

AMERICAN SOFTWARE INC
Form 10-Q
March 10, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended January 31, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-12456

AMERICAN SOFTWARE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

Georgia
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

58-1098795
(IRS Employer
Identification Number)

470 East Paces Ferry Road, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia
(Address of principal executive offices)

30305
(Zip Code)

(404) 261-4381
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

None
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

| Classes | Outstanding at March 4, 2011 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Class A Common Stock, \$.10 par value | 23,157,452 Shares |
| Class B Common Stock, \$.10 par value | 2,747,086 Shares |

Table of Contents

AMERICAN SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Form 10-Q

Quarter ended January 31, 2011

Index

| | Page No. |
|--|-----------------|
| <u>Part I Financial Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets January 31, 2011 and April 30, 2010</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and Nine Months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine Months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Unaudited</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 16 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 30 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 30 |
| <u>Part II Other Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 4. Removed and Reserved</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 31 |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****American Software, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited)****(in thousands, except share data)**

| | January 31, 2011 | April 30, 2010 |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 21,515 | \$ 21,730 |
| Investments | 20,564 | 16,300 |
| Trade accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$123 at January 31, 2011 and \$187 at April 30, 2010: | | |
| Billed | 9,979 | 8,721 |
| Unbilled | 3,458 | 2,419 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 2,778 | 3,373 |
| Total current assets | 58,294 | 52,543 |
| Investments Noncurrent | 10,393 | 15,849 |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$27,123 at January 31, 2011 and \$26,198 at April 30, 2010 | 5,987 | 6,490 |
| Capitalized software, net of accumulated amortization of \$8,694 at January 31, 2011 and \$7,431 at April 30, 2010 | 7,411 | 6,890 |
| Goodwill | 12,601 | 12,601 |
| Other intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$3,377 at January 31, 2011 and \$2,742 at April 30, 2010 | 2,042 | 2,677 |
| Other assets | 100 | 125 |
| Total assets | \$ 96,828 | \$ 97,175 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 1,114 | \$ 986 |
| Accrued compensation and related costs | 2,401 | 2,949 |
| Dividends payable | | 2,284 |
| Other current liabilities | 3,326 | 1,986 |
| Deferred income taxes | 255 | 63 |
| Deferred revenue | 16,292 | 15,147 |
| Total current liabilities | 23,388 | 23,415 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,073 | 1,480 |
| Total liabilities | 24,461 | 24,895 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock: | | |
| Class A, \$.10 par value. Authorized 50,000,000 shares: Issued 27,446,874 shares at January 31, 2011 and 26,867,314 shares at April 30, 2010 | 2,745 | 2,687 |
| | 275 | 278 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Class B, \$.10 par value. Authorized 10,000,000 shares: Issued and outstanding 2,747,086 shares at January 31, 2011 and 2,777,086 shares at April 30, 2010; convertible into Class A shares on a one-for-one basis | | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 87,017 | 84,256 |
| Retained earnings | 5,849 | 8,209 |
| Class A treasury stock, 4,348,663 shares at January 31, 2011 and 4,270,688 shares at April 30, 2010, at cost | (23,519) | (23,150) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 72,367 | 72,280 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 96,828 | \$ 97,175 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements unaudited.

Table of Contents**American Software, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited)****(in thousands, except earnings per share data)**

| | Three Months Ended January 31, | | Nine Months Ended January 31, | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| License | \$ 4,197 | \$ 4,602 | \$ 11,257 | \$ 12,325 |
| Services and other | 8,711 | 8,349 | 27,409 | 23,445 |
| Maintenance | 7,460 | 6,879 | 21,749 | 20,613 |
| Total revenues | 20,368 | 19,830 | 60,415 | 56,383 |
| Cost of revenues: | | | | |
| License | 1,609 | 934 | 3,752 | 2,737 |
| Services and other | 6,622 | 6,193 | 20,049 | 16,499 |
| Maintenance | 1,791 | 1,630 | 5,292 | 5,180 |
| Total cost of revenues | 10,022 | 8,757 | 29,093 | 24,416 |
| Gross margin | 10,346 | 11,073 | 31,322 | 31,967 |
| Research and development | 1,921 | 1,607 | 5,607 | 4,979 |
| Sales and marketing | 3,628 | 3,653 | 10,781 | 11,182 |
| General and administrative | 2,874 | 2,954 | 8,765 | 9,880 |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles | 135 | 87 | 550 | 262 |
| Provision for (recovery of) doubtful accounts | 2 | (3) | 42 | (301) |
| Total operating expenses | 8,560 | 8,298 | 25,745 | 26,002 |
| Operating income | 1,786 | 2,775 | 5,577 | 5,965 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | |
| Interest income | 360 | 356 | 1,084 | 1,117 |
| Other, net | 106 | (113) | 260 | 145 |
| Earnings before income taxes | 2,252 | 3,018 | 6,921 | 7,227 |
| Income tax expense | 488 | 1,177 | 2,310 | 2,762 |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,764 | \$ 1,841 | \$ 4,611 | \$ 4,465 |
| Less net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | | (90) |
| Net earnings attributable to American Software, Inc. | \$ 1,764 | \$ 1,841 | \$ 4,611 | \$ 4,375 |
| Earnings per common share attributable to American Software, Inc.^(a): | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.17 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.17 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.27 |
| Shares used in the calculation of earnings per common share attributable to American Software, Inc.: | | | | |
| Basic | 25,807 | 25,321 | 25,684 | 25,316 |
| Diluted | 26,309 | 25,947 | 26,061 | 25,881 |

- (a) Basic per share amounts are the same for Class A and Class B shares. Diluted per share amounts for Class A shares are shown above. Diluted earnings per share for Class B shares under the two-class method are \$0.07 and \$0.07 for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 and \$0.18 and \$0.17 for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. See Note F to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements unaudited.

Table of Contents**American Software, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)**

(in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended January 31, | |
|--|--|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 4,611 | \$ 4,375 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,825 | 1,679 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 729 | 833 |
| Bond amortization | 194 | 575 |
| Tax benefit of stock options exercised | 302 | 395 |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | (134) | (126) |
| Net loss/(gain) on investments | 66 | (249) |
| Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest | | 90 |
| Deferred income taxes | (215) | (96) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Purchases of trading securities | (12,336) | (11,347) |
| Proceeds from sale of trading securities | 1,607 | 3,265 |
| Proceeds from maturities of trading securities | 3,431 | 126 |
| Accounts receivable, net | (2,297) | (1,385) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 602 | 166 |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | 920 | 191 |
| Deferred revenue | 1,145 | (2,232) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 1,450 | (3,740) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Capitalized computer software development costs | (1,785) | (1,768) |
| Purchases of property and equipment, net of disposals | (423) | (418) |
| Proceeds from maturities of investments | 8,248 | 11,590 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options of subsidiary | | 29 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 6,040 | 9,433 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Repurchase of common stock | (369) | (248) |
| Excess tax benefits from stock based compensation | 134 | 126 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 1,785 | 199 |
| Repurchase of noncontrolling interest | | (12,328) |
| Dividends paid | (9,255) | (6,835) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (7,705) | (19,086) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (215) | (13,393) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 21,730 | 37,629 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 21,515 | \$ 24,236 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements unaudited.

Table of Contents

AMERICAN SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Unaudited

January 31, 2011

A. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-1 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required for complete financial statements. In the opinion of our management, these condensed consolidated financial statements contain all normal recurring adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position at January 31, 2011, the results of operations for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 and cash flows for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010. The results for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full year. You should read these statements in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and management s discussion and analysis and results of operations included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2010.

The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Note 1 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2010, describes the significant accounting policies that we have used in preparing our financial statements. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including but not limited to those related to revenue/vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE), bad debts, capitalized software costs, goodwill, intangible assets, stock-based compensation, income taxes and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Our actual results could differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

B. Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of American Software, Inc. (American Software or the Company), and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

C. Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue in accordance with the Software Revenue Recognition Topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board s (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification.

License. We recognize license revenue in connection with license agreements for standard proprietary software upon delivery of the software, provided we consider collection to be probable, the fee is fixed or determinable, there is evidence of an arrangement, and VSOE exists with respect to any undelivered elements of the arrangement. For multiple-element arrangements, we recognize revenue under the residual method, whereby (1) the total fair value of the undelivered elements, as indicated by VSOE, is deferred and subsequently recognized and (2) the difference between the total arrangement fee and the amount deferred for the undelivered elements is recognized as revenue related to the delivered elements. We record revenues from sales of third-party products in accordance with Principal Agent Considerations within the Revenue Recognition Topic of the FASB s Accounting Standards Codification. Furthermore, we evaluate sales through our indirect channel on a case-by-case basis to determine whether the transaction should be recorded gross or net, including but not limited to assessing whether or not we: (1) act as principal in the transaction, (2) take title to the products, (3) have risks and rewards of ownership, such as the risk of loss for collection, delivery, or returns, and (4) act as an agent or broker with compensation on a commission or fee basis. Accordingly, in most cases we record our sales through the Demand Management, Inc. (DMI) channel on a gross basis.

