

Burlington Stores, Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 31, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended August 1, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number 001-36107

BURLINGTON STORES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	80-0895227
(State or Other Jurisdiction of	(I.R.S. Employer
Incorporation or Organization)	Identification No.)
2006 Route 130 North	
Burlington, New Jersey	08016
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)	(Zip Code)

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (609) 387-7800

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-Accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of registrant's common stock outstanding as of August 21, 2015: 75,362,079.

BURLINGTON STORES, INC.

INDEX

	Page
<u>Part I—Financial Information</u>	3
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of August 1, 2015, January 31, 2015 and August 2, 2014</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations – Three and Six Months Ended August 1, 2015 and August 2, 2014</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) – Three and Six Months Ended August 1, 2015 and August 2, 2014</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Six Months Ended August 1, 2015 and August 2, 2014</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
<u>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	20
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	34
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	35
<u>Part II—Other Information</u>	36
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	36
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	37
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	37
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	37
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	37
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	37
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	38
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	39

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

BURLINGTON STORES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

(All amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

	August 1, 2015	January 31, 2015	August 2, 2014
ASSETS			
Current Assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$27,231	\$25,349	\$29,291
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	27,800	27,800	32,100
Accounts receivable—net of allowance for doubtful accounts	38,979	49,716	43,678
Merchandise inventories	802,341	788,708	711,510
Deferred tax assets	34,446	37,229	14,172
Prepaid and other current assets	106,226	58,681	107,822
Total Current Assets	1,037,023	987,483	938,573
Property and equipment—net of accumulated depreciation and amortization	986,395	970,419	932,566
Tradenames	238,000	238,000	238,000
Favorable leases—net of accumulated amortization	254,250	266,397	279,349
Goodwill	47,064	47,064	47,064
Other assets	110,892	115,206	119,750
Total Assets	\$2,673,624	\$2,624,569	\$2,555,302
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFECIT			
Current Liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$590,498	\$621,682	\$564,531
Other current liabilities	278,593	310,268	270,475
Current maturities of long term debt	1,340	1,167	1,250
Total Current Liabilities	870,431	933,117	836,256
Long term debt	1,349,950	1,249,276	1,371,819
Other liabilities	270,575	273,767	258,241
Deferred tax liabilities	223,305	234,360	229,132
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 2, 9, 10, and 11)			
Stockholders' Deficit:			
Preferred stock, \$0.0001 par value: authorized: 50,000,000 shares; no shares			
issued and outstanding at August 1, 2015, January 31, 2015 and August 2, 2014	—	—	—
Common stock, \$0.0001 par value: authorized: 500,000,000 shares			

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

at August 1, 2015, January 31, 2015 and August 2, 2014;
 Issued: 76,491,839 shares at August 1, 2015, 75,925,507 shares at

January 31, 2015 and 74,809,682 shares at August 2, 2014
 Outstanding: 75,362,744 shares at August 1, 2015, 75,254,682

shares at January 31, 2015 and 74,158,072 shares at August 2, 2014	7	7	7
Additional paid-in-capital	1,385,804	1,370,498	1,354,363
Accumulated deficit	(1,389,860)	(1,426,454)	(1,487,105)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,541)	(1,744)	—
Treasury stock, at cost	(34,047)	(8,258)	(7,411)
Total Stockholders' Deficit	(40,637)	(65,951)	(140,146)
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit	\$2,673,624	\$2,624,569	\$2,555,302

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

BURLINGTON STORES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

(All amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014
REVENUES:				
Net sales	\$1,144,218	\$1,043,581	\$2,327,276	\$2,171,850
Other revenue	7,355	7,545	15,215	15,134
Total Revenue	1,151,573	1,051,126	2,342,491	2,186,984
COSTS AND EXPENSES:				
Cost of sales	695,915	645,027	1,408,845	1,343,488
Selling, general and administrative expenses	381,606	350,026	759,285	697,047
Costs related to debt amendments, secondary offerings and other	(12)	917	247	1,341
Stock option modification expense	335	963	795	1,791
Depreciation and amortization	41,746	40,549	83,901	81,757
Impairment charges-long-lived assets	188	829	1,903	848
Other income—net	(1,389)	(1,968)	(2,462)	(3,864)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	—	649	3,681
Interest expense (inclusive of gain (loss) on interest rate cap agreements)	14,598	25,546	29,401	52,098
Total Cost and Expenses	1,132,987	1,061,889	2,282,564	2,178,187
Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	18,586	(10,763)	59,927	8,797
Income tax expense (benefit)	7,686	(4,293)	23,332	3,493
Net Income (Loss)	\$10,900	\$(6,470)	\$36,595	\$5,304
Net income (loss) per common share:				
Common stock - basic	\$0.14	\$(0.09)	\$0.49	\$0.07
Common stock - diluted	\$0.14	\$(0.09)	\$0.48	\$0.07
Weighted average number of common shares:				
Common stock - basic	75,181	73,966	75,081	73,806
Common stock - diluted	76,511	73,966	76,506	75,585

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

BURLINGTON STORES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(Unaudited)

(All amounts in thousands)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014
Net income (loss)	\$10,900	\$(6,470)	\$36,595	\$5,304
Other comprehensive (loss), net of tax:				
Interest rate cap contracts:				
Unrealized losses, net of related tax benefit of \$1.1 million and				
\$0.5 million for the three and six months ended August 1, 2015	(1,722)	—	(821)	—
Amount reclassified into earnings, net of related taxes of less than				
\$0.1 million for the three and six months ended August 1, 2015	24	—	24	—
Other comprehensive (loss), net of tax:	(1,698)	—	(797)	—
Total Comprehensive Income (Loss)	\$9,202	\$(6,470)	\$35,798	\$5,304

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

BURLINGTON STORES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(All amounts in thousands)

	Six Months Ended	
	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$36,595	\$5,304
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	83,901	81,757
Impairment charges—long-lived assets	1,903	848
Amortization of deferred financing costs	1,452	4,384
Accretion of long-term debt instruments	410	1,100
Deferred income tax (benefit)	(7,740)	(14,273)
Non-cash loss on extinguishment of debt—write-off of deferred financing costs and original issue discount	649	2,521
Non-cash stock compensation expense	5,258	3,152
Non-cash rent expense	(12,182)	(10,122)
Deferred rent incentives	16,936	13,807
Excess tax benefit from stock based compensation	(8,386)	(4,023)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	1,902	(8,266)
Merchandise inventories	(13,633)	8,541
Prepaid and other current assets	(47,546)	(24,811)
Accounts payable	(31,184)	21,544
Other current liabilities	(30,564)	(32,076)
Other long term assets and long term liabilities	512	1,846
Other	1,011	346
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Operating Activities	(706)	51,579
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Cash paid for property and equipment	(81,935)	(94,569)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment and assets held for sale	136	136
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(81,799)	(94,433)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from long term debt—ABL Line of Credit	797,800	275,000
Principal payments on long term debt—ABL Line of Credit	(647,400)	(275,000)
Principal payments on long term debt—Term B-3 Loans	(50,000)	—
Principal payments on long term debt—Term B-2 Loans	—	(3,955)
Principal payments on long term debt—Holdco Notes	—	(58,000)
Proceeds from sale of interest rate cap contracts	1,169	—
Repayment of capital lease obligations	(597)	(486)
Purchase of treasury shares	(25,782)	(3,086)
Proceeds from stock option exercises	1,492	929
Excess tax benefit from stock based compensation	8,386	4,023
Deferred financing costs	(1,090)	(264)

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Other	409	—
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	84,387	(60,839)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,882	(103,693)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	25,349	132,984
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$27,231	\$29,291
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:		
Interest paid	\$30,022	\$49,528
Income tax payments - net	\$54,023	\$73,177
Non-Cash Investing Activities:		
Accrued purchases of property and equipment	\$28,664	\$25,082
Acquisition of capital lease	\$—	\$5,302

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

BURLINGTON STORES, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

August 1, 2015

(UNAUDITED)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

As of August 1, 2015, Burlington Stores, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company), a Delaware Corporation, through its indirect subsidiary Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation (BCFWC), operated 546 retail stores, inclusive of an internet store.

These unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Burlington Stores, Inc. and its subsidiaries. All inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are unaudited, but in the opinion of management reflect all adjustments (which are of a normal and recurring nature) necessary for the fair presentation of the results of operations for the interim periods presented. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted. It is suggested that these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2015 (Fiscal 2014 10-K). The balance sheet at January 31, 2015 presented herein has been derived from the audited Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Fiscal 2014 10-K. Because the Company's business is seasonal in nature, the operating results for the three and six month periods ended August 1, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of results for the fiscal year ending January 30, 2016 (Fiscal 2015).

Accounting policies followed by the Company are described in Note 1 to the Fiscal 2014 10-K, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies."

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers," which provides guidance for revenue recognition. The standard's core principle is that a company will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In doing so, companies will need to use more judgment and make more estimates than under current guidance. These may include identifying performance obligations in the contract, estimating the amount of variable consideration included in the transaction price and allocating the transaction price to each separate performance obligation. At its July 9, 2015 meeting, the FASB affirmed its proposal to defer the effective date of this ASU for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted for annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. The effective date of this ASU for the Company is February 4, 2018. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact of adoption of this ASU on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, "Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs." This standard amends existing guidance to require the presentation of debt issuance costs in the balance sheet as a deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, with early adoption permitted. The Company intends to

adopt this ASU during the fiscal year beginning on January 31, 2016. The Company does not expect this standard to have a significant effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

There were no other new accounting standards that had a material impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements during the three and six month periods ended August 1, 2015, and there were no other new accounting standards or pronouncements that were issued but not yet effective as of August 1, 2015 that the Company expects to have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations upon becoming effective.

Secondary Offering

On April 7, 2015, the Company closed a secondary public offering of 12,490,154 shares of its common stock (the Secondary Offering). All of the shares sold in the Secondary Offering were offered by selling stockholders. The Company did not receive any of the proceeds from the Secondary Offering. The Company incurred \$0.2 million in offering costs related to the Secondary Offering, which are included in the line item "Costs related to debt amendments, secondary offerings and other" on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

2. Long Term Debt

Long term debt consists of:

	(in thousands)		
	August 1, 2015	January 31, 2015	August 2, 2014
\$1,200,000 senior secured term loan facility (Term B-3 Loans), LIBOR (with a floor of 1.0%) plus 3.25%, matures on August 13, 2021	\$1,112,176	\$1,161,541	\$—
\$1,000,000 senior secured term loan facility (Term B-2 Loans), LIBOR (with a floor of 1.0%) plus 3.25%, redeemed in full on August 13, 2014	—	—	825,828
\$450,000 senior notes, 10%, redeemed in full on August 13, 2014	—	—	450,000
\$350,000 senior notes, 9% / 9.75%, redeemed in full on August 13, 2014	—	—	69,226
\$600,000 ABL senior secured revolving facility, LIBOR plus spread based on average outstanding balance, expires August 13, 2019	213,700	63,300	—
Capital lease obligations	25,414	25,602	28,015
Total debt	1,351,290	1,250,443	1,373,069
Less: current maturities	(1,340)	(1,167)	(1,250)
Long term debt, net of current maturities	\$1,349,950	\$1,249,276	\$1,371,819

Term Loan Facility

On August 13, 2014, BCFWC entered into Amendment No. 4 (the Fourth Amendment) to the Term Loan Credit Agreement (as amended by the Fourth Amendment, the Amended Term Loan Credit Agreement) governing its senior secured term loan facility (the Term Loan Facility). The Fourth Amendment, among other things, (i) increased the available incremental amount to \$400.0 million plus unlimited amounts so long as BCFWC's pro forma consolidated secured leverage ratio does not exceed 3.50 to 1.00 and (ii) gave BCFWC and its restricted subsidiaries additional flexibility to make investments, restricted payments (including dividends), incur additional debt, grant liens and otherwise comply with its covenants under the Amended Term Loan Credit Agreement. The interest rate margin applicable under the Amended Term Loan Credit Agreement is 3.25% in the case of loans drawn at LIBOR and 2.25% in the case of loans drawn under the prime rate (as determined by the Term Loan Facility Administrative Agent). The Fourth Amendment removed the variable pricing mechanism that was formerly in place, which was based on BCFWC's pro forma consolidated secured leverage ratio. The Term Loan Facility is collateralized by a first lien on our favorable leases, real estate and property & equipment and a second lien on our inventory and receivables.

