ALLSTATE CORP	
Form 10-Q	
August 01, 2017	
UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20540	
Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q	
/X/ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13	OP 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	OK 13(u) OI
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2017	
OR	
[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 (	OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
For the transition period from to	
Commission file number 1-11840	
THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION	
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)	26 2071521
Delaware (State and Laries Listing of State and State an	36-3871531 (IRS Formulation Man)
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
2775 Sanders Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062	
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)	
(847) 402-5000	
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all	
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 mon	ths (or for such shorter period that the registrant was
required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such	filing requirements for the past 90 days.
Yes X No	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted el	* *
any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and p	
(§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or	for such shorter period that the registrant was required
to submit and post such files).	
Yes X No	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accele	
smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company.	
filer," "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth cor	- ·
Large accelerated filer X	Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting	ng company) Smaller reporting company
	Emerging growth company
If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the	
period for complying with any new or revised financial accour	nting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the
Exchange Act	-
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell compa Yes No X	ny (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
As of July 17, 2017, the registrant had 361,359,566 common s	hares, \$.01 par value, outstanding.
	-

The Allstate Corporation Index to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q June 30, 2017

Part I	Financial Information	Page
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three-Month and Six-Month Periods Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited)  Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three-Month and Six-Month Periods Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited)	<u>1</u> <u>2</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as of June 30, 2017 (unaudited) and December 31, 2016	<u>3</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Six-Month Periods Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited)	<u>4</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six-Month Periods Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited)	<u>5</u>
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>6</u> <u>46</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	
	Overview Consolidated Net Income Property-Liability Results Allstate Protection Segment Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade Discontinued Lines and Coverages Segment Allstate Financial Segment Allstate Life Allstate Benefits Allstate Annuities Investments Capital Resources and Liquidity Forward-Looking Statements	47 49 50 54 62 69 73 77 78 80 81 84 86 89 98 103
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>103</u>
Item 1.  Item 1A.  Item 2.	Other Information Legal Proceedings  Risk Factors  Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	104 104 104
<u>item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>105</u>

Part I. Financial Information Item 1. Financial Statements The Allstate Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(\$ in millions, except per share data)	ended June 30, 2017 2016		Six mont June 30, 2017 (unaudite	2016
Revenues				
Property-liability insurance premiums	\$8,018	\$7,814	\$15,977	\$15,537
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges	591	564	1,184	1,130
Net investment income	897	762	1,645	1,493
Realized capital gains and losses:				
Total other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses	(47)	(77)	(109)	(168)
OTTI losses reclassified to (from) other comprehensive income				8
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings				(160)
Sales and other realized capital gains and losses	131	103	324	35
Total realized capital gains and losses	81	24	215	(125)
Total Tealized capital gains and losses	9,587	9,164	19,021	18,035
Costs and avmanas	9,307	9,104	19,021	16,033
Costs and expenses	F (00	5 001	11 105	11 505
Property-liability insurance claims and claims expense	5,689	5,901	11,105	11,585
Life and annuity contract benefits	486	454	960	909
Interest credited to contractholder funds	175	185	348	375
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	1,176	1,126	2,345	2,255
Operating costs and expenses	1,086	1,040	2,183	2,022
Restructuring and related charges	53	11	63	16
Interest expense	83	72	168	145
	8,748	8,789	17,172	17,307
Gain on disposition of operations	12	1	14	3
Income from operations before income tax expense	851	376	1,863	731
Income tax expense	272	105	589	214
Net income	579	271	1,274	517
Preferred stock dividends	29	29	58	58
Net income applicable to common shareholders	\$550	\$242	\$1,216	\$459
Famings non common shares				
Earnings per common share:	φ1. <b>7</b> 1	Φ0.65	Ф2.24	ф 1 00
Net income applicable to common shareholders per common share - Basic	\$1.51	\$0.65	\$3.34	\$1.22
Weighted average common shares - Basic	363.6	373.6	364.6	375.8
Net income applicable to common shareholders per common share - Diluted	\$1.49	\$0.64	\$3.29	\$1.21
Weighted average common shares - Diluted	369.0	378.1	370.1	380.5
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$0.37	\$0.33	\$0.74	\$0.66

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

# The Allstate Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

	Three				
(Φ !·· ·································		ıs	Six months		
(\$ in millions)	ended	June	ended J	une 30,	
	30,				
	2017	2016	2017	2016	
	(unau	idited)	(unaud	ited)	
Net income	\$579	\$271	\$1,274	\$517	
Other comprehensive income, after-tax					
Changes in:					
Unrealized net capital gains and losses	270	424	473	1,004	
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	11	5	8	19	
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost	18	16	37	27	
Other comprehensive income, after-tax	299	445	518	1,050	
Comprehensive income	\$878	\$716	\$1,792	\$1,567	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

# The Allstate Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position

(\$ in millions, except par value data)	June 30, 2017	December 31, 2016	
Assets	(unaudited)		
Investments			
Fixed income securities, at fair value (amortized cost \$56,901 and \$56,576)	\$58,656	\$57,839	
Equity securities, at fair value (cost \$5,321 and \$5,157)	6,117	5,666	
Mortgage loans	4,336	4,486	
Limited partnership interests	6,206	5,814	
Short-term, at fair value (amortized cost \$2,175 and \$4,288)	2,175	4,288	
Other	3,815	3,706	
Total investments	81,305	81,799	
Cash	482	436	
Premium installment receivables, net	5,693	5,597	
Deferred policy acquisition costs	4,037	3,954	
Reinsurance recoverables, net	8,722	8,745	
Accrued investment income	573	567	
Property and equipment, net	1,072	1,065	
Goodwill	2,309	1,219	
Other assets	3,256	1,835	
Separate Accounts	3,416	3,393	
Total assets	\$110,865	\$108,610	$\mathbf{C}$
Liabilities			
Reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense	\$25,884	\$25,250	
Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits	12,234	12,239	
Contractholder funds	19,832	20,260	
Unearned premiums	13,024	12,583	
Claim payments outstanding	939	879	
Deferred income taxes	1,104	487	
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	6,583	6,599	
Long-term debt	6,348	6,347	
Separate Accounts	3,416	3,393	
Total liabilities	89,364	88,037	
Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (Note 11)			
Shareholders' equity			
Preferred stock and additional capital paid-in, \$1 par value, 25 million shares authorized, 72.2 thousand shares issued and outstanding, and \$1,805 aggregate liquidation preference	1,746	1,746	
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 2.0 billion shares authorized and 900 million issued, 361 million and 366 million shares outstanding	9	9	
Additional capital paid-in	3,269	3,303	
Retained income	41,622	40,678	
Deferred ESOP expense	(6)	(6	)
Treasury stock, at cost (539 million and 534 million shares)		(24,741	)
Accumulated other comprehensive income:	,		-
Unrealized net capital gains and losses:			
Unrealized net capital gains and losses on fixed income securities with OTTI	65	57	
Other unrealized net capital gains and losses	1,590	1,091	
Unrealized adjustment to DAC, DSI and insurance reserves		(95	)
Total unrealized net capital gains and losses	1,526	1,053	-

Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	(42	) (50
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost	(1,382	) (1,419 )
Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	102	(416)
Total shareholders' equity	21,501	20,573
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$110,865	\$108,610
See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.		

# The Allstate Corporate and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

(\$ in millions)	Six months ended		
	June 30, 2017 (unaudite	2016 ed)	
Preferred stock par value	\$—	<b>\$</b> —	
Preferred stock additional capital paid-in	1,746	1,746	
Common stock	9	9	
Additional capital paid-in			
Balance, beginning of period	3,303	3,245	
Forward contract on accelerated share repurchase agreement	(38		)
Equity incentive plans activity	4	10	
Balance, end of period	3,269	3,203	
Retained income			
Balance, beginning of period	40,678	39,413	
Net income	1,274	517	
Dividends on common stock	(272	) (249	)
Dividends on preferred stock	(58	) (58	)
Balance, end of period	41,622	39,623	
Deferred ESOP expense	(6	) (13	)
Treasury stock			
Balance, beginning of period	(24,741	) (23,620	)
Shares acquired	(646	) (829	)
Shares reissued under equity incentive plans, net	146	139	
Balance, end of period	(25,241	) (24,310	)
Accumulated other comprehensive income			
Balance, beginning of period	(416	) (755	)
Change in unrealized net capital gains and losses	473	1,004	
Change in unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	8	19	
Change in unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost	37	27	
Balance, end of period	102	295	
Total shareholders' equity	\$21,501	\$20,553	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

# The Allstate Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(\$ in millions)	Six months ended June 30, 2017 2016
Cash flows from operating activities Net income	(unaudited) \$1,274 \$517
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	
Depreciation, amortization and other non-cash items	238 188
Realized capital gains and losses	(215 ) 125
Gain on disposition of operations	(14) (3)
Interest credited to contractholder funds	348 375
Changes in:	
Policy benefits and other insurance reserves	228 577
Unearned premiums	34 62
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(65) (72)
Premium installment receivables, net	(51) (27)
Reinsurance recoverables, net	6 (120 )
Income taxes	(42 ) (176 )
Other operating assets and liabilities	(393 ) (88 )
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,348 1,358
Cash flows from investing activities	
Proceeds from sales	
Fixed income securities	14,521 12,589
Equity securities	3,430 2,487
Limited partnership interests	481 363
Other investments	118 144
Investment collections	
Fixed income securities	2,063 2,138
Mortgage loans	305 150
Other investments	337 168
Investment purchases	
Fixed income securities	(17,214) (12,947)
Equity securities	(3,473) (2,672)
Limited partnership interests	(578 ) (703 )
Mortgage loans	(148 ) (264 )
Other investments	(532 ) (449 )
Change in short-term investments, net	2,142 (669 )
Change in other investments, net	107 (39 )
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(146 ) (120 )
Acquisition of operations	(1,356) —
Net cash provided by investing activities	57 176
Cash flows from financing activities	
Repayments of long-term debt	<b>—</b> (16 )
Contractholder fund deposits	515 522
Contractholder fund withdrawals	(957) (1,013)
Dividends paid on common stock	(257 ) (240 )
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(58) (58)
Treasury stock purchases	(657 ) (904 )
Shares reissued under equity incentive plans, net	108 72

Excess tax benefits on share-based payment arrangements	_	20
Other	(53	) 34
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,359)	(1,583)
Net increase (decrease) in cash	46	(49)
Cash at beginning of period	436	495
Cash at end of period	\$482	\$ 446

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

The Allstate Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. General

Basis of presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of The Allstate Corporation (the "Corporation") and its wholly owned subsidiaries, primarily Allstate Insurance Company ("AIC"), a property-liability insurance company with various property-liability and life and investment subsidiaries, including Allstate Life Insurance Company ("ALIC") (collectively referred to as the "Company" or "Allstate"). These condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP").