Maintenance. Revenue derived from maintenance contracts primarily includes telephone consulting, product updates, and releases of new versions of products previously purchased by the customer, as well as error reporting and correction services. Maintenance contracts are

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

typically sold for a separate fee with initial contractual periods ranging from one to three years with renewal for additional periods thereafter. Maintenance fees are generally billed annually in advance. We recognize maintenance revenue ratably over the term of the maintenance agreement. In situations where we bundle all or a portion of the maintenance fee with the license fee, VSOE for maintenance is determined based on prices when sold separately.

Table of Contents

Services. Revenue derived from services primarily includes consulting, implementation, and training. We primarily bill fees under time and materials arrangements and recognize them as we perform the services. In accordance with the other presentation matters within the Revenue Recognition Topic of the FASB's Accounting Standards Codification, we recognize amounts received for reimbursement of travel and other out-of-pocket expenses incurred as revenue in the condensed consolidated statements of operations under services and other. These amounts totaled approximately \$278,000 and \$930,000 for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, respectively, and \$169,000 and \$638,000 for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively.

Indirect Channel Revenue. We recognize revenues for sales made through indirect channels principally when the distributor makes the sale to an end-user, when the license fee is fixed or determinable, the license fee is nonrefundable, and the sale meets all other conditions for revenue recognition.

Deferred Revenue. Deferred revenue represents advance payments or billings for software licenses, services, and maintenance billed in advance of the time revenue is recognized.

Sales Taxes. We account for sales taxes collected from customers on a net basis.

Unbilled Accounts Receivable. The unbilled receivable balance consists of amounts generated from license fee and services revenues. At January 31, 2011 and April 30, 2010, unbilled license fees were approximately \$1.4 million and \$840,000, respectively, and unbilled services revenues were approximately \$2.1 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. Unbilled license fee accounts receivable represents revenue that has been recognized, but under the terms of the license agreement, which include specified payment terms that are considered normal and customary, certain payments have not yet been invoiced to the customers. Unbilled services revenues primarily occur due to the timing of the respective billings, which occur subsequent to the end of each reporting period.

D. Reclassification

We have reclassified certain prior year amounts for presentation purposes.

E. Major Customer

One customer, The Home Depot, accounted for approximately 16% of our total revenues in the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, respectively, principally from our IT consulting segment (see Note K). This customer accounted for approximately 14% and 11% of our total revenues in the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively. The related accounts receivable balance for this customer was approximately \$2.4 million as of January 31, 2011 and approximately \$1.7 million as of April 30, 2010.

F. Earnings Per Common Share

We have two classes of common stock of which Class B Common Shares are convertible into Class A Common Shares at any time, on a one-for-one basis. Under our Articles of Incorporation, if we declare dividends, holders of Class A Common Shares shall receive a \$.05 dividend per share prior to the Class B Common Shares receiving any dividend and holders of Class A Common Shares shall receive a dividend at least equal to Class B Common Shares dividends on a per share basis. As a result, we have computed the earnings per share in accordance with Earnings Per Share within the Presentation Topic of the FASB's Accounting Standards Codification, which requires companies that have multiple classes of equity securities to use the two-class method in computing earnings per share.

For our basic earnings per share calculation, we use the two-class method. Basic earnings per share are calculated by dividing net earnings attributable to each class of common stock by the weighted average number of shares outstanding. All undistributed earnings are allocated evenly between Class A and B Common Shares in the earnings per share calculation to the extent that earnings equal or exceed \$.05 per share. This allocation is based on management's judgment after considering the dividend rights of the two-classes of common stock, the control of the Class B shareholders and the convertibility rights of the Class B shares to Class A shares.

Table of Contents

Diluted earnings per share is calculated similar to basic earnings per share, except that the calculation includes the dilutive effect of the assumed exercise of options issuable under our stock incentive plans. For our diluted earnings per share calculation for Class A shares, we use the if-converted method. This calculation assumes that all Class B Common Shares are converted into Class A Common Shares and, as a result, assumes there are no holders of Class B Common Shares to participate in undistributed earnings.

For our diluted earnings per share calculation for Class B shares, we use the two-class method. This calculation does not assume that all Class B Common Shares are converted into Class A Common Shares. In addition, this method assumes the dilutive effect if Class A stock options were converted to Class A shares and the undistributed earnings are allocated evenly to both Class A and B shares including Class A shares issued pursuant to those converted stock options. This allocation is based on management's judgment after considering the dividend rights of the two classes of common stock, the control of the Class B shareholders and the convertibility rights of the Class B shares into Class A shares.

The following tables set forth the computation of basic earnings per common share and diluted earnings per common share (in thousands except for per share amounts):

Basic earnings per common share:

| | Three Months Ended January 31, 2011 | | Nine Months Ended January 31, 2011 | |
|--|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| | Class A | Class B | Class A | Class B |
| Distributed earnings | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.27 |
| Undistributed loss | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.09) | (0.09) |
| Total | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.18 |
| Distributed earnings | \$ 2,074 | \$ 247 | \$ 6,211 | \$ 742 |
| Undistributed loss | (498) | (59) | (2,091) | (251) |
| Total | \$ 1,576 | \$ 188 | \$ 4,120 | \$ 491 |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding | 23,060 | 2,747 | 22,937 | 2,747 |

| | Three Months Ended January 31, 2010 | | Nine Months Ended January 31, 2010 | |
|--|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| | Class A | Class B | Class A | Class B |
| Distributed earnings | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.27 |
| Undistributed loss | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.10) | (0.10) |
| Total | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.17 |
| Distributed earnings | \$ 2,018 | \$ 258 | \$ 6,066 | \$ 777 |
| Undistributed loss | (386) | (49) | (2,188) | (280) |
| Total | \$ 1,632 | \$ 209 | \$ 3,878 | \$ 497 |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding | 22,444 | 2,877 | 22,439 | 2,877 |

Table of Contents**Diluted EPS for Class A Common Shares Using the If-Converted Method****Three Months Ended January 31, 2011**

| | Undistributed & Distributed earnings to Class A Common | Class A Common Shares | EPS |
|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------|
| Per Basic | \$ 1,576 | 23,060 | \$ 0.07 |
| Common Stock Equivalents | | 502 | |
| | 1,576 | 23,562 | 0.07 |
| Class B Conversion | 188 | 2,747 | |
| Diluted EPS for Class A | \$ 1,764 | 26,309 | \$ 0.07 |

Nine Months Ended January 31, 2011

| | Undistributed & Distributed earnings to Class A Common | Class A Common Shares | EPS |
|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------|
| Per Basic | \$ 4,120 | 22,937 | \$ 0.18 |
| Common Stock Equivalents | | 377 | |
| | 4,120 | 23,314 | 0.18 |
| Class B Conversion | 491 | 2,747 | |
| Diluted EPS for Class A | \$ 4,611 | 26,061 | \$ 0.18 |

Three Months Ended January 31, 2010

| | Undistributed & Distributed earnings to Class A Common | Class A Common Shares | EPS |
|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------|
| Per Basic | \$ 1,632 | 22,444 | \$ 0.07 |
| Common Stock Equivalents | | 626 | |
| | 1,632 | 23,070 | 0.07 |
| Class B Conversion | 209 | 2,877 | |
| Diluted EPS for Class A | \$ 1,841 | 25,947 | \$ 0.07 |

Nine Months Ended January 31, 2010

| | Undistributed & Distributed earnings to Class A Common | Class A Common Shares | EPS |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------|
| Per Basic Common Stock Equivalents | \$ 3,878 | 22,439 565 | \$ 0.17 |
| | 3,878 | 23,004 | 0.17 |
| Class B Conversion | 497 | 2,877 | |
| Diluted EPS for Class A | \$ 4,375 | 25,881 | \$ 0.17 |

Table of Contents**Diluted EPS for Class B Common Shares Using the Two-Class Method****Three Months Ended January 31, 2011**

| | Undistributed & Distributed earnings to Class B Common | Class B Common Shares | EPS |
|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Per Basic | \$ 188 | 2,747 | \$ 0.07 |
| Reallocation of undistributed earnings from Class A shares to Class B shares | 1 | | |
| Diluted EPS for Class B | \$ 189 | 2,747 | \$ 0.07 |

Nine Months Ended January 31, 2011

| | Undistributed & Distributed earnings to Class B Common | Class B Common Shares | EPS |
|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Per Basic | \$ 491 | 2,747 | \$ 0.18 |
| Reallocation of undistributed earnings from Class A shares to Class B shares | 4 | | |
| Diluted EPS for Class B | \$ 495 | 2,747 | \$ 0.18 |

Three Months Ended January 31, 2010

| | Undistributed & Distributed earnings to Class B Common | Class B Common Shares | EPS |
|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Per Basic | \$ 209 | 2,877 | \$ 0.07 |
| Reallocation of undistributed earnings from Class A shares to Class B shares | 1 | | |
| Diluted EPS for Class B | \$ 210 | 2,877 | \$ 0.07 |

Nine Months Ended January 31, 2010

| Undistributed & Distributed earnings to | Class B Common Shares | EPS |
|---|-----------------------------|-----|
|---|-----------------------------|-----|

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

| | Class B Common | | | |
|--|---------------------------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| Per Basic | \$ | 497 | 2,877 | \$ 0.17 |
| Reallocation of undistributed earnings from Class A shares to Class B shares | | 6 | | |
| Diluted EPS for Class B | \$ | 503 | 2,877 | \$ 0.17 |

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, we excluded options to purchase 1,228,445 and 2,030,866 Class A Common Shares, respectively, and for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, we excluded options to purchase 1,573,490 and 1,660,134 Class A Common Shares, respectively, from the computation of diluted earnings per Class A Common Shares. We excluded these option share amounts because the exercise prices of those options were greater than the average market price of the Class A Common Shares during the applicable period. As of January 31, 2011, we had a total of 4,024,943 options outstanding and, as of January 31, 2010, we had a total of 4,031,847 options outstanding.

