policies, and practices of the Board and its committees facilitate the effective exercise of the Board's role in the governance of the Company. The Committee reviews and evaluates the policies and practices with respect to the size, composition, independence and functioning of the Board and its committees and reflects those policies and practices in Corporate Governance Principles and Practices, which can be found on the Company's website.

NOMINATION OF DIRECTORS

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee evaluates the qualifications of candidates for election as directors. In exploring potential candidates for directors, the Committee considers individuals recommended by members of the Committee, other directors, members of management, stockholders and self-nominated individuals. In nominating candidates, the Committee takes into consideration such factors as it deems appropriate on a case-by-case basis. A discussion of factors that the Committee may consider is included under "Election of Directors" in this Proxy Statement beginning on page 15.

The Committee will consider Board nominees recommended by stockholders who provide the recommendation in accordance with the procedures in the Bylaws for stockholder nominations of directors. The Committee intends to apply the same standards in considering candidates submitted by stockholders and self-nominated individuals as it does in evaluating candidates submitted by members of the Board of Directors and members of management.

The Bylaws require that a stockholder who wishes to nominate an individual for election as a director at the Company's Annual Meeting of Stockholders must give the Company advance written notice no earlier than 120 days and no later than 90 days prior to the anniversary date of the Annual Meeting in connection with next year's Annual Meeting. Accordingly, notice of any director nomination that a stockholder intends to present at next year's Annual Meeting must be received at the Company's principal executive offices not earlier than January 4, 2011 and not later than February 3, 2011. The Bylaws also require a stockholder who wishes to nominate an individual or him or herself for election as a director to provide certain specified information. This specified information includes, among other things, certain information about the stockholder and certain information about the nominee, such as the nominee's name, address, principal occupation, relationship with the nominating stockholder, a completed questionnaire and the nominee's written consent to being named a nominee and to serve as a director if elected. Stockholders may request a copy of the Bylaw requirements from:

Corporate Secretary
NIC Inc.
25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300
Olathe, Kansas 66061

Notice of any director nominations for this year's Annual Meeting must have been received no earlier than January 5, 2010 and no later than February 4, 2010. NIC did not receive any stockholder nominations of directors within this timeframe.

The Board has determined that a majority of the Board members are independent directors. Each nominee for director is an existing director standing for re-election.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

In 2008, the Compensation Committee directed senior management to conduct a competitive assessment of the compensation program for the Company's Board of Directors and to develop comprehensive program recommendations going forward. In 2007, management had engaged Mercer to conduct a similar assessment of the Company's executive compensation program, as further discussed under the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section starting on page 21 of this Proxy Statement. The senior consultant with Mercer most familiar with the 2007 executive compensation study was employed by Semler Brossy Consulting Group ("SBCG") in 2008. Management, with the approval of the Committee, engaged SBCG in 2008 to review the Company's Board compensation program, provide critical feedback and assess Board compensation within the context of peer group comparisons.

For the 2008 Board compensation study, SBCG used the same peer group of companies that it used for the 2007 executive compensation study. In that study, SBCG considered several factors, such as industry focus, business characteristics and operational complexity, to develop an appropriate peer group of companies in the internet & software services, application software, and information technology consulting industries that were appropriately-sized in terms of number of employees, market capitalization and asset base, with annual revenues used as a secondary criterion. The 14 members of the peer group were as follows:

Bankrate (RATE)
CyberSource (CYBS)
ePlus (PLUS.PK)
Goldleaf Financial Solutions
(GFSI)

Imergent (IIG) Keynote Systems (KEYN) Omniture (OMTR) Online Resources (ORCC)
Perficient (PRFT)
S1 (SONE)

SupportSoft (SPRT)

Tier Technologies (TIER) Vocus (VOCS) WebMD Health (WBMD)

Based upon the recommendations of SBCG, the Company provides the following cash compensation to directors: (1) an annual cash retainer of \$24,000, (2) an annual cash retainer premium paid for committee chairs of \$10,000 for the Audit Committee and \$5,000 for the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and the Compensation Committee, (3) an annual cash retainer premium paid for committee members of \$5,000 for the Audit Committee and \$2,500 for the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and the Compensation Committee, and (4) Board meeting attendance fees of \$1,000 per meeting. From an equity compensation standpoint, the Company's Board compensation program provides for an annual grant of service-based restricted stock (with equal vesting over four years) with a grant date fair value of \$60,000. The ratio of equity to cash compensation is approximately 60% to 40%, which is in line with SBCG's recommendation of a preponderance of total value coming from equity compensation.

Upon first joining the Board, any new director will receive an award of restricted stock (with equal annual vesting over four years) with an equivalent fair market value of \$25,000 on the date of the award.

Directors who are also executive officers of the Company do not receive compensation for service on the Board of Directors. Therefore Mr. Herington is not listed in the Director Compensation table below.

All directors are eligible to participate in the Company's 2006 Amended and Restated Stock Option and Incentive Plan. Non-employee directors are not eligible to participate in the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Directors are reimbursed for travel expenses and other out-of-pocket costs incurred in connection with their attendance at meetings.

The following table provides information on the compensation of non-employee directors in 2009.

Director Compensation (1)

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) (2)	Option Awards (\$)(2)	All Other Compensation	Total (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(g)	(h)
Art N. Burtscher (3)	43,000	60,000	-	3,920	106,920
Daniel J. Evans (4)	40,500	60,000	-	3,920	104,420
Jeffrey S. Fraser (5)	27,000	60,000	-	17,094	104,094
Ross C. Hartley (6)	28,000	60,000	-	21,486	109,486
Alexander C. Kemper (7)	40,500	60,000	-	4,136	104,636
William M. Lyons (8)	26,500	25,000	-	-	51,500
Pete Wilson (9)	38,000	60,000	-	3,920	101,920
John L. Bunce, Jr. (10)	1,000	-	-	-	1,000

- (1) The Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation and Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings columns have been omitted from the Director Compensation table because the Company does not provide director compensation in any of these categories.
- (2) Amounts reported in the Stock Awards and Option Awards columns represent the aggregate grant date fair value of such awards, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. However, these amounts do not include an estimate of forfeitures related to time-based vesting conditions, and assume that the non-employee director will perform the requisite service to vest in the award. For assumptions used in determining these values, refer to Note 12 of the Company's financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- (3) All Other Compensation for Mr. Burtscher consists of a dividend equivalent of \$0.30 per share on 13,065 unvested shares of restricted stock paid by the Company in February 2009.

At December 31, 2009, Mr. Burtscher directly owned the following unvested restricted stock awards and stock options to purchase Common Stock:

- (i) 1,000 unvested restricted shares, which vest on October 19, 2010;
- (ii) 3,000 unvested restricted shares, which vest in three equal annual installments beginning on February 4, 2010;
- (iii) 6,049 unvested restricted shares, which vest in three equal annual installments beginning on May 6, 2010;
- (iv) 10,471 unvested restricted shares, which vest in four equal annual installments beginning on May 5, 2010;
- (v) 10,000 options, exercisable at \$6.16 per share, which are all currently exercisable and expire on November 8, 2010.
- (4) All Other Compensation for Governor Evans consists of a dividend equivalent of \$0.30 per share on 13,065 unvested shares of restricted stock paid by the Company in February 2009.

At December 31, 2009, Governor Evans directly owned the following unvested restricted stock awards and stock options to purchase Common Stock:

- (i) 1,000 unvested restricted shares, which vest on October 19, 2010;
- (ii) 3,000 unvested restricted shares, which vest in three equal annual installments beginning on February 4, 2010;
- (iii) 6,049 unvested restricted shares, which vest in three equal annual installments beginning on May 6, 2010;
- (iv) 10,471 unvested restricted shares, which vest in four equal annual installments beginning on May 5, 2010; and

- (v) 10,000 options, exercisable at \$6.16 per share, which are all currently exercisable and expire on November 8, 2010.
- (5)Mr. Fraser resigned as a director of the Company on September 4, 2009, effective on the same date, and all outstanding unvested restricted shares held by Mr. Fraser were forfeited at this time. All Other Compensation for Mr. Fraser consists of a dividend equivalent of \$0.30 per share on 13,065 unvested shares of restricted stock paid by the Company in February 2009 and health and dental insurance premiums paid by the Company totaling \$13,174.

(6) All Other Compensation for Mr. Hartley consists of a dividend equivalent of \$0.30 per share on 13,065 unvested shares of restricted stock paid by the Company in February 2009 and health and dental insurance premiums paid by the Company totaling \$17,566.

At December 31, 2009, Mr. Hartley directly owned the following unvested restricted stock awards and stock options to purchase Common Stock:

- (i) 1,000 unvested restricted shares, which vest on October 19, 2010;
- (ii) 3,000 unvested restricted shares, which vest in three equal annual installments beginning on February 4, 2010;
 - (iii) 6,049 unvested restricted shares, which vest in three equal annual installments beginning on May 6, 2010;
 - (iv) 10,471 unvested restricted shares, which vest in four equal annual installments beginning on May 5, 2010; and
 - (v) 10,000 options, exercisable at \$6.16 per share, which are all currently exercisable and expire on November 8, 2010.
- (7) All Other Compensation for Mr. Kemper consists of a dividend equivalent of \$0.30 per share on 13,786 unvested shares of restricted stock paid by the Company in February 2009.

At December 31, 2009, Mr. Kemper directly owned the following unvested restricted stock awards:

- (i) 1.814 unvested restricted shares, which vest in two equal annual installments beginning on November 5, 2010;
- (ii) 3,000 unvested restricted shares, which vest in three equal annual installments beginning on February 4, 2010;
- (iii) 6,049 unvested restricted shares, which vest in three equal annual installments beginning on May 6, 2010; and
- (iv) 10,471 unvested restricted shares, which vest in four equal annual installments beginning on May 5, 2010
- (8)Mr. Lyons was elected to the Board on August 6, 2009. Upon joining the Board, Mr. Lyons received an award of restricted stock (with equal annual vesting over four years) with a grant date fair market value of \$25,000. Mr. Lyons' annual retainer for Board membership and annual retainer premium for committee membership were prorated for the portion of the year he served on the Board.

At December 31, 2009, Mr. Lyons directly owned 3,160 unvested restricted shares, which vest in four annual installments beginning August 6, 2010.

(9) All Other Compensation for Governor Wilson consists of a dividend equivalent of \$0.30 per share on 13,065 unvested shares of restricted stock paid by the Company in February 2009.

At December 31, 2009, Governor Wilson directly owned the following unvested restricted stock awards and stock options to purchase Common Stock:

- (i) 1,000 unvested restricted shares, which vest on October 19, 2010;
- (ii) 3,000 unvested restricted shares, which vest in three equal annual installments beginning on February 4, 2010;
- (iii) 6,049 unvested restricted shares, which vest in three equal annual installments beginning on May 6, 2010;
- (iv) 10,471 unvested restricted shares, which vest in four equal annual installments beginning on May 5, 2010; and
- (v) 10,000 options, exercisable at \$6.16 per share, which are all currently exercisable and expire on November 8, 2010.
- (10) Mr. Bunce did not stand for reelection and stepped down from the Board on May 4, 2009, and all outstanding unvested restricted shares held by Mr. Bunce were forfeited at this time.