The Term B-3 Loans outstanding under the Term Loan Facility mature on August 13, 2021. Mandatory quarterly payments of \$3.0 million were payable as of the last day of each quarter, beginning with the quarter ended July 29, 2017. The Company elected to make a prepayment of \$50.0 million on May 1, 2015, which offset the mandatory quarterly payments through May 1, 2021. In accordance with ASC Topic No. 470-50, "Debt Modifications and Extinguishments" (Topic No. 470), the Company recognized a non-cash loss on the partial extinguishment of debt of \$0.6 million, representing the write-off of \$0.4 million and \$0.2 million in deferred financing costs and unamortized original issue discount, respectively, which was recorded in the line item "Loss on extinguishment of debt" in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Interest rates for the Term Loan Facility are based on: (i) for LIBOR rate loans for any interest period, at a rate per annum equal to the greater of (x) the LIBOR rate, as determined by the Term Loan Facility Administrative Agent, for such interest period multiplied by the Statutory Reserve Rate (as defined in the Term Loan Credit Agreement) and

(y) 1.00% (the Term Loan Adjusted LIBOR Rate), plus an applicable margin; and (ii) for prime rate loans, a rate per annum equal to the highest of (a) the variable annual rate of interest then announced by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. at its head office as its “prime rate,” (b) the federal funds rate in effect on such date plus 0.50% per annum, and (c) the Term Loan Adjusted LIBOR Rate for the applicable class of term loans for one-month plus 1.00%, plus, in each case, an applicable margin. At August 1, 2015, the Company’s borrowing rate related to the Term Loan Facility was 4.25%.

ABL Line of Credit

On August 13, 2014, BCFWC also entered into Amendment No. 1 (the ABL Amendment) to the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated September 2, 2011 (as amended, supplemented and otherwise modified, the Amended ABL Credit Agreement) governing BCFWC’s existing senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility (the ABL Line of Credit). The ABL Amendment, among other things, provided BCFWC and certain of its subsidiaries with additional flexibility to make investments, restricted payments (including dividends), incur additional debt, grant liens and otherwise comply with its covenants under the Amended ABL Credit Agreement. The Company believes that the Amended ABL Credit Agreement provides the liquidity and flexibility to meet its operating and capital requirements over the remaining term of the ABL Line of Credit. Further, the calculation of

the borrowing base under the amended and restated credit agreement has been amended to allow for increased availability, particularly during the September 1st through December 15th period of each year.

The ABL Line of Credit matures on August 13, 2019. The aggregate amount of commitments under the Amended ABL Credit Agreement is \$600.0 million and, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, the Company can increase the aggregate amount of commitments up to \$900.0 million. Interest rate margin applicable under the Amended ABL Credit Agreement in the case of loans drawn at LIBOR is 1.25% - 1.50% (based on total commitments or borrowing base availability), and the fee on the average daily balance of unused loan commitments is 0.25%. The ABL Line of Credit is collateralized by a first lien on the Company's inventory and receivables and a second lien on the Company's real estate and property and equipment.

At August 1, 2015, the Company had \$329.6 million available under the Amended ABL Line of Credit and \$213.7 million of outstanding borrowings. The maximum borrowings under the facility during the three and six month periods ended August 1, 2015 amounted to \$280.0 million. Average borrowings during the three and six month periods ended August 1, 2015 amounted to \$215.5 million and \$164.4 million, respectively, at average interest rates of 1.5% and 1.6%, respectively. The Company had outstanding borrowings under the Amended ABL Line of Credit of \$63.3 million as of January 31, 2015.

At August 2, 2014, the Company had \$447.6 million available under the ABL Line of Credit and no outstanding borrowings. The maximum borrowings under the facility during the three and six month periods ended August 2, 2014 amounted to \$60.0 million and \$75.0 million, respectively. Average borrowings during the three and six month periods ended August 2, 2014 amounted to \$15.9 million and \$12.4 million, respectively at average interest rates of 1.9%.

3. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company accounts for derivatives and hedging activities in accordance with ASC Topic No. 815 "Derivatives and Hedging" (Topic No. 815). Topic No. 815 provides the disclosure requirements for derivatives and hedging activities with the intent to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of: (i) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (ii) how the entity accounts for derivative instruments and related hedged items, and (iii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. Further, qualitative disclosures are required that explain the Company's objectives and strategies for using derivatives, as well as quantitative disclosures about the fair value of gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative instruments.

As required by Topic No. 815, the Company records all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value and adjusts to market on a quarterly basis. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge. The Company may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain of its risk, even though hedge accounting does not apply or the Company elects not to apply hedge accounting.

The Company uses interest rate cap contracts to manage interest rate risk. The fair value of the Company's interest rate cap contracts is determined using the market standard methodology of discounted future variable cash flows. The variable cash flows are determined using the market standard methodology of discounting the future expected cash receipts that would occur if variable interest rates rise above the strike rate of the caps in conjunction with the cash payments related to financing the premium of the interest rate caps. The variable interest rates used in the calculation of projected receipts on the cap are based on an expectation of future interest rates derived from observable market interest rate curves and volatilities. In addition, to comply with the provisions of ASC Topic No. 820, "Fair Value Measurements" (Topic No. 820), credit valuation adjustments, which consider the impact of any credit enhancements to the contracts, are incorporated in the fair values to account for potential nonperformance risk. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered any applicable credit enhancements such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

In accordance with Topic No. 820, the Company made an accounting policy election to measure the credit risk of its derivative financial instruments that are subject to master netting agreements on a net basis by counterparty portfolio. There is no impact of netting because the Company's only derivatives are interest rate cap contracts that are with separate counterparties and are under separate master netting agreements.

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparties. However, as of August 1, 2015, January 31,

2015 and August 2, 2014, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustment is not significant to the overall valuation of its derivative portfolios. As a result, the Company classifies its derivative valuations in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash payments principally related to the Company's borrowings.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate caps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract.

On August 19, 2014, the Company entered into four interest rate cap contracts which were designated as cash flow hedges (the Previous Interest Rate Cap Contracts). On April 24, 2015 the Company terminated and sold the Previous Interest Rate Cap Contracts. The Company received \$1.2 million in cash in connection with the termination and sale of the Previous Interest Rate Cap Contracts. As a result of these transactions, the amount of loss previously deferred in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to these caps was \$2.0 million, net of taxes of \$1.3 million. As the hedged transactions associated with the Previous Interest Rate Cap Contracts are still probable of occurring, the Company will amortize this loss from accumulated other comprehensive loss into interest expense over the original life of each respective cap through April 2019. Also on April 24, 2015, the Company entered into two new interest rate cap contracts (the New Interest Rate Cap Contracts) which were designated as cash flow hedges. The Company financed the cost of the New Interest Rate Cap Contracts, which will be amortized through the life of the caps.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in the line item "Accumulated other comprehensive loss" on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the six month period ended August 1, 2015, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing (or anticipated) variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. The Company did not record any hedge ineffectiveness in its earnings during the three or six month periods ended August 1, 2015. Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the New Interest Rate Cap Contracts will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company's variable-rate debt.

During the three and six month periods ended August 1, 2015, the Company reclassified less than \$0.1 million out of accumulated other comprehensive loss into interest expense. As of August 1, 2015, the Company estimates that approximately \$0.9 million will be reclassified into interest expense during the next twelve months.

As of August 1, 2015, the Company had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk:

Interest Rate Derivative	Number of	Notional Principal	Interest	Effective Date	Maturity Date
--------------------------	-----------	--------------------	----------	----------------	---------------

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	Instruments	Amount	Cap Rate		
Interest rate cap contracts	Two	\$ 800.0 million	1.0%	May 29, 2015	May 31, 2019

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are used to manage the Company's exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks but do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements or the Company elected not to designate these derivatives as hedges. Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly in earnings. The Company had two interest rate cap contracts which limited our interest rate exposure to 7.0% on our first \$900.0 million of borrowings under our variable rate debt obligations that expired on May 31, 2015. As of August 1, 2015, the Company no longer has any outstanding derivatives that were not designated as hedges in qualifying hedging relationships.

Tabular Disclosure

The tables below presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments on a gross basis as well as their classification on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

(in thousands)						
Fair Values of Derivative Instruments						
Asset Derivatives						
August 1, 2015		January 31, 2015		August 2, 2014		
Balance		Balance		Balance		
Sheet	Fair	Sheet	Fair	Sheet	Fair	
Location	Value	Location	Value	Location	Value	
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments						
Interest rate cap contracts	Other assets	\$ 101	Other assets	\$ 1,572	Other assets	\$ —

(in thousands)						
Fair Values of Derivative Instruments						
Liability Derivatives						
August 1, 2015		January 31, 2015		August 2, 2014		
Balance		Balance		Balance		
Sheet	Fair	Sheet	Fair	Sheet	Fair	
Location	Value	Location	Value	Location	Value	
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments						
Interest rate cap contracts	Other liabilities	\$ 110	Other liabilities	\$ —	Other liabilities	\$ —

The tables below present the amounts of losses recognized in other comprehensive loss net of taxes, and the classifications and amounts of losses reclassified into earnings of the Company's derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedging instruments for each of the reporting periods.

(in thousands)	
Amount of Losses Recognized in Other	
Comprehensive	
Loss Related to Derivatives	

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Derivatives Designated as	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014
Hedging Instruments				
Interest rate cap contracts	\$ (1,722)	\$ —	\$ (821)	\$ —

(in thousands)

Amount of Loss Reclassified from

Other Comprehensive

Loss into Earnings Related to

Derivatives Designated as	Derivatives Three Months Ended		Derivatives Six Months Ended		Component of Earnings
	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014	
Hedging Instruments					
Interest rate cap contracts	\$ 24	\$ —	\$ 24	\$ —	Interest expense

The table below presents the classifications and amounts of losses recognized within our statements of operations for the Company's derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments for each of the reporting periods.

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location of Loss Recognized in Earnings Related to Derivatives	(in thousands) Amount of Loss Recognized in			
		Earnings Related to Derivatives Three Months Ended		Earnings Related to Derivatives Six Months Ended	
		August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014
Interest rate cap contracts	Interest expense	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1

4. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive loss are recorded net of the related income tax effects. The following table details the changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss:

	(in thousands)	
	Derivative	
	Instrument	Total
Balance at January 31, 2015	\$1,744	\$1,744
Unrealized losses arising during the period, net of related tax		
benefit of \$0.5 million for the six months ended August 1, 2015	821	821
Amount reclassified into earnings, net of related taxes of		
less than \$0.1 million for the six months ended August 1, 2015	(24)	(24)
Balance at August 1, 2015	\$2,541	\$2,541

5. Fair Value Measurements

The Company accounts for fair value measurements in accordance with Topic No. 820, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measurement and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. Topic No. 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price), and classifies the inputs used to measure fair value into the following hierarchy:

Level 1: Quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2: Quoted market prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.

Level 3: Pricing inputs that are unobservable for the assets and liabilities and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the assets and liabilities.

The inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

The carrying amounts of cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

Financial Assets

The Company's financial assets as of August 1, 2015, January 31, 2015 and August 2, 2014 included cash equivalents and interest rate cap contracts. Refer to Note 3, "Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," for further discussion regarding the Company's interest rate cap contracts.

The fair values of the Company's financial assets and the hierarchy of the level of inputs are summarized below:

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)

Fair Value Measurements at

August January August

1, 31, 2,

2015 2015 2014

Assets:

Level 1

Cash equivalents (including restricted cash)	\$28,104	\$28,094	\$32,338
--	----------	----------	----------

Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis for purposes of calculating impairment using the fair value hierarchy of Topic No. 820. The fair value of the Company's long-lived assets is generally calculated using discounted cash flows. During the six month period ended August 1, 2015, the Company recorded impairment charges of \$1.7 million, primarily related to declines in revenues and operating results for two stores, which was recorded in the line item "Impairment charges – long-lived assets" in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the three and six month periods ended August 1, 2015, the Company also recorded impairment charges for capital expenditures for previously impaired stores of approximately \$0.2 million. One of the stores impaired during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 was fully impaired and therefore had zero fair value as of August 1, 2015, and would be categorized as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy described above. The table below sets forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy, the fair value of the remaining, partially-impaired store, subsequent to impairment charges as of August 1, 2015:

	(in thousands)				
	Quoted Prices				
	Significant	Significant			
	in Active	Un-			
	Other	Observable			
	Markets for	Markets for			
	Observable	Observable		Total	
	Identical Assets	Identical Assets			
	Inputs	Inputs			Impairment
	(Level	(Level			
	1) (Level 2)	(Level 3)	Total	Losses	
Leasehold improvements	\$—	\$ 396	\$396	\$ 766	
Building/Building Improvements	—	—	—	12	
Furniture and fixtures	—	343	343	645	
Other assets	—	242	242	320	
Other property and equipment	—	21	21	160	
Total	\$—	\$ 1,002	\$1,002	\$ 1,903	

Financial Liabilities

The fair values of the Company's financial liabilities are summarized below:

	(in thousands)					
	August 1, 2015		January 31, 2015		August 2, 2014	
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair
	Amount	Value (b)	Amount	Value (b)	Amount	Value (b)
	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)
\$1,200,000 senior secured term loan facility						
(Term B-3 Loans), LIBOR (with a floor of 1.0%)						
plus 3.25%, matures on August 13, 2021	\$1,112,176	\$1,114,011	\$1,161,541	\$1,150,410	\$—	\$—

\$1,000,000 senior secured term loan facility

(Term B-2 Loans), LIBOR (with a floor of 1.0%)

plus 3.25%, redeemed in full on August 13, 2014

\$450,000 senior notes, 10%, redeemed in full on

August 13, 2014

\$350,000 senior notes, 9% / 9.75%, redeemed in

full on August 13, 2014

\$600,000 ABL senior secured revolving facility,

LIBOR plus spread based on average outstanding

balance, expires August 13, 2019(a)

	213,700	213,700	63,300	63,300	—	—
Total debt	\$1,325,876	\$1,327,711	\$1,224,841	\$1,213,710	\$1,345,054	\$1,383,259

(a) To the extent the Company has any outstanding borrowings under the ABL Line of Credit, the fair value would approximate its reported value because the interest rate is variable and reflects current market rates due to its short term nature (borrowings are typically done in 30 day increments).