The condensed consolidated financial statements and notes as of June 30, 2017 and for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 are unaudited. The condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods. These condensed consolidated financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. The results of operations for the interim periods should not be considered indicative of results to be expected for the full year. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Adopted accounting standards

**Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting** 

Effective January 1, 2017, the Company adopted new Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") guidance that amends the accounting for share-based payments on a prospective basis. Under the new guidance, reporting entities are required to recognize all tax effects related to share-based payments at settlement or expiration through the income statement and the requirement to delay recognition of certain tax benefits until they reduce current taxes payable is eliminated. The new guidance also permits employers to withhold shares issued in connection with an employee's exercise of options or the settlement of stock awards, up to the employee's maximum individual statutory tax rate, to meet tax withholding requirements without causing liability classification of the award. In addition, all tax-related cash flows resulting from share-based payments are reported as operating activities on the statement of cash flows whereas cash payments made to taxing authorities on an employee's behalf for withheld shares are presented as financing activities. The adoption of this guidance had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position on the date of adoption.

Transition to Equity Method Accounting

Effective January 1, 2017, the Company adopted new FASB guidance amending the accounting requirements for transitioning to the equity method of accounting ("EMA"), including a transition from the cost method. The guidance requires the cost of acquiring an additional interest in an investee to be added to the existing carrying value to establish the initial basis of the EMA investment. Under the new guidance, no retroactive adjustment is required when an investment initially qualifies for EMA treatment. The guidance is applied prospectively to investments that qualify for EMA after application of the cost method of accounting. Accordingly, the adoption of this guidance had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

Pending accounting standards

Revenue from Contracts with Customers

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance which revises the criteria for revenue recognition. Insurance contracts are excluded from the scope of the new guidance. Under the guidance, the transaction price is attributed to underlying performance obligations in the contract and revenue is recognized as the entity satisfies the performance obligations and transfers control of a good or service to the customer. Incremental costs of obtaining a contract may be capitalized to the extent the entity expects to recover those costs. The guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and is to be applied retrospectively. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of adoption, which is not expected to be material to the Company's results of operations or financial position. Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

In January 2016, the FASB issued guidance requiring equity investments, including equity securities and limited partnership interests, that are not accounted for under the equity method of accounting or result in consolidation to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income. Equity investments without readily determinable fair values may be measured at cost minus impairment, if any, plus or minus changes resulting from observable price changes in orderly transactions for the

identical or a similar investment of the same issuer. When a qualitative assessment of equity investments without readily determinable fair values indicates that impairment exists, the carrying value is required to be adjusted to fair value, if lower. The guidance clarifies that an entity should evaluate the realizability of a deferred tax asset related to available-for-sale fixed income securities in combination with the entity's other deferred tax assets. The guidance also changes certain disclosure requirements. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, and is to be applied through a cumulative-effect adjustment to beginning retained income as of the date of adoption. The new guidance related to equity investments without readily determinable fair values is applied prospectively as of the date of adoption. The most significant anticipated impacts, using values as of June 30, 2017, relate to the change in accounting for equity securities, where \$796 million of pre-tax unrealized net capital gains would be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to retained income, and cost method limited partnership interests (excluding limited partnership interests accounted for on a cost recovery basis), where the carrying value would increase by approximately \$202 million, pre-tax, with the offsetting adjustment recognized in retained income.

#### Accounting for Leases

In February 2016, the FASB issued guidance that revises the accounting for leases. Under the new guidance, lessees will be required to recognize a right-of-use asset and lease liability for all leases other than those that meet the definition of a short-term lease. The lease liability will be equal to the present value of lease payments. A right-of-use asset will be based on the lease liability adjusted for qualifying initial direct costs. The expense of operating leases under the new guidance will be recognized in the income statement on a straight-line basis after combining the lease expense components (interest expense on the lease liability and amortization of the right-of-use asset) over the term of the lease. For finance leases, the expense components are computed separately and produce greater up-front expense compared to operating leases as interest expense on the lease liability is higher in early years and the right-of-use asset is amortized on a straight-line basis consistent with operating leases. Lease classification will be based on criteria similar to those currently applied. The accounting model for lessors will be similar to the current model with modifications to reflect definition changes for components such as initial direct costs. Lessors will continue to classify leases as operating, direct financing, or sales-type. The guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018 using a modified retrospective approach applied at the beginning of the earliest period presented. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of adoption, which is not expected to be material to the Company's results of operations or financial position.

#### Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments

In June 2016, the FASB issued guidance which revises the credit loss recognition criteria for certain financial assets measured at amortized cost, including reinsurance recoverables. The new guidance replaces the existing incurred loss recognition model with an expected loss recognition model. The objective of the expected credit loss model is for the reporting entity to recognize its estimate of expected credit losses for affected financial assets in a valuation allowance deducted from the amortized cost basis of the related financial assets that results in presenting the net carrying value of the financial assets at the amount expected to be collected. The reporting entity must consider all available relevant information when estimating expected credit losses, including details about past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts over the life of an asset. Financial assets may be evaluated individually or on a pooled basis when they share similar risk characteristics. The measurement of credit losses for available-for-sale debt securities measured at fair value is not affected except that credit losses recognized are limited to the amount by which fair value is below amortized cost and the carrying value adjustment is recognized through a valuation allowance and not as a direct write-down. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2019, and for most affected instruments must be adopted using a modified retrospective approach, with a cumulative effect adjustment recorded to beginning retained income. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of adoption.

# Goodwill Impairment

In January 2017, the FASB issued guidance to simplify the accounting for goodwill impairment which removes the second step of the goodwill impairment test that requires a hypothetical purchase price allocation. Under the new guidance, goodwill impairment will be measured and recognized as the amount by which a reporting unit's carrying value, including goodwill, exceeds its fair value, not to exceed the carrying amount of goodwill allocated to the

reporting unit. The revised guidance does not affect a reporting entity's ability to first assess qualitative factors by reporting unit to determine whether to perform the quantitative goodwill impairment test. The guidance is effective for goodwill impairment tests in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted. The guidance is to be applied on a prospective basis, with the effects, if any, recognized in net income in the period of adoption. The impact to the Company upon adoption is dependent upon the excess, if any, of carrying value of the Company's reporting units, including goodwill, over their respective fair values, a measure that is not currently determinable.

Presentation of Net Periodic Pension and Postretirement Benefits Costs

In March 2017, the FASB issued guidance to improve the presentation of net periodic pension and postretirement benefits costs that requires the service cost component to be reported in operating expenses together with other employee compensation

costs and all other components of net periodic pension and postretirement benefits costs reported in non-operating expenses. If the reporting entity does not separately report operating and non-operating expenses on the statement of operations it is required to identify, on the statement of operations or in disclosures, the line items in which the components of net periodic pension and postretirement benefits costs are presented. The new guidance permits only the service cost component to be eligible for capitalization where applicable. The guidance is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and for interim periods within those annual periods. The guidance is to be applied on a prospective basis for capitalization of service costs where applicable and on a retrospective basis for the presentation of the service cost and other components of net periodic pension benefit costs in the statements of operations or in disclosures. The impact of adoption is not expected to be material to the Company's results of operations or financial position.

## 2. Earnings per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, including vested unissued participating restricted stock units. Diluted earnings per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding. For the Company, dilutive potential common shares consist of outstanding stock options and unvested non-participating restricted stock units and contingently issuable performance stock awards.

Three

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share is presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions, except per share data)	months ended June		Six morended Jan. 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Numerator:				
Net income	\$579	\$271	\$1,274	\$517
Less: Preferred stock dividends	29	29	58	58
Net income applicable to common shareholders (1)	\$550	\$242	\$1,216	\$459
Denominator: Weighted average common shares outstanding Effect of dilutive potential common shares:	363.6	373.6	364.6	375.8
Stock options	4.3	3.4	4.2	3.4
Restricted stock units (non-participating) and performance stock awards	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.3
Weighted average common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding	369.0	378.1	370.1	380.5
Earnings per common share - Basic Earnings per common share - Diluted		\$0.65 \$0.64		\$1.22 \$1.21

<sup>(1)</sup> Net income applicable to common shareholders is net income less preferred stock dividends.

#### 3. Acquisition

On January 3, 2017, the Company acquired SquareTrade Holding Company, Inc. ("SquareTrade"), a consumer product protection plan provider that distributes through many of America's major retailers and Europe's mobile operators, for

The effect of dilutive potential common shares does not include the effect of options with an anti-dilutive effect on earnings per common share because their exercise prices exceed the average market price of Allstate common shares during the period or for which the unrecognized compensation cost would have an anti-dilutive effect. Options to purchase 2.5 million and 4.8 million Allstate common shares, with exercise prices ranging from \$74.03 to \$86.61 and \$57.29 to \$71.29, were outstanding for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share in those periods. Options to purchase 2.6 million and 4.8 million Allstate common shares, with exercise prices ranging from \$69.95 to \$86.61 and \$57.29 to \$71.29, were outstanding for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share in those periods.

\$1.4 billion in cash. SquareTrade provides protection plans primarily covering consumer appliances and electronics, such as TVs, smartphones and computers. This acquisition broadens Allstate's unique product offerings to better meet consumers' needs.