The Board determined the terms and conditions of any such option or restricted stock awards, including those that apply upon the termination of a non-employee director's service as a Board member.

EMPLOYEE COMPLAINT PROCEDURES FOR ACCOUNTING AND AUDITING MATTERS

The Board has adopted Employee Complaint Procedures for Accounting and Auditing Matters for all employees, which can be found on the Company's website. This document contains procedures for the Audit Committee to receive, retain and treat complaints received regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, and to allow for the confidential and anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

Edgar I	Filing:	NIC	INC -	Form	DEF	14A
---------	---------	-----	-------	------	-----	-----

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

In the performance of its oversight function, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2009 and the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009.

In addition, the Committee has discussed with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the matters that Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 (Communication with Audit Committees), as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1, AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") in Rule 3200T, requires the Committee and the auditors to discuss. Finally, the Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence.

The members of the Committee are not full-time employees of the Company and are not performing the functions of auditors or accountants. As such, it is not the duty or responsibility of the Committee or its members to conduct "field work" or other types of auditing or accounting reviews or procedures or to set auditor independence standards. Members of the Committee necessarily rely on the information provided to them by management and the independent registered public accounting firm.

Based upon the reports and discussions described in this report, in reliance on management and the independent registered public accounting firm, and subject to the limitations of our role, the Committee recommended to the Board, and the Board has approved, the inclusion of the audited financial statements referred to above in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Respectfully submitted,

The Audit Committee
Art N. Burtscher (Chairman)
Daniel J. Evans
Alexander C. Kemper
William M. Lyons
Pete Wilson

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (ITEM 1 ON PROXY CARD)

The Board of Directors currently consists of seven directors. Each of the nominees listed below is an incumbent director whose nomination to serve for a one-year term was recommended by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and approved by the Board of Directors. The seven nominees receiving the most votes will be elected. Abstentions and broker non-votes have no effect on the election. Proxies cannot be voted for a greater number of persons than the number of nominees named on the enclosed form of proxy, and stockholders may not cumulate their votes in the election of directors.

Each nominee has consented to stand for election and the Board does not anticipate that any nominee will be unavailable to serve. However, if any nominee becomes unavailable to serve at the time of the Annual Meeting, the Board of Directors may provide for a lesser number of directors or designate substitute nominees. If substitute nominees are designated, the persons named in the enclosed proxy will vote proxies for the remaining nominees and any substitute nominees, unless otherwise instructed by a stockholder.

If you wish to vote for or withhold your vote from all nominees, please mark the corresponding box on your proxy card. If you do not wish your shares to be voted for a particular nominee, you should note that nominee's name in the exception space provided on the proxy card. The following biographies provide information about each nominee's principal occupation and business experience, age, and other directorships, as well as current NIC Board committee memberships.

The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees.

Name	Age Position
Harry H. Herington	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
Art N. Burtscher	59 Lead Independent Director
Daniel J. Evans	84 Director
Ross C. Hartley	62 Director
Alexander C. Kemper	44 Director
William M. Lyons	54 Director
Pete Wilson	76 Director

The following is a brief description of the business experience of each nominee for director and a brief discussion of the specific experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led to the conclusion that the nominee should serve as a director for the Company, in light of the Company's business and structure. In nominating candidates, the Committee takes into consideration such factors as it deems appropriate on a case-by-case basis, which may include experience, knowledge, skills, expertise, integrity, diversity of background and perspective, ability to make independent analytical inquiries, understanding of the Company's business environment, the interplay of the candidate's experience with that of the other Board members and willingness to devote adequate time and effort to Board responsibilities. While the Company does not have a formal diversity policy, the Company believes that the Board's deliberative process benefits from a reasonable diversity of backgrounds and perspectives. In reviewing the re-nomination of incumbent directors, the Committee also considers their participation at meetings, their understanding of NIC's business and the environment within which the Company operates, their attendance, and their independence and relationships, if any, with the Company.

Harry H. Herington became the Company's Chief Executive Officer in February 2008 and became the Chairman of the Board in May 2008. He was elected to the Board of Directors in October 2006. Mr. Herington served as President from May 2006 until February 2008 and as Chief Operating Officer from May 2002 until October 2006. In addition, he served as the Company's Executive Vice President--Portal Operations from January 1999 through April 2002. He served as one of the Company's directors from May 1998 to February 1999. He has also served as President of NICUSA, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, since 1998. Mr. Herington has held numerous positions of authority and responsibility with the Company since 1995, which enables him to provide valuable leadership and insight into the Company's strategic direction. Mr. Herington holds a B.S. degree from Wichita State University in Kansas and a J.D. degree from the University of Kansas School of Law.

Art N. Burtscher has served as one of the Company's directors since 2004, and was elected Lead Independent Director in February 2008. He chairs the Audit Committee. Mr. Burtscher has served as Chairman of McCarthy Group Advisors, L.L.C., an Omaha-based investment advisory firm, since 2004. From 2000 to 2004, he was President of McCarthy Group Asset Management. He has more than 30 years of financial services experience, including 13 years as President of Great Western Bank. Mr. Burtscher currently serves on the boards of directors of NovaStar Financial, Great Western BanCorp., AmeriSphere Multi-Family Finance, L.L.C., Landscapes Unlimited, Inc. and the Silverstone Group. Mr. Burtscher's extensive experience in the financial services industry enables him to provide valuable contributions to the Board regarding financial, business and investment matters and to serve as the audit committee financial expert. He graduated from Fort Hays State University in Kansas with a B.S. in Business Administration and is a graduate of the School of Mortgage Banking.

Daniel J. Evans has served as one of the Company's directors since 1998. He chairs the Compensation Committee. Governor Evans is the chairman of and has served as a consultant for Daniel J. Evans Associates Consulting, a consulting company in the State of Washington, since May 1989. Governor Evans currently serves as a director of Costco Wholesale Corporation and Archimedes Technology Group. Mr. Evans has extensive service as a director, having served on more than 14 boards of directors and served on the audit committees of many of those boards. He also served as a U.S. Senator for the State of Washington from September 1983 to January 1989 and as the Governor of the State of Washington from January 1965 to January 1977. The Board relies upon Governor Evan's extensive experience in state government and industry in guiding the Company's business strategy. Governor Evans holds a B.S. and an M.S. in civil engineering from the University of Washington.

Ross C. Hartley, one of the Company's founders, has served as one of the Company's directors since the Company's formation in 1992. Mr. Hartley also served as President of The Hartley Insurance Group, a group of independent insurance agencies in Kansas, from 1974 to 2000. Mr. Hartley retired from all active work in 2000 and since that time has managed his own investments. He also serves as a director of Empire District Electric Company, a public utility located in Joplin, Missouri. Mr. Hartley's extensive experience with the Company since its founding and extensive business experience enables him to provide valuable guidance to the Board in overseeing the Company's business. Mr. Hartley holds a B.S. in mathematics from Baker University in Baldwin City, Kansas and a J.D. degree from the University of Kansas School of Law.

Alexander C. Kemper has served as one of the Company's directors since his election to the Board in November 2007. He chairs the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Mr. Kemper is the chairman of the board of Kansas City-based The Collectors Fund, a private equity fund focused on alternative asset classes. He founded Perfect Commerce Inc., an application service provider for Internet sourcing and procurement tools and related professional services, and served as chairman and chief executive officer from 2000 to 2006. Before founding Perfect Commerce, Mr. Kemper was the chairman of the board and CEO of UMB Bank, N.A. and CEO of UMB Financial Corp., a Nasdaq-traded financial services company with assets of more than \$8 billion. He is an active angel and venture investor and currently serves several corporate boards, including UMB Financial Corp., AXA Art, North America (a subsidiary of AXA Insurance Company), BATS Global Markets, and is chairman of the board of Pollenware. Mr. Kemper has extensive experience in finance, banking, investment, management and board service, as well as extensive experience with technology companies, which enables him to provide valuable guidance to his fellow directors on such matters. Mr. Kemper holds a B.A. degree from Northwestern University.

William M. Lyons has served as one of the Company's directors since 2009. Lyons was president and chief executive officer of American Century Companies, Inc., a Kansas City-based investment manager, until his retirement in March 2007. Mr. Lyons joined American Century in 1987 as assistant general counsel and also served as its general counsel, executive vice president, and chief operating officer. Mr. Lyons was named president in 1997 and chief executive officer in 2000. Mr. Lyons also served as a director of American Century Companies, Inc. and numerous investment companies affiliated with American Century Companies, Inc. While at American Century, Mr. Lyons also was a senior executive of several operating subsidiaries, including American Century Investment Management, Inc., American Century Investment Services, Inc., and American Century Services Corp. He is currently a member of the board of directors of Morningstar, Inc. (NASDAQ: MORN), and other civic and not-for-profit entities. Mr. Lyons's leadership of American Centuries Companies, Inc. through a period of substantial growth enables him to provide valuable guidance to the Board on business strategy and financial matters. Mr. Lyons holds a bachelor's degree in history from Yale University and a Juris Doctor degree from Northwestern University School of Law.

Pete Wilson has served as one of the Company's directors since 1999. Governor Wilson served as Governor of the State of California from 1991 until 1999. Prior to serving as Governor of California, Governor Wilson served in the U.S. Senate for eight years, representing the State of California from 1983 to 1991, and served as the mayor of San Diego, California from 1971 to 1983. Governor Wilson is a principal at Bingham Consulting Group, a business consulting firm. Governor Wilson is also a director of The Irvine Company, U.S. TelePacific Corp, and U.S. Health Works, Inc and is a director and founder of the California Mentoring Foundation. He is a member of the California State Chamber of Commerce Board of Directors, and a member and Founding Chair of the Southern California Leadership Council. Governor Wilson is a Distinguished Visiting Fellow of the Hoover Institution at Stanford University, and serves as a Trustee of the Ronald Reagan Presidential Foundation, the Richard Nixon Foundation, and the Criminal Justice Legal Foundation. He is immediate past Chair (current Capital Campaign Chair) of the National World War II Museum. Governor Wilson is also a former member of the Defense Policy Board (advisory to the Secretary of Defense) and the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board and formerly served on the Thomas Weisel Partners board of advisors. The Board draws upon Governor Wilson's extensive experience inside and outside government in overseeing the Company's business strategy and developing relationships with government partners. He received his undergraduate degree from Yale University and his law degree from Boalt Hall (University of California at Berkeley). After graduating from Yale, Governor Wilson spent three years in the Marine Corps as an infantry officer.

The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, recommends a vote FOR the election of Messrs. Herington, Burtscher, Evans, Hartley, Kemper, Lyons and Wilson.

