

ALEXANDERS J CORP  
Form 10-Q  
May 13, 2009

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For quarterly period ended March 29, 2009**

**or**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.**

**Commission file number: 1-8766**

**J. ALEXANDER S CORPORATION**

*(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)*

**Tennessee**

*(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)*

**62-0854056**

*(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)*

**3401 West End Avenue, Suite 260**

**P.O. Box 24300**

**Nashville, Tennessee**

*(Address of principal executive offices)*

**37202**

*(Zip Code)*

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(615) 269-1900**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting  
company

*(Do not check if a smaller  
reporting company)*

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of May 12, 2009, 6,754,860 shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$.05 par value, were outstanding.



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1. Financial Statements

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 4T. Controls and Procedures

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Item 6. Exhibits

**SIGNATURES**

**J. ALEXANDER'S CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

EX-31.1 Section 302 Certification of the CEO

EX-31.2 Section 302 Certification of the CFO

EX-32.1 Section 906 Certification of the CEO and CFO

---

**Table of Contents**

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

**Item 1. Financial Statements****J. Alexander's Corporation and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets****(Unaudited in thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

	<b>March 29 2009</b>	December 28 2008
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,838	\$ 2,505
Accounts and notes receivable	3,898	3,872
Inventories	1,170	1,370
Deferred income taxes	1,098	1,098
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,527	1,597
<b>TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS</b>	<b>10,531</b>	10,442
<b>OTHER ASSETS</b>	<b>1,536</b>	1,455
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$52,414 and \$50,882 at March 29, 2009 and December 28, 2008, respectively	85,298	86,547
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	6,459	6,459
DEFERRED CHARGES, less accumulated amortization of \$747 and \$709 at March 29, 2009 and December 28, 2008, respectively	638	666
	<b>\$ 104,462</b>	\$ 105,569

**Table of Contents**

	<b>March 29 2009</b>	December 28 2008
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 4,371	\$ 6,141
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	4,712	3,951
Unearned revenue	1,386	1,978
Current portion of long-term debt and obligations under capital leases	956	948
<b>TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>	<b>11,425</b>	13,018
<b>LONG-TERM DEBT AND OBLIGATIONS UNDER CAPITAL LEASES, net of portion classified as current</b>	<b>20,164</b>	20,401
<b>OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES</b>	<b>8,931</b>	8,754
<b>STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Common Stock, par value \$.05 per share: Authorized 10,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 6,754,860 shares at March 29, 2009 and December 28, 2008	338	338
Preferred Stock, no par value: Authorized 1,000,000 shares; none issued		
Additional paid-in capital	36,563	36,469
Retained earnings	27,041	26,589
<b>TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>	<b>63,942</b>	63,396
Commitments and Contingencies		
	<b>\$ 104,462</b>	\$ 105,569

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**J. Alexander's Corporation and Subsidiaries**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income**  
**(Unaudited in thousands, except per share amounts)**

	Quarter Ended	
	<b>March</b>	March 30
	<b>29</b>	2008
	<b>2009</b>	2008
Net sales	<b>\$ 38,065</b>	\$ 37,486
Costs and expenses:		
Cost of sales	<b>11,953</b>	12,048
Restaurant labor and related costs	<b>12,736</b>	11,699
Depreciation and amortization of restaurant property and equipment	<b>1,668</b>	1,445
Other operating expenses	<b>8,449</b>	7,412
Total restaurant operating expenses	<b>34,806</b>	32,604
General and administrative expenses	<b>2,348</b>	2,533
Pre-opening expense		44
Operating income	<b>911</b>	2,305
Other income (expense):		
Interest expense	<b>(479)</b>	(452)
Interest income	<b>1</b>	62
Other, net	<b>14</b>	17
Total other expense	<b>(464)</b>	(373)
Income before income taxes	<b>447</b>	1,932
Income tax benefit (provision)	<b>5</b>	(356)
Net income	<b>\$ 452</b>	\$ 1,576
Basic earnings per share	<b>\$ .07</b>	\$ .24
Diluted earnings per share	<b>\$ .07</b>	\$ .23

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****J. Alexander's Corporation and Subsidiaries  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(Unaudited in thousands)**

	Quarter Ended	
	<b>March 29 2009</b>	March 30 2008
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	<b>\$ 452</b>	\$ 1,576
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment	<b>1,682</b>	1,461
Changes in working capital accounts	<b>(485)</b>	(520)
Other operating activities	<b>371</b>	280
Net cash provided by operating activities	<b>2,020</b>	2,797
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of property and equipment	<b>(862)</b>	(1,669)
Other investing activities	<b>(74)</b>	(71)
Net cash used in investing activities	<b>(936)</b>	(1,740)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds under bank line of credit agreement	<b>200</b>	
Payments under bank line of credit agreement	<b>(200)</b>	
Payments on debt and obligations under capital leases	<b>(229)</b>	(234)
Decrease in bank overdraft	<b>(522)</b>	(876)
Payment of cash dividend		(666)
Other		57
Net cash used in financing activities	<b>(751)</b>	(1,719)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	<b>333</b>	(662)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	<b>2,505</b>	11,325
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<b>\$ 2,838</b>	\$ 10,663
Supplemental disclosures of non-cash items:		
Property and equipment obligations accrued at beginning of period	<b>\$ 558</b>	\$ 610
Property and equipment obligations accrued at end of period	<b>\$ 208</b>	\$ 870
See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.		