In connection with the acquisition, the Company recorded goodwill of \$1.08 billion, commissions paid to retailers (reported in deferred policy acquisition costs) of \$70 million, other intangible assets (reported in other assets) of \$555 million, contractual liability insurance policy premium expenses (reported in other assets) of \$201 million, unearned premiums of \$373 million and net deferred income tax liability of \$140 million. The Company increased goodwill in the second quarter of 2017 by \$14 million related to an adjustment to the fair value of the opening balance sheet liabilities.

As of June 30, 2017, the Company has \$30 million of restricted cash related to an escrow account in connection with the acquisition that is recorded in other assets.

# 4. Reporting Segments

Summarized revenue data for each of the Company's reportable segments are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended June 30,		Six mont. June 30,	hs ended
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Property-Liability				
Property-liability insurance premiums				
Auto	\$5,437	\$5,306	\$10,825	\$10,526
Homeowners	1,815	1,815	3,630	3,625
Other personal lines	436	424	867	845
Commercial lines	118	127	243	256
Other business lines	142	142	283	285
SquareTrade	70		129	
Allstate Protection	8,018	7,814	15,977	15,537
Discontinued Lines and Coverages	_			
Total property-liability insurance premiums	8,018	7,814	15,977	15,537
Net investment income	391	316	702	618
Realized capital gains and losses	85	26	220	(73)
Total Property-Liability	8,494	8,156	16,899	16,082
Allstate Financial				
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges				
Premiums				
Traditional life insurance	148	139	297	277
Accident and health insurance	233	214	465	430
Total premiums	381	353	762	707
Contract charges				
Interest-sensitive life insurance	207	208	416	417
Fixed annuities	3	3	6	6
Total contract charges	210	211	422	423
Total life and annuity premiums and contract charges	591	564	1,184	1,130
Net investment income	496	435	922	854
Realized capital gains and losses	(4)		(5)	(49)
Total Allstate Financial	1,083	999	2,101	1,935
Corporate and Other				
Net investment income	10	11	21	21
Realized capital gains and losses		,		(3)
Total Corporate and Other	10	9	21	18
Consolidated revenues	\$9,587	\$9,164	\$19,021	\$18,035

Summarized financial performance data for each of the Company's reportable segments are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended June 30, Six months ended June 30,
	2017 2016 2017 2016
Property-Liability	
Underwriting income	
Allstate Protection	\$232 \$(64) \$741 \$63
Discontinued Lines and Coverages	(5) (2) (7) (4)
Total underwriting income (loss)	227 (66 ) 734 59
Net investment income	391 316 702 618
Income tax expense on operations	(196) (70) (451) (211)
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	56 18 145 (46 )
Gain on disposition of operations, after-tax	6 — 6 —
Property-Liability net income applicable to common shareholders	484 198 1,136 420
Allstate Financial	
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges	591 564 1,184 1,130
Net investment income	496 435 922 854
Contract benefits and interest credited to contractholder funds	(659) (633) (1,306) (1,272)
Operating costs and expenses and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	(199 ) (189 ) (409 ) (383 )
Restructuring and related charges	(1 ) (1 ) (1 ) (1 )
Income tax expense on operations	(75 ) (56 ) (127 ) (104 )
Operating income	153 120 263 224
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	(3) — $(4)$ $(32)$
Valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged, after-tax	(1 ) (4 ) (1 ) (8 )
DAC and DSI amortization related to realized capital gains and losses and valuation	(2) $(1)$ $(6)$ $(2)$
changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged, after-tax	(3 ) (1 ) (6 ) (2 )
Gain on disposition of operations, after-tax	<u> </u>
Allstate Financial net income applicable to common shareholders	146 116 254 184
Corporate and Other	
Net investment income	10 11 21 21
Operating costs and expenses	(92 ) (79 ) (185 ) (158 )
Income tax benefit on operations	31 26 61 51
Preferred stock dividends	(29 ) (29 ) (58 ) (58 )
Operating loss	(80 ) (71 ) (161 ) (144 )
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	- (1 ) $-$ (1 )
Business combination expenses, after-tax	— — (13 ) —
Corporate and Other net loss applicable to common shareholders	(80 ) (72 ) (174 ) (145 )
Consolidated net income applicable to common shareholders	\$550 \$242 \$1,216 \$459

#### 5. Investments

Fair values

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value for fixed income securities are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Amortized cost	Gross unrealiz Gains	zed Losses	Fair value
June 30, 2017				
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 3,363	\$70	\$(7)	\$3,426
Municipal	7,543	336	(24)	7,855
Corporate	43,007	1,394	(150)	44,251
Foreign government	1,019	34	(6)	1,047
Asset-backed securities ("ABS")	1,237	16	(10)	1,243
Residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS"	')549	96	(4)	641
Commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS		14	(7)	170
Redeemable preferred stock	20	3		23
Total fixed income securities	\$ 56,901	\$1,963	\$(208)	\$58,656
December 31, 2016				
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 3,572	\$74	\$(9)	\$3,637
Municipal	7,116	304	(87)	7,333
Corporate	42,742	1,178	(319)	43,601
Foreign government	1,043	36	(4)	1,075
ABS	1,169	13	(11)	1,171
RMBS	651	85	(8)	728
CMBS	262	17		270
Redeemable preferred stock	21	3		24
Total fixed income securities	\$ 56,576	\$1,710	\$(447)	\$57,839
Scheduled maturities	,	. ,	. ( . ,	,

Scheduled maturities

The scheduled maturities for fixed income securities are as follows as of June 30, 2017:

(\$ in millions)	Amortized	Fair
(\$ III IIIIIIOIIS)	cost	value
Due in one year or less	\$ 4,226	\$4,247
Due after one year through five years	28,770	29,347
Due after five years through ten years	16,870	17,293
Due after ten years	5,086	5,715
	54,952	56,602
ABS, RMBS and CMBS	1,949	2,054
Total	\$ 56,901	\$58,656

Actual maturities may differ from those scheduled as a result of calls and make-whole payments by the issuers. ABS, RMBS and CMBS are shown separately because of the potential for prepayment of principal prior to contractual maturity dates.

#### Net investment income

Net investment income is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	ended liine		Six monended Ju		
	2017	2016	2017	2016	
Fixed income securities	\$527	\$520	\$1,045	\$1,038	
Equity securities	49	44	93	72	
Mortgage loans	50	53	105	106	
Limited partnership interests	253	126	373	247	
Short-term investments	6	3	12	7	
Other	60	57	116	108	
Investment income, before expense	945	803	1,744	1,578	
Investment expense	(48)	(41)	(99 )	(85)	
Net investment income	\$897	\$762	\$1,645	\$1,493	

Realized capital gains and losses

Realized capital gains and losses by asset type are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended 30,		Six model and		
	2017	2016	2017	2016	
Fixed income securities	\$32	\$24	\$37	\$(47	)
Equity securities	19	11	125	(79	)
Mortgage loans	_	1	_	1	
Limited partnership interests	31	(13)	71	13	
Derivatives	(8)	2	(23)	(7	)
Other	7	(1)	5	(6	)
Realized capital gains and losses	\$81	\$24	\$215	\$(125	5)

Realized capital gains and losses by transaction type are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended June 30,	Six months ended June 30,
	2017 2016	2017 2016
Impairment write-downs	\$(28) \$(63)	\$(71) \$(122)
Change in intent write-downs	(22) (16)	(38 ) (38 )
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings	(50)(79)	(109) (160)
Sales and other	139 104	347 45
Valuation and settlements of derivative instruments	(8) (1)	(23 ) (10 )
Realized capital gains and losses	\$81 \$24	\$215 \$(125)

Gross gains of \$141 million and \$163 million and gross losses of \$50 million and \$74 million were realized on sales of fixed income and equity securities during the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Gross gains of \$376 million and \$306 million and gross losses of \$125 million and \$285 million were realized on sales of fixed income and equity securities during the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Other-than-temporary impairment losses by asset type are as follows:

(\$ in millions)						Three months ended June 30, 2016						
	Gross	3	Includ in OC		Net		Gross	8	Includin OC		Net	
Fixed income securities:												
Municipal	\$(1	)	\$ (2	)	\$(3	)	\$—		\$ —		\$—	
Corporate			_		_		(1	)	_		(1	)
ABS	(1	)	_		(1	)	_		(1	)	(1	)
CMBS	(2	)	(1	)	(3	)	_		(1	)	(1	)
Total fixed income securities	(4	)	(3	)	(7	)	(1	)	(2	)	(3	)
Equity securities	(32	)	_		(32	)	(51	)	_		(51	)
Limited partnership interests	(9	)			(9	)	(24	)			(24	)
Other	(2	)			(2	)	(1	)			(1	)
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	\$ (47	)	\$ (3	)	\$(50	)	\$(77	)	\$ (2	)	\$(79	)
	30, 20	017					30, 20	)10				
		017		led	d June Net			)10		led	l June Net	
Fixed income securities:	30, 20	017	7 Includ	led			30, 20	)10	6 Includ	led		
Fixed income securities: Municipal	30, 20	017	7 Includ in OC	led			30, 20	)10	6 Includ	led		
	30, 20 Gross	017 3	7 Includ in OC \$ (2	led I	Net	)	30, 20 Gross	910 8	6 Includin OC	led	Net	)
Municipal	30, 20 Gross \$(1	) ()	7 Includ in OC \$ (2	led I	Net \$(3	)	30, 20 Gross	910 8	6 Includin OC	led	Net	) )
Municipal Corporate	30, 20 Gross \$(1 (9	) ) )	7 Includ in OC \$ (2	led I	Net \$(3) (6)	)	30, 20 Gross \$— (17	910 8	6 Includin OC	led	Net \$— (10	
Municipal Corporate ABS	30, 20 Gross \$(1 (9 (1	) ) ) )	Includin OC  \$ (2)	led II	Net \$(3) (6) (1)	) ) )	30, 20 Gross \$— (17 (6	) ) )	6 Includin OC	led	Net \$— (10	
Municipal Corporate ABS RMBS	30, 20 Gross \$(1 (9 (1 (1	) ) ) )	7 Includ in OC \$ (2 3 — (3	led II	Net \$(3) (6) (1) (4)	) ) ) )	30, 20 Gross \$— (17	) ) )	6 Includin OC \$ — 7 —	led	Net \$ (10 (6	)
Municipal Corporate ABS RMBS CMBS	30, 20 Gross \$(1 (9 (1 (1 (8	) ) ) )	7 Includ in OC \$ (2 3 — (3	led II	Net \$(3) (6) (1) (4) (6)	) ) ) ) )	30, 20 Gross \$— (17 (6 — (4	) ) )	6 Includin OC \$ — 7 — 1	led	Net \$— (10 (6 — (3	)
Municipal Corporate ABS RMBS CMBS Total fixed income securities	30, 20 Gross \$(1 (9 (1 (1 (8 (20	) ) ) )	7 Includ in OC \$ (2 3 — (3	led II	Net \$(3) (6) (1) (4) (6) (20)	) ) ) ) ) )	30, 20 Gross \$— (17 (6 — (4 (27	) ) )	6 Includin OC \$ — 7 — 1	led	Net \$— (10 (6 — (3 (19	)

