INTEL CORP
Form 10-Q
October 28, 2015

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)

þ	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	For the quarterly period ended September 26, 2015.

Or

¨	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 000-06217
INTEL CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	94-1672743
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, California	95054-1549
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

(408) 765-8080
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)
N/A
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
Yes þ No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes þ No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer þ	Accelerated filer ¨	Non-accelerated filer ¨ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ¨ No þ

Shares outstanding of the Registrant's common stock:

Class	Outstanding as of October 16, 2015
Common stock, $0.001 par value	4,719 million

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
INTEL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014
Net revenue	$14,465	$14,554	$40,441	$41,149
Cost of sales	5,354	5,096	15,352	15,161
Gross margin	9,111	9,458	25,089	25,988
Research and development	2,927	2,842	9,009	8,547
Marketing, general and administrative	1,910	1,979	5,812	6,087
Restructuring and asset impairment charges	14	20	367	238
Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles	68	77	198	222
Operating expenses	4,919	4,918	15,386	15,094
Operating income	4,192	4,540	9,703	10,894
Gains (losses) on equity investments, net	165	35	297	178
Interest and other, net	(104)	(25)	(91)	70
Income before taxes	4,253	4,550	9,909	11,142
Provision for taxes	1,144	1,233	2,102	3,099
Net income	$3,109	$3,317	$7,807	$8,043
Basic earnings per share of common stock	$0.65	$0.68	$1.64	$1.63
Diluted earnings per share of common stock	$0.64	$0.66	$1.59	$1.58
Cash dividends declared per share of common stock	$0.48	$0.45	$0.96	$0.90
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding:				
Basic	4,747	4,880	4,749	4,945
Diluted	4,876	5,045	4,900	5,095

See accompanying notes.

INTEL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014
Net income	$3,109	$3,317	$7,807	$8,043
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:				
Change in net unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale investments	(1,029)	303	(943)	217
Change in deferred tax asset valuation allowance	(5)	(26)	(13)	(30)
Change in net unrealized holding gains (losses) on derivatives	42	(184)	89	(173)
Change in net prior service (costs) credits	2	2	6	(41)
Change in actuarial valuation	11	(148)	30	(143)
Change in net foreign currency translation adjustment	(1)	(121)	(170)	(127)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(980)	(174)	(1,001)	(297)
Total comprehensive income	$2,129	$3,143	$6,806	$7,746

See accompanying notes.

INTEL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

(In Millions)	Sep 26, 2015	Dec 27, 2014
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	$7,065	$2,561
Short-term investments	7,119	2,430
Trading assets	6,659	9,063
Accounts receivable, net	4,101	4,427
Inventories	4,965	4,273
Deferred tax assets	1,992	1,958
Other current assets	4,304	3,018
Total current assets	36,205	27,730
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of $51,405 ($46,471 as of December 27, 2014)	31,597	33,238
Marketable equity securities	5,618	7,097
Other long-term investments	1,829	2,023
Goodwill	11,026	10,861
Identified intangible assets, net	4,022	4,446
Other long-term assets	8,255	6,505
Total assets	$98,552	$91,900
Liabilities, temporary equity, and stockholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term debt	$1,129	$1,596
Accounts payable	2,449	2,748
Accrued compensation and benefits	2,732	3,475
Accrued advertising	1,028	1,092
Deferred income	2,160	2,205
Other accrued liabilities	5,582	4,895
Total current liabilities	15,080	16,011
Long-term debt	20,059	12,059
Long-term deferred tax liabilities	2,502	3,775
Other long-term liabilities	2,909	3,278
Contingencies (Note 21)		
Temporary equity	905	912
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock	—	—
Common stock and capital in excess of par value, 4,731 issued and 4,730 outstanding (4,752 issued and 4,748 outstanding as of December 27, 2014)	23,001	21,781
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(335)	666
Retained earnings	34,431	33,418
Total stockholders' equity	57,097	55,865
Total liabilities, temporary equity, and stockholders' equity	$98,552	$91,900

See accompanying notes.

4

INTEL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

(In Millions)	Nine Months Ended Sep 26, 2015	Nine Months Ended Sep 27, 2014
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	$2,561	$5,674
Cash flows provided by (used for) operating activities:		
Net income	7,807	8,043
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	5,885	5,491
Share-based compensation	1,009	867
Restructuring and asset impairment charges	367	238
Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangements	(139)	(109)
Amortization of intangibles	680	884
(Gains) losses on equity investments, net	(245)	(124)
Deferred taxes	(1,093)	(347)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	332	(76)
Inventories	(640)	59
Accounts payable	(86)	(292)
Accrued compensation and benefits	(1,217)	(408)
Income taxes payable and receivable	774	(190)
Other assets and liabilities	156	611
Total adjustments	5,783	6,604
Net cash provided by operating activities	13,590	14,647
Cash flows provided by (used for) investing activities:		
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(4,998)	(7,962)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(538)	(193)
Purchases of available-for-sale investments	(7,522)	(6,077)
Sales of available-for-sale investments	1,040	786
Maturities of available-for-sale investments	2,153	7,396
Purchases of trading assets	(7,943)	(10,347)
Maturities and sales of trading assets	10,465	9,541
Investments in loans receivable and reverse repurchase agreements	(2,550)	(150)
Collection of loans receivable and reverse repurchase agreements	466	117
Investments in non-marketable equity investments	(1,864)	(1,330)
Other investing	380	218
Net cash used for investing activities	(10,911)	(8,001)
Cash flows provided by (used for) financing activities:		
Increase (decrease) in short-term debt, net	(473)	(202)
Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangements	139	109
Issuance of long-term debt, net of issuance costs	7,986	—
Proceeds from sales of common stock through employee equity incentive plans	696	1,566
Repurchase of common stock	(2,476)	(6,792)
Restricted stock unit withholdings	(416)	(314)
Payment of dividends to stockholders	(3,423)	(3,340)

Collateral associated with repurchase of common stock	325	—
Decrease in liability due to return of collateral associated with repurchase of common stock	(325)	—
Other financing	(209)	(199)
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	1,824	(9,172)
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash and cash equivalents	1	(5)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	4,504	(2,531)
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	$7,065	$3,143
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest, net of capitalized interest	$60	$59
Income taxes, net of refunds	$2,301	$3,579

See accompanying notes.

INTEL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited

Note 1: Basis of Presentation

We prepared our interim consolidated condensed financial statements that accompany these notes in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, consistent in all material respects with those applied in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 27, 2014 and Form 8-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on June 5, 2015. We have reclassified certain prior period amounts to conform to current period presentation.

We have a 52- or 53-week fiscal year that ends on the last Saturday in December. Fiscal year 2016 is a 53-week fiscal year, and the first quarter of 2016 will be a 14-week quarter.

As a result of our integration of McAfee Inc. (McAfee) in the third quarter of 2015, the functional currency for operations previously acquired from McAfee was changed to U.S. dollar, making U.S. dollar the functional currency for Intel and our subsidiaries.

We have made estimates and judgments affecting the amounts reported in our consolidated condensed financial statements and the accompanying notes. The actual results that we experience may differ materially from our estimates. The interim financial information is unaudited, but reflects all normal adjustments that are, in our opinion, necessary to provide a fair statement of results for the interim periods presented. This interim information should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 27, 2014 and as updated by the Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 5, 2015.

Note 2: Recent Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued a new standard to achieve a consistent application of revenue recognition within the U.S., resulting in a single revenue model to be applied by reporting companies under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Under the new model, recognition of revenue occurs when a customer obtains control of promised goods or services in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In addition, the new standard requires that reporting companies disclose the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. On July 9, 2015, the FASB agreed to delay the effective date by one year. In accordance with the agreed upon delay, the new standard is effective for us beginning in the first quarter of 2018 and we expect to adopt it at that time. The new standard is required to be applied retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying it recognized at the date of initial application. We have not yet selected a transition method nor have we determined the impact of the new standard on our consolidated condensed financial statements.

In the third quarter of 2015, we adopted an amended standard simplifying the presentation of debt issuance costs as a direct deduction from the carrying value of the debt liability rather than showing the debt issuance costs as an asset. We have applied the amendment retrospectively to the comparable period presented and it did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

Note 3: Fair Value

Fair value is the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining fair value, we consider the principal or most advantageous market in which we would transact, and we consider assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. Our financial assets are measured and recorded at fair value, except for cost method investments, cost method loans receivable, equity method investments, grants receivable, and reverse repurchase agreements with original maturities greater than approximately three months. Substantially all of our liabilities are not measured and recorded at fair value.

Fair Value Hierarchy

The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1. Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2. Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in less active markets, or model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable or can be derived principally from or corroborated with observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs also include non-binding market consensus prices that can be corroborated with observable market data, as well as quoted prices that were adjusted for security-specific restrictions.

Level 3. Unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of assets or liabilities. Level 3 inputs also include non-binding market consensus prices or non-binding broker quotes that we were unable to corroborate with observable market data.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Assets and Liabilities Measured and Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis at the end of each period were as follows:

	September 26, 2015 Fair Value Measured and Recorded at Reporting Date Using				December 27, 2014 Fair Value Measured and Recorded at Reporting Date Using			
(In Millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets								
Cash equivalents:								
Corporate debt	$—	$3,607	$—	$3,607	$—	$48	$—	$48
Financial institution instruments	100	1,756	—	1,856	321	1,119	—	1,440
Government debt	6	187	—	193	—	—	—	—
Reverse repurchase agreements	—	668	—	668	—	268	—	268
Short-term investments:								
Corporate debt	263	1,960	30	2,253	363	412	31	806
Financial institution instruments	105	2,341	—	2,446	149	1,050	—	1,199
Government debt	81	2,339	—	2,420	252	173	—	425
Trading assets:								
Asset-backed securities	—	384	55	439	—	766	58	824
Corporate debt	1,626	569	—	2,195	2,625	339	—	2,964
Financial institution instruments	964	541	—	1,505	1,146	613	—	1,759
Government debt	974	1,546	—	2,520	1,295	2,221	—	3,516
Other current assets:								
Derivative assets	—	437	1	438	—	559	2	561
Loans receivable	—	140	—	140	—	505	—	505
Marketable equity securities	5,498	120	—	5,618	7,097	—	—	7,097
Other long-term investments:								
Asset-backed securities	—	1	4	5	—	2	4	6
Corporate debt	339	941	3	1,283	453	728	13	1,194
Financial institution instruments	88	320	—	408	189	319	—	508
Government debt	84	49	—	133	75	240	—	315
Other long-term assets:								
Derivative assets	—	33	15	48	—	35	22	57
Loans receivable	—	350	—	350	—	216	—	216
Total assets measured and recorded at fair value	10,128	18,289	108	28,525	13,965	9,613	130	23,708
Liabilities								
Other accrued liabilities:								
Derivative liabilities	—	276	7	283	—	563	—	563
Other long-term liabilities:								
Derivative liabilities	—	17	—	17	—	17	—	17
Total liabilities measured and recorded at fair value	$—	$293	$7	$300	$—	$580	$—	$580

Government debt includes instruments such as non-U.S. government bonds and U.S. agency securities. Financial institution instruments include instruments issued or managed by financial institutions in various forms such as commercial paper, fixed and floating rate bonds, money market fund deposits, and time deposits.