**Table of Contents****J. Alexander's Corporation and Subsidiaries****Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note A Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and rules of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (including normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the quarter ended March 29, 2009, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending January 3, 2010. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the J. Alexander's Corporation (the Company's) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 28, 2008.

Net income and comprehensive income are the same for all periods presented.

**Note B Earnings Per Share**

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Quarter Ended	
	<b>March 29 2009</b>	March 30 2008
(In thousands, except per share amounts)		
<b>Numerator:</b>		
Net income (numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share)	<b>\$ 452</b>	\$ 1,576
<b>Denominator:</b>		
Weighted average shares (denominator for basic earnings per share)	<b>6,755</b>	6,663
Effect of dilutive securities	<b>4</b>	214
Adjusted weighted average shares (denominator for diluted earnings per share)	<b>6,759</b>	6,877
Basic earnings per share	<b>\$ .07</b>	\$ .24
Diluted earnings per share	<b>\$ .07</b>	\$ .23

The calculation of diluted earnings per share excludes stock options for the purchase of 988,000 shares and 385,000 shares of the Company's common stock for the quarters ended March 29, 2009 and March 30, 2008, respectively, because the effect of their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

**Note C Income Taxes**

In accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting (APB 28) and Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 18, Accounting for Income Taxes in Interim Periods—an interpretation of APB Opinion No. 28 (FIN 18), at the end of each interim period, the Company is required to determine the best estimate of its annual effective tax rate and then apply that rate in providing for income taxes on an interim period. However, in certain circumstances where it is difficult to make an estimate of the annual effective tax rate,

**Table of Contents**

FIN 18 allows the actual effective tax rate for the interim period to be used in the interim period. For the quarter ended March 29, 2009, the Company calculated its effective rate on the interim period results because it was unable to reasonably estimate its annual effective rate primarily because of the significant impact of FICA tip tax credits on the effective rate within the range of pre-tax results estimated by the Company for the full year.

The Company's estimated effective income tax rate was 18.4% for the first quarter of 2008. This rate was lower than the statutory federal rate of 34% due primarily to the effect of FICA tip tax credits, with the effect of those credits being partially offset by the effect of state income taxes.

**Note D Commitments and Contingencies**

The Company is the subject of a lawsuit, Joan Lidgett et al. v. J. Alexander's Corporation, filed in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas in April 2009, by an employee. The plaintiff alleges that the Company violated federal wage laws and seeks compensation for servers at the Company's restaurant in Kansas City based upon allegations that the Company's tip share pool was not correctly administered. Based upon the Company's review of its practices at that restaurant to date, the Company believes that the claim arises from a single employee at the restaurant whose right to participate in the tip share pool is in question. The Company is currently in the process of responding to the plaintiff's complaint and intends to defend the allegations vigorously. While the potential financial impact of this case is not determinable at this time, the Company expects that it may incur significant expense in defending or settling the claims associated with this litigation. No accrual for this contingency has been made in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

As a result of the disposition of its Wendy's operations in 1996, the Company remains secondarily liable for certain real property leases with remaining terms of one to seven years. The total estimated amount of lease payments remaining on these ten leases at March 29, 2009, was approximately \$1.8 million. Also, in connection with the sale of its Mrs. Winner's Chicken & Biscuit restaurant operations in 1989 and certain previous dispositions, the Company remains secondarily liable for certain real property leases with remaining terms of one to five years. The total estimated amount of lease payments remaining on these 14 leases at March 29, 2009, was approximately \$1.6 million. Additionally, in connection with the previous disposition of certain other Wendy's restaurant operations, primarily the southern California restaurants in 1982, the Company remains secondarily liable for real property leases with remaining terms of one to five years. The total estimated amount of lease payments remaining on these six leases as of March 29, 2009, was approximately \$400,000.

The Company is from time to time subject to routine litigation incidental to its business. The Company believes that the results of such legal proceedings will not have a materially adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, operating results or liquidity.

**Note E Line of Credit Agreement**

The Company maintains a secured bank line of credit agreement which provides up to \$10 million of credit availability for financing capital expenditures related to the development of new restaurants and for general operating purposes. The line of credit is secured by mortgages on the real estate of two of the Company's restaurant locations with an aggregate book value of \$7.0 million at March 29, 2009, and the Company has also agreed not to encumber, sell or transfer four other fee-owned properties. As of the end of the first quarter of 2009, the Company was not in compliance with the minimum Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio (as defined in the loan

**Table of Contents**

agreement) and Adjusted Debt to EBITDAR Ratio (as defined in the loan agreement) and has obtained a waiver of these covenants for the quarter. The maturity date of this credit facility is July 1, 2009. There were no borrowings outstanding under the agreement as of March 29, 2009, or subsequent to that time through May 12, 2009.

**Note F Shareholder Rights Plan**

Effective April 28, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors amended the Company's existing shareholder rights plan by extending the final expiration date to May 31, 2012, and by revising the definition of acquiring person so that Solidus Company, L.P. and its affiliates are no longer specifically excluded from becoming an acquiring person. E. Townes Duncan, a director of the Company, is the Chief Executive Officer of Solidus General Partner, LLC which is the general partner of Solidus Company, L.P.