Other-than-temporary impairment losses \$(109) \$ — \$(109) \$(168) \$ 8

The total amount of other-than-temporary impairment losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income at the time of impairment for fixed income securities, which were not included in earnings, are presented in the following table. The amounts exclude \$231 million and \$221 million as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, of net unrealized gains related to changes in valuation of the fixed income securities subsequent to the impairment measurement date.

\$(160)

```
($ in millions) June 30, December 31,
             2017
                     2016
                   ) $ (8
             $ (5
Municipal
Corporate
             (3
                   ) (7
ABS
                   ) (21
             (16
RMBS
             (99
                   ) (90
CMBS
             (8
                   ) (7
Total
             $(131) $ (133
```

Rollforwards of the cumulative credit losses recognized in earnings for fixed income securities held as of the end of the period are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three i	nonths	Six mo	nths	
(\$ III IIIIIIOIIS)	ended.	June 30,	ended.	June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016	
Beginning balance	\$(294)	\$(350)	\$(318)	\$(392)	
Additional credit loss for securities previously other-than-temporarily impaired	(6)	(3)	(13)	(11)	
Additional credit loss for securities not previously other-than-temporarily impaired	(1)	_	(7)	(8)	
Reduction in credit loss for securities disposed or collected	19	22	56	80	
Change in credit loss due to accretion of increase in cash flows	1	_	1	_	
Ending balance	\$(281)	\$(331)	\$(281)	\$(331)	

The Company uses its best estimate of future cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed income security, discounted at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, to calculate a recovery value and determine whether a credit loss exists. The determination of cash flow estimates is inherently subjective and methodologies may vary depending on facts and circumstances specific to the security. All reasonably available information relevant to the collectability of the security, including past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable assumptions and forecasts, are considered when developing the estimate of cash flows expected to be collected. That information generally includes, but is not limited to, the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, foreign exchange rates, the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer, expected defaults, expected recoveries, the value of underlying collateral, vintage, geographic concentration of underlying collateral, available reserves or escrows, current subordination levels, third party guarantees and other credit enhancements. Other information, such as industry analyst reports and forecasts, sector credit ratings, financial condition of the bond insurer for insured fixed income securities, and other market data relevant to the realizability of contractual cash flows, may also be considered. The estimated fair value of collateral will be used to estimate recovery value if the Company determines that the security is dependent on the liquidation of collateral for ultimate settlement. If the estimated recovery value is less than the amortized cost of the security, a credit loss exists and an other-than-temporary impairment for the difference between the estimated recovery value and amortized cost is recorded in earnings. The portion of the unrealized loss related to factors other than credit remains classified in accumulated other comprehensive income. If the Company determines that the fixed income security does not have sufficient cash flow or other information to estimate a recovery value for the security, the Company may conclude that the entire decline in fair value is deemed to be credit related and the loss is recorded in earnings.

Unrealized net capital gains and losses

Unrealized net capital gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Fair	Gross u	nrealize	ed	Unrealized	net
June 30, 2017	value	Gains	Losse	S	gains (losse	es)
Fixed income securities	\$58,656	\$1,963	\$ (208	3)	\$ 1,755	
Equity securities	6,117	837	(41	)	796	
Short-term investments	2,175					
Derivative instruments (1)	3	3	(4	)	(1	)
Equity method ("EMA") limited partnership(s)					(1	)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax					2,549	
Amounts recognized for:						
Insurance reserves (3)						
DAC and DSI (4)					(198	)
Amounts recognized					(198	)
Deferred income taxes					(825	)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, after-tax					\$ 1,526	

<sup>(1)</sup> Included in the fair value of derivative instruments is \$(3) million classified as liabilities.

Unrealized net capital gains and losses for limited partnership interests represent the Company's share of EMA

(2) limited partnerships' other comprehensive income. Fair value and gross unrealized gains and losses are not applicable.

The insurance reserves adjustment represents the amount by which the reserve balance would increase if the net unrealized gains in the applicable product portfolios were realized and reinvested at current lower interest rates,

(3) resulting in a premium deficiency. Although the Company evaluates premium deficiencies on the combined performance of life insurance and immediate annuities with life contingencies, the adjustment, if any, primarily relates to structured settlement annuities with life contingencies, in addition to annuity buy-outs and certain payout annuities with life contingencies.

(4) The DAC and DSI adjustment balance represents the amount by which the amortization of DAC and DSI would increase or decrease if the unrealized gains or losses in the respective product portfolios were realized.

(\$ in millions)	Fair	Gross u	nrealiz	ed	d Unrealized net		
December 31, 2016	value	Gains	Losse	S	gains (loss	es)	
Fixed income securities	\$57,839	\$1,710	\$ (447	7)	\$ 1,263		
Equity securities	5,666	594	(85	)	509		
Short-term investments	4,288				_		
Derivative instruments (1)	5	5	(3	)	2		
EMA limited partnerships					(4	)	
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax					1,770		
Amounts recognized for:							
Insurance reserves							
DAC and DSI					(146	)	
Amounts recognized					(146	)	
Deferred income taxes					(571	)	
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, after-tax					\$ 1,053		

<sup>(1)</sup> Included in the fair value of derivative instruments is \$5 million classified as assets.

Change in unrealized net capital gains and losses

The change in unrealized net capital gains and losses for the six months ended June 30, 2017 is as follows:

#### (\$ in millions)

Fixed income securities	\$492
Equity securities	287
Derivative instruments	(3)
EMA limited partnerships	3
Total	779
Amounts recognized for:	
Insurance reserves	_
DAC and DSI	(52)
Amounts recognized	(52)
Deferred income taxes	(254)
Increase in unrealized net capital gains and losses, after-tax	\$473

Portfolio monitoring

The Company has a comprehensive portfolio monitoring process to identify and evaluate each fixed income and equity security whose carrying value may be other-than-temporarily impaired.

For each fixed income security in an unrealized loss position, the Company assesses whether management with the appropriate authority has made the decision to sell or whether it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of the amortized cost basis for reasons such as liquidity, contractual or regulatory purposes. If a security meets either of these criteria, the security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

If the Company has not made the decision to sell the fixed income security and it is not more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the fixed income security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the Company evaluates whether it expects to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. The Company calculates the estimated recovery value by discounting the best estimate of future cash flows at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, and compares this to the amortized cost of the security. If the Company does not expect to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the fixed income security, the credit loss component of the impairment is recorded in earnings, with the remaining amount of the unrealized loss related to other factors recognized in other comprehensive income.

For equity securities, the Company considers various factors, including whether it has the intent and ability to hold the equity security for a period of time sufficient to recover its cost basis. Where the Company lacks the intent and ability to hold to recovery, or believes the recovery period is extended, the equity security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

For fixed income and equity securities managed by third parties, either the Company has contractually retained its decision making authority as it pertains to selling securities that are in an unrealized loss position or it recognizes any unrealized loss at the end of the period through a charge to earnings.

The Company's portfolio monitoring process includes a quarterly review of all securities to identify instances where the fair value of a security compared to its amortized cost (for fixed income securities) or cost (for equity securities) is below established thresholds. The process also includes the monitoring of other impairment indicators such as ratings, ratings downgrades and payment defaults. The securities identified, in addition to other securities for which the Company may have a concern, are evaluated for potential other-than-temporary impairment using all reasonably available information relevant to the collectability or recovery of the security. Inherent in the Company's evaluation of other-than-temporary impairment for these fixed income and equity securities are assumptions and estimates about the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer. Some of the factors that may be considered in evaluating whether a decline in fair value is other than temporary are: 1) the financial condition, near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer, including relevant industry specific market conditions and trends, geographic location and implications of rating agency actions and offering prices; 2) the specific reasons that a security is in an unrealized loss position, including overall market conditions which could affect liquidity; and 3) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost or cost.