SEC INVESTIGATION

The Company has previously disclosed an investigation by the Staff of the Division of Enforcement (the "Staff") of the SEC regarding the reimbursement of expenses to Jeffrey S. Fraser, the Company's former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. The Company has fully cooperated with the Staff's investigation, has engaged in its own thorough and independent internal investigation through its Audit Committee for the period from 2004 through June 2007 and its Audit Committee is further reviewing expense reimbursement to Mr. Fraser for 1999 through 2003, has bolstered its compliance procedures and internal controls, and has sought and obtained restitution from Mr. Fraser of expenses paid by the Company that Mr. Fraser was not authorized to receive.

On March 9, 2010, the Company and Harry H. Herington, the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Stephen M. Kovzan, the Company's Chief Financial Officer, and William F. Bradley, Jr., the Company's Chief Operating Officer and General Counsel (collectively the "Officers"), received "Wells Notices" from the Staff. A Wells Notice provides recipients with an opportunity to respond to issues raised in an investigation prior to any decision by the Commission on bringing an enforcement action, and is neither a formal allegation nor a finding of wrongdoing.

The Wells Notice stated that the Staff is considering recommending that the Commission authorize a civil injunctive action against the Company and its Officers alleging violations of the anti-fraud, books and records, internal controls, extension of credit to officers and directors, and proxy and periodic reporting provisions of the federal securities laws. The Staff indicated that it may seek a permanent injunction and civil penalties against the Company and its Officers, disgorgement and officer and director bars against the Officers, and permanent suspensions from appearing and practicing before the SEC against Mr. Kovzan and Mr. Bradley.

The amounts involved appear to be approximately \$1.1 million for the period from 2002 through 2007. It appears that the Staff believes that the Company and its Officers should have responded sooner and more completely to indications of potential misconduct by Mr. Fraser involving claiming personal expenses as reimbursable business expenses. Any expense reimbursements improperly claimed by Mr. Fraser were not authorized by the Company's board of directors or any of its committees as compensation or loans. It appears that the Staff also believes that the Company and its Officers should have characterized certain authorized payments to Mr. Fraser as compensation rather than reimbursed business expenses, including approximately \$473,000 of the \$1.1 million relating to travel by Mr. Fraser from Jackson, Wyoming to the Company's headquarters in Kansas. The Staff did not indicate that it believes Mr. Herington, Mr. Kovzan, or Mr. Bradley personally received any inappropriate expense reimbursements or that there was any failure to properly disclose their own compensation. The Company and the Officers disagree with the proposed recommendations of the Staff and are prepared to explain their reasons for this view.

It is the Company's understanding that the Staff is reviewing a portion of 2008 in addition to its review of 2002 – 2007. In the event that the SEC investigation or Audit Committee review results in the identification of additional personal expense amounts that Mr. Fraser was not authorized to receive, the Company will seek repayment of such additional amounts from Mr. Fraser.

Even if the Staff's view of the characterization of Mr. Fraser's expenses is finally determined to be correct, the Company believes that the expenses would continue to be reflected as selling and administrative expenses in the Company's results for the period from 2002 through 2007 and would not significantly change the Company's operating income (loss) or net income (loss) during any of those periods.

There can be no assurance that the SEC will not take actions that could adversely affect the Company as a result of the matters described above. Among other things, if the SEC were to authorize and obtain officer and director bars in a SEC civil injunctive action against any of the members of management referenced above, the Company would lose the services of key personnel that could be expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company. We have incurred significant legal fees and other expenses in connection with the SEC investigation and the Audit Committee review and could incur significant legal fees and other expenses or fines or other penalties in connection with the ongoing SEC investigation and Audit Committee review, including advancements of expenses to current and former executive officers of the Company. In addition, members of our management have devoted in the past, and may need to devote in the future, a significant amount of time to these matters, which would reduce the amount of time they can devote to our business and therefore may have an adverse effect on our business.

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM (ITEM 2 ON PROXY CARD)

The Audit Committee considered the performance and qualifications of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's current independent registered public accounting firm. In consultation with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Audit Committee also considered whether the provision of services by the independent registered public accounting firm is compatible with maintaining the independence of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. The Audit Committee has reappointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to audit the Company's consolidated financial statements and the Company's internal control over financial reporting for the year ending December 31, 2010.

Fees billed to the Company by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for services rendered during fiscal year 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

	2009	2008
Audit fees	766,000	661,000
Audit-related	487,000	151,000
fees		
Tax fees	161,000	152,000
All other	-	-
fees		
Total fees	1,414,000	964,000

Audit fees include audits of the annual consolidated financial statements on Form 10-K and reviews of quarterly consolidated financial statements on Form 10-Q, as well as the audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. For 2009 and 2008, audit fees also included regulatory audits, audits of financial statements for certain subsidiaries of the Company and services rendered in connection with an SEC investigation and Audit Committee review of expense reporting by certain officers of the Company. Audit-related fees primarily include audits of benefit plan financial statements, consultations concerning accounting standards, reporting standards and internal controls and attest services relating to Statement of Auditing Services No. 70 reports. For 2009, audit-related fees also included services rendered in connection with the Company's acquisition of certain eGovernment contracts with the state of Texas. Tax fees consist primarily of fees billed for tax compliance and, to a lesser extent, tax advice.

The Audit Committee has adopted policies and procedures for the pre-approval of all fee estimates and services to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm to the Company and its subsidiaries. The Audit Committee's policy is to pre-approve all auditing services and non-audit services to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. Additionally, each permissible non-audit service provided in 2009 was reviewed and pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

The Company expects that representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of all the outstanding shares of Common Stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote thereon is required to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2010. Proxies solicited by the Board will be voted in favor of ratification unless a stockholder has indicated otherwise on the proxy. If this appointment is not ratified by the stockholders, the Audit Committee will reconsider the appointment.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2010.

SUBMISSION OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

A stockholder may submit a proposal for inclusion in the Company's 2011 Proxy Statement. In order for the proposal to be considered, the Company must receive the proposal no later than November 26, 2010. All proposals must comply with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission for eligibility and type of stockholder proposal. Stockholder proposals should be addressed to:

Corporate Secretary
NIC Inc.
25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300
Olathe, Kansas 66061

If a stockholder does not wish to submit a proposal for inclusion in next year's proxy statement, but instead wishes to present it directly at the 2011 Annual Meeting, NIC's Bylaws require that the Company receive the proposal no earlier than January 4, 2011, and no later than February 3, 2011, and that the stockholder submitting the proposal and the proposal meet certain requirements specified by the Bylaws. Requests for a copy of the Bylaw requirements should be addressed to the Corporate Secretary at the address provided above.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis ("CD&A") portion of this Proxy Statement with management. Based on the Committee's review and discussions, the Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors that the CD&A be included in this Proxy Statement and the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Respectfully submitted,

The Compensation Committee Daniel J. Evans (Chairman) Art N. Burtscher Alexander C. Kemper William M. Lyons Pete Wilson

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

PHILOSOPHY AND OBJECTIVES OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM

The Company is committed to increasing stockholder value through profitable growth and the execution of specific strategies. Superior performance by our executive team is essential to these goals, so we have structured our executive pay programs to attract and retain talented, highly-qualified executives. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, our Named Executive Officers ("NEOs") were as follows:

Name Title

Harry H. Herington Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Stephen M. Kovzan Chief Financial Officer

William F. Bradley, Jr. Chief Operating Officer and General Counsel

Robert W. Knapp Executive Vice President

On February 3, 2009, the Board of Directors appointed Robert W. Knapp to the position of Executive Vice President.

The Company's Compensation Committee ("the Committee") has adopted a straightforward approach to executive compensation, whereby the material components of pay other than base salary are tied to the Company's overall financial performance. This approach reinforces the Company's commitment to collaboration for the benefit of the Company, particularly among its most senior executives. At its core, the Company's executive compensation program is comprised of base salary and incentives, both annual and long-term. The Committee structures these core elements to align executive and stockholder interests, by fostering a team-based environment that recognizes the Company's entrepreneurial history and strong record of financial performance.

THE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM

In 2006, the Committee directed senior management to conduct a competitive assessment of the Company's executive compensation program and to develop comprehensive program recommendations going forward. With the approval of the Committee, management engaged Mercer Consulting, an external compensation consultant ("Mercer"), in 2007 to assist in this regard; specifically, management asked Mercer to:

- review the Company's executive compensation program and provide critical feedback;
- assess executive pay within the context of peer group comparisons; and
- provide management with the necessary information and design alternatives to develop short- and long-term incentive plans.

Management's goal was to develop a recommendation to the Committee that would complement key components of the existing program, where valid, and introduce new elements, where appropriate. Mercer introduced certain pay philosophies and alternatives that management incorporated into its recommendation to the Committee, namely:

- While individual executives may be above or below targeted pay positioning for each pay component, aggregate positioning in comparison to market should be the primary focus;
- Similarly, while each pay component was compared to market, management's primary focus was on the total value of the compensation programs relative to market; and
- Finally, Mercer compiled pay data for the five highest-paid executives among identified peer companies (see list of companies below). This data was then aggregated for each peer company to arrive at a "cost of management" figure. These aggregate data were used to understand the relative positioning of the Company's executive pay levels.

The following discussion and analysis describes the findings from management's study, as well as the material components of the executive compensation program for 2009.

Peer group composition and market comparisons. Mercer's approach to peer group selection considered several factors, such as industry focus, business characteristics and operational complexity, to develop an appropriate peer group of companies in the internet & software services, application software, and information technology consulting industries that were appropriately-sized in terms of number of employees, market capitalization and asset base, with annual revenues used as a secondary criterion. The 14 members of the peer group were as follows:

Online Resources Bankrate (RATE) (ORCC) CyberSource (CYBS) Perficient (PRFT) ePlus (PLUS.PK) S1 (SONE) Goldleaf Financial Solutions SupportSoft (SPRT) (GFSI) Imergent (IIG) Tier Technologies (TIER) Keynote Systems (KEYN) Vocus (VOCS) WebMD Health Omniture (OMTR) (WBMD)

From a total direct pay perspective, Mercer's study indicated that NIC's aggregate pay for its four highest paid executives was below the market 25th percentile. This positioning was driven mostly by incentive pay, with the largest shortcoming attributable to long-term equity incentive pay (below the 25th market percentile), and to a lesser extent, annual cash incentive pay (between the 25th and 50th market percentiles). The study indicated that base salaries were between the 50th and 75th market percentiles.

The end product of the Mercer study was an executive compensation program with the following components: base salary, short-term incentive compensation (i.e., annual cash bonus), and a two-pronged, long-term, equity-based incentive plan that includes annual restricted stock grants with (i) a service-based component and (ii) a performance-based component. The compensation program positioned total direct compensation around the market 50th percentile, assuming "target" Company performance, as further discussed below, with an emphasis on incentive pay, underlying a stronger pay-for performance relationship than the Company's prior executive compensation program. Under the terms of the program, total incentive compensation granted in any one fiscal year cannot exceed 250% of base salary for the Chief Executive Officer and 200% of base salary for other NEOs, reflecting differences in the scope of the duties and responsibilities of the Chief Executive Officer as compared to other NEOs.

Management and Mercer also recommended a non-qualified deferred compensation (NQDC) plan, which is common in the market and serves to replace retirement benefits lost due to limitations of the Internal Revenue Code. However, the Company subsequently decided not to implement a NQDC plan.