Table of Contents
G. Stock-Based Compensation

During the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, we granted options for 695,357 and 843,310 shares of common stock, respectively. We recorded stock option compensation cost of approximately \$247,000 and \$213,000 and related income tax benefits of approximately \$61,000 and \$50,000 during the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We recorded stock option compensation cost of approximately \$729,000 and \$833,000 and related income tax benefits of approximately \$176,000 and \$248,000 during the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We record stock-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period directly to additional paid-in capital.

We classify cash flows resulting from the tax deductions in excess of the tax benefits initially recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) as financing cash flows. During the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, we realized excess tax benefits of approximately \$134,000 and \$126,000, respectively.

During the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, we issued 549,564 and 55,213 shares of common stock, respectively, resulting from the exercise of stock options. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 based on market value at the exercise dates was approximately \$1.4 million and \$94,000, respectively. As of January 31, 2011, unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock option awards approximated \$2.7 million, which we expect to recognize over a weighted average period of 1.9 years.

H. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We measure our investments based on a fair value hierarchy disclosure framework that prioritizes and ranks the level of market price observability used in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. A number of factors affect market price observability, including the type of asset or liability and its characteristics. This hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three broad levels as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical instruments.

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets.

Level 3 Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

The following is a general description of the valuation methodologies we use for financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value, including the general classification of such assets and liabilities pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Cash Equivalents Cash equivalents include investments in government obligation based money-market funds, other money market instruments and interest-bearing deposits with initial terms of three months or less. The fair value of cash equivalents approximates its carrying value due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

Marketable Securities Marketable securities utilizing Level 1 inputs include active exchange-traded equity securities and equity index funds, and most U.S. Government debt securities, as these securities all have quoted prices in active markets. Marketable securities utilizing Level 2 inputs include municipal bonds. We value these securities using market-corroborated pricing or other models that use observable inputs such as yield curves.

The following table presents our assets and liabilities that we measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of January 31, 2011, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques we used to determine such fair value (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Balance as of January 31, 2011 |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Cash equivalents | \$ 18,529 | | | \$ 18,529 |
| Marketable securities | 5,270 | 17,471 | | \$ 22,741 |
| Total | \$ 23,799 | \$ 17,471 | \$ | \$ 41,270 |

Table of Contents

In addition to cash equivalents and marketable securities classified as trading securities, we also have an equity method investment valued at approximately \$259,000 and approximately \$8.0 million in held-to-maturity investments which are not recorded at fair value and thus are not included in the table above. The held-to-maturity investments consist of certificates of deposits and tax-exempt state and municipal bonds and are recorded at amortized cost. We obtain fair values for these securities from third-party broker statements. We derive the fair value amounts primarily from quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets. These investments consisted of the following at January 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | January 31, 2011 | | | Fair value |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| | Carrying value | Unrealized Gain | Unrealized Loss | |
| Held-to-maturity: | | | | |
| Certificates of Deposit | 784 | | | 784 |
| Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds | 7,173 | 120 | (88) | 7,205 |
| | 7,957 | 120 | (88) | 7,989 |

As of January 31, 2011, we had two held-to-maturity investments that were in a loss position for greater than 1 year. The carrying value of these investments at January 31, 2011 was approximately \$166,000 and the fair value was approximately \$78,000.

The contractual maturity of debt securities classified as held to maturity at January 31, 2011 was as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| Due within one year | \$ 5,515 |
| Due between one and two years | 1,978 |
| Due between two and three years | 464 |
| Due after three years | |
| | \$ 7,957 |

The Fair Value Option within the Financial Instruments Topic of the FASB's Accounting Standards Codification permits but does not require us to measure financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. We did not elect to measure at fair value any of our financial instruments under the guidance.

I. Stock Repurchases

On August 19, 2002, our Board of Directors approved a resolution authorizing the repurchase of up to 2.0 million shares of our Class A common stock. We have made and will make these repurchases through open market purchases at prevailing market prices. The timing of any repurchase will depend upon market conditions, the market price of our common stock and management's assessment of our liquidity and cash flow needs. Under this repurchase plan, through January 31, 2011, we have repurchased 813,710 shares of common stock at a cost of approximately \$4.1 million. Under all repurchase plans as of January 31, 2011, we have repurchased 4,348,663 shares of common stock at a cost of approximately \$23.5 million.

J. Comprehensive Income

We have not included condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements since comprehensive income and net earnings presented in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations would be substantially the same.

K. Industry Segments

We provide our software solutions through three major business segments, which are further broken down into a total of four major product and service groups. The three business segments are (1) Supply Chain Management (SCM), (2) Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP), and (3) Information Technology (IT) Consulting.

Table of Contents

The SCM segment consists of Logility, a wholly-owned subsidiary (as of July 9, 2009), as well as its subsidiaries, DMI and Optiant, which provide collaborative supply chain solutions to streamline and optimize the forecasting, production, distribution and management of products between trading partners. The ERP segment consists of (i) American Software ERP, which provides purchasing and materials management, customer order processing, financial, e-commerce, Flow Manufacturing and traditional manufacturing solutions, and (ii) New Generation Computing (NGC), which provides industry-specific business software to both retailers and manufacturers in the Apparel, Sewn Products and Furniture industries. The IT Consulting segment consists of The Proven Method, Inc., an IT staffing and consulting services firm. We also provide support for our software products, such as software enhancements, documentation, updates, customer education, consulting, systems integration services, and maintenance.

Our chief operating decision maker is the President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO). While the CEO is apprised of a variety of financial metrics and information, we manage our business primarily on a segment basis, with the CEO evaluating performance based upon segment operating profit or loss that includes an allocation of common expenses, but excludes certain unallocated expenses.

Table of Contents

In the following table, we have broken down the intersegment transactions applicable to the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010:

| | Three Months Ended January 31, | | Nine Months Ended January 31, | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 2,845 | \$ 3,883 | \$ 10,084 | \$ 11,939 |
| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 11,913 | 11,026 | 33,464 | 31,697 |
| IT Consulting | 5,610 | 4,921 | 16,867 | 12,747 |
| | \$ 20,368 | \$ 19,830 | \$ 60,415 | \$ 56,383 |
| Operating income (loss) before intersegment eliminations: | | | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ (1,226) | \$ (754) | \$ (3,002) | \$ (2,364) |
| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 2,799 | 3,449 | 7,781 | 8,109 |
| IT Consulting | 213 | 80 | 798 | 220 |
| | \$ 1,786 | \$ 2,775 | \$ 5,577 | \$ 5,965 |
| Intersegment eliminations: | | | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ (437) | \$ (415) | \$ (1,267) | \$ (1,292) |
| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 437 | 415 | 1,267 | 1,292 |
| IT Consulting | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) after intersegment eliminations: | | | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ (1,663) | \$ (1,169) | \$ (4,269) | \$ (3,656) |
| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 3,236 | 3,864 | 9,048 | 9,401 |
| IT Consulting | 213 | 80 | 798 | 220 |
| | \$ 1,786 | \$ 2,775 | \$ 5,577 | \$ 5,965 |
| Capital expenditures: | | | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 34 | \$ 13 | \$ 58 | \$ 218 |
| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 63 | 23 | 359 | 197 |
| IT Consulting | 6 | | 6 | 3 |
| | \$ 103 | \$ 36 | \$ 423 | \$ 418 |
| Capitalized Software: | | | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 526 | 602 | 1,785 | 1,768 |
| IT Consulting | | | | |
| | \$ 526 | \$ 602 | \$ 1,785 | \$ 1,768 |
| Depreciation and amortization: | | | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 287 | \$ 304 | \$ 871 | \$ 898 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--------|----------|----------|
| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 810 | 230 | 1,952 | 781 |
| IT Consulting | 1 | | 2 | |
| | \$ 1,098 | \$ 534 | \$ 2,825 | \$ 1,679 |

Table of Contents

L. Contingencies

We more often than not indemnify our customers against damages and costs resulting from claims of patent, copyright or trademark infringement associated with use of our products. We have historically not been required to make any payments under such indemnifications. However, we continue to monitor the conditions that are subject to the indemnifications to identify whether it is probable that a loss has occurred, and would recognize any such losses under the indemnifications when those losses are estimable. In addition, we warrant to our customers that our products operate substantially in accordance with the software product's specifications. Historically, we have incurred no costs related to software product warranties and we do not expect to incur such costs in the future, and as such we have made no accruals for software product warranty costs. Additionally, we are involved in various claims arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

M. Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance amending and clarifying requirements for fair value measurements and disclosures. The guidance requires new disclosures on the transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 (quoted prices in active market for identical assets or liabilities) and Level 2 (significant other observable inputs) of the fair value measurement hierarchy, including the reasons and the timing of the transfers. Additionally, the guidance requires a roll forward of activities on purchases, sales, issuance and settlements of the assets and liabilities measured using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 fair value measurements). The new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009 except Level 3 reconciliation disclosures, which are effective for the fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The guidance became effective for us with the reporting period beginning February 1, 2010, except for the disclosure of the roll forward activities for Level 3 fair value measurements, which will become effective for us with the reporting period beginning February 1, 2011. Adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements and we do not expect the Level 3 reconciliation disclosures to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued a new accounting standard which provides guidance for arrangements with multiple deliverables which are not within the scope of the current software revenue recognition guidance. Specifically, the new standard requires an entity to allocate consideration at the inception of an arrangement to all of its deliverables based on their relative selling prices. In the absence of VSOE or third-party evidence of the selling prices, consideration must be allocated to the deliverables based on management's best estimate of the selling prices. In addition, the new standard eliminates the use of the residual method of allocation. In October 2009, the FASB also issued a new accounting standard which changes revenue recognition for tangible products containing software and hardware elements. Specifically, tangible products containing software and hardware that function together to deliver the tangible products' essential functionality are scoped out of the existing software revenue recognition guidance and will be accounted for under the multiple-element arrangements revenue recognition guidance discussed above. Both standards will be effective for us in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. We do not expect our adoption of these standards to have a material impact on our fiscal 2012 consolidated financial statements.