The following table summarizes the gross unrealized losses and fair value of fixed income and equity securities by the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

(\$ in millions)	Less than 12 months				12 n	nonths o	Total			
	Numb	derair Unrealized		Nun	n <b>Bei</b> r	Unrealized		d unrealize		
	of issu	ı <b>e</b> salue	losses		of is	swedsie	losses		losses	
June 30, 2017										
Fixed income securities										
U.S. government and agencies	62	\$1,840	\$ (7	)		<b>\$</b> —	\$ —		\$ (7	)
Municipal	903	1,842	(20	)	4	14	(4	)	(24	)
Corporate	615	8,649	(109	)	44	358	(41	)	(150	)
Foreign government	57	625	(6	)		_	_		(6	)
ABS	35	242	(1	)	10	39	(9	)	(10	)
RMBS	79	41	(1	)	179	66	(3	)	(4	)
CMBS	6	23	(2	)	5	14	(5	)	(7	)
Redeemable preferred stock	1	_					_			
Total fixed income securities	1,758	13,262	(146	)	242	491	(62	)	(208	)
Equity securities	137	547	(34	)	13	43	(7	)	(41	)
Total fixed income and equity securities	1,895	\$13,809	\$ (180	)	255	\$534	\$ (69	)	\$ (249	)
Investment grade fixed income securities	1,655	\$12,373	\$ (124	)	183	\$295	\$ (31	)	\$ (155	)
Below investment grade fixed income securities	103	889	(22	)	59	196	(31	)	(53	)
Total fixed income securities	1,758	\$13,262	\$ (146	)	242	\$491	\$ (62	)	\$ (208	)
December 31, 2016										
Fixed income securities										
U.S. government and agencies	46	\$943	\$ (9	)	_	\$—	\$ —		\$ (9	)
Municipal	1,310	3,073	(76	)	8	29	(11	)	(87	)
Corporate	862	13,343	(256	)	83	678	(63	)	(319	)
Foreign government	41	225	(4	)	_				(4	)
ABS	31	222	(1	)	14	109	(10	)	(11	)
RMBS	89	53	(1	)	179	91	(7	)	(8	)
CMBS	15	59	(4	)	4	15	(5	)	(9	)
Redeemable preferred stock	1				_					
Total fixed income securities	2,395	17,918	(351	)	288	922	(96	)	(447	)
Equity securities	195	654	(56	)	46	165	(29	)	(85	)
Total fixed income and equity securities	2,590	\$18,572	\$ (407	)	334	\$1,087	\$ (125	)	\$ (532	)
Investment grade fixed income securities	2,202	\$15,678	\$ (293	)	201	\$493	\$ (51	)	\$ (344	)
Below investment grade fixed income securities		2,240		)	87	429	(45	)	(103	)
Total fixed income securities		\$17,918	\$ (351	)	288	\$922	\$ (96	)	\$ (447	)
A a of I are 20 2017 \$207 million of the \$240 m	:11:	alizad	100000 000		alata	d to coo				4

As of June 30, 2017, \$207 million of the \$249 million unrealized losses are related to securities with an unrealized loss position less than 20% of amortized cost or cost, the degree of which suggests that these securities do not pose a high risk of being other-than-temporarily impaired. Of the \$207 million, \$139 million are related to unrealized losses on investment grade fixed income securities and \$34 million are related to equity securities. Of the remaining \$34 million, \$19 million have been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months. Investment grade is defined as a security having a rating of Aaa, Aa, A or Baa from Moody's, a rating of AAA, AA, A or BBB from S&P Global Ratings ("S&P"), a comparable rating from another nationally recognized rating agency, or a comparable internal rating if an externally provided rating is not available. Market prices for certain securities may have credit spreads which imply higher or lower credit quality than the current third party rating. Unrealized losses on investment grade securities are principally related to an increase in market yields which may include increased risk-free interest rates and/or wider credit spreads since the time of initial purchase.

As of June 30, 2017, the remaining \$42 million of unrealized losses are related to securities in unrealized loss positions greater than or equal to 20% of amortized cost or cost. Investment grade fixed income securities comprising \$16 million of these unrealized losses were evaluated based on factors such as discounted cash flows and the financial condition and near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer and were determined to have adequate resources to fulfill contractual obligations. Of the \$42 million, \$19 million are related to below investment grade fixed income securities and \$7 million are related to equity securities. Of these amounts, \$7 million are related to below investment grade fixed income securities that had been in an unrealized loss position greater than or equal to 20% of amortized cost for a period of twelve or more consecutive months as of June 30, 2017.

ABS, RMBS and CMBS in an unrealized loss position were evaluated based on actual and projected collateral losses relative to the securities' positions in the respective securitization trusts, security specific expectations of cash flows, and credit ratings. This evaluation also takes into consideration credit enhancement, measured in terms of (i) subordination from other classes of securities in the trust that are contractually obligated to absorb losses before the class of security the Company owns, and (ii) the expected impact of other structural features embedded in the securitization trust beneficial to the class of securities the Company owns, such as overcollateralization and excess spread. Municipal bonds in an unrealized loss position were evaluated based on the underlying credit quality of the primary obligor, obligation type and quality of the underlying assets. Unrealized losses on equity securities are primarily related to temporary equity market fluctuations of securities that are expected to recover.

As of June 30, 2017, the Company has not made the decision to sell and it is not more likely than not the Company will be required to sell fixed income securities with unrealized losses before recovery of the amortized cost basis. As of June 30, 2017, the Company had the intent and ability to hold equity securities with unrealized losses for a period of time sufficient for them to recover.

## Limited partnerships

As of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the carrying value of equity method limited partnerships totaled \$4.94 billion and \$4.53 billion, respectively. The Company recognizes an impairment loss for equity method limited partnerships when evidence demonstrates that the loss is other than temporary. Evidence of a loss in value that is other than temporary may include the absence of an ability to recover the carrying amount of the investment or the inability of the investee to sustain a level of earnings that would justify the carrying amount of the investment. As of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the carrying value for cost method limited partnerships was \$1.27 billion and \$1.28 billion, respectively. To determine if an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred, the Company evaluates whether an impairment indicator has occurred in the period that may have a significant adverse effect on the carrying value of the investment. Impairment indicators may include: significantly reduced valuations of the investments held by the limited partnerships; actual recent cash flows received being significantly less than expected cash flows; reduced valuations based on financing completed at a lower value; completed sale of a material underlying investment at a price significantly lower than expected; or any other adverse events since the last financial statements received that might affect the fair value of the investee's capital. Additionally, the Company's portfolio monitoring process includes a quarterly review of all cost method limited partnerships to identify instances where the net asset value is below established thresholds for certain periods of time, as well as investments that are performing below expectations, for further impairment consideration. If a cost method limited partnership is other-than-temporarily impaired, the carrying value is written down to fair value, generally estimated to be equivalent to the reported net asset value.

#### Mortgage loans

Mortgage loans are evaluated for impairment on a specific loan basis through a quarterly credit monitoring process and review of key credit quality indicators. Mortgage loans are considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will not collect the contractual principal and interest. Valuation allowances are established for impaired loans to reduce the carrying value to the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell or the present value of the loan's expected future repayment cash flows discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate. Impaired mortgage loans may not have a valuation allowance when the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell is higher than the carrying value. Valuation allowances are adjusted for subsequent changes in the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell or present value of the loan's expected future repayment cash flows. Mortgage loans are charged off against their corresponding valuation allowances when there is no reasonable expectation of recovery. The impairment evaluation is non-statistical in respect to the aggregate portfolio but considers facts and circumstances attributable to each loan. It is not considered probable that additional impairment losses, beyond those identified on a specific loan basis, have been incurred as of June 30, 2017.

Accrual of income is suspended for mortgage loans that are in default or when full and timely collection of principal and interest payments is not probable. Cash receipts on mortgage loans on nonaccrual status are generally recorded as a reduction of carrying value.

Debt service coverage ratio is considered a key credit quality indicator when mortgage loans are evaluated for impairment. Debt service coverage ratio represents the amount of estimated cash flows from the property available to

the borrower to meet principal and interest payment obligations. Debt service coverage ratio estimates are updated annually or more frequently if conditions are warranted based on the Company's credit monitoring process.

The following table reflects the carrying value of non-impaired fixed rate and variable rate mortgage loans summarized by debt service coverage ratio distribution.

(\$ in millions)	June 30	, 20	17		Decemb	ber 3	31, 2016	
	Fixed r	a <b>l∉</b> ar	riable rate		Fixed ra	a <b>lé</b> ar	iable rate	
Debt service coverage ratio distribution	mortga	g <b>m</b> oi	rtgage	Total	mortgag	gmoi	rtgage	Total
	loans	loai	ns		loans	loai	18	
Below 1.0	\$18	\$		\$18	\$60	\$		\$60
1.0 - 1.25	329	—		329	324			324
1.26 - 1.50	1,245	—		1,245	1,293			1,293
Above 1.50	2,700	39		2,739	2,765	39		2,804
Total non-impaired mortgage loans	\$4,292	\$	39	\$4,331	\$4,442	\$	39	\$4,481

Mortgage loans with a debt service coverage ratio below 1.0 that are not considered impaired primarily relate to instances where the borrower has the financial capacity to fund the revenue shortfalls from the properties for the foreseeable term, the decrease in cash flows from the properties is considered temporary, or there are other risk mitigating circumstances such as additional collateral, escrow balances or borrower guarantees.

The net carrying value of impaired mortgage loans is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	June 30, 2017		December 31, 2016	
Impaired mortgage loans with a valuation allowance	\$	5	\$	5
Impaired mortgage loans without a valuation allowance	_	-		
Total impaired mortgage loans	\$	5	\$	5
Valuation allowance on impaired mortgage loans	\$	3	\$	3

The average balance of impaired loans was \$5 million and \$6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

The rollforward of the valuation allowance on impaired mortgage loans is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Thre	ee		Six			
	months			months			
	ended			ended			
June 30,				June 30,			
	201	<b>72</b> 0	16	201	720	16	
Beginning balance	\$3	\$	3	\$3	\$	3	
Charge offs		_	-			-	
Ending balance	\$3	\$	3	\$3	\$	3	

Payments on all mortgage loans were current as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

## 6. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The hierarchy for inputs used in determining fair value maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable inputs be used when available. Assets and liabilities recorded on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position at fair value are categorized in the fair value hierarchy based on the observability of inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

Level 1: Assets and liabilities whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market that the Company can access.

Level 2: Assets and liabilities whose values are based on the following:

- (a) Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
- (b) Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or
- (c) Valuation models whose inputs are observable, directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3: Assets and liabilities whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs reflect the Company's estimates of the assumptions that market participants would use in valuing the assets and liabilities.

The availability of observable inputs varies by instrument. In situations where fair value is based on internally developed pricing models or inputs that are unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. The degree of judgment exercised by the Company in determining fair value is typically greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. In many instances, valuation inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. The category level in the fair value hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company uses prices and inputs that are current as of the measurement date, including during periods of market disruption. In periods of market disruption, the ability to observe prices and inputs may be reduced for many instruments.