**Note G Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets* ( FSP 142-3 ). FSP 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* and requires enhanced related disclosures. FSP 142-3 must be applied prospectively to all intangible assets acquired as of and subsequent to fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Adoption of FSP 142-3 at the beginning of fiscal 2009 had no impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* ( SFAS 161 ). SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities and is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. Adoption of SFAS 161 at the beginning of fiscal 2009 had no impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157* ( FSP 157-2 ), which delayed the effective date of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157 ( SFAS 157 ) for most nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. Adoption of SFAS 157 at the beginning of fiscal 2009 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities had no impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**  
**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Overview**

J. Alexander's Corporation (the Company) operates upscale casual dining restaurants. At March 29, 2009, the Company operated 33 J. Alexander's restaurants in 13 states. The Company's net sales are derived primarily from the sale of food and alcoholic beverages in its restaurants.

The Company's strategy is for J. Alexander's restaurants to compete in the restaurant industry by providing guests with outstanding professional service, high-quality food, and an attractive environment with an upscale, high-energy ambiance. Quality is emphasized throughout J. Alexander's operations and substantially all menu items are prepared on the restaurant premises using fresh, high-quality ingredients. The Company's goal is for each J. Alexander's restaurant to be perceived by guests in its market as a market leader in each of the categories above. J. Alexander's restaurants offer a contemporary American menu designed to appeal to a wide range of consumer tastes. The Company believes, however, that its restaurants are most popular with more discriminating guests with higher discretionary incomes. J. Alexander's typically does not advertise in the media and relies on each restaurant to increase sales by building its reputation as an outstanding dining establishment. The Company has generally been successful in achieving sales increases in its restaurants over time using this strategy. In the current recession, however, the Company is experiencing decreases in same store sales as is further discussed under Net Sales, and these decreases are having a significant negative impact on the Company's profitability. Management believes it will be difficult to increase, or even maintain, same store sales levels until consumers regain their confidence and consumer spending improves. In addition, some of the Company's newer restaurants are experiencing difficulties in building sales in the current economic environment.

The restaurant industry is highly competitive and is often affected by changes in consumer tastes and discretionary spending patterns; changes in general economic conditions; public safety conditions or concerns; demographic trends; weather conditions; the cost of food products, labor and energy; and governmental regulations. Because of these factors, the Company's management believes it is of critical importance to the Company's success to effectively execute the Company's operating strategy and to constantly evolve and refine the critical conceptual elements of J. Alexander's restaurants in order to distinguish them from other casual dining competitors and maintain the Company's competitive position.

The restaurant industry is also characterized by high capital investment for new restaurants and relatively high fixed or semi-variable restaurant operating expenses. Because a significant portion of restaurant operating expenses are fixed or semi-variable in nature, changes in sales in existing restaurants are generally expected to significantly affect restaurant profitability because many restaurant costs and expenses are not expected to change at the same rate as sales. Restaurant profitability can also be negatively affected by inflationary increases in operating costs and other factors. Management believes that excellence in restaurant operations, and particularly providing exceptional guest service, will increase net sales in the Company's restaurants over time and will support menu pricing levels which allow the Company to achieve reasonable operating margins while absorbing the higher costs of providing high-quality dining experiences and operating cost increases.

**Table of Contents**

Changes in sales for existing restaurants are generally measured in the restaurant industry by computing the change in same store sales, which represents the change in sales for the same group of restaurants from the same period in the prior year. Same store sales changes can be the result of changes in guest counts, which the Company estimates based on a count of entrée items sold, and changes in the average check per guest. The average check per guest can be affected by menu price changes and the mix of menu items sold. Management regularly analyzes guest count, average check and product mix trends for each restaurant in order to improve menu pricing and product offering strategies. Management believes it is important to maintain or increase guest counts and average guest checks over time in order to improve the Company's profitability.

Other key indicators which can be used to evaluate and understand the Company's restaurant operations include cost of sales, restaurant labor and related costs and other operating expenses, with a focus on these expenses as a percentage of net sales. Since the Company uses primarily fresh ingredients for food preparation, the cost of food commodities can vary significantly from time to time due to a number of factors. The Company generally expects to increase menu prices in order to offset the increase in the cost of food products as well as increases which the Company experiences in labor and related costs and other operating expenses, but attempts to balance these increases with the goals of providing reasonable value to the Company's guests. Management believes that restaurant operating margin, which is net sales less total restaurant operating expenses expressed as a percentage of net sales, is an important indicator of the Company's success in managing its restaurant operations because it is affected by the level of sales achieved, menu offering and pricing strategies, and the management and control of restaurant operating expenses in relation to net sales.

Because large capital investments are required for J. Alexander's restaurants and because a significant portion of labor costs and other operating expenses are fixed or semi-variable in nature, management believes the sales required for a J. Alexander's restaurant to break even are relatively high compared to many other casual dining concepts and that it is necessary for the Company to achieve relatively high sales volumes in its restaurants in order to achieve desired financial returns. The Company's criteria for new restaurant development target locations with high population densities and high household incomes which management believes provide the best prospects for achieving attractive financial returns on the Company's investments in new restaurants.

The opening of new restaurants by the Company can have a significant impact on the Company's financial performance because pre-opening expense for new restaurants is significant and most new restaurants incur operating losses during their early months of operation. The Company opened three new restaurants in the last half of 2008. No new restaurants are planned for 2009.