N. Subsequent Event

On February 14, 2011, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.09 per share of our Class A and Class B common stock. The cash dividend is payable on May 31, 2011 to Class A and Class B shareholders of record at the close of business on May 13, 2011.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations **FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements relating to our future financial performance, business strategy, financing plans and other future events that involve uncertainties and risks. You can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as anticipate, intend, plan, continue, could, grow, may, potential, predict, strive will, seek, estimate, believe, expect, and similar uncertainty of future events or outcomes. Any forward-looking statements we make herein are pursuant to the safe harbor provision of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning future:

results of operations;

liquidity, cash flow and capital expenditures;

demand for and pricing of our products and services;

viability and effectiveness of strategic alliances;

industry and market conditions;

acquisition activities and the effect of completed acquisitions; and

general economic conditions.

Although we believe that the goals, plans, expectations, and prospects that our forward-looking statements reflect are reasonable in view of the information currently available to us, those statements are not guarantees of performance. There are many factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those anticipated by forward-looking statements made herein. These factors include, but are not limited to, continuing U.S. and global economic uncertainty, the timing and degree of business recovery, unpredictability and the irregular pattern of future revenues, dependence on particular market segments or customers, competitive pressures, delays, product liability and warranty claims and other risks associated with new product development, undetected software errors, market acceptance of our products, technological complexity, the challenges and risks associated with integration of acquired product lines, companies and services, as well as a number of other risk factors that could affect our future performance. All forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-Q are based upon information available to us as of the filing date of this Form 10-Q. We undertake no obligation to update any of these forward-looking statements for any reason. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these statements. We discuss certain factors in greater detail in [Business Overview](#) below. The terms [fiscal 2011](#) and [fiscal 2010](#) refer to our fiscal years ending April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

ECONOMIC OVERVIEW

Corporate capital spending trends and commitments are the primary determinants of the size of the market for business software. Corporate capital spending is, in turn, a function of general economic conditions in the U.S. and abroad and in particular may be affected by conditions in U.S. global credit markets. In recent years, the weakness in the overall world economy and the U.S. economy in particular, has resulted in reduced expenditures in the business software market.

For calendar 2011, we expect the world economy to continue to be weak, which could result in a continuation of the difficult selling environment. Overall information technology spending continues to be relatively weak as a result of the current global economic environment, particularly in the United States. However, we experienced some improvement in our license fee sales pipeline in our SCM business unit. We

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

believe information technology spending will incrementally improve over the long term as increased global competition forces companies to improve productivity by upgrading their technology systems. Although this improvement could slow or regress at any time, due in part to concerns in global capital markets and general economic conditions, we believe that our organizational and financial structure will enable us to take advantage of any sustained economic rebound. Customers continue to take long periods to evaluate discretionary software purchases.

We believe weak economic conditions may be driving some businesses to focus on achieving more process and efficiency improvements in their operations and to invest in solutions that improve operating margins, rather than make large infrastructure-type technology purchases. If this trend continues, we believe it may tend to favor solutions such as our Logility supply chain solutions, which are designed to provide a more rapid return on investment and are targeted at some of the largest profit drivers in a customer's business. While the current economic crisis has had a particularly adverse impact on the weaker companies in our target markets, we believe a larger percentage of our customers are seeking to make investments to strengthen their operations, and some are taking advantage of current economic conditions to gain market share.

Table of Contents

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

American Software was incorporated as a Georgia corporation in 1970. We develop, market and support a portfolio of software and services that deliver enterprise management and collaborative supply chain solutions to the global marketplace. We have designed our software and services to bring business value to enterprises by supporting their operations over intranets, extranets, client/servers or the Internet. References to the Company, our products, our software, our services and similar references include the appropriate business unit actually providing the product or service.

We provide our software solutions through three major business segments, which are further broken down into a total of four major product and service groups. The three business segments are (1) Supply Chain Management (SCM), (2) Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) and (3) Information Technology (IT) Consulting. The SCM segment consists of Logility, a wholly-owned subsidiary (as of July 9, 2009) that provides collaborative supply chain solutions to streamline and optimize the production, distribution and management of products between trading partners. The ERP segment consists of (i) American Software ERP, which provides purchasing and materials management, customer order processing, financial, e-commerce, Flow Manufacturing and traditional manufacturing solutions, and (ii) New Generation Computing (NGC), which provides industry-specific business software to both retailers and manufacturers in the apparel, sewn products and furniture industries. The IT Consulting segment consists of The Proven Method, an IT staffing and consulting services firm.

We derive revenues primarily from three sources: software licenses, services and other, and maintenance. We generally determine software license fees based on the number of modules, servers, users and/or sites licensed. Services and other revenues consist primarily of fees from software implementation, training, consulting and customization services. We primarily bill under time and materials arrangements and recognize revenues as we perform services. We typically enter into maintenance agreements for a one- to three-year term at the time of the initial product license. We generally bill maintenance fees annually in advance and then recognize the resulting revenues ratably over the term of the maintenance agreement. Deferred revenues represent advance payments or billings for software licenses, services and maintenance billed in advance of the time we recognize the related revenues.

Our cost of revenue for licenses includes amortization of capitalized computer software development costs, royalties paid to third-party software vendors, and agent commission expenses related to license revenues generated by the indirect channel, primarily from DMI. Costs for maintenance and services include the cost of personnel to conduct implementations and customer support, consulting, other personnel-related expenses, and agent commission expenses related to maintenance revenues generated by the indirect channel, primarily from DMI. We account for the development costs of software intended for sale in accordance with the Intangibles Goodwill and Other topic of FASB's Accounting Standards Codification. We monitor the net realizable value of our capitalized software on a quarterly basis based on an estimate of future product revenues. We currently expect to fully recover the value of the capitalized software asset recorded on our consolidated balance sheet; however, if future product revenues are less than management's current expectations, we may incur a write-down of capitalized software costs.

Our selling expenses generally include the salary and commissions paid to our sales professionals, along with marketing, promotional, travel and associated costs. Our general and administrative expenses generally include the salary and benefits paid to executive, corporate and support personnel, as well as facilities-related costs, utilities, communications expenses, and various professional fees. DMI sells its products primarily through indirect channels.

We currently view the following factors as the primary opportunities and risks associated with our business:

Dependence on Capital Spending Patterns. There is risk associated with our dependence on the capital spending patterns of U.S. and international businesses, which in turn are functions of economic trends and conditions over which we have no control.

Acquisition Opportunities. There are opportunities for selective acquisitions or investments to provide opportunities to expand our sales distribution channels and/or broaden our product offering by providing additional solutions for our target markets.

Acquisition Risks. There are risks associated with acquisitions of complementary companies, products and technologies, including the risks that we will not achieve the financial and strategic goals that we contemplate at the time of the transaction. More specifically, in any acquisition we will face risks and challenges associated with the uncertain value of the acquired business or assets, and the difficulty of assimilating operations and personnel, integrating acquired technologies and products and maintaining the loyalty of the customers of the acquired business.

Competitive Technologies. There is a risk that our competitors may develop technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to our technology.

Competition in General. There are risks inherent in the market for business application software and related services, which has been and continues to be intensely competitive. As examples, some of our competitors may become more aggressive with their prices and/or payment terms, which may adversely affect our profit margins, and other competitors may be able to compete on the basis of bundling their software with other products or services that we do not offer.

Table of Contents

A discussion of a number of additional risk factors associated with our business is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2010.

COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three-Month Comparisons. The following table sets forth certain revenue and expense items as a percentage of total revenues and the percentage changes in those items for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010:

| | Three Months Ended January 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| | Percentage of Total Revenues | | Pct. Change in Dollars |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 vs 2010 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| License | 20% | 23% | (9)% |
| Services and other | 43 | 42 | 4 |
| Maintenance | 37 | 35 | 8 |
| Total revenues | 100 | 100 | 3 |
| Cost of revenues: | | | |
| License | 8 | 5 | 72 |
| Services and other | 32 | 31 | 7 |
| Maintenance | 9 | 8 | 10 |
| Total cost of revenues | 49 | 44 | 14 |
| Gross margin | 51 | 56 | (7) |
| Research and development | 9 | 8 | 20 |
| Sales and marketing | 18 | 18 | (1) |
| General and administrative | 14 | 15 | (3) |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles | 1 | | nm |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | | | nm |
| Total operating expenses | 42 | 41 | 3 |
| Operating income | 9 | 14 | (36) |
| Other income: | | | |
| Interest income | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Other, net | | (1) | nm |
| Earnings before income taxes | 11 | 15 | (25) |
| Income tax expense | 2 | 6 | (59) |
| Net earnings | 9% | 9% | (4)% |

nm - not meaningful

Table of Contents

Nine-Month Comparisons. The following table sets forth certain revenue and expense items as a percentage of total revenues and the percentage changes in those items for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010:

| | Nine Months Ended January 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| | Percentage of Total Revenues | | Pct. Change in Dollars |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 vs 2010 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| License | 19% | 22% | (9)% |
| Services and other | 45 | 41 | 17 |
| Maintenance | 36 | 37 | 6 |
| Total revenues | 100 | 100 | 7 |
| Cost of revenues: | | | |
| License | 6 | 5 | 37 |
| Services and other | 33 | 29 | 22 |
| Maintenance | 9 | 9 | 2 |
| Total cost of revenues | 48 | 43 | 19 |
| Gross margin | 52 | 57 | (2) |
| Research and development | 9 | 9 | 13 |
| Sales and marketing | 18 | 20 | (4) |
| General and administrative | 15 | 18 | (11) |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles | 1 | | nm |
| Provision for (recovery of) doubtful accounts | | (1) | (114) |
| Total operating expenses | 43 | 46 | (1) |
| Operating income | 9 | 11 | (7) |
| Other income (expense): | | | |
| Interest income | 2 | 2 | (3) |
| Other, net | | | nm |
| Earnings before income taxes | 11 | 13 | (4) |
| Income tax expense | 4 | 5 | (16) |
| Net earnings | 8% | 8% | 5% |

nm - not meaningful

COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2011 AND 2010**Revenue**

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended January 31, | | % Change | % of Total Revenue | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands) | | | | |
| License | \$ 4,197 | \$ 4,602 | (9)% | 20% | 23% |
| Services and other | 8,711 | 8,349 | 4% | 43% | 42% |
| Maintenance | 7,460 | 6,879 | 8% | 37% | 35% |
| Total revenues | \$ 20,368 | \$ 19,830 | 3% | 100% | 100% |

Table of Contents

| | Nine Months Ended January 31, | | | % of Total Revenue | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | % Change | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands) | | | | |
| License | \$ 11,257 | \$ 12,325 | (9)% | 19% | 22% |
| Services and other | 27,409 | 23,445 | 17% | 45% | 41% |
| Maintenance | 21,749 | 20,613 | 6% | 36% | 37% |
| Total revenues | \$ 60,415 | \$ 56,383 | 7% | 100% | 100% |

For the three months ended January 31, 2011, the 3% increase in revenues from the three months ended January 31, 2010 was attributable primarily to an 8% increase in maintenance revenues and, to a lesser extent, a 4% increase in services and other revenues, partially offset by a 9% decrease in license revenues. For the nine months ended January 31, 2011, the 7% increase in revenues from the nine months ended January 31, 2010 was attributable primarily to a 17% increase in services and other revenues and, to a lesser extent, a 6% increase in maintenance revenues. These increases during the nine-month period were partially offset by a 9% decrease in license fee revenues when compared to the same period last year. The primary reason for the increase in services and other revenues in the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011 was an improvement in our IT consulting services due to increased demand for IT temporary staff and project services, and to a lesser extent an increase in the level of implementation services at our SCM and ERP business units. The primary reason for the decrease in license revenues in the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011 was a decrease in our sales close rates when compared to the same period last year due to uncertainty in the overall economy that is extending the sales close cycles.

Due to intensely competitive markets we do discount license fees from our published list price due to pricing pressure in our industry. Numerous factors contribute to the amount of the discounts provided, such as previous customer purchases, the number of customer sites utilizing the software, the number of modules purchased and the number of users, as well as the overall size of the contract. While all these factors may affect the discount amount of a particular contract, the overall percentage discount has not materially changed in the recent reported fiscal periods.

The change in our revenues from period to period is primarily due to the volume of products and related services sold in any period and the amount of products or modules purchased with each sale.

International revenues represented approximately 15% and 14% of total revenues in the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, respectively, and represented approximately 10% and 11% of total revenues in the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively. Our revenues, in particular our international revenues, may fluctuate substantially from period to period primarily because we derive most of our license fee revenues from a relatively small number of customers in a given period.

License Revenue

| | Three Months Ended January 31, | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | % Change |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 452 | \$ 587 | (23)% |
| Supply Chain Management | 3,745 | 4,015 | (7)% |
| Total license revenues | \$ 4,197 | \$ 4,602 | (9)% |

| | Nine Months Ended January 31, | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | % Change |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 1,731 | \$ 1,987 | (13)% |
| Supply Chain Management | 9,526 | 10,338 | (8)% |
| Total license revenues | \$ 11,257 | \$ 12,325 | (9)% |

Table of Contents

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, license fee revenues decreased 9% when compared to the same periods in the prior year. Both our ERP and SCM units experienced a decline in license fee close rates in the third quarter of the current fiscal year when compared to the same period last year. While we experience some quarterly fluctuation due to the timing of signing license fee agreements, overall the financial crisis that emerged during the past three years has interfered with customers' normal sources of financing and has greatly increased the level of uncertainty about future economic conditions. In the three months ended January 31, 2011, license fee revenues from Logility decreased 7% and in the nine months ended January 31, 2011 license fee revenues from Logility decreased 8%, when compared to the corresponding periods in the prior year. We believe that the decrease in the third quarter was due primarily to timing of closing license fee deals. However, we do see an improvement in the sales pipeline activity that may result in an improvement in license fee sales in the future. Logility constituted 89% and 85% of total license fee revenues for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, respectively, compared to 87% and 84% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively. Our ERP business unit license fees decreased by 23% and 13% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, respectively, when compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to decreased license fee sales to our legacy ERP installed base when compared to the same periods last year.

The direct sales channel provided approximately 55% and 58% of license fee revenues for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, respectively, compared to approximately 70% and 68% of license fee revenues for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively. The third quarter decrease in direct sales when compared to the same period last year is due to decreased sales of our Voyager products to customers that our direct channel primarily targets, i.e., large and midsized companies. We have observed that large and midsized companies are taking more time to evaluate projects than they did in prior periods. Our indirect sales channel, which primarily targets smaller companies that tend to be more reliant on external sources of financing, experienced an increase in sales close rates in the third quarter due to improvement in the capital markets. For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, our margins after commissions on direct sales were approximately 84% and 86% compared to 84% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively. For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, our margins after commissions on indirect sales were approximately 51% and 52%, respectively, compared to 46% and 43% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively. The indirect channel margins for the current periods increased when compared to the same periods in the prior year because temporary commission draws that had been given to several new value-added resellers (VARs) for several months in the prior year, to assist in the selling process, were no longer in effect. These margin calculations include only commission expense for comparative purposes and do not include other costs of license fees such as amortization of capitalized software.

Services and Other Revenue

| | Three Months Ended January 31, | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | % Change |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 1,329 | \$ 2,182 | (39)% |
| Supply Chain Management | 1,772 | 1,246 | 42% |
| IT Consulting | 5,610 | 4,921 | 14% |
| Total services and other revenues | \$ 8,711 | \$ 8,349 | 4% |

| | Nine Months Ended January 31, | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | % Change |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 5,165 | \$ 6,539 | (21)% |
| Supply Chain Management | 5,377 | 4,159 | 29% |
| IT Consulting | 16,867 | 12,747 | 32% |
| Total services and other revenues | \$ 27,409 | \$ 23,445 | 17% |

Table of Contents

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, services revenue increased by 4% and 17%, respectively, due to increased services revenues from our IT Consulting business segment and SCM implementation services, partially offset by a decrease in consulting services in our ERP business segment. For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, services and other revenues from Logility (SCM) increased by 42% and 29%, respectively, when compared to the prior year periods. Logility services revenues increased for the current quarter due to increased services implementations from prior period license fee sales. For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, our IT Consulting segment's revenues increased 14% and 32%, respectively, when compared to the prior year periods due to an increase in IT staffing and project work from customers. This typically occurs at the early stages of an economic recovery since companies are more inclined to hire temporary staff than permanent staff. For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, our ERP segment's revenues decreased 39% and 21%, respectively, when compared to the prior year periods. As noted in our Form 10-Q for the first quarter of fiscal 2011, a large ERP customer informed us that after August 2010 it would not renew a services agreement that has been in place for more than ten years. During fiscal 2010 this agreement represented approximately \$1.1 million in ERP services revenue per quarter. The loss of this revenue resulted in a substantial reduction in services revenues in our ERP segment commencing in the second quarter of fiscal 2011. This services agreement was unique to this customer, and therefore we do not believe that the non-renewal of the agreement reflects a trend that will affect other services agreements or customer relationships. We have taken appropriate cost reduction actions to mitigate the earnings impact of this lost revenue.

We have observed that there is a tendency for services and other revenues, other than from IT Consulting, to lag changes in license revenues by one to three quarters, as new licenses in one quarter often involve implementation and consulting services in subsequent quarters, for which we recognize revenues only as we perform those services.