During the first nine months of 2015, we transferred corporate debt, financial institution instruments, government debt, and marketable equity securities of approximately $821 million from Level 1 to Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy and approximately $148 million of corporate debt and financial institution instruments from Level 2 to Level 1 ($230 million of corporate debt, financial institution instruments, and government debt from Level 1 to Level 2 and $400 million from Level 2 to Level 1 during the first nine months of 2014). These transfers were based on changes in market activity for the underlying securities. Our policy is to reflect transfers between the fair value hierarchy levels at the beginning of the quarter in which a change in circumstances resulted in the transfer.

Investments in Debt Instruments

Debt instruments reflected in the preceding table include investments such as asset-backed securities, corporate debt, financial institution instruments, government debt, and reverse repurchase agreements classified as cash equivalents. We classify our debt instruments as Level 2 when we use observable market prices for identical securities that are traded in less active markets. When observable market prices for identical securities are not available, we price the debt instruments using our own models, such as a discounted cash flow model, or non-binding market consensus prices based on the proprietary valuation models of pricing providers or brokers. These valuation models incorporate a number of inputs, including non-binding and binding broker quotes; observable market prices for identical or similar instruments; and the internal assumptions of pricing providers or brokers that use observable market inputs and unobservable market inputs that we consider to be not significant. When we use non-binding market consensus prices, we corroborate them with quoted market prices for similar instruments or compare them to output from internally-developed pricing models such as a discounted cash flow model. The discounted cash flow model uses observable market inputs, such as LIBOR-based yield curves, currency spot and forward rates, and credit ratings. All significant inputs are derived from or corroborated with observable market data.

The fair values of debt instruments classified as Level 3 are generally derived from discounted cash flow models, performed either by us or our pricing providers, using inputs that we are unable to corroborate with observable market data. We monitor and review the inputs and results of these valuation models to help ensure the fair value measurements are reasonable and consistent with market experience in similar asset classes.

Fair Value Option for Loans Receivable

We elected the fair value option for loans receivable when the interest rate or currency exchange rate risk was hedged at inception with a related derivative instrument. As of September 26, 2015, the fair value of our loans receivable for which we elected the fair value option did not significantly differ from the contractual principal balance based on the contractual currency. Loans receivable are classified within other current assets and other long-term assets. Fair value is determined using a discounted cash flow model, with all significant inputs derived from or corroborated with observable market data. Gains and losses from changes in fair value on the loans receivable and related derivative instruments, as well as interest income, are recorded in interest and other, net. During all periods presented, changes in the fair value of our loans receivable were largely offset by gains or losses on the related derivative instruments, resulting in an insignificant net impact on our consolidated condensed statements of income. Gains and losses attributable to changes in credit risk are determined using observable credit default spreads for the issuer or comparable companies; these gains and losses were insignificant during all periods presented. We did not elect the fair value option for loans receivable when the interest rate or currency exchange rate risk was not hedged at inception with a related derivative instrument. Loans receivable not measured and recorded at fair value are included in the following "Financial Instruments Not Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis" section.

Assets Measured and Recorded at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

Our non-marketable equity investments, marketable equity method investments, and non-financial assets, such as intangible assets and property, plant and equipment, are recorded at fair value only if an impairment is recognized. Some of our non-marketable equity investments have been measured and recorded at fair value due to events or circumstances that significantly impacted the fair value of those investments, resulting in other-than-temporary impairments. We classified these investments as Level 3 because the valuations used unobservable inputs that were significant to the fair value measurements and required management judgment due to the absence of quoted market prices. Impairments recognized on non-marketable equity investments held as of September 26, 2015 were $27 million during the third quarter of 2015 and $100 million during the first nine months of 2015 ($28 million during the third quarter of 2014 and $93 million during the first nine months of 2014 on non-marketable equity investments held as of September 27, 2014).

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Financial Instruments Not Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

On a quarterly basis, we measure the fair value of our grants receivable, cost method loans receivable, non-marketable cost method investments, reverse repurchase agreements with original maturities greater than approximately three months, and indebtedness carried at amortized cost plus hedge adjustments when applicable; however, the assets are recorded at fair value only when an impairment is recognized. The carrying amounts and fair values of financial instruments not recorded at fair value on a recurring basis at the end of each period were as follows:

	September 26, 2015				
(In Millions)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value Measured Using Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Fair Value
Grants receivable	$749	$—	$756	$—	$756
Loans receivable	$310	$—	$310	$—	$310
Non-marketable cost method investments	$3,003	$—	$—	$3,938	$3,938
Reverse repurchase agreements	$2,650	$—	$2,650	$—	$2,650
Short-term debt	$1,088	$—	$1,554	$—	$1,554
Long-term debt	$20,059	$13,192	$7,508	$—	$20,700
NVIDIA Corporation cross-license agreement liability	$198	$—	$200	$—	$200

	December 27, 2014				
(In Millions)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value Measured Using Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Fair Value
Grants receivable	$676	$—	$679	$—	$679
Loans receivable	$250	$—	$250	$—	$250
Non-marketable cost method investments	$1,769	$—	$—	$2,599	$2,599
Reverse repurchase agreements	$450	$—	$450	$—	$450
Short-term debt	$1,580	$—	$2,145	$—	$2,145
Long-term debt	$12,059	$11,467	$1,309	$—	$12,776
NVIDIA Corporation cross-license agreement liability	$395	$—	$399	$—	$399

The fair value of our grants receivable is determined using a discounted cash flow model, which discounts future cash flows using an appropriate yield curve. As of September 26, 2015 and December 27, 2014, the carrying amount of our grants receivable was classified within other current assets and other long-term assets, as applicable.

The carrying amount and fair value of loans receivable exclude loans measured and recorded at a fair value of $490 million as of September 26, 2015 ($721 million as of December 27, 2014). The fair value of our loans receivable and reverse repurchase agreements, including those held at fair value, is determined using a discounted cash flow model. All significant inputs in the models are derived from or corroborated with observable market data, such as LIBOR-based yield curves, currency spot and forward rates, and credit ratings. The credit quality of these assets remains high, with credit ratings of A+/A1 for most of our loans receivable and the substantial majority of our reverse repurchase agreements as of September 26, 2015.

As of September 26, 2015 and December 27, 2014, the unrealized loss position of our non-marketable cost method investments was insignificant. Our non-marketable cost method investments are valued using a qualitative and quantitative analysis of events or circumstances that impact the fair value of the investment. Qualitative analysis of our investments involves understanding our investee’s revenue and earnings trends relative to pre-defined milestones and overall business prospects; the technological feasibility of our investee’s products and technologies; the general market conditions in the investee’s industry or geographic area, including adverse regulatory or economic changes; and the management and governance structure of the investee. Quantitative assessments of the fair value of our investments are developed using the market and income approaches. The market approach includes the use of financial metrics and ratios of comparable public companies, such as revenue, earnings, comparable performance multiples, recent financing rounds, the terms of the investees’ issued interests, and the level of marketability of the investments. The selection of comparable companies requires management judgment and is based on a number of factors, including comparable companies’ sizes, growth rates, industries, and development stages. The income approach includes the use of a discounted cash flow model, which requires significant estimates regarding investees’ revenue, costs, and discount rates based on the risk profile of comparable companies. Estimates of revenue and costs are developed using available market, historical, and forecast data. We measure the fair value of our non-marketable cost method investments as close to the end of the period as feasible.

The carrying amount and fair value of short-term debt exclude drafts payable. Our short-term debt recognized at amortized cost includes our 2009 junior subordinated convertible debentures due 2039 (2009 debentures). During the third quarter of 2015, the 2009 debentures were classified as short-term debt on the consolidated condensed balance sheets and are convertible at the option of the holder during the fourth quarter of 2015. For further information, see the "Borrowings" note in Part II, Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 27, 2014 and Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 5, 2015. Our long-term debt recognized at amortized cost is comprised of our senior notes and our convertible debentures. The fair value of our senior notes are classified as Level 1 when we use quoted prices in active markets and Level 2 when the quoted prices are from less active markets or when other observable inputs are used to determine fair value. The fair value of our 2009 and 2005 convertible debentures is determined using discounted cash flow models with observable market inputs, and takes into consideration variables such as interest rate changes, comparable instruments, subordination discount, and credit-rating changes, and is therefore classified as Level 2.

The NVIDIA Corporation (NVIDIA) cross-license agreement liability in the preceding table was incurred as a result of entering into a long-term patent cross-license agreement with NVIDIA in January 2011, pursuant to which we agreed to make payments to NVIDIA over six years. As of September 26, 2015 the carrying amount of the liability arising from the agreement was classified within other accrued liabilities based on the expected timing of the underlying payments ($200 million in January 2016 treated as cash used for financing activities). As of December 27, 2014, the carrying amount of the liability arising from the agreement was classified within other accrued liabilities and other long-term liabilities, based on the expected timing of the underlying payments ($200 million in each of January 2015 and 2016 treated as cash used for financing activities). The fair value is determined using a discounted cash flow model, which discounts future cash flows using our incremental borrowing rates.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Note 4: Cash and Investments

Cash and investments at the end of each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Sep 26, 2015	Dec 27, 2014
Available-for-sale investments	$20,222	$13,038
Cash	741	805
Equity method investments	1,577	1,446
Loans receivable	800	971
Non-marketable cost method investments	3,003	1,769
Reverse repurchase agreements	3,318	718
Trading assets	6,659	9,063
Total cash and investments	$36,320	$27,810

Available-for-Sale Investments

Available-for-sale investments at the end of each period were as follows:

	September 26, 2015				December 27, 2014			
(In Millions)	Adjusted Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Adjusted Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Asset-backed securities	$7	$—	$(2)	$5	$8	$—	$(2)	$6
Corporate debt	7,139	11	(7)	7,143	2,040	13	(5)	2,048
Financial institution instruments	4,709	2	(1)	4,710	3,146	2	(1)	3,147
Government debt	2,747	—	(1)	2,746	741	—	(1)	740
Marketable equity securities	3,287	2,342	(11)	5,618	3,318	3,779	—	7,097
Total available-for-sale investments	$17,889	$2,355	$(22)	$20,222	$9,253	$3,794	$(9)	$13,038

Government debt includes instruments such as non-U.S. government bonds and U.S. agency securities. Financial institution instruments include instruments issued or managed by financial institutions in various forms such as commercial paper, fixed and floating rate bonds, money market fund deposits, and time deposits. Substantially all time deposits were issued by institutions outside the U.S. as of September 26, 2015 and December 27, 2014.