(b) Capital lease obligations are excluded from the table above.

The fair values presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of the respective period end dates. The estimated fair values of the Company's debt are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. Although management is not aware of any factors that could significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements since August 1, 2015, and current estimates of fair value may differ from amounts presented herein.

6. Income Taxes

Net deferred taxes are as follows:

	(in thousands)		
	August 1, 2015	January 31, 2015	August 2, 2014
Current deferred tax asset	\$34,446	\$37,229	\$14,172
Non-current deferred tax liability	223,305	234,360	229,132
Net deferred tax liability	\$188,859	\$197,131	\$214,960

Current deferred tax assets consisted primarily of certain operating costs and inventory-related costs not currently deductible for tax purposes. Non-current deferred tax liabilities primarily relate to rent expense, intangible assets, and depreciation expense where the Company has a future obligation for tax purposes.

As of August 1, 2015, January 31, 2015 and August 2, 2014, valuation allowances amounted to \$6.2 million, \$6.1 million and \$5.7 million, respectively, primarily related to state tax net operating losses and state tax credit carry forwards. The Company believes that it is more likely than not that a portion of the benefit of the state tax net operating losses will not be realized. As of August 1, 2015, the Company has \$7.4 million of deferred tax assets recorded for state net operating losses of which \$5.6 million will expire between 2015 and 2025.

In addition, management also determined that a full valuation allowance of \$5.1 million, \$4.5 million and \$3.8 million were required against the tax benefit associated with Puerto Rico deferred tax assets as of August 1, 2015, January 31, 2015 and August 2, 2014, respectively.

7. Capital Stock

Treasury Stock

The Company accounts for treasury stock under the cost method.

During the six month period ended August 1, 2015, the Company acquired 8,270 shares of common stock from employees for \$0.4 million to satisfy their minimum statutory tax withholdings related to the vesting of restricted stock awards. These shares are considered treasury shares which are available for reissuance under the 2006 Management Incentive Plan.

Share Repurchase Program

On June 9, 2015, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to \$200 million of its common stock. The repurchase program will be funded using the Company's available cash and is expected to be executed through June 2017. The Company is authorized to repurchase shares of its outstanding common stock from time to time on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The timing and amount of stock repurchases will depend on a variety of factors, including the market conditions as well as corporate and regulatory considerations. The share repurchase program may be suspended, modified or discontinued at any time and the Company has no obligation to repurchase any amount of its common stock under the program.

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

During the second quarter of Fiscal 2015, the Company repurchased 450,000 shares of its common stock for \$25.4 million under its share repurchase program, which was recorded in the line item "Treasury stock" on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. As of August 1, 2015, the Company had \$174.6 million available for purchase under its share repurchase program.

8. Net Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic net income (loss) per share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted-average common shares outstanding. Dilutive net income (loss) per share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted-average common shares and potentially dilutive securities outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method.

	(in thousands, except per share data)			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014
Basic net income (loss) per share				
Net income (loss)	\$10,900	\$(6,470)	\$36,595	\$5,304
Weighted average number of common shares – basic	75,181	73,966	75,081	73,806
Net income (loss) per common share – basic	\$0.14	\$(0.09)	\$0.49	\$0.07
Diluted net income (loss) per share				
Net income (loss)	\$10,900	\$(6,470)	\$36,595	\$5,304
Shares for basic and diluted net income (loss) per share:				
Weighted average number of common shares – basic	75,181	73,966	75,081	73,806
Assumed exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock	1,330	—	1,425	1,779
Weighted average number of common shares – diluted	76,511	73,966	76,506	75,585
Net income (loss) per common share – diluted	\$0.14	\$(0.09)	\$0.48	\$0.07

Approximately 121,000 and less than 100,000 options to purchase shares of common stock and unvested restricted stock awards were excluded from diluted net income (loss) per share for the three and six month periods ended August 1, 2015, respectively, since their effect was anti-dilutive.

Less than 100,000 options to purchase shares of common stock and unvested restricted stock awards were excluded from diluted net income (loss) per share for the six month period ended August 2, 2014, since their effect was anti-dilutive.

9. Stock Option and Award Plans and Stock-Based Compensation

As of August 1, 2015, there were 10,125,258 shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the 2006 Management Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan) and 6,000,000 shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the 2013 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the 2013 Plan and, together with the 2006 Plan, the Plans).

Stock Options

The Company accounts for awards issued under the Plans in accordance with ASC Topic No. 718, "Stock Compensation." Options granted during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 were all service-based awards and were granted under the 2006 Plan at exercise prices ranging from \$51.81 to \$55.75 per share. Options granted during the six month period ended August 2, 2014 were all service-based awards and were granted under the 2006 Plan at exercise prices ranging from \$27.40 to \$31.81 per share. All service-based awards granted during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 vest 25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date. The final exercise date for any option granted is the tenth anniversary of the grant date.

In order to mitigate the impact of the \$336.0 million dividend paid in connection with the issuance of the Holdco Notes in February 2013, the Company's Board of Directors in May 2013 approved a modification to all then outstanding options through a combination of exercise price reductions and cash payments to option holders. The modification did not affect the existing vesting schedules. The Company recorded \$0.3 million and \$0.8 million of incremental compensation expense during the three and six month periods ended August 1, 2015, respectively, of which less than \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, will be paid in cash. The Company recorded \$1.0 million and \$1.8 million of incremental compensation expense during the three and six month periods ended August 2, 2014, respectively, of which \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, was paid in cash. These costs were recorded in the line item "Stock option modification expense" in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. As of August 1, 2015, the Company expects to recognize \$1.3 million of incremental compensation expense to be recorded over the remaining vesting periods through the fiscal year ended February 3, 2018, of which \$0.2 million will be paid in cash.

During the second quarter of Fiscal 2013, the Company made a special one-time grant of options to purchase shares of common stock under the 2006 Plan to certain members of its management team. These one-time grants vest 20% on each of the first five

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

anniversaries of the Trigger Date. The Trigger Date is defined as the date after the vesting of all other options held by the grantee which were granted to the grantee prior to May 2013 and remain outstanding and unvested as of the date of the one-time grant.

With the exception of the special one-time grants made during Fiscal 2013, all options awarded pursuant to the 2006 Plan become exercisable upon a change of control as defined in the Stockholders Agreement. The vesting of special one-time grants will not be accelerated in the event of a change of control, provided, however, that in the event that within two years after a change of control, the grantee's employment is terminated without cause or the grantee resigns with good reason, then an incremental 20% of the special one-time grants shall be deemed vested as of the date of termination of grantee's employment, but in no event more than the total number of special one-time grants granted to such grantee. Unless determined otherwise by the plan administrator, upon cessation of employment, the majority of options that have not vested will terminate immediately (subject to the potential acceleration of special one-time grants in the event of a change of control, as described above) and unexercised vested options will be exercisable for a period of 60 days. The final exercise date for any option granted is the tenth anniversary of the grant date.

Non-cash stock compensation expense is as follows:

Type of Non-Cash Stock Compensation	(in thousands)			
	Three Months		Six Months	
	Ended		Ended	
	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014
Restricted stock issuances (a)	\$1,733	\$212	\$2,951	\$281
Stock option grants (a)	1,104	758	1,665	1,471
Stock option modification (b)	302	815	642	1,400
Total (c)	\$3,139	\$1,785	\$5,258	\$3,152

(a) Included in the line item "Selling, general and administrative expenses" in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(b) Represents non-cash compensation related to the modification of outstanding stock options granted under the 2006 Plan which is included in the line item "Stock option modification expense" in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(c) For the three and six month periods ended August 1, 2015, the tax benefit related to the Company's non-cash stock compensation was approximately \$1.3 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. For the three and six month periods ended August 2, 2014, the tax benefit related to the Company's non-cash stock compensation was approximately \$0.7 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

As of August 1, 2015, the Company had 2,930,881 options outstanding to purchase shares of common stock, all of which are service-based awards issued under the 2006 Plan. As of August 1, 2015, no options were outstanding under the 2013 Plan.

Stock option transactions during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 are summarized as follows:

Number of	Weighted
Shares	Average
	Exercise
	Price Per

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

		Share
Options outstanding, January 31, 2015	3,218,845	\$ 4.93
Options granted	408,094	52.30
Options exercised (a)	(433,747)	3.46
Options forfeited	(262,311)	4.30
Options outstanding, August 1, 2015	2,930,881	\$ 11.80

(a) Options exercised during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 had a total intrinsic value of \$23.0 million. The following table summarizes information about the stock options vested and expected to vest during the contractual term as of August 1, 2015:

	Options	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Vested and expected to vest	2,534,290	7.60	\$ 11.44	\$ 110.5 million

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The fair value of each stock option granted during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 was estimated using the Black Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions:

	Six Months Ended August 1, 2015
Risk-free interest rate	1.81 %
Expected volatility	36.0 %
Expected life (years)	6.25
Contractual life (years)	10.0
Expected dividend yield	0.0 %
Weighted average grant date fair value of options issued	\$ 19.99

The expected dividend yield was based on the Company's expectation of not paying dividends in the foreseeable future. Since the Company completed its initial public offering in October 2013, it does not have sufficient history as a publicly traded company to evaluate its volatility factor. As such, the expected stock price volatility is based upon the historical volatility of the stock price over the expected life of the options of peer companies that are publicly traded. The risk free interest rate was based on the U.S. Treasury rates for U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with maturities similar to those of the expected term of the awards being valued. For grants issued during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 and August 2, 2014, the expected life of the options was calculated using the simplified method. The simplified method defines the life as the average of the contractual term of the options and the weighted average vesting period for all option tranches. This methodology was utilized due to the short length of time our common stock has been publicly traded.

Restricted Stock Awards

Under the 2006 Plan, the Company also has the ability to grant shares of restricted stock. All shares of restricted stock granted to date under the 2006 Plan are service-based awards that cliff vest at the end of the requisite service period that typically ranges from three to four years. Following a change of control, all unvested shares of restricted stock shall remain unvested, provided, however, that 100% of such shares shall vest if, following such change of control, the employment of the recipient is terminated without cause or the recipient resigns with good reason.

Restricted stock transactions during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 are summarized as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Awards
Non-vested awards outstanding, January 31, 2015	392,178	\$ 38.56
Awards granted	170,986	52.06
Awards vested	(23,542)	27.79

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Awards forfeited	(38,401)	13.48
Non-vested awards outstanding, August 1, 2015	501,221	\$ 45.57

The fair value of each share of restricted stock granted during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 was based upon the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

10. Other Liabilities

Other Liabilities

Other liabilities primarily consist of deferred lease incentives, the long term portion of self-insurance reserves, the excess of straight-line rent expense over actual rental payments and tax liabilities associated with the uncertain tax positions recognized by the Company in accordance with Topic No. 740.

Deferred lease incentives are funds received or receivable from landlords used primarily to offset the costs incurred for remodeling of stores. These deferred lease incentives are amortized over the expected lease term including rent holiday periods and option periods where the exercise of the option can be reasonably assured. Amortization of deferred lease incentives is included in the line item "Selling, general and administrative expenses" on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. At August 1, 2015, January 31, 2015 and August 2, 2014, deferred lease incentives were \$170.4 million, \$176.3 million and \$158.8 million, respectively.

11. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal

The Company establishes accruals relating to legal claims, in connection with litigation to which the Company is party from time to time in the ordinary course of business. The aggregate amount of such accruals were \$14.7 million, \$12.9 million and \$3.6 million as of August 1, 2015, January 31, 2015 and August 2, 2014, respectively.

Like many retailers, the Company has been named in class or collective actions on behalf of various groups alleging violations of federal and state wage and hour and other labor statutes, and alleged violation of state consumer and/or privacy protection statutes. In the normal course of business, we are also party to various other lawsuits and regulatory proceedings including, among others, commercial, product, product safety, employee, customer, intellectual property and other claims. Actions against us are in various procedural stages. Many of these proceedings raise factual and legal issues and are subject to uncertainties.

In the matter of Burlington Coat Factory Song Beverly Cases which is currently pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, Complex Division, County of Orange (Case No. JCCP No. 4681), plaintiff, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, alleges that the Company is in violation of the Song Beverly Credit Card Act of 1971, California Civil Code section 1747.08 for collecting personal information from customers in connection with the use of credit cards by such customers to pay for merchandise at the Company's stores. At trial held in January 2015, the Superior Court held that the Company was in violation of California law and set May 1, 2015 as the date for a conference to set a date for trial to determine the penalty to be assessed against the Company. This court stayed this conference date pending settlement discussions between the Company and plaintiffs.

Separately, on May 19, 2015, the First Appellate District, Division Three, of the California Court of Appeal handed down a decision in the case of Harrold v. Levi Strauss & Co., Supr. Ct. No. 26-60136, holding that a finding of liability under the Act does not occur when a retailer requests personal information after a credit card transaction has been completed. In light of this decision, the Company suspended settlement discussions and filed a motion in its Song Beverly cases, requesting the court to reverse its decision in favor of plaintiffs on the basis of the Harrold case. The Company's motion was scheduled for oral argument on August 7, 2015, but was adjourned to September 25, 2015. Notwithstanding the adjournment, the Superior Court expressed its tentative view that the Harrold decision was distinguishable from the Song Beverly cases pending against the Company, and thus would not warrant a reversal of the finding of liability against the Company. On August 26, 2015, the California Supreme Court denied the petition to review the Harrold decision. The Company is unable to predict the amount of penalty that may be assessed by the court, in excess of the amount accrued, after its consideration of various factors, including, among others, how information was used, how much revenue was derived from the information, what procedures were in place to control the maintenance and dissemination of the information, the duration of the practice to collect information and other relevant factors; however, such penalty assessment could be material.

The accrual for this matter is included in the \$14.7 million legal accrual discussed above.

To determine the likelihood of a loss and/or the measurement of any loss can be complex. Consequently, we are unable to estimate the range of reasonably possible loss in excess of amounts accrued. Our assessments are based on estimates and assumptions that have been deemed reasonable by management, but the assessment process relies heavily on estimates and assumptions that may prove to be incomplete or inaccurate, and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur that might cause us to change those estimates and assumptions. The ultimate outcome of the case could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

Lease Agreements

The Company enters into lease agreements during the ordinary course of business in order to secure favorable store locations. The Company's minimum lease payments for all operating leases are expected to be \$144.6 million for the remainder of Fiscal 2015 and \$301.9 million, \$289.1 million, \$264.3 million, \$219.3 million and \$1,009.5 million for the fiscal years ended January 28, 2017, February 3, 2018, February 2, 2019, February 1, 2020 and all subsequent years thereafter, respectively. Total future minimum lease payments include \$88.4 million related to options to extend lease terms that are reasonably assured of being exercised and also includes \$350.1 million of minimum lease payments for 32 stores that the Company has committed to open or relocate.

Letters of Credit

The Company had letters of credit arrangements with various banks in the aggregate amount of \$50.7 million, \$48.1 million and \$47.9 million as of August 1, 2015, January 31, 2015 and August 2, 2014, respectively. Among these arrangements as of August 1, 2015, January 31, 2015 and August 2, 2014, the Company had letters of credit in the amount of \$35.3 million, \$33.4 million and \$29.6 million, respectively, guaranteeing performance under various insurance contracts and utility agreements. In addition, the Company

had outstanding letters of credit agreements in the amounts of \$15.4 million, \$14.7 million and \$18.3 million at August 1, 2015, January 31, 2015 and August 2, 2014, respectively, related to certain merchandising agreements. Based on the terms of the credit agreement related to the ABL Line of Credit, the Company had the ability to enter into letters of credit up to \$329.6 million, \$386.9 million and \$447.6 million as of August 1, 2015, January 31, 2015 and August 2, 2014, respectively.

Purchase Commitments

The Company had \$896.5 million of purchase commitments related to goods that were not received as of August 1, 2015.

Death Benefits

In November of 2005, the Company entered into agreements with three of the Company's former executives whereby upon each of their deaths the Company will pay \$1.0 million to each respective designated beneficiary.

12. Related Parties

The brother-in-law of one of the Company's Executive Vice Presidents is an independent sales representative of one of the Company's suppliers of merchandise inventory. This relationship predated the commencement of the Executive Vice President's employment with the Company. The Company has determined that the dollar amount of purchases through such supplier represents an insignificant amount of its inventory purchases.

BURLINGTON STORES, INC.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion summarizes the significant factors affecting our consolidated operating results, financial condition, liquidity and cash flows as of and for the periods presented below. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K related to the fiscal year ended January 31, 2015.

In addition to historical information, this discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements based on current expectations that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions, such as our plans, objectives, expectations, and intentions. Our actual results and the timing of events may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements due to various factors, including those discussed under the section of this Item 2 entitled "Safe Harbor Statement."

Executive Summary

Introduction and Overview of Operating Results

We are a nationally recognized retailer of high-quality, branded apparel at everyday low prices. We opened our first store in Burlington, New Jersey in 1972, selling primarily coats and outerwear. Since then, we have expanded our store base to 546 stores, inclusive of an internet store, in 45 states and Puerto Rico, and diversified our product categories by offering an extensive selection of in-season, fashion-focused merchandise, including: women's ready-to-wear apparel, menswear, youth apparel, baby, footwear, accessories, home and coats. We acquire a broad selection of desirable, first-quality, current-brand, labeled merchandise directly from nationally-recognized manufacturers and other suppliers.

Highlights from the three month period ended August 1, 2015 compared with the three month period ended August 2, 2014 include the following:

- We generated total revenues of \$1,151.6 million compared with \$1,051.1 million.
- Net sales increased \$100.6 million to \$1,144.2 million (inclusive of a 5.6% comparable store sales increase).
- Gross margin as a percentage of net sales improved to 39.2% compared with 38.2% which was partially offset by an approximate 50 basis point increase in product sourcing costs which are included in selling, general and administrative expenses.
- Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales improved to 33.4% compared with 33.5%, inclusive of the 50 basis point increase in product sourcing costs noted above.
- We earned net income of \$10.9 million compared with a net loss of \$6.5 million.
- Adjusted Net Income (Loss) (as subsequently defined in this Form 10-Q) improved to \$14.9 million from a loss of \$0.9 million.
- Adjusted EBITDA (as subsequently defined in this Form 10-Q) improved \$17.3 million to \$75.4 million.

Highlights from the six month period ended August 1, 2015 compared with the six month period ended August 2, 2014 include the following:

- We generated total revenues of \$2,342.5 million compared with \$2,187.0 million.
- Net sales increased \$155.4 million to \$2,327.3 million (inclusive of a 3.1% comparable store sales increase).
- Gross margin as a percentage of net sales improved to 39.5% compared with 38.1% which was partially offset by an approximate 60 basis point increase in product sourcing costs which are included in selling, general and administrative expenses.
- Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales increased to 32.6% compared with 32.1%, inclusive of the 60 basis point increase in product sourcing costs noted above.

• We earned net income of \$36.6 million compared with \$5.3 million.

• Adjusted Net Income (Loss) (as subsequently defined in this Form 10-Q) improved \$28.4 million to \$46.1 million.

• Adjusted EBITDA (as subsequently defined in this Form 10-Q) improved \$26.4 million to \$176.8 million.

Fiscal Year

Fiscal 2015 is defined as the 52 week year ending January 30, 2016. We define the 2014 fiscal year (Fiscal 2014) as the 52 week year ending January 31, 2015.

Store Openings, Closings, and Relocations

During the six month period ended August 1, 2015, we opened five new stores under the name “Burlington Stores” and closed one Burlington Store. We continue to pursue our growth plans and invest in capital projects that meet our financial requirements. We continue to expect to open 25 net new stores during Fiscal 2015.

Hedging Transactions

On August 19, 2014, we entered into four interest rate cap contracts which were designated as cash flow hedges (the Previous Interest Rate Cap Contracts). On April 24, 2015 we received \$1.2 million in cash in connection with the termination and sale of the Previous Interest Rate Cap Contracts. As a result of these transactions, the amount of loss deferred in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to these caps was \$2.0 million, net of taxes. As the hedged transactions associated with the Previous Interest Rate Cap Contracts are still probable of occurring, we will amortize this loss from accumulated other comprehensive loss into interest expense over the original life of each respective cap through April 2019. Also on April 24, 2015, we entered into two new interest rate cap contracts (the New Interest Rate Cap Contracts) which were designated as cash flow hedges. Refer to Note 3, “Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities,” for further details.

Secondary Offerings

On April 7, 2015, we closed a secondary public offering of 12,490,154 shares of our common stock (the Secondary Offering). All of the shares sold in the Secondary Offering were offered by selling stockholders. We did not receive any of the proceeds from the Secondary Offering. We incurred \$0.2 million in offering costs related to the Secondary Offering, which are included in the line item “Costs related to debt amendments, secondary offerings and other” on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Share Repurchase Program

On June 9, 2015, we announced that our Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to \$200 million of our common stock. The repurchase program will be funded using our available cash and is expected to be executed through June 2017. We are authorized to repurchase shares of our outstanding common stock from time to time on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The timing and amount of stock repurchases will depend on a variety of factors, including the market conditions as well as corporate and regulatory considerations. The share repurchase program may be suspended, modified or discontinued at any time and we have no obligation to repurchase any amount of our common stock under the program.

During the second quarter of Fiscal 2015, we repurchased 450,000 shares of common stock for \$25.4 million under our share repurchase program. As of August 1, 2015, we had \$174.6 million available for purchase under our share repurchase program.

Ongoing Initiatives for Fiscal 2015

We continue to focus on a number of ongoing initiatives aimed at increasing our overall profitability by improving our comparable store sales trends, increasing total sales growth and reducing expenses. These initiatives include, but are not limited to:

Driving Comparable Store Sales Growth.

We intend to continue to increase comparable store sales through the following initiatives:

Continuing to Enhance Execution of the Off-Price Model. We plan to drive comparable store sales by focusing on product freshness to ensure that we consistently deliver newness to the selling floors. We plan to continue to reduce comparable store inventories which we believe will result in faster inventory turnover. We maintain our ability to leverage our pack-and-hold program which is designed to take advantage of terrific buys of either highly desirable branded product or key seasonal merchandise for the next year. While the amount of goods we purchase on pack-and-hold is purely based on the right opportunities in the marketplace, this continues to be a great avenue to source product. We also intend to use our business intelligence systems to identify sell-through rates by product, capitalize on strong performing categories, identify and buy into new fashion trends and opportunistically acquire products in the marketplace.

Sharpening Focus on Our Core Female Customer. We have focused on better serving our core female customer, a brand-conscious fashion enthusiast, aged 25-49, with an average annual household income of \$25,000-\$75,000, by improving

our product offering, store merchandising and marketing focus on women's ready-to-wear apparel and accessories to capture incremental sales from our core female customer and become a destination for her across all categories. We believe that these efforts will increase the frequency of her visits and her average spend, further improving the comparable store sales performance in women's categories.

- **Continuing to Improve Our Customer Experience.** We have significantly enhanced the store experience and ease of shopping at all of our stores by implementing a comprehensive program focused on offering more brands and styles and simplifying store navigation. We have accomplished this by utilizing clear way-finding signs and distinct product signage, highlighting key brands and new arrivals, improving organization of the floor space, reducing rack density, facilitating quicker checkouts and delivering better customer service. We have made particular improvements in product size visibility, queuing and fitting rooms. To ensure consistent execution of our customer experience priorities, we have improved our store associate training and reorganized and strengthened our field management organization. We have also implemented operational audits to measure performance against clearly articulated operational standards. To date, stores that have achieved superior audit scores have generated materially higher comparable store sales.