Maintenance Revenue

| | Three months Ended January 31, | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | % Change |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 1,064 | \$ 1,114 | (4)% |
| Supply Chain Management | 6,396 | 5,765 | 11% |
| Total maintenance revenues | \$ 7,460 | \$ 6,879 | 8% |

| | Nine months Ended January 31, | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | % Change |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 3,188 | \$ 3,413 | (7)% |
| Supply Chain Management | 18,561 | 17,200 | 8% |
| Total maintenance revenues | \$ 21,749 | \$ 20,613 | 6% |

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, maintenance revenues increased 8% and 6% when compared to the same periods in the prior year, respectively, due primarily to improved renewal rates in our SCM unit and to a lesser extent from our Optiant acquisition that closed in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010, which experienced an 11% and 8% increase in maintenance revenue for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, respectively, when compared to the same periods last year. Our legacy ERP unit experienced decreases of 4% and 7%, respectively, for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011 compared to the same periods in the prior year due to lower license fee sales and renewal rates when compared to the same periods in the prior year. Logility accounted for 86% and 85% of total maintenance revenues for the three- and nine-month periods ended January 31, 2011, respectively, compared to 84% and 83% of total maintenance revenues for the three- and nine-month periods ended January 31, 2010, respectively. Typically, our maintenance revenues have had a direct relationship to current and historic license fee revenues, since new licenses are the potential source of new maintenance customers.

Table of Contents**Gross Margin**

The following table provides both dollar amounts and percentage measures of gross margin:

| (\$000s omitted) | Three months ended January 31, | | | | Nine months ended January 31, | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|------------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| Gross margin on license fees: | \$ 2,588 | 62% | \$ 3,668 | 80% | \$ 7,505 | 67% | \$ 9,588 | 78% |
| Gross margin on services and other: | 2,089 | 24% | 2,156 | 26% | 7,579 | 28% | 6,946 | 30% |
| Gross margin on maintenance: | 5,669 | 76% | 5,249 | 76% | 16,457 | 76% | 15,433 | 75% |
| Termination Benefits | | | | | (219) | | | |
| Total gross margin: | \$ 10,346 | 51% | \$ 11,073 | 56% | \$ 31,322 | 52% | \$ 31,967 | 57% |
| Total gross margin excluding termination benefits | \$ 10,346 | 51% | \$ 11,073 | 56% | \$ 31,541 | 52% | \$ 31,967 | 57% |

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, total gross margin percentage decreased when compared to the same periods in the prior year primarily due to a decrease in our gross margin on license fees and to a lesser extent our gross margin on services and other. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in our gross margin on maintenance.

Gross Margin on License Fees

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, gross margin on license fees decreased when compared to the same periods in the prior year due to the higher computer software amortization expense and, to a lesser extent, lower overall license fee revenue. Furthermore, the proportion of license fee sales through our indirect channel increased compared to the prior periods. The related VAR commissions are expensed to cost of license fees, resulting in lower gross margins. On July 27, 2010 we announced the general availability of our Logility Voyager Solution Version 8.0 software at our SCM business unit. As a result of this release, during the three- and nine-month periods ended January 31, 2011, amortization of capitalized computer software development costs increased by \$534,000 and \$888,000, respectively, when compared to the same periods last year. License fee gross margin percentage tends to be directly related to the level of license fee revenues due to the relatively fixed cost of computer software amortization expense, amortization of acquired software and the sales mix between our direct and indirect channels.

Gross Margin on Services and Other

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, the gross margin percentage on services and other revenue decreased 2%, when compared to the same periods in the prior fiscal year. Services revenue in our lower margin IT Consulting segment, The Proven Method, Inc (TPM), increased, causing TPM to represent a larger proportion of our services and other revenues for these periods when compared to the prior year. When TPM represents a larger proportion of services and other revenues, there tends to be a decline in gross margin in the segment as a whole. The impact of this in the recent periods was partially offset by higher services margins at our SCM business unit as a result of higher services revenue. Services and other gross margin normally are directly related to the level of services and other revenues. The primary component of cost of services and other revenues is services staffing, which is relatively inelastic in the short term.

Gross Margin on Maintenance

Maintenance gross margin percentage was constant for the three months ended January 31, 2011 when compared to the same period last year and increased by 1% for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 when compared to the same period in the period year as a result of higher maintenance revenue and cost containment efforts. Maintenance gross margin normally is directly related to the level of maintenance revenues. The primary component of cost of maintenance revenue is maintenance staffing, which is relatively inelastic in the short term.

Termination Benefits

As noted in our Form 10-Q for the first quarter of fiscal 2011, a large ERP customer informed us that after August 2010 it would not renew a services agreement that has been in place for more than ten years. As a result, during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 we took appropriate cost

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

reduction efforts, including reducing headcount, to mitigate the earnings impact of the lost revenue. This services agreement was unique to this customer, and therefore we do not believe that the non-renewal of the agreement reflects a trend that will affect other services agreements or customer relationships.

Table of Contents**Expenses**

| | Three Months Ended January 31, % of Revenue | | | | Nine Months Ended January 31, % of Revenue | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|------|------|---|------------------------|------|------|
| | 2011 (in thousands) | 2010 (in thousands) | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 (in thousands) | 2010 (in thousands) | 2011 | 2010 |
| Research and development | \$ 1,921 | \$ 1,607 | 9% | 8% | \$ 5,607 | \$ 4,979 | 9% | 9% |
| Sales and marketing | 3,628 | 3,653 | 18% | 18% | 10,781 | 11,182 | 18% | 20% |
| General and administrative | 2,876 | 2,951 | 14% | 15% | 8,807 | 9,579 | 15% | 17% |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets | 135 | 87 | 1% | 0% | 550 | 262 | 1% | |
| Other income, net | 466 | 243 | 2% | 1% | 1,344 | 1,262 | 2% | 2% |
| Income tax expense | 488 | 1,177 | 2% | 6% | 2,310 | 2,762 | 4% | 5% |
| Noncontrolling interest | | | | | | (90) | | |

Research and Development

Gross product research and development costs include all non-capitalized and capitalized software development costs. A breakdown of the research and development costs is as follows:

| | Three months ended (in thousands) | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | January 31, 2011 | Percent Change | January 31, 2010 |
| Total capitalized computer software development costs | \$ 526 | (13)% | \$ 602 |
| Percentage of gross product research and development costs | 22% | | 27% |
| Total research and development expense | 1,921 | 20% | 1,607 |
| Percentage of total revenues | 9% | | 8% |
| Total research and development expense and capitalized computer software development costs | \$ 2,447 | 11% | \$ 2,209 |
| Percentage of total revenues | 12% | | 11% |
| Total amortization of capitalized computer software development costs * | \$ 629 | nm | \$ 95 |

| | Nine months ended (in thousands) | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | January 31, 2011 | Percent Change | January 31, 2010 |
| Total capitalized computer software development costs | \$ 1,785 | 1% | \$ 1,768 |
| Percentage of gross product research and development costs | 24% | | 26% |
| Total research and development expense | 5,607 | 13% | 4,979 |
| Percentage of total revenues | 9% | | 9% |
| Total research and development expense and capitalized computer software development costs | \$ 7,392 | 10% | \$ 6,747 |
| Percentage of total revenues | 12% | | 12% |
| Total amortization of capitalized computer software development costs * | \$ 1,263 | nm | \$ 375 |

* Included in cost of license fees
nm - not meaningful

Table of Contents

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, gross product research and development costs increased when compared to the same periods in the previous fiscal year due to an increase in research and development spending by our Logility subsidiary related to the Optiant acquisition in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010 and enhancement of several software products. Capitalized software development costs decreased for the three months ended January 31, 2011 when compared to the same period last year due to timing of capitalizable project work. We expect capitalized product development costs to be lower in coming quarters as a result of fewer capitalizable research and development projects; however, we expect capitalized software amortization expense to increase in fiscal 2011 when compared to fiscal 2010 as a result of the completion of Logility's Voyager 8.0 product release announced on July 27, 2010. Costs included in gross product development are salaries of product development personnel, hardware lease expense, computer software expense, telephone expense and rent.

Sales and Marketing

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, sales and marketing expenses decreased 1% and 4%, respectively, when compared to the same periods a year ago. The 4% decrease for the nine-month period was due primarily to cost containment efforts, which were partially offset by increased costs related to the Optiant acquisition. We generally include commissions on indirect sales in cost of sales.

General and Administrative

For the three months ended January 31, 2011, the 3% decrease in general and administrative expenses when compared to the same period last year was primarily due to decreased legal, audit and tax consulting expense. For the nine months ended January 31, 2011, the 11% decrease in general and administrative expenses when compared to the same period last year was primarily due to expenses related to the Logility tender offer process that was concluded in the quarter ended July 31, 2009 and to a lesser extent to lower audit and tax consulting expense.

At January 31, 2011, the total number of employees was 281 compared to 286 at January 31, 2010.