Base salary. While total direct compensation for 2008 was targeted around the market 50th percentile, aggregate 2008 base salaries were set by the Committee at or around the market 75th percentile, with consideration given to the Company's strong financial performance in recent years and historical long-term equity incentive shortfalls relative to peer companies. In setting salaries under the new program in 2008, the Committee also considered as a countervailing factor the increased size of the incentive component of the executives' compensation in 2008. On February 3, 2009, the Board of Directors appointed Robert W. Knapp to the position of Executive Vice President. Mr. Knapp's annual base salary in his new position was set at \$260,000. Aside from Mr. Knapp, the base salaries among the NEOs were unchanged in 2009. The Committee took into account the prior year's increases in determining to keep salaries flat in 2009. The differences in base salaries among the NEOs were based upon the differences in the scope of their duties and responsibilities. Under the terms of the program, the base salaries of executive officers are subject to change by the Committee from time to time.

Annual cash incentive plan design and opportunities. Consistent with the Company's past rationale for short-term incentive compensation, annual cash incentives place a portion of an executive's annual compensation at risk to encourage behavior and drive results that create value for the Company's stockholders in the near term. The annual cash incentive plan for executive officers included in the executive compensation program established in 2008 measured annual Company performance using the following key financial metrics as performance criteria:

- Operating income 50% weighting
- Total revenues 25% weighting
- Cash flow return on invested capital 25% weighting

Management and the Committee believe that these metrics drive stockholder value in the near term and reflect a stronger pay-for performance relationship than the Company's previous annual cash incentive plan, which provided for an "all or nothing" payout dependent upon the Company's achievement of its pre-established annual operating income goal. The definitions of operating income and total revenues are consistent with those terms defined in generally accepted accounting principles and were to be derived directly from the face of the consolidated statements of income included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the applicable annual period. Cash flow return on invested capital is defined as consolidated cash flow from operating activities minus capital expenditures, the difference of which is divided by the difference between total assets and non-interest bearing current liabilities. Consolidated cash flow from operating activities and capital expenditures were to be derived from the face of the consolidated statements of cash flows included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the applicable annual period. Total assets and non-interest bearing liabilities were to be derived from the face of the consolidated balance sheets included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the applicable annual period.

The Committee did not make any changes to the annual cash incentive plan in 2009. The Committee was satisfied with the initial operation of the plan in 2008 and believed that it provided appropriate incentives for management performance. The Company retained the "target" performance level for the Company for each of the above three performance criteria. Performance of the Company at the target level was intended to result in an annual cash incentive at 50% of the executive's base salary. In keeping with the collaboration-based philosophy to executive pay, the Committee assigned the same incentive level as a percentage of base salary for all executives. The Committee also determined a range of possible cash incentives above and below target performance, ranging from 25% of base salary for achieving "threshold" performance to 75% of base salary for achieving "superior" performance. For amounts between the threshold and target levels or between the target and superior levels, straight line interpolation was to be used. No payments would be awarded under the plan if threshold performance is not achieved, and no additional payments would be awarded for performance in excess of the superior level. The following table sets forth what would constitute threshold, target and superior Company performance levels for the performance criteria included in the annual cash incentive plan for 2009:

	Performance Levels					
Performance Criteria	Threshold	Target	Maximum			
	90% of		110% of			
Operating income	budget	Budget	budget			
	95% of		105% of			
Total revenue	budget	Budget	budget			
	_					
Cash flow return on						
invested capital	20%	25%	30%			

Target performance levels for operating income and total revenues were based upon the Company's annual budget approved by the Board of Directors. Target performance for cash flow return on invested capital was based on the Company's expected five-year average return on invested capital from 2004 to 2008. With respect to the likelihood of the Company achieving its annual budget goals, the Company established what it considered to be ambitious, yet achievable, annual budgets, whereby approximately half of actual results would fall above (or below) budgeted performance. Threshold and maximum performance levels in the table above were recommended by management and approved by the Committee based on the Company's past performance with respect to these metrics generally and relative to budget.

The Committee retains sole discretion to reduce or eliminate an executive's annual cash incentive to reflect either (i) the executive's performance or (ii) unanticipated factors.

After the end of the 2009 fiscal year, the Committee determined the Company's actual performance for each of the three performance criteria as follows:

	Year ended December 31,							
	2009							
Performance Criteria	Actual	Target	% of Target					
Operating income	\$ 22,020,845	\$22,291,750	98.8%					
Total revenue	\$ 132,885,729	\$116,589,899	114.0%					
Cash flow return on								
invested capital	40%	25%	160.0%					

Annual incentive payments equaling approximately 61% of base salary were paid to Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Bradley in early 2010 based on the Company's actual 2009 financial performance in relation to the performance criteria and performance levels included in the annual cash incentive plan for 2009. The annual cash incentive plan payouts for 2009 were as follows:

	2009 Annual
	Cash Incentive
Name	Payout
Harry H.	
Herington	\$231,727
Stephen M.	
Kovzan	\$158,550
William F.	
Bradley, Jr.	\$158,550
Robert W. Knapp	\$158,550

Long-term, equity-based incentive plan design and opportunities. As determined by the Committee, the Company's long-term, equity-based incentive plan for executive officers included in the executive compensation program established in 2008 provides for annual restricted stock grants with a service-based component and a Company performance component to compensate executives with regard to the Company's long-term growth objectives. The Committee was satisfied with the operation of the long-term incentive plan in its initial year of operation and did not make any changes to the long-term incentive plan in 2009.

Service-based Component

Under the long-term incentive plan, the annual amount of service-based restricted stock to be awarded to the Chief Executive Officer is 60% of the executive's annual base salary, and the annual amount to be awarded to the other NEOs is 50% of each executive's annual base salary, reflecting differences in the scope of duties and responsibilities of the Chief Executive Officer as compared to the other NEOs. Service-based restricted stock awards vest ratably over a four-year service period following the date of grant. There is no performance component tied to the service-based award. Mercer and the Company believe that restricted shares further the alignment of executive interests with those of stockholders, foster share ownership and wealth creation and provide significant retention value.

On February 3, 2009, the Committee granted the NEOs the following awards of service-based restricted stock for 2009 pursuant to the terms of the long-term incentive plan (the closing market price of the Company's common stock on February 3, 2009 was \$5.52 per share):

	Service-Based Restricted
Name	Shares
Harry H. Herington	41,304 shares
Stephen M. Kovzan	23,551 shares
William F. Bradley, Jr.	23,551 shares
Robert W. Knapp	23,551 shares

Performance-based Component

The performance component of the long-term incentive plan measures long-term Company performance using the following performance criteria:

- · Operating income growth (three-year compound annual growth rate) 25% weighting
- · Total revenue growth (three-year compound annual growth rate) 25% weighting
- · Cash flow return on invested capital (three-year average) 50% weighting

As compared to the short-term cash incentive plan, the long-term, equity-based incentive plan places a higher weighting on cash flow return on invested capital and a lower weighting on operating income growth, as management and the Committee believe that cash flow return on invested capital is the primary driver of stockholder value over the long term.

The plan provides for annual grants of restricted stock tied to three-year performance periods. A new three-year period is intended to begin each year. At the end of each three-year period, executives receive a number of shares per a pre-defined schedule of threshold, target and superior Company performance. Each level of performance is associated with a pre-defined payout, expressed as a percentage of base salary. The amount of restricted stock to be awarded at the end of each three-year performance period to the Chief Executive Officer for Company performance at the target levels is 60% of the executive's base salary, and the amount to be awarded to the other NEOs for Company performance at target levels is 50% of each executive's annual base salary. The plan incorporates a range of possible equity incentives above and below target performance. For the Chief Executive Officer, this range is from 30% of base salary for achieving threshold performance to 115% of base salary for achieving superior performance. For the other NEOs, this range is from 25% of base salary for achieving threshold performance to 75% of base salary for achieving superior performance. For each performance measure, no shares are awarded if threshold performance is not achieved, and no additional shares are awarded for performance in excess of the superior level. For amounts between the threshold and target levels or between the target and superior levels, straight line interpolation, rounded up to the next whole share, will be used to determine the portion of the award that becomes vested. The following table sets forth threshold, target and superior Company performance levels for the performance criteria included in the long-term incentive plan for 2009:

		Performance Lev	vels
Performance Criteria	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Operating income growth (three-year	15%	20%	25%
compound annual growth rate)			
	15%	20%	25%

Total revenue growth (three-year compound annual growth rate)			
Cash flow return on invested capital (three-year average)	15%	20%	25%
26			

Performance levels in the table above were recommended by management and approved by the Committee based on the Company's past and expected future performance. The target performance level in the table above for operating income growth is higher than the Company's performance for the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 and lower than the Company's performance for the year ended December 31, 2009. The target performance level in the table above for total revenue growth is lower than the Company's performance for the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 and for the year ended December 31, 2009. The target performance level in the table above for cash flow return on invested capital is lower than the Company's performance for the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 and for the year ended December 31, 2009.

On February 3, 2009, the Committee granted the NEOs the following awards of performance-based restricted stock for 2009 pursuant to the terms of the long-term incentive plan (the closing market price of the Company's common stock on February 3, 2009 was \$5.52 per share):

	Performance-Based
Name	Restricted Shares (1)
Harry H. Herington	79,167 shares
Stephen M. Kovzan	35,326 shares
William F. Bradley, Jr.	35,326 shares
Robert W. Knapp	35,326 shares

(1) Represents the maximum number of performance-based restricted shares able to be earned by the NEO at the end of the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2011 pursuant to the terms of the long-term incentive plan. The actual number of shares earned will be based on the Company's performance as indicated above over the three-year period ending December 31, 2011. No shares will be awarded if threshold performance is not achieved, and no additional shares will be awarded for performance in excess of the superior level.

EXECUTIVE PEROUISITES FOR 2009

Other components of executive compensation beyond base salary, annual cash incentives and long-term equity-based incentives include the perquisites discussed below. With respect to these perquisites, the Committee considered the cost of each perquisite and the total amount of compensation otherwise provided to each executive.

During 2009, the Company provided a leased vehicle and associated maintenance and fuel to Mr. Herington.

During 2009, the Company paid for an executive health assessment for Mr. Bradley at the Mayo Clinic in Scottsdale, Arizona, including associated travel costs.

During 2009, the Company paid dues for Messrs. Herington, Bradley and Knapp for the use of a health club near the Company's corporate headquarters in Olathe, Kansas.

AGREEMENTS WITH EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Each of Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Bradley has an existing employment agreement that sets forth certain levels of base salary and bonus severance compensation tied exclusively to change-in-control events. These agreements have been in place since 2000, at which time the Committee determined that such provisions, including the right of the executive to trigger severance payments by voluntarily terminating employment after a change-in-control, were appropriate considering the reasonable possibility of the Company being acquired due to its low stock price and poor financial condition, and considering the volatility and consolidation occurring within the information technology and internet services industries at that time. The Company has included change-in-control and termination provisions in the various plans and award agreements relating to incentive compensation of the NEOs. The plans and award agreements generally provide that upon a change in control, performance-based awards vest at specified levels, due to the potential lack of executive control over performance conditions after the change in control, and service-based awards do not accelerate or vest if they are assumed by the acquiring entity. Summaries of employment agreements and the change-in-control and termination provisions of award agreements for each of the NEOs are included on pages 37 to 38 in this Proxy Statement.