Increasing Our e-Commerce Sales. We have been selling to our customers online for more than a decade. We plan to leverage this heritage, along with our renewed focus on e-commerce, to expand our online assortment and utilize e-commerce strategies to drive incremental traffic to our stores.

Enhancing Existing Categories and Introducing New Categories. We have opportunities to expand the depth and breadth of certain existing categories such as ladies' apparel, children's products and housewares and décor for the home, while continuing to remain the destination for coats, and maintaining the flexibility to introduce new categories such as bath and cosmetic merchandise.

Expanding and Enhancing Our Retail Store Base.

We intend to expand and enhance our retail store base through the following initiatives:

Adhering to an Opportunistic Yet Disciplined Real Estate Strategy. We have grown our store base consistently since our founding in 1972, developing more than 99% of our stores organically rather than through acquisition. We believe there is significant opportunity to expand our retail store base in the United States. In line with recent growth, our goal is to open approximately 25 net new stores annually and continue to do so for the foreseeable future.

Maintaining Focus on Unit Economics and Returns. We have adopted a prudent approach to new store openings with a specific focus on achieving attractive unit economics and returns. This focus is demonstrated by the fact that the vast majority of our existing stores had positive Adjusted EBITDA for Fiscal 2014. By focusing on opening stores with attractive unit economics we are able to minimize costs associated with store relocations and closures, achieve attractive returns on capital and continue to grow our margins. We continue to explore the potential for modified store formats to provide incremental growth.

Enhancing the Store Experience Through Store Refreshes and Remodels. Since 2006, the majority of our stores are either new, refreshed, remodeled or relocated. In our refreshed and remodeled stores, we have incorporated new flooring, painting, lighting and graphics, relocated our fitting rooms to maximize productive selling space and made various other improvements as appropriate by location. We continue to invest in store refreshes and remodels on a store-by-store basis where appropriate, taking into consideration the age, sales and profitability of a store, as well as the potential impact to the customer shopping experience.

Enhancing Operating Margins.

We intend to increase our operating margins through the following initiatives:

Optimize Markdowns. We believe that our markdown system allows us to maximize sales and gross margin dollars based on forward-looking sales forecasts, sell-through targets, and exit dates. This allows us to optimize markdowns at the style and color level by store cluster.

Enhance Purchasing Power. We believe that our growth and West Coast buying office provide us with the opportunity to capture incremental buying opportunities and realize economies of scale in our merchandising and

non-merchandising purchasing activities.

Drive Operating Leverage. We believe that we will be able to leverage our growing sales over the fixed costs of our business. In addition, we are focused on continuing to improve the efficiency of our corporate and in-store operations.

Uncertainties and Challenges

As management strives to increase profitability through achieving positive comparable store sales and leveraging productivity initiatives focused on improving the in-store experience, more efficient movement of products from the vendors to the selling floors, and modifying our marketing plans to increase our core customer base and increase our share of our current customers' spending, there are uncertainties and challenges that we face as an off-price retailer of apparel and accessories for men, women and children and home furnishings that could have a material impact on our revenues or income.

General Economic Conditions. Consumer spending habits, including spending for the merchandise that we sell, are affected by, among other things, prevailing global economic conditions, inflation, levels of employment, salaries and wage rates, prevailing interest rates, housing costs, energy costs, commodities pricing, income tax rates and policies, consumer confidence and consumer perception of economic conditions. In addition, consumer purchasing patterns may be influenced by consumers' disposable income, credit availability and debt levels.

An incremental slowdown in the U.S. economy, an uncertain global economic outlook or an expanded credit crisis could adversely affect consumer spending habits resulting in lower net sales and profits than expected on a quarterly or annual basis. Consumer confidence is also affected by the domestic and international political situation. Our financial condition and operations could be impacted by changes in government regulations in areas including, but not limited to, taxes and healthcare. The outbreak or escalation of war, or the occurrence of terrorist acts or other hostilities in or affecting the U.S., could lead to a decrease in spending by consumers. In addition, natural disasters, industrial accidents and acts of war in various parts of the world could have the effect of disrupting supplies and raising prices globally which, in turn, may have adverse effects on the world and U.S. economies and lead to a downturn in consumer confidence and spending.

We closely monitor our net sales, gross margin, expenses and working capital. We have performed scenario planning such that if our net sales decline, we have identified variable costs that could be reduced to partially mitigate the impact of these declines. If we were to experience adverse economic trends and/or if our efforts to counteract the impacts of these trends are not sufficiently effective, there could be a negative impact on our financial performance and position in future fiscal periods.

Competition and Margin Pressure. We believe that in order to remain competitive with off-price retailers and discount stores, we must continue to offer brand-name merchandise at a discount from traditional department stores as well as an assortment of merchandise that is appealing to our customers.

The U.S. retail apparel and home furnishings markets are highly fragmented and competitive. We compete for business with department stores, off-price retailers, specialty stores, discount stores, wholesale clubs, and outlet stores. At various times throughout the year, traditional full-price department store chains and specialty shops offer brand-name merchandise at substantial markdowns, which can result in prices approximating those offered by us at our Burlington Stores. We anticipate that competition will increase in the future. Therefore, we will continue to look for ways to differentiate our stores from those of our competitors.

The U.S. retail industry continues to face increased pressure on margins as overall challenging retail conditions have led consumers to be more value conscious. Our "open to buy" paradigm, in which we purchase both pre-season and in-season merchandise, allows us the flexibility to purchase less pre-season with the balance purchased in-season and opportunistically. It also provides us with the flexibility to shift purchases between suppliers and categories. This enables us to obtain better terms with our suppliers, which we expect to help offset any rising costs of goods.

Changes to import and export laws could have a direct impact on our operating expenses and an indirect impact on consumer prices and we cannot predict any future changes in such laws.

Seasonality of Sales and Weather Conditions. Our sales, like most other retailers, are subject to seasonal influences, with the majority of our sales and net income derived during the months of September through January, which includes the back-to-school and holiday seasons.

Weather continues to be a contributing factor to the sale of our clothing. Generally, our sales are higher if the weather is cold during the Fall and warm during the early Spring. Sales of cold weather clothing are increased by early cold weather during the Fall, while sales of warm weather clothing are improved by early warm weather conditions in the Spring. Although we have diversified our product offerings, we believe traffic to our stores is still driven, in part, by weather patterns.

Key Performance Measures

We consider numerous factors in assessing our performance. Key performance measures used by management include Adjusted Net Income (Loss), Adjusted EBITDA, comparable store sales, gross margin, inventory, store payroll as a percentage of net sales and liquidity.

Adjusted Net Income (Loss) and Adjusted EBITDA: Adjusted Net Income (Loss) and Adjusted EBITDA are non-GAAP financial measures of our performance.

We present Adjusted Net Income (Loss) and Adjusted EBITDA because we believe they are useful supplemental measures in evaluating the performance of our business and provide greater transparency into our results of operations. In particular, we believe that excluding certain items that may vary substantially in frequency and magnitude from operating income are useful supplemental measures that assist in evaluating our ability to generate earnings and leverage sales and to more readily compare these metrics between past and future periods.

Adjusted Net Income (Loss) has limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered either in isolation or as a substitute for net income (loss) or other data prepared in accordance with GAAP. Some of these limitations include:

- Adjusted Net Income (Loss) does not reflect the amortization of net favorable leases which are amortized over the life of the lease;
- Adjusted Net Income (Loss) does not reflect costs related to debt amendments or secondary offerings that were expensed during the fiscal periods;
- Adjusted Net Income (Loss) does not reflect expenses related to our May 2013 stock option modification;
- Adjusted Net Income (Loss) does not reflect losses on the extinguishment of debt;
- Adjusted Net Income (Loss) does not reflect impairment charges on long-lived assets;
- Adjusted Net Income (Loss) does not reflect other unusual, non-recurring or extraordinary expenses, losses or charges; and
- Adjusted Net Income (Loss) does not reflect the annual advisory fees paid to Bain Capital pursuant to the Advisory Agreement that were expensed during the fiscal periods.

During the three and six months ended August 1, 2015, Adjusted Net Income (Loss) improved \$15.7 million and \$28.4 million, respectively, to \$14.9 million and \$46.1 million, respectively. This improvement in Adjusted Net Income (Loss) was driven by our improved gross margin and a reduction in our interest expense, partially offset by increased costs, primarily selling, general and administrative expenses and income tax expense, net of the tax effect of the adjustments cited above. Refer to the section below entitled “Results of Operations” for further explanation.

The following table shows our reconciliation of net income (loss) to Adjusted Net Income (Loss) for the three and six months ended August 1, 2015 compared with the three and six months ended August 2, 2014:

	Three Months		Six Months Ended	
	Ended August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014
	(in thousands)			
Reconciliation of net income (loss) to Adjusted Net Income (Loss):				
Net income (loss)	\$10,900	\$(6,470)	\$36,595	\$5,304
Net favorable lease amortization (a)	5,992	6,535	12,049	13,106
Costs related to debt amendments, secondary offerings				
and other (b)	(12)	917	247	1,341
Stock option modification expense (c)	335	963	795	1,791

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Loss on extinguishment of debt (d)	—	—	649	3,681
Impairment charges (e)	188	829	1,903	848
Advisory fees (f)	—	60	72	126
Tax effect (g)	(2,546)	(3,711)	(6,161)	(8,462)
Adjusted Net Income (Loss)	\$14,857	\$(877)	\$46,149	\$17,735

(a) Net favorable lease amortization represents the non-cash amortization expense associated with favorable and unfavorable leases that were recorded as a result of purchase accounting related to the acquisition of our indirect subsidiary Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation (BCFWC) on April 13, 2006 by affiliates of Bain Capital Partners, LLC (along with its associated

investment funds, or any successor to its investment management business, Bain Capital) in a take private transaction, and are recorded in the line item “Depreciation and amortization” in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(b) Costs are primarily related to our secondary offerings.

(c) Represents expenses incurred as a result of our May 2013 stock option modification.

(d) For Fiscal 2015, amounts relate to the May 2015 prepayment on our Term Loan Facility. For Fiscal 2014, amounts relate to our April 2014 partial redemption of our Holdco Notes and excess cash flow payment of our Term Loan Facility.

(e) Represents impairment charges on long-lived assets.

(f) Amounts represent reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses that were paid to Bain Capital. Amounts are recorded in the line item “Selling, general and administrative expenses” in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(g) Tax effect is calculated based on the effective tax rates (before discrete items) for the respective periods, adjusted for the tax effect for the impact of items (a) through (f).

Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered either in isolation or as a substitute for net income (loss) or other data prepared in accordance with GAAP. Some of these limitations include:

- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt;
- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect losses on the extinguishment of debt;
- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect costs related to debt amendments or secondary offerings that were expensed during the fiscal periods;
- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect expenses related to our May 2013 stock option modification;
- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the annual advisory fees paid to Bain Capital pursuant to the Advisory Agreement that were expensed during the fiscal periods;
- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect historical cash expenditures or future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;
- Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will likely have to be replaced in the future, and Adjusted EBITDA measures do not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;
- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect impairment charges on long-lived assets;
- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect other unusual, non-recurring or extraordinary expenses, losses or charges; and
- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our income tax expense or the cash requirements to pay our taxes.

During the three and six months ended August 1, 2015, Adjusted EBITDA improved \$17.3 million and \$26.4 million, respectively, to \$75.4 million and \$176.8 million, respectively. This improvement was the result of our improved gross margin, partially offset by increased selling, general and administrative expenses (refer to the section below entitled “Results of Operations” for further explanation).

The following table shows our reconciliation of net income (loss) to Adjusted EBITDA for the three and six months ended August 1, 2015 compared with the three and six months ended August 2, 2014:

	Three Months		Six Months Ended	
	Ended August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014
Reconciliation of net income (loss) to Adjusted EBITDA:				
Net income (loss)	\$10,900	\$(6,470)	\$36,595	\$5,304
Interest expense	14,598	25,546	29,401	52,098
Interest income	(48)	(12)	(62)	(24)

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Loss on extinguishment of debt (a)	—	—	649	3,681
Costs related to debt amendments, secondary offerings and other (b)	(12)	917	247	1,341
Stock option modification expense (c)	335	963	795	1,791
Advisory fees (d)	—	60	72	126
Depreciation and amortization	41,746	40,549	83,901	81,757
Impairment charges (e)	188	829	1,903	848
Tax expense (benefit)	7,686	(4,293)	23,332	3,493
Adjusted EBITDA	\$75,393	\$58,089	\$176,833	\$150,415

- (a) For Fiscal 2015, amounts relate to the May 2015 prepayment on our Term Loan Facility. For Fiscal 2014, amounts relate to our April 2014 partial redemption of our Holdco Notes and excess cash flow payment of our Term Loan Facility.
- (b) Costs are primarily related to our secondary offerings.
- (c) Represents expenses incurred as a result of our May 2013 stock option modification.
- (d) Amounts represent reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses that were paid to Bain Capital. Amounts are recorded in the line item "Selling, general and administrative expenses" in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.
- (e) Represents impairment charges on long-lived assets.