For information on the unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale investments reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into the consolidated condensed statements of income, see "Note 20: Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)."

During the third quarter of 2015, we sold available-for-sale investments for proceeds of $1.1 billion, of which $134 million related to sales of cash and cash equivalents ($373 million in the third quarter of 2014, of which $82 million related to sales of cash and cash equivalents). During the first nine months of 2015, we sold available-for-sale investments for proceeds of $1.2 billion, of which $134 million related to sales of cash and cash equivalents ($1.2 billion in the first nine months of 2014 of which $459 million related to sales of cash and cash equivalents). The gross realized gains on sales of available-for-sale investments were $12 million in the third quarter of 2015 and $97 million in the first nine months of 2015 (none in the third quarter of 2014 and $136 million in the first nine months of 2014).

The amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale debt investments, by contractual maturity, as of September 26, 2015, were as follows:

(In Millions)	Cost	Fair Value
Due in 1 year or less	$12,643	$12,651
Due in 1–2 years	1,166	1,164
Due in 2–5 years	661	660
Instruments not due at a single maturity date	132	129
Total	$14,602	$14,604

Equity Method Investments

IM Flash Technologies, LLC

Micron Technology, Inc. (Micron) and Intel formed IM Flash Technologies, LLC (IMFT) in 2006 to manufacture NAND flash memory products for Micron and Intel. During 2012, we amended the operating agreement for IMFT and entered into agreements with Micron that modified our joint venture relationship. Additionally, we have certain supply agreements with Micron to provide us with jointly developed flash memory products.

The amended operating agreement extended the term of IMFT to 2024, unless earlier terminated under certain terms and conditions. The amended agreement provides for certain buy-sell rights. Intel has the ability to cause Micron to buy our interest in IMFT. If we exercise this put right, Micron would set the closing date of the transaction within two years following such election and could elect to receive financing from us for one to two years. Subsequent to our put right, and commencing in January 2018, Micron has the right to call our interest in IMFT with the closing date to be effective within one year. The IMFT operating agreements provide that IMFT may manufacture jointly developed NAND flash memory and 3D XPoint™ technology products.

As of September 26, 2015, we own a 49% interest in IMFT. The carrying value of our investment was $872 million as of September 26, 2015 ($713 million as of December 27, 2014) and is classified within other long-term assets.

IMFT is a variable interest entity. All costs of the IMFT joint venture will be passed on to Micron and Intel pursuant to our purchase agreements. Intel's portion of IMFT costs, primarily related to product purchases and production-related services, was approximately $115 million in the third quarter of 2015 and approximately $315 million in the first nine months of 2015 (approximately $100 million in the third quarter of 2014 and approximately $305 million in the first nine months of 2014). The amount due to IMFT for product purchases and services provided was approximately $20 million as of September 26, 2015 (approximately $60 million as of December 27, 2014).

IMFT depends on Micron and Intel for any additional cash needs. Our known maximum exposure to loss approximated the carrying value of our investment balance in IMFT, which was $872 million as of September 26, 2015. Except for the amount due to IMFT for product purchases and services, we did not have any additional liabilities recognized on our consolidated condensed balance sheets in connection with our interests in this joint venture as of September 26, 2015. Our potential future losses could be higher than the carrying amount of our investment, as Intel and Micron are liable for other future operating costs or obligations of IMFT. Future cash calls could also increase our investment balance and the related exposure to loss. In addition, because we are currently committed to purchasing 49% of IMFT’s production output and production-related services, we may be required to purchase products at a cost in excess of realizable value.

We have determined that we do not have the characteristics of a consolidating investor in the variable interest entity and, therefore, we account for our interest in IMFT using the equity method of accounting.

Cloudera, Inc.

During 2014, we invested in Cloudera, Inc. (Cloudera). Our fully-diluted ownership interest in Cloudera is 17% as of September 26, 2015. Our investment is accounted for under the equity and cost methods of accounting based on the rights associated with different securities we own, and is classified within other long-term assets. The carrying value of our equity method investment was $263 million and of our cost method investment was $454 million as of September 26, 2015 ($280 million for our equity method investment and $454 million for our cost method investment as of December 27, 2014).

13

Non-marketable Cost Method Investments

Investment in Beijing UniSpreadtrum Technology Ltd.

During 2014, we entered into a series of agreements with Tsinghua Unigroup Ltd. (Tsinghua Unigroup), an operating subsidiary of Tsinghua Holdings Co. Ltd., to, among other things, jointly develop Intel® architecture- and communications-based solutions for smartphones. We have also agreed to invest up to 9.0 billion Chinese yuan (approximately $1.5 billion as of the date of the agreement) for a minority stake of approximately 20% of Beijing UniSpreadtrum Technology Ltd. (UniSpreadtrum), a holding company under Tsinghua Unigroup. During the third quarter of 2015, we invested $966 million to complete the first phase of the equity investment. We have determined we will not have significant influence over the company and, therefore, we will account for our interest using the cost method of accounting. Subject to regulatory approvals and other closing conditions, the second phase of the investment will require additional funding of approximately $500 million.

Trading Assets

As of September 26, 2015 and December 27, 2014, substantially all of our trading assets were marketable debt instruments. Net losses related to trading assets still held at the reporting date were $88 million in the third quarter of 2015 and net losses were $151 million in the first nine months of 2015 (net losses of $283 million in the third quarter of 2014 and $254 million in the first nine months of 2014). Net gains on the related derivatives were $72 million in the third quarter of 2015 and net gains were $138 million in the first nine months of 2015 (net gains of $278 million in the third quarter of 2014 and $252 million in the first nine months of 2014).

Note 5: Inventories

We compute inventory cost on a first-in, first-out basis. Costs incurred to manufacture our products are included in the valuation of inventory beginning in the quarter in which a product meets the technical criteria to qualify for sale to customers. Prior to qualification for sale, costs that do not meet the criteria for research and development (R&D) are included in cost of sales in the period incurred. Inventories at the end of each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Sep 26, 2015	Dec 27, 2014
Raw materials	$557	$462
Work in process	2,690	2,375
Finished goods	1,718	1,436
Total inventories	$4,965	$4,273

Note 6: Derivative Financial Instruments

Our primary objective for holding derivative financial instruments is to manage currency exchange rate risk and interest rate risk, and, to a lesser extent, equity market risk, commodity price risk, and credit risk. When possible, we enter into master netting arrangements with counterparties to mitigate credit risk in derivative transactions. A master netting arrangement may allow counterparties to net settle amounts owed to each other as a result of multiple, separate derivative transactions. Generally, our master netting agreements allow for net settlement in case of certain triggering events such as bankruptcy or default of one of the counterparties to the transaction. We may also elect to exchange cash collateral with certain of our counterparties on a regular basis. For presentation on our consolidated condensed balance sheets, we do not offset fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments under master netting arrangements. Our derivative financial instruments are recorded at fair value and are included in other current assets, other long-term assets, other accrued liabilities, or other long-term liabilities.

Currency Exchange Rate Risk

We are exposed to currency exchange rate risk, and generally hedge our exposures with currency forward contracts, currency interest rate swaps, or currency options. Substantially all of our revenue is transacted in U.S. dollars. However, a significant amount of our operating expenditures and capital purchases is incurred in or exposed to other currencies, primarily the euro, the Japanese yen, the Chinese Yuan and the Israeli shekel. We have established balance sheet and forecasted transaction currency risk management programs to protect against fluctuations in the fair value and the volatility of the functional currency equivalent of future cash flows caused by changes in exchange rates. Our non-U.S.-dollar-denominated investments in debt instruments and loans receivable are generally hedged with offsetting currency forward contracts or currency interest rate swaps. We may also hedge currency risk arising from funding foreign currency denominated forecasted investments. These programs reduce, but do not eliminate, the impact of currency exchange movements.

Our currency risk management programs include:

- Currency derivatives with cash flow hedge accounting designation that utilize currency forward contracts and currency options to hedge exposures to the variability in the U.S.-dollar equivalent of anticipated non-U.S.-dollar-denominated cash flows. Most of these instruments generally mature within 12 months. For these derivatives, we report the after-tax gain or loss from the effective portion of the hedge as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), and we reclassify it into earnings in the same period or periods in which the hedged transaction affects earnings, and in the same line item on the consolidated condensed statements of income as the impact of the hedged transaction.
- Currency derivatives without hedge accounting designation that utilize currency forward contracts or currency interest rate swaps to economically hedge the functional currency equivalent cash flows of recognized monetary assets and liabilities, non-U.S.-dollar-denominated debt instruments classified as trading assets, and hedges of non-U.S.-dollar-denominated loans receivable are recognized at fair value. The substantial majority of these instruments generally mature within 12 months. Changes in the functional currency equivalent cash flows of the underlying assets and liabilities are approximately offset by the changes in the fair value of the related derivatives. We record net gains or losses in the line item on the consolidated condensed statements of income most closely associated with the related exposures, primarily in interest and other, net, except for equity-related gains or losses, which we primarily record in gains (losses) on equity investments, net.

Interest Rate Risk

Our primary objective for holding investments in debt instruments is to preserve principal while maximizing yields. We generally swap the returns on our investments in fixed-rate debt instruments with remaining maturities longer than six months into U.S. dollar three-month LIBOR-based returns, unless management specifically approves otherwise. We may elect to swap fixed coupon payments on our debt issuances for floating rate coupon payments. These swaps are settled at various interest payment times involving cash payments at each interest and principal payment date, with the majority of the contracts having quarterly payments.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Our interest rate risk management programs include:

- Interest rate derivatives with cash flow hedge accounting designation that utilize interest rate swap agreements to modify the interest characteristics of debt instruments. For these derivatives, we report the after-tax gain or loss from the effective portion of the hedge as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), and we reclassify it into earnings in the same period or periods in which the hedged transaction affects earnings, and in the same line item on the consolidated condensed statements of income as the impact of the hedged transaction.
- Interest rate derivatives with fair value hedge accounting designation that utilize interest rate swap agreements to hedge against changes in fair value on certain fixed rate debt due to changes in the benchmark interest rate. For these derivatives, we recognize gains and losses in interest and other, net, along with the offsetting losses and gains attributable to the changes in the benchmark interest rate on the underlying hedged items.
- Interest rate derivatives without hedge accounting designation that utilize interest rate swaps and currency interest rate swaps in economic hedging transactions, including hedges of non-U.S.-dollar-denominated debt instruments classified as trading assets and hedges of non-U.S.-dollar-denominated loans receivable recognized at fair value. Floating interest rates on the swaps generally reset on a quarterly basis. Changes in fair value of the debt instruments classified as trading assets and loans receivable recognized at fair value are generally offset by changes in the fair value of the related derivatives, both of which are recorded in interest and other, net.