Operating Income/(Loss)

| | Three Months Ended January 31, | | | Nine Months Ended January 31, | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------------|------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | % Change | 2011 | 2010 | % Change |
| | (in thousands) | | | (in thousands) | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | (\$ 1,226) | (\$ 754) | 63% | (\$ 3,002) | (\$ 2,364) | 27% |
| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 2,799 | 3,449 | (19)% | 7,781 | 8,109 | (4)% |
| IT Consulting | 213 | 80 | 166% | 798 | 220 | 263% |
| Total Operating Income | \$ 1,786 | \$ 2,775 | (36)% | \$ 5,577 | \$ 5,965 | (7)% |

Our ERP segment operating loss in the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011 was due primarily to the decline in revenues. In particular, the decline in service implementation fees and maintenance revenue was due to a difficult selling environment as a result of the overall general economic conditions in the U.S. In addition, effective following August 2010, a large ERP customer did not renew a service agreement that had been in place for more than ten years. During fiscal 2010, this agreement represented approximately \$1.1 million in ERP services revenue per quarter. We have taken appropriate cost reduction measures to mitigate the earnings impact of this lost revenue.

Our SCM segment's contribution to operating income decreased by 19% and 4% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011 respectively, compared to same periods last year. This decrease was primarily due to increased amortization of capitalized computer software development costs for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011 compared to same periods last year by \$534,000 and \$888,000, respectively, due to the general availability of our Logility Voyager Solution Version 8.0 software as of July 27, 2010.

Our IT consulting segment operating income increased 166% and 263% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, respectively, compared to same period in fiscal 2010 due primarily to 14% and 32% increases in revenues for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, respectively, and to a lesser extent higher operating margins when compared to the same periods last year. This increased revenue is a result of more IT staffing and project work from our customers, especially the IT consulting segment's principal customer, The Home Depot.

Table of Contents***Other Income***

Other income is comprised of net interest and dividend income, rental income net of related depreciation expenses, exchange rate gains and losses, and realized and unrealized gains and losses from investments. For the three months ended January 31, 2011, the increase in other income was due primarily to higher unrealized gains on investments as a result of improved financial market conditions when compared to the same period of the prior year. For the nine months ended January 31, 2011, the increase in other income was due primarily to higher unrealized gains on investments as a result of improved financial market conditions when compared to the same period of the prior year and to a lesser extent higher exchange rate gains and rental income when compared to the same period last year. We recorded total losses of approximately \$21,000 and \$66,000 for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, compared to total losses of approximately \$18,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2010 and total gains of \$249,000 nine months ended January 31, 2010, from our trading securities.

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, our investments generated an annualized yield of approximately 2.81% and 2.55%, respectively, compared to approximately 1.23% and 1.27% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively.

Income Taxes

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their tax bases. We measure deferred tax assets and liabilities using statutory tax rates in effect in the year in which we expect the differences to reverse. We establish a deferred tax asset for the expected future benefit of net operating loss and credit carry-forwards. Under the Income Tax Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, we cannot recognize a deferred tax asset for the future benefit of our net operating losses, tax credits and temporary differences unless we can establish that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset would be realized. During the three months ended January 31, 2011, our effective tax rate was 21.7% compared to our effective tax rate of 39.0% in the three months ended January 31, 2010. During the nine months ended January 31, 2011, our effective tax rate was 33.2% compared to our effective tax rate of 38.2% in the nine months ended January 31, 2010. The effective tax rates for the current fiscal periods are lower than the same periods last year due to the approval of the research and development tax credit during the current quarter which resulted in a catch-up credit adjustment for the period January 1, 2010 to January 31, 2011. We expect our effective rate to be between 34% and 37% during fiscal year 2011.

Noncontrolling Interest

Noncontrolling interest is a function of our majority-owned subsidiaries' earnings or losses, with noncontrolling interest losses recorded when these subsidiaries have earnings, and noncontrolling interest earnings recorded when they have losses. As of July 9, 2009, we acquired the remaining outstanding shares of Logility. Since we now own 100% of Logility, there will not be any noncontrolling interest recorded in future periods.

Operating Pattern

We experience an irregular pattern of quarterly operating results, caused primarily by fluctuations in both the number and size of software license contracts received and delivered from quarter to quarter and our ability to recognize revenues in that quarter in accordance with our revenue recognition policies. We expect this pattern to continue.

LIQUIDITY, CAPITAL RESOURCES AND FINANCIAL CONDITION***Sources and Uses of Cash***

We have historically funded, and continue to fund, our operations and capital expenditures primarily with cash generated from operating activities. The changes in net cash that our operating activities provide generally reflect the changes in net earnings and non-cash operating items plus the effect of changes in operating assets and liabilities, such as investment trading securities, trade accounts receivable, trade accounts payable, accrued expenses and deferred revenue. We have no debt obligations or off-balance sheet financing arrangements, and therefore we used no cash for debt service purposes.

Table of Contents

The following tables show information about our cash flows and liquidity positions during the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010. You should read this table and the discussion that follows in conjunction with our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows contained in Item 1. Financial Statements in Part I of this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2010.

| | Nine Months Ended January 31, (in thousands) | |
|---|---|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 1,450 | \$ (3,740) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 6,040 | 9,433 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (7,705) | (19,086) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | \$ (215) | \$ (13,393) |

For the nine months ended January 31, 2011, the net increase in cash provided by operating activities when compared to the same period last year was due primarily to: 1) an increase in deferred revenues due to timing of revenue recognition, 2) higher proceeds from the maturity of trading securities, 3) an increase in depreciation and amortization due to the increase in amortization expense from the Voyager 8.0 release in July 2010, 4) an increase in accounts payable and other accruals due to timing of payments, 5) a decrease in prepaid expenses due to the timing of marketing related purchases, 6) an increase in unrealized loss on investments compared to unrealized gains in the same period last year and 7) an increase in net earnings. This increase was partially offset by 1) lower proceeds from the sales of trading securities, 2) an increase in purchases of trading securities, 3) an increase in customer accounts receivables caused by the increase in sales and the timing of closing customer sales, 4) a decrease in bond amortization, 5) a decrease in deferred income taxes, 6) lower stock-based compensation expense, 7) lower tax benefit of stock options exercised and 8) lower minority interest in net earnings of subsidiary.

The decrease in cash provided by investing activities when compared to the same period in the prior year was due primarily to a decrease in the proceeds from the maturities of investments, an increase in capitalized computer software development costs and an increase in purchases of property and equipment.

Cash used in financing activities decreased when compared to the same period in the prior year due primarily to our repurchase of noncontrolling interest of Logility during the prior period and not in the current period, an increase in proceeds from exercise of stock options and an increase in excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation, partially offset by an increase in dividends paid and the repurchase of our common stock.

The following table shows net changes in total cash, cash equivalents, and investments, which is one measure management uses to view net total cash generated by our activities:

| | As of January 31, (in thousands) | |
|---|---|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 21,515 | \$ 24,236 |
| Short and long-term Investments | 30,957 | 29,519 |
| Total cash and short and long-term investments | \$ 52,472 | \$ 53,755 |

| | | |
|---|------------|-------------|
| Net decrease in total cash and investments (nine months ended January 31) | \$ (1,407) | \$ (17,339) |
|---|------------|-------------|

Our total activities used cash and investments during the nine months ended January 31, 2011, when compared to the prior year period, due primarily to the changes in operating assets and liabilities noted above and the payment of the quarterly dividend.

Days Sales Outstanding in accounts receivable were 60 days as of January 31, 2011, compared to 67 days as of January 31, 2010. Our current ratio was 2.5 to 1 on January 31, 2011 and January 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Our business in recent periods has generated substantial positive cash flow from operations, excluding purchases and proceeds of sale of trading securities. For this reason, and because we had \$52.5 million in cash and investments with no debt as of January 31, 2011, we believe that our sources of liquidity and capital resources will be sufficient to satisfy our presently anticipated requirements during at least the next twelve months for working capital, capital expenditures and other corporate needs. However, at some future date we may need to seek additional sources of capital to meet our requirements. If such need arises, we may be required to raise additional funds through equity or debt financing. We do not currently have a bank line of credit. We can provide no assurance that bank lines of credit or other financing will be available on terms acceptable to us. If available, such financing may result in dilution to our shareholders or higher interest expense.

On December 18, 1997, our Board of Directors approved a resolution authorizing the repurchase up to 1.5 million of our Class A Common Shares. On March 11, 1999, our Board of Directors approved a resolution authorizing us to repurchase an additional 700,000 shares for a total of up to 2.2 million of our Class A Common Shares. On August 19, 2002, our Board of Directors approved a resolution authorizing us to repurchase an additional 2.0 million shares for a total of up to 4.2 million of our Class A Common Shares. These repurchases have been and will be made through open market purchases at prevailing market prices. The timing of any repurchases will depend upon market conditions, the market price of our common stock and management's assessment of our liquidity and cash flow needs. Under these repurchase plans, as of March 10, 2011 we have repurchased approximately 3.0 million shares of common stock at a cost of approximately \$11.5 million.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

We have based the following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations on our financial statements, which we have prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Note 1 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2010, describes the significant accounting policies that we have used in preparing our financial statements. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including, but not limited to those related to VSOE, bad debts, capitalized software costs, goodwill, intangible asset impairment, stock-based compensation, income taxes and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Our actual results could differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the critical accounting policies listed below affect significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the financial statements.