Comparable Store Sales. Comparable store sales measure performance of a store during the current reporting period against the performance of the same store in the corresponding period of the previous year. The method of calculating comparable store sales varies across the retail industry. As a result, our definition of comparable store sales may differ from other retailers.

We define comparable store sales as sales of those stores, including online sales, commencing on the first day of the fiscal month one year after the end of their grand opening activities, which normally conclude within the first two months of operations. For the three and six months ended August 1, 2015, we experienced an increase in comparable store sales of 5.6% and 3.1%, respectively.

Various factors affect comparable store sales, including, but not limited to, weather conditions, current economic conditions, the timing of our releases of new merchandise and promotional events, the general retail sales environment, consumer preferences and buying trends, changes in sales mix among distribution channels, competition, and the success of marketing programs.

Gross Margin. Gross margin is the difference between net sales and the cost of sales. Our cost of sales and gross margin may not be comparable to those of other entities, since some entities include all of the costs related to their buying and distribution functions, and other costs, in cost of sales. We include certain of these costs in the line items "Selling, general and administrative expenses" and "Depreciation and amortization" in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. We include in our "Cost of sales" line item all costs of merchandise (net of purchase discounts and certain vendor allowances), inbound freight, distribution center outbound freight and certain merchandise acquisition costs, primarily commissions and import fees. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales expanded by approximately 100 basis points to 39.2% during the three month period ended August 1, 2015. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales expanded by approximately 140 basis points to 39.5% during the six month period ended August 1, 2015. The improvements in margin were primarily due to a reduction in markdowns, and more than offset the approximate 50 basis point and 60 basis point increases in product sourcing costs during the three and six months ended August 2, 2014, respectively, which are included in the line item "Selling, general and administrative expenses" in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Our margin rate during the three month period ended August 1, 2015 also benefitted from reduced freight costs, driven by product mix resulting in lower pounds per unit, and by lower fuel costs.

Inventory. Inventory at August 1, 2015 increased to \$802.3 million compared with \$711.5 million at August 2, 2014. This was primarily driven by an increase in our pack-and-hold inventory of approximately \$55 million and our 23 net new stores opened since August 2, 2014. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in our comparable store inventory of approximately 7% as a result of our ongoing initiative to reduce inventory levels and increase inventory turnover.

Inventory at January 31, 2015 was \$788.7 million. The increase in inventory from January 31, 2015 was primarily driven by the seasonality of our business, our new and non-comp stores and an increase in our pack-and-hold inventory of approximately \$15 million.

In order to better serve our customers and maximize sales, we continue to refine our merchandising mix and inventory levels within our stores. By appropriately managing our inventories, we believe we will be better able to deliver a continual flow of fresh merchandise to our customers. We continue to move toward more productive inventories by increasing the amount of current inventory as a percent of total inventory.

Comparable store inventory turnover is a measure that indicates how efficiently inventory is bought and sold. It measures the length of time that we own our inventory. This is significant because usually the longer the inventory is owned, the more likely markdowns may be required to sell the inventory. Comparable store inventory turnover is calculated by dividing comparable store sales by the average comparable store retail value of inventory for the period being measured. Our comparable store inventory turnover improved by approximately 13% for the second quarter of Fiscal 2015 compared with the second quarter of Fiscal 2014.

Store Payroll as a Percentage of Net Sales. Store payroll as a percentage of net sales measures our ability to manage our payroll in accordance with increases or decreases in net sales. The method of calculating store payroll varies across the retail industry. As a result, our store payroll as a percentage of net sales may differ from other retailers. We define store payroll as regular and overtime

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

payroll for all store personnel as well as regional and territory personnel, exclusive of payroll charges related to corporate and warehouse employees. Store payroll as a percentage of net sales was 9.4% and 9.0% for the three and six months ended August 1, 2015, respectively, compared with 9.7% and 9.1% for the three and six months ended August 2, 2014, respectively. The improvement in store payroll as a percentage of net sales was primarily driven by the benefit from efficiencies realized in our stores as we continue to simplify operating procedures and improve the execution within store operations.

Liquidity. Liquidity measures our ability to generate cash. Management measures liquidity through cash flow and working capital position. Cash flow is the measure of cash generated from or used in operating, financing, and investing activities. Cash and cash equivalents increased \$1.9 million during the six months ended August 1, 2015 resulting in a cash and cash equivalent balance of \$27.2 million compared with a decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$103.7 million during the six months ended August 2, 2014. The increase in cash flows was driven by the net change in our debt obligations, primarily related to net borrowings on our ABL Line of Credit, and our improved operating results. These increases were partially offset by changes in our accounts payable, resulting from the timing of our inventory purchases, and lower interest and income tax payments during the six months ended August 1, 2015. Refer to the section below entitled “Liquidity and Capital Resources” for further explanation.

Changes in working capital also impact our cash flows. Working capital equals current assets (exclusive of restricted cash) minus current liabilities. Working capital at August 1, 2015 was \$138.8 million compared with \$70.2 million at August 2, 2014. The change in our working capital from August 2, 2014 was primarily attributable to an increase in our inventories as noted above, partially offset by changes in our accounts payable resulting from the timing of our inventory purchases. Refer to the sections below entitled “Results of Operations” for further explanations.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain items in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as a percentage of net sales for the three and six months ended August 1, 2015 and the three and six months ended August 2, 2014.

	Percentage of Net Sales			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014	August 1, 2015	August 2, 2014
Net sales	100.0%	100.0 %	100.0%	100.0 %
Other revenue	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7
Total revenue	100.6	100.7	100.7	100.7
Cost of sales	60.8	61.8	60.5	61.9
Selling, general and administrative expenses	33.4	33.5	32.6	32.1
Costs related to debt amendments, secondary offerings and other	—	0.1	—	0.1
Stock option modification expense	—	0.1	0.1	0.1
Depreciation and amortization	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.7
Impairment charges – long-lived assets	—	—	0.1	—
Other (income) expense, net	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.2)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	—	—	0.2
Interest expense	1.3	2.5	1.3	2.4
Total expense	99.0	101.7	98.1	100.3
Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit)	1.6	(1.0)	2.6	0.4
Income tax expense (benefit)	0.7	(0.4)	1.0	0.2

Net income (loss)	0.9	%	(0.6)%	1.6	%	0.2	%
-------------------	-----	---	------	----	-----	---	-----	---

Three Month Period Ended August 1, 2015 Compared With the Three Month Period Ended August 2, 2014

Net sales

We experienced an increase in net sales for the second quarter of Fiscal 2015 of \$100.6 million, or 9.6%, to \$1,144.2 million. This increase was primarily attributable to the following:

- an increase in comparable store sales of \$58.3 million, or 5.6%, to \$1,094.6 million; and
- an increase in net sales of \$46.7 million from new stores opened during Fiscal 2015 and stores previously opened that were not included in our comparable store sales; partially offset by
- a \$4.4 million decrease related to the net impact of closed stores and other sales adjustments.

We believe that the comparable store sales increase was primarily due to our improved execution of our off-price model.

Cost of sales

Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales improved approximately 100 basis points during the second quarter of Fiscal 2015, primarily driven by a reduction in markdowns. In addition, we benefitted from reduced freight costs, driven by product mix resulting in lower pounds per unit, and by lower fuel costs. This improvement was partially offset by an approximate 50 basis point increase in product sourcing costs, which are included in the line item “Selling, general and administrative expenses” in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. On a dollar basis, cost of sales increased \$50.9 million, or 7.9%, for the second quarter of Fiscal 2015, primarily driven by our overall increase in sales.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales improved approximately 10 basis points for the second quarter of Fiscal 2015 as can be seen from the table below:

	(in millions)						
	Three Months Ended			Percentage			
	August 1,	Percentage of	August 2,	Percentage of	\$	%	
2015	Net Sales	2014	Net Sales	Variance	Change		
Store related costs	\$260.4	22.8 %	\$243.1	23.3 %	\$ 17.3	7.1 %	
Product sourcing costs	56.8	5.0	47.0	4.5	9.8	20.9	
Corporate costs	41.6	3.6	36.9	3.5	4.7	12.7	
Marketing and strategy costs	9.7	0.8	10.8	1.0	(1.1)	(10.2)	
Other selling, general and administrative expenses	13.1	1.2	12.2	1.2	0.9	7.4	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$381.6	33.4 %	\$350.0	33.5 %	\$ 31.6	9.0 %	

Store related costs as a percentage of net sales improved approximately 50 basis points during the second quarter of Fiscal 2015, driven by improved leverage in store payroll, inclusive of incentive compensation and other payroll-related benefits, and occupancy.

On a dollar basis, the \$17.3 million increase in store related costs was primarily driven by our 23 net new stores that have opened since August 2, 2014, as well as stores that opened during the second quarter of Fiscal 2014 that did not operate for a full 13 weeks.

Product sourcing costs as a percentage of net sales increased approximately 50 basis points during the second quarter of Fiscal 2015, which partially offset the improvement in cost of sales as noted above. The increase in product sourcing costs as a percentage of net sales was driven by an increase in our supply chain and merchandising costs of approximately \$9.0 million.

Corporate costs as a percentage of net sales increased approximately 10 basis points during the second quarter of Fiscal 2015 driven by an increase in our stock compensation of approximately \$1.8 million.

Depreciation and amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense related to the depreciation of fixed assets and the amortization of favorable and unfavorable leases amounted to \$41.7 million during the second quarter of Fiscal 2015 compared with \$40.5 million during the comparative period. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense was primarily driven by our 23 net new stores opened since August 2, 2014 and our new corporate headquarters.

Interest expense

Interest expense decreased \$10.9 million to \$14.6 million, primarily driven by our August 2014 debt refinancing and our principal repayments since August 2, 2014. The details of the change in interest expense are as follows:

- a decrease of \$11.3 million of interest expense related to our Senior Notes as a result of the \$450.0 million principal payment in August 2014;
- a decrease of \$1.7 million of interest expense related to our Holdco Notes as a result of the \$58.0 million and \$70.2 million principal payments in April 2014 and August 2014, respectively; and

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- a decrease of \$1.4 million in amortization of deferred debt fees related to the principal repayments on our Holdco Notes, Senior Notes and Term Loan Facility mentioned above; partially offset by
- an increase of \$2.8 million related to our Term Loan Facility as a result of our August 2014 refinancing which increased the principal balance outstanding on our Term Loan Facility, partially offset by our \$50.0 million paydown on May 1, 2015; and
- an increase of \$0.5 million related to our ABL Line of Credit as a result of an increase in outstanding borrowings during the period.

Our average interest rates and average balances related to our Term Loan Facility and our ABL Line of Credit, for the second quarter of Fiscal 2015 compared with the prior year's quarter, are summarized in the table below:

	Three Months Ended			
	August 1, 2015		August 2, 2014	
Average interest rate – ABL Line of Credit	1.5	%	1.9	%
Average interest rate – Term Loan Facility	4.3	%	4.3	%
Average balance – ABL Line of Credit	\$ 215.5 million		\$15.9 million	
Average balance – Term Loan Facility	\$ 1,117.0 million		\$180.6 million	

Income tax expense (benefit)

Income tax expense was \$7.7 million during the second quarter of Fiscal 2015 compared with an income tax benefit of \$4.3 million during the second quarter of Fiscal 2014. The effective tax rate for the second quarter of Fiscal 2015 was 41.4% compared with 39.9% during the second quarter of Fiscal 2014. The increase in the effective tax rate for the second quarter of Fiscal 2015 was primarily driven by the Affordable Care Act Penalty incurred in the second quarter of the current year.

In accordance with ASC Topic No. 270, "Interim Reporting" (Topic No. 270), and ASC Topic No. 740, "Income Taxes" (Topic No. 740), at the end of each interim period we are required to determine the best estimate of our annual effective tax rate and then apply that rate in providing for income taxes on a current year-to-date (interim period) basis. We used this methodology during the second quarter of Fiscal 2015, resulting in the annual effective income tax rate of 39.2% (before discrete items) being our best estimate. Our best estimate of the projected annual effective income tax rate for the second quarter of Fiscal 2014 was 40.9% (before discrete items). The decrease in the annual effective tax rate for the second quarter of Fiscal 2015 was primarily driven by lower state tax rate due to NJ Grow Tax Credit available for our new corporate headquarters.