The Company is responsible for the determination of fair value and the supporting assumptions and methodologies. The Company gains assurance that assets and liabilities are appropriately valued through the execution of various processes and controls designed to ensure the overall reasonableness and consistent application of valuation methodologies, including inputs and assumptions, and compliance with accounting standards. For fair values received from third parties or internally estimated, the Company's processes and controls are designed to ensure that the valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistently applied, the inputs and assumptions are reasonable and consistent with the objective of determining fair value, and the fair values are accurately recorded. For example, on a continuing basis, the Company assesses the reasonableness of individual fair values that have stale security prices or that exceed certain thresholds as compared to previous fair values received from valuation service providers or brokers or derived from internal models. The Company performs procedures to understand and assess the methodologies, processes and controls of valuation service providers. In addition, the Company may validate the reasonableness of fair values by comparing information obtained from valuation service providers or brokers to other third party valuation sources for selected securities. The Company performs ongoing price validation procedures such as back-testing of actual sales, which corroborate the various inputs used in internal models to market observable data. When fair value determinations are expected to be more variable, the Company validates them through reviews by members of management who have relevant expertise and who are independent of those charged with executing investment transactions.

The Company has two types of situations where investments are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The first is where specific inputs significant to the fair value estimation models are not market observable. This primarily occurs in the Company's use of broker quotes to value certain securities where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable, and the use of valuation models that use significant non-market observable inputs.

The second situation where the Company classifies securities in Level 3 is where quotes continue to be received from

The second situation where the Company classifies securities in Level 3 is where quotes continue to be received from independent third-party valuation service providers and all significant inputs are market observable; however, there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset when compared to normal market activity such that the degree of market observability has declined to a point where categorization as a Level 3 measurement is considered appropriate. The indicators considered in determining whether a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for a specific asset has occurred include the level of new issuances in the primary market, trading volume in the secondary market, the level of credit spreads over historical levels, applicable bid-ask spreads, and price consensus among market participants and other pricing sources.

Certain assets are not carried at fair value on a recurring basis, including investments such as mortgage loans, limited partnership interests, bank loans, agent loans and policy loans. Accordingly, such investments are only included in the fair value hierarchy disclosure when the investment is subject to remeasurement at fair value after initial recognition and the resulting remeasurement is reflected in the condensed consolidated financial statements.

In determining fair value, the Company principally uses the market approach which generally utilizes market transaction data for the same or similar instruments. To a lesser extent, the Company uses the income approach which involves determining fair values from discounted cash flow methodologies. For the majority of Level 2 and Level 3 valuations, a combination of the market and income approaches is used.

Summary of significant valuation techniques for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis Level 1 measurements

Fixed income securities: Comprise certain U.S. Treasury fixed income securities. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

34

Equity securities: Comprise actively traded, exchange-listed equity securities. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

Short-term: Comprise U.S. Treasury bills valued based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access and actively traded money market funds that have daily quoted net asset values for identical assets that the Company can access.

Separate account assets: Comprise actively traded mutual funds that have daily quoted net asset values for identical assets that the Company can access. Net asset values for the actively traded mutual funds in which the separate account assets are invested are obtained daily from the fund managers.

Level 2 measurements

Fixed income securities:

U.S. government and agencies: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Municipal: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Corporate - public: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Corporate - privately placed: Valued using a discounted cash flow model that is widely accepted in the financial services industry and uses market observable inputs and inputs derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data. The primary inputs to the discounted cash flow model include an interest rate yield curve, as well as published credit spreads for similar assets in markets that are not active that incorporate the credit quality and industry sector of the issuer.

Foreign government: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

ABS - collateralized debt obligations ("CDO") and ABS - consumer and other: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, prepayment speeds, collateral performance and credit spreads. Certain ABS - CDO and ABS - consumer and other are valued based on non-binding broker quotes whose inputs have been corroborated to be market observable.

RMBS: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, prepayment speeds, collateral performance and credit spreads.

CMBS: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, collateral performance and credit spreads.

Redeemable preferred stock: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, underlying stock prices and credit spreads. Equity securities: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices or quoted net asset values for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active.

Short-term: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads. For certain short-term investments, amortized cost is used as the best estimate of fair value.

Other investments: Free-standing exchange listed derivatives that are not actively traded are valued based on quoted prices for identical instruments in markets that are not active.

Over-the-counter ("OTC") derivatives, including interest rate swaps, foreign currency swaps, foreign exchange forward contracts, certain options and certain credit default swaps, are valued using models that rely on inputs such as interest rate yield curves, implied volatilities, currency rates, and credit spreads that are observable for substantially the full term of the contract. The valuation techniques underlying the models are widely accepted in the financial services industry and do not involve significant judgment.

Level 3 measurements

Fixed income securities:

Municipal: Comprise municipal bonds that are not rated by third party credit rating agencies. The primary inputs to the valuation of these municipal bonds include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that exhibit less liquidity relative to those markets supporting Level 2 fair value measurements, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads. Also included are municipal bonds valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable and municipal bonds in default valued based on the present value of expected cash flows.

Corporate - public and Corporate - privately placed: Primarily valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable. Other inputs include an interest rate yield curve, as well as published credit spreads for similar assets that incorporate the credit quality and industry sector of the issuer.

ABS - CDO, ABS - consumer and other, RMBS and CMBS: Valued based on non-binding broker quotes received from brokers who are familiar with the investments and where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market

observable.

Equity securities: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices or quoted net asset values for identical or similar assets in markets that exhibit less liquidity relative to those markets supporting Level 2 fair value measurements.

Other investments: Certain OTC derivatives, such as interest rate caps, certain credit default swaps and certain options (including swaptions), are valued using models that are widely accepted in the financial services industry. These are categorized as Level 3 as a result of the significance of non-market observable inputs such as volatility. Other primary inputs include interest rate yield curves and credit spreads.

Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in certain life and annuity contracts are valued internally using models widely accepted in the financial services industry that determine a single best estimate of fair value for the embedded derivatives within a block of contractholder liabilities. The models primarily use stochastically determined cash flows based on the contractual elements of embedded derivatives, projected option cost and applicable market data, such as interest rate yield curves and equity index volatility assumptions. These are categorized as Level 3 as a result of the significance of non-market observable inputs.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis

Mortgage loans written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing impairments are valued based on the fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell. Limited partnership interests written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments are generally valued using net asset values. The following table summarizes the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of June 30, 2017.

(\$ in millions)	Quoted prices in active markets for identical asset (Level 1)	other observable	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Counterpart e and cash collateral netting	Balance as of June 30, 2017
Assets					
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 2,773	\$653	\$ —		\$3,426
Municipal		7,741	114		7,855
Corporate - public		32,079	60		32,139
Corporate - privately placed		11,846	266		12,112
Foreign government		1,047	_		1,047
ABS - CDO		520	91		611
ABS - consumer and other		512	120		632
RMBS		641	_		641
CMBS		146	24		170
Redeemable preferred stock		23	_		23
Total fixed income securities	2,773	55,208	675		58,656
Equity securities	5,643	308	166		6,117
Short-term investments	315	1,860	_		2,175
Other investments: Free-standing derivatives		126	1	\$ (19 )	108
Separate account assets	3,416		_		3,416
Other assets			_		
Total recurring basis assets	12,147	57,502	842	(19)	70,472
Non-recurring basis (1)	_	_	16		16
Total assets at fair value	\$ 12,147	\$57,502	\$ 858	\$ (19 )	\$70,488
% of total assets at fair value	17.2 %	81.6 %	1.2 %	%	100 %
Liabilities					
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ —	\$—	\$ (285 )		\$(285)

Other liabilities: Free-standing derivatives	(1	)	(70	)	_		\$ 21		(50	)
Total liabilities at fair value	\$ (1	)	\$(70	)	\$ (285	)	\$ 21		\$(335	)
% of total liabilities at fair value	0.3	%	20.9	%	85.1	%	(6.3	)%	100	%

Includes \$15 million of limited partnership interests and \$1 million of other investments written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments.

The following table summarizes the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2016.

(\$ in millions)	Quoted price in active markets for identical asse (Level 1)	other observable	Significant		of December 31, 2016
Assets					
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 2,918	\$719	\$ —		\$3,637
Municipal	_	7,208	125		7,333
Corporate - public	_	31,414	78		31,492
Corporate - privately placed		11,846	263		12,109
Foreign government		1,075			1,075
ABS - CDO		650	27		677
ABS - consumer and other	_	452	42		494
RMBS	_	727	1		728
CMBS		248	22		270
Redeemable preferred stock		24			24
Total fixed income securities	2,918	54,363	558		57,839
Equity securities	5,247	256	163		5,666
Short-term investments	850	3,423	15		4,288
Other investments: Free-standing derivatives		119	1	\$ (9 )	111
Separate account assets	3,393				3,393
Other assets			1		1
Total recurring basis assets	12,408	58,161	738	(9)	71,298
Non-recurring basis (1)			24		24
Total assets at fair value	\$ 12,408	\$58,161	\$ 762	\$ (9 )	\$71,322
% of total assets at fair value	17.4 %	81.5 %	1.1 %	%	100 %
Liabilities					
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ —	\$	\$ (290 )		\$(290 )
Other liabilities: Free-standing derivatives	(1)	(68)	(3)	\$ 28	(44)
Total liabilities at fair value	\$ (1 )	\$(68)	\$ (293 )	\$ 28	\$(334)
% of total liabilities at fair value	0.3	20.4 %	87.7 %	(8.4)%	100 %

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes \$24 million of limited partnership interests written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments.

The following table summarizes quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used in Level 3 fair value measurements.