COMPENSATION TABLES

The following Summary Compensation Table sets forth summary information as to compensation received by the persons who served as the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer during fiscal year 2009 and each of the other executive officers whose total adjusted compensation exceeded \$100,000 during fiscal year 2009 (collectively, the "named executive officers").

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE (1)

					Non- Equity	All Other	
Name and				Stock	Incentive Plan	Compensation	
Principal				Awards	Compensation	(Including Perquisites)	Total
Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)(4)	(\$)(2)	(\$)(3)	(\$)	(\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(f)	(g)	(i)	(j)
Harry H.							ů,
Herington (5) Chairman of	2009	380,000	50,000	477,149	231,727	61,307	1,200,183
the Board and Chief Executive	2008	374,583	-	631,313	169,100	39,621	1,214,617
Officer	2007	315,000	-	25,000	110,250	75,121	525,371
Stephen M.	2000	260,000	50,000	244.070	150.550	27.057	751 205
Kovzan (6) Chief Financial	2009	260,000	50,000	244,978	158,550	37,857	751,385
Officer	2008	259,167	_	737,564	115,700	25,733	1,138,164
	2007	227,917	-	-	87,500	19,681	335,098
William F.							
Bradley, Jr. (7) Chief Operating	2009	260,000	50,000	244,978	158,550	33,162	746,689
Officer, General	2008	259,167	-	305,564	115,700	12,876	693,307
Counsel and Secretary	2007	240,167	-	-	87,500	24,989	352,656
Secretary							
Robert W.							
Knapp (8) Executive Vice President	2009	259,792	50,000	244,978	158,550	26,478	739,798

⁽¹⁾ The "Option Awards" and "Change in Pension Value and Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings" columns have been omitted from the Summary Compensation Table because the Company did not grant any stock option awards to the named executive officers in the years presented and does not provide a pension program.

- Amounts reported in the Stock Awards column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of such awards, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Amounts for 2007 and 2008 have been recomputed using the same methodology in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission rules. Pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission rules, the amounts shown reflect the probable outcome of performance conditions that affect the vesting of awards granted to the named executive officers. However, these amounts do not include an estimate of forfeitures related to time-based vesting conditions, and assume that the named executive officer will perform the requisite service to vest in the award. For assumptions used in determining these values, refer to Note 12 of the Company's financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. For additional information regarding stock awards for the named executive officers, refer to the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Last Fiscal Year" and "Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End" tables included in this Proxy Statement beginning on page 31.
- (3) For 2009, amount consists of compensation earned in 2009, based on the Company's fiscal 2009 financial performance, but paid in 2010 under the Company's annual cash incentive plan. Compensation earned equaled approximately 61% of the named executive officer's base salary as of May 2009. For 2008, amount consists of compensation earned in 2008, based on the Company's fiscal 2008 financial performance, but paid in 2009 under the Company's annual cash incentive plan. Compensation earned equaled 45% of the named executive officer's base salary as of May 2008. For 2007, amount consists of compensation earned in 2007, based on the Company's fiscal 2007 financial performance, but paid in 2008 under the Company's annual cash incentive plan. Compensation earned equaled 35% of the named executive officer's base salary as of May 2007. For additional information regarding the Company's annual cash incentive plan, refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement beginning on page 21.

- (4) Amount consists of discretionary bonus awarded by the Compensation Committee for the successful acquisition of certain eGovernment contracts in the state of Texas in 2009.
- (5) In February 2008, the Compensation Committee increased Mr. Herington's base salary from \$315,000 to \$380,000 in conjunction with his appointment to Chief Executive Officer.

For 2009, All Other Compensation for Mr. Herington consists of the following items:

Dividend equivalent of \$0.30 per share on 103,789 unvested shares of restricted stock paid by the Company in February 2009 - \$31,137;

- Leased vehicle and associated maintenance and fuel paid by the Company \$20,772;
 - Company 401(k) matching funds earned in 2009 \$8,250; and
 - Health club dues paid by the Company \$1,148.

The maximum grant date fair value of performance-based restricted stock awarded to Mr. Herington in both 2009 and 2008 was \$437,000 assuming the highest level of performance conditions was achieved, while the amounts reported in the Stock Awards column reflect the probable outcome of performance conditions. Mr. Herington did not receive any performance-based restricted stock during 2007. For additional information regarding Mr. Herington's compensation, refer to the discussion under the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement beginning on page 21.

(6) In February 2008, the Compensation Committee increased Mr. Kovzan's base salary from \$250,000 to \$260,000 and awarded Mr. Kovzan a grant of restricted stock totaling 60,000 shares in connection with his promotion to Chief Financial Officer in August 2007. The Board inadvertently failed to approve a restricted stock grant to Mr. Kovzan at the time of his promotion, as the Company was undergoing an external competitive assessment of the Company's executive compensation program during 2007. The closing market price of the Company's Common Stock on the February 4, 2008 grant date was \$7.20. The grant vests in four equal annual installments beginning on February 4, 2009.

In November 2007, the Compensation Committee approved an increase in the annual base salary and potential annual cash incentive compensation for Mr. Kovzan for fiscal year 2007 in connection with the Committee's annual review of executive compensation. The Compensation Committee increased Mr. Kovzan's annual base salary from \$183,750 to \$250,000, retroactive to May 1, 2007, and potential annual cash incentive compensation from 20% of his base salary as of May 1, 2007 to 35%. Mr. Kovzan was appointed Chief Financial Officer in August 2007, and had previously served as the Company's Vice President of Financial Operations and Chief Accounting Officer since September 2000.

For 2009, All Other Compensation for Mr. Kovzan consists of the following items:

Dividend equivalent of \$0.30 per share on 98,689 unvested shares of restricted stock paid by the Company in February 2009 - \$29,607; and

Company 401(k) matching funds earned in 2009 - \$8,250.

The maximum grant date fair value of performance-based restricted stock awarded to Mr. Kovzan in both 2009 and 2008 was \$195,000 assuming the highest level of performance conditions was achieved, while the amounts reported in the Stock Awards column reflect the probable outcome of performance conditions. Mr. Kovzan did not receive any performance-based restricted stock during 2007. For additional information regarding Mr. Kovzan's compensation, refer to the discussion under the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement beginning on page 21.

(7) In February 2008, the Compensation Committee increased Mr. Bradley's base salary from \$250,000 to \$260,000.

In November 2007, the Compensation Committee approved an increase in Mr. Bradley's annual base salary for fiscal year 2007 to \$250,000 from \$220,500, retroactive to May 1, 2007, in connection with the Committee's annual review of executive compensation.

For 2009, All Other Compensation for Mr. Bradley consists of the following items:

Dividend equivalent of \$0.30 per share on 45,735 unvested shares of restricted stock paid by the Company in February 2009 - \$13,721;

- Executive health assessment and associated travel expenses paid by the Company \$10,043.
 - Company 401(k) matching funds earned in 2009 \$8,250; and
 Health club dues paid by the Company \$1,148.

The maximum grant date fair value of performance-based restricted stock awarded to Mr. Bradley in both 2009 and 2008 was \$195,000 assuming the highest level of performance conditions was achieved, while the amounts reported in the Stock Awards column reflect the probable outcome of performance conditions. Mr. Bradley did not receive any performance-based restricted stock during 2007. For additional information regarding Mr. Bradley's compensation, refer to the discussion under the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement on page 21.

(8) On February 3, 2009, the Board of Directors of the Company appointed Mr. Knapp to the position of Executive Vice President, with an annual base salary of \$260,000. Mr. Knapp had previously served as the Company's Vice President of Portal Operations.

For 2009, All Other Compensation for Mr. Knapp consists of the following items:

- Dividend equivalent of \$0.30 per share on 56,932 unvested shares of restricted stock paid by the Company in February 2009 \$17,080;
- Company 401(k) matching funds earned in 2009 \$8,250; and
- Health club dues paid by the Company \$1,148.

The maximum grant date fair value of performance-based restricted stock awarded to Mr. Knapp in 2009 was \$195,000 assuming the highest level of performance conditions was achieved, while the amount reported in the Stock Awards column reflects the probable outcome of performance conditions. For additional information regarding Mr. Knapp's compensation, refer to the discussion under the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement beginning on page 21.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS IN LAST FISCAL YEAR

The following table sets forth information concerning grants of restricted stock awards and incentive plan awards to the named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Name (a)	Grant Date (b)	Unde	er Non-Ea ve Plan A		Ur Incenti	nder Equ ve Plan	Awards	Number of Shares of Stock	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option	of Stock
Harry H. Herington	2-3-09 2-3-09 2-3-09	95,000	190,000		20,652	41,304	-	- - 41,304	- - -	- - -	- - 228,000
Stephen M. Kovzan	2-3-09 2-3-09 2-3-09	-	130,000	195,000 - -	- 11,775 -	- 23,551 -	35,326	23,551	- - -	- - -	- - 130,000

William F.											
Bradley, Jr	. 2-3-09	65,000	130,000	195,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2-3-09	-	-	-	11,775	23,551	35,326	-	-	-	-
	2-3-09	-	-	-	-	-	-	23,551	-	-	130,000
Robert W.											
Knapp	2-3-09	65,000	130,000	195,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2-3-09	-	-	-	11,775	23,551	35,326	-	-	-	-
	2-3-09	-	-	-	-	-	-	23,551	-	-	130,000
31											