**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, (i) the items in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income expressed as a percentage of net sales, and (ii) other selected operating data:

	Quarter Ended	
	March 29 2009	March 30 2008
Net sales	<b>100.0%</b>	100.0%
Costs and expenses:		
Cost of sales	<b>31.4</b>	32.1
Restaurant labor and related costs	<b>33.5</b>	31.2
Depreciation and amortization of restaurant property and equipment	<b>4.4</b>	3.9
Other operating expenses	<b>22.2</b>	19.8
Total restaurant operating expenses	<b>91.4</b>	87.0
General and administrative expenses	<b>6.2</b>	6.8
Pre-opening expense		0.1
Operating income	<b>2.4</b>	6.1
Other income (expense):		
Interest expense	<b>(1.3)</b>	(1.2)
Interest income		0.2
Other, net		
Total other expense	<b>(1.2)</b>	(1.0)
Income before income taxes	<b>1.2</b>	5.2
Income tax benefit (provision)		(0.9)
Net income	<b>1.2%</b>	4.2%

*Note: Certain percentage totals do not sum due to rounding.*

Restaurants open at end of period	<b>33</b>	30
Average weekly sales per restaurant (1):		
All restaurants	<b>\$ 88,800</b>	\$ 96,600
Percent change	<b>-8.1%</b>	
Same store restaurants (2)	<b>\$ 91,900</b>	\$ 97,800
Percent change	<b>-6.0%</b>	

(1) The Company computes average weekly sales per restaurant by dividing total

restaurant sales for the period by the total number of days all restaurants were open for the period to obtain a daily sales average, with the daily sales average then multiplied by seven to arrive at weekly average sales per restaurant. Days on which restaurants are closed for business for any reason other than the scheduled closure of all J. Alexander's restaurants on Thanksgiving day and Christmas day are excluded from this calculation. Average weekly same store sales per restaurant are computed in the same manner as described above except that sales and sales days used in the calculation include only those for restaurants open for more than 18 months. Revenue associated with reductions in

liabilities for gift cards which are considered to be only remotely likely to be redeemed is not included in the calculation of average weekly sales per restaurant or average weekly same store sales per restaurant.

- (2) Includes the twenty-eight restaurants open for more than eighteen months.

**Table of Contents**

**Net Sales**

Net sales increased by \$579,000, or 1.5%, in the first quarter of 2009 compared to the first quarter of 2008. This increase was due primarily to net sales generated by three new restaurants opened in the last half of 2008 which more than offset a decrease in net sales in the same store restaurant base. Estimated net sales of \$215,000 were lost in the first quarter of 2008 when certain of the Company's restaurants were closed for a total of 15 sales days due to a fire at the Company's Denver restaurant and severe winter weather conditions in the Ohio market.

Management estimates the average check per guest, including alcoholic beverage sales, was approximately \$25.00 in the first quarter of 2009 and increased by less than one percent compared to the first quarter of 2008. Management estimates that average menu prices increased by approximately 0.7% in the first quarter of 2009 compared to the same quarter of 2008. This estimate reflects nominal amounts of menu price changes, prior to any change in product mix because of price increases, and may not reflect amounts effectively paid by customers. Management estimates that weekly average guest counts decreased on a same store basis, as adjusted for the days restaurants were closed in 2008, by approximately 6.0% in the first quarter of 2009 compared to the first quarter of 2008.

The Company's same store sales have decreased for six consecutive quarters, with a downturn first noted in mid-September of 2007. Management believes these decreases are due to a significant slowdown in discretionary consumer spending caused by recessionary economic conditions, the tightening of consumer credit, and general concerns about unemployment, lower home values and turmoil in the financial markets.

**Restaurant Costs and Expenses**

Total restaurant operating expenses increased to 91.4% of net sales in the first quarter of 2009 from 87.0% in the first quarter of 2008 due primarily to the adverse effects of lower same store sales and the effect of three new restaurants opened in the last half of 2008, with the effects of these factors being partially offset by lower cost of sales for 2009. Restaurant operating margins decreased to 8.6% in the first quarter of 2009 from 13.0% in the first quarter of 2008.

Cost of sales, which includes the cost of food and beverages, for the first quarter of 2009 was 31.4% of net sales, down from 32.1% of net sales in the first quarter of 2008. This decrease was due primarily to the effect of significantly lower prices paid for beef in the first quarter of 2009 compared to those paid in the first quarter of 2008 which more than offset increases in certain other food products.

Beef purchases represent the largest component of the Company's cost of sales and comprise approximately 25% to 30% of this expense category. In recent years, the Company entered into fixed price beef purchase agreements for most of its beef in an effort to minimize the impact of significant increases in the market price of beef. Because of uncertainty in the beef market and the high prices at which beef was quoted to the Company on a forward fixed price basis relative to market prices, the Company did not enter into a fixed price beef purchase agreement to replace the fixed price agreement which expired in March of 2008. Since that time, the Company has purchased beef based on weekly market prices which have generally been lower than the prices paid by the Company for beef under the previous contract. The effect of lower prices paid for beef in the first quarter of 2009 compared to the prices paid in the first quarter of 2008 reduced cost of sales by an estimated 1.7% of net sales in the first quarter of 2009.

**Table of Contents**

While management believes that purchasing beef at weekly market prices has been beneficial to the Company, this strategy exposes the Company to variable market conditions. Because the Company purchased beef at weekly market prices for the last three quarters of 2008, management does not believe that input costs for beef for the remainder of 2009 will be as favorable compared to the prices paid for the comparable periods of the previous year as were prices for the first quarter of 2009, and there can be no assurance that beef prices will not increase significantly. Management will continue to monitor the beef market in 2009 and if there are significant changes in market conditions or attractive opportunities to contract later in the year, will consider entering into a fixed price purchasing agreement.