(\$ in millions)	Fair value	Valuation technique	Unobservable input	Range	Weighted average
June 30, 2017					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts – Equity-indexed and forward starting options	\$(250)	Stochastic cash	Projected option	1.0 -	1.74%
Equity-indexed and forward starting options	\$(230)	flow model	cost	2.2%	1.74%
December 31 2016					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts –	\$(247)	Stochastic cash	Projected option	1.0 -	1.75%
Equity-indexed and forward starting options	\$(247)	flow model	cost	2.2%	1.75%

The embedded derivatives are equity-indexed and forward starting options in certain life and annuity products that provide customers with interest crediting rates based on the performance of the S&P 500. If the projected option cost

increased (decreased), it would result in a higher (lower) liability fair value.

As of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, Level 3 fair value measurements of fixed income securities total \$675 million and \$558 million, respectively, and include \$367 million and \$307 million, respectively, of securities valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable and \$68 million and \$80 million, respectively, of municipal fixed income securities that are not rated by third party credit rating agencies. The Company does not develop the unobservable inputs used in measuring fair value; therefore, these are not included in the table above. However, an increase

(decrease) in credit spreads for fixed income securities valued based on non-binding broker quotes would result in a lower (higher) fair value, and an increase (decrease) in the credit rating of municipal bonds that are not rated by third party credit rating agencies would result in a higher (lower) fair value.

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the three months ended June 30, 2017.

(\$ in millions)		Total gains (losses) included in:		
	Balance as of March 31, 2017	Net OCI income	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3
Assets				
Fixed income securities:				
Municipal	\$ 124	\$(2) \$5	\$ —	\$ —
Corporate - public	60			
Corporate - privately placed	263	6 (7)	30	_
ABS - CDO	147		3	(122)
ABS - consumer and other	80			(5)
CMBS	25			
Total fixed income securities	699	4 (2)	33	(127)
Equity securities	170	3 3		_
Short-term investments	35			_
Free-standing derivatives, net	(1)			_
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ 903	\$9 \$ 1	\$ 33	\$ (127)
Liabilities				
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity	\$ (286 )	\$—   \$ —	s —	\$ <i>-</i>
contracts				
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ (286)	\$—   \$ —	\$ —	\$ <i>—</i>
	Purchases	s Sales Issue	s Settlement	Balance as of June 30, 2017
Assets				
Fixed income securities:				
Municipal	\$ 5	\$(18) \$ —	\$ —	\$ 114
Corporate - public	. ,	1 —		60
Corporate - privately placed	4	(29 ) —	(1)	266
ABS - CDO	65		(2)	91
ABS - consumer and other	48		(3)	120
CMBS	<del>_</del>		(1)	24
Total fixed income securities	121	(46 ) —	(7)	675
Equity securities	2	(12 ) —	_	166
Short-term investments	5	(40 ) —	_	
Free-standing derivatives, net	—	— — —	ф (7	1 (2)
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ 128	\$(98) \$ —	\$ (7)	\$ 842
Liabilities				
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ —	\$—   \$ —	\$ 1	\$ (285)

Total recurring Level 3 liabilities

\$— \$— \$ \$ (285 )

The effect to net income totals \$9 million and is reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

<sup>(1)</sup> as follows: \$5 million in realized capital gains and losses, \$4 million in net investment income, \$(1) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$1 million in life and annuity contract benefits.

<sup>(2)</sup> Comprises \$1 million of assets.

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the six months ended June 30, 2017.

during the six months ended June 30, 2017.		m . 1 . 1		
(\$ in millions)		Total gains (losses) included in:		
	Balance as of Decembe 31, 2016	Net QCI	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3
Assets				
Fixed income securities:				
Municipal	\$ 125	\$(1) \$6	\$ —	\$ (1 )
Corporate - public	78			(16)
Corporate - privately placed	263		) 30	_
ABS - CDO	27	2	30	(122)
ABS - consumer and other	42			(7)
RMBS	1			_
CMBS	22			_
Total fixed income securities	558	5 6	60	(146)
Equity securities	163	13 3		(3)
Short-term investments	15			
Free-standing derivatives, net	(2)	3 —		_
Other assets	1	(1 ) —		
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ 735	\$20 \$9	\$ 60	\$ (149)
Liabilities				
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity	\$ (290 )	\$3 \$—	\$ —	\$ —
contracts			Ψ .	Ψ .
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ (290 )	\$3 \$—	\$ —	\$ —
				Balance
				as of
	Purchases	s Sales Issue	s Settlement	S June 30,
				2017
Assets				2017
Fixed income securities:				
Municipal	\$ 5	\$(20)\$—	\$ —	\$ 114
Corporate - public	(1)	1 —	(2)	60
Corporate - privately placed	4	(29 ) —	(6)	266
ABS - CDO	160	<u> </u>	(6)	91
ABS - consumer and other	89		(4)	120
RMBS			(1)	_
CMBS	3		(1)	24
Total fixed income securities	260	(48 ) —	(20)	675
Equity securities	3	(13 ) —		166
Short-term investments	25	(40 ) —		_
Free-standing derivatives, net				1 (2)
Other assets				_
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ 288	\$(101) \$ —	\$ (20 )	\$ 842
Liabilities				* · · = -
	\$ —	\$— \$(1	) \$ 3	\$ (285)

Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts

Total recurring Level 3 liabilities

The effect to net income totals \$23 million and is reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of

<sup>(1)</sup> Operations as follows: \$7 million in realized capital gains and losses, \$14 million in net investment income, \$(6) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$8 million in life and annuity contract benefits.

<sup>(2)</sup> Comprises \$1 million of assets.

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the three months ended June 30, 2016.

(\$ in millions)		Total ga	ins (losse l in:	s)	
	Balance as of March 31, 2016	Net income	OCI	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3
Assets					
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$4	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (4)
Municipal	146	_	3	6	_
Corporate - public	63	_	_	_	_
Corporate - privately placed	549	3	8	16	(69)
ABS - CDO	58	_	3	6	(3)
ABS - consumer and other	44	_	(1)	3	_
RMBS	1	_		_	_
CMBS	20	_	_	_	
Total fixed income securities	885	3	13	31	(76)
Equity securities	125	(8)	1	_	_
Free-standing derivatives, net	(8)	1		_	<del></del>
Other assets	1	_		_	<del></del>
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ 1,003	\$ (4)	\$ 14	\$ 31	\$(76)
Liabilities					
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ (313 )	\$ 7	\$ —	\$ —	\$—
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ (313 )	\$ 7	\$ —	\$ —	\$—
					Balance
	Purchases	s Sales	Issues	Settlement	as of June 30, 2016
Assets					2010
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	<b>\$</b> —
Municipal Municipal	Ψ —	(6)	Ψ —	Ψ —	149
Corporate - public	7	4		_	74
Corporate - privately placed	80	<u>.</u>		(2)	585
ABS - CDO	_	_	_	(31)	33
ABS - consumer and other	_	_	_	(1)	45
RMBS	_	_	_	_	1
CMBS	_	_	_	_	20
Total fixed income securities	87	(2)	_	(34)	907
Equity securities					118
Free-standing derivatives, net	_	_		_	$(7)^{(2)}$
Other assets	_	_		_	1
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ 87	\$ (2)	\$ —	\$ (34 )	\$ 1,019
Liabilities	T ~'	+ ( <del>-</del> )	7	, (S. )	,
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2	\$(304)

Total recurring Level 3 liabilities

\$— \$ — \$ 2 \$(304 )

The effect to net income totals \$3 million and is reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

<sup>(1)</sup> as follows: \$(9) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$5 million in net investment income, \$(7) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$14 million in life and annuity contract benefits.

<sup>(2)</sup> Comprises \$1 million of assets and \$8 million of liabilities.

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the six months ended June 30, 2016.

(\$ in millions)		Total gai included		s)		
	Balance as of December 31, 2015	of Net income (	OCI	Transfers into Level 3	Transfer out of Level 3	rs
Assets	,					
Fixed income securities:						
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 5	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (4	)
Municipal	161	10	(5)	6	_	
Corporate - public	46		1	25	(7	)
Corporate - privately placed	502	4	13	16	(83	)
ABS - CDO	61		2	10	(3	)
ABS - consumer and other	50		(2)	3		
RMBS	1	_	_	_	_	
CMBS	20	_	_	_	_	
Total fixed income securities	846	14	9	60	(97	)
Equity securities	133	(32)	8	_	_	
Free-standing derivatives, net	(7)		_	_	_	
Other assets	1	_	_	_	_	
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ 973	\$ (18)	\$ 17	\$ 60	\$ (97	)
Liabilities						
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and	\$ (299 )	\$ (8 )	\$ —	\$ —	<b>\$</b> —	
annuity contracts			ψ —	φ —	φ—	
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ (299 )	\$ (8)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ <i>-</i>	
	Purchases	Sales	Issues	Settlement	Balance as of Sune 30, 2016	
Assets						
Fixed income securities:						
U.S. government and agencies	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (1 )	\$ <u> </u>	
Municipal	_	(22)	_	(1)	149	
Corporate - public	7	4		(2)	74 50.5	
Corporate - privately placed	143	<u> </u>	_	(10 )	585	
ABS - CDO		(2)		(35 )	33	
ABS - consumer and other		(5)	_	(1)	45	
RMBS			_	<u> </u>	1	
CMBS	2	(25)		(2)	20	
Total fixed income securities	152	(25)	_	(52)	907	
Equity securities	9	_	_	_	118	)(2)
Free-standing derivatives, net Other assets					(7	) (-)
Total recurring Level 3 assets	_				1	
	  \$ 161	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1 \$ 1 019	
Liabilities	 \$ 161	<del>-</del> \$ (25 )	<del>-</del> \$	<b>\$</b> (52 )	1 \$1,019	
Liabilities Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and			·		\$1,019	
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and		\$ (25 ) \$ —	- \$ - \$ (1 )	\$ (52 ) \$ 4		)
			·	\$ 4	\$1,019	

Transfers between level categorizations may occur due to changes in the availability of market observable inputs, which generally are caused by changes in market conditions such as liquidity, trading volume or bid-ask spreads. Transfers between level categorizations may also occur due to changes in the valuation source. For example, in situations where a fair value quote is not provided by the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider and as a result the price is stale or has been replaced with a broker quote whose inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable, the security is transferred into Level 3. Transfers in and out of level categorizations are reported as having occurred at the beginning of the quarter in which the

The effect to net income totals \$(26) million and is reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of

<sup>(1)</sup> Operations as follows: \$(25) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$7 million in net investment income, \$(6) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$(2) million in life and annuity contract benefits.