Revenue Recognition. We recognize revenue in accordance with the Software Revenue Recognition Topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification. We recognize license revenues in connection with license agreements for standard proprietary software upon delivery of the software, provided we deem collection to be probable, the fee is fixed or determinable, there is evidence of an arrangement, and VSOE exists with respect to any undelivered elements of the arrangement. We generally bill maintenance fees annually in advance and recognize the resulting revenues ratably over the term of the maintenance agreement. We derive revenues from services which primarily include consulting, implementation, and training. We bill for these services primarily under time and materials arrangements and recognize fees as we perform the services. Deferred revenues represent advance payments or billings for software licenses, services, and maintenance billed in advance of the time we recognize revenues. We record revenues from sales of third-party products in accordance with Principal Agent Considerations within the Revenue Recognition Topic of the FASB's Accounting Standards Codification. Furthermore, we evaluate sales through our indirect channel on a case-by-case basis to determine whether the transaction should be recorded gross or net, including but not limited to assessing whether or not we 1) act as principal in the transaction, 2) take title to the products, 3) have risks and rewards of ownership, such as the risk of loss for collection, delivery, or returns, and 4) act as an agent or broker with compensation on a commission or fee basis. Accordingly, our sales through the DMI channel are typically recorded on a gross basis.

Generally, our software products do not require significant modification or customization. Installation of the products is routine and is not essential to their functionality. Our sales frequently include maintenance contracts and professional services with the sale of our software licenses. We have established VSOE for our maintenance contracts and professional services. We determine fair value based upon the prices we charge to customers when we sell these elements separately. We defer maintenance revenues, including those sold with the initial license fee, based on VSOE, and recognize the revenue ratably over the maintenance contract period. We recognize consulting and training service revenues, including those sold with license fees, as we perform the services based on their established VSOE. We determine the amount of revenue we allocate to the licenses sold with services or maintenance using the residual method of accounting. Under the residual method, we allocate the total value of the arrangement first to the undelivered elements based on their VSOE and allocate the remainder to license fees.

Table of Contents

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of customers to make required payments. If the financial condition of these customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, we may require additional allowances or we may defer revenue until we determine that collectibility is probable. We specifically analyze accounts receivable and historical bad debts, customer creditworthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms when we evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts.

Valuation of Long-Lived and Intangible Assets. In accordance with the Intangibles-Goodwill and Other Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, we do not amortize goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives. Our goodwill is subject to annual impairment tests, which require us to estimate the fair value of our business compared to the carrying value. The impairment reviews require an analysis of future projections and assumptions about our operating performance. Should such review indicate the assets are impaired, we would record an expense for the impaired assets.

In accordance with the Property, Plant, and Equipment Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and intangible assets, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability would be measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, we recognize an impairment charge in the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. The determination of estimated future cash flows, however, requires management to make estimates. Future events and changes in circumstances may require us to record a significant impairment charge in the period in which such events or changes occur. Impairment testing requires considerable analysis and judgment in determining results. If other assumptions and estimates were used in our evaluations, the results could differ significantly.

Annual tests or other future events could cause us to conclude that impairment indicators exist and that our goodwill is impaired. For example, if we had reason to believe that our recorded goodwill and intangible assets had become impaired due to decreases in the fair market value of the underlying business, we would have to take a charge to income for that portion of goodwill or intangible assets that we believed was impaired. Any resulting impairment loss could have a material adverse impact on our financial position and results of operations. At January 31, 2011, our goodwill balance was \$12.6 million and our intangible assets with definite lives balance was approximately \$2.0 million, net of accumulated amortization.

Valuation of Capitalized Software Assets. We capitalize certain computer software development costs in accordance with the Intangibles-Goodwill and Other Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. Costs incurred internally to create a computer software product or to develop an enhancement to an existing product are charged to expense when incurred as research and development expense until technological feasibility for the respective product is established. Thereafter, we capitalize all software development costs and report those costs at the lower of unamortized cost or net realizable value. Capitalization ceases when the product or enhancement is available for general release to customers. We make ongoing evaluations of the recoverability of our capitalized software projects by comparing the amount capitalized for each product to the estimated net realizable value of the product. If such evaluations indicate that the unamortized software development costs exceed the net realizable value, we write off the amount by which the unamortized software development costs exceed net realizable value. We amortize capitalized computer software development costs ratably based on the projected revenues associated with the related software or on a straight-line basis over three years, whichever method results in a higher level of amortization. Amortization of capitalized computer software development costs is included in the cost of license revenues in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Stock-Based Compensation. We estimate the value of options granted on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Management judgments and assumptions related to volatility, the expected term and the forfeiture rate are made in connection with the calculation of stock compensation expense. We periodically review all assumptions used in our stock option pricing model. Changes in these assumptions could have a significant impact on the amount of stock compensation expense.

Income Taxes. We provide for the effect of income taxes on our financial position and results of operations in accordance with the Income Tax Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. Under this accounting guidance, income tax expense is recognized for the amount of income taxes payable or refundable for the current year and for the change in net deferred tax assets or liabilities resulting from events that are recorded for financial reporting purposes in a different reporting period than recorded in the tax return. Management must make significant assumptions, judgments and estimates to determine our current provision for income taxes and also our deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance to be recorded against our net deferred tax asset. Our judgments, assumptions and estimates relative to the current provision for income tax take into account current tax laws, our interpretation of current tax laws, allowable deductions, and projected tax credits. Changes in tax law or our interpretation of tax laws could significantly impact the amounts provided for income taxes in our financial position and results of operations. Our assumptions, judgments and estimates relative to the value of our deferred tax assets take into account our expectations of the amount and category of future taxable income. Actual operating results and the underlying amount and category of income in future years, which could significantly increase tax expense, could render inaccurate our current assumptions, judgments and estimates of recoverable net

deferred taxes.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Foreign Currency. In the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, we generated approximately 15% and 14%, respectively, of our revenues outside the United States. We typically make international sales through our foreign subsidiary or our Logility subsidiary and denominate those sales typically in U.S. Dollars, British Pounds Sterling or Euros. However, expenses incurred in connection with these sales are typically denominated in the local currencies. We recorded exchange rate gains of approximately \$44,000 and \$91,000 for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, respectively, compared to exchange rate losses of approximately \$17,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2010 and gains of \$64,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2010. We estimate that a 10% movement in foreign currency rates would have had the effect of creating up to a \$156,000 exchange gain or loss for the nine months ended January 31, 2011. We have not engaged in any hedging activities.

Interest Rates and Other Market Risks. We have no debt, and therefore limit our discussion of interest rate risk to risk associated with our investment profile. We manage our interest rate risk by maintaining an investment portfolio of trading and held-to-maturity investments with high credit quality and relatively short average maturities. These instruments include, but are not limited to, money-market instruments, bank time deposits, and taxable and tax-advantaged variable rate and fixed rate obligations of corporations, municipalities, and national, state, and local government agencies, in accordance with an investment policy approved by our Board of Directors. These instruments are denominated in U.S. Dollars. The fair market value of these instruments as of January 31, 2011 was approximately \$49.5 million compared to \$53.8 million as of January 31, 2010.

We also hold cash balances in accounts with commercial banks in the United States and foreign countries. These cash balances represent operating balances only and are invested in short-term time deposits of the local bank. Such operating cash balances held at banks outside the United States are denominated in the local currency and are minor.

Many of our investments carry a degree of interest rate risk. When interest rates fall, our income from investments in variable-rate securities declines. When interest rates rise, the fair market value of our investments in fixed-rate securities declines. In addition, our investments in equity securities are subject to stock market volatility. Due in part to these factors, our future investment income may fall short of expectations or we may suffer losses in principal if forced to sell securities, which have seen a decline in market value due to changes in interest rates. We attempt to mitigate risk by holding fixed-rate securities to maturity, but, if our liquidity needs force us to sell fixed-rate securities prior to maturity, we may experience a loss of principal.

Inflation. Although we cannot accurately determine the amounts attributable thereto, we have been affected by inflation through increased costs of employee compensation and other operational expenses. To the extent permitted by the marketplace for our products and services, we attempt to recover increases in costs by periodically increasing prices.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As of the end of the period covered by this report, our management evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) under the supervision and with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer. Based on and as of the date of such evaluation, the aforementioned officers have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, with the assistance of our Disclosure Committee, have conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. We perform this evaluation on a quarterly basis so that the conclusions concerning the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures can be reported in our annual report on Form 10-K and quarterly reports on Form 10-Q. Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

We are not currently involved in legal proceedings requiring disclosure under this item.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A, Risk Factors, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2010.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) Not applicable

(b) Not applicable

(c) The following table summarizes repurchases of our stock in the three months ended January 31, 2011:

| Fiscal Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs* |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| November 1, 2010 through November 30, 2010 | 0 | \$ 0.00 | 0 | 1,186,290 |
| December 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 | 0 | \$ 0.00 | 0 | 1,186,290 |
| January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2011 | 0 | \$ 0.00 | 0 | 1,186,290 |
| Total Fiscal 2011 Third Quarter | 0 | \$ 0.00 | 0 | 1,186,290 |

* Our Board of Directors approved the above share purchase authority on August 19, 2002, when the Board approved a resolution authorizing us to repurchase up to 2.0 million shares of Class A common stock. This action was announced on August 22, 2002. The authorization has no expiration date.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits 31.1-31.2. Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications

Exhibit 32.1. Section 906 Certifications

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AMERICAN SOFTWARE, INC.

Date: March 10, 2011

By: /s/ James C. Edenfield
James C. Edenfield
President, Chief Executive Officer and Treasurer

Date: March 10, 2011

By: /s/ Vincent C. Klinges
Vincent C. Klinges
Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 10, 2011

By: /s/ Herman L. Moncrief
Herman L. Moncrief
Controller and Principal Accounting Officer

32