Equity Market Risk

Our investments include marketable equity securities and equity derivative instruments. We typically do not attempt to reduce or eliminate our equity market exposure through hedging activities at the inception of our investments. Before we enter into hedge arrangements, we evaluate legal, market, and economic factors, as well as the expected timing of disposal to determine whether hedging is appropriate. Our equity market risk management program may include equity derivatives with or without hedge accounting designation that utilize warrants, equity options, or other equity derivatives. We recognize changes in the fair value of such derivatives in gains (losses) on equity investments, net. We also utilize total return swaps to offset changes in liabilities related to the equity market risks of certain deferred compensation arrangements. Gains and losses from changes in fair value of these total return swaps are generally offset by the losses and gains on the related liabilities, both of which are recorded in cost of sales and operating expenses.

Volume of Derivative Activity

Total gross notional amounts for outstanding derivatives (recorded at fair value) at the end of each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Sep 26, 2015	Dec 27, 2014	Sep 27, 2014
Currency forwards	$12,395	$15,578	$13,896
Currency interest rate swaps	4,966	5,446	5,015
Embedded debt derivatives	3,600	3,600	3,600
Interest rate swaps	1,740	1,347	1,259
Total return swaps	1,020	1,056	1,032
Other	64	49	53
Total	$23,785	$27,076	$24,855

The gross notional amounts for currency forwards and currency interest rate swaps (presented by currency) at the end of each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Sep 26, 2015	Dec 27, 2014	Sep 27, 2014
Chinese yuan	$2,650	$3,097	$1,420
Euro	6,546	7,486	6,973
Israeli shekel	1,938	2,489	2,170

Japanese yen	2,733	3,779	4,223
Other	3,494	4,173	4,125
Total	$17,361	$21,024	$18,911

16

During the fourth quarter of 2014, we entered into $1.5 billion of forward contracts to hedge our anticipated equity funding of the UniSpreadtrum investment. The hedges were designated as cash flow hedges and the related gains and losses attributable to changes in the spot rates were recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Hedge gains and losses attributable to changes in the forward rates were recognized in interest and other, net. During the second quarter of 2015, we discontinued cash flow hedge accounting treatment for $478 million of forward contracts since we could no longer assert that funding is probable to occur within the initially specified timeline. Hedge losses accumulated in other comprehensive income related to these de-designated forward contracts were insignificant.

During the third quarter of 2015, $1.0 billion of forward contracts were utilized to fund our investment in UniSpreadtrum. Hedge losses attributable to changes in the spot rates accumulated in other comprehensive income (loss) for these forward contracts will remain deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until the UniSpreadtrum shares are either disposed of or impaired. As the shares are disposed of or impaired, we will reclassify the gains or losses from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to gains (losses) on equity investments, net as an offset to the gain or loss recognized for the share disposal or impairment.

During the third quarter of 2015, we entered into $1.0 billion interest rate swap agreement to hedge against changes in fair value attributable to the benchmark interest rate for our $1.0 billion face value 2015 senior notes due 2045 at 4.90%. The hedge was designated as a fair value hedge.

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

The fair value of our derivative instruments at the end of each period were as follows:

	September 26, 2015				December 27, 2014			
(In Millions)	Other Current Assets	Other Long-Term Assets	Other Accrued Liabilities	Other Long-Term Liabilities	Other Current Assets	Other Long-Term Assets	Other Accrued Liabilities	Other Long-Term Liabilities
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:								
Currency forwards	$31	$ 6	$156	$ 1	$6	$ 1	$497	$ 9
Interest rate swaps	—	3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments	31	9	156	1	6	1	497	9
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:								
Currency forwards	40	—	78	—	207	—	44	—
Currency interest rate swaps	365	24	36	—	344	34	7	—
Embedded debt derivatives	—	—	—	16	—	—	4	8
Interest rate swaps	—	—	5	—	3	—	11	—
Other	2	15	8	—	1	22	—	—
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	407	39	127	16	555	56	66	8
Total derivatives	$438	$ 48	$283	$ 17	$561	$ 57	$563	$ 17

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Amounts Offset in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets
The gross amounts of our derivative instruments and reverse repurchase agreements subject to master netting arrangements with various counterparties and cash and non-cash collateral posted under such agreements at the end of each period were as follows:

	September 26, 2015					
				Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Balance Sheet		
(In Millions)	Gross Amounts Recognized	Gross Amounts Offset in the Balance Sheet	Net Amounts Presented in the Balance Sheet	Financial Instruments	Cash and Non-Cash Collateral Received or Pledged	Net Amount
Assets:						
Derivative assets subject to master netting arrangements	$475	$—	$475	$(206)	$(127)	$142
Reverse repurchase agreements	3,318	—	3,318	—	(3,318)	—
Total assets	3,793	—	3,793	(206)	(3,445)	142
Liabilities:						
Derivative liabilities subject to master netting arrangements	298	—	298	(206)	(15)	77
Total liabilities	$298	$—	$298	$(206)	$(15)	$77

	December 27, 2014					
				Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Balance Sheet		
(In Millions)	Gross Amounts Recognized	Gross Amounts Offset in the Balance Sheet	Net Amounts Presented in the Balance Sheet	Financial Instruments	Cash and Non-Cash Collateral Received or Pledged	Net Amount
Assets:						
Derivative assets subject to master netting arrangements	$559	$—	$559	$(365)	$(78)	$116
Reverse repurchase agreements	718	—	718	—	(718)	—
Total assets	1,277	—	1,277	(365)	(796)	116
Liabilities:						
Derivative liabilities subject to master netting arrangements	559	—	559	(365)	(80)	114
Total liabilities	$559	$—	$559	$(365)	$(80)	$114

We obtain and secure available collateral from counterparties against obligations, including securities lending transactions and reverse repurchase agreements, when we deem it appropriate.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

The before-tax gains (losses), attributed to the effective portion of cash flow hedges, recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) for each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014
Currency forwards	$(68)	$(241)	$(268)	$(201)
Other	—	—	—	(3)
Total	$(68)	$(241)	$(268)	$(204)

Gains and losses on derivative instruments in cash flow hedging relationships related to hedge ineffectiveness and amounts excluded from effectiveness testing, were insignificant during all periods presented in the preceding tables. There was no impact on results of operations from discontinued cash flow hedges during the third quarter of 2015 and the impact was insignificant during the first nine months of 2015, which arises when forecasted transactions are probable of not occurring.

For information on the unrealized holding gains (losses) on derivatives reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income into the consolidated condensed statements of income, see "Note 20: Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)."

Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships

The effects of derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges, recognized in interest and other, net for each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014
Interest rate swap	$3	$—	$3	$—
Hedged item	(3)	—	(3)	—
Total	$—	$—	$—	$—

There was no hedge ineffectiveness during all periods presented in the preceding tables.

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

The effects of derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments on the consolidated condensed statements of income for each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Location of Gains (Losses) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
		Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014
Currency forwards	Interest and other, net	$(19)	$62	$(33)	$40
Currency interest rate swaps	Interest and other, net	80	221	283	193
Interest rate swaps	Interest and other, net	(3)	1	(8)	(3)
Total return swaps	Various	(90)	(7)	(48)	51
Other	Various	(3)	(3)	(17)	(1)
Total		$(35)	$274	$177	$280

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Note 7: Acquisitions

During the first nine months of 2015, we completed five acquisitions qualifying as business combinations in exchange for acquisition date consideration of $591 million, most of which was cash consideration. Substantially all of the consideration was allocated to goodwill, acquisition-related developed technology, and acquisition-related customer relationships. Included in these acquisitions is our acquisition of Lantiq Semiconductor (Lantiq), intended to extend Intel's success in cable home gateways into DSL and fiber markets. We acquired Lantiq in the second quarter of 2015 for acquisition date cash consideration of $383 million, most of which was allocated to goodwill, acquisition-related developed technology, and acquisition-related customer relationships. The operating results of Lantiq are included in our Client Computing Group operating segment.

The completed acquisitions in the first nine months of 2015, both individually and in the aggregate, were not significant to our results of operations. For information on goodwill by operating segment, see “Note 8: Goodwill” and for information on the classification of intangible assets, see "Note 9: Identified Intangible Assets."

Pending Acquisition of Altera Corporation

During the second quarter of 2015, we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire Altera Corporation (Altera) in an all-cash transaction expected to close within six to nine months from the date of the agreement. Upon completion of the acquisition, each outstanding share of Altera common stock and, subject to certain exceptions, each share of Altera common stock underlying vested stock option awards, restricted stock unit awards and performance-based restricted stock unit awards will be converted into the right to receive $54.00 per share in cash, without interest. As of the date we entered into the agreement, the transaction had an approximate value of $16.7 billion. This transaction is subject to certain regulatory approvals and customary closing conditions.

Note 8: Goodwill

Goodwill activity for the first nine months of 2015 was as follows:

(In Millions)	Dec 27, 2014	Acquisitions	Currency Exchange and Other	Sep 26, 2015
Client Computing Group	$3,708	$150	$—	$3,858
Data Center Group	2,376	—	—	2,376
Internet of Things Group	428	—	—	428
Software and services operating segments	4,236	10	(182)	4,064
All other	113	187	—	300
Total	$10,861	$347	$(182)	$11,026

During the first quarter of 2015, we combined the PC Client Group and the Mobile and Communications Group to create the Client Computing Group. All prior-period amounts have been retrospectively adjusted to reflect our new organizational structure. For further information, see "Note 22: Operating Segments Information."

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Note 9: Identified Intangible Assets
Identified intangible assets at the end of each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	September 26, 2015 Gross Assets	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Acquisition-related developed technology	$2,846	$(2,202)	$644
Acquisition-related customer relationships	1,733	(1,154)	579
Acquisition-related trade names	59	(53)	6
Licensed technology and patents	3,106	(1,283)	1,823
Identified intangible assets subject to amortization	7,744	(4,692)	3,052
Acquisition-related trade names	767	—	767
Other intangible assets	203	—	203
Identified intangible assets not subject to amortization	970	—	970
Total identified intangible assets	$8,714	$(4,692)	$4,022

(In Millions)	December 27, 2014 Gross Assets	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Acquisition-related developed technology	$3,009	$(2,192)	$817
Acquisition-related customer relationships	1,698	(1,001)	697
Acquisition-related trade names	61	(49)	12
Licensed technology and patents	3,153	(1,224)	1,929
Identified intangible assets subject to amortization	7,921	(4,466)	3,455
Acquisition-related trade names	788	—	788
Other intangible assets	203	—	203
Identified intangible assets not subject to amortization	991	—	991
Total identified intangible assets	$8,912	$(4,466)	$4,446

Amortization expenses, with presentation location on the consolidated condensed statements of income, for each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Location	Three Months Ended Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Nine Months Ended Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014
Acquisition-related developed technology	Cost of sales	$76	$161	$271	$454
Acquisition-related customer relationships	Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles	66	75	192	214
Acquisition-related trade names	Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles	2	2	6	8
Licensed technology and patents	Cost of sales	71	69	211	208
Total amortization expenses		$215	$307	$680	$884

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Based on identified intangible assets that are subject to amortization as of September 26, 2015, we expect future amortization expenses for each period to be as follows:

(In Millions)	Remainder of 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Acquisition-related developed technology	$72	$251	$105	$86	$77
Acquisition-related customer relationships	66	236	149	45	27
Acquisition-related trade names	3	3	—	—	—
Licensed technology and patents	67	268	225	182	182
Total future amortization expenses	$208	$758	$479	$313	$286

Note 10: Other Long-Term Assets

Other long-term assets at the end of each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Sep 26, 2015	Dec 27, 2014
Equity method investments	$1,577	$1,446
Non-marketable cost method investments	3,003	1,769
Non-current deferred tax assets	640	622
Pre-payments for property, plant and equipment	551	636
Loans receivable	650	416
Grants receivable	490	312
Reverse repurchase agreements	350	350
Other	994	954
Total other long-term assets	$8,255	$6,505

As of September 26, 2015, the carrying amount of our non-marketable cost method investments includes $966 million related to our investment in UniSpreadtrum. For further information, see "Note 4: Cash and Investments."

During the first nine months of 2015, we received and transferred $201 million of equipment from other long-term assets to property, plant and equipment. Substantially all of the equipment was prepaid in 2012 and 2013. We recognized the pre-payments within operating activities in the consolidated condensed statement of cash flows when we paid for the equipment, and the receipt of the equipment is reflected as a non-cash transaction in the current period.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Note 11: Restructuring and Asset Impairment Charges

Restructuring and asset impairment charges by program for each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014
2015 restructuring program	$22	$—	$272	$—
2013 restructuring program	(8)	20	95	238
Total restructuring and asset impairment charges	$14	$20	$367	$238

2015 Restructuring Program

Beginning in the second quarter of 2015, management approved and commenced implementation of restructuring actions, primarily targeted workforce reductions, as we adjusted resources from areas of disinvestment to areas of investment. We expect these actions to be substantially complete by the end of 2015.

Restructuring and asset impairment charges for the 2015 restructuring program for each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014
Employee severance and benefit arrangements	$20	$—	$270	$—
Asset impairments and other restructuring charges	2	—	2	—
Total restructuring and asset impairment charges	$22	$—	$272	$—

Restructuring and asset impairment activity for the 2015 restructuring program for the first nine months of 2015 was as follows:

(In Millions)	Employee Severance and Benefits	Asset Impairments and Other	Total
Accrued restructuring balance as of December 27, 2014	$—	$—	$—
Additional accruals	283	2	285
Adjustments	(13)	—	(13)
Cash payments	(178)	—	(178)
Non-cash settlements	—	(1)	(1)
Accrued restructuring balance as of September 26, 2015	$92	$1	$93

We recorded the additional accruals as restructuring and asset impairment charges in the consolidated condensed statements of income and within the "all other" operating segments category. Substantially all of the accrued restructuring balance as of September 26, 2015 is expected to be paid within the next 12 months and was recorded as a current liability within accrued compensation and benefits on the consolidated condensed balance sheets.

Restructuring actions related to this program that were approved in 2015 impacted approximately 3,800 employees.

2013 Restructuring Program

Beginning in the third quarter of 2013, management approved and commenced implementation of several restructuring actions, including targeted workforce reductions and the exit of certain businesses and facilities. These actions include the wind down of our 200 millimeter wafer fabrication facility in Massachusetts, which ceased production in the first quarter of 2015, and the closure of our assembly and test facility in Costa Rica, which ceased production in the fourth quarter of 2014. These targeted reductions will enable us to better align our resources in areas providing the greatest benefit in the current business environment. We expect these actions to be substantially complete by the end of 2015.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Restructuring and asset impairment charges for the 2013 restructuring program for each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended Sep 26, 2015	Three Months Ended Sep 27, 2014	Nine Months Ended Sep 26, 2015	Nine Months Ended Sep 27, 2014
Employee severance and benefit arrangements	$(9)	$9	$87	$218
Asset impairments and other restructuring charges	1	11	8	20
Total restructuring and asset impairment charges	$(8)	$20	$95	$238

Restructuring and asset impairment activity for the 2013 restructuring program for the first nine months of 2015 was as follows:

(In Millions)	Employee Severance and Benefits	Asset Impairments and Other	Total
Accrued restructuring balance as of December 27, 2014	$121	$11	$132
Additional accruals	100	9	109
Adjustments	(13)	(1)	(14)
Cash payments	(156)	(14)	(170)
Non-cash settlements	—	(3)	(3)
Accrued restructuring balance as of September 26, 2015	$52	$2	$54

We recorded the additional accruals and adjustments as restructuring and asset impairment charges in the consolidated condensed statements of income and within the “all other” operating segments category. Substantially all of the accrued restructuring balance as of September 26, 2015 is expected to be paid by the end of 2015 and was recorded as a current liability within accrued compensation and benefits on the consolidated condensed balance sheets.

Restructuring actions related to this program that were approved in 2015 impacted approximately 1,100 employees. Since the third quarter of 2013, we have incurred a total of $630 million in restructuring and asset impairment charges related to this program. These charges included a total of $553 million related to employee severance and benefit arrangements for approximately 8,700 employees, and $77 million in asset impairment charges and other restructuring charges.

Note 12: Deferred Income

Deferred income at the end of each period was as follows:

(In Millions)	Sep 26, 2015	Dec 27, 2014
Deferred income on shipments of components to distributors	$918	$944
Deferred income from software and services	1,242	1,261
Current deferred income	2,160	2,205
Non-current deferred income from software and services	448	483
Total deferred income	$2,608	$2,688

We classify non-current deferred income from software and services within other long-term liabilities on the consolidated condensed balance sheets.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Note 13: Borrowings
Short-Term Debt
Our short-term debt at the end of each period was as follows:

(In Millions)	Sep 26, 2015	Dec 27, 2014
Drafts payable	$41	$16
Commercial paper	—	500
Current portion of long-term debt	1,096	1,088
Less: debt issuance costs associated with the current portion of long-term debt	(8)	(8)
Total short-term debt	$1,129	$1,596

The 2009 debentures are convertible, subject to certain conditions. Holders can surrender the 2009 debentures for conversion if the closing price of Intel common stock has been at least 130% of the conversion price then in effect for at least 20 trading days during the 30 consecutive trading-day period ending on the last trading day of the preceding fiscal quarter.

During the third quarter of 2015, the closing stock price conversion right condition of the 2009 debentures was met and the debentures will be convertible at the option of the holders during the fourth quarter of 2015. As a result of the conversion period during the fourth quarter of 2015, the $1.1 billion carrying amount of the 2009 debentures remained classified as short-term debt on our consolidated condensed balance sheet as of September 26, 2015. The excess of the amount of cash payable if converted over the carrying amount of the 2009 debentures of $905 million remained classified as temporary equity on our consolidated condensed balance sheet as of September 26, 2015. In future periods, if the closing stock price conversion right condition is no longer met, all outstanding 2009 debentures would be reclassified to long-term debt and temporary equity would be reclassified to stockholders’ equity on our consolidated condensed balance sheet.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Long-Term Debt
Our long-term debt at the end of each period was as follows:

(In Millions)	Maturity Date	Stated Interest Rate	Sep 26, 2015	Dec 27, 2014
2015 Senior notes	July 2020	2.45%	$1,748	$—
2015 Senior notes	July 2022	3.10%	1,000	—
2015 Senior notes	July 2025	3.70%	2,247	—
2015 Senior notes	July 2045	4.90%	1,998	—
2015 Senior notes	August 2045	4.90%	1,010	—
2012 Senior notes	December 2017	1.35%	2,998	2,998
2012 Senior notes	December 2022	2.70%	1,495	1,495
2012 Senior notes	December 2032	4.00%	744	744
2012 Senior notes	December 2042	4.25%	924	924
2011 Senior notes	October 2016	1.95%	1,500	1,499
2011 Senior notes	October 2021	3.30%	1,997	1,997
2011 Senior notes	October 2041	4.80%	1,490	1,490
2009 Junior subordinated convertible debentures	August 2039	3.25%	1,096	1,088
2005 Junior subordinated convertible debentures	December 2035	2.95%	975	960
Long-term debt			$21,222	$13,195
Less: current portion of long-term debt			(1,096)	(1,088)
Less: debt issuance costs			(67)	(48)
Total long-term debt			$20,059	$12,059

During the third quarter of 2015, we issued a total of $8.0 billion aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes. In July of 2015, we issued an aggregate of $7.0 billion of principal amount of notes due in 2020, 2022, 2025, and 2045. In August of 2015, we issued $1.0 billion of principal amount of notes due in 2045. We will pay interest on these notes semi-annually. We may redeem the notes prior to their maturity at our option at specified redemption prices and subject to certain restrictions. The notes rank equally in right of payment with all of our other existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness and will effectively rank junior to all liabilities of our subsidiaries.

We intend to use the net proceeds of these offerings to fund a portion of the cash consideration for our pending acquisition of Altera. If the Altera acquisition does not close for any reason, the net proceeds of the offering will be used for general corporate purposes, which may include refinancing of indebtedness, with the exception of the $2.25 billion principal amount of 2025 notes and $2.0 billion of principal amount of 2045 notes, which are subject to special mandatory redemption at a redemption price of 101% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest in the event that our acquisition of Altera is not consummated by, or the merger agreement is terminated before, December 31, 2016.

For further information on our debt instruments, see the "Borrowings" note in Part II, Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 27, 2014 and Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 5, 2015.

Note 14: Employee Equity Incentive Plans

Our equity incentive plans are broad-based, long-term programs intended to attract and retain talented employees and align stockholder and employee interests.

During May 2015, stockholders approved an extension of the expiration date of the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan to June 2018 and approved an additional 34 million shares for issuance. As of September 26, 2015, 258 million shares of common stock remained available for future grant under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan through June 2018.

During May 2015, stockholders approved an extension of the expiration date of the 2006 Stock Purchase Plan to August 2021. The 2006 Stock Purchase Plan allows eligible employees to purchase shares of our common stock at 85% of the value of our common stock on specific dates. Rights to purchase shares of common stock are granted during the first and third quarters of each year. As of September 26, 2015, 181 million shares of common stock remained available for issuance under the 2006 Stock Purchase Plan through August 2021.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Share-Based Compensation
Share-based compensation expense was $309 million in the third quarter of 2015 and $1.0 billion in the first nine months of 2015 ($281 million in the third quarter of 2014 and $867 million in the first nine months of 2014).
Restricted Stock Unit Awards
We estimate the fair value of restricted stock unit awards with time-based vesting using the value of our common stock on the date of grant, reduced by the present value of dividends expected to be paid on our shares of common stock prior to vesting. We estimate the fair value of market-based restricted stock units using a Monte Carlo simulation model on the date of grant (no market-based restricted stock units were granted in the third quarter of 2015). We based the weighted average estimated value of restricted stock unit grants, as well as the weighted average assumptions that we used in calculating the fair value, on estimates at the date of grant, for each period as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014
Estimated values	$26.62	$32.93	$31.64	$25.12
Risk-free interest rate	0.6 %	0.5 %	0.6 %	0.5 %
Dividend yield	3.4 %	2.6 %	2.9 %	3.4 %
Volatility	n/a	23 %	27 %	23 %

Restricted stock unit activity in the first nine months of 2015 was as follows:

	Number of RSUs (In Millions)	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
December 27, 2014	119.4	$23.89
Granted	41.4	$31.64
Vested	(44.1)	$23.44
Forfeited	(6.1)	$25.40
September 26, 2015	110.6	$26.89

The aggregate fair value of awards that vested in the first nine months of 2015 was $1.4 billion, which represents the market value of our common stock on the date that the restricted stock units vested. The grant-date fair value of awards that vested in first nine months of 2015 was $1.0 billion. The number of restricted stock units vested includes shares of common stock that we withheld on behalf of employees to satisfy the minimum statutory tax withholding requirements. Restricted stock units that are expected to vest are net of estimated future forfeitures.
As of September 26, 2015, 4.7 million of the outstanding restricted stock units were market-based restricted stock units.

Stock Option Awards

Stock option activity in the first nine months of 2015 was as follows:

	Number of Options (In Millions)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
December 27, 2014	77.3	$21.30
Exercised	(13.4)	$20.58
Cancelled and forfeited	(1.0)	$23.27
Expired	(0.1)	$21.72
September 26, 2015	62.8	$21.42
Options exercisable as of:		
December 27, 2014	54.7	$20.29
September 26, 2015	52.1	$20.88

During the first nine months of 2015, the aggregate intrinsic value of stock option exercises was $168 million, which represents the difference between the exercise price and the value of our common stock at the time of exercise. No stock options were granted during the first nine months of 2015.

Stock Purchase Plan

Employees purchased 15.8 million shares of common stock in the first nine months of 2015 for $421 million (19.4 million shares of common stock in the first nine months of 2014 for $393 million) under the 2006 Stock Purchase Plan.

Note 15: Common Stock Repurchases

Common Stock Repurchase Program

We have an ongoing authorization, originally approved by our Board of Directors in 2005, and subsequently amended, to repurchase up to $65 billion in shares of our common stock in open market or negotiated transactions. As of September 26, 2015, $9.9 billion remained available for repurchase under the existing repurchase authorization limit. During the third quarter of 2015, we repurchased 34.8 million shares of common stock at a cost of $1.0 billion (119.5 million shares of common stock at a cost of $4.1 billion during the third quarter of 2014). During the first nine months of 2015, we repurchased 79.7 million shares of common stock at a cost of $2.5 billion (217.4 million shares of common stock at a cost of $6.8 billion in the first nine months of 2014). We have repurchased 4.8 billion shares of common stock at a cost of $104 billion since the program began in 1990.

Restricted Stock Unit Withholdings

We issue restricted stock units as part of our equity incentive plans. For the majority of restricted stock units granted, the number of shares of common stock issued on the date the restricted stock units vest is net of the minimum statutory withholding requirements that we pay in cash to the appropriate taxing authorities on behalf of our employees. In our consolidated condensed financial statements, we also treat shares of common stock withheld for tax purposes on behalf of our employees in connection with the vesting of restricted stock units as common stock repurchases because they reduce the number of shares that would have been issued upon vesting. These withheld shares of common stock are not considered common stock repurchases under our authorized common stock repurchase plan. During the first nine months of 2015, we withheld 12.8 million shares of common stock to satisfy $416 million (11.7 million shares of common stock to satisfy $314 million during the first nine months of 2014) of employees' tax obligations.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Note 16: Gains (Losses) on Equity Investments, Net

The components of gains (losses) on equity investments, net for each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014
Share of equity method investee losses, net	$(22)	$(17)	$(81)	$(42)
Impairments	(28)	(33)	(107)	(108)
Gains on sales, net	11	—	110	147
Dividends	5	1	52	54
Other, net	199	84	323	127
Total gains (losses) on equity investments, net	$165	$35	$297	$178

Note 17: Interest and Other, Net

The components of interest and other, net for each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014
Interest income	$31	$35	$91	$108
Interest expense	(116)	(53)	(211)	(139)
Other, net	(19)	(7)	29	101
Total interest and other, net	$(104)	$(25)	$(91)	$70

Interest expense in the preceding table is net of $52 million of interest capitalized in the third quarter of 2015 and $202 million of interest capitalized in the first nine months of 2015 ($63 million in the third quarter of 2014 and $203 million in the first nine months of 2014). During the first quarter of 2014, we completed the divestiture of our Intel Media assets. As a result of the transaction, we recognized a gain within "Other, net" in the preceding table.

Note 18: Earnings Per Share

We computed our basic and diluted earnings per common share for each period as follows:

(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014
Net income available to common stockholders	$3,109	$3,317	$7,807	$8,043
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding—basic	4,747	4,880	4,749	4,945
Dilutive effect of employee equity incentive plans	48	76	64	73
Dilutive effect of convertible debt	81	89	87	77
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding—diluted	4,876	5,045	4,900	5,095
Basic earnings per share of common stock	$0.65	$0.68	$1.64	$1.63
Diluted earnings per share of common stock	$0.64	$0.66	$1.59	$1.58

We computed basic earnings per share of common stock using net income available to common stockholders and the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. We computed diluted earnings per share of common stock using net income available to common stockholders and the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding plus potentially dilutive shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Net income available to participating securities was insignificant for all periods presented.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Potentially dilutive shares of common stock from employee incentive plans are determined by applying the treasury stock method to the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options, the assumed vesting of outstanding restricted stock units, and the assumed issuance of common stock under the stock purchase plan. Potentially dilutive shares of common stock for our 2005 debentures are determined by applying the if-converted method. However, as our 2009 debentures require settlement of the principal amount of the debt in cash upon conversion, with the conversion premium paid in cash or stock at our option, potentially dilutive shares of common stock are determined by applying the treasury stock method.

During the third quarter of 2015, we excluded on average 4 million outstanding stock options and restricted stock units from the computation of diluted earnings per common share because these would have been antidilutive (no outstanding stock options and restricted stock units were excluded for the third quarter of 2014). During the first nine months of 2015, we excluded on average 2 million outstanding stock options and restricted stock units from the computation of diluted earnings per share of common stock because these shares of common stock would have been antidilutive (14 million for the first nine months of 2014). These options could potentially be included in the diluted earnings per share of common stock calculation in the future if the average market value of the shares of common stock increases and is greater than the exercise price of these options.

During the third quarter of 2015 and 2014, we included our 2009 debentures in the calculation of diluted earnings per share of common stock because the average market price was above the conversion price. We could potentially exclude the 2009 debentures in the future if the average market price is below the conversion price.

Note 19: Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rate was 21.2% in the first nine months of 2015 compared to 27.8% in the first nine months of 2014. The effective rate was positively impacted by the settlement of a one-time refund claim involving asset tax basis resulting in the recognition of a $320 million tax benefit and our decision to indefinitely reinvest certain prior years' non-U.S. earnings resulting in the release of $185 million previously accrued U.S. deferred taxes in the second quarter of 2015. In addition, a higher proportion of our income from lower taxed jurisdictions positively impacted our effective income tax rate from the comparable period.

Our ending gross unrecognized tax benefits as of September 26, 2015 was $302 million ($577 million as of December 27, 2014).

Note 20: Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component and related tax effects in the first nine months of 2015 were as follows:

(In Millions)	Unrealized Holding Gains (Losses) on Available-for-Sale Investments	Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance	Unrealized Holding Gains (Losses) on Derivatives	Prior Service Credits (Costs)	Actuarial Gains (Losses)	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment	Total
December 27, 2014	$ 2,459	$ 26	$ (423)	$ (47)	$ (1,004)	$ (345)	$ 666
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(1,365)	—	(268)	—	—	(187)	(1,820)
Amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(86)	—	400	6	43	—	363
Tax effects	508	(13)	(43)	—	(13)	17	456
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(943)	(13)	89	6	30	(170)	(1,001)

September 26, 2015	$ 1,516	$13	$(334)	$(41)	$(974)	$(515)	$(335)

30

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

The amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into the consolidated condensed statements of income, with presentation location, for each period were as follows:

	Income Before Taxes Impact (In Millions)				
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		
Comprehensive Income Components	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Location
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale investments:					
	$1	$9	$1	$7	Interest and other, net
	11	9	85	132	Gains (losses) on equity investments, net
	12	18	86	139	
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on derivatives:					
Currency forwards	(81)	(5)	(217)	(10)	Cost of sales
	(50)	8	(145)	26	Research and development
	(16)	2	(38)	7	Marketing, general and administrative
Other instruments	—	(2)	—	(2)	Cost of sales
	(147)	3	(400)	21	
Amortization of pension and postretirement benefit components:					
Prior service credits (costs)	(2)	(2)	(6)	(4)	
Actuarial gains (losses)	(15)	(10)	(43)	(29)	
	(17)	(12)	(49)	(33)	
Total amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	$(152)	$9	$(363)	$127	

The amortization of pension and postretirement benefit components are included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost. For further information, see the "Retirement Benefit Plans" note in Part II, Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 27, 2014 and Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 5, 2015.

We estimate that we will reclassify approximately $241 million (before taxes) of net derivative losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into earnings within the next 12 months.

Note 21: Contingencies

Legal Proceedings

We are a party to various legal proceedings, including those noted in this section. Although management at present believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not materially harm our financial position, results of operations, cash flows, or overall trends, legal proceedings and related government investigations are subject to inherent uncertainties, and unfavorable rulings or other events could occur. Unfavorable resolutions could include substantial monetary damages. In addition, in matters for which injunctive relief or other conduct remedies are sought, unfavorable resolutions could include an injunction or other order prohibiting us from selling one or more products at all or in particular ways, precluding particular business practices, or requiring other remedies. An unfavorable outcome may result in a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations, financial position, and overall trends. We might also conclude that settling one or more such matters is in the best interests of our stockholders, employees and customers, and any such settlement could include substantial payments.

Except as specifically described below, we have not concluded that settlement of any of the legal proceedings noted in this section is appropriate at this time.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

Government Competition Matters and Related Consumer Class Actions

A number of proceedings generally have challenged and continue to challenge certain of our competitive practices. The allegations in these proceedings vary and are described in more detail in the following paragraphs. In general, they contend that we improperly conditioned price rebates and other discounts on our microprocessors on exclusive or near-exclusive dealing by some of our customers; and they allege that our software compiler business unfairly preferred Intel® microprocessors over competing microprocessors and that, through the use of our compilers and other means, we have caused the dissemination of inaccurate and misleading benchmark results concerning our microprocessors. Based on the procedural posture of the various remaining competition matters, which we describe in the following paragraphs, our investment of resources to explain and defend our position has declined as compared to the period 2005-2011. Nonetheless, certain of the matters remain active, and these challenges could continue for a number of years, potentially requiring us to invest additional resources. We believe that we compete lawfully and that our marketing, business, intellectual property, and other challenged practices benefit our customers and our stockholders, and we will continue to conduct a vigorous defense in the remaining proceedings.

In 2001, the European Commission (EC) commenced an investigation regarding claims by Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. (AMD) that we used unfair business practices to persuade customers to buy our microprocessors. We received numerous requests for information and documents from the EC and we responded to each of those requests. The EC issued a Statement of Objections in July 2007 and held a hearing on that Statement in March 2008. The EC issued a Supplemental Statement of Objections in July 2008. In May 2009, the EC issued a decision finding that we had violated Article 82 of the EC Treaty and Article 54 of the European Economic Area Agreement. In general, the EC found that we violated Article 82 (later renumbered as Article 102 by a new treaty) by offering alleged "conditional rebates and payments" that required our customers to purchase all or most of their x86 microprocessors from us. The EC also found that we violated Article 82 by making alleged "payments to prevent sales of specific rival products." The EC imposed a fine in the amount of €1.06 billion ($1.447 billion as of May 2009), which we subsequently paid during the third quarter of 2009, and ordered us to "immediately bring to an end the infringement referred to in" the EC decision.

The EC decision contained no specific direction on whether or how we should modify our business practices. Instead, the decision stated that we should "cease and desist" from further conduct that, in the EC's opinion, would violate applicable law. We took steps, which are subject to the EC's ongoing review, to comply with that decision pending appeal. We had discussions with the EC to better understand the decision and to explain changes to our business practices.

We appealed the EC decision to the Court of First Instance (which has been renamed the General Court) in July 2009. The hearing of our appeal took place in July 2012. In June 2014, the General Court rejected our appeal in its entirety. In August 2014, we filed an appeal with the European Court of Justice. On November 11, 2014, Intervener Association for Competitive Technologies filed comments in support of Intel’s grounds of appeal. The EC and interveners filed briefs in November 2014, we filed a reply in February 2015, and the EC filed a rejoinder in April 2015. The Court of Justice is likely to hold oral argument in late 2015 and issue its decision in 2016.

At least 82 separate class-action lawsuits have been filed in the U.S. District Courts for the Northern District of California, Southern District of California, District of Idaho, District of Nebraska, District of New Mexico, District of Maine, and District of Delaware, as well as in various California, Kansas, and Tennessee state courts. These actions generally repeat the allegations made in a now-settled lawsuit filed against us by AMD in June 2005 in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware (AMD litigation). Like the AMD litigation, these class-action lawsuits allege that we engaged in various actions in violation of the Sherman Act and other laws by, among other things: providing discounts and rebates to our manufacturer and distributor customers conditioned on exclusive or near-exclusive dealing that allegedly unfairly interfered with AMD's ability to sell its microprocessors; interfering with certain AMD product launches; and interfering with AMD's participation in certain industry standards-setting groups. The class actions allege various consumer injuries, including that consumers in various states have been injured by paying higher prices for computers containing our microprocessors. We dispute these class-action claims and intend to defend the lawsuits vigorously.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

All of the federal and state class actions other than the California class actions were transferred by the Multidistrict Litigation Panel to the U.S. District Court in Delaware for all pre-trial proceedings and discovery (MDL proceedings). The Delaware district court appointed a Special Master to address issues in the MDL proceedings, as assigned by the court. In January 2010, the plaintiffs in the Delaware action filed a motion for sanctions for our alleged failure to preserve evidence. This motion largely copies a motion previously filed by AMD in the AMD litigation, which has settled. The plaintiffs in the MDL proceedings also moved for certification of a class of members who purchased certain personal computers containing products sold by us. In July 2010, the Special Master issued a Report and Recommendation (Report) denying the motion to certify a class. The MDL plaintiffs filed objections to the Special Master's Report, and a hearing on those objections was held before the district court in July 2013. In July 2014, the district court affirmed the Special Master's ruling and issued an order denying the MDL plaintiffs' motion for class certification. In August 2014, plaintiffs filed a petition for interlocutory appeal of the district court's decision with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, which the Third Circuit denied in October 2014. In December 2014, Intel filed a motion for summary judgment on the claims of the remaining individual plaintiffs. We subsequently negotiated a settlement of the claims and the case was dismissed in September 2015.

All California class actions have been consolidated in the Superior Court of California in Santa Clara County. The plaintiffs in the California actions moved for class certification, which we are in the process of opposing. At our request, the court in the California actions agreed to delay ruling on this motion until after the Delaware district court ruled on the similar motion in the MDL proceedings. The plaintiffs asked the court for leave to retain a new expert and to amend their previous motion for class certification. The court granted plaintiffs’ request in February 2015 and the hearing on plaintiffs’ amended class certification motion is, scheduled to take place in December 2015. Given the procedural posture and the nature of these cases, we are unable to make a reasonable estimate of the potential loss or range of losses, if any, arising from these matters.

In re High Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation

Between May and July 2011, former employees of Intel, Adobe Systems Incorporated, Apple Inc., Google Inc., Intuit Inc., Lucasfilm Ltd., and Pixar filed antitrust class action lawsuits in the California Superior Courts alleging that these companies had entered into a conspiracy to suppress the compensation of their employees. The lawsuits were removed to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and in September 2011 the plaintiffs filed a consolidated amended complaint, captioned In re High Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation. The plaintiffs’ allegations reference the 2009 and 2010 investigation by the Department of Justice (DOJ) into employment practices in the technology industry, as well as the DOJ’s complaints and subsequent stipulated final judgments with the seven companies named as defendants in the lawsuits. The plaintiffs allege that the defendants entered into certain unlawful agreements not to cold call employees of particular other defendants and that there was an overarching conspiracy among the defendants. Plaintiffs assert one such agreement specific to Intel, namely that Intel and Google entered into an agreement starting in 2005, not to cold call each other's employees. Plaintiffs assert claims under Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act and Section 4 of the Clayton Antitrust Act and seek a declaration that the defendants’ alleged actions violated the antitrust laws, damages trebled as provided for by law under the Sherman Act or Clayton Act, restitution and disgorgement, and attorneys’ fees and costs.

In October 2013, the district court certified a class consisting of approximately 65,000 current or former employees of the seven defendants and set the matter for trial in late May 2014. The so-called "technical class" consists of a group of current and former technical, creative, and R&D employees at each of the defendants. In January 2014, Intel filed a motion for summary judgment, which the court denied in March 2014.

In April 2014, Intel, Adobe, Apple, and Google reached an agreement with plaintiffs to settle this lawsuit, but in August 2014, the district court denied preliminary approval of the settlement. In September 2014, defendants filed a petition for writ of mandamus asking the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit to reverse the district court’s decision. The Ninth Circuit ordered briefing and scheduled a March 2015 hearing date on the writ petition. Defendants have withdrawn the petition for writ of mandamus in light of the settlement agreement discussed below.

In January 2015, Intel, Adobe, Apple, and Google reached a second agreement with plaintiffs to settle this lawsuit, which the court preliminarily approved in March 2015. The court held a final fairness hearing in July 2015, and, in September 2015, gave its final approval of the settlement and entered final judgment in the lawsuit. We made our settlement payment in October 2015, which we accrued for in our operating expenses for 2014. Although we disputed the plaintiffs' claims, we agreed to settle the lawsuit to avoid the uncertainties, expenses, and diversion of resources from continued litigation.

Shareholder Derivative Litigation regarding High Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation

In March 2014, the Police Retirement System of St. Louis filed a shareholder derivative action in the Superior Court of California in Santa Clara County against Intel, certain current and former members of our Board of Directors, and a current officer. The complaint alleges that the defendants breached their duties to the company by participating in, or allowing, alleged antitrust violations, as described in In re High Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation. In March 2014, a second plaintiff, Barbara Templeton, filed a substantially similar derivative suit in the same court. In May 2014, a third shareholder, Robert Achermann, filed a substantially similar derivative action in the same court. The court consolidated the three actions into one, which is captioned In re Intel Corporation Shareholder Derivative Litigation. Plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint in July 2014. In August 2015, the court granted our motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint. The plaintiffs thereafter filed a motion for reconsideration and a motion for new trial, both of which the court denied in October 2015.

In June 2015, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) filed a shareholder derivative action in the Chancery Court in Delaware against Intel, certain current and former members of our Board of Directors, and a current officer. The lawsuit makes allegations that are substantially similar to those in the California shareholder derivative litigation described above, but contain additional allegations regarding breach of the duty of disclosure surrounding In re High Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation and that the Intel 2013 and 2014 proxy statements were false and misleading in that they misrepresented the effectiveness of the Board’s oversight of compliance issues at Intel and the Board’s compliance with Intel’s Code of Conduct and Board of Director Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues. In October 2015, the court stayed the IBEW lawsuit for six months while the California case is on appeal.

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Lehman Brothers OTC Derivatives Inc. v. Intel

In May 2013, Lehman Brothers OTC Derivatives Inc. (LOTC) and Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (LBHI) filed an adversary complaint in the United States Bankruptcy Court in the Southern District of New York asserting claims against us arising from a 2008 contract between Intel and LOTC. Under the terms of the 2008 contract, we prepaid $1.0 billion to LOTC, in exchange for which LOTC was required to deliver to us on or before September 29, 2008, quantities of Intel common stock and cash determined by a formula set forth in the contract. LOTC's performance under the contract was secured by $1.0 billion of cash collateral. Under the terms of the contract, LOTC was obligated to deliver approximately 50 million shares of our common stock to us on September 29, 2008. LOTC failed to deliver any Intel common stock or cash, and we exercised our right of setoff against the $1.0 billion collateral. LOTC and LBHI acknowledge in their complaint that we were entitled to set off our losses against the collateral, but they assert that we withheld collateral in excess of our losses that should have been returned to LOTC. The complaint asserts a claim for breach of contract, a claim for turnover under section 542(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, and a claim for violation of the automatic stay under section 362(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code. The complaint does not expressly quantify the amount of damages claimed but does assert multiple theories of damages that impliedly seek up to $312 million of alleged excess collateral, plus interest at LIBOR plus 13.5%, compounded daily. In June 2013, we filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs' bankruptcy claims and for a determination that the breach of contract claim is "non-core" under the Bankruptcy Code. The bankruptcy court granted our motion in its entirety in December 2013. In May 2014, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York denied our request that it withdraw its reference of plaintiffs' adversary complaint to the bankruptcy court. In January 2015, Intel and the plaintiffs filed competing motions for summary judgment. Plaintiffs' motion requested judgment against Intel "in the amount of no less than" $129 million, plus interest. In September 2015, the bankruptcy court ruled in favor of Intel and issued proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law recommending that the district court deny plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment and grant Intel’s motion for summary judgment. In October 2015, plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed the lawsuit with prejudice.

McAfee, Inc. Shareholder Litigation

On August 19, 2010, we announced that we had agreed to acquire all of the common stock of McAfee, Inc. (McAfee) for $48.00 per share. Four McAfee shareholders filed putative class-action lawsuits in Santa Clara County, California

Superior Court challenging the proposed transaction. The cases were ordered consolidated in September 2010. Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint that named former McAfee board members, McAfee and Intel as defendants, and alleged that the McAfee board members breached their fiduciary duties and that McAfee and Intel aided and abetted those breaches of duty. The complaint requested rescission of the merger agreement, such other equitable relief as the court may deem proper, and an award of damages in an unspecified amount. In June 2012, the plaintiffs' damages expert asserted that the value of a McAfee share for the purposes of assessing damages should be $62.08.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — Unaudited (Continued)

In January 2012, the court certified the action as a class action, appointed the Central Pension Laborers' Fund to act as the class representative, and scheduled trial to begin in January 2013. In March 2012, defendants filed a petition with the California Court of Appeal for a writ of mandate to reverse the class certification order; the petition was denied in June 2012. In March 2012, at defendants' request, the court held that plaintiffs were not entitled to a jury trial, and ordered a bench trial. In April 2012, plaintiffs filed a petition with the California Court of Appeal for a writ of mandate to reverse that order, which the court of appeal denied in July 2012. In August 2012, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. The trial court granted that motion in November 2012, and entered final judgment in the case in February 2013. In April 2013, plaintiffs appealed the final judgment. Intel, McAfee, and McAfee's board of directors filed an opposition to plaintiff's appeal in December 2014. Because the resolution of the appeal may materially impact the scope and nature of the proceeding, we are unable to make a reasonable estimate of the potential loss or range of losses, if any, arising from this matter. We dispute the class-action claims and intend to continue to defend the lawsuit vigorously.

Note 22: Operating Segments Information

Our operating segments in effect as of September 26, 2015 include:

- Client Computing Group
- Data Center Group
- Internet of Things Group
- Software and services operating segments
- McAfee
- Software and Services Group
- All other
- Non-Volatile Memory Solutions Group
- New Devices Group

During the first quarter of 2015, we combined the PC Client Group and Mobile and Communications Group to create the Client Computing Group (CCG). This change in our organizational structure reflects our strategy to address all aspects of the client computing market segment and utilize our intellectual property to offer compelling customer solutions. All prior-period amounts have been retrospectively adjusted to reflect the way we internally manage and monitor segment performance starting in fiscal year 2015 and includes other minor reorganizations.

The Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM) is our CEO. The CODM allocates resources to and assesses the performance of each operating segment using information about its revenue and operating income (loss).

We manage our business activities primarily based on a product segmentation basis. CCG and Data Center Group are our reportable operating segments. Internet of Things Group and the aggregated "software and services operating segments" as shown in the preceding operating segment list, do not meet the quantitative thresholds to qualify as reportable operating segments; however, we have elected to disclose the results of these non-reportable operating segments. Our Non-Volatile Memory Solutions Group and New Devices Group operating segments do not meet the quantitative thresholds to qualify as reportable segments and their combined results are included within the "all other" category.

Revenue for our reportable and aggregated non-reportable operating segments is primarily related to the following product lines:

- Client Computing Group. Includes platforms designed for the notebook (including Ultrabook™ devices), 2 in 1 systems, the desktop (including all-in-ones and high-end enthusiast PCs), tablets, and smartphones; wireless and wired connectivity products; as well as mobile communication components.
- Data Center Group. Includes server, network, and storage platforms designed for enterprise, cloud, communications infrastructure, and technical computing segments.
- Internet of Things Group. Includes platforms designed for embedded market segments including retail, transportation, industrial, and buildings and home, along with a broad range of other market segments.
- Software and services operating segments. Includes software and hardware products for endpoint security, network and content security, risk and compliance, and consumer and mobile security from our McAfee business, and software products and services that promote Intel architecture as the platform of choice for software development.

35

We have sales and marketing, manufacturing, engineering, finance, and administration groups. Expenses for these groups are generally allocated to the operating segments, and the expenses are included in the following operating results.

The “all other” category includes revenue, expenses, and charges such as:

- results of operations from our Non-Volatile Memory Solutions Group and New Devices Group;
- amounts included within restructuring and asset impairment charges;
- a portion of profit-dependent compensation and other expenses not allocated to the operating segments;
- divested businesses for which discrete operating results are not regularly reviewed by our CODM;
- results of operations of start-up businesses that support our initiatives, including our foundry business; and
- acquisition-related costs, including amortization and any impairment of acquisition-related intangibles and goodwill.

The CODM does not evaluate operating segments using discrete asset information. Operating segments do not record inter-segment revenue. We do not allocate gains and losses from equity investments, interest and other income, or taxes to operating segments. Although the CODM uses operating income to evaluate the segments, operating costs included in one segment may benefit other segments. Except for these differences, the accounting policies for segment reporting are the same as for Intel as a whole.

Net revenue and operating income (loss) for each period were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
(In Millions)	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014	Sep 26, 2015	Sep 27, 2014
Net revenue:				
Client Computing Group				
Platform	$8,089	$8,749	$22,262	$24,744
Other	417	442	1,201	1,262
	8,506	9,191	23,463	26,006
Data Center Group				
Platform	3,863	3,439	10,861	9,543
Other	277	261	808	753
	4,140	3,700	11,669	10,296
Internet of Things Group				
Platform	501	456	1,450	1,320
Other	80	74	223	231
	581	530	1,673	1,551
Software and services operating segments	556	558	1,624	1,659
All other	682	575	2,012	1,637
Total net revenue	$14,465	$14,554	$40,441	$41,149
Operating income (loss):				
Client Computing Group	$2,433	$3,053	$5,445	$7,486
Data Center Group	2,127	1,946	5,671	5,124
Internet of Things Group	151	145	383	406
Software and services operating segments	102	29	119	56
All other	(621)	(633)	(1,915)	(2,178)
Total operating income	$4,192	$4,540	$9,703	$10,894

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is provided in addition to the accompanying consolidated condensed financial statements and notes to assist readers in understanding our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows. MD&A is organized as follows:

- Overview. Discussion of our business and overall analysis of financial and other highlights affecting the company in order to provide context for the remainder of MD&A.
- Results of Operations. Analysis of our financial results comparing the three and nine months ended September 26, 2015 to the three and nine months ended September 27, 2014.
- Liquidity and Capital Resources. Analysis of changes in our balance sheets and cash flows, and discussion of our financial condition and potential sources of liquidity.
- Fair Value of Financial Instruments. Discussion of the methodologies used in the valuation of our financial instruments.
- Contractual Obligations. Material changes, outside our ordinary course of business, to our significant contractual obligations as of December 27, 2014.

This interim MD&A should be read in conjunction with the MD&A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 27, 2014 and Form 8-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on June 5, 2015. The various sections of this MD&A contain a number of forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Words such as "anticipates," "expects," "intends," "goals," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates," "continues," "may," "will," "should," and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. In addition, any statements that refer to projections of our future financial performance, our anticipated growth and trends in our businesses, uncertain events or assumptions, and other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. Such statements are based on our current expectations and could be affected by the uncertainties and risk factors described throughout this filing and particularly in "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, and as may be updated in our subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Our actual results may differ materially, and these forward-looking statements do not reflect the potential impact of any divestitures, mergers, acquisitions, or other business combinations, including our definitive agreement with Altera Corporation (Altera) as discussed in "Note 7: Acquisitions" in the Notes to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q, that had not been completed as of October 28, 2015.

INTEL CORPORATION
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Overview

Our results of operations for each period were as follows:

(Dollars in Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)	Q3 2015	Q2 2015	Change	Q3 2015	Q3 2014	Change
Net revenue	$14,465	$13,195	$1,270	$14,465	$14,554	$(89)
Gross margin	$9,111	$8,248	$863	$9,111	$9,458	$(347)
Gross margin percentage	63.0 %	62.5 %	0.5 %	63.0 %	65.0 %	(2.0)%
Operating income	$4,192	$2,896	$1,296	$4,192	$4,540	$(348)
Net income	$3,109	$				