Net income (loss)

We earned net income of \$10.9 million for the second quarter of Fiscal 2015 compared with a net loss of \$6.5 million for the comparative quarter. The increase in our net income was primarily driven by our improved gross margin and lower interest expense, partially offset by increased selling, general and administrative expenses and income tax expense.

Six Month Period Ended August 1, 2015 Compared With the Six Month Period Ended August 2, 2014

Net sales

We experienced an increase in net sales for the six month period ended August 1, 2015 of \$155.4 million, or 7.2%, to \$2,327.3 million. This increase was primarily attributable to the following:

- an increase in net sales of \$95.9 million from new stores opened during Fiscal 2015 and stores previously opened that were not included in our comparable store sales; and

an increase in comparable store sales of \$67.3 million, or 3.1%, to \$2,226.8 million; partially offset by a \$7.8 million decrease related to the net impact of closed stores and other sales adjustments.

We believe that the comparable store sales increase was primarily due to our improved execution of our off-price model.

Cost of sales

Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales improved approximately 140 basis points during the six month period ended August 1, 2015, primarily driven by a reduction in markdowns. This improvement was partially offset by an approximate 60 basis point increase in product sourcing costs, which are included in the line item "Selling, general and administrative expenses" in our Condensed

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Consolidated Statements of Operations. On a dollar basis, cost of sales increased \$65.4 million, or 4.9%, primarily driven by our overall increase in sales.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales increased approximately 50 basis points the six month period ended August 1, 2015 as can be seen from the table below:

	(in millions)							
	Six Months Ended		Percentage		Percentage			
	August	Percentage	August	Percentage	\$	%		
	1,	of	2,	of	Variance	Change		
	2015	Net Sales	2014	Net Sales				
Store related costs	\$511.0	22.0 %	\$480.0	22.1 %	\$ 31.0	6.5 %		
Product sourcing costs	111.1	4.8	90.2	4.2	20.9	23.2		
Corporate costs	79.7	3.4	71.1	3.3	8.6	12.1		
Marketing and strategy costs	31.6	1.4	31.0	1.4	0.6	1.9		
Other selling, general and administrative expenses	25.9	1.0	24.7	1.1	1.2	4.9		
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$759.3	32.6 %	\$697.0	32.1 %	\$ 62.3	8.9 %		

Store related costs as a percentage of net sales improved approximately 10 basis points during the six month period ended August 1, 2015, driven by improved leverage in store payroll, inclusive of incentive compensation and other payroll-related benefits, and occupancy.

On a dollar basis, the \$31.0 million increase in store related costs was primarily driven by our 23 net new stores that have opened since August 2, 2014 as well as stores that opened during the first half of Fiscal 2014 that did not operate for a full 26 weeks.

Product sourcing costs as a percentage of net sales increased approximately 60 basis points during the six month period ended August 1, 2015, which partially offset the improvement in cost of sales as noted above. The increase in product sourcing costs as a percentage of net sales was driven by an increase in our supply chain and merchandising costs of approximately \$20.2 million.

Corporate costs as a percentage of net sales increased approximately 10 basis points during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 driven by an increase in our stock compensation of approximately \$2.8 million on a dollar basis.

Depreciation and amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense related to the depreciation of fixed assets and the amortization of favorable and unfavorable leases amounted to \$83.9 million during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 compared with \$81.8 million during the comparative period. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense was primarily driven by our 23 net new stores opened since August 2, 2014 and our new corporate headquarters.

Loss on extinguishment of debt

During the six month period ended August 1, 2015, we recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$0.6 million as a result of our May 1, 2015 prepayment on our Term Loan Facility. Refer to Note 2, "Long Term Debt," to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details on our refinancing transaction.

During the six month period ended August 2, 2014, we recorded a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$3.7 million, primarily related to the \$58.0 million prepayment of our Holdco Notes in April 2014.

Interest expense

Interest expense decreased \$22.7 million to \$29.4 million, primarily driven by our August 2014 debt refinancing and our principal repayments since August 2, 2014. The details of the change in interest expense are as follows:

- a decrease of \$22.6 million of interest expense related to our Senior Notes as a result of the \$450.0 million principal payment in August 2014;

Edgar Filing: Burlington Stores, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- a decrease of \$4.3 million of interest expense related to our Holdco Notes as a result of the \$58.0 million and \$70.2 million principal payments in April 2014 and August 2014, respectively; and
- a decrease of \$2.9 million in amortization of deferred debt fees related to the principal repayments on our Holdco Notes, Senior Notes and Term Loan Facility mentioned above; partially offset by
- an increase of \$6.1 million related to our Term Loan Facility as a result of August 2014 refinancing which increased the principal balance outstanding on our Term Loan Facility, partially offset by the \$50.0 million pay down on May 1, 2015; and
- an increase of \$0.6 million related to our ABL Line of Credit as a result of an increase in outstanding borrowings during the period.

Our average interest rates and average balances related to our Term Loan Facility and our ABL Line of Credit, for the six month period ended August 1, 2015 compared with the prior year's quarter are summarized in the table below:

	Six Months Ended			
	August 1, 2015		August 2, 2014	
Average interest rate – ABL Line of Credit	1.6	%	1.9	%
Average interest rate – Term Loan Facility	4.3	%	4.3	%
Average balance – ABL Line of Credit	\$ 164.4 million		\$112.4 million	
Average balance – Term Loan Facility	\$ 1,141.7 million		\$1,182.5 million	

Income tax expense

Income tax expense was \$23.3 million during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 compared with \$3.5 million during the six month period ended August 2, 2014. The effective tax rate for the six month period ended August 1, 2015 was 38.9% compared with 39.7% during the six month period ended August 2, 2014. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily driven by the benefit of state credits related to our new corporate headquarters as well as 2014 federal hiring credits recorded during the first six months of Fiscal 2015 resulting from the issuance of a special IRS relief notice.

In accordance with Topic No. 270 and Topic No. 740, at the end of each interim period we are required to determine the best estimate of our annual effective tax rate and then apply that rate in providing for income taxes on a current year-to-date (interim period) basis. We used this methodology during the six month period ended August 1, 2015, resulting in the annual effective income tax rate of 39.2% (before discrete items) being our best estimate. Our best estimate of the projected annual effective income tax rate for the six month period ended August 2, 2014 was 40.9% (before discrete items). The decrease in the effective tax rate for the six month period ended August 1, 2015 was primarily driven by lower state tax rate due to the NJ Grow Tax Credit available for our new corporate headquarters.

Net income

We earned net income of \$36.6 million for the six month period ended August 1, 2015 compared with \$5.3 million for the comparative quarter. The increase in our net income was primarily driven by our improved gross margin and lower interest expense, partially offset by increased selling, general and administrative expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our ability to satisfy interest payment obligations on our outstanding debt will depend largely on our future performance which, in turn, is subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors beyond our control. If we do not have sufficient cash flow to service interest payment obligations on our outstanding indebtedness and if we cannot borrow or obtain equity financing to satisfy those obligations, our business and results of operations will be materially adversely affected. We cannot be assured that any replacement borrowing or equity financing could be successfully completed on terms similar to our current financing agreements, or at all.

We believe that cash generated from operations, along with our existing cash and our ABL Line of Credit, will be sufficient to fund our expected cash flow requirements and planned capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months as well as the foreseeable future. However, there can be no assurance that we would be able to offset declines in our comparable store sales with savings initiatives in the event that the economy declines.

Cash Flow for the Six Months ended August 1, 2015 Compared with the Six Months ended August 2, 2014

We generated \$1.9 million of cash flow during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 compared with a use of \$103.7 million during the comparative period.

Net cash used in operating activities amounted to \$0.7 million during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 compared with cash provided by operating activities of \$51.6 million during the six month period ended August 2, 2014. The change in our operating cash flow was primarily driven by changes in our accounts payable, resulting from the timing of our inventory purchases, lower interest and income tax payments during the six months ended August 1, 2015 and changes in our prepaid rent due to the timing of our rental payments, partially offset by our improved operating results.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$81.8 million and \$94.4 million during the first six months of Fiscal 2015 and Fiscal 2014, respectively. This decrease was primarily the result of reduced capital expenditures on our new corporate headquarters, partially offset by store expenditures (new stores, store refreshes and remodels and other store expenditures).

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$84.4 million during the first six months of Fiscal 2015 compared with cash used in financing activities of \$60.8 million during the first six months of Fiscal 2014. This increase in cash was primarily related to the following:

- a \$150.4 million increase related to net proceeds on our ABL Line of Credit (\$150.4 million net proceeds during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 compared with borrowings equaling repayments during the six month period ended August 2, 2014);
- a \$58.0 million increase related to repayments on our Holdco Notes (zero repayments during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 compared with \$58.0 million increase related to net repayments during the six month period ended August 2, 2014); and
- a \$4.4 million increase in the excess tax benefit from stock based compensation; partially offset by
- a \$22.7 million decrease related to the purchase of treasury shares (\$25.8 million during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 compared with \$3.1 million during the six month period ended August 2, 2014); and
- a \$46.0 million decrease related to net borrowings on our Term Loan Facility (\$50.0 million repayments during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 compared with \$4.0 million repayments during the six month period ended August 2, 2014).

Cash flow and working capital levels assist management in measuring our ability to meet our cash requirements. Changes in working capital also impact our cash flows. Working capital at August 1, 2015 increased \$68.6 million to \$138.8 million compared with \$70.2 million at August 2, 2014, primarily driven an increase in our inventories as noted above, partially offset by changes in our accounts payable resulting from the timing of our inventory purchases. Working capital at January 31, 2015 was \$26.6 million.

Capital Expenditures

For the six month period ended August 1, 2015, cash spend for capital expenditures, net of \$16.9 million of landlord allowances, amounted to \$65.0 million. We estimate that we will spend \$155 million to \$160 million, net of approximately \$35 million of landlord allowances, in capital expenditures during Fiscal 2015, including approximately \$70 million, net of the landlord allowances, for store expenditures (new stores, store refreshes and remodels and other store expenditures), approximately \$50 million to support our supply chain initiatives and approximately \$12 million to renovate our previous corporate headquarters as part of the build-out of our corporate campus. We expect to use the remaining capital to support information technology and other initiatives.

Share Repurchase Program

On June 9, 2015, we announced that our Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to \$200 million of our common stock. The repurchase program will be funded using our available cash and is expected to be executed through June 2017. We are authorized to repurchase shares of our outstanding common stock from time to time on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The timing and amount of stock repurchases will depend on a variety of factors, including the market conditions as well as corporate and regulatory considerations. The share repurchase program may be suspended, modified or discontinued at any time and we have no obligation to repurchase any amount of our common stock under the program.

During the six month period ended August 1, 2015, we repurchased 450,000 shares of common stock for \$25.4 million under our share repurchase program. As of August 1, 2015, we had \$174.6 million available for purchase under our share repurchase program.

Dividends

We currently do, and intend to continue to, retain all available funds and any future earnings to fund all of the Company's capital expenditures, business initiatives, and to support any potential opportunistic capital structure initiatives. Therefore, at this time, we do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the near term. Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock will be limited by restrictions on the ability of our subsidiaries and us to pay dividends or make distributions under the terms of current and any future agreements governing our indebtedness. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors, subject to compliance with covenants in our current and future agreements governing our indebtedness, and will depend upon our results of operations, financial condition, capital requirements and other factors that our Board of Directors deems relevant.

In addition, since we are a holding company, substantially all of the assets shown on our consolidated balance sheets are held by our subsidiaries. Accordingly, our earnings, cash flow and ability to pay dividends are largely dependent upon the earnings and cash flows of our subsidiaries and the distribution or other payment of such earnings to us in the form of dividends.

Operational Growth

As of August 1, 2015, we operated 546 stores primarily under the name "Burlington Stores." During the six month period ended August 1, 2015, we opened five new Burlington Stores and closed one Burlington Store. We continue to expect to open 25 net new stores during Fiscal 2015.

We monitor the availability of desirable locations for our stores by, among other things, presentations by brokers, real estate developers and existing landlords, evaluating dispositions by other retail chains and bankruptcy auctions. Most of our stores are located in malls, strip shopping centers, regional power centers or are freestanding. We also lease existing space and have opened a limited number of built-to-suit locations. For most of our new leases, we provide for a minimum initial ten year term with a number of five year options thereafter. Typically, our lease strategy includes obtaining landlord allowances for leasehold improvements. We believe our lease model makes us competitive with other retailers for desirable locations. We may seek to acquire a number of such locations either through transactions to acquire individual locations or transactions that involve the acquisition of multiple locations simultaneously.