Restaurant labor and related costs increased to 33.5% of net sales in the first quarter of 2009 from 31.2% in the first quarter of 2008. The increase was due primarily to the effects of higher labor costs incurred in the three new restaurants opened in the last half of 2008 and lower same store sales.

The Company estimates that the impact of increases in minimum wage rates will be approximately \$300,000 in 2009. Most of these increases relate to increases in minimum cash rates required by certain states to be paid to tipped employees. The increase in the federal minimum wage rate in 2008 has not had a significant impact on the Company because most of the Company's non-tipped employees were already paid more than the federal minimum wage.

Depreciation and amortization of restaurant property and equipment increased by \$223,000 in the first quarter of 2009 compared to the first quarter of 2008 primarily because of the effect of the new restaurants opened during the last half of 2008. The effect of the new restaurants as well as the effect of lower same store sales resulted in an increase in 2009 in this expense category as a percentage of net sales.

Other operating expenses, which include restaurant level expenses such as china and supplies, laundry and linen costs, repairs and maintenance, utilities, credit card fees, rent, property taxes and insurance, were 22.2% of net sales in the first quarter of 2009 compared to 19.8% of net sales in the first quarter of 2008. This increase was also due primarily to the effects of the new restaurants opened in the last half of 2008 and lower sales in the same store restaurant base.

**General and Administrative Expenses**

General and administrative expenses, which include all supervisory costs and expenses, management training and relocation costs, and other costs incurred above the restaurant level, decreased by \$185,000 in the first quarter of 2009 versus the first quarter of 2008 due primarily to lower management training costs. The reduction in management training costs is due to lower restaurant management turnover and because no additional staffing is required for new restaurants since no new restaurant openings are planned in 2009.

**Pre-Opening Expense**

Pre-opening expense consists of expenses incurred prior to opening a new restaurant and include principally manager salaries and relocation costs, payroll and related costs for training new employees, travel and lodging expenses for employees who assist with training new employees, and the cost of food and other expenses associated with practice of food preparation and service activities. Pre-opening expense also includes rent expense for leased properties for the period of time between the Company taking control of the property and the opening of the restaurant.

**Table of Contents**

Pre-opening expense of \$44,000 was incurred in the first quarter of 2008 in connection with restaurants under development during that time. The Company does not expect to incur any pre-opening expense during 2009 because no new restaurant development is planned for the year.

**Other Income (Expense)**

Interest income decreased in the first quarter of 2009 compared to the first quarter of 2008 due to lower average balances of surplus funds invested in money market funds and lower interest rates earned on those funds. Interest expense did not change significantly in the first quarter of 2009 compared to the first quarter of 2008.

**Income Taxes**

In accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting ( APB 28 ) and Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Interpretation No. 18, Accounting for Income Taxes in Interim Periods an interpretation of APB Opinion No. 28 ( FIN 18 ), at the end of each interim period, the Company is required to determine the best estimate of its annual effective tax rate and then apply that rate in providing for income taxes on an interim period. However, in certain circumstances where it is difficult to make an estimate of the annual effective tax rate, FIN 18 allows the actual effective tax rate for the interim period to be used in the interim period. For the quarter ended March 29, 2009, the Company calculated its effective rate on the interim period results because it was unable to reasonably estimate its annual effective rate primarily because of the significant impact of FICA tip tax credits on the effective rate within the range of pre-tax results estimated by the Company for the full year.

The Company's estimated effective income tax rate was 18.4% for the first quarter of 2008. This rate was lower than the statutory federal rate of 34% due primarily to the effect of FICA tip tax credits, with the effect of those credits being partially offset by the effect of state income taxes.

**Outlook**

Management expects that 2009 will continue to be a very challenging year. Because, as previously discussed, a significant portion of the Company's labor and other operating expenses are fixed or semi-variable in nature, management expects that continued decreases in same store sales, which management expects will persist for several more months or more and which could worsen, and the effect of three new restaurants opened in the last half of 2008 will have a significant negative effect on the Company's restaurant operating margins and profitability in 2009. Management believes, however, that the effects of these factors will be mitigated somewhat by the effect of menu price increases of approximately 2.0% implemented in the first quarter of 2009, lower commodity prices paid for certain food products, and other cost reduction programs being implemented by the Company.

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

The Company's capital needs are currently primarily for maintenance of and improvements to its existing restaurants and for meeting debt service requirements and operating lease obligations. The Company has met its needs and maintained liquidity in recent years primarily through use of cash and cash equivalents on hand, cash flow from operations and the availability of a bank line of credit.

**Table of Contents**

Cash and cash equivalents at March 29, 2009 totaled \$2,838,000. The Company's net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$2,020,000 and \$2,797,000 for the first quarters of 2009 and 2008, respectively. Management expects that future cash flows from operating activities will vary primarily as a result of future operating results. In addition, the Company received in May of 2009 payment of a \$1,145,000 contribution receivable from a landlord for improvements made by the Company for a new restaurant developed on leased property in 2008, which will have a positive impact on cash provided by operating activities for the second quarter of 2009.

The Company had a working capital deficit of \$894,000 at March 29, 2009, down from \$2,576,000 at December 28, 2008. Management does not believe this working capital deficit impairs the overall financial condition of the Company. Many companies in the restaurant industry operate with a working capital deficit because guests pay for their purchases with cash or by credit card at the time of the sale while trade payables for food and beverage purchases and other obligations related to restaurant operations are not typically due for some time after the sale takes place. Since requirements for funding accounts receivable and inventories are relatively small, virtually all cash generated by operations is available to meet current obligations.