- (1) Represents a grant pursuant to the Company's 2009 annual cash incentive plan that will be paid out to each named executive officer if certain Company financial performance criteria are satisfied. The Compensation Committee determined a "target" performance level for the Company for each of three performance criteria (operating income, total revenue and cash flow return on invested capital). Performance of the Company at the target level will result in an annual cash incentive that is 50% of the named executive officer's base salary. The Committee also determined a range of possible cash incentives above and below target performance, ranging from 25% of base salary for achieving "threshold" performance to 75% of base salary for achieving "superior" performance. For amounts between the threshold and target levels or between the target and superior levels, straight line interpolation will be used. No payments are awarded under the plan if threshold performance is not achieved, and no additional payments are awarded for performance in excess of the superior level. Annual incentive payments equaling approximately 61% of base salary will be paid to Messrs. Herington, Kovzan, Bradley and Knapp in early 2010 based on the Company's actual 2009 financial performance in relation to the performance criteria and performance levels included in the annual cash incentive plan for 2009. Under the plan, the Committee retains sole discretion to reduce or eliminate an executive's bonus to reflect either (i) the executive's performance or (ii) unanticipated factors. For additional information regarding the Company's 2009 annual cash incentive plan, refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement beginning on page 21
- (2) Represents a grant of performance-based restricted stock on February 3, 2009 pursuant to the Company's 2009 long-term equity incentive plan that will vest in whole or in part on February 3, 2012 if certain Company financial performance criteria are satisfied. The plan provides for annual grants of restricted stock tied to three-year performance periods. A new three-year period is intended to begin each year. At the end of each three-year period, executives receive a number of shares per a pre-defined schedule of threshold, target and superior Company performance. The three-year performance period for this grant is the three-year period ending December 31, 2011. Each level of performance is associated with a pre-defined payout, expressed as a percentage of base salary. The amount of restricted stock to be awarded at the end of each three-year performance period to the Chief Executive Officer for Company performance at the target levels is 60% of the executive's base salary, and the amount to be awarded to the other named executive officers for Company performance at target levels is 50% of each executive's annual base salary. The plan incorporates a range of possible equity incentives above and below target performance. For the Chief Executive Officer, this range is from 30% of base salary for achieving threshold performance to 115% of base salary for achieving superior performance. For the other named executive officers, this range is from 25% of base salary for achieving threshold performance to 75% of base salary for achieving superior performance. For each performance measure, no shares are awarded if threshold performance is not achieved, and no additional shares are awarded for performance in excess of the superior level. For amounts between the threshold and target levels or between the target and superior levels, straight line interpolation, rounded up to the next whole share, will be used to determine the portion of the award that becomes vested. For additional information regarding the Company's 2009 long-term, equity-based incentive plan, refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement beginning on page 21.
- (3) Represents a grant of service-based restricted stock on February 3, 2009 to each named executive officer pursuant to the Company's 2009 long-term, equity-based incentive plan. The amount of restricted stock awarded to the Chief Executive Officer was 60% of the executive's base salary, and the amount of restricted stock awarded to the other named executive officers was 50% of each executive's base salary. The number of shares granted was based upon the closing market price of the Company's Common Stock on February 3, 2009 of \$5.52 per share. The grant vests in four equal annual installments beginning on February 3, 2010. For additional information regarding the Company's long-term, equity-based incentive plan, refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement beginning on page 21.
- (4) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of such awards, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For assumptions used in determining these values, refer to Note 12 of the Company's financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as filed with the Securities

and Exchange Commission.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

The following table sets forth information concerning outstanding restricted stock awards for the named executive officers at December 31, 2009.

	Option Awards						Stock Awards				
									Equity		
									Incentive		
								Equity	Plan		
								Incentive	Awards:		
								Plan	Market or		
			Equity					Awards:	Payout		
			Incentive					Number of	Value of		
			Plan			Number	Market	Unearned	Unearned		
			Awards:			of	Value of	Shares,	Shares,		
	Number of	Number of	Number of			Shares or	Shares or	Units or	Units or		
	Securities	Securities	Securities			Units of	Units of	Other	Other		
	Underlying	Underlying	Underlying			Stock	Stock	Rights	Rights		
	Unexercised	Unexercised	Unexercised	Option		That	That	That	That Have		
	Options	Options	Unearned	Exercise	Option	Have Not	Have Not	Have Not	Not		
	(#)	(#)	Options	Price	Expiration	Vested	Vested	Vested	Vested		
Name	Exercisable	Unexercisable	(#)	(\$)	Date	(#)	(\$)(1)	(#)	(\$)		
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)		
Harry H.	-	-	-	-	-	83,774	765,694	153,740	1,405,184		
Herington (2)											
Stephen M.	-	-	-	-	-	89,166	814,977	68,602	627,022		
Kovzan (3)											
William F.	-	-	-	-	-	45,936	419,855	68,602	627,022		
Bradley, Jr.											
(4)											
Robert W.	-	-	-	-	-	44,703	408,585	35,326	322,880		
Knapp (5)											

- (1) The closing sales price per share of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2009, was \$9.14.
- (2) At December 31, 2009, Mr. Herington directly owned the following unvested restricted stock awards:
- (i) 8,209 shares of restricted stock, which vest on July 28, 2010;
- (ii) 1,000 shares of restricted stock, which vest on October 19, 2010;
- (iii) 1,080 shares of restricted stock, which vest on October 19, 2010;
- (iv) 3,000 shares of restricted stock, which vest in three remaining equal annual installments beginning on February 4, 2010;
- (v)29,181 shares of restricted stock, which vest in three remaining equal annual installments beginning on March 4, 2010.
- (vi)41,304 shares of restricted stock, which vest in four remaining equal annual installments beginning on February 3, 2010;

(vii)

- 74,573 performance-based restricted stock awards (the maximum number of shares able to be earned at the end of the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2010) issued pursuant to an equity incentive plan which will vest in part or all on March 4, 2011, if certain Company financial performance criteria are satisfied; and
- (viii) 79,167 performance-based restricted stock awards (the maximum number of shares able to be earned at the end of the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2011) issued pursuant to an equity incentive plan which will vest in part or all on February 3, 2012, if certain Company financial performance criteria are satisfied.
- (3) At December 31, 2009, Mr. Kovzan owned the following unvested restricted stock awards:
- (i) 3,977 shares of unvested restricted stock, which vest on July 28, 2010;
- (ii) 45,000 shares of unvested restricted stock, which vest in three remaining equal annual installments beginning on February 4, 2010;
- (iii) 16,638 shares of unvested restricted stock, which vest in three remaining equal annual installments beginning on March 4, 2010;
- (iv)23,551 shares of unvested restricted stock, which vest in four remaining equal annual installments beginning on February 3, 2010;
- (v) 33,276 performance-based restricted stock awards (the maximum number of shares able to be earned at the end of the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2010) issued pursuant to an equity incentive plan which will vest in part or all on March 4, 2011, if certain Company financial performance criteria are satisfied; and
- (vi) 35,326 performance-based restricted stock awards (the maximum number of shares able to be earned at the end of the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2011) issued pursuant to an equity incentive plan which will vest in part or all on February 3, 2012, if certain Company financial performance criteria are satisfied.

- (4) At December 31, 2009, Mr. Bradley owned the following unvested restricted stock awards:
- (i) 5,747 shares of unvested restricted stock, which vest on July 28, 2010;
- (ii) 16,638 shares of unvested restricted stock, which vest in three remaining equal annual installments beginning on March 4, 2010;
- (iii) 23,551 shares of unvested restricted stock, which vest in four remaining equal annual installments beginning on February 3, 2010;
- (iv) 33,276 performance-based restricted stock awards (the maximum number of shares able to be earned at the end of the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2010) issued pursuant to an equity incentive plan which will vest in part or all on March 4, 2011, if certain Company financial performance criteria are satisfied; and
- (v) 35,326 performance-based restricted stock awards (the maximum number of shares able to be earned at the end of the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2011) issued pursuant to an equity incentive plan which will vest in part or all on February 3, 2012, if certain Company financial performance criteria are satisfied.
- (5) At December 31, 2009, Mr. Knapp owned the following unvested restricted stock awards:
- (i) 3,750 shares of unvested restricted stock, which vest on July 28, 2010;
- (ii) 2,500 shares of unvested restricted stock, which vest in on October 19, 2010;
- (iii) 6,077 shares of unvested restricted stock, which vest in three remaining equal annual installments beginning on August 1, 2010;
- (iv) 8,825 shares of unvested restricted stock, which vest in three remaining equal annual installments beginning on July 28, 2010;
- (v)23,551shares of unvested restricted stock, which vest in four remaining equal annual installments beginning on February 3, 2010; and
- (vi) 35,326 performance-based restricted stock awards (the maximum number of shares able to be earned at the end of the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2011) issued pursuant to an equity incentive plan which will vest in part or all on February 3, 2012, if certain Company financial performance criteria are satisfied.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN LAST FISCAL YEAR

The following table sets forth information concerning stock option exercises and shares of restricted stock acquired on vesting by the named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

	Option	n Awards	Stock Awards		
	Number of				
	Shares				
	Acquired		Number of		
	on Exercise	Value Realized	Shares	Value Realized	
Name	(#)	on Exercise (1)	Acquired	on Vesting (1)	
		(\$)	on Vesting (#)	(\$)	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	
Harry H. Herington			21,015	133,032	
Stephen M. Kovzan			24,523	137,292	
William F. Bradley, Jr.			11,292	70,116	
Robert W. Knapp	5,000	11,200	12,229	96,267	

(1) The "value realized" on exercise of a stock option is calculated based on the difference between the per share closing market price for our common stock on the date of exercise and the exercise price of the option multiplied by the number of options exercised. The "value realized" on vesting of a restricted stock award is calculated based on the per share closing market price for our common stock on the vesting date of the award multiplied by the number of shares vested.

The "Pension Benefits" and "Non-qualified Deferred Compensation" tables have been omitted because NIC does not provide such compensation.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

·_____

Below is certain information regarding the executive officers of the Company who are not directors. Executive officers serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors.

Name	Age	Positions with the Company
Stephen M. Kovzan	41	Chief Financial Officer
William F. Bradley, Jr.	55	Chief Operating Officer, General Counsel and Secretary
Robert W. Knapp	41	Executive Vice President

Stephen M. Kovzan has served as the Company's Chief Financial Officer since August 2007. Mr. Kovzan joined the Company in October 1999 and served as the Company's Controller until September 2000, at which time he became the Company's Vice President of Financial Operations and Chief Accounting Officer, serving as such until August 2007. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Kovzan served as a business assurance manager with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Mr. Kovzan is a Certified Public Accountant and holds a B.S. in business administration from the University of Tulsa and an M.S. in business from the University of Kansas.

William F. Bradley, Jr. has served as the Company's Secretary since May 1998, General Counsel since July 1998 and Chief Operating Officer since May 2006. In addition, Mr. Bradley served as a director from May 1998 to February 1999, and Executive Vice President of Strategy, Policy and Legal from July 1998 to May 2006. From January 1995 to the present, he has served in various executive capacities with the Company's subsidiaries, including President and CEO of Indiana Interactive from September 1995 to May 2001. Prior to joining the Company in 1995, he was engaged in the private practice of law in Kansas for fourteen years. From June 1987 to September 1993, as a volunteer, Mr. Bradley organized and led the ad hoc group that created the Information Network of Kansas (INK), a quasi-state entity. He served as INK's first chairman as it procured and then oversaw the outsourced state portal contracts in Kansas. Mr. Bradley holds a B.A. degree in English from the University of Kansas, and a J.D. degree from the University of Kansas School of Law.

Robert W. Knapp was appointed to the position of Executive Vice President in February 2009. Mr. Knapp joined the Company in November 1999 and has served in various management capacities, including Director of Marketing for the Company's Indiana portal subsidiary, President and General Manager of the Company's Kansas portal subsidiary, Regional Manager, and most recently Vice President of Portal Operations. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Knapp was a director of information systems with Alltel. Mr. Knapp holds a B.S. in business administration and an M.B.A from the University of Tulsa.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table provides information regarding securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights and securities available for issuance under the Company's equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2009:

	A	В	C	
	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of options, warrants and rights outstanding as of	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities available for issuance as of December 31,	
Plan Category	December 31, 2009	shown in column A	2009	
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders: - Stock options	71,625	\$5.83	5,261,931see Note	
- Stock options	71,025	ψ3.03	(1)	
- Employee stock purchase plan	see Note (2)	see Note (2)	1,870,226	
Equity compensation plans not				
approved by stockholders (3)	14,683	\$2.03	2,399	
Total	86,308	\$5.18	7,239,779	

- (1) In May 2009, the NIC plan was modified, as approved by the Company's Board of Directors and shareholders, to increase the number of shares the Company is authorized to grant under the NIC plan by 5,000,000 common shares. The amount shown excludes 1,403,116 shares subject to outstanding unvested restricted stock awards.
- (2) March 31, 2009 was the purchase date of Common Stock for the most recently completed offering period under the Company's stock purchase plan. Therefore, as of such date, no purchase rights were outstanding. The purchase price for the offering period ended March 31, 2009 was \$4.42 per share, and the total number of shares purchased was 105,223.
- (3) In connection with the Company's acquisition of SDR Technologies, Inc. in May 2000, the Company adopted the 1999 Stock Option Plan of SDR Technologies, Inc. (the "SDR Plan"). Options to purchase 227,566 shares were granted in connection with the acquisition of SDR. However, no options in addition to those granted at the close of the SDR transaction will be granted under this plan. The SDR Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. The SDR Plan terminated at the close of business on December 31, 2009. Termination of the SDR Plan did not affect any option previously granted.

EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS AND SEVERANCE PAYMENTS

Employment Agreements

Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Bradley are named executive officers of NIC. The officers have entered into employment agreements with NIC, each dated as of September 1, 2000, that have substantially the same terms, except with respect to their job titles and the annual salary payable to each officer. Mr. Herington currently serves as the Company's Chief Executive Officer, with a current annual salary of \$391,400. Mr. Kovzan currently serves as the Company's Chief Financial Officer, with a current annual salary of \$267,800. Mr. Bradley currently serves as the Company's Chief Operating Officer, General Counsel and Secretary, with a current annual salary of \$267,800. Mr. Knapp does not have an employment agreement with NIC.

Under the employment agreements, the Company may terminate the executive's employment at any time for cause or the executive may voluntarily terminate his employment at any time and for any reason. If the executive is terminated for cause, or the executive voluntarily terminates his employment outside the context of a "change of control" of the Company, the executive will not be entitled to any severance pay or similar such pay. The executive will only be entitled to receive the compensation earned by the executive prior to and including the date of termination. Cause is defined in the agreements as: (a) indictment or conviction for any felony or crime involving dishonesty; (b) willful participation in any fraud against the Company; (c) willful breach of the executive's duties to the Company; (d) intentional damage to any of the Company's property; or (e) conduct by the executive which the Company's Board of Directors determines to be inappropriate for his position. As described further below, the executive may be entitled to certain severance pay after the executive's voluntary termination after a "change in control" of the Company.

Under the employment agreements, the Company may terminate the executive's employment at any time without cause and the executive will not be entitled to severance pay, except as provided in the Company's severance benefit plan, if any, in effect on the termination date. The Company does not currently maintain a severance benefit plan.

In the event the executive's employment is terminated without cause in connection with or in contemplation of a "change in control" of the Company, or if the executive voluntarily terminates his employment within six months of a change in control, the executive is entitled to receive a severance payment in accordance with the terms of the employment agreement. Under the employment agreements, a change in control will be deemed to have occurred if any person (other than a trustee or a fiduciary holding securities under the Company's employee benefit plan) who is not a beneficial owner (as that term is defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act) of 5% or more of the Company's Common Stock as of the date of the executive's employment agreement becomes the beneficial owner of 40% or more of the Company's Common Stock, or the stockholders approve a merger or consolidation of the Company with another company, other than a merger or consolidation in which the stockholders of the Company own 50% or more of the voting stock of the surviving corporation, the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company or the liquidation or dissolution of the Company.

Upon a change in control, the employment agreements provide that the executive is entitled to receive a severance payment equal to the product of the number of full years the executive was employed with the Company times the sum of (a) one month's salary and (b) one-twelfth times the annual bonus earned by the executive for the last complete calendar year or year of employment, whichever is greater. The amount of any severance payment to the executive may be reduced (but not below zero) if such payment is determined by the Company's certified public accountants to be nondeductible by the Company for federal income tax purposes because of Section 280G of the Code, in which case, the amount payable by the Company will be the maximum amount payable without causing such payment to be nondeductible by the Company. The employment agreements also provide that all stock options held by the executive will vest upon a change in control.

Mr. Herington commenced employment with the Company on August 4, 1995. Had Mr. Herington's employment been terminated without cause in connection with or in contemplation of a change in control on December 31, 2009 or had Mr. Herington voluntarily terminated his employment on such date within six months after a change in control, Mr. Herington would have been entitled to a cash severance payment totaling approximately \$713,682. Mr. Herington did not have any unvested stock options outstanding at December 31, 2009.

Mr. Kovzan commenced employment with the Company on October 25, 1999. Had Mr. Kovzan's employment been terminated without cause in connection with or in contemplation of a change in control on December 31, 2009 or had Mr. Kovzan voluntarily terminated his employment on such date within six months after a change in control, Mr. Kovzan would have been entitled to a cash severance payment totaling approximately \$348,792. Mr. Kovzan did not have any unvested stock options outstanding at December 31, 2009.

Mr. Bradley commenced employment with the Company on January 1, 1995. Had Mr. Bradley's employment been terminated without cause in connection with or in contemplation of a change in control on December 31, 2009 or had Mr. Bradley voluntarily terminated his employment on such date within six months after a change in control, Mr. Bradley would have been entitled to a cash severance payment totaling approximately \$488,309. Mr. Bradley did not have any unvested stock options outstanding at December 31, 2009.

Messrs. Herington, Kovzan, Bradley, and Knapp have each entered into a proprietary information and inventions agreement and a non-competition agreement. If the executive's employment with the Company terminates for any reason, the agreements provide collectively that the executive: (a) will not use any of the Company's proprietary information without the Company's prior written consent; (b) will not use any confidential information to compete against the Company or any of the Company's employees; and (c) will not, for three years following termination, solicit any of the Company's employees or customers.

Restricted Stock Agreements

Messrs. Herington, Kovzan, Bradley and Knapp have entered into two forms of restricted stock agreements for each year awards are granted that govern the terms of each of the executive's restricted stock awards granted under the Company's 2006 Amended and Restated Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). One form of agreement governs the terms of the executive's service-based restricted stock awards (the "Service-Based Restricted Stock Agreement"). The service-based restricted stock awards do not contain a performance component and vest ratably over a four-year service period following the date of grant. The other form of agreement governs the terms of the executive's performance-based restricted stock awards (the "Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreement"). The performance-based restricted stock awards are tied to a three-year performance period and the actual number of shares, if any, vested at the end of the period is based on pre-established Company performance goals.

Each Service-Based Restricted Stock Agreement is the same form of agreement entered into by all recipients of service-based restricted stock awards granted under the Plan. If the executive's employment is terminated for any reason, including retirement, death or disability, all outstanding unvested shares of restricted stock under the Service-Based Restricted Stock Agreement are forfeited. The Service-Based Restricted Stock Agreement provides that all outstanding unvested restricted shares will automatically become fully vested in the event (a) of a dissolution, liquidation or sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company, or (b) the Company is not the surviving corporation in any merger, consolidation, or reorganization; provided, however, that no such automatic vesting will occur if and to the extent (a) the Service-Based Restricted Stock Agreement is, in connection with the transaction, assumed by the successor corporation, or (b) the restricted shares are replaced with a cash incentive program of the successor corporation which preserves the fair market value of the restricted shares at the time of the transaction and provides for subsequent pay-out in accordance with a vesting schedule specified under the Service-Based Restricted Stock Agreement.

As of December 31, 2009, (a) Mr. Herington owned 83,774 service-based restricted shares that had an aggregate market value of \$765,694, (b) Mr. Kovzan owned 89,166 service-based restricted shares that had an aggregate market value of \$814,977, (c) Mr. Bradley owned 45,936 service-based restricted shares that had an aggregate market value of \$419,855, and (d) Mr. Knapp owned 44,703 service-based restricted shares that had an aggregate market value of \$408,585. If a change in control occurred on December 31, 2009, and the successor corporation did not assume the shares or otherwise provide substitute cash payments, the service-based restricted shares would have automatically become fully vested.

The Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreements entered into by each executive are substantially the same form, except for provisions regarding the number of shares to be awarded at the end of the performance period. If the executive's employment is terminated for any reason, other than death, disability or following a change in control, all undelivered shares of restricted stock under the Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreement are forfeited. If the executive's employment is terminated for death or disability, the executive is entitled to a pro rata portion of the undelivered shares based upon the number of months the executive was employed during the performance period and the Company's actual performance.

The definition of "change in control" under the Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreement is the same as under the Service-Based Restricted Stock Agreement. If a change in control occurs on or prior to the first anniversary of the grant date, (a) all outstanding unvested restricted shares will automatically become fully vested as if the Company achieved its "target" performance goal (as defined in the Agreement) and (b) the shares are payable prior to the change in control, or, if the surviving entity assumes the Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreement, at the end of the performance period. If a change in control occurs after the first anniversary of the grant date, (a) all outstanding unvested restricted shares will automatically become fully vested based on the Company's actual performance as if the performance period ended on December 31 immediately preceding the change in control date, adjusted for the shortened performance period, and (b) the shares are payable prior to the change in control, or, if the surviving entity assumes the Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreement, at the end of the performance period. In each case, if the surviving entity assumes the Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreement, and the executive is terminated prior to the end of the applicable performance period, the executive will be immediately entitled to payment of the shares under certain circumstances.

If a change in control occurred on December 31, 2009, the transaction would have occurred prior to the second anniversary of the grant date of the outstanding performance-based restricted shares held by Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Bradley, which were granted on March 4, 2008 and prior to the first anniversary of the grant date of the outstanding performance-based restricted shares held by all the executives, which were granted on February 3, 2009. Accordingly, the outstanding performance-based shares would become fully vested as if the Company achieved its "target" performance goal (as defined in the Agreement). Assuming the change in control, as of December 31, 2009, (a) Mr. Herington would own 80,212 performance-based restricted shares that had an aggregate market value of \$733,138, (b) Mr. Kovzan would own 45,735 performance-based restricted shares that had an aggregate market value

of \$418,018, (c) Mr. Bradley would own 45,735 performance-based restricted shares that had an aggregate market value of \$418,018 and (d) Mr. Knapp would own 23,551 performance-based restricted shares that had an aggregate market value of \$215,256.

Annual Incentive Plan

Messrs. Herington, Kovzan, Bradley, and Knapp are each eligible participants under the Company's annual cash incentive plan, which provides each executive with an annual cash incentive payment generally based on a percentage of their base salary if and to the extent pre-established Company performance goals are met for a given one-year performance period. The performance goals and potential payment amounts are established on an annual basis.

Under the plan, if the executive voluntarily terminates his employment or if the executive's employment is terminated for "cause," all amounts payable to the executive under the annual cash incentive plan are forfeited. The plan references the executive's employment agreement for the definition of "cause." If the executive's employment is terminated prior to the end of the performance period due to death, disability or retirement, the executive will be entitled to pro rata portion of the annual cash incentive payment based upon the number of days the executive was employed during the performance period and the Company's actual performance. Upon a "change in control," which the plan defines substantially the same as the employment agreements, the executive is immediately entitled to his annual cash incentive payment as if the Company achieved its "target" performance goal (as provided in the plan).

If a change in control occurred December 31, 2009, the executives would be entitled to the following payments based on the 2009 "target" performance goal: (a) Mr. Herington would be entitled to \$190,000, (b) Mr. Kovzan would be entitled to \$130,000, (c) Mr. Bradley would be entitled to \$130,000 and (d) Mr. Knapp would be entitled to \$130,000.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

-___-

The following table sets forth, as of February 28, 2010, certain information about shares of the Company's Common Stock beneficially owned by (i) each director; (ii) each stockholder who the Company knows is a beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of the Company's Common Stock (based on SEC filings); (iii) the named executive officers, and (iv) all directors and executive officers as a group. Unless otherwise provided in the table below, the mailing address of the 5% beneficial owners is NIC Inc., 25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300, Olathe, Kansas 66061.

	Shares Beneficially	
	Owned(1)	
	Number	Percentage
Ross C. Hartley (2)	4,768,076	7.4%
Brown Capital Management, Inc. (3)	3,250,714	5.1%
William F. Bradley, Jr. (4)	1,407,724	2.2%
Harry H. Herington (5)	999,965	1.6%
Stephen M. Kovzan (6)	119,235	*
Robert W. Knapp (7)	70,938	*
Art N. Burtscher (8)	193,257	*
Daniel J. Evans (9)	149,868	*
Pete Wilson (10)	67,879	*
Alexander C. Kemper (11)	28,664	*
William M. Lyons (12)	8,160	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (10 persons)(13)	7,773,766	12.3%

- * Less than 1%
- (1) This table is based upon information supplied by officers, directors, principal stockholders and the Company's transfer agent, and contained in Schedules 13D and 13G filed with the SEC. Unless otherwise noted in the footnotes to this table, the Company believes each of the stockholders named in this table has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares indicated as beneficially owned. Applicable percentages are based on 64,340,255 shares of the Company's Common Stock outstanding as of February 28, 2010, adjusted as required by the rules promulgated by the SEC.
- (2) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Hartley include 13,674 shares directly owned, 4,428,757 shares owned by a limited liability company controlled by Mr. Hartley's wife, 296,125 shares held in a trust for the benefit of Mr. Hartley's son for which Mr. Hartley is the trustee, 19,520 shares of unvested restricted stock and 10,000 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of February 28, 2010.
- (3) Shares beneficially owned by Brown Capital Management, Inc. include 3,250,714 shares owned by various investment advisory clients of Brown Capital Management, Inc., which is deemed to be a beneficial owner of those shares pursuant to Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 due to its discretionary power to make investment decisions over such shares for its clients and its ability to vote such shares.
- (4) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Bradley include 1,407,724 shares directly owned, including 56,104 shares of unvested restricted stock.
- (5) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Herington include 999,965 shares directly owned, including 100,606 shares of unvested restricted stock and 27,758 shares held for the benefit of Mr. Herington's minor children.

- (6) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Kovzan include 119,234 shares directly owned, including 84,334 shares of unvested restricted stock.
- (7) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Knapp include 70,938 shares directly owned including 54,871 shares of unvested restricted stock.
- (8) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Burtscher include 193,257 shares directly owned (including 19,520 shares of unvested restricted stock) and 10,000 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of February 28, 2010.
- (9) Shares beneficially owned by Governor Evans include 149,868 shares directly owned (including 19,520 shares of unvested restricted stock) and 10,000 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of February 28, 2010.
- (10) Shares beneficially owned by Governor Wilson include 67,879 shares directly owned (including 19,520 shares of unvested restricted stock) and 10,000 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of February 28, 2010.
- (11) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Kemper include 28,664 shares directly owned, including 20,334 shares of unvested restricted stock.
- (12) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Lyons include 8,160 shares directly owned including 3,160 shares of unvested restricted stock.
- (13) Shares held by all executive officers and directors as a group include 397,489 shares of unvested restricted stock and 40,000 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of February 28, 2010.

The SEC requires the Company's directors and officers, and stockholders who own more than 5% of the Company's Common Stock, to report their ownership of the Company's Common Stock and any changes in that ownership to the SEC and NASDAQ. Officers and directors, and stockholders owning more than 5% of the Company's Common Stock, must provide the Company with copies of all such forms that they file.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Based solely on review of the copies of such reports provided to the Company, the Company believes that all required filings in 2009 were made in a timely fashion, except for one filing made by Mr. Hartley, which was not timely filed.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

None of the persons who served on the Company's Compensation Committee during the last completed fiscal year (Art. N. Burtscher, Daniel J. Evans, Alexander C. Kemper, William M. Lyons and Pete Wilson) (i) was formerly an officer of the Company; (ii) during the last fiscal year, was an officer or employee of the Company; or (iii) had any relationship requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K.

None of the Company's executive officers, during the last completed fiscal year, served as a (i) member of the compensation committee of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on the Company's Compensation Committee; (ii) director of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on the Company's Compensation Committee; or (iii) member of the compensation committee of another entity, one of whose executive officers served as the Company's director.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

POLICY AND PROCEDURES WITH RESPECT TO RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

NIC has adopted a written policy governing the review, approval or ratification of "Related Person Transactions," as described below (the "Policy").

Related Person Transactions

For the purposes of the Policy, a "Related Person Transaction" is a transaction, arrangement or relationship (or any series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships) in which the Company (including any of its subsidiaries) was, is or will be a participant and the amount involved exceeds, or is anticipated to exceed, \$120,000 in the aggregate, and in which any Related Person had, has or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

For purposes of the Policy, a "Related Person" means: (1) any person who is, or at any time since the beginning of NIC's last fiscal year was, a director or executive officer of NIC or a nominee to become a director of NIC; (2) any person who is known to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of any class of NIC's voting securities; (3) any immediate family member of any of the foregoing persons (as defined in the Policy) and any person (other than a tenant or employee) sharing the household of any of the foregoing persons; and (4) any firm, corporation or other entity in which any of the foregoing persons is employed or is a general partner or principal or in a similar position or in which such person has a 5% or greater beneficial ownership interest.

Approval Procedures

Related Person Transactions that are identified as such prior to their consummation or amendment shall be consummated or amended only if the following steps are taken:

- (1) Prior to entering into the Related Person Transaction (a) the Related Person, (b) the director, executive officer, nominee or beneficial owner who is an immediate family member of the Related Person, or (c) the business unit or function/department leader responsible for the potential Related Person Transaction shall provide notice to the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee (the "Committee") of the facts and circumstances of the proposed Related Person Transaction, including certain information specified in the Policy. The Committee will assess whether the proposed transaction is a Related Person Transaction for purposes of this policy.
- (2) If the Committee determines that the proposed transaction involves an aggregate amount in excess of \$120,000 and is a Related Person Transaction, the proposed Related Person Transaction shall be submitted to the Committee for consideration at the next Committee meeting or, in those instances in which the Committee, in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer or the Chief Financial Officer, determines that it is not practicable or desirable for NIC to wait until the next Committee meeting, to the Chair of the Committee (who will possess delegated authority to act between Committee meetings).
- (3) The Committee, or where submitted to the Chair, the Chair, shall consider all of the relevant facts and circumstances available to the Committee or the Chair. No member of the Committee shall participate in any review, consideration or approval of any Related Person Transaction with respect to which such member or any of his or her immediate family members is the Related Person. The Committee (or the Chair) shall approve only those Related Person Transactions that are in, or are not inconsistent with, the best interests of NIC and its stockholders, as the Committee (or the Chair) determines in good faith.

(4) The Chair of the Committee shall report to the Committee at the next Committee meeting any approval under this policy pursuant to delegated authority.

Ratification Procedures

Under the Policy, the Company's accounting department, under the supervision of the Chief Financial Officer, shall produce periodic reports as the Chair of the Committee shall direct, but no less often than annually, of any amounts paid or payable to, or received or receivable from, any Related Person.

In the event the Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer, or any other executive officer becomes aware, as a result of the reports described above or otherwise, of a Related Person Transaction that has not been previously approved or previously ratified under the Policy:

- (1) If the transaction is pending or ongoing, it will be submitted to the Committee or Chair of the Committee promptly, and the Committee or Chair shall consider all of the relevant facts and circumstances available to the Committee or the Chair. The Committee shall not ratify any Related Person Transaction that is not in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. Based on this analysis, the Committee or the Chair shall evaluate all options, including but not limited to ratification, amendment or termination of the Related Person Transaction; and
- (2) If the transaction is completed, the Committee or Chair shall evaluate the transaction, taking into account all of the relevant facts and circumstances available to the Committee or Chair, to determine if rescission of the transaction and/or any disciplinary action is appropriate, and shall request an evaluation of NIC's controls and procedures to ascertain the reason the transaction was not submitted to the Committee or Chair for prior approval and whether any changes to these procedures are recommended.

Review of Ongoing Transactions

At the Committee's first meeting of each fiscal year, the Committee shall review any previously approved or ratified Related Person Transactions that remain ongoing and have a remaining term of more than six months or remaining amounts payable to or receivable from NIC of more than \$120,000 in the aggregate. Based on all relevant facts and circumstances, taking into consideration NIC's contractual obligations, the Committee shall determine if it is in the best interests of NIC and its stockholders to continue, modify or terminate the Related Person Transaction.

Charitable Contributions

Proposed charitable contributions, or pledges of charitable contributions, by NIC to a charitable or non-profit organization identified on the roster of Related Persons shall be subject to prior review and approval by the Committee at the next Committee meeting or, in those instances in which the Committee, in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer or the Chief Financial Officer, determines that it is not practicable or desirable for the Company to wait until the next Committee meeting, by the Chair. In addition, each named executive officer (as defined above) shall report to the Committee on a quarterly basis, charitable contributions in excess of \$120,000, in the aggregate, by NIC's named executive officers and their spouses to charitable or non-profit organizations identified on the roster of Related Persons.

Disclosure

All Related Person Transactions that are required to be disclosed in NIC's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, as required by the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and related rules and regulations, shall be so disclosed in accordance with such laws, rules and regulations.

OTHER BUSINESS

The Board of Directors knows of no other business which will be presented at the meeting. If any other business is properly brought before the Annual Meeting, the proxies in the enclosed form will be voted by the persons voting the proxies.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU INTEND TO BE PRESENT AT THE ANNUAL MEETING, YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO FILL OUT, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY AT YOUR EARLIEST CONVENIENCE.

By order of the Board of Directors:

William F. Bradley, Jr. Corporate Secretary Olathe, Kansas March 26, 2010

25501 WEST VALLEY PARKWAY SUITE 300 OLATHE, KS 66061

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com/nic

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the meeting date (the cut-off date). Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE SHAREHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by NIC Inc. in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access shareholder communications electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.