Debt

As of August 1, 2015, our obligations include \$1,112.2 million, inclusive of original issue discount, under our Term Loan Facility and \$213.7 million under our ABL Line of Credit.

Term Loan Facility

We elected to make a prepayment of \$50.0 million on our Term Loan Facility on May 1, 2015, which offset the mandatory quarterly payments through May 1, 2021. As a result of this transaction, we recognized a non-cash loss on the partial extinguishment of debt of \$0.6 million, representing the write-off of \$0.4 million and \$0.2 million in deferred financing costs and unamortized original issue discount, respectively, which was recorded in the line item "Loss on extinguishment of debt" in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

At August 1, 2015, our borrowing rate related to the Term Loan Facility was 4.25%.

ABL Line of Credit

During the six month period ended August 1, 2015, we made net borrowings on our ABL Line of Credit of \$150.4 million to make our \$50.0 million prepayment on our Term Loan Facility, our \$25.4 million share repurchase and for general working capital requirements.

At August 1, 2015, we had \$329.6 million available under the Amended ABL Line of Credit and \$213.7 million of outstanding borrowings. The maximum borrowings under the facility during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 amounted to \$280.0 million. Average borrowings during the six month period ended August 1, 2015 amounted to \$164.4 million at an average interest rate of 1.6%.

Certain Information Concerning Contractual Obligations

The Company had \$896.5 million of purchase commitments related to goods that were not received as of August 1, 2015. There were no other significant changes regarding our obligations to make future payments under current contracts since January 31, 2015.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. We believe there are several accounting policies that are critical to understanding our historical and future performance as these policies affect the reported amounts of revenues and other significant areas that involve management's judgments and estimates. The preparation of our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect (i) the reported amounts of assets and liabilities; (ii) the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements; and (iii) the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments, including those related to revenue recognition, inventories, long-lived assets, intangible assets, goodwill, insurance reserves and income taxes. Historical experience and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances form the basis for making estimates and judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. A critical accounting estimate meets two criteria: (1) it requires assumptions about highly uncertain matters and (2) there would be a material effect on the consolidated financial statements from either using a different, although reasonable, amount within the range of the estimate in the current period or from reasonably likely period-to-period changes in the estimate.

Our critical accounting policies and estimates are consistent with those disclosed in Note 1 to the audited Consolidated Financial Statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," and notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2015.

Safe Harbor Statement

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about us, the industry in which we operate and other matters, as well as management's beliefs and assumptions and other statements regarding matters that are not historical facts. For example, when we use words such as "projects," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates," "should," "would," "could," "will," "potential" or "may," variations of such words or other words that convey uncertainty of future events or outcomes, we are making forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 (Securities Act) and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). Our forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties. Such statements include but are not limited to, proposed store openings and closings, proposed capital expenditures, projected financing requirements, proposed developmental projects, projected sales and earnings, our ability to maintain selling margins, and the effect of the adoption of recent accounting pronouncements on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Actual events or results may differ materially from the results anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors. While it is impossible to identify all such factors, factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those estimated by us include: competition in the retail industry, seasonality of our business, adverse weather conditions, changes in consumer preferences and consumer spending patterns, import risks, inflation, general economic conditions, our ability to implement our strategy, our substantial level of indebtedness and related debt-service obligations, restrictions imposed by covenants in our debt agreements, availability of adequate financing, our dependence on vendors for our merchandise, events affecting the delivery of merchandise to our stores, existence of adverse litigation, availability of desirable locations on suitable terms, and other risks discussed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Many of these factors are beyond our ability to predict or control. In addition, as a result of these and other factors, our past financial performance should not be relied on as an indication of future performance. The cautionary statements referred to in this section also should be considered in connection with any subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements that may be issued by us or persons acting on our behalf. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. In light of these risks and uncertainties, the forward-looking events and circumstances discussed in

this report might not occur. Furthermore, we cannot guarantee future results, events, levels of activity, performance or achievements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Refer to Note 1 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," for a discussion of recent accounting pronouncements and their impact in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to certain market risks as part of our ongoing business operations. Primary exposures include (i) changes in interest rates, as borrowings under our ABL Line of Credit and Term Loan Facility bear interest at floating rates based on LIBOR or the base rate, in each case plus an applicable borrowing margin and (ii) investing activities. The interest rate of our Term Loan Facility

is also dependent on the LIBOR, prime rate, and the federal funds rate as further discussed in Note 2 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, "Long Term Debt."

We manage our interest rate risk through the use of interest rate cap contracts. For our floating-rate debt, interest rate changes generally impact our earnings and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant.

On April 24, 2015 we entered into two interest rate cap contracts which were designated as cash flow hedges. These interest rate cap contracts have an aggregate notional principal amount of \$800.0 million, cap rates of 1.0%, are effective May 29, 2015 and mature on May 31, 2019. We had two interest rate cap contracts which limited our interest rate exposure to 7.0% on our first \$900.0 million of borrowings under our variable rate debt obligations that expired on May 31, 2015. Currently, we have unlimited interest rate risk related to borrowings on our variable rate debt in excess of the notional principal amount of our interest rate cap contracts.

At August 1, 2015, we had \$1,330.7 million of floating-rate debt, exclusive of original issue discount. Based on \$1,330.7 million outstanding as floating-rate debt, a one percentage point increase as of August 1, 2015 (after considering our 1.0% interest rate cap contracts), would cause an increase to cash interest expense of \$5.3 million per year, resulting in \$5.3 million less in our pre-tax earnings. This sensitivity analysis assumes our mix of financial instruments and all other variables will remain constant in future periods. These assumptions are made in order to facilitate the analysis and are not necessarily indicative of our future intentions.

If a one percentage point increase in interest rates were to occur as of August 1, 2015, such an increase would result in the following additional interest expenses (assuming current borrowing level remains constant):

	(in millions)				
	Principal	Additional	Additional	Additional	Additional
	Outstanding	Interest	Interest	Interest	Interest
	at	Expense	Expense	Expense	Expense
	August				
	1, 2015	Q3 2015	Q4 2015	Q1 2016	Q2 2016
Floating Rate Debt	\$ 1,117.0	\$ 792.5	\$ 792.5	\$ 792.5	\$ 792.5
Term Loan Facility (a)	213.7	534.3	534.3	534.3	534.3
ABL Line of Credit	\$ 1,330.7	\$ 1,326.8	\$ 1,326.8	\$ 1,326.8	\$ 1,326.8

(a) Principal balance represents carrying value of our Term Loan Facility exclusive original issue discount.

Our ability to satisfy our interest payment obligations on our outstanding debt will depend largely on our future performance, which, in turn, is in part subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors beyond our control. If we do not have sufficient cash flow to service our interest payment obligations on our outstanding indebtedness and if we cannot borrow or obtain equity financing to satisfy those obligations, our business and results of operations will be materially adversely affected. We cannot be assured that any replacement borrowing or equity financing could be successfully completed.

A change in interest rates generally does not have an impact upon our future earnings and cash flow for fixed-rate debt instruments. As fixed-rate debt matures, however, and if additional debt is acquired to fund the debt repayment, future earnings and cash flow may be affected by changes in interest rates. This effect would be realized in the periods subsequent to the periods when the debt matures.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Our management team, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), as of the last day of the fiscal period covered by this report, August 1, 2015. The term disclosure controls and procedures means our controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of August 1, 2015.

During the quarter ended August 1, 2015, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Like many retailers, the Company has been named in class or collective actions on behalf of various groups alleging violations of federal and state wage and hour and other labor statutes, and alleged violation of state consumer and/or privacy protection statutes. In the normal course of business, we are also party to various other lawsuits and regulatory proceedings including, among others, commercial, product, product safety, employee, customer, intellectual property and other claims. Actions against us are in various procedural stages. Many of these proceedings raise factual and legal issues and are subject to uncertainties.

In the matter of Burlington Coat Factory Song Beverly Cases which is currently pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, Complex Division, County of Orange (Case No. JCCP No. 4681), plaintiff, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, alleges that the Company is in violation of the Song Beverly Credit Card Act of 1971, California Civil Code section 1747.08, for collecting personal information from customers in connection with the use of credit cards by such customers to pay for merchandise at the Company's stores. At trial held in January 2015, the Superior Court held that the Company was in violation of California law and set May 1, 2015 as the date for a conference to set a date for trial to determine the penalty to be assessed against the Company. This court stayed this conference date pending settlement discussions between the Company and plaintiffs.

Separately, on May 19, 2015, the First Appellate District, Division Three, of the California Court of Appeal handed down a decision in the case of Harrold v. Levi Strauss & Co., Supr. Ct. No. 26-60136, holding that a finding of liability under the Act does not occur when a retailer requests personal information after a credit card transaction has been completed. In light of this decision, the Company suspended settlement discussions and filed a motion in its Song Beverly cases, requesting the court to reverse its decision in favor of plaintiffs on the basis of the Harrold case. The Company's motion was scheduled for oral argument on August 7, 2015, but was adjourned to September 25, 2015. Notwithstanding the adjournment, the Superior Court expressed its tentative view that the Harrold decision was distinguishable from the Song Beverly cases pending against the Company, and thus would not warrant a reversal of the finding of liability against the Company. On August 26, 2015, the California Supreme Court denied the petition to review the Harrold decision. The Company is unable to predict the amount of penalty that may be assessed by the court, in excess of the amount accrued, after its consideration of various factors, including, among others, how information was used, how much revenue was derived from the information, what procedures were in place to control the maintenance and dissemination of the information, the duration of the practice to collect information and other relevant factors; however, such penalty assessment could be material.

To determine the likelihood of a loss and/or the measurement of any loss can be complex. Consequently, we are unable to estimate the range of reasonably possible loss in excess of amounts accrued. Our assessments are based on estimates and assumptions that have been deemed reasonable by management, but the assessment process relies heavily on estimates and assumptions that may prove to be incomplete or inaccurate, and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur that might cause us to change those estimates and assumptions. The ultimate outcome of the case could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2015.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

The following table provides information regarding our purchases of common stock during the three fiscal months ended August 1, 2015:

Month	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Announced	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
May 3, 2015 through May 30, 2015	626 (1)	\$ 51.23	—	\$200,000,000
May 31, 2015 through July 4, 2015	1,182 (1)	52.16	—	200,000,000
July 5, 2015 through August 1, 2015	450,143 (2)	56.35	450,000	174,642,500
Total	451,951		450,000	

(1) Represents shares which were withheld for tax payments due upon the vesting of employee restricted stock awards.

(2) Represents shares which were withheld for tax payments due upon the vesting of employee restricted stock awards and shares repurchased as part of our publicly announced share repurchase program.

(3) On June 9, 2015, we announced that our Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to \$200 million of our common stock. The share repurchase program will be funded using the Company's available cash and is expected to be executed through June 2017. For a further discussion of our share repurchase program, see "Part I, Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Share Repurchase Program."

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

37

Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit	Description
10.1†	Amendment No. 3 to Employment Agreement, dated as of May 18, 2015, by and among Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation, Burlington Coat Factory Holdings, LLC, Burlington Stores, Inc. and Thomas A. Kingsbury
10.2	Amendment No. 4 to Employment Agreement, dated as of May 29, 2015, by and among Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation, Burlington Coat Factory Holdings, LLC, Burlington Stores, Inc. and Thomas A. Kingsbury (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on June 1, 2015)
10.3	Amendment No. 5 to Employment Agreement, dated as of July 7, 2015, by and among Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation, Burlington Coat Factory Holdings, LLC, Burlington Stores, Inc. and Thomas A. Kingsbury (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on July 7, 2015)
10.4†	Employment Agreement, dated as of July 20, 2015, by and between Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation and Janet Dhillon
10.5†	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of July 28, 2015, by and between Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation and Jennifer Vecchio
31.1†	Certification of Principal Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2†	Certification of Principal Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1†	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2†	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS†	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH†	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL†	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF†	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB†	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE†	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

Filed herewith.

38

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

BURLINGTON STORES, INC.

/s/ Thomas A. Kingsbury
Thomas A. Kingsbury

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Marc Katz
Marc Katz

Executive Vice President—Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: August 31, 2015

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
10.1	Amendment No. 3 to Employment Agreement, dated as of May 18, 2015, by and among Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation, Burlington Coat Factory Holdings, LLC, Burlington Stores, Inc. and Thomas A. Kingsbury
10.4	Employment Agreement, dated as of July 20, 2015, by and between Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation and Janet Dhillon
10.5	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of July 28, 2015, by and between Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation and Jennifer Vecchio
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase