BRANDYWINE REALTY TRUST

Form 10-K

February 29, 2016

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

OR

oTRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-9106 (Brandywine Realty Trust)

000-24407 (Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P.)

Brandywine Realty Trust

Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND (Brandywine Realty Trust) 23-2413352 DELAWARE (Brandywine Operating Partnership L.P.) 23-2862640

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

555 East Lancaster Avenue

Radnor, Pennsylvania 19087 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (610) 325-5600

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Name of each exchange on which

registered

Common Shares of Beneficial Interest, par value \$0.01 per share

New York Stock Exchange

(Brandywine Realty Trust)

(Brandywine Realty Trust)

6.90% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest par value \$0.01 per share New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Units of General Partnership Interest (Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P.)

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Brandywine Realty Trust

Yes x No o

Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Brandywine Realty Trust

Yes o No x

Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Brandywine Realty Trust

Yes x No o

Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Brandywine Realty Trust

Yes x No o

Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Brandywine Realty Trust:

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer o Non accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P.:

> Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non accelerated filer x Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Brandywine Realty Trust Yes o No x Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P. Yes o No x

As of June 30, 2015, the aggregate market value of the Common Shares of Beneficial Interest held by non-affiliates of Brandywine Realty Trust was \$2,360,378,871 based upon the last reported sale price of \$13.28 per share on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2015. An aggregate of 174,822,400 Common Shares of Beneficial Interest were outstanding as of February 19, 2016.

As of June 30, 2015 the aggregate market value of the 1,535,102 common units of limited partnership ("Units") held by non-affiliates of Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P. was \$20,386,155 based upon the last reported sale price of \$13.28 per share on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2014 of the Common Shares of Beneficial Interest of Brandywine Realty Trust, the sole general partner of Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P. (For this computation, the Registrant has excluded the market value of all Units beneficially owned by Brandywine Realty Trust.)

Documents Incorporated By Reference

Portions of the proxy statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Brandywine Realty Trust are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the annual reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 of Brandywine Realty Trust (the "Parent Company") and Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership"). The Parent Company is a Maryland real estate investment trust, or REIT, that owns its assets and conducts its operations through the Operating Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership, and subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership. The Parent Company, the Operating Partnership and their consolidated subsidiaries are collectively referred to in this report as the "Company". In addition, terms such as "we", "us", or "our" used in this report may refer to the Company, the Parent Company or the Operating Partnership.

The Parent Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and as of December 31, 2015, owned a 99.1% interest in the Operating Partnership. The remaining 0.9% interest consists of common units of limited partnership interest issued by the Operating Partnership to third parties in exchange for contributions of properties to the Operating Partnership. As the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, the Parent Company has full and complete authority over the Operating Partnership's day-to-day operations and management.

As general partner with control of the Operating Partnership, the Parent Company consolidates the Operating Partnership for financial reporting purposes, and the Parent Company does not have significant assets other than its investment in the Operating Partnership. Therefore, the assets and liabilities of the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership are the same on their respective financial statements. The separate discussions of the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership in this report should be read in conjunction with each other to understand the results of the Company's operations on a consolidated basis and how management operates the Company.

Management operates the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership as one enterprise. The management of the Parent Company consists of the same members as the management of the Operating Partnership. These members are officers of both the Parent Company and of the Operating Partnership.

The Company believes that combining the annual reports on Form 10-K of the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership into a single report will result in the following benefits:

- •facilitate a better understanding by the investors of the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership by enabling them to view the business as a whole in the same manner as management views and operates the business;
- •remove duplicative disclosures and provide a more straightforward presentation in light of the fact that a substantial portion of the disclosure applies to both the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership; and
- ·create time and cost efficiencies through the preparation of one combined report instead of two separate reports. There are few differences between the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership, which are reflected in the footnote disclosures in this report. The Company believes it is important to understand the differences between the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership in the context of how these entities operate as an interrelated consolidated company. The Parent Company is a REIT, whose only material asset is its ownership of the partnership interests of the Operating Partnership. As a result, the Parent Company does not conduct business itself, other than acting as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, issuing public equity from time to time and guaranteeing the debt obligations of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership holds substantially all the assets of the Company and directly or indirectly holds the ownership interests in the Company's real estate ventures. The Operating Partnership conducts the operations of the Company's business and is structured as a partnership with no publicly traded equity. Except for net proceeds from equity issuances by the Parent Company, which are contributed to the Operating Partnership in exchange for partnership units, the Operating Partnership generates the capital required by the Company's business through the Operating Partnership units of the Operating Partnership units of the Operating Partnership or equity interests in subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership.

The equity and non-controlling interests in the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership's equity are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership. The common units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership are accounted for as partners' equity in the Operating Partnership's financial statements while the common units of limited partnership interests held by parties other than the Parent Company are presented as non-controlling interests in the Parent Company's financial statements. The differences between the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership's equity relate to the differences in the equity issued at the Parent Company and Operating Partnership levels.

To help investors understand the significant differences between the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership, this report presents the following as separate notes or sections for each of the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership:

- ·Consolidated Financial Statements;
- ·Parent Company's and Operating Partnership's Equity

This report also includes separate Item 9A. (Controls and Procedures) disclosures and separate Exhibit 31 and 32 certifications for each of the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership in order to establish that the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of each entity have made the requisite certifications and that the Parent Company and Operating Partnership are compliant with Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. § 1350.

In order to highlight the differences between the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership, the separate sections in this report for the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership specifically refer to the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership. In the sections that combine disclosures of the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership, this report refers to such disclosures as those of the Company. Although the Operating Partnership is generally the entity that directly or indirectly enters into contracts and real estate ventures and holds assets and debt, reference to the Company is appropriate because the business is one enterprise and the Parent Company operates the business through the Operating Partnership.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Business</u> | 8 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 19 |
| Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments | 29 |
| Item 2. Properties | 30 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings | 38 |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures | 38 |
| PART II | |
| Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 39 |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data | 41 |
| Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 43 |
| Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 71 |
| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 71 |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 71 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures | 71 |
| Item 9B. Other Information | 72 |
| PART III | |
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 73 |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation | 73 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters | 73 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 73 |
| Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services | 73 |
| PART IV | |

| Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules | 73 |
|---|----|
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 82 |
| 5 | |

Exhibit 3.2.19

Exhibit 10.45

Exhibit 10.46

Exhibit 12.1

Exhibit 12.2

Exhibit 21

Exhibit 23.1

Exhibit 23.2

Exhibit 31.1

Exhibit 31.2

Exhibit 31.2

Exhibit 31.4

Exhibit 32.1

Exhibit 32.1

E 1:1:: 22.2

Exhibit 32.3

Exhibit 32.4

Exhibit 99.1

EX-101.INS

XBRL

INSTANCE

DOCUMENT

EX-101.SCH

XBRL

TAXONOMY

EXTENSION

SCHEMA

EX-101.CAL

XBRL

TAXONOMY

EXTENSION

CALCULATION

LINKBASE

EX-101.LAB

XBRL

TAXONOMY

EXTENSION

LABEL

LINKBASE

EX-101.PRE

XBRL

TAXONOMY

EXTENSION

PRESENTATION

LINKBASE

EX-101.DEF

XBRL

TAXONOMY

EXTENSION

DEFINITION

LINKBASE

Filing Format

This combined Form 10-K is being filed separately by Brandywine Realty Trust (the "Parent Company") and Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership").

Forward-Looking Statements

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements. This Annual Report on Form 10-K and other materials filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") (as well as information included in oral or other written statements made by us) contain statements that are forward-looking, including statements relating to business and real estate development activities, acquisitions, dispositions, future capital expenditures, financing sources, governmental regulation (including environmental regulation) and competition. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe-harbor provisions of the 1995 Act. The words "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "will," "should" and similar expressions, as relate to us, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, we can give no assurance that our expectations will be achieved. As forward-looking statements, these statements involve important risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the expected results and, accordingly, such results may differ from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations include, but are not limited to:

- •the continuing impact of modest global economic growth, which is having and may have a negative effect on the following, among other things:
- ·the fundamentals of our business, including overall market occupancy, demand for office space and rental rates;
- •the financial condition of our tenants, many of which are financial, legal and other professional firms, our lenders, counterparties to our derivative financial instruments and institutions that hold our cash balances and short-term investments, which may expose us to increased risks of default by these parties;
- •the availability of financing on attractive terms or at all, which may adversely impact our future interest expense and our ability to pursue acquisition and development opportunities and refinance existing debt; and
- ·a decline in real estate asset valuations, which may limit our ability to dispose of assets at attractive prices or obtain or maintain debt financing secured by our properties or on an unsecured basis.
- ·changes in local real estate conditions (including changes in rental rates and the number of properties that compete with our properties);
- ·our failure to lease unoccupied space in accordance with our projections;
- ·our failure to re-lease occupied space upon expiration of leases;
- ·tenant defaults and the bankruptcy of major tenants;
- ·increases in interest rates;
- ·failure of interest rate hedging contracts to perform as expected and the effectiveness of such arrangements;
- ·failure of acquisitions to perform as expected;
- ·unanticipated costs associated with the acquisition, integration and operation of our acquisitions;
- ·unanticipated costs to complete, lease-up and operate our developments and redevelopments;
- ·unanticipated costs associated with land development, including building moratoriums and inability to obtain necessary zoning, land-use, building, occupancy and other required governmental approvals, construction cost increases or overruns and construction delays;
- ·impairment charges;
- ·increased costs for, or lack of availability of, adequate insurance, including for terrorist acts or environmental liabilities:
- ·actual or threatened terrorist attacks;
- •the impact on workplace and tenant space demands driven by technology, employee culture and commuting patterns;
- ·demand for tenant services beyond those traditionally provided by landlords;

- ·liability and clean-up costs under environmental or other laws;
- ·failure or bankruptcy of real estate venture partners;
- ·inability of real estate venture partners to fund venture obligations or perform under our real estate venture development agreements;
- ·failure to manage effectively our growth into new product types within our real estate venture arrangements;
- ·failure of dispositions to close in a timely manner;
- ·earthquakes and other natural disasters;
- •the unforeseen impact of climate change and compliance costs relating to laws and regulations governing climate change;
- ·risks associated with federal, state and local tax audits;

- ·complex regulations relating to our status as a REIT and the adverse consequences of our failure to qualify as a REIT; and
- •the impact of newly adopted accounting principles on our accounting policies and on period-to-period comparisons of financial results.

Given these uncertainties, and the other risks identified in the "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we caution readers not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. We assume no obligation to update or supplement forward-looking statements that become untrue because of subsequent events.

PART I

Item 1. Business Introduction

We are a self-administered and self-managed REIT that provides leasing, property management, development, redevelopment, acquisition and other tenant-related services for a portfolio of office, industrial, retail and mixed-use properties. As of December 31, 2015, we owned 179 properties that contain an aggregate of approximately 23.0 million net rentable square feet and consist of 106 office properties, six industrial facilities, three mixed-use properties, one retail property (116 core properties), 59 properties classified as held for sale, two development properties, one redevelopment property and one re-entitlement property (collectively, the "Properties"). In addition, as of December 31, 2015, we owned economic interests in 16 unconsolidated real estate ventures (collectively, the "Real Estate Ventures"). Nine of the ventures owned 31 office buildings that contain an aggregate of approximately 4.3 million net rentable square feet; two real estate ventures owned 4.3 acres of undeveloped parcels of land; three real estate ventures owned 2.2 acres of land under active development; one real estate venture owned a residential tower that contains 345 apartment units and one real estate venture owned an apartment complex that contains 398 units. As of December 31, 2015, we also owned 412 acres of undeveloped land, of which 120 acres were held for sale, and held options to purchase a parcel containing approximately 50 additional acres of undeveloped land. As of December 31, 2015, the total potential development that these land parcels could support under current zoning, entitlements or combination thereof, amounted to 7.1 million square feet. The Properties and the properties owned by the Real Estate Ventures are located in or near Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Metropolitan Washington, D.C.; Southern New Jersey; Richmond, Virginia; Wilmington, Delaware; Austin, Texas and Oakland and Concord California. In addition to managing properties that we own, as of December 31, 2015, we were managing approximately 6.5 million net rentable square feet of office and industrial properties for third parties and Real Estate Ventures. Unless otherwise indicated, all references in this Form 10-K to square feet represent net rentable area. We do not have any foreign operations and our business is not seasonal. Our operations are not dependent on a single tenant or a few tenants and no single tenant accounted for more than 10% of our total 2015 revenue.

Organization

The Parent Company was organized and commenced its operations in 1986 as a Maryland REIT. The Parent Company owns its assets and conducts its operations through the Operating Partnership and subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership was formed in 1996 as a Delaware limited partnership. The Parent Company controls the Operating Partnership as its sole general partner. As of December 31, 2015, the Parent Company owned a 99.1% interest in the Operating Partnership. The remaining 0.9% interest in the Operating Partnership consists of common units of limited partnership interest issued to the holders in exchange for contributions of properties to the Operating Partnership. Our structure as an "UPREIT" is designed, in part, to permit persons contributing properties to us to defer some or all of the tax liability they might otherwise incur in a sale of properties. Our executive offices are located at 555 East Lancaster Avenue, Suite 100, Radnor, Pennsylvania 19087

and our telephone number is (610) 325-5600. We have offices in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; McLean, Virginia; Marlton, New Jersey; Richmond, Virginia; and Austin, Texas. We have an internet website at www.brandywinerealty.com. We are not incorporating by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K any material from our website. The reference to our website is an inactive textual reference to the uniform resource locator (URL) and is for your reference only.

2015 Transactions

Real Estate Acquisitions

We completed each of the transactions described below with unaffiliated third parties in arms' length transactions.

On July 7, 2015, we acquired a 0.8 acre parcel of land located at 2100 Market Street in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for \$18.8 million. We funded \$16.8 million of the purchase price with available corporate funds and the remaining \$2.0 million of the purchase price was deferred until the earlier of the commencement of development or 24 months from settlement. We accounted for this transaction as an asset acquisition and capitalized a nominal amount of acquisition related costs and other costs as part of land inventory on our consolidated balance sheet. We agreed with the seller of 2100 Market Street to pay additional consideration to it if we were to acquire certain land parcels adjacent to 2100 Market Street from unaffiliated third parties. The unaffiliated third parties are not party to this

transaction and our acquisition of any such land parcels would be the result of an arm's length negotiation. The amount of additional consideration, if any, payable to the seller of 2100 Market Street cannot be determined at this time. We have not yet determined the scope, timing and cost of construction for the project as of December 31, 2015.

On June 22, 2015, through a series of transactions with International Business Machines ("IBM"), we acquired the remaining 50.0% interest in Broadmoor Austin Associates, consisting of seven office buildings and the 66.0 acre underlying land parcel located in Austin, Texas, for an aggregate purchase price of \$211.4 million. The aggregate purchase price includes the carrying amount of our investment in Broadmoor Austin Associates of \$66.3 million. The office buildings contain 1,112,236 net rentable square feet of office space and were 100.0% occupied as of June 22, 2015. We funded the cost of the acquisition with an aggregate cash payment of \$143.8 million, consisting of \$81.0 million from available corporate funds and \$62.8 million previously held in escrow related to a Section 1031 like-kind exchange. Part of the cash payment was used at closing to repay, at no repayment penalty, the remaining \$51.2 million of secured debt. We incurred \$0.2 million of acquisition related costs that are classified within general and administrative expenses.

We previously accounted for our 50.0% non-controlling interest in Broadmoor Austin Associates under the equity method of accounting. As a result of acquiring IBM's remaining 50.0% common interest in Broadmoor Austin Associates, we obtained control of Broadmoor Austin Associates and our existing investment balance was remeasured based on the fair value of the underlying properties acquired and the existing distribution provisions under the relevant partnership agreement. As a result, we recorded a \$0.8 million gain on remeasurement.

On April 6, 2015, we acquired a 0.8 acre parcel of land, located at 25 M Street Southeast, Washington, D.C. for \$20.3 million. We funded the cost of this acquisition with available corporate funds. We capitalized \$0.3 million of acquisition related costs and these costs are included as part of land inventory on our consolidated balance sheet. On May 12, 2015, we subsequently contributed the land parcel into a newly formed real estate venture known as 25 M Street Holdings, LLC ("25 M Street"), a joint venture between us and Jaco 25M Investors, LLC ("Akridge"), an unaffiliated third party, with the intent to construct a 271,000 square foot Class A office property. We hold a 95.0% ownership interest in 25 M Street and Akridge contributed \$1.0 million in cash for its 5.0% ownership interest in 25 M Street. The \$1.0 million contribution from Akridge was distributed to us during 2015. 25 M Street is consolidated within our financial statements. See Note 4, "Investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Ventures," to our Consolidated Financial Statements for further information. As of December 31, 2015, 25 M Street had not finalized development plans and total development costs, or received committed debt financing.

On April 2, 2015, we acquired a property located at 618 Market Street in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, comprised of a 330-space parking garage and 14,404 net rentable square feet of mixed-use space for \$19.4 million. Although the property is currently fully operational, we intend to either redevelop the existing property or demolish and fully redevelop the property. As of December 31, 2015, we had not yet begun any such development or redevelopment plans. The purchase price includes contingent consideration, recorded at fair value and payable to the seller upon commencement of development, totaling \$1.6 million and cash of \$17.8 million.

Real Estate Dispositions

We sold the following office properties, in each case to unaffiliated third parties in arms' length transactions, during the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

| Disposition Date | Property/Portfolio Name | Location | Number of Properties | • | Sales Price | Net Proceeds on Sale | Gain On Sale (a) | | Occupancy % at Date of Sale |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|
| | 5707 Southwest | | | | | | | | |
| December | Parkway (Encino | | | | | | | | |
| 31, 2015 | Trace) | Austin, TX | 2 | 320,000 | \$76,700 | \$50,158 | \$2,008 | (b) | 52.5% |
| December | Laurel Corporate | Mt. Laurel, | | F 60 4 4 F | 7 6 7 00 | T (0 T 0 | • • • • | | 00.70 |
| 29, 2015 | Center | NJ | 6 | 560,147 | 56,500 | 56,253 | 2,901 | | 92.5% |
| December | Carlsbad | Carlahad CA | 2 | 106.075 | 20.400 | 20.569 | | (~) | 62.00 |
| 18, 2015 December | Properties 751-761 Fifth | Carlsbad, CA King of | 3 | 196,075 | 30,400 | 29,568 | - | (c) | 63.8% |
| 18, 2015 | Ave | Prussia, PA | 1 | 158,000 | 4,600 | 4,245 | 894 | | 100.0% |
| September | 1000 Howard | Mt. Laurel, | 1 | 130,000 | 4,000 | 4,243 | 024 | | 100.070 |
| 29, 2015 | Boulevard | NJ. Laurer, | 1 | 105,312 | 16,500 | 15,780 | 4,828 | | 100.0% |
| August 13, | Bay Colony | 143 | 1 | 103,312 | 10,500 | 13,700 | 1,020 | | 100.070 |
| 2015 | Office Park | Wayne, PA | 4 | 247,294 | 37,500 | 36,386 | 269 | | 86.5% |
| August 11, | | King of | | , , | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | , | | | |
| 2015 | 741 First Avenue | Prussia, PA | 1 | 77,184 | 4,900 | 4,640 | 372 | | 100.0% |
| June 10, | 100 Gateway | Richmond, | | | | | | | |
| 2015 | Centre Parkway | VA | 1 | 74,991 | 4,100 | 3,911 | - | (d) | 58.3% |
| | | Newark, DE | | | | | | | |
| | Christina & | / | | | | | | | |
| April 24, | Delaware | Wilmington, | | | | | | | |
| 2015 | Corporate Centers | DE | 5 | 485,182 | 50,100 | 49,579 | 1,749 | | 66.5% |
| April 9, | Lake Merritt | | | | | | | | |
| 2015 | Tower | Oakland, CA | 1 | 204,336 | 65,000 | 62,800 | - | (e) | 86.4% |
| | 1000 Atrium Way | | | | | | | | |
| | / 457 Haddonfield | | | | | | | | |
| January 8, | Road (Atrium I / | NJ / Cherry | | 221 127 | •••• | 2 6 3 3 5 | 0.004 | | 00.40 |
| 2015 | Libertyview) | Hill, NJ | 2 | 221,405 | 28,300 | 26,778 | 8,981 | (C) | 93.4% |
| Total | | | 27 | 2,649,926 | \$374,600 | \$340,098 | \$22,002 | (1) | |
| Dispositions | | | | | | | | | |

⁽a) Gain on Sale is net of closing and other transaction related costs.

⁽b) On December 31, 2015, we contributed two newly constructed four-story, Class A office buildings, commonly known as "Encino Trace," containing an aggregate of approximately 320,000 square feet in Austin, Texas to one of our existing real estate ventures (the "Austin Venture") that we formed in 2013 with G&I VII Austin Office LLC, an investment vehicle advised by DRA Advisors LLC ("DRA"). When we contributed these two properties to the Austin Venture we incurred a total of \$76.7 million in development costs, representing the contribution value. The project is expected to cost \$91.3 million with remaining costs fully funded by the Austin Venture. In conjunction with the contribution: (i) the Austin Venture obtained a \$30.0 million mortgage loan; (ii) DRA contributed \$25.1 million in net cash to the Austin Venture, including a \$1.8 million working capital contribution; and (iii) the Austin Venture distributed \$50.2 million to us and credited us with a \$23.3 million capital contribution to the Austin Venture. In addition to the contribution of the properties, we also made a \$1.8 million cash contribution to the Austin Venture for working capital. We recognized a \$2.0 million gain on the contribution. Under the Encino Trace loan agreement the Austin Venture has the option, subject to certain leasing

- and loan-to-value requirements, to borrow an additional \$29.7 million to fund tenant improvements and leasing commissions.
- (c) We recorded an impairment loss of \$6.3 million for the Carlsbad office properties during the fourth quarter of 2015. As such, there was no gain at disposition for this property.
- (d) We recorded an impairment loss of \$0.8 million for 100 Gateway Centre Parkway during the second quarter of 2015. As such, there was no gain at disposition for this property.
- (e) We recorded an impairment loss of \$1.7 million for Lake Merritt Tower at March 31, 2015. As such, there was no gain at disposition for this property. Sales proceeds were deposited in escrow under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code and applied to purchase the Broadmoor Austin portfolio. Refer to Broadmoor Austin Associates acquisition summary, above, for further details.
- (f) Total gain on sale does not include a deferred gain of \$0.5 million related to a prior sale. 10

We sold the following land parcels during the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

| | | | Number | • | | Net | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------|-------|----------|----------|------------|-----|
| | Property/Portfolio | | of | | Sales | Proceeds | Gain/(Los | ss) |
| Disposition Date | Name | Location | Parcels | Acres | Price | on Sale | on Sale (a | ı) |
| December 18, 2015 | Two Christina Centre | Wilmington, DE | 1 | 1.6 | \$6,500 | \$5,986 | \$ - | (b) |
| September 1, 2015 | 7000 Midlantic | Mt. Laurel, NJ | 1 | 3.5 | 2,200 | 1,742 | (169 |) |
| August 31, 2015 | Four Points | Austin, TX | 1 | 8.6 | 2,500 | 2,344 | 71 | |
| August 25, 2015 | Two Kaiser Plaza | Oakland, CA | 1 | 1.0 | 11,100 | 11,016 | 3,117 | |
| Total Dispositions | | | 4 | 14.7 | \$22,300 | \$21,088 | \$ 3,019 | |

⁽a) Gain/(Loss) on Sale is net of closing and other transaction related costs.

The sales of properties referenced above do not represent a strategic shift that has a major effect on our operations and financial results. As a result, the operating results of these properties remain classified within continuing operations for all periods presented.

Held for Sale

The following is a summary of properties classified as held for sale but which did not meet the criteria to be classified within discontinued operations at December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

| | Held for Sale Properties Included in | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------|----|---------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | Continuing Operations | | | | | | | |
| | December 31, 2015 | | | | | | | |
| | Och-Ziff 2970 | | | | | | | |
| | Properties Market Greenhills | | | | | | | |
| | (a) | Street (b) | La | and (c) | Total | | | |
| ASSETS HELD FOR SALE | | | | | | | | |
| Real estate investments: | | | | | | | | |
| Operating properties | \$526,099 | \$268,489 | \$ | - | \$794,588 | | | |
| Accumulated depreciation | (179,092) | (34,489) | | - | (213,581) | | | |
| Operating real estate investments, net | 347,007 | 234,000 | | - | 581,007 | | | |
| Construction-in-progress | 1,915 | 25 | | - | 1,940 | | | |
| Land held for development | - | - | | 837 | 837 | | | |
| Total real estate investments, net | 348,922 | 234,025 | | 837 | 583,784 | | | |
| Intangible assets, net | 581 | - | | - | 581 | | | |
| Total assets held for sale, net | \$349,503 | \$234,025 | \$ | 837 | \$584,365 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| LIABILITIES HELD FOR SALE | | | | | | | | |
| Acquired lease intangibles, net | \$192 | \$- | \$ | - | \$192 | | | |
| Other liabilities | 1,959 | - | | - | 1,959 | | | |
| Total liabilities held for sale | \$2,151 | \$- | \$ | - | \$2,151 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

(a) On February 4, 2016, we disposed of our interests in 58 properties located in the Pennsylvania Suburbs, New Jersey/Delaware, Metropolitan Washington, D.C. and Richmond, Virginia segments in a series of related transactions with Och Ziff Real Estate (referred to herein as the "Och Ziff Sale"). During the fourth quarter of 2015, significant provisions were agreed upon by both us and Och Ziff Real Estate and, as a result, we determined that the sale of the portfolio was probable and classified these properties as held for sale in accordance with applicable

⁽b) We recorded an impairment loss of \$0.3 million for Two Christina Centre during the fourth quarter of 2015. As such, there was no gain/(loss) at disposition for this land parcel.

- accounting standards for long lived assets. At such date, the carrying value of the properties exceeded the fair value less the anticipated costs of sale. As a result, we recognized an impairment loss totaling approximately \$45.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2015. For further information related to the transaction see Note 21, "Subsequent Events," to our Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (b) On December 23, 2015, we entered into an agreement to dispose of our equity interests in the office property located at 2970 Market Street in Philadelphia commonly known as 30th Street Main Post Office ("Cira Square"), which includes 862,692 square feet of rentable space and is fully leased to a single tenant. As of December 31, 2015, we determined the sale was probable and classified the property as held for sale in accordance with applicable accounting standards for long lived assets. At such date, the fair value is the purchase price less anticipated costs to dispose of the property. As the fair value exceeded the carrying value of the property no impairment loss was recorded. On February 5, 2016 we completed the disposition of our equity interests in Cira Square.
- (c) On January 15, 2016, we sold the fee interest in a 120 acre land parcel located in Berks County, Pennsylvania for \$0.9 million. As of December 31, 2015, we classified this land parcel as held for sale in accordance with the applicable accounting standards for long lived assets. At such date, the carrying value of the properties exceeded the fair value less the anticipated

costs of sale. As a result, we recognized an impairment loss totaling approximately \$0.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2015.

The sales of our equity interests and the fee interests in the properties referenced above do not represent a strategic shift that has a major effect on our operations and financial results. As a result, the operating results of these properties remain classified within continuing operations for all periods presented. See Note 21, "Subsequent Events," to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding these dispositions.

Residence Inn Tower Bridge

On December 30, 2015, we sold our entire 50% ownership interest in an unconsolidated real estate venture known as Residence Inn Tower Bridge (the "Residence Inn"). Net proceeds to us were \$6.1 million. The carrying amount of our investment in the Residence Inn amounted to \$0.9 million at the sale date, resulting in a \$5.2 million gain on sale of our interest in the real estate venture.

JBG Ventures

On May 29, 2015, we and an unaffiliated third party, JBG/DC Manager, LLC ("JBG"), formed 51 N 50 Patterson, Holdings, LLC Venture ("51 N Street") and 1250 First Street Office, LLC Venture ("1250 First Street"), as a real estate venture, with us owning a 70.0% interest and JBG owning a 30.0% interest in each of the two ventures. At formation, we and JBG made cash contributions of \$15.2 million and \$6.5 million, respectively, to 51 N Street, which was used to purchase 0.9 acres of undeveloped land. At formation, we and JBG made cash capital contributions of \$13.2 million and \$5.7 million, respectively, to 1250 First Street, which was used to purchase 0.5 acres of undeveloped land. See "Developments" section below.

DRA – PA Venture

On December 19, 2007, we formed G&I Interchange Office LLC, a real estate venture (the "Interchange Venture"), with an unaffiliated third party, G&I VI Investment Interchange Office LLC ("G&I VI"), an investment vehicle advised by DRA Advisors LLC. The Interchange Venture owned 29 office properties containing an aggregate of 1,611,961 net rentable square feet located in Montgomery, Lehigh and Bucks counties, Pennsylvania. We contributed these 29 properties to the Interchange Venture upon the Interchange Venture's formation and in exchange for the contribution received a cash distribution from the Interchange Venture and a 20.0% ownership interest in the Interchange Venture.

Interchange Venture's loan matured on January 1, 2015. On February 27, 2015, the Interchange Venture entered into a forbearance agreement with an unaffiliated lender that held a nonrecourse mortgage on the Interchange Venture's assets. On August 12, 2015, the lender sold the properties to an unaffiliated third-party purchaser under the forbearance agreement and assumed the proceeds. Commensurate with the sale, the Interchange Venture was dissolved.

Austin Venture

On December 31, 2015, we contributed two newly constructed four-story, Class A office buildings, commonly known as "Encino Trace," containing an aggregate of approximately 320,000 net rentable square feet in Austin, Texas to the Austin Venture. See Note 3, "Real Estate Investments" to our Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on the contribution.

On January 30, 2015, the Austin Venture closed on a mortgage loan with a non-affiliated institutional lender, and used the proceeds of the loan to repay in full an \$88.0 million short-term secured loan made by us to fund costs of the Austin Venture's acquisition of River Place, a 590,881 net rentable square foot office complex in Austin, Texas. For further information regarding this acquisition, see Note 4, "Investment in Unconsolidated Ventures," to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Developments

As of December 31, 2015, we owned 412 acres of undeveloped land, including 120 acres held for sale, and held options to purchase a parcel containing approximately 50 additional acres of undeveloped land.

JBG - Venture

51 N Street expects to construct two mixed-use buildings, which will include approximately 278,000 square feet of loft office, residential, ground floor retail, movie theater and on-grade public plaza space in Washington, D.C. 51 N Street expects to develop the office buildings on a 0.9 acre land parcel owned by the venture. As of December 31, 2015, the venture had not finalized development plans and total development costs or received committed debt financing.

1250 First Street expects to construct an eleven-story office building, which will include approximately 232,100 square feet of office, 15,300 square feet of retail and 145 below-grade parking spaces in Washington, D.C. 1250 First Street expects to develop the office

building on a 0.5 acres land parcel owned by the venture. As of December 31, 2015, the venture had not finalized development plans and total development costs or received committed debt financing.

FMC Tower at Cira Centre South

On October 31, 2013, we determined to proceed with development of the FMC Tower at Cira Centre South (the "FMC Tower") (formerly the Cira Walnut Tower), designed as a trophy class, mixed-use office tower at 30th and Walnut Streets in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. We anticipate the project cost to total \$385.0 million, of which \$202.8 million had been funded through December 31, 2015. We intend to fund remaining development costs through a combination of potential sources, including existing cash balances, availability under our unsecured line of credit, capital raised through one or more joint venture formations, proceeds from asset sales or equity and debt financing. The costs to complete the project will be funded over the construction period, which commenced in the second quarter of 2014 and is scheduled to conclude during the third quarter of 2016. We are a party to a development agreement and related ground lease with the University of Pennsylvania for the land parcel that the FMC Tower is being constructed on.

As of December 31, 2015, we had pre-leased an aggregate of 61% of the office square feet of the FMC Tower. The anchor tenant for approximately 280,000 square feet of office space under a 16-year lease is FMC Corporation, a diversified chemical company serving agricultural, consumer and industrial markets globally. In addition, we have pre-leased 100,000 square feet of office space to the University of Pennsylvania under a 20-year lease.

Our ground leases with the University of Pennsylvania have a term through July 2097, with a variable rent that would provide the University of Pennsylvania with a percentage of the cash flow or proceeds of specified capital events subject to our receipt of a priority return on eligible investments.

1919 Ventures

On January 20, 2011, we acquired a one acre parcel of land in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for \$9.3 million. We thereafter contributed the acquired land into a then newly-formed general partnership, referred to below as "1919 Ventures" in return for a 50.0% general partner interest, with the remaining 50.0% interest owned by an unaffiliated third party, who contributed cash in exchange for its interest. On October 15, 2014, we acquired the interest of the unaffiliated third party at fair value, which approximated carrying value. No remeasurement gain or loss on our previous investment was recorded at that time.

On October 21, 2014, we admitted an unaffiliated third party, LCOR/CalSTRS ("LCOR") into 1919 Ventures, for \$8.2 million representing a 50% interest and, reflecting an agreed upon \$16.4 million valuation of the land and improvements incurred by us on behalf of 1919 Ventures.

On October 27, 2014, 1919 Ventures announced a planned 29-story, 455,000 square foot contemporary glass tower development. The tower has been designed as a mixed-use development consisting of residential, retail and parking components. The residential component of the project will be comprised of 321 luxury apartments. The commercial space will consist of 24,000 square feet and was 100% pre-leased at December 31, 2015. The parking component will consist of a 215-car structured parking facility. Total project costs are estimated at \$148.1 million. A portion of the costs are being funded with proceeds of an \$88.9 million secured construction loan from an unaffiliated institutional lender, and the remaining \$59.2 million was fully funded with equity contributions from each of us and LCOR. As of December 31, 2015, \$19.4 million was outstanding on the construction loan and equity contributions of \$29.6 million had been funded by each of us and LCOR.

4040 Wilson Venture

On July 31, 2013, we formed 4040 Wilson LLC Venture ("4040 Wilson"), as a joint venture between us and Ashton Park Associates LLC ("Ashton Park"), an unaffiliated third party. We and Ashton Park own a 50% interest in 4040

Wilson. 4040 Wilson expects to construct a 426,900 square foot office building representing the final phase of the eight building, mixed-use, Liberty Center complex developed by the parent company of Ashton Park in the Ballston submarket of Arlington, Virginia. 4040 Wilson expects to develop the office building on a 1.3 acre land parcel contributed by Ashton Park to 4040 Wilson at an agreed upon valuation of \$36.0 million. The total estimated project costs are \$194.6 million, which we expect will be financed through approximately \$72.0 million of partner capital contributions (consisting of \$36.0 million in cash from us, of which \$35.6 million has been funded to date, and land with a value of \$36.0 million from Ashton Park), with the remaining balance funded by debt financing through a construction lender that has not yet been determined. During the second quarter of 2015, 4040 Wilson completed the construction of the garage structure. We expect groundbreaking on the building structure to commence upon achievement of certain pre-leasing levels, at which point 4040 Wilson expects to obtain debt financing for the remainder of the project costs.

Other Development Services

On December 3, 2015, we entered into an agreement as development manager to construct Subaru of America's corporate headquarters (the "Subaru Headquarters Development"), an office property containing five floors and approximately 250,000 square

feet, on land owned by Subaru and located in Camden, New Jersey. In addition to development fees, the agreement provides us the ability to earn additional profit if total project costs are less than the not-to-exceed ("NTE") amount. The NTE amount, currently at \$77.3 million, may be adjusted by change orders agreed upon by both Subaru and us. If construction costs are in excess of the NTE amount, we are obligated to pay such cost overruns.

Also on December 3, 2015, we entered into an agreement to construct an 83,000 square foot build-to-suit service center on land parcels owned by us for Subaru of America as the single tenant. On such date, Subaru of America entered into an 18-year lease for the service center. The lease contains a purchase option, which allows Subaru to purchase the property at commencement of the lease, or five years subsequent to inception, at depreciated cost. We currently expect to deliver the building during the third quarter of 2018. At December 31, 2015, we had not finalized development plans or total development costs.

Business Objective and Strategies for Growth

Our business objective is to deploy capital effectively to maximize our return on investment and thereby maximize our total return to shareholders. To accomplish this objective we seek to:

- ·concentrate on urban town centers and central business districts in selected regions, and be the best of class owner and developer in those markets with a full-service office in each of those markets providing property management, leasing, development, construction and legal expertise;
- ·maximize cash flow through leasing strategies designed to capture rental growth as rental rates increase and as leases are renewed;
- attain a high tenant retention rate by providing a full array of property management and maintenance services and tenant service amenity programs responsive to the varying needs of our diverse tenant base;
- •continue to cultivate long-term leasing relationships with a diverse base of high-quality and financially stable tenants. The average lease term of the in-place leases in our core portfolio was 7.8 years on a weighted average basis at December 31, 2015 and the leases in place at December 31, 2015 with respect to approximately 7.4% of the total square feet of our core portfolio will expire during 2016;
- ·form joint venture opportunities with high-quality partners having attractive real estate holdings or significant financial resources;
 - utilize our reputation as a full-service real estate development and management organization to identify acquisition and development opportunities that will expand our business and create long-term value;
- ·increase the economic diversification of our tenant base while maximizing economies of scale; and
- · selectively reduce our portfolio over time, in non-core suburban properties that are not located in our core regions. We also consider the following to be important objectives:
 - to acquire and develop high-quality office properties at attractive yields in markets that we expect will experience economic growth and where we can achieve operating efficiencies;
- •to monetize or deploy our land inventory for development of high-quality office properties, or rezone from office/industrial to residential, retail and hotel to align with market and demand shifts as appropriate;
 - to control development sites, including sites under option to acquire, that could support approximately 4.6 million square feet of new office, retail and residential development within our core markets;
- •to capitalize on our redevelopment expertise to selectively develop, redevelop and reposition properties in desirable locations that other organizations may not have the resources to pursue;
- •to own and develop high quality real estate meeting the demands of today's tenants who require sophisticated telecommunications and related infrastructure, support services, sustainable features and amenities, and to manage those facilities so as to continue to be the landlord of choice for both existing and prospective tenants; and
- •to secure third-party development contracts, which can be a significant source of revenue and enable us to utilize and grow our existing development and construction management resources.

We expect to concentrate our real estate activities in markets where we believe that:

- ·current and projected market rents and absorption statistics justify construction activity;
- •we can maximize market penetration by accumulating a critical mass of properties and thereby enhance operating efficiencies;
- ·barriers to entry (such as zoning restrictions, utility availability, infrastructure limitations, development moratoriums and limited developable land) will create supply constraints on office and industrial space; and
- ·there is potential for economic growth, particularly job growth and industry diversification.

Operating Strategy

We currently expect to continue to operate in markets where we have a concentration advantage due to economies of scale. We believe that where possible, it is best to operate with a strong base of properties in order to benefit from the personnel allocation and the market strength associated with managing multiple properties in the same market. We also intend to selectively dispose of properties and redeploy capital if we determine a property cannot meet our long term earnings growth expectations. We believe that recycling capital is an important aspect of maintaining the overall quality of our portfolio.

Our broader strategy remains focused on continuing to enhance liquidity and strengthen our balance sheet through capital retention, debt reduction, targeted sales activity and management of our existing and prospective liabilities.

In the long term, we believe that we are well positioned in our current markets and have the expertise to take advantage of both development and acquisition opportunities, as warranted by market and economic conditions, in new markets that have healthy long-term fundamentals and strong growth projections. This capability, combined with what we believe is a conservative financial structure, should allow us to achieve disciplined growth. These abilities are integral to our strategy of having a diverse portfolio of assets, which will meet the needs of our tenants.

We use experienced on site construction superintendents, operating under the supervision of project managers and senior management, to control the construction process and mitigate the various risks associated with real estate development.

In order to fund developments, redevelopments and acquisitions, as well as refurbish and improve existing properties, we must use excess cash from operations after satisfying our dividend and other financing requirements. The availability of funds for new investments and maintenance of existing properties depends in large measure on capital markets and liquidity factors over which we can exert little control.

Policies With Respect To Certain Activities

The following is a discussion of our investment, financing and other policies. These policies have been determined by our Board of Trustees and our Board of Trustees may revise these policies without a vote of shareholders.

Investments in Real Estate or Interests in Real Estate

Our investment objectives are to provide quarterly cash dividends to our shareholders and to achieve long-term capital appreciation through increases in the value of Brandywine Realty Trust.

We expect to continue our investment objectives primarily through the development, purchase or our current ownership in lease income-producing properties for long-term investment, expand and improve the properties presently owned or other properties purchased, or sell such properties, in whole or in part, as circumstances warrant. Although there is no limitation on the types of development activities that we may undertake, we expect that our development activities will meet current market demand and will generally be on a build-to-suit basis for particular tenants where a significant portion of the building is pre-leased before construction begins. We continue to participate with other entities in property ownership through existing joint ventures or other types of co-ownership. Our equity investments may be subject to existing or future mortgage financing and other indebtedness that will have priority over our equity investments.

Securities of or Interests in Entities Primarily Engaged in Real Estate Activities and Other Issuers

Subject to the percentage of ownership limitations and gross income tests necessary for REIT qualification, we may invest in securities of other REITs, other entities engaged in real estate activities or securities of other issuers. We may

enter into joint ventures or partnerships for the purpose of obtaining an equity interest in a particular property. We do not currently intend to invest in the securities of other issuers except in connection with joint ventures or acquisitions of indirect interests in properties.

Investments in Real Estate Mortgages

While our current portfolio consists of, and our business objectives emphasize, equity investments in commercial real estate, we may, at the discretion of management or our Board of Trustees, invest in other types of equity real estate investments, mortgages and other real estate interests. We do not presently intend to invest to a significant extent in mortgages or deeds of trust, but may invest in participating mortgages if we conclude that we may benefit from the cash flow or any appreciation in the value of the property securing a mortgage. From time to time, we provide seller financing to buyers of our properties. We do this when the buyer requires additional funds for the purchase and provision of seller financing will be beneficial to us and the buyer compared to a mortgage loan from a third party lender.

Dispositions

Our disposition of properties is based upon management's periodic review of our portfolio and the determination by management or our Board of Trustees that a disposition would be in our best interests. We intend to use selective dispositions to reduce our ownership in non-core markets and fund our capital and refinancing needs.

Financing Policies

A primary objective of our financing policy has been to manage our financial position to allow us to raise capital from a variety of sources at competitive rates. Our mortgages, credit facilities and unsecured debt securities contain restrictions on our ability to incur indebtedness. Our charter documents do not limit the indebtedness that we may incur. Our financing strategy is to maintain a strong and flexible financial position by limiting our debt to a prudent level and minimizing our variable interest rate exposure. We intend to finance future growth and future maturing debt with the most advantageous source of capital then available to us. These sources may include the sale of wholly owned properties or interests in real estate ventures, selling additional common or preferred equity and debt securities through public offerings or private placements, utilizing availability under our credit facilities or incurring additional indebtedness through secured or unsecured borrowings. To qualify as a REIT, we must distribute to our shareholders each year at least 90% of our net taxable income, excluding any net capital gain. This distribution requirement limits our ability to fund future capital needs, including for acquisitions and developments, from income from operations. Therefore, we expect to continue to rely on third party sources of capital to fund future capital needs.

Guarantees

As of December 31, 2015, we have provided guarantees on behalf of certain of the real estate ventures, consisting of (i) a \$24.7 million payment guaranty on the construction loan for evo at Cira; (ii) a \$3.2 million payment guarantee on the construction loan for TB-BDN Plymouth Apartments; (iii) a several cost overrun guaranty on the \$88.9 million construction loan for the development project being undertaken by 1919 Ventures; and (iv) a \$0.5 million payment guarantee on a loan provided to PJP VII. In addition, during construction undertaken by real estate ventures, we have provided and expect to continue to provide cost overrun and completion guarantees, with rights of contribution among partners in the real estate ventures, and once construction is complete, customary environmental indemnities and guarantees of customary exceptions to nonrecourse provisions in loan agreements. For additional information regarding these real estate ventures, see Note 4, "Investments in Unconsolidated Ventures," to our Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Also as of December 31, 2015, we provided a cost overrun guarantee on the Subaru Headquarters Development (See "Other Development Services" section above) for amounts in excess of the NTE amount. The NTE amount, currently at \$77.3 million, may be adjusted by change orders agreed upon by both Subaru and us. We are obligated to pay for construction costs in excess of the NTE amount. The terms of the guarantee do not provide a limitation on the costs we may be responsible for.

Working Capital Reserves

We maintain working capital reserves and access to borrowings in amounts that our management determines to be adequate to meet our normal contingencies.

Policies with Respect to Other Activities

We expect to issue additional common and preferred equity in the future and may authorize our Operating Partnership to issue additional common and preferred units of limited partnership interest, including to persons who contribute their interests in properties to us in exchange for such units. We have not engaged in trading, underwriting or agency distribution or sale of securities of unaffiliated issuers and we do not intend to do so. We intend to make investments

consistent with our qualification as a REIT, unless because of circumstances or changes in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (or the Treasury Regulations), our Board of Trustees determines that it is no longer in our best interests to qualify as a REIT. We may make loans to third parties, including to joint ventures in which we participate and to buyers of our real estate. We intend to make investments in such a way that we will not be treated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940.

Management Activities

We provide third-party real estate management services primarily through wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership (collectively, the "Management Companies"). As of December 31, 2015, the Management Companies were managing properties containing an aggregate of approximately 29.5 million net rentable square feet, of which approximately 23.0 million net rentable square feet related to properties owned by us and approximately 6.5 million net rentable square feet related to properties and unconsolidated Real Estate Ventures.

Geographic Segments

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we were managing our portfolio within seven segments: (1) Pennsylvania Suburbs, (2) Philadelphia Central Business District ("CBD"), (3) Metropolitan Washington, D.C., (4) New Jersey/Delaware, (5) Richmond, Virginia, (6) Austin, Texas and (7) California. The Pennsylvania Suburbs segment includes properties in Chester, Delaware, and Montgomery counties in the Philadelphia suburbs. The Philadelphia CBD segment includes properties located in the City of Philadelphia in Pennsylvania. The Metropolitan Washington, D.C. segment includes properties in the District of Columbia, Northern Virginia and southern Maryland. The New Jersey/Delaware segment includes properties in Burlington and Camden counties in New Jersey and New Castle county in the state of Delaware. The Richmond, Virginia segment includes properties primarily in Albemarle, Chesterfield, Goochland and Henrico counties and one property in Durham, North Carolina. The Austin, Texas segment includes properties in the City of Austin, Texas. The California segment includes properties in the City of Oakland and the City of Concord, California. The corporate group is responsible for cash and investment management, development of certain real estate properties during the construction period, and certain other general support functions. See Note 18, "Segment Information," to our Consolidated Financial Statements for information on selected assets and results of operations of our reportable segments for the three years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

As a result of the Och Ziff Sale that occurred on February 4, 2016, we have narrowed our segments to four core markets located in: (1) Pennsylvania Suburbs, (2) Philadelphia Central Business District ("CBD"), (3) Metropolitan Washington, D.C. and (4) Austin, Texas. The Och Ziff Sale disposed of the entire Richmond, Virginia segment. Subsequent to the Och Ziff Sale, the segments previously defined as New Jersey/Delaware and California will be managed as a consolidated segment entitled "Other," as these geographies no longer provide a significant revenue contribution. Accordingly, the chief operating decision maker is revising the management structure and allocating more resources to the four core markets beginning January 1, 2016.

Competition

The real estate business is highly competitive. Our Properties compete for tenants with similar properties primarily on the basis of location, total occupancy costs (including base rent and operating expenses), services and amenities provided, and the design and condition of the improvements. We also face competition when attempting to acquire or develop real estate, including competition from domestic and foreign financial institutions, other REITs, life insurance companies, pension funds, partnerships and individual investors. Additionally, our ability to compete depends upon trends in the economies of our markets, investment alternatives, financial condition and operating results of current and prospective tenants, availability and cost of capital, construction and renovation costs, land availability, our ability to obtain necessary construction approvals, taxes, governmental regulations, legislation and population trends.

Insurance

We maintain commercial general liability and "all risk" property insurance on our properties. We intend to obtain similar coverage for properties we acquire in the future. There are types of losses, generally of a catastrophic nature, such as losses from war, terrorism, environmental issues, floods, hurricanes and earthquakes that are subject to limitations in certain areas or which may be uninsurable risks. We exercise our discretion in determining amounts, coverage limits and deductibility provisions of insurance, with a view to maintaining appropriate insurance on our investments at a reasonable cost and on suitable terms. If we suffer a substantial loss, our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to pay the full current market value or current replacement cost of our lost investment. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors also might make it impractical to use insurance proceeds to fully replace or restore a property after it has been damaged or destroyed.

Employees

As of December 31, 2015, we had 401 full-time employees, including 12 union employees.

Government Regulations Relating to the Environment

Many laws and governmental regulations relating to the environment apply to us and changes in these laws and regulations, or their interpretation by agencies and the courts, occur frequently and may adversely affect us.

Existing conditions at some of our Properties. Independent environmental consultants have conducted Phase I or similar environmental site assessments on our Properties. We generally obtain these assessments prior to the acquisition of a property and may later update them as required for subsequent financing of the property or as requested by a tenant. Site assessments are generally performed to ASTM standards then existing for Phase I site assessments, and typically include a historical review, a public records review, a visual inspection of the surveyed site, and the issuance of a written report. These assessments do not generally include any soil samplings or subsurface investigations. Depending on the age of the property, the Phase I may have included an assessment of asbestos-containing materials. For properties where asbestos-containing materials were identified or suspected, an operations and maintenance plan was generally prepared and implemented. See Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," to our

Consolidated Financial Statements for our evaluation in accordance with the accounting standard governing asset retirement obligations.

Historical operations at or near some of our Properties, including the operation of underground storage tanks, may have caused soil or groundwater contamination. We are not aware of any such condition, liability or concern by any other means that would give rise to material, uninsured environmental liability. However, the assessments may have failed to reveal all environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns; there may be material environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns that a review failed to detect or which arose at a property after the review was completed; future laws, ordinances or regulations may impose material additional environmental liability; and current environmental conditions at our Properties may be affected in the future by tenants, third parties or the condition of land or operations near our Properties, such as the presence of underground storage tanks. We cannot be certain that costs of future environmental compliance will not affect our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

Use of hazardous materials by some of our tenants. Some of our tenants handle hazardous substances and wastes on our Properties as part of their routine operations. Environmental laws and regulations may subject these tenants, and potentially us, to liability resulting from such activities. We generally require our tenants, in their leases, to comply with these environmental laws and regulations and to indemnify us for any related liabilities. These tenants are primarily involved in the life sciences and the light industrial and warehouse businesses. We are not aware of any material noncompliance, liability or claim relating to hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum products in connection with any of our Properties, and we do not believe that on-going activities by our tenants will have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Costs related to government regulation and private litigation over environmental matters. Under environmental laws and regulations, we may be liable for the costs of removal, remediation or disposal of hazardous or toxic substances present or released on our Properties. These laws could impose liability without regard to whether we are responsible for, or knew of, the presence or release of the hazardous materials. Government investigations and remediation actions may entail substantial costs and the presence or release of hazardous substances on a property could result in governmental cleanup actions or personal injury or similar claims by private plaintiffs.

Potential environmental liabilities may exceed our environmental insurance coverage limits. We carry what we believe to be sufficient environmental insurance to cover potential liability for soil and groundwater contamination, mold impact, and the presence of asbestos-containing materials at the affected sites identified in our environmental site assessments. Our insurance policies are subject to conditions, qualifications and limitations. Therefore, we cannot provide any assurance that our insurance coverage will be sufficient to cover all liabilities for losses.

Potential environmental liabilities may adversely impact our ability to use or sell assets. The presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination may impair our ability to sell or lease real estate or to borrow using the real estate as collateral.

Code of Conduct

We maintain a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to our Board of Trustees and all of our officers and employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, controller and persons performing similar functions. A copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on our website, www.brandywinerealty.com. In addition to being accessible through our website, copies of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics can be obtained, free of charge, upon written request to Investor Relations, 555 East Lancaster Avenue, Suite 100, Radnor, PA 19087. Any amendments to or waivers of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that apply to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, controller and persons performing similar functions and that relate to any matter enumerated in Item 406(b) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC will be disclosed on our website.

Corporate Governance Principles and Board Committee Charters

Our Corporate Governance Principles and the charters of the Executive Committee, Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Trustees of Brandywine Realty Trust and additional information regarding our corporate governance are available on our website, www.brandywinerealty.com. In addition to being accessible through our website, copies of our Corporate Governance Principles and charters of our Board Committees can be obtained, free of charge, upon written request to Investor Relations, Brandywine Realty Trust, 555 East Lancaster Avenue, Suite 100, Radnor, PA 19087.

Availability of SEC Reports

We file annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K and other information with the SEC. Members of the public may read and copy materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Members of the public may also obtain information on the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-732-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet web site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers, including us, that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that site is http://www.sec.gov.

Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K and other information filed by us with the SEC are available, without charge, on our Internet web site, http://www.brandywinerealty.com as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed electronically with the SEC. Copies are also available, free of charge, upon written request to Investor Relations, Brandywine Realty Trust, 555 East Lancaster Avenue, Suite 100, Radnor, PA 19087.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business, financial condition, results from operations and ability to make distributions on our equity and to pay debt service on our indebtedness may be affected by the risk factors set forth below. All investors (including shareholders in the Parent Company and units in the Operating Partnership) should consider the following risk factors before deciding to purchase our securities. This section contains forward-looking statements. Please refer to the explanation of the qualifications and limitations on forward-looking statements beginning on page 7.

Adverse economic and geopolitical conditions could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and our ability to pay distributions to you.

Our business is affected by global, national and local economic conditions. Our portfolio consists primarily of office buildings (as compared to real estate companies with portfolios of multiple asset classes). Our economic performance and the value of our real estate assets, and consequently the value of our securities, are subject to the risk that if our properties do not generate revenues sufficient to meet our operating expenses, including debt service and capital expenditures, our cash flow and ability to pay distributions to our security holders will be adversely affected. The following factors, among others, may adversely affect the income generated by our properties and our performance generally:

- ·adverse changes in international, national or local economic and demographic conditions;
- ·increased vacancies or our inability to rent space on favorable terms, including market pressures to offer tenants rent abatements, increased tenant improvement packages, early termination rights, below market rental rates or below-market renewal options;
- ·significant job losses in the financial and professional services industries may occur, which may decrease demand for office space, causing market rental rates and property values to be negatively impacted;
- ·changes in interest rates, reduced availability of financing and reduced liquidity in the capital markets, which may adversely affect our ability or the ability of buyers and tenants of properties to obtain financing on favorable terms, or at all:
- ·reduced values of our properties would limit our ability to dispose of assets at attractive prices, limit our access to debt financing secured by our properties and reduce availability of unsecured loans;
- •the value and liquidity of our short-term investments and cash deposits could be reduced as a result of a deterioration of the financial condition of the institutions that hold our cash deposits or the institutions or assets in which we have made short-term investments, the dislocation of the markets for our short-term investments, increased volatility in market rates for such investments or other factors;
- •one or more lenders under our line of credit could refuse or be unable to fund their financing commitment to us and we may not be able to replace the financing commitment of any such lenders on favorable terms, or at all;
- ·declines in the financial condition of our tenants which would impact our ability to collect rents from our tenants.
- ·competition from other commercial office, industrial, retail, and mixed-use properties and commercial buildings, and increased supply of such buildings;
- ·increased operating costs, including insurance expense, utilities, real estate taxes, janitorial costs, state and local taxes, labor shortages and heightened security costs;

.

civil disturbances, earthquakes and other natural disasters, or terrorist acts or acts of war which may result in uninsured or underinsured losses; and

· significant expenditures associated with each investment, such as debt service payments, real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance costs which are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in revenues from a property.

Our performance is dependent upon the economic conditions of the markets in which our properties are located.

Our results of operations will be significantly influenced by the economies and other conditions of the real estate markets in which we operate, particularly in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, the Pennsylvania Suburbs, the District of Columbia, Northern Virginia, Southern Maryland and Austin, Texas. Any adverse changes in economic conditions in the future in any of these economies or real estate markets could negatively affect cash available for distribution. Our financial performance and ability to make distributions to our shareholders will be particularly sensitive to the economic conditions in these markets. The local economic climate, which may be adversely impacted by business layoffs or downsizing, industry slowdowns, changing demographics and other factors, and local real estate conditions, such as demand for office space, operating expenses and real estate taxes, may affect revenues and the value of properties, including properties to be acquired or developed. We cannot assure you that these local economies will grow in the future.

We face risks associated with the development of mixed-use commercial properties.

We operate, are currently developing, and may in the future develop, properties either alone or through real estate ventures with other persons that are known as "mixed-use" developments. This means that in addition to the development of office space, the project may also include space for residential, retail, hotel or other commercial purposes. We have limited experience in developing and managing non-office real estate. As a result, if a development project includes a non-office or non-retail use, we may seek to develop that component ourselves, sell the rights to that component to a third-party developer with experience in that use or we may seek to partner with such a developer. If we do not sell the rights or partner with such a developer, or if we choose to develop the other component ourselves, we would be exposed not only to those risks typically associated with the development of commercial real estate generally, but also to specific risks associated with the development and ownership of non-office and non-retail real estate. In addition, even if we sell the rights to develop certain components or elect to participate in the development through a real estate venture, we may be exposed to the risks associated with the failure of the other party to complete the development as expected. These include the risk that the other party would default on its obligations necessitating that we complete the other component ourselves (including providing any necessary financing). In the case of residential properties, these risks also include competition for prospective residents from other operators whose properties may be perceived to offer a better location or better amenities or whose rent may be perceived as a better value given the quality, location and amenities that the resident seeks. Because we have limited experience with residential properties, we expect to retain third parties to manage our residential properties. If we decide to not sell or participate in a real estate venture and instead hire a third party manager, we would be dependent on them and their key personnel who provide services to us and we may not find a suitable replacement if the management agreement is terminated, or if key personnel leave or otherwise become unavailable to us.

We may suffer adverse consequences due to the financial difficulties, bankruptcy or insolvency of our tenants.

The current economic conditions have caused some of our tenants to experience financial difficulties. If more of our tenants were to continue to experience financial difficulties, including bankruptcy, insolvency or a general downturn in their business, there could be an adverse effect on our financial performance and distributions to shareholders. We cannot assure you that any tenant that files for bankruptcy protection will continue to pay us rent. A bankruptcy filing by or relating to one of our tenants or a lease guarantor would bar efforts by us to collect pre-bankruptcy debts from that tenant or lease guarantor, or its property, unless we receive an order permitting us to do so from the bankruptcy court. In addition, we cannot evict a tenant solely because of bankruptcy. The bankruptcy of a tenant or lease guarantor could delay our efforts to collect past due balances under the relevant leases, and could ultimately preclude collection of these sums. If a lease is assumed by the tenant in bankruptcy, all pre-bankruptcy balances due under the lease must be paid to us in full. If, however, a lease is rejected by a tenant in bankruptcy, we would have only a general, unsecured claim for damages. Any such unsecured claim would only be paid to the extent that funds are available and only in the same percentage as is paid to all other holders of general, unsecured claims. Restrictions under the bankruptcy laws further limit the amount of any other claims that we can make if a lease is rejected. As a result, it is likely that we would recover substantially less than the full value of the remaining rent during the term. See Item 7., "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Factors that May Influence Future Results of Operations - Tenant Credit Risk."

An increase in interest rates would increase our interest costs on variable rate debt and could adversely impact our ability to refinance existing debt or sell assets on favorable terms or at all.

Rising interest rates could limit our ability to refinance existing debt when it matures or significantly increase our future interest expense. From time to time, we enter into interest rate swap agreements and other interest rate hedging contracts. While these agreements are intended to lessen the impact of rising interest rates on us, they also expose us to the risk that the other parties to the agreements will not perform, we could incur significant costs associated with the settlement of the agreements, the agreements will be unenforceable and the underlying transactions will fail to qualify as highly-effective cash flow hedges under the applicable accounting guidance. In addition, an increase in

interest rates could decrease the amounts third-parties are willing to pay for our assets, thereby limiting our ability to recycle capital and change our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions.

Our degree of leverage could limit our ability to obtain additional financing or affect the market price of our equity shares or debt securities.

Like other real estate companies which incur debt, we are subject to risks associated with debt financing, such as the insufficiency of cash flow to meet required debt service payment obligations and the inability to refinance existing indebtedness. If our debt cannot be paid, refinanced or extended at maturity, we may not be able to make distributions to shareholders at expected levels or at all. Furthermore, an increase in our interest expense could adversely affect our cash flow and ability to make distributions to shareholders. If we do not meet our debt service obligations, any properties securing such indebtedness could be foreclosed on, which would have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and ability to make distributions and, depending on the number of properties foreclosed on, could threaten our continued viability. Our degree of leverage could also make us more vulnerable to a downturn in business or the economy in general.

The terms and covenants relating to our indebtedness could adversely impact our economic performance.

Our credit facilities, term loans and the indenture governing our unsecured public debt securities contain (and any new or amended facility and term loans will contain) restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur indebtedness, including total debt to asset ratios, secured debt to total asset ratios, debt service coverage ratios and minimum ratios of unencumbered assets to unsecured debt which we must maintain. Our ability to borrow under our credit facilities is subject to compliance with such financial and other covenants. In the event that we fail to satisfy these covenants, we would be in default under the credit facilities, the term loans and the indenture and may be required to repay such debt with capital from other sources. Under such circumstances, other sources of capital may not be available to us, or may be available only at unattractive terms. In addition, the mortgages on our properties, including mortgages encumbering our Real Estate Ventures, contain customary covenants such as those that limit our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the applicable property or to discontinue insurance coverage. If we breach covenants in our secured debt agreements, the lenders can declare a default and take possession of the property securing the defaulted loan.

A downgrading of our debt could subject us to higher borrowing costs.

In the event that our unsecured debt is downgraded by Moody's Investor Services and Standard & Poor's from the current ratings, we would likely incur higher borrowing costs and the market prices of our common shares and debt securities might decline.

We may experience increased operating costs, which might reduce our profitability.

Our properties are subject to increases in operating expenses such as for cleaning, electricity, heating, ventilation and air conditioning, administrative costs and other costs associated with security, landscaping and repairs and maintenance of our properties. In general, our tenant leases allow us to pass through all or a portion of these costs to them. We cannot assure you, however, that tenants will actually bear the full burden of these higher costs, or that such increased costs will not lead them, or other prospective tenants, to seek office space elsewhere. If operating expenses increase, the availability of other comparable office space in our core geographic markets might limit our ability to increase rents; if operating expenses increase without a corresponding increase in revenues, our profitability could diminish and limit our ability to make distributions to shareholders.

Our investment in property development or redevelopment may be more costly or difficult to complete than we anticipate.

We intend to continue to develop properties where market conditions warrant such investment. Once made, these investments may not produce results in accordance with our expectations. Risks associated with our development and construction activities include:

- •the unavailability of favorable financing alternatives in the private and public debt markets;
- ·having sufficient capital to pay development costs;
- ·limited experience developing or redeveloping properties in certain of our geographic markets;
- · dependence on the financial and professional services sector as part of our tenant base;
- ·construction costs exceeding original estimates due to rising interest rates, diminished availability of materials and labor, and increases in the costs of materials and labor;
- ·construction and lease-up delays resulting in increased debt service, fixed expenses and construction or renovation costs;
 - expenditure of funds and devotion of management's time to projects that we do not complete;
- ·the unavailability or scarcity of utilities;

.

occupancy rates and rents at newly completed properties may fluctuate depending on a number of factors, including market and economic conditions, resulting in lower than projected rental rates and a corresponding lower return on our investment:

- ·complications (including building moratoriums and anti-growth legislation) in obtaining necessary zoning, occupancy and other governmental permits; and
- ·increased use restrictions by local zoning or planning authorities limiting our ability to develop and impacting the size of developments.

See Item 7., "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Factors that May Influence Future Results of Operations - Development Risk."

Our development projects and third party property management business may subject us to certain liabilities.

We may hire and supervise third party contractors to provide construction, engineering and various other services for wholly owned development projects, development projects undertaken by real estate ventures in which we hold an equity interest and manage or properties we are managing on behalf of unaffiliated third parties. Certain of these contracts are structured such that we are the principal rather than the agent. As a result, we may assume liabilities in the course of the project and be subjected to, or become liable for, claims for construction defects, negligent performance of work or other similar actions by third parties we have engaged. Adverse outcomes of disputes or litigation could negatively impact our business, results of operations and financial condition, particularly if we

have not limited the extent of the damages to which we may be liable, or if our liabilities exceed the amounts of the insurance that we carry. Moreover, our tenants and third party customers may seek to hold us accountable for the actions of contractors because of our role even if we have technically disclaimed liability as a legal matter, in which case we may determine it necessary to participate in a financial settlement for purposes of preserving the tenant or customer relationship.

Acting as a principal may also mean that we pay a contractor before we have been reimbursed, which exposes us to additional risks of collection in the event of a bankruptcy or insolvency. The reverse can occur as well, where a contractor we have paid files bankruptcy or commits fraud with the funds before completing a project which we have funded in part or in full. As part of our project management business, we are responsible for managing the various other contractors required for a project, including general contractors, in order to ensure that the cost of a project does not exceed the contract amount and that the project is completed on time. In the event that one or more of the contractors involved does not, or cannot, perform as a result of bankruptcy or for another reason, we may be responsible for cost overruns, as well as the consequences of late delivery. In the event that we have not accurately estimated our own costs of providing services under guaranteed cost contracts, we may be exposed to such losses on the contract until we are able to legally terminate them.

We face risks associated with property acquisitions.

We have recently acquired properties, and may in the future continue to acquire properties and portfolios of properties, including large portfolios that would increase our size and potentially alter our capital structure. The success of such transactions is subject to a number of factors, including the risks that:

- ·we may not be able to obtain financing for such acquisitions on favorable terms;
- ·if we fail to accurately estimate occupancy levels, operating costs or costs of improvements to bring an acquired property or a development property up to the standards established for our intended market position, the performance of the property may be below expectations;
- ·the actual costs of repositioning or redeveloping acquired properties may be higher than our estimates;
- ·the acquired properties may be located in new markets where we may have limited knowledge and understanding of the local economy, an absence of business relationships in the area or unfamiliarity with local governmental and permitting procedures; and
- ·we may not be able to efficiently integrate acquired properties, particularly portfolios of properties, into our organization and manage new properties in a way that allows us to realize cost savings and synergies.

Acquired properties may subject us to known and unknown liabilities.

Properties that we acquire may be subject to known and unknown liabilities for which we would have no recourse, or only limited recourse, to the former owners of such properties. As a result, if a liability were asserted against us based upon ownership of an acquired property, we might be required to pay significant sums to settle it, which could adversely affect our financial results and cash flow. Unknown liabilities relating to acquired properties could include:

- ·liabilities for clean-up of pre-existing disclosed or undisclosed environmental contamination;
- ·claims by tenants, vendors, municipalities or other persons arising on account of actions or omissions of the former owners of the properties; and
- ·liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business.

We have agreed not to sell certain of our properties and to maintain indebtedness subject to guarantees.

We acquired in the past and in the future may acquire properties or portfolios of properties through tax deferred contribution transactions in exchange for partnership interests in our Operating Partnership. This acquisition structure has the effect, among other factors, of reducing the amount of tax depreciation we can deduct over the tax life of the acquired properties, and typically requires that we agree to protect the contributors' ability to defer recognition of taxable gain through restrictions on our ability to dispose of the acquired properties and/or the allocation of

partnership debt to the contributors to maintain their tax bases. We agreed not to sell some of our properties for varying periods of time, in transactions that would trigger taxable income to the former owners, and we may enter into similar arrangements as a part of future property acquisitions. These agreements generally provide that we may dispose of the subject properties only in transactions that qualify as tax-free exchanges under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code or in other tax deferred transactions. Such transactions can be difficult to complete and can result in the property acquired in exchange for the disposed of property inheriting the tax attributes (including tax protection covenants) of the sold property. Violation of these tax protection agreements would impose significant costs on us. As a result, we are restricted with respect to decisions related to financing, encumbering, expanding or selling these properties. These restrictions on dispositions could limit our ability to sell an asset or pay down partnership debt during a specified time, or on terms, that would be favorable absent such restrictions.

We have also entered into agreements that provide prior owners of properties with the right to guarantee specific amounts of indebtedness and, in the event that the specific indebtedness that they guarantee is repaid or reduced, we would be required to provide substitute indebtedness for them to guarantee. These agreements may hinder actions that we may otherwise desire to take to repay or refinance guaranteed indebtedness because we would be required to make payments to the beneficiaries of such agreements if we violate these agreements.

We may be unable to renew leases or re-lease space as leases expire; certain leases may expire early.

If tenants do not renew their leases upon expiration, we may be unable to re-lease the space. Even if the tenants do renew their leases or if we can re-lease the space, the terms of renewal or re-leasing (including the cost of required renovations) may be less favorable than the current lease terms. Certain leases grant the tenants an early termination right upon payment of a termination penalty or if we fail to comply with certain material lease terms. Our inability to renew or release spaces and the early termination of certain leases could affect our ability to make distributions to shareholders. See Item 7., "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Factors that May Influence Future Results of Operations - Tenant Rollover Risk."

Competition could limit our ability to lease residential rental properties or increase or maintain rents.

Through the recent development of the FMC Tower and the real estate ventures at 1919 Market Street and evo at Cira Centre South, our future income contributions from residential real estate will increase. These properties, which are luxury apartments, corporate suites and upscale student housing located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, will compete with other housing alternatives to attract residents, including rental apartments, condominiums and other single-family homes available for rent as well as new and existing condominiums and single-family homes for sale. Our competitors' may offer a more desirable location or have leasing terms more favorable than those we can provide. In addition, our ability to compete and generate favorable returns depends upon, among other factors, trends of the national and local economies, the financial condition and liquidity of current and prospective renters, availability and cost of capital, taxes and governmental regulations. Given significant competition, we expect that as our competitors seek to capitalize on opportunities to purchase undervalued properties in this market and convert them to productive uses, the supply of rental properties may increase and the competition for tenants will intensify, which may adversely affect our operating results and cash flows.

We face significant competition from other real estate developers.

We compete with real estate developers, operators and institutions for tenants and acquisition and development opportunities. Some of these competitors may have significantly greater financial resources than we have. Such competition may reduce the number of suitable investment opportunities available to us, may interfere with our ability to attract and retain tenants and may increase vacancies, which could result in increased supply and lower market rental rates, reducing our bargaining leverage and adversely affect our ability to improve our operating leverage. In addition, some of our competitors may be willing (e.g., because their properties may have vacancy rates higher than those for our properties) to make space available at lower rental rates or with higher tenant concession percentages than available space in our properties. We cannot assure you that this competition will not adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make distributions to shareholders.

Property ownership through real estate ventures may limit our ability to act exclusively in our interest.

We develop, acquire, and contribute properties in real estate ventures with other persons or entities when we believe circumstances warrant the use of such structures. As of December 31, 2015, we held ownership interests in 16 unconsolidated Real Estate Ventures for an aggregate investment balance of \$239.9 million, of which \$241.0 million is included in net assets and \$1.1 million is included in other liabilities and represents the negative investment balance of one real estate venture. We could become engaged in a dispute with one or more of our real estate venture partners that might affect our ability to operate a jointly-owned property. Moreover, our real estate venture partners may, at

any time, have business, economic or other objectives that are inconsistent with our objectives, including objectives that relate to the appropriate timing and terms of any sale or refinancing of a property. In some instances, our real estate venture partners may have competing interests in our markets that could create conflicts of interest. If the objectives of our real estate venture partners or the lenders to our Real Estate Ventures are inconsistent with our own objectives, we may not be able to act exclusively in our interests.

Because real estate is illiquid, we may not be able to sell properties when in our best interest.

Real estate investments generally, and in particular large office and industrial/flex properties like those that we own, often cannot be sold quickly. The capitalization rates at which properties may be sold could be higher than historic rates, thereby reducing our potential proceeds from sale. Consequently, we may not be able to alter our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions. In addition, the Internal Revenue Code limits our ability to sell properties that we have held for fewer than two years without potential adverse consequences to our shareholders. Furthermore, properties that we have developed and have owned for a significant period of time or that we acquired in exchange for partnership interests in the Operating Partnership often have a low tax

basis. If we were to dispose of any of these properties in a taxable transaction, we may be required under provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to REITs to distribute a significant amount of the taxable gain to our shareholders and this could, in turn, impact our cash flow. In some cases, tax protection agreements with third parties will prevent us from selling certain properties in a taxable transaction without incurring substantial costs. In addition, purchase options and rights of first refusal held by tenants or partners in real estate ventures may also limit our ability to sell certain properties. All of these factors reduce our ability to respond to changes in the performance of our investments and could adversely affect our cash flow and ability to make distributions to shareholders as well as the ability of someone to purchase us, even if a purchase were in our shareholders' best interests.

Some potential losses are not covered by insurance.

We currently carry property insurance against all-risks of physical loss or damage (unless otherwise excluded in the policy) including time element and commercial general liability coverage on all of our properties. There are, however, types of losses, such as lease and other contract claims, biological, radiological and nuclear hazards and acts of war that generally are not insured. We cannot assure you that we will be able to renew insurance coverage in an adequate amount or at reasonable prices. In addition, insurance companies may no longer offer coverage against certain types of losses, such as losses due to earthquake, terrorist acts and mold, flood, or, if offered, these types of insurance may be prohibitively expensive. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the property. In such an event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property. We cannot assure you that material losses in excess of insurance proceeds will not occur in the future. If any of our properties were to experience a catastrophic loss, it could seriously disrupt our operations, delay revenue and result in large expenses to repair or rebuild the property. Such events could adversely affect our cash flow and ability to make distributions to shareholders. If one or more of our insurance providers were to fail to pay a claim as a result of insolvency, bankruptcy or otherwise, the nonpayment of such claims could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, if one or more of our insurance providers were to become subject to insolvency, bankruptcy or other proceedings and our insurance policies with the provider were terminated or cancelled as a result of those proceedings, we cannot guarantee that we would be able to find alternative coverage in adequate amounts or at reasonable prices. In such case, we could experience a lapse in any or adequate insurance coverage with respect to one or more properties and be exposed to potential losses relating to any claims that may arise during such period of lapsed or inadequate coverage.

In addition to property and casualty insurance, we use a combination of insurance products, some of which include deductibles and self-insured retention amounts, to provide risk mitigation for the potential liabilities associated with various liabilities, including workers' compensation, general contractors, directors and officers and employee health-care benefits. Liabilities associated with the risks that are retained by us are estimated, in part, by considering historical claims experience and actuarial assumptions. While we carry general liability and umbrella policies to mitigate such losses on our general liability risks, our results could be materially impacted by claims and other expenses related to such insurance plans if future occurrences and claims differ from these assumptions and historical trends or if employee health-care claims which we self-insure up to a set limit per employee (and which are insured above such self-insured retention amount) exceed our expectations or historic trends.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war may adversely impact our performance and may affect the markets on which our securities are traded.

Terrorist attacks against our properties, or against the United States or our interests, may negatively impact our operations and the value of our securities. Attacks or armed conflicts could result in increased operating costs; for example, it might cost more in the future for building security, property and casualty insurance, and property maintenance. As a result of terrorist activities and other market conditions, the cost of insurance coverage for our properties could also increase. We might not be able to pass through the increased costs associated with such increased security measures and insurance to our tenants, which could reduce our profitability and cash flow.

Furthermore, any terrorist attacks or armed conflicts could result in increased volatility in or damage to the United States and worldwide financial markets and economy. Such adverse economic conditions could affect the ability of our tenants to pay rent and our cost of capital, which could have a negative impact on our results.

Our ability to make distributions is subject to various risks.

Historically, we have paid quarterly distributions to our shareholders. Our ability to make distributions in the future will depend upon:

- ·the operational and financial performance of our properties;
- ·capital expenditures with respect to existing, developed and newly acquired properties;
 - general and administrative costs associated with our operation as a publicly-held REIT;
- ·the amount of, and the interest rates on, our debt;
- ·capital needs of our Real Estate Ventures; and
- •the absence of significant expenditures relating to environmental and other regulatory matters.

Certain of these matters are beyond our control and any significant difference between our expectations and actual results could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and our ability to make distributions to shareholders.

Changes in the tax rates and regulatory requirements may adversely affect our cash flow.

Because increases in income and service taxes are generally not passed through to tenants under leases, such increases may adversely affect our cash flow and ability to make expected distributions to shareholders. Our properties are also subject to various regulatory requirements, such as those relating to the environment, fire and safety. Our failure to comply with these requirements could result in the imposition of fines and damage awards and could result in a default under some of our tenant leases. Moreover, the costs to comply with any new or different regulations could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make distributions. We cannot assure you that these requirements will not change or that newly imposed requirements will not require significant expenditures in order to be compliant.

Potential liability for environmental contamination could result in substantial costs.

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, we may be liable for the costs to investigate and remove or remediate hazardous or toxic substances on or in our properties, often regardless of whether we know of or are responsible for the presence of these substances. These costs may be substantial. While we do maintain environmental insurance, we cannot be assured that our insurance coverage will be sufficient to protect us from all of the aforesaid remediation costs. Also, if hazardous or toxic substances are present on a property, or if we fail to properly remediate such substances, our ability to sell or rent the property or to borrow using that property as collateral may be adversely affected.

Other laws and regulations govern indoor and outdoor air quality including those that can require the abatement or removal of asbestos-containing materials in the event of damage, demolition, renovation or remodeling and also govern emissions of and exposure to asbestos fibers in the air. The maintenance and removal of lead paint and certain electrical equipment containing polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and underground storage tanks are also regulated by federal and state laws. We are also subject to risks associated with human exposure to chemical or biological contaminants such as molds, pollens, viruses and bacteria which, above certain levels, can be alleged to be connected to allergic or other health effects and symptoms in susceptible individuals. We could incur fines for environmental compliance and be held liable for the costs of remedial action with respect to the foregoing regulated substances or tanks or related claims arising out of environmental contamination or human exposure to contamination at or from our properties.

Additionally, we develop, manage, lease and/or operate various properties for third parties. Consequently, we may be considered to have been or to be an operator of these properties and, therefore, potentially liable for removal or remediation costs or other potential costs that could relate to hazardous or toxic substances.

Data security breaches may cause damage to our business and reputation.

In the ordinary course of our business we maintain sensitive data, including our proprietary business information and the information of our tenants and business partners, in our data centers and on our networks. The risk of a security breach or disruption, particularly through cyber-attack or cyber intrusion, including by computer hackers, foreign governments and cyber terrorists, has generally increased in number, intensity and sophistication of attempted attacks and intrusions from around the world have increased. Notwithstanding the security measures undertaken, our information technology may be vulnerable to attacks or breaches resulting in proprietary information being publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. There can be no assurance that our security efforts and measures will be effective or that attempted security breaches or disruptions would not be successful or damaging. Protected information, networks, systems and facilities remain vulnerable because the techniques used in such attempted security breaches evolve and may not be recognized or detected until launched against a target. Accordingly, we may be unable to anticipate these techniques or to implement adequate security barriers or other preventative measures.

Data and security breaches could:

- ·disrupt the proper functioning of our networks and systems and therefore our operations and/or those of our client tenants;
- ·result in misstated financial reports, violations of loan covenants, missed reporting deadlines, and/or missed permitting deadlines;
- ·result in our inability to properly monitor our compliance with the rules and regulations regarding our qualification as a REIT;
- ·result in the unauthorized access to, and destruction, loss, theft, misappropriation, or release of proprietary, confidential, sensitive, or otherwise valuable information of ours or others, which others could use to compete against us or for disruptive, destructive, or otherwise harmful purposes and outcomes;
- ·result in our inability to maintain the building systems relied upon by our client tenants for the efficient use of their leased space;

- ·require significant management attention and resources to remedy any damages that result;
- ·subject us to claims for breach of contract, damages, credits, penalties, or termination of leases or other agreements; and/or
- ·damage our reputation among our client tenants and investors generally.

While we maintain insurance coverage that may, subject to policy terms and conditions including deductibles, cover certain aspects of cyber risks, such insurance coverage may be insufficient to cover all losses.

Americans with Disabilities Act compliance could be costly.

The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended ("ADA"), requires that all public accommodations and commercial facilities, including office buildings, meet certain federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Compliance with ADA requirements could involve the removal of structural barriers from certain disabled persons' entrances which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. Other federal, state and local laws may require modifications to or restrict further renovations of our properties with respect to such accesses. Noncompliance with the ADA or similar or related laws or regulations could result in the United States government imposing fines or private litigants being awarded damages against us. In addition, changes to existing requirements or enactments of new requirements could require significant expenditures. Such costs may adversely affect our cash flow and ability to make distributions to shareholders.

Failure to qualify as a REIT would subject us to U.S. federal income tax which would reduce the cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

We operate our business to qualify to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. We have not requested and do not plan to request a ruling from the IRS that we qualify as a REIT, and the statements in this Report are not binding on the IRS or any court. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on the income that we distribute currently to our shareholders. Many of the REIT requirements, however, are highly technical and complex. The determination that we are a REIT requires an analysis of various factual matters and circumstances that may not be totally within our control. For example, to qualify as a REIT, at least 95% of our gross income must come from specific passive sources, such as rent, that are itemized in the REIT tax laws. In addition, to qualify as a REIT, we cannot own specified amounts of debt and equity securities of some issuers. We also are required to distribute to our shareholders with respect to each year at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding net capital gains). The fact that we hold substantially all of our assets through the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries and real estate ventures further complicates the application of the REIT requirements for us. Even a technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize our REIT status and, given the highly complex nature of the rules governing REITs and the ongoing importance of factual determinations, we cannot provide any assurance that we will continue to qualify as a REIT. Changes to the rules governing REITS were made by the Protecting Americans From Tax Hikes Act of 2015, signed into law on December 18, 2015, and Congress and the IRS might make further changes to the tax laws and regulations, and the courts might issue new rulings, that make it more difficult, or impossible, for us to remain qualified as a REIT. If we fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes and are able to avail ourselves of one or more of the statutory savings provisions in order to maintain our REIT status, we would nevertheless be required to pay penalty taxes of \$50,000 or more for each such failure.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, and are unable to avail ourselves of certain savings provisions set forth in the Internal Revenue Code, we would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates on all of our income. As a taxable corporation, we would not be allowed to take a deduction for distributions to shareholders in computing our taxable income or pass through long term capital gains to individual shareholders at favorable rates. We also could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes. We would not be able to elect to be taxed as a REIT for four years following the year we first failed to qualify unless the IRS were to grant us relief under certain statutory provisions. If we failed to qualify as a REIT, we would have to pay significant income taxes, which would reduce our net earnings available for investment or distribution to our shareholders. This likely would have a significant adverse effect on our earnings and likely would adversely affect

the value of our securities. In addition, we would no longer be required to pay any distributions to shareholders.

Failure of the Operating Partnership (or a subsidiary partnership or real estate venture) to be treated as a partnership would have serious adverse consequences to our shareholders.

If the IRS were to successfully challenge the tax status of the Operating Partnership or any of its subsidiary partnerships or real estate ventures for federal income tax purposes, the Operating Partnership or the affected subsidiary partnership or real estate venture would be taxable as a corporation. In such event we would cease to qualify as a REIT and the imposition of a corporate tax on the Operating Partnership, subsidiary partnership or real estate venture would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution from the Operating Partnership to us and ultimately to our shareholders.

To maintain our REIT status, we may be forced to borrow funds on a short term basis during unfavorable market conditions.

As a REIT, we are subject to certain distribution requirements, including the requirement to distribute 90% of our REIT taxable income. That may result in our having to make distributions at a disadvantageous time or to borrow funds at unfavorable rates. Compliance with this requirement may hinder our ability to operate solely on the basis of maximizing profits.

We will pay some taxes even if we qualify as a REIT, which will reduce the cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we will be required to pay certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property. For example, we will be subject to income tax to the extent we distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, including capital gains. Additionally, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which dividends paid by us in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income, 95% of our capital gain net income and 100% of our undistributed income from prior years. Moreover, if we have net income from "prohibited transactions," that income will be subject to a 100% penalty tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. The determination as to whether a particular sale is a prohibited transaction depends on the facts and circumstances related to that sale. We cannot guarantee that sales of our properties would not be prohibited transactions unless we comply with certain statutory safe-harbor provisions.

In addition, any net taxable income earned directly by our taxable REIT subsidiaries, or through entities that are disregarded for federal income tax purposes as entities separate from our taxable REIT subsidiaries, will be subject to federal and possibly state corporate income tax. In this regard, several provisions of the laws applicable to REITs and their subsidiaries ensure that a taxable REIT subsidiary will be subject to an appropriate level of federal income taxation. For example, a taxable REIT subsidiary is limited in its ability to deduct certain interest payments made to an affiliated REIT. In addition, the REIT has to pay a 100% penalty tax on some payments that it receives or on some deductions taken by a taxable REIT subsidiary if the economic arrangements between the REIT, the REIT's customers, and the taxable REIT subsidiary are not comparable to similar arrangements between unrelated parties. Finally, some state and local jurisdictions may tax some of our income even though as a REIT we are not subject to federal income tax on that income because not all states and localities follow the federal income tax treatment of REITs. To the extent that we and our affiliates are required to pay federal, state and local taxes, we will have less cash available for distributions to our shareholders.

We face possible federal, state and local tax audits.

Because we are organized and qualify as a REIT, we are generally not subject to federal income taxes, but are subject to certain state and local taxes. Certain entities through which we own real estate have undergone tax audits. There can be no assurance that future audits will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Competition for skilled personnel could increase labor costs.

We compete with various other companies in attracting and retaining qualified and skilled personnel. We depend on our ability to attract and retain skilled management personnel who are responsible for the day-to-day operations of our company. Competitive pressures may require that we enhance our pay and benefits package to compete effectively for such personnel. We may not be able to offset such added costs by increasing the rates we charge our tenants. If there is an increase in these costs or if we fail to attract and retain qualified and skilled personnel, our business and operating results could be harmed.

We are dependent upon our key personnel.

We are dependent upon our key personnel, particularly Gerard H. Sweeney, our President and Chief Executive Officer, Thomas Wirth, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and George Johnstone Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. Among the reasons that Messrs. Sweeney, Wirth and Johnstone are important to our success is that each has a beneficial reputation, which attracts business and investment opportunities and assists us in negotiations with lenders, joint venture partners and other investors. If we lost their services, our relationships with lenders, potential tenants and industry personnel could be affected. We are dependent on our other executive officers for strategic business direction and real estate experience. Loss of their services could adversely affect our operations.

Certain limitations will exist with respect to a third party's ability to acquire us or effectuate a change in control.

Limitations imposed to protect our REIT status. In order to protect us against the loss of our REIT status, our Declaration of Trust limits any shareholder from owning more than 9.8% in value of our outstanding shares, although we have granted in the past, and may continue to grant in the future certain waivers of this limitation to certain shareholders under certain conditions. The ownership limit may have the effect of precluding acquisition of control of us. If anyone acquires shares in excess of the ownership limit, we may:

- ·consider the transfer to be null and void;
- ·not reflect the transaction on our books;

- ·institute legal action to stop the transaction;
- ·not pay dividends or other distributions with respect to those shares;
- ·not recognize any voting rights for those shares; and
- ·consider the shares held in trust for the benefit of a person to whom such shares may be transferred.

Limitation due to our ability to issue preferred shares. Our Declaration of Trust authorizes our Board of Trustees to cause us to issue preferred shares, without limitation as to amount and without shareholder consent. Our Board of Trustees is able to establish the preferences and rights of any preferred shares issued and these shares could have the effect of delaying or preventing someone from taking control of us, even if a change in control were in our shareholders' best interests.

Limitation imposed by the Maryland Business Combination Law. The Maryland General Corporation Law, as applicable to Maryland REITs, establishes special restrictions against "business combinations" between a Maryland REIT and "interested shareholders" or their affiliates unless an exemption is applicable. An interested shareholder includes a person, who beneficially owns, and an affiliate or associate of the trust who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of, ten percent or more of the voting power of our then-outstanding voting shares. Among other things, Maryland law prohibits (for a period of five years) a merger and certain other transactions between a Maryland REIT and an interested shareholder unless the board of trustees had approved the transaction before the party became an interested shareholder. The five-year period runs from the most recent date on which the interested shareholder became an interested shareholder. Thereafter, any such business combination must be recommended by the board of trustees and approved by two super-majority shareholder votes unless, among other conditions, the common shareholders receive a minimum price for their shares and the consideration is received in cash or in the same form as previously paid by the interested shareholder for our shares or unless the board of trustees approved the transaction before the party in question became an interested shareholder. The business combination statute could have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire us and of increasing the difficulty of consummating any such offers, even if the acquisition would be in our shareholders' best interests.

Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. Maryland law provides that "control shares" of a REIT acquired in a "control share acquisition" shall have no voting rights except to the extent approved by a vote of two-thirds of the vote eligible to be cast on the matter under the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. Shares construed as "control shares" means that, if aggregated with all other shares previously acquired by the acquirer or in respect of which the acquirer is able to exercise or direct the exercise of voting power (except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy), would entitle the acquirer to exercise voting power in electing trustees within one of the following ranges of voting power: one-tenth or more but less than one-third, one-third or more but less than a majority or a majority or more of all voting power. Control shares do not include shares the acquiring person is then entitled to vote as a result of having previously obtained shareholder approval. A "control share acquisition" means the acquisition of control shares, subject to certain exceptions. If voting rights or control shares acquired in a control share acquisition are not approved at a shareholder's meeting, then subject to certain conditions and limitations the issuer may redeem any or all of the control shares for fair value. If voting rights of such control shares are approved at a shareholder's meeting and the acquirer becomes entitled to vote a majority of the shares entitled to vote, all other shareholders may exercise appraisal rights. Any control shares acquired in a control share acquisition which are not exempt under our Bylaws are subject to the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. Our Bylaws contain a provision exempting from the control share acquisition statute any and all acquisitions by any person of our shares. We cannot assure you that this provision will not be repealed, amended or eliminated by us at any time in the future.

Maryland Unsolicited Takeover Act. Subtitle 8 of Title 3 of the Maryland General Corporation Law permits our Board of Trustees, without shareholder approval, and regardless of what is currently in our charter or bylaws, to implement (i) a classified board; (ii) a two-thirds vote requirement for removing a trustee; (iii) a requirement that the number of trustees be fixed only by vote of the trustees; (iv) a requirement that a vacancy on the board be filled only by the remaining trustees and for the remainder of the full term of the class of trustees in which the vacancy occurred; and (v) a majority requirement for the calling by shareholders of a special meeting of shareholders. This statute could have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire us and of increasing the difficulty of consummating any such offers,

even if the acquisition would be in our shareholders' best interests.

Advance Notice Provisions for Shareholder Nominations and Proposals. Our bylaws require advance notice for shareholders to nominate persons for election as trustees at, or to bring other business before, any meeting of our shareholders. This bylaw provision limits the ability of shareholders to make nominations of persons for election as trustees or to introduce other proposals unless we are notified in a timely manner prior to the meeting.

Many factors can have an adverse effect on the market value of our securities.

A number of factors might adversely affect the price of our securities, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include:

·increases in market interest rates, relative to the dividend yield on our shares. If market interest rates go up, prospective purchasers of our securities may require a higher yield. Higher market interest rates would not, however, result in more funds for us to distribute and, to the contrary, would likely increase our borrowing costs and potentially decrease funds available for distribution. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of our common shares to go down;

- anticipated benefit of an investment in our securities as compared to investment in securities of companies in other industries (including benefits associated with tax treatment of dividends and distributions);
- ·perception by market professionals of REITs generally and REITs comparable to us in particular;
- ·level of institutional investor interest in our securities;
- ·relatively low trading volumes in securities of REITs;
- ·our results of operations and financial condition; and
- ·investor confidence in the stock market generally.

The market value of our common shares is based primarily upon the market's perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings and cash distributions. Consequently, our common shares may trade at prices that are higher or lower than our net asset value per common share. If our future earnings or cash distributions are less than expected, it is likely that the market price of our common shares will diminish.

Additional issuances of equity securities may be dilutive to shareholders.

The interests of our shareholders could be diluted if we issue additional equity securities to finance future developments or acquisitions or to repay indebtedness. Our Board of Trustees may authorize the issuance of additional equity securities without shareholder approval. Our ability to execute our business strategy depends upon our access to an appropriate blend of debt financing, including unsecured lines of credit and other forms of secured and unsecured debt, and equity financing, including the issuance of common and preferred equity.

The issuance of preferred securities may adversely affect the rights of holders of our common shares.

Because our Board of Trustees has the power to establish the preferences and rights of each class or series of preferred shares, we may afford the holders in any series or class of preferred shares preferences, distributions, powers and rights, voting or otherwise, senior to the rights of holders of common shares. Our Board of Trustees also has the power to establish the preferences and rights of each class or series of units in the Operating Partnership, and may afford the holders in any series or class of preferred units preferences, distributions, powers and rights, voting or otherwise, senior to the rights of holders of common units.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of integrated internal control over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results.

An effective system of internal control over financial reporting is necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports, prevent fraud and operate successfully as a public company. As part of our ongoing monitoring of internal controls, we may discover material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal controls that we believe require remediation. If we discover such weaknesses, we will make efforts to improve our internal controls in a timely manner. Any system of internal controls, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can only provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system are met. Any failure to maintain effective internal controls, or implement any necessary improvements in a timely manner, could have a materially adverse effect on our business and operating results, or cause us to not meet our reporting obligations, which could affect our ability to remain listed with the New York Stock Exchange. Ineffective internal controls could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which would likely have a negative effect on the trading price of our securities.

Changes in accounting pronouncements could adversely affect our operating results, in addition to the reported financial performance of our tenants.

Accounting policies and methods are fundamental to how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. Uncertainties posed by various initiatives of accounting standard-setting by the Financial Accounting Standards Board and the Securities and Exchange Commission, which create and interpret applicable accounting standards for U.S. companies, may change the financial accounting and reporting standards or their interpretation and

application of these standards that govern the preparation of our financial statements. Proposed changes could include, but are not limited to, changes in lease accounting and the adoption of accounting standards likely to require increased use of "fair-value" measures.

These changes could have a material effect on our reported financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, we could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in potentially material restatements of prior period financial statements. Similarly, these changes could have a material impact on our tenants' reported financial condition or results of operations or could affect our tenants' preferences regarding leasing real estate.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments None.

Item 2. Properties
Property Acquisitions

We completed each of the transactions described below with unaffiliated third parties in arms' length transactions.

On July 7, 2015, we acquired a 0.8 acre parcel of land located at 2100 Market Street in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for \$18.8 million. We funded \$16.8 million of the purchase price with available corporate funds and the remaining \$2.0 million of the purchase price was deferred until the earlier of the commencement of development or 24 months from settlement. We accounted for this transaction as an asset acquisition and capitalized a nominal amount of acquisition related costs and other costs as part of land inventory on our consolidated balance sheet. We agreed with the seller of 2100 Market Street to pay additional consideration to it if we were to acquire certain land parcels adjacent to 2100 Market Street from unaffiliated third parties. The unaffiliated third parties are not party to this transaction and our acquisition of any such land parcels would be the result of an arm's length negotiation. The amount of additional consideration, if any, payable to the seller of 2100 Market Street cannot be determined at this time. We have not yet determined the scope, timing and cost of construction for the project as of December 31, 2015.

On June 22, 2015, through a series of transactions with IBM, we acquired the remaining 50.0% interest in Broadmoor Austin Associates, consisting of seven office buildings and the 66.0 acre underlying land parcel located in Austin, Texas, for an aggregate purchase price of \$211.4 million. The aggregate purchase price includes the carrying amount of our investment in Broadmoor Austin Associates of \$66.3 million. The office buildings contain 1,112,236 net rentable square feet of office space and were 100.0% occupied as of June 22, 2015. We funded the cost of the acquisition with an aggregate cash payment of \$143.8 million, consisting of \$81.0 million from available corporate funds and \$62.8 million previously held in escrow related to a Section 1031 like-kind exchange. Part of the cash payment was used at closing to repay, at no repayment penalty, the remaining \$51.2 million of secured debt. We incurred \$0.2 million of acquisition related costs that are classified within general and administrative expenses.

We previously accounted for our 50.0% non-controlling interest in Broadmoor Austin Associates under the equity method of accounting. As a result of acquiring IBM's remaining 50.0% common interest in Broadmoor Austin Associates, we obtained control of Broadmoor Austin Associates and our existing investment balance was remeasured based on the fair value of the underlying properties acquired and the existing distribution provisions under the relevant partnership agreement. As a result, we recorded a \$0.8 million gain on remeasurement.

On April 6, 2015, we acquired a 0.8 acre parcel of land, located at 25 M Street Southeast, Washington, D.C. for \$20.3 million. We funded the cost of this acquisition with available corporate funds. We capitalized \$0.3 million of acquisition related costs and these costs are included as part of land inventory on our consolidated balance sheet. On May 12, 2015, we contributed the land parcel into a newly formed real estate venture known as 25 M Street, a joint venture between us and Akridge, an unaffiliated third party, with the intent to construct a 271,000 square foot Class A office property. We hold a 95.0% ownership interest in 25 M Street and Akridge contributed \$1.0 million in cash for its 5.0% ownership interest in 25 M Street. The \$1.0 million contribution from Akridge was distributed to us during 2015. 25 M Street is consolidated within our financial statements. See Note 4, "Investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Ventures," to our Consolidated Financial Statements for further information. As of December 31, 2015, 25 M Street had not finalized development plans and total development costs, or received committed debt financing.

On April 2, 2015, we acquired a property located at 618 Market Street in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, comprised of a 330-space parking garage and 14,404 net rentable square feet of mixed-use space for \$19.4 million. Although the property is currently fully operational, we intend to either redevelop the existing property or demolish and fully redevelop the property. As of December 31, 2015, we had not yet begun any such development or redevelopment plans. The purchase price includes contingent consideration, recorded at fair value and payable to the seller upon commencement of development, totaling \$1.6 million and cash of \$17.8 million.

Developments

We placed in service the following development properties during the year ended December 31, 2015:

| Month Placed In Service Aug-15 (Phase I) Sept-15 (Phase II) | Туре | Property/Portfolio Name 5707 Southwest Parkway (Encino Trace) (a) | Location Austin, TX | Number of Buildings 2 | Square Footage 320,000 | Budgeted Costs (in thousands) \$91,300 | Costs Incurred (in thousands) \$ 76,650 |
|--|-------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| Nov-15 | Development | 2930 Chestnut St. (Cira | Philadelphia, | | | | |
| | | Green Roof) | PA | N/A | one acre | 12,500 | 13,575 |
| | | Total | | 2 | 320,000 | \$ 103,800 | \$ 90,225 |

⁽a) On December 31, 2015, we contributed the Encino Trace properties to the Austin Venture at an agreed upon value of \$76.7 million, consisting of the development costs we incurred. The remainder of the budgeted costs primarily relates to unfunded

tenant improvements, which will be paid by the Austin Venture. See footnote (b) in the Property Sales table below for further details.

As of December 31, 2015, we were continuing the construction of the FMC Tower in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The building contains a total of 870,000 square feet and is expected to cost \$385.0 million, of which \$202.8 million has been funded through December 31, 2015.

As discussed above in Item 1., "Business - 2015 Transactions," and Item 1., "Business - Developments," as of December 31, 2015, we were proceeding through four of our unconsolidated real estate ventures development projects at 51 N Street and 1250 First Street in Washington, D.C, 1919 Market Street in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and 4040 Wilson in Arlington, Virginia.

Property Sales

We sold the following office properties, in each case to unaffiliated third parties in arms' length transactions, during the year ended December 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

| Disposition Date | Property/Portfolio Name | Location | Number of Properties | | Sales Price | Net Proceeds on Sale | Gain On Sale (a) | Occupancy % at Date of Sale |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| | 5707 Southwest | | | | | | | |
| December | Parkway (Encino | | | | *= < = 0.0 | * = 0 . = 0 | | |
| 31, 2015 | Trace) | Austin, TX | 2 | 320,000 | \$76,700 | \$50,158 | \$2,008 | (b) 52.5% |
| December | Laurel Corporate | Mt. Laurel, | | | | | | |
| 29, 2015 | Center | NJ | 6 | 560,147 | 56,500 | 56,253 | 2,901 | 92.5% |
| December | Carlsbad | | | | | | | |
| 18, 2015 | Properties | Carlsbad, CA | 3 | 196,075 | 30,400 | 29,568 | - | (c) 63.8% |
| December | 751-761 Fifth | King of | | | | | | |
| 18, 2015 | Ave | | 1 | 158,000 | 4,600 | 4,245 | 894 | 100.0% |
| September | 1000 Howard | Mt. Laurel, | | | | | | |
| 29, 2015 | Boulevard | NJ | 1 | 105,312 | 16,500 | 15,780 | 4,828 | 100.0% |
| August 13, | Bay Colony | | | | | | | |
| 2015 | Office Park | Wayne, PA | 4 | 247,294 | 37,500 | 36,386 | 269 | 86.5% |
| August 11, | | King of | | | | | | |
| 2015 | 741 First Avenue | | 1 | 77,184 | 4,900 | 4,640 | 372 | 100.0% |
| June 10, | 100 Gateway | Richmond, | | | | | | |
| 2015 | Centre Parkway | VA | 1 | 74,991 | 4,100 | 3,911 | - | (d) 58.3% |
| | | Newark, DE | | | | | | |
| | Christina & | / | | | | | | |
| April 24, | Delaware | Wilmington, | | | | | | |
| 2015 | Corporate Centers | DE | 5 | 485,182 | 50,100 | 49,579 | 1,749 | 66.5% |
| April 9, | Lake Merritt | | | | | | | |
| 2015 | Tower | Oakland, CA | 1 | 204,336 | 65,000 | 62,800 | - | (e) 86.4% |
| January 8, 2015 | 1000 Atrium Way / 457 Haddonfield | | 2 | 221,405 | 28,300 | 26,778 | 8,981 | 93.4% |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | Road (Atrium I / Libertyview) | Hill, NJ | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--|
| Total Dispositions | | | 27 | 2,649,926 | \$374,600 | \$340,098 | \$22,002 (f) | |

- (a) Gain on Sale is net of closing and other transaction related costs.
- (b) On December 31, 2015, we contributed two newly constructed four-story, Class A office buildings, commonly known as "Encino Trace," containing an aggregate of approximately 320,000 square feet in Austin, Texas to one of our existing real estate ventures (the "Austin Venture") that we formed in 2013 with G&I VII Austin Office LLC, an investment vehicle advised by DRA Advisors LLC ("DRA"). When we contributed these two properties to the Austin Venture we incurred a total of \$76.7 million in development costs, representing the contribution value. The project is expected to cost \$91.3 million with remaining costs fully funded by the Austin Venture. In conjunction with the contribution: (i) the Austin Venture obtained a \$30.0 million mortgage loan; (ii) DRA contributed \$25.1 million in net cash to the capital of the Austin Venture, including a \$1.8 million working capital contribution; and (iii) the Austin Venture distributed \$50.2 million to us and credited us with a \$23.3 million capital contribution to the Austin Venture. In addition to the contribution of the properties, we also made a \$1.8 million cash contribution to the Austin Venture for working capital. We recognized a \$2.0 million gain on the contribution.

Under the Encino Trace loan agreement the Austin Venture has the option, subject to certain leasing and loan-to-value requirements, to borrow an additional \$29.7 million to fund tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

- (c) We recorded an impairment loss of \$6.3 million for the Carlsbad office properties during the fourth quarter of 2015. As such, there was no gain at disposition for this property.
- (d) We recorded an impairment loss of \$0.8 million for 100 Gateway Centre Parkway during the second quarter of 2015. As such, there was no gain at disposition for this property.
- (e) We recorded an impairment loss of \$1.7 million for Lake Merritt Tower at March 31, 2015. As such, there was no gain at disposition for this property. Sales proceeds were deposited in escrow under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code and applied to purchase the Broadmoor Austin portfolio. Refer to Broadmoor Austin Associates acquisition summary, above, for further details.
- (f) Total gain on sale does not include a deferred gain of \$0.5 million related to a prior sale.

We sold the following land parcels during the year ended December 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

| | | | Number | | | Net | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------|-------|----------|----------|------------|-----|
| | Property/Portfolio | | of | | Sales | Proceeds | Gain/(Los | ss) |
| Disposition Date | Name | Location | Parcels | Acres | Price | on Sale | on Sale (a | ı) |
| December 18, 2015 | Two Christina Centre | Wilmington, DE | 2 1 | 1.6 | \$6,500 | \$5,986 | \$ - | (b) |
| September 1, 2015 | 7000 Midlantic | Mt. Laurel, NJ | 1 | 3.5 | 2,200 | 1,742 | (169 |) |
| August 31, 2015 | Four Points | Austin, TX | 1 | 8.6 | 2,500 | 2,344 | 71 | |
| August 25, 2015 | Two Kaiser Plaza | Oakland, CA | 1 | 1.0 | 11,100 | 11,016 | 3,117 | |
| Total Dispositions | | | 4 | 14.7 | \$22,300 | \$21,088 | \$ 3,019 | |

- (a) Gain/(Loss) on Sale is net of closing and other transaction related costs.
- (b) We recorded an impairment loss of \$0.3 million for Two Christina Centre during the fourth quarter of 2015. As such, there was no gain/(loss) at disposition for this land parcel.

The sales of properties referenced above do not represent a strategic shift that has a major effect on our operations and financial results. As a result, the operating results of these properties remain classified within continuing operations for all periods presented.

Held for Sale

The following is a summary of properties classified as held for sale but which did not meet the criteria to be classified within discontinued operations at December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

Held for Sale Properties Included in

| | Helu Ioi Sa | ie rroperiies | s meruaca n | 1 |
|--|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| | Continuing | Operations | | |
| | December 3 | 31, 2015 | | |
| | Och-Ziff | 2970 | | |
| | Properties | Market | Greenhills | |
| | (a) | Street (b) | Land (c) | Total |
| ASSETS HELD FOR SALE | | | | |
| Real estate investments: | | | | |
| Operating properties | \$526,099 | \$268,489 | \$ - | \$794,588 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (179,092) | (34,489) | - | (213,581) |
| Operating real estate investments, net | 347,007 | 234,000 | - | 581,007 |
| Construction-in-progress | 1,915 | 25 | - | 1,940 |
| Land held for development | - | - | 837 | 837 |
| Total real estate investments, net | 348,922 | 234,025 | 837 | 583,784 |
| Intangible assets, net | 581 | - | - | 581 |
| Total assets held for sale, net | \$349,503 | \$234,025 | \$ 837 | \$584,365 |
| | | | | |
| LIABILITIES HELD FOR SALE | | | | |
| Acquired lease intangibles, net | \$192 | \$- | \$ - | \$192 |
| = | | | | |

| Other liabilities | 1,959 | - | - | 1,959 |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----|------|---------|
| Total liabilities held for sale | \$2,151 | \$- | \$ - | \$2,151 |

(a) On February 4, 2016, we disposed of our interests in 58 properties located in the Pennsylvania Suburbs, New Jersey/Delaware, Metropolitan Washington, D.C. and Richmond, Virginia segments in a series of related transactions with Och Ziff Real Estate. During the fourth quarter of 2015, significant provisions were agreed upon by both us and Och Ziff Real Estate and, as a result, we determined to move forward with the transaction. Accordingly, as of December 31, 2015, we determined that the sale of the portfolio was probable and classified these properties as held for sale in accordance with applicable accounting standards for long lived assets. At such date, the carrying value of the properties exceeded the fair value less the anticipated costs of sale. As a result, we recognized an impairment loss totaling approximately \$45.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2015. For further information related to the transaction see Note 21, "Subsequent Events," to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

- (b) On December 23, 2015, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement to dispose of its equity interests in the office property located at 2970 Market Street in Philadelphia commonly known as 30th Street Main Post Office ("Cira Square"), which includes 862,692 square feet of rentable space and is fully leased to a single tenant. As of December 31, 2015, we determined the sale was probable and classified the property as held for sale in accordance with applicable accounting standards for long lived assets. At such date, the fair value is the purchase price less anticipated costs to dispose of the property. As the fair value exceeded the carrying value of the property no impairment loss was recorded. On February 5, 2016, we completed the disposition of our equity interests in Cira Square.
- (c) On January 15, 2016, we sold the fee interest in a 120 acre land parcel located in Berks County, Pennsylvania for \$0.9 million. As of December 31, 2015, we classified this land parcel as held for sale in accordance with the applicable accounting standards for long lived assets. At such date, the carrying value of the properties exceeded the fair value less the anticipated costs of sale. As a result, we recognized an impairment loss totaling approximately \$0.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2015.

The sales of our equity interests and the fee interests in the properties referenced above do not represent a strategic shift that has a major effect on our operations and financial results. The operating results of these properties remain classified within continuing operations for all periods presented. See Note 21, "Subsequent Events," to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding these dispositions.

Properties

As of December 31, 2015, we owned 179 properties that contain an aggregate of approximately 23.0 million net rentable square feet and consist of 106 office properties, six industrial facilities, three mixed-use properties, one retail property (116 core properties), 59 properties classified as held for sale, two development properties, one redevelopment property and one re-entitlement property (collectively, the "Properties"). The properties are located in or near Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Metropolitan Washington, D.C.; Southern New Jersey; Richmond, Virginia; Wilmington, Delaware; and Oakland and Concord, California. As of December 31, 2015, the properties were approximately 93.5% occupied by 1,245 tenants and had an average age of approximately 23.1 years. The office properties are a combination of urban and transit-oriented suburban office buildings containing an average of approximately 140,638 net rentable square feet. The industrial and mixed-use properties accommodate a variety of tenant uses, including light manufacturing, assembly, distribution and warehousing. We carry comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage and rental loss insurance covering all of the properties, with policy specifications and insured limits which we believe are adequate.

The following table sets forth information with respect to our core properties at December 31, 2015:

| | | | | | | Total | |
|--------------------------|----------|-------|-------------|----------|------------|----------------|------------|
| | | | | | | Base | |
| | | | | | | Rent for | |
| | | | | | | the | Average |
| | | | | | Percentage | Twelve | Annualized |
| | | | | | Leased | Months | Rental |
| | | | | Net | as of | Ended | Rate as of |
| | | | | Rentable | December | December | December |
| | | | Year Built/ | Square | 31, 2015 | 31, 2015 | 31, 2015 |
| | Location | State | Renovated | Feet | (a) | (b) $(000$'s) | (c) |
| PENNSYLVANIA | | | | | | | |
| SUBURBS SEGMENT | | | | | | | |
| 150 Radnor Chester Road | Radnor | PA | 1983 | 340,380 | 97.9 % | \$ 10,452 | \$ 35.32 |
| 201 King of Prussia Road | Radnor | PA | 2001 | 251,434 | 100.0 % | 7,181 | 33.99 |
| 555 Lancaster Avenue | Radnor | PA | 1973 | 241,687 | 97.2 % | 6,582 | 32.90 |

Edgar Filing: BRANDYWINE REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| One Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 201,874 100.0 % 5,422 29.27 Center W. Conshohocken PA 1999 173,827 97.9 % 4,354 25.94 Five Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 164,505 100.0 % 5,117 33.19 Four Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1995 164,464 100.0 % 2,658 16.15 660 West Germantown Pike Meeting PA 2014 161,521 100.0 % 4,715 31.27 660 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 2000 150,000 78.8 % 2,342 25.80 640 Freedom Business Center East Whiteland Propper PA 1991 132,000 93.7 % 2,449 22.38 52 Swedesford Square East Whiteland Propper PA 1998 131,017 84.0 % 3,083 24.85 400 Berwyn Park Berwyn PA </th <th>401 Plymouth Road</th> <th>Plymouth Meeting</th> <th>PA</th> <th>2001</th> <th>204,186</th> <th>98.8</th> <th>%</th> <th>5,890</th> <th>32.30</th> | 401 Plymouth Road | Plymouth Meeting | PA | 2001 | 204,186 | 98.8 | % | 5,890 | 32.30 |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|----|------|---------|-------|---|-------|-------|
| Five Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 164,505 100.0 % 5,117 33.19 Four Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 164,605 100.0 % 5,117 33.19 Four Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1995 164,464 100.0 % 2,658 16.15 Radnor PA 1995 164,464 100.0 % 2,658 16.15 Radnor PA 1995 164,464 100.0 % 2,658 16.15 Radnor PA 1995 150,000 78.8 % 2,342 25.80 Radnor PA 1991 132,000 93.7 % 2,449 22.38 Radnor PA 1991 132,000 93.7 % 2,449 22.38 Radnor PA 1998 131,017 84.0 % 2,338 23.37 Radnor PA 1999 124,182 98.0 % 3,083 24.85 Plymouth Meeting PA 2007 120,877 100.0 % 3,439 31.19 Radnor PA 1998 119,087 100.0 % 3,439 31.19 Radnor PA 1998 116,174 94.5 % 3,013 26.28 Radnor PA 1998 107,702 100.0 % 2,382 25.69 Two Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 97,576 93.9 % 2,544 32.91 Radnor PA 1998 97,576 93.9 % 2,544 32.91 Radnor PA 1999 97,737 100.0 % 2,733 27.58 Radnor PA 1999 97,737 100.0 % 2,733 27.58 So Derwyn Park Pa 1999 97,737 100.0 % 2,733 27.58 So Derwyn Park Pa 1999 97,737 100.0 % 2,733 27.58 Radnor PA 1999 97,737 100.0 % 2,733 27.58 So Dorth Gulph Road (e) King of Prussia PA 1999 90,183 81.8 % 1,580 27.11 Radnor Phike Meeting PA 1990 90,183 81.8 % 1,580 27.11 Radnor Phymouth Pa 1980 90,183 81.8 % 1,580 27.11 Radnor Phymouth Pa 1980 90,183 81.8 % 1,580 27.11 Radnor Phymouth Phike Meeting PA 1990 90,183 81.8 % 1,580 27.11 Radnor Phymouth Phymouth Pa 1988 89,870 93.2 % 2,049 28.37 Radnor Phymouth Phymouth Pa 1988 89,870 93.2 % 2,049 28.37 Radnor Phymouth Phymouth Phymouth Phymouth Phymouth Phymouth Phymouth Meeting PA 1988 89,870 93.2 % 2,049 28.37 Radnor Phymouth Phymouth Pa 1988 89,870 93.2 % 2,049 28.37 Radnor Phymouth Pa 1988 89,870 93.2 % 2,049 28.37 Radnor Pressia PA 1990 86,683 91.4 % 1,488 19.31 Radnor Phymouth Pa 1986 86,683 91.4 % 1,488 19.31 Radnor Phymouth Pa 1986 86,683 91.4 % 1,488 19.31 Radnor Phymouth Pa 1984 86,662 100.0 % 1,824 31.08 Radnor Phymouth Pa 1984 86,662 100.0 % 1,824 31.08 Radnor Phymouth Pa 1984 86,662 100.0 % 2,190 29.53 | _ | Radnor | PA | 1998 | 201,874 | 100.0 | % | 5,422 | 29.27 |
| Center Radnor PA 1998 164,505 100.0 % 5,117 33.19 Four Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1995 164,464 100.0 % 2,658 16.15 660 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 2014 161,521 100.0 % 4,715 31.27 630 Allendale Road King of Prussia PA 2000 150,000 78.8 % 2,342 25.80 640 Freedom Business King of Prussia PA 1991 132,000 93.7 % 2,449 22.38 640 Freedom Business King of Prussia PA 1991 132,000 93.7 % 2,449 22.38 52 Swedesford Square East Whiteland Twp. PA 1999 124,182 98.0 % 3,083 24.85 4000 Chemical Road Plymouth Meeting PA 1999 124,182 98.0 % 3,033 24.85 4000 Chemical Road Radnor PA 1998 119,087 100.0 % 3,326 32.37 181 W | 101 West Elm Street | | PA | 1999 | 173,827 | 97.9 | % | 4,354 | 25.94 |
| Center Radinor PA 1993 194,494 100.0 % 2,038 10.13 660 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 2014 161,521 100.0 % 4,715 31.27 630 Allendale Road King of Prussia PA 2000 150,000 78.8 % 2,342 25.80 640 Freedom Business King Of Prussia PA 1991 132,000 93.7 % 2,449 22.38 52 Swedesford Square East Whiteland Twp. PA 1998 131,017 84.0 % 2,338 23.37 400 Berwyn Park Berwyn PA 1999 124,182 98.0 % 3,083 24.85 4000 Chemical Road Plymouth Meeting PA 2007 120,877 100.0 % 3,326 32.37 Three Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 119,087 100.0 % 3,326 32.37 18 Washington Street Conshohocken PA 1999 116,174 94.5 % 3,013 26.28 300 Berwy | - | Radnor | PA | 1998 | 164,505 | 100.0 | % | 5,117 | 33.19 |
| Pike Meeting PA 2014 161,321 100.0 % 4,715 31.27 630 Allendale Road King of Prussia PA 2000 150,000 78.8 % 2,342 25.80 640 Freedom Business King Of Prussia PA 1991 132,000 93.7 % 2,449 22.38 52 Swedesford Square East Whiteland Twp. PA 1998 131,017 84.0 % 2,338 23.37 400 Berwyn Park Berwyn PA 1999 124,182 98.0 % 3,083 24.85 4000 Chemical Road Plymouth Meeting PA 2007 120,877 100.0 % 3,439 31.19 Three Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 119,087 100.0 % 3,326 32.37 181 Washington Street Conshohocken PA 1999 116,174 94.5 % 3,013 26.28 300 Berwyn Park Berwyn PA 1989 107,702 100.0 % 2,382 25.69 Two Radnor Corpor | _ | Radnor | PA | 1995 | 164,464 | 100.0 | % | 2,658 | 16.15 |
| 640 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 1991 132,000 93.7 % 2,449 22.38 52 Swedesford Square East Whiteland Twp. PA 1988 131,017 84.0 % 2,338 23.37 400 Berwyn Park Berwyn PA 1999 124,182 98.0 % 3,083 24.85 4000 Chemical Road Plymouth Meeting PA 2007 120,877 100.0 % 3,439 31.19 Three Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 119,087 100.0 % 3,326 32.37 181 Washington Street Conshohocken PA 1999 116,174 94.5 % 3,013 26.28 300 Berwyn Park Berwyn PA 1989 107,702 100.0 % 2,382 25.69 Two Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 97,576 93.9 % 2,544 32.91 1 West Elm Street Conshohocken PA 1999 97,737 100.0 % 2,733 27.58 555 Cr | Pike | Meeting | PA | | 161,521 | | % | | |
| Center King Of Prussia PA 1991 132,000 93.7 % 2,449 22.38 52 Swedesford Square East Whiteland Twp. PA 1988 131,017 84.0 % 2,338 23.37 400 Berwyn Park Berwyn PA 1999 124,182 98.0 % 3,083 24.85 4000 Chemical Road Plymouth Meeting PA 2007 120,877 100.0 % 3,439 31.19 Three Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 119,087 100.0 % 3,439 31.19 181 Washington Street Conshohocken PA 1999 116,174 94.5 % 3,013 26.28 300 Berwyn Park Berwyn PA 1989 107,702 100.0 % 2,382 25.69 Two Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1999 97,576 93.9 % 2,544 32.91 1 West Elm Street W. Conshohocken PA 1999 97,377 100.0 % 2,733 27.58 555 Cro | 630 Allendale Road | King of Prussia | PA | 2000 | 150,000 | 78.8 | % | 2,342 | 25.80 |
| Twp. PA 1988 131,017 84,0 % 2,338 23,37 | | King Of Prussia | PA | 1991 | 132,000 | 93.7 | % | 2,449 | 22.38 |
| Plymouth Meeting | 52 Swedesford Square | | PA | 1988 | 131,017 | 84.0 | % | 2,338 | 23.37 |
| Three Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 119,087 100.0 % 3,459 31.19 | 400 Berwyn Park | Berwyn | PA | 1999 | 124,182 | 98.0 | % | 3,083 | 24.85 |
| Center Radnor PA 1998 119,087 100.0 % 3,326 32.37 181 Washington Street Conshohocken PA 1999 116,174 94.5 % 3,013 26.28 300 Berwyn Park Berwyn PA 1989 107,702 100.0 % 2,382 25.69 Two Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 97,576 93.9 % 2,544 32.91 1 West Elm Street W. Conshohocken PA 1999 97,737 100.0 % 2,733 27.58 555 Croton Road (e) King of Prussia PA 1999 96,909 71.1 % 1,512 25.47 500 North Gulph Road King Of Prussia PA 1979 93,082 39.6 % 819 22.19 620 West Germantown Plymouth Meeting PA 1990 90,183 81.8 % 1,580 27.11 610 West Germantown Plymouth Meeting PA 1987 90,088 97.9 % 1,810 28.09 630 West Germantown Plymouth Meeting PA | 4000 Chemical Road | • | PA | 2007 | 120,877 | 100.0 | % | 3,439 | 31.19 |
| 300 Berwyn Park Berwyn PA 1989 107,702 100.0 % 2,382 25.69 Two Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 97,576 93.9 % 2,544 32.91 I West Elm Street W. Conshohocken PA 1999 97,576 93.9 % 2,544 32.91 555 Croton Road (e) King of Prussia PA 1999 97,737 100.0 % 2,733 27.58 555 Croton Road (e) King of Prussia PA 1999 96,909 71.1 % 1,512 25.47 500 North Gulph Road King Of Prussia PA 1979 93,082 39.6 % 819 22.19 620 West Germantown Pike Meeting PA 1990 90,183 81.8 % 1,580 27.11 610 West Germantown Pike Meeting PA 1987 90,088 97.9 % 1,810 28.09 630 Freedom Business King Of Prussia PA 1986 | • | Radnor | PA | 1998 | 119,087 | 100.0 | % | 3,326 | 32.37 |
| Two Radnor Corporate Center Radnor PA 1998 97,576 93.9 % 2,544 32.91 I West Elm Street W. Conshohocken PA 1999 97,737 100.0 % 2,733 27.58 555 Croton Road (e) King of Prussia PA 1999 96,909 71.1 % 1,512 25.47 500 North Gulph Road King Of Prussia PA 1979 93,082 39.6 % 819 22.19 620 West Germantown Pike Meeting PA 1990 90,183 81.8 % 1,580 27.11 610 West Germantown Pike Meeting PA 1987 90,088 97.9 % 1,810 28.09 630 West Germantown Pike Meeting PA 1988 89,870 93.2 % 2,049 28.37 600 West Germantown Pike Meeting PA 1986 89,626 86.7 % 1,573 19.68 630 Freedom Business King Of Prussia PA 1989 | 181 Washington Street | Conshohocken | PA | 1999 | 116,174 | 94.5 | % | 3,013 | 26.28 |
| Center Radnor PA 1998 97,376 93.9 % 2,344 32.91 I West Elm Street W. Conshohocken PA 1999 97,737 100.0 % 2,733 27.58 555 Croton Road (e) King of Prussia PA 1999 96,909 71.1 % 1,512 25.47 500 North Gulph Road King Of Prussia PA 1979 93,082 39.6 % 819 22.19 620 West Germantown Pike Plymouth PA 1990 90,183 81.8 % 1,580 27.11 610 West Germantown Pike Plymouth PA 1987 90,088 97.9 % 1,810 28.09 630 West Germantown Pike Plymouth PA 1988 89,870 93.2 % 2,049 28.37 600 West Germantown Pike Meeting PA 1986 89,626 86.7 % 1,573 19.68 630 Freedom Business King Of Prussia PA 1989 86,683 91.4 % 1,488 19.31 1200 Swedesford Road | 300 Berwyn Park | Berwyn | PA | 1989 | 107,702 | 100.0 | % | 2,382 | 25.69 |
| I West Elm Street Conshohocken PA 1999 97,737 100.0 % 2,733 27.58 555 Croton Road (e) King of Prussia PA 1999 96,909 71.1 % 1,512 25.47 500 North Gulph Road King Of Prussia PA 1979 93,082 39.6 % 819 22.19 620 West Germantown Pike Meeting PA 1990 90,183 81.8 % 1,580 27.11 610 West Germantown Pike Meeting PA 1987 90,088 97.9 % 1,810 28.09 630 West Germantown Pike Meeting PA 1988 89,870 93.2 % 2,049 28.37 600 West Germantown Pike Meeting PA 1986 89,626 86.7 % 1,573 19.68 630 Freedom Business King Of Prussia PA 1989 86,683 91.4 % 1,488 19.31 1200 Swedesford Road Berwyn PA 1994 86,622 100.0 % 1,824 31.08 620 Freedom Business< | _ | Radnor | PA | 1998 | 97,576 | 93.9 | % | 2,544 | 32.91 |
| 500 North Gulph Road King Of Prussia PA 1979 93,082 39.6 % 819 22.19 620 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1990 90,183 81.8 % 1,580 27.11 610 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1987 90,088 97.9 % 1,810 28.09 630 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1988 89,870 93.2 % 2,049 28.37 600 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1986 89,626 86.7 % 1,573 19.68 630 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 1989 86,683 91.4 % 1,488 19.31 1200 Swedesford Road Berwyn PA 1986 86,570 100.0 % 1,817 24.86 620 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 1986 86,570 100.0 % 1,817 24.86 1050 Westlakes Drive Berwyn PA 1984 80,000 100.0 % 2,190 29.53 | 1 West Elm Street | | PA | 1999 | 97,737 | 100.0 | % | 2,733 | 27.58 |
| 620 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1990 90,183 81.8 % 1,580 27.11 610 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1987 90,088 97.9 % 1,810 28.09 630 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1988 89,870 93.2 % 2,049 28.37 600 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1986 89,626 86.7 % 1,573 19.68 630 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 1989 86,683 91.4 % 1,488 19.31 1200 Swedesford Road Berwyn PA 1994 86,622 100.0 % 1,824 31.08 620 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 1986 86,570 100.0 % 1,817 24.86 1050 Westlakes Drive Berwyn PA 1984 80,000 100.0 % 2,190 29.53 | 555 Croton Road | (e) King of Prussia | PA | 1999 | 96,909 | 71.1 | % | 1,512 | 25.47 |
| Pike Meeting PA 1990 90,183 81.8 % 1,580 27.11 610 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1987 90,088 97.9 % 1,810 28.09 630 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1988 89,870 93.2 % 2,049 28.37 600 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1986 89,626 86.7 % 1,573 19.68 630 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 1989 86,683 91.4 % 1,488 19.31 1200 Swedesford Road Berwyn PA 1994 86,622 100.0 % 1,824 31.08 620 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 1986 86,570 100.0 % 1,817 24.86 1050 Westlakes Drive Berwyn PA 1984 80,000 100.0 % 2,190 29.53 | _ | ě . | PA | 1979 | 93,082 | 39.6 | % | 819 | 22.19 |
| Pike Meeting PA 1987 90,088 97.9 % 1,810 28.09 630 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1988 89,870 93.2 % 2,049 28.37 600 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1986 89,626 86.7 % 1,573 19.68 630 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 1989 86,683 91.4 % 1,488 19.31 1200 Swedesford Road Berwyn PA 1994 86,622 100.0 % 1,824 31.08 620 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 1986 86,570 100.0 % 1,817 24.86 1050 Westlakes Drive Berwyn PA 1984 80,000 100.0 % 2,190 29.53 | | Meeting | PA | 1990 | 90,183 | 81.8 | % | 1,580 | 27.11 |
| Pike Meeting PA 1988 89,870 93.2 % 2,049 28.37 600 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1986 89,626 86.7 % 1,573 19.68 630 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 1989 86,683 91.4 % 1,488 19.31 1200 Swedesford Road Berwyn PA 1994 86,622 100.0 % 1,824 31.08 620 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 1986 86,570 100.0 % 1,817 24.86 1050 Westlakes Drive Berwyn PA 1984 80,000 100.0 % 2,190 29.53 | | | PA | 1987 | 90,088 | 97.9 | % | 1,810 | 28.09 |
| 600 West Germantown Pike Plymouth Meeting PA 1986 89,626 86.7 % 1,573 19.68 630 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 1989 86,683 91.4 % 1,488 19.31 1200 Swedesford Road Berwyn PA 1994 86,622 100.0 % 1,824 31.08 620 Freedom Business Center King Of Prussia PA 1986 86,570 100.0 % 1,817 24.86 1050 Westlakes Drive Berwyn PA 1984 80,000 100.0 % 2,190 29.53 | | <u> </u> | PA | 1988 | 89,870 | 93.2 | % | 2,049 | 28.37 |
| 630 Freedom Business King Of Prussia PA 1989 86,683 91.4 % 1,488 19.31 1200 Swedesford Road Berwyn PA 1994 86,622 100.0 % 1,824 31.08 620 Freedom Business King Of Prussia PA 1986 86,570 100.0 % 1,817 24.86 1050 Westlakes Drive Berwyn PA 1984 80,000 100.0 % 2,190 29.53 | | Plymouth | PA | 1986 | 89,626 | 86.7 | % | 1,573 | 19.68 |
| 620 Freedom Business King Of Prussia PA 1986 86,570 100.0 % 1,817 24.86 1050 Westlakes Drive Berwyn PA 1984 80,000 100.0 % 2,190 29.53 | | King Of Prussia | PA | 1989 | 86,683 | 91.4 | % | 1,488 | 19.31 |
| 620 Freedom Business King Of Prussia PA 1986 86,570 100.0 % 1,817 24.86 1050 Westlakes Drive Berwyn PA 1984 80,000 100.0 % 2,190 29.53 | | Berwyn | PA | 1994 | 86,622 | 100.0 | % | 1,824 | 31.08 |
| 1050 Westlakes Drive Berwyn PA 1984 80,000 100.0 % 2,190 29.53 | | King Of Prussia | PA | 1986 | 86,570 | 100.0 | % | | |
| 33 | | Berwyn | PA | 1984 | 80,000 | 100.0 | % | 2,190 | 29.53 |
| | 33 | | | | | | | | |

| One Progress Drive | (e) | Location Horsham | State PA | Year Built/ Renovated 1986 | Net Rentable Square Feet 79,204 | Percenta Leased as of Decemb 31, 2015 (a) 80.0 | er | Total Base Rent for the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2015 (b) (000's) 721 | Average Annualized Rental Rate as of December 31, 2015 (c) 22.28 |
|--------------------------------|-----|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---|--|----|---|---|
| 1060 First Avenue | (d) | King Of Prussia | PA | 1987 | 77,718 | 100.0 | % | 1,699 | 23.59 |
| 1040 First Avenue | (d) | King Of | PA | 1985 | 75,488 | 100.0 | % | 1,872 | 23.03 |
| 200 Berwyn Park | | Prussia Berwyn | PA | 1987 | 75,025 | 100.0 | % | 1,652 | 25.77 |
| 1020 First Avenue | (d) | King Of Prussia | PA | 1984 | 74,556 | 100.0 | % | 1,824 | 22.29 |
| 1000 First Avenue | (d) | King Of Prussia | PA | 1980 | 74,139 | 45.1 | % | 644 | 20.73 |
| 130 Radnor Chester Road | | Radnor | PA | 1983 | 71,349 | 100.0 | % | 2,150 | 35.41 |
| 14 Campus Boulevard | | Newtown Square | PA | 1998 | 69,542 | 100.0 | % | 1,815 | 29.10 |
| 170 Radnor Chester Road | | Radnor | PA | 1983 | 68,143 | 100.0 | % | 1,589 | 26.94 |
| 500 Enterprise Road | (e) | Horsham | PA | 1990 | 66,751 | 100.0 | % | 823 | 20.75 |
| 610 Freedom Business Center | | King Of Prussia | PA | 1985 | 62,991 | 97.4 | % | 1,129 | 23.12 |
| 925 Harvest Drive | (e) | Blue Bell | PA | 1990 | 62,957 | 100.0 | % | 1,082 | 22.26 |
| 980 Harvest Drive | (e) | Blue Bell | PA | 1988 | 62,379 | 77.8 | % | 1,141 | 17.59 |
| 426 Lancaster Avenue | (-) | Devon | PA | 1990 | 61,102 | 100.0 | % | 1,213 | 24.09 |
| 1180 Swedesford Road | | Berwyn | PA | 1987 | 60,371 | 78.7 | % | 1,024 | 24.75 |
| 1160 Swedesford Road | | Berwyn | PA | 1986 | 60,099 | 100.0 | % | 1,387 | 25.09 |
| 100 Berwyn Park | | Berwyn | PA | 1986 | 57,730 | 100.0 | % | 1,134 | 23.72 |
| 640 Allendale Road | (g) | King of Prussia | PA | 2000 | 56,034 | 100.0 | % | 314 | 8.61 |
| 650 Park Avenue | | King Of Prussia | PA | 1968 | 54,338 | 68.9 | % | 819 | 17.48 |
| 910 Harvest Drive | (e) | Blue Bell | PA | 1990 | 52,611 | 100.0 | % | 1,073 | 23.18 |
| 2240/50 Butler Pike | (e) | Plymouth Meeting | PA | 1984 | 52,229 | 100.0 | % | 978 | 23.46 |
| 920 Harvest Drive | (e) | Blue Bell | PA | 1990 | 51,875 | 100.0 | % | 962 | 1.85 |
| 660 Allendale Road | (g) | King of Prussia | PA | 2011 | 50,635 | 100.0 | % | 677 | 17.69 |
| 620 Allendale Road | | King Of Prussia | PA | 1961 | 50,000 | 67.0 | % | 519 | 14.25 |
| 15 Campus Boulevard | | Newtown Square | PA | 2002 | 49,621 | 100.0 | % | 1,223 | 27.55 |
| 17 Campus Boulevard | | Newtown Square | PA | 2001 | 48,565 | 100.0 | % | 1,137 | 27.07 |
| 11 Campus Boulevard | | Newtown Square | PA | 1998 | 47,700 | 100.0 | % | 1,237 | 27.45 |

| 1100 Cassett Road | | Dominin | PA | 1997 | 43,480 | 100.0 | % | 1,212 | 29.11 |
|--|-------------|---------------------|-------|---------|-----------|-------|----|------------|----------|
| 1100 Cassett Road | | Berwyn Ving Of | PA | 1997 | 43,460 | 100.0 | % | 1,212 | 29.11 |
| 600 Park Avenue | | King Of Prussia | PA | 1964 | 39,000 | 100.0 | % | 234 | 6.06 |
| 18 Campus Boulevard | | Newtown Square | PA | 1990 | 37,374 | 100.0 | % | 762 | 21.29 |
| 2260 Butler Pike | (e) | Plymouth Meeting | PA | 1984 | 31,892 | 100.0 | % | 656 | 22.11 |
| 120 West Germantown Pike | (e) | Plymouth Meeting | PA | 1984 | 30,574 | 79.9 | % | 457 | 20.28 |
| 140 West Germantown Pike | (e) | Plymouth Meeting | PA | 1984 | 25,357 | 100.0 | % | 531 | 24.64 |
| 200 Radnor Chester Road | | Radnor | PA | 2014 | 17,884 | 100.0 | % | 875 | 52.85 |
| SUBTOTAL - PENNSYLVANIA SUBURBS SEGMENT | | | | | 5,888,576 | 94.1 | % | \$133,247 | \$ 26.78 |
| PHILADELPHIA CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT SEGMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| 1717 Arch Street | | Philadelphia | PA | 1990 | 1,029,413 | 100.0 | % | \$28,122 | \$ 31.47 |
| Two Commerce Square | | Philadelphia | PA | 1992 | 953,276 | 96.7 | % | 17,303 | 27.22 |
| One Commerce Square | | Philadelphia | PA | 1987 | 942,866 | 97.7 | % | 16,546 | 27.04 |
| 2970 Market Street | (e) | Philadelphia | PA | 2010 | 862,692 | 100.0 | % | 19,543 | 31.51 |
| 2929 Arch Street | (-) | Philadelphia | PA | 2005 | 730,187 | 100.0 | % | 25,971 | 37.81 |
| 100 North 18th Street | (f) | Philadelphia | PA | 1988 | 708,844 | 98.1 | % | 18,039 | 23.56 |
| 130 North 18th Street | (1) | Philadelphia | PA | 1989 | 595,041 | 96.1 | % | 12,700 | 25.79 |
| 130 Worth Toth Street | (h), | Timaceipina | 1 / 1 | 1707 | 373,011 | 70.1 | 70 | 12,700 | 23.17 |
| 101 - 103 Juniper Street | (j) | Philadelphia | | 2011 | - | 0.0 | % | - | - |
| 129 South 30th Street | (k) | | PA | 2010 | 9,788 | 100.0 | % | 207 | 24.55 |
| 3020 Market Street | (d) | Philadelphia | PA | 2008 | 190,925 | 100.0 | % | 4,505 | 25.92 |
| Philadelphia Marine Center | (d), (h) | Philadelphia | PA | Various | 181,900 | 100.0 | % | 624 | 5.20 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL - PHILADELPHIA CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT | | | | | 6,204,932 | 98.5 | % | \$ 143,560 | \$ 28.57 |
| METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON D.C. SEGMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| 1676 International Drive | | McLean | VA | 1999 | 299,387 | 98.9 | % | \$10,803 | \$ 37.92 |
| 2340 Dulles Corner Boulevard | | Herndon | VA | 1987 | 264,405 | 100.0 | % | 7,989 | 35.42 |
| 2291 Wood Oak Drive | | Herndon | VA | 1999 | 230,389 | 100.0 | % | 7,358 | 35.51 |
| 1900 Gallows Road | | Vienna | VA | 1989 | 210,632 | 83.4 | % | 4,978 | 31.19 |
| 3141 Fairview Park Drive | (i) | Falls Church | VA | 1988 | 183,618 | 92.7 | % | 4,917 | 27.47 |
| | (1) | | | | • | | | • | |
| 2411 Dulles Corner Park | | Herndon | VA | 1990 | 179,045 | 77.1 | % | 2,418 | 16.20 |
| 2355 Dulles Corner Boulevard | | Herndon | VA | 1988 | 179,176 | 77.0 | % | 4,135 | 33.70 |

| 2121 Cooperative Way | | Herndon | VA | 2000 | 162,578 | 9 | 4.0 | % | 3,957 | 16.98 | |
|---------------------------|-----|----------|----|------|---------|---|------|---|-------|-------|--|
| 6600 Rockledge Drive | (d) | Bethesda | MD | 1981 | 160,173 | 1 | 0.00 | % | 3,576 | 17.69 | |
| 8260 Greensboro Drive | | McLean | VA | 1980 | 158,961 | 7 | 6.9 | % | 3,315 | 27.94 | |
| 2251 Corporate Park Drive | | Herndon | VA | 2000 | 158,016 | 1 | 0.00 | % | 5,330 | 34.85 | |
| 34 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | Location | State | Year Built/ Renovated | Net Rentable Square Feet | Percenta Leased as of Decemb 31, 2015 (a) | er | Total Base Rent for the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2015 (b) (000's) | 31, 2015 |
|---|-----|-------------|-------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------|---|----------|
| 12015 Lee Jackson Memorial Highway | (e) | Fairfax | VA | 1985 | 153,255 | 72.6 | % | 3,458 | 30.00 |
| 13880 Dulles Corner Lane | | Herndon | VA | 1997 | 151,853 | 96.0 | % | 3,802 | 24.39 |
| 8521 Leesburg Pike | | Vienna | VA | 1984 | 150,897 | 94.4 | % | 3,676 | 27.26 |
| 2273 Research Boulevard | | Rockville | MD | 1999 | 147,689 | 87.4 | % | | 25.22 |
| 2275 Research Boulevard | | Rockville | MD | 1990 | 147,650 | 71.6 | % | • | 26.73 |
| 2201 Cooperative Way | | Herndon | VA | 1990 | 128,173 | 91.5 | % | 3,050 | 28.87 |
| 2277 Research Boulevard | | Rockville | MD | 1986 | 138,095 | 85.2 | % | 3,179 | 22.34 |
| 11781 Lee Jackson Memorial | | | | | | | | | |
| Highway | (e) | Fairfax | VA | 1982 | 130,935 | 79.8 | % | 2,370 | 22.82 |
| 11720 Beltsville Drive | | Beltsville | MD | 1987 | 128,903 | 51.2 | % | 1,510 | 24.62 |
| 13825 Sunrise Valley Drive | | Herndon | VA | 1989 | 103,967 | 96.0 | % | 2,297 | 24.88 |
| 198 Van Buren Street | | Herndon | VA | 1996 | 98,934 | 85.8 | % | 2,310 | 27.01 |
| 196 Van Buren Street | | Herndon | VA | 1991 | 98,291 | 100.0 | % | 2,575 | 29.51 |
| 11700 Beltsville Drive | | Beltsville | MD | 1981 | 96,843 | 72.1 | % | 1,839 | 26.21 |
| 11710 Beltsville Drive | | Beltsville | MD | 1987 | 81,281 | 33.7 | % | 520 | 20.59 |
| 4401 Fair Lakes Court | (e) | Fairfax | VA | 1988 | 55,972 | 92.3 | % | 1,347 | 30.00 |
| 11740 Beltsville Drive | . , | Beltsville | MD | 1987 | 6,783 | 100.0 | % | | 25.52 |
| | | | | | , | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL - METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON D.C. SEGMENT | | | | | 4,005,901 | 87.0 | % | \$ 97,145 | \$ 28.37 |
| NEW JERSEY/DELAWARE SEGMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| 300 Delaware Avenue | | Wilmington | DE | 1989 | 298,071 | 80.9 | % | \$ 2,766 | \$ 15.32 |
| 920 North King Street | | Wilmington | | 1989 | 203,328 | 91.1 | % | | 19.51 |
| 700 East Gate Drive | (e) | Mt. Laurel | NJ | 1984 | 119,272 | 90.0 | % | | 22.47 |
| 1120 Executive Boulevard | . , | Mt. Laurel | NJ | 1987 | 95,183 | 100.0 | % | | 22.31 |
| 220 Lake Drive East | | Cherry Hill | NJ | 1988 | 78,509 | 94.7 | % | | 19.22 |
| 200 Lake Drive East | | Cherry Hill | NJ | 1989 | 76,352 | 92.4 | % | 1,105 | 27.76 |
| 701 East Gate Drive | (e) | Mt. Laurel | NJ | 1986 | 61,794 | 91.2 | % | 696 | 20.43 |
| 210 Lake Drive East | (0) | Cherry Hill | NJ | 1986 | 60,604 | 100.0 | % | 711 | 19.61 |
| 308 Harper Drive | (e) | Moorestown | | 1976 | 59,500 | 72.2 | % | 497 | 21.09 |
| 305 Fellowship Drive | ` ′ | Mt. Laurel | NJ | 1980 | 56,824 | 100.0 | % | 549 | 18.74 |
| 309 Fellowship Drive | | Mt. Laurel | NJ | 1982 | 55,911 | 93.0 | % | 718 | 22.77 |
| 307 Fellowship Drive | ` ′ | Mt. Laurel | NJ | 1981 | 54,485 | 80.9 | % | 475 | 20.56 |
| 303 Fellowship Drive | | Mt. Laurel | NJ | 1979 | 53,768 | 85.4 | % | | 14.01 |
| | | Gibbsboro | | | | | % % | | |
| 2 Foster Avenue | (g) | GIDDSDOIO | NJ | 1974 | 50,761 | 100.0 | % | 180 | 3.72 |

Edgar Filing: BRANDYWINE REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| Five Eves Drive | | Marlton | NJ | 1986 | 45,564 | 100.0 | % | 360 | 13.83 |
|-----------------------------------|-----|------------|----|------|-----------|-------|---|-----------|----------|
| 161 Gaither Drive | (e) | Mt. Laurel | NJ | 1987 | 44,739 | 72.7 | % | 339 | 22.78 |
| Main Street - Piazza | | Voorhees | NJ | 1990 | 44,708 | 100.0 | % | 718 | 25.16 |
| 20 East Clementon Road | | Gibbsboro | NJ | 1986 | 38,260 | 84.2 | % | 354 | 18.36 |
| Two Eves Drive | | Marlton | NJ | 1987 | 37,532 | 93.7 | % | 370 | 17.60 |
| Main Street - Promenade | | Voorhees | NJ | 1988 | 31,445 | 84.6 | % | 276 | 16.95 |
| Four B Eves Drive | | Marlton | NJ | 1987 | 27,011 | 0.6 | % | 129 | - |
| 815 East Gate Drive | (e) | Mt. Laurel | NJ | 1986 | 25,500 | 100.0 | % | 228 | 12.48 |
| 817 East Gate Drive | (e) | Mt. Laurel | NJ | 1986 | 25,351 | 80.7 | % | 234 | 15.30 |
| Four A Eves Drive | | Marlton | NJ | 1987 | 24,687 | 67.7 | % | 152 | 14.59 |
| 1 Foster Avenue | (g) | Gibbsboro | NJ | 1972 | 24,255 | 100.0 | % | 91 | 3.75 |
| 4 Foster Avenue | (g) | Gibbsboro | NJ | 1974 | 23,372 | 100.0 | % | 154 | 7.70 |
| 7 Foster Avenue | | Gibbsboro | NJ | 1983 | 22,158 | 100.0 | % | 205 | 16.92 |
| 10 Foster Avenue | | Gibbsboro | NJ | 1983 | 18,651 | 95.7 | % | 228 | 13.12 |
| 5 U.S. Avenue | (g) | Gibbsboro | NJ | 1987 | 5,000 | 100.0 | % | 32 | 6.37 |
| 50 East Clementon Road | | Gibbsboro | NJ | 1986 | 3,080 | 100.0 | % | 160 | 51.90 |
| 5 Foster Avenue | | Gibbsboro | NJ | 1968 | 2,000 | 100.0 | % | - | - |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL - NEW | | | | | | | | | |
| JERSEY/DELAWARE | | | | | 1,767,675 | 88.3 | % | \$ 19,809 | \$ 18.29 |
| SEGMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| AUSTIN, TX SEGMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| 11501 Burnet Road - Building | | Austin | TX | 1991 | 203,210 | 100.0 | % | \$ 1,257 | \$ 15.02 |
| 1 | | | | | • | | | | |
| 11501 Burnet Road - Building | | Austin | TX | 1991 | 199,610 | 100.0 | % | 1,153 | 14.43 |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11501 Burnet Road - Building | | Austin | TX | 1991 | 199,559 | 100.0 | % | 1,234 | 15.02 |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11501 Burnet Road - Building | | Austin | TX | 1991 | 147,837 | 100.0 | % | 2,010 | 26.75 |
| 2 11501 Durmet Deed Duilding | | | | | | | | | |
| 11501 Burnet Road - Building 6 | | Austin | TX | 1991 | 144,818 | 100.0 | % | 836 | 14.43 |
| 11501 Burnet Road - Building | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | Austin | TX | 1991 | 141,434 | 100.0 | % | 875 | 15.02 |
| 4 11501 Burnet Road - Building | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | Austin | TX | 1991 | 75,768 | 100.0 | % | 469 | 15.02 |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL - AUSTIN, TX | | | | | | | | | |
| SEGMENT | | | | | 1,112,236 | 100.0 | % | \$7,834 | \$ 16.40 |
| OLOIVILI VI | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | | | | | | | | | |

| RICHMOND, VA SEGMENT (e) | | Location | State | Year Built/ Renovated | Net Rentable Square Feet | Percenta Leased as of December 31, 2015 (a) | er | Total Base Rent for the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2015 (b) (000's) | Average Annualized Rental Rate as of December 31, 2015 (c) |
|-----------------------------|-----|---------------|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------|---|--|
| 300 Arboretum Place | | Richmond | VΔ | 1988 | 212,228 | 100.0 | 0% | \$3,349 | \$ 16.42 |
| 6800 Paragon Place | | Richmond | | 1986 | 146,365 | 97.1 | % | 2,215 | 18.17 |
| 6802 Paragon Place | | Richmond | | 1989 | 140,303 | 100.0 | % | 2,469 | 17.90 |
| 7501 Boulders View Drive | | Richmond | | 1990 | 136,654 | 100.0 | % | 2,409 | 18.04 |
| 2511 Brittons Hill Road | (a) | Richmond | | 1987 | 132,548 | 100.0 | % | 648 | 6.75 |
| 2100-2116 West Laburnam | (g) | Kiciiiioiid | V A | 1907 | 132,340 | 100.0 | 70 | 040 | 0.75 |
| Avenue | | Richmond | VA | 1976 | 127,714 | 100.0 | % | 1,829 | 16.16 |
| 7300 Beaufont Springs | | Richmond | VA | 2000 | 120,665 | 100.0 | % | 1,646 | 21.27 |
| Drive | | Richmond | | 1994 | · | 84.4 | | | 15.66 |
| 1025 Boulders Parkway | (~) | | | | 93,143 | | % % | 1,211 494 | 8.66 |
| 2201-2245 Tomlynn Street | (g) | Richmond | ٧A | 1989 | 85,861 | 95.0 | % | 494 | 8.00 |
| 7401 Beaufont Springs Drive | | Richmond | VA | 1998 | 82,732 | 92.8 | % | 1,035 | 16.01 |
| 7325 Beaufont Springs | | Richmond | VA | 1999 | 75,218 | 82.5 | % | 779 | 21.74 |
| Drive | | | | 2005 | | | | | |
| 6806 Paragon Place | | Richmond | | 2007 | 74,480 | 100.0 | % | 1,620 | 24.56 |
| 9011 Arboretum Parkway | | Richmond | VA | 1991 | 73,183 | 100.0 | % | 887 | 15.66 |
| 4870 Sadler Road | | Glen Allen | VA | 2000 | 62,100 | 100.0 | % | 1,301 | 21.59 |
| 4880 Sadler Road | | Glen Allen | VA | 1998 | 63,427 | 100.0 | % | 1,280 | 21.38 |
| 4805 Lake Brooke Drive | | Glen Allen | VA | 1996 | 60,208 | 77.0 | % | 727 | 18.21 |
| 9100 Arboretum Parkway | | Richmond | VA | 1988 | 58,446 | 100.0 | % | 891 | 16.14 |
| 2812 Emerywood Parkway | | Henrico | VA | 1980 | 56,984 | 84.6 | % | 665 | 11.49 |
| 4364 South Alston Avenue | | Durham | NC | 1985 | 57,245 | 95.6 | % | 956 | 17.76 |
| 2277 Dabney Road | (g) | Richmond | VA | 1986 | 50,400 | 100.0 | % | 321 | 8.64 |
| 9200 Arboretum Parkway | | Richmond | VA | 1988 | 49,542 | 100.0 | % | 674 | 12.75 |
| 9210 Arboretum Parkway | | Richmond | VA | 1988 | 48,012 | 100.0 | % | 536 | 11.02 |
| 2212-2224 Tomlynn Street | (g) | Richmond | VA | 1985 | 45,353 | 100.0 | % | 351 | 8.87 |
| 2221-2245 Dabney Road | (g) | Richmond | VA | 1994 | 45,250 | 100.0 | % | 319 | 9.39 |
| 2251 Dabney Road | (g) | Richmond | VA | 1983 | 42,000 | 100.0 | % | 213 | 6.18 |
| 2161-2179 Tomlynn Street | (g) | Richmond | VA | 1985 | 41,550 | 100.0 | % | 246 | 7.03 |
| 2256 Dabney Road | (g) | Richmond | VA | 1982 | 33,413 | 100.0 | % | 213 | 9.17 |
| 2246 Dabney Road | (g) | Richmond | VA | 1987 | 33,271 | 100.0 | % | 277 | 10.55 |
| 2244 Dabney Road | (g) | Richmond | | 1993 | 33,050 | 100.0 | % | 274 | 10.38 |
| 9211 Arboretum Parkway | | Richmond | VA | 1991 | 30,791 | 100.0 | % | 345 | 14.29 |
| 2248 Dabney Road | | Richmond | | 1989 | 30,184 | 100.0 | % | 238 | 10.31 |
| 2130-2146 Tomlynn Street | (g) | Richmond | VA | 1988 | 29,700 | 100.0 | % | 204 | 9.44 |

| 2120 Tomlyn Street | (g) Richmond | VA | 1986 | 23,850 | 100.0 | % | 179 | 10.47 |
|---------------------|--------------|----|------|--------------|-------|----|--------------------------------|----------|
| 2240 Dabney Road | (g) Richmond | VA | 1984 | 15,389 | 100.0 | % | 127 | 15.37 |
| • | | | | | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL - | | | | | | | | |
| RICHMOND, VA | | | | 2,414,739 | 97.2 | % | \$30,697 | \$ 15.12 |
| SEGMENT | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| CALIFORNIA SEGMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland Lot B | (h) Oakland | CA | N/A | | | | \$- | \$ - |
| 1220 Concord Avenue | Concord | CA | 1984 | 175,153 | 100.0 | % | 4,204 | 27.16 |
| 1200 Concord Avenue | Concord | CA | 1984 | 175,103 | 100.0 | % | 4,426 | 28.42 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL - | | | | 250.256 | 100.0 | 01 | ΦΩ (20 | Φ 27.70 |
| CALIFORNIA SEGMENT | | | | 350,256 | 100.0 | % | \$8,630 | \$ 27.79 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL PORTFOLIO | | | | 21,744,315 | 94.3 | % | \$440,922 | \$ 25.06 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ASSETS HELD FOR | | | | (4.505.455.) | 04.0 | 01 | Φ.(7 2.1.(7 .) | ф 20.12 |
| SALE | | | | (4,787,475) | 94.2 | % | \$(73,167) | \$ 20.13 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL CORE | | | | 16056040 | 04.4 | 01 | 4267.755 | Φ 26 44 |
| PORTFOLIO | | | | 16,956,840 | 94.4 | % | \$367,755 | \$ 26.44 |

- (a) Calculated by dividing net rentable square feet included in leases signed on or before December 31, 2015 at the property by the aggregate net rentable square feet of the property.
- (b) "Total Base Rent" for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 represents base rents earned during such period, including tenant reimbursements, and excluding parking income, tenant inducements and deferred market rent adjustments, calculated in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., determined on a straight-line basis.
- (c) "Average Annualized Rental Rate" is calculated by taking the sum of the annualized current base rent as of December 31, 2015 plus the annualized current billable operating expense reimbursements excluding tenant electricity divided by the total square feet occupied as of December 31, 2015.
- (d) These properties are subject to a ground lease with a third party.
- (e) These properties were held for sale at December 31, 2015.
- (f) We hold our interest in Two Logan Square (100 North 18th Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania) through our ownership of second and third mortgages that are secured by this property and that are junior to a first mortgage held by a third party lender. Our ownership of these two mortgages currently provides us with all of the cash flows from Two Logan Square after the payment of operating expenses and debt service on the first mortgage.

- (g) These properties are industrial facilities, of which six properties are included in our core portfolio. The industrial properties in the Richmond, Virginia segment are held for sale as of December 31, 2015.
- (h) These properties are mixed-use.
- (i) We contributed this property to an unconsolidated real estate venture. However, we continue to consolidate this property due to our continuing involvement resulting from our ongoing lease of space, and our 50% ownership interest in the real estate venture.
- (j) This is a 220-space parking garage facility.
- (k) This is a 1,662-space parking garage facility which has an in place lease with the IRS for 1,200 spaces. The following table shows information regarding rental rates and lease expirations for the Properties at December 31, 2015 and assumes that none of the tenants exercises renewal options or termination rights, if any, at or prior to scheduled expirations:

| | | | | | Percentage | , | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------------|---------------|------------|------------|---|----------|----|
| | | | | Final | of Total | | | |
| | Number | Rentable | Final | Annualized | Final | | | |
| | of | Square | Annualized | Base Rent | Annualized | d | | |
| | Leases | Footage | Base Rent | Per Square | Base Rent | | | |
| | Expiring | Subject to | Under | Foot | Under | | | |
| Year of Lease Expiration December | Within | Expiring | Expiring | Expiring | Expiring | | Cumulati | ve |
| 31, | the Year | Leases | Leases (a) | Leases | Leases | | Total | |
| 2015 (b) | 25 | 22,587 | \$359,652 | \$ 15.92 | 0.1 | % | 0.1 | % |
| 2016 | 186 | 1,591,492 | 34,012,699 | 21.37 | 5.8 | % | 5.9 | % |
| 2017 | 238 | 2,942,069 | 68,757,288 | 23.37 | 11.7 | % | 17.6 | % |
| 2018 | 221 | 2,029,307 | 59,794,589 | 29.47 | 10.2 | % | 27.9 | % |
| 2019 | 181 | 1,749,449 | 54,326,521 | 31.05 | 9.3 | % | 37.1 | % |
| 2020 | 175 | 2,441,100 | 62,676,298 | 25.68 | 10.7 | % | 47.8 | % |
| 2021 | 115 | 1,364,966 | 38,905,376 | 28.50 | 6.6 | % | 54.5 | % |
| 2022 | 72 | 1,981,625 | 57,534,721 | 29.03 | 9.8 | % | 64.3 | % |
| 2023 | 56 | 724,643 | 21,097,698 | 29.11 | 3.6 | % | 67.9 | % |
| 2024 | 38 | 855,444 | 32,940,239 | 38.51 | 5.6 | % | 73.5 | % |
| 2025 | 23 | 506,751 | 17,955,368 | 35.43 | 3.1 | % | 76.6 | % |
| 2026 and thereafter | 72 | 4,636,846 | 137,329,478 | 29.62 | 23.4 | % | 100.0 | % |
| | 1,402 | 20,846,279 | \$585,689,927 | \$ 28.10 | 100.0 | % | | |

- (a) "Final Annualized Base Rent" for each lease scheduled to expire represents the cash rental rate of base rents, including tenant reimbursements, in the final month prior to expiration multiplied by 12. Tenant reimbursements generally include payment of a portion of real estate taxes, operating expenses and common area maintenance and utility charges.
- (b) Relates to existing month-to-month tenancy leases and to expired leases, which converted to month-to-month tenancies until a written notice to vacate is provided by us or until a new lease agreement is agreed upon with the tenant.

At December 31, 2015, our Properties were leased to 1,245 tenants that are engaged in a variety of businesses. The following table sets forth information regarding leases at the Properties with the 20 tenants having the largest amounts of space leased based upon Annualized Base Rent as of December 31, 2015:

| Tenant Name (a) | Number of Leases | Weighted Average Remaining Lease Term Months | Aggregate Leased Square Feet | Percentago of Aggregat Leased Square Feet | | Annualized Base Rent (in 000) (b) | Percenta of Aggrega Annuali Base Rent | ate |
|---|---------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|----|--|--|-----|
| General Services | | | | | 64 | | | 64 |
| Administration — U.S. Govt. (| c) ¹³ | 157 | 1,436,084 | 6.9 | % | \$33,498 | 6.4 | % |
| IBM, Inc. | 1 | 11 | 964,399 | 4.6 | % | 12,194 | 2.3 | % |
| Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. | 10 | 20 | 423,028 | 2.0 | % | 11,765 | 2.2 | % |
| Comcast Corporation | 4 | 42 | 418,029 | 2.0 | % | 11,515 | 2.2 | % |
| Northrup Grumman Corporation | 2 | 24 | 284,460 | 1.4 | % | 9,736 | 1.9 | % |
| Pepper Hamilton LLP | 2 | 141 | 292,926 | 1.4 | % | 9,227 | 1.8 | % |
| Dechert LLP | 1 | 53 | 218,565 | 1.0 | % | 7,872 | 1.5 | % |
| Lincoln National Management Co. | 1 | 55 | 221,659 | 1.1 | % | 7,832 | 1.5 | % |
| KPMG LLP | 2 | 102 | 175,423 | 0.8 | % | 6,693 | 1.3 | % |
| Macquarie US | 1 | 55 | 223,355 | 1.1 | % | 6,168 | 1.2 | % |
| Deltek Systems, Inc. | 1 | 80 | 157,900 | 0.8 | % | 5,809 | 1.1 | % |
| Blank Rome | 1 | 73 | 196,689 | 0.9 | % | 5,395 | 1.0 | % |
| Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP | 1 | 166 | 157,989 | 0.8 | % | 5,372 | 1.0 | % |
| Janney Montgomery Scott, LLC | 3 | 141 | 160,544 | 0.8 | % | 4,714 | 0.9 | % |
| PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP | 1 | 172 | 161,450 | 0.8 | % | 4,706 | 0.9 | % |
| Reliance Standard Life Insurance Company | 2 | 70 | 147,202 | 0.7 | % | 4,396 | 0.8 | % |
| CSL Behring LLC | 3 | 151 | 191,654 | 0.9 | % | 4,338 | 0.8 | % |
| VWR Management Services LLC | 1 | 108 | 149,858 | 0.7 | % | 4,238 | 0.8 | % |
| Reed Smith LLP | 1 | 171 | 129,966 | 0.6 | % | 4,030 | 0.8 | % |
| State of Texas - Health and Human Services | 1 | 130 | 147,837 | 0.7 | % | 3,955 | 0.8 | % |
| Consolidated Total/Weighted Average | 52 | 90 | 6,259,017 | 30.0 | % | \$ 163,453 | 31.2 | % |

⁽a) The identified tenant includes affiliates in certain circumstances.

Real Estate Ventures

⁽b) Annualized Base Rent represents the monthly base rent, excluding tenant reimbursements, for each lease in effect at December 31, 2015 multiplied by 12. Tenant reimbursements generally include payment of a portion of real estate taxes, operating expenses and common area maintenance and utility charges.

⁽c) At year end, Cira Square, located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, was held for sale. The property consists of 862,692 square feet and was 100% leased by the GSA. This lease has annualized base rent of \$24.4 million, which consists of 4.6% of annualized base rent.

As of December 31, 2015, we held ownership interests in 16 unconsolidated Real Estate Ventures for an aggregate investment balance of \$239.9 million, of which \$241.0 million is included in net assets and \$1.1 million is included in other liabilities relating to the negative investment balance of one real estate venture. We formed or acquired interests in these Real Estate Ventures with unaffiliated third parties to develop or manage office, residential, and/or mixed-use properties or to acquire land in anticipation of possible development of office, residential, and/or mixed-use properties. As of December 31, 2015, nine of the real estate ventures owned 31 office buildings that contain an aggregate of approximately 4.3 million net rentable square feet; two real estate ventures owned 4.3 acres of undeveloped parcels of land; three real estate ventures owned 2.2 acres of land under active development; one real estate venture owned a residential tower that contains 345 apartment units and one real estate venture owned an apartment complex that contains 398 units.

We account for our investments in these Real Estate Ventures using the equity method. For further information regarding Real Estate Ventures, see Note 4, "Investment in Unconsolidated Ventures," of our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are involved from time to time in legal proceedings, including tenant disputes, employee disputes, disputes arising out of agreements to purchase or sell properties and disputes relating to state and local taxes. We generally consider these disputes to be routine to the conduct of our business and management believes that the final outcome of such proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The common shares of Brandywine Realty Trust are traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "BDN." There is no established trading market for units of partnership interests in the Operating Partnership. On February 19, 2016, there were 635 holders of record of our common shares and 30 holders of record (in addition to Brandywine Realty Trust) of Class A units of the Operating Partnership. On February 19, 2016, the last reported sales price of the common shares on the NYSE was \$12.15. The following table sets forth the quarterly high and low sales price per common share reported on the NYSE for the indicated periods and the distributions paid by us with respect to each such period.

| | Share | Share | Distributions |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------------|
| | Price | Price | Paid During |
| | High | Low | Quarter |
| First Quarter 2014 | \$14.97 | \$13.77 | \$ 0.15 |
| Second Quarter 2014 | \$15.77 | \$13.83 | \$ 0.15 |
| Third Quarter 2014 | \$16.29 | \$14.07 | \$ 0.15 |
| Fourth Quarter 2014 | \$16.08 | \$13.97 | \$ 0.15 |
| First Quarter 2015 | \$17.00 | \$14.81 | \$ 0.15 |
| Second Quarter 2015 | \$16.10 | \$13.28 | \$ 0.15 |
| Third Quarter 2015 | \$13.99 | \$11.72 | \$ 0.15 |
| Fourth Quarter 2015 | \$13.87 | \$12.18 | \$ 0.15 |

For each quarter in 2015 and 2014, the Operating Partnership paid a cash distribution per Class A unit in an amount equal to the dividend paid on a common share for each such quarter.

In order to maintain the status of Brandywine Realty Trust as a REIT, we must make annual distributions to shareholders of at least 90% of our taxable income (not including net capital gains). Future distributions will be declared at the discretion of our Board of Trustees and will depend on our actual cash flow, financial condition and capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and such other factors as our Board of Trustees deems relevant. Our credit facilities contain certain restrictions on the payment of dividends. Those restrictions permit us to pay dividends to the greater of (i) an aggregate amount required by us to retain our qualification as a REIT and (ii) 95% of our funds from operations. See Note 7, "Debt Obligations," to our Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.

Our Board of Trustees has adopted a dividend policy designed such that our distributions are consistent with our normalized taxable income for 2015. On December 8, 2015, our Board of Trustees declared a quarterly dividend distribution of \$0.15 per common share that was paid on January 20, 2016. On December 9, 2014, our Board of Trustees declared a quarterly dividend distribution of \$0.15 per common share that was paid on January 20, 2015.

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2015, with respect to compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which our common shares are authorized for issuance:

| | (a) | (b) | (c) Number of securities remaining available for |
|--|---------------|-------------------|--|
| | Number of | | future |
| | securities to | | issuance |
| | be issued | | under equity |
| | upon | | compensation |
| | exercise of | Weighted-average | plans |
| | outstanding | exercise price of | (excluding |
| | options, | outstanding | securities |
| | warrants | options, warrants | reflected in |
| Plan category | and rights | and rights | column (a)) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (1) | 2,624,067 | \$ 15.47 | 4,295,559 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | _ | _ |
| Total | 2,624,067 | \$ 15.47 | 4,295,559 |

(1) Relates to our Amended and Restated 1997 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "1997 Plan") and 46,667 options awarded prior to adoption of the 1997 Plan. Under the 1997 Plan, as amended, the number of common shares remaining available for awards under the 1997 Plan was 4,295,559 as of December 31, 2015.

We maintain a share repurchase program under which the Board of Trustees has authorized us to repurchase common shares of Brandywine Realty Trust with no expiration date. On July 22, 2015, our Board of Trustees authorized additional share repurchases of up to \$100.0 million. Prior to the authorization, 539,200 common shares were available for repurchase under the preexisting share repurchase program. During the year ended December 31, 2015, 5,209,437 common shares have been repurchased and retired at an average purchase price of \$12.90 per share, totaling \$67.3 million.

SHARE PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The SEC requires us to present a chart comparing the cumulative total shareholder return on the common shares with the cumulative total shareholder return of (i) a broad equity index and (ii) a published industry or peer group index. The following chart compares the cumulative total shareholder return for the common shares with the cumulative shareholder return of companies on (i) the S&P 500 Index (ii) the Russell 2000 and (iii) the NAREIT ALL-REIT Total Return Index as provided by NAREIT for the period beginning December 31, 2010 and ending December 31, 2015 and assumes an investment of \$100, with reinvestment of all dividends, has been made in the common shares and in each index on December 31, 2010.

| | Year End | led | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Index | 12/31/20 | 102/31/2011 | 12/31/2012 | 12/31/2013 | 12/31/2014 | 12/31/2015 |
| Brandywine Realty Trust | 100.00 | 86.46 | 117.02 | 141.45 | 167.25 | 149.14 |
| S&P 500 | 100.00 | 102.11 | 118.45 | 156.82 | 178.28 | 180.75 |
| Russell 2000 | 100.00 | 95.82 | 111.49 | 154.78 | 162.35 | 155.18 |
| NAREIT All Equity REIT Index | 100.00 | 108.28 | 129.62 | 133.32 | 170.68 | 175.51 |
| NAREIT Equity Office Index | 100.00 | 99.24 | 113.29 | 119.60 | 150.52 | 150.96 |

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected financial and operating data and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The selected data have been revised to reflect disposition of all properties since January 1, 2011, which have been reclassified as discontinued operations for all periods presented in accordance with the accounting standard governing discontinued operations.

Brandywine Realty Trust

(in thousands, except per common share data and number of properties)

| Years Ended December 31, | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Operating Results | | | | | |
| Total revenue | \$602,631 | \$596,982 | \$562,210 | \$535,679 | \$538,568 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (30,740) | 6,024 | 38,982 | (37,309) | (= 1,000 |
| Net income (loss) | (30,740) | 6,942 | 43,189 | 6,529 | (4,715) |
| Income (loss) allocated to Common Shares | (37,630) | (274) | 35,514 | (8,238) | (12,996) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations per | | | | | |
| Common Share | | | | | |
| Basic | \$(0.21) | \$(0.01) | \$0.20 | \$(0.36) | \$(0.24) |
| Diluted | \$(0.21) | \$(0.01) | \$0.20 | \$(0.36) | \$(0.24) |
| Earnings (loss) per Common Share | | | | | |
| Basic | \$(0.21) | _ | \$0.23 | \$(0.06) | \$(0.10) |
| Diluted | \$(0.21) | _ | \$0.23 | \$(0.06) | \$(0.10) |
| Cash distributions paid per Common Share | \$0.60 | \$0.60 | \$0.60 | \$0.60 | \$0.60 |
| Balance Sheet Data | | | | | |
| Real estate investments, net of accumulated | ¢2.225.427 | ¢2.927.926 | Φ2.052.00 <i>C</i> | ¢2.022.002 | ¢ 4 0C1 4C1 |
| depreciation | \$3,225,427 | \$3,827,826 | \$3,853,006 | \$3,922,893 | \$4,061,461 |
| Total assets (a) | 4,554,511 | 4,835,210 | 4,741,615 | 4,479,993 | 4,532,627 |
| Total indebtedness (a) | 2,384,717 | 2,427,345 | 2,571,901 | 2,438,614 | 2,368,904 |
| Total liabilities (a) | 2,602,420 | 2,675,884 | 2,820,180 | 2,706,477 | 2,642,931 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 18,166 | 18,499 | 21,215 | 21,238 | 33,105 |
| Brandywine Realty Trust's equity | 1,933,925 | 2,140,827 | 1,900,220 | 1,752,278 | 1,856,591 |
| Other Data | | | | | |
| Cash flows from: | | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$195,099 | \$188,999 | \$183,484 | \$159,110 | \$177,247 |
| Investing activities | (166,452) | (270,785) | 104,708 | (74,864) | (46,163) |
| Financing activities | (229,455) | 76,081 | (26,534) | (83,107) | (147,239) |
| Funds from operations (FFO) (b) | 261,793 | 227,662 | 210,373 | 170,533 | 203,396 |
| Property Data | | , | , | , <u> </u> | |
| Number of properties owned at year end | 179 | 200 | 204 | 221 | 232 |
| Net rentable square feet owned at year end | 23,015 | 25,083 | 24,765 | 25,079 | 25,221 |
| 1 | , | , | , | , | , |

Brandywine Operating Partnership, L.P.

(in thousands, except per common partnership unit data and number of properties)

| Years Ended December 31, | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Operating Results | | | | | |
| Total revenue | \$602,631 | \$596,982 | \$562,210 | \$535,679 | \$538,568 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (30,740) | 6,024 | 38,982 | (37,309) | (24,556) |
| Net income (loss) | (30,740) | 6,942 | 43,189 | 6,529 | (4,715) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations per | | | | | |
| Common Partnership Unit | | | | | |
| Basic | \$(0.21) | \$(0.01) | \$0.20 | \$(0.36) | \$(0.23) |
| Diluted | \$(0.21) | \$(0.01) | \$0.20 | \$(0.36) | \$(0.23) |
| Income (loss) per Common Partnership Units | | | | | |
| Basic | \$(0.21) | · | \$0.23 | \$(0.06) | \$(0.09) |
| Diluted | \$(0.21) | | \$0.23 | \$(0.06) | \$(0.09) |
| Cash distributions paid per Common Partnership | \$0.60 | \$0.60 | ¢0.60 | \$0.60 | \$0.60 |
| Unit | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.60 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Balance Sheet Data | | | | | |
| Real estate investments, net of accumulated | ¢2 225 427 | \$3,827,826 | \$3,853,006 | ¢2 022 902 | ¢ 4 061 461 |
| depreciation | \$3,225,427 | \$3,827,820 | \$3,833,000 | \$3,922,893 | \$4,061,461 |
| Total assets (a) | 4,554,511 | 4,835,210 | 4,741,615 | 4,479,993 | 4,532,627 |
| Total indebtedness (a) | 2,384,717 | 2,427,345 | | | |