Management estimates that cash expenditures for capital assets in 2009 will be approximately \$3.3 million, with most of these funds being used for improvements and asset replacements in existing restaurants. Management does not plan to open any new restaurants in 2009 and is opting to be cautious and conserve the Company's capital until there is a clearer picture of the future of the economy before making any additional commitments for new restaurants. Additionally, new restaurant development could be constrained due to lack of capital resources depending on the amount of cash flow generated by future operations of the Company or the availability to the Company of additional financing on terms acceptable to the Company, if at all, especially considering recent tightening in the credit markets.

A mortgage loan obtained in 2002 represents the most significant portion of the Company's outstanding long-term debt. The loan, which was originally for \$25 million, had an outstanding balance of \$20.9 million at March 29, 2009. It has an effective annual interest rate, including the effect of the amortization of deferred issue costs, of 8.6% and is payable in equal monthly installments of principal and interest of approximately \$212,000 through November 2022. Provisions of the mortgage loan and related agreements require that a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.25 to 1 be maintained for the businesses operated at the properties included under the mortgage and that a funded debt to EBITDA (as defined in the loan agreement) ratio of 6 to 1 be maintained for the Company and its subsidiaries. The loan is secured by the real estate, equipment and other personal property of nine of the Company's restaurant locations with an aggregate book value of \$22.4 million at March 29, 2009. The real property at these locations is owned by JAX Real Estate, LLC, the borrower under the loan agreement, which leases them to a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company as lessee. The Company has guaranteed the obligations of the lessee subsidiary to pay rents under the lease. JAX Real Estate, LLC, is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company which is included in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. However, JAX Real Estate, LLC was established as a special purpose, bankruptcy remote entity and maintains its own legal existence, ownership of its assets and responsibility for its liabilities separate from the Company and its other affiliates.

The Company maintains a secured bank line of credit agreement which provides up to \$10 million of credit availability for financing capital expenditures related to the development of new restaurants and for general operating purposes. The line of credit is currently secured by

**Table of Contents**

mortgages on the real estate of two of the Company's restaurant locations with an aggregate book value of \$7.0 million at March 29, 2009, and the Company has also agreed not to encumber, sell or transfer four other fee-owned properties. On October 31, 2008, the Company entered into an amendment to the credit agreement which changed the maximum adjusted debt to EBITDAR ratio (as defined in the amendment) from 3.5 to 1 to 4.5 to 1 through March 29, 2009, after which time the ratio reverted to 3.5 to 1. Provisions of the loan agreement also require that the Company maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio (also as defined in the amendment) of at least 1.5 to 1. As of March 29, 2009, the Company did not meet the debt to EBITDAR and fixed charge coverage ratios specified in the loan agreement, and the Company has obtained a waiver of these covenants for the first quarter of 2009. Management believes the Company will be able in the near future to amend and extend the loan agreement or obtain replacement financing on terms satisfactory to the Company. The loan agreement also provides that defaults which permit acceleration of debt under other loan agreements constitute a default under the bank agreement and restricts the Company's ability to incur additional debt outside of the agreement. Any amounts outstanding under the line of credit, as amended, bear interest at the LIBOR rate as defined in the loan agreement plus a spread of 2.25% to 3.75%, depending on the Company's adjusted debt to EBITDAR ratio. The Company also pays a commitment fee of 0.25% to 0.75% per annum on the unused portion of the credit line, also depending on the Company's adjusted debt to EBITDAR ratio. The maturity date of this credit facility is July 1, 2009. There were no borrowings outstanding under the line as of March 29, 2009, or subsequent to that time.

The Company believes that cash and cash equivalents on hand at March 29, 2009 and cash flow generated by future operations will be adequate to meet the Company's operating and capital needs at least through 2009. However, depending on the Company's future operating results, cash flow generated from operations and other factors, it is possible that the Company could need additional sources of funds. The inability of the Company to amend and renew its bank line of credit on a satisfactory basis could require the Company to seek additional financing. If additional financing is needed but not available on acceptable terms, or at all, the Company's financial condition and liquidity could be materially adversely affected. Except as indicated above, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants of its debt agreements as of March 29, 2009.

**OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

As of May 12, 2009, the Company had no financing transactions, arrangements or other relationships with any unconsolidated affiliated entities. Additionally, the Company is not a party to any financing arrangements involving synthetic leases or trading activities involving commodity contracts.

**Table of Contents****CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS**

From 1975 through 1996, the Company operated restaurants in the quick-service restaurant industry. The discontinuation of these quick-service restaurant operations included disposals of restaurants that were subject to lease agreements which typically contained initial lease terms of 20 years plus two additional option periods of five years each. In connection with certain of these dispositions, the Company remains secondarily liable for ensuring financial performance as set forth in the original lease agreements. The Company can only estimate its contingent liability relative to these leases, as any changes to the contractual arrangements between the current tenant and the landlord subsequent to the assignment are not required to be disclosed to the Company. A summary of the Company's estimated contingent liability as of March 29, 2009, is as follows:

Wendy's restaurants (16 leases)	\$ 2,200,000
Mrs. Winner's Chicken & Biscuits restaurants (14 leases)	1,600,000
Total contingent liability related to assigned leases	\$ 3,800,000

There have been no payments by the Company of such contingent liabilities in the history of the Company.

**RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets* ( FSP 142-3 ). FSP 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* and requires enhanced related disclosures. FSP 142-3 must be applied prospectively to all intangible assets acquired as of and subsequent to fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Adoption of FSP 142-3 at the beginning of fiscal 2009 had no impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* ( SFAS 161 ). SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities and is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. Adoption of SFAS 161 at the beginning of fiscal 2009 had no impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157* ( FSP 157-2 ), which delayed the effective date of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157 ( SFAS 157 ) for most nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. Adoption of SFAS 157 at the beginning of fiscal 2009 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities had no impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES**

The preparation of the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and

**Table of Contents**

liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments, including those related to its accounting for gift card revenue, property and equipment, leases, impairment of long-lived assets, income taxes, contingencies and litigation. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. Management believes the following critical accounting policies are those which involve the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Revenue Recognition for Gift Cards:** The Company records a liability for gift cards at the time they are sold by the Company's gift card subsidiary. Upon redemption of gift cards, net sales are recorded and the liability is reduced by the amount of card values redeemed. Reductions in liabilities for gift cards which, although they do not expire, are considered to be only remotely likely to be redeemed and for which there is no legal obligation to remit balances under unclaimed property laws of the relevant jurisdictions, have been recorded as revenue by the Company and are included in net sales in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. Based on the Company's historical experience, management considers the probability of redemption of a gift card to be remote when it has been outstanding for 24 months.

**Property and Equipment:** Property and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the asset's estimated useful life or the expected lease term which generally includes renewal options. Improvements are capitalized while repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. Because significant judgments are required in estimating useful lives, which are not ultimately known until the passage of time and may be dependent on proper asset maintenance, and in the determination of what constitutes a capitalized cost versus a repair or maintenance expense, changes in circumstances or use of different assumptions could result in materially different results from those determined based on the Company's estimates.

**Lease Accounting:** The Company is obligated under various lease agreements for certain restaurant facilities. At inception each lease is evaluated to determine whether it is an operating or capital lease. For operating leases, the Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis over the expected lease term. Capital leases are recorded as an asset and an obligation at an amount equal to the lesser of the present value of the minimum lease payments during the lease term or the fair market value of the leased asset.

Certain of the Company's leases include rent holidays and/or escalations in payments over the base lease term, as well as the renewal periods. The effects of the rent holidays and escalations have been reflected in rent expense on a straight-line basis over the expected lease term, which begins when the Company takes possession of or is given control of the leased property and includes cancelable option periods when it is deemed to be reasonably assured that the Company will exercise its options for such periods

**Table of Contents**

because it would incur an economic penalty for not doing so. Rent expense incurred during the construction period for a leased restaurant is included in pre-opening expense.

Leasehold improvements and, when applicable, property held under capital lease for each leased restaurant facility are amortized on the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated life of the asset or the expected lease term used for lease accounting purposes. Percentage rent expense is generally based upon sales levels and is typically accrued when it is deemed probable that it will be payable. Allowances for tenant improvements received from lessors are recorded as deferred rent obligations and credited to rent expense over the term of the lease.

Judgments made by the Company about the probable term for each restaurant facility lease affect the payments that are taken into consideration when calculating straight-line rent expense and the term over which leasehold improvements and assets under capital lease are amortized. These judgments may produce materially different amounts of depreciation, amortization and rent expense than would be reported if different assumed lease terms were used.

**Impairment of Long-Lived Assets:** When events and circumstances indicate that long-lived assets, most typically assets associated with a specific restaurant, might be impaired, management compares the carrying value of such assets to the undiscounted cash flows it expects that restaurant to generate over its remaining useful life. In calculating its estimate of such undiscounted cash flows, management is required to make assumptions, which are subject to a high degree of judgment, relative to the restaurant's future period of operation, sales performance, cost of sales, labor and operating expenses. The resulting forecast of undiscounted cash flows represents management's estimate based on both historical results and management's expectation of future operations for that particular restaurant. To date, all of the Company's long-lived assets have been determined to be recoverable based on management's estimates of future cash flows.

**Income Taxes:** The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This statement establishes financial accounting and reporting standards for the effects of income taxes that result from an enterprise's activities during the current and preceding years. It requires an asset and liability approach for financial accounting and reporting of income taxes. The Company recognizes deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future consequences of events that have been recognized in its Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements or tax returns. In the event the future consequences of differences between financial reporting bases and tax bases of the Company's assets and liabilities result in a net deferred tax asset, an evaluation is made of the probability of the Company's ability to realize the future benefits of such asset. A valuation allowance related to a deferred tax asset is recorded when it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The realization of such net deferred tax will generally depend on whether the Company will have sufficient taxable income of an appropriate character within the carry-forward period permitted by the tax law.

The Company had a net deferred tax asset at December 28, 2008 of \$9,262,000, which amount included \$4,706,000 of tax credit carryforwards. Management has evaluated both positive and negative evidence, including its forecasts of the Company's future taxable income adjusted by varying probability factors, in making a determination as to whether it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax asset will be realized. Based on its analysis, management concluded that for 2008 a valuation

**Table of Contents**

allowance was needed for federal alternative minimum tax (AMT) credit carryforwards of \$1,657,000 and for tax assets related to certain state net operating loss carryforwards, the use of which involves considerable uncertainty. The valuation allowance provided for these items at December 28, 2008 was \$1,705,000. Even though the AMT credit carryforwards do not expire, their use is not presently considered more likely than not because significant increases in earnings levels are expected to be necessary to utilize them since they must be used only after certain other carryforwards currently available, as well as additional tax credits which are expected to be generated in future years, are realized.

Failure to achieve projected taxable income could affect the ultimate realization of the Company's net deferred tax asset. Because of the uncertainties associated with projecting future operating results, there can be no assurance that management's estimates of future taxable income will be achieved and that there could not be an increase in the valuation allowance in the future. It is also possible that the Company could generate taxable income levels in the future which would cause management to conclude that it is more likely than not that the Company will realize all, or an additional portion of, its deferred tax asset. Any such revisions to the estimated realizable value of the deferred tax asset could cause the Company's provision for income taxes to vary significantly from period to period, although its cash tax payments would remain unaffected until the benefits of the various carryforwards were fully utilized.

In addition, certain other components of the Company's provision for income taxes must be estimated. These include, but are not limited to, effective state tax rates, allowable tax credits for FICA taxes paid on reported tip income, and estimates related to depreciation expense allowable for tax purposes. These estimates are made based on the best available information at the time the tax provision is prepared. Income tax returns are generally not filed, however, until several months after year-end. All tax returns are subject to audit by federal and state governments, usually years after the returns are filed, and could be subject to differing interpretations of the tax laws.

The above listing is not intended to be a comprehensive listing of all of the Company's accounting policies and estimates. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, with no need for management's judgment in their application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result. For further information, refer to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this filing which contain accounting policies and other disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

In connection with the safe harbor established under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Company cautions investors that certain information contained in this Form 10-Q, particularly information regarding future economic performance and finances, development plans, and objectives of management is forward-looking information that involves risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements. The Company disclaims any intent or obligation to update these forward-looking statements. Other risks, uncertainties and factors which could affect actual results include the Company's ability to maintain satisfactory guest counts and increase sales and operating margins in its restaurants under current recessionary

**Table of Contents**

economic conditions, which may continue indefinitely and which could worsen; conditions in the U.S. credit markets and the availability of bank financing on acceptable terms; changes in business or economic conditions, including rising food costs and product shortages; the effect of higher minimum hourly wage requirements; the effect of higher gasoline prices or commodity prices, unemployment and other economic factors on consumer demand; availability of qualified employees; increased cost of utilities, insurance and other restaurant operating expenses; potential fluctuations in quarterly operating results due to seasonality and other factors; the effect of hurricanes and other weather disturbances which are beyond the control of the Company; the number and timing of new restaurant openings and its ability to operate them profitably; competition within the casual dining industry, which is very intense; competition by the Company's new restaurants with its existing restaurants in the same vicinity; changes in consumer spending, consumer tastes, and consumer attitudes toward nutrition and health; expenses incurred if the Company is the subject of claims or litigation or increased governmental regulation; changes in accounting standards, which may affect the Company's reported results of operations; and expenses the Company may incur in order to comply with changing corporate governance and public disclosure requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission and The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC. See Risk Factors included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 28, 2008 for a description of a number of risks and uncertainties which could affect actual results.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

The Company is a smaller reporting company as defined in Item 10 of Regulation S-K and thus is not required to report the quantitative and qualitative measures of market risk specified in Item 305 of Regulation S-K.

**Item 4T. Controls and Procedures**

- (a) *Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.* The Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer have conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on that evaluation, the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.
  
- (b) *Changes in internal controls.* There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents****PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

The Company is the subject of a lawsuit, Joan Lidgett et al. v. J. Alexander's Corporation, filed in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas in April 2009, by an employee. The plaintiff alleges that the Company violated federal wage laws and seeks compensation for servers at the Company's restaurant in Kansas City based upon allegations that the Company's tip share pool was not correctly administered. Based upon the Company's review of its practices at that restaurant to date, the Company believes that the claim arises from a single employee at the restaurant whose right to participate in the tip share pool is in question. The Company is currently in the process of responding to the plaintiff's complaint and intends to defend the allegations vigorously. While the potential financial impact of this case is not determinable at this time, the Company expects that it may incur significant expense in defending or settling the claims associated with this litigation. No accrual for this contingency has been made in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

While the Company believes the Company's Employee Stock Ownership Plan (the ESOP) and its independent trustee act independently of the Company and that the ESOP is not an affiliated purchaser of the Company pursuant to applicable SEC rules and regulations, the following disclosure regarding the ESOP's purchase of the Company's stock is made in the event that the ESOP is deemed to be an affiliated purchaser of the Company. The following table provides information relating to the ESOP's purchase of common stock for the first quarter of 2009.

Period	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (in thousands) <sup>2</sup>
December 29, 2008 - January 25, 2009	4,979	\$ 2.23		N/A
January 26, 2009 - February 22, 2009				N/A
February 23, 2009 - March 29, 2009				N/A

<sup>1</sup> All purchases were made through open market transactions.

- <sup>2</sup> The ESOP may make additional purchases in the future in connection with the administration of the ESOP.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

(a) Exhibits:

- Exhibit 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- Exhibit 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- Exhibit 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**J. ALEXANDER S CORPORATION**

Date: May 13, 2009

/s/ Lonnie J. Stout II  
Lonnie J. Stout II  
Chairman, President and Chief Executive  
Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: May 13, 2009

/s/ R. Gregory Lewis  
R. Gregory Lewis  
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)  
23

---

**Table of Contents**

**J. ALEXANDER S CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

**Exhibit No.**

Exhibit 31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.