<sup>(2)</sup> Comprises \$1 million of assets and \$8 million of liabilities.

transfer occurred. Therefore, for all transfers into Level 3, all realized and changes in unrealized gains and losses in the quarter of transfer are reflected in the Level 3 rollforward table.

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2017 or 2016.

Transfers into Level 3 during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 included situations where a fair value quote was not provided by the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider and as a result the price was stale or had been replaced with a broker quote where the inputs had not been corroborated to be market observable resulting in the security being classified as Level 3. Transfers out of Level 3 during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 included situations where a broker quote was used in the prior period and a fair value quote became available from the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider in the current period. A quote utilizing the new pricing source was not available as of the prior period, and any gains or losses related to the change in valuation source for individual securities were not significant.

The following table provides the change in unrealized gains and losses included in net income for Level 3 assets and liabilities held as of June 30.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,							
	201	7		2010	5		201	7		201	6	
Assets												
Fixed income												
securities:												
Municipal	\$	(3	)	\$	1		\$	(3	)	\$	1	
Corporate	_			3						1		
Total fixed income securities	(3		)	4			(3		)	2		
Equity securities	4			(8		,	14			(32		`
	4			(0		)	14			(32		,
Free-standing derivatives, net	2			1			3			—		
Other assets							(1		)			
Total recurring	Ф	2		Ф	(2	,	•	1.0	,	Ф	(20	`
Level 3 assets	\$	3		\$	(3	)	\$	13		\$	(30	)
Liabilities												
Contractholder												
funds: Derivatives	S											
embedded in life	\$			\$	7		\$	3		\$	(8	)
and annuity												
contracts												
Total recurring	\$			\$	7		\$	3		\$	(8	`
Level 3 liabilities	Φ			φ	/		φ	3		φ	(0	)

The amounts in the table above represent the change in unrealized gains and losses included in net income for the period of time that the asset or liability was determined to be in Level 3. These gains and losses total \$3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and are reported as follows: \$(1) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$4 million in net investment income, \$(1) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$1 million in life and annuity contract benefits. These gains and losses total \$4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and are reported as follows: \$(9) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$6 million in net investment income, \$(7) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$14 million in life and annuity contract benefits. These gains and losses total \$16 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and are reported as follows: \$14 million in net investment income, \$(6) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$8 million in life and annuity contract benefits. These gains and losses total \$(38) million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and are reported as follows: \$(38) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$8 million in net investment income, \$(6) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$(2) million in life and annuity contract benefits.

Presented below are the carrying values and fair value estimates of financial instruments not carried at fair value.

# Financial assets

December 31, (\$ in millions) June 30, 2017 2016 CarryingFair CarryingFair value value value value Mortgage loans \$4,336 \$4,483 \$4,486 \$4,514 Cost method limited partnerships 1,269 1,511 1,282 1,493 Bank loans 1,754 1,759 1,669 1,677 Agent loans 499 495 467 467

The fair value of mortgage loans is based on discounted contractual cash flows or, if the loans are impaired due to credit reasons, the fair value of collateral less costs to sell. Risk adjusted discount rates are selected using current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar characteristics, using similar types of properties as collateral. The fair value of cost method limited partnerships is determined using reported net asset values. The fair value of bank loans, which are reported in other investments, is based on broker quotes from brokers familiar with the loans and current market conditions. The fair value of agent loans, which are reported in other investments, is based on discounted cash flow calculations. Risk adjusted discount rates are selected using current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar characteristics. The fair value measurements for mortgage loans, cost method limited partnerships, bank loans and agent loans are categorized as Level 3. Financial liabilities

(\$ in millions)	Juna 20	2017	December 31,		
(\$ III IIIIIIOIIS)	June 30, 2017		2016		
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair	
	value	value	value	value	
Contractholder funds on investment contracts	\$10,846	\$11,441	\$11,313	\$12,009	
Long-term debt	6,348	7,118	6,347	6,920	
Liability for collateral	1,126	1,126	1,129	1,129	

The fair value of contractholder funds on investment contracts is based on the terms of the underlying contracts incorporating current market-based crediting rates for similar contracts that reflect the Company's own credit risk. Deferred annuities classified in contractholder funds are valued based on discounted cash flow models that incorporate current market based margins and reflect the Company's own credit risk. Immediate annuities without life contingencies are valued based on discounted cash flow models that incorporate current market-based implied interest rates and reflect the Company's own credit risk. The fair value measurement for contractholder funds on investment contracts is categorized as Level 3.

The fair value of long-term debt is based on market observable data (such as the fair value of the debt when traded as an asset) or is determined using discounted cash flow calculations based on current interest rates for instruments with comparable terms and considers the Company's own credit risk. The liability for collateral is valued at carrying value due to its short-term nature. The fair value measurements for long-term debt and liability for collateral are categorized as Level 2.

#### 7. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivatives for risk reduction and to increase investment portfolio returns through asset replication. Risk reduction activity is focused on managing the risks with certain assets and liabilities arising from the potential adverse impacts from changes in risk-free interest rates, changes in equity market valuations, increases in credit spreads and foreign currency fluctuations. Asset replication refers to the "synthetic" creation of assets through the use of derivatives. The Company replicates fixed income securities using a combination of a credit default swap or a foreign currency forward contract and one or more highly rated fixed income securities, primarily investment grade host bonds, to synthetically replicate the economic characteristics of one or more cash market securities. The Company replicates equity securities using futures and options to increase equity exposure.

Property-Liability may use interest rate swaps, swaptions, futures and options to manage the interest rate risks of existing investments. These instruments are utilized to change the duration of the portfolio in order to offset the economic effect that interest rates would otherwise have on the fair value of its fixed income securities. Credit default swaps are typically used to mitigate the credit risk within the Property-Liability fixed income portfolio. Equity index futures and options are used by Property-Liability to offset valuation losses in the equity portfolio during periods of declining equity market values. In addition, equity futures are used to hedge the market risk related to deferred compensation liability contracts. Forward contracts are primarily used by Property-Liability to hedge foreign currency risk associated with holding foreign currency denominated investments and foreign operations.

Allstate Financial utilizes several derivative strategies to manage risk. Asset-liability management is a risk management strategy that is principally employed by Allstate Financial to balance the respective interest-rate sensitivities of its assets and liabilities. Depending upon the attributes of the assets acquired and liabilities issued, derivative instruments such as interest rate swaps, caps, swaptions and futures are utilized to change the interest rate

characteristics of existing assets and liabilities to ensure the relationship is maintained within specified ranges and to reduce exposure to rising or falling interest rates. Credit default swaps are typically used to mitigate the credit risk within the Allstate Financial fixed income portfolio. Futures and options are used for hedging the equity exposure contained in Allstate Financial's equity indexed life and annuity product contracts that offer equity returns to contractholders. In addition, Allstate Financial uses equity index futures and options to offset valuation losses in the equity portfolio during periods of declining equity market values. Foreign currency swaps and forwards are primarily used by Allstate Financial to reduce the foreign currency risk associated with holding foreign currency denominated investments.

The Company also has derivatives embedded in non-derivative host contracts that are required to be separated from the host contracts and accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value of embedded derivatives reported in net income. The Company's primary embedded derivatives are equity options in life and annuity product contracts, which provide equity returns to contractholders.

When derivatives meet specific criteria, they may be designated as accounting hedges and accounted for as fair value, cash flow, foreign currency fair value or foreign currency cash flow hedges. Allstate Financial designates certain investment risk transfer reinsurance agreements as fair value hedges when the hedging instrument is highly effective in offsetting the risk of changes in the fair value of the hedged item. Allstate Financial designates certain of its foreign currency swap contracts as cash flow hedges when the hedging instrument is highly effective in offsetting the exposure of variations in cash flows for the hedged risk that could affect net income. Amounts are reclassified to net investment income or realized capital gains and losses as the hedged item affects net income.

The notional amounts specified in the contracts are used to calculate the exchange of contractual payments under the agreements and are generally not representative of the potential for gain or loss on these agreements. However, the notional amounts specified in credit default swaps where the Company has sold credit protection represent the maximum amount of potential loss, assuming no recoveries.

Fair value, which is equal to the carrying value, is the estimated amount that the Company would receive or pay to terminate the derivative contracts at the reporting date. The carrying value amounts for OTC derivatives are further adjusted for the effects, if any, of enforceable master netting agreements and are presented on a net basis, by counterparty agreement, in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. For certain exchange traded and cleared derivatives, margin deposits are required as well as daily cash settlements of margin accounts. As of June 30, 2017, the Company pledged \$23 million in the form of margin deposits.

For those derivatives which qualify for fair value hedge accounting, net income includes the changes in the fair value of both the derivative instrument and the hedged risk, and therefore reflects any hedging ineffectiveness. For cash flow hedges, gains and losses are amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income and are reported in net income in the same period the forecasted transactions being hedged impact net income.

Non-hedge accounting is generally used for "portfolio" level hedging strategies where the terms of the individual hedged items do not meet the strict homogeneity requirements to permit the application of hedge accounting. For non-hedge derivatives, net income includes changes in fair value and accrued periodic settlements, when applicable. With the exception of non-hedge derivatives used for asset replication and non-hedge embedded derivatives, all of the Company's derivatives are evaluated for their ongoing effectiveness as either accounting hedge or non-hedge derivative financial instruments on at least a quarterly basis.

The following table provides a summary of the volume and fair value positions of derivative instruments as well as their reporting location in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Financial Position as of June 30, 2017.

(\$ in millions, except number of contracts)

Volume (1)

(\$ in millions, except number of contracts)		Volum	e (1)				
	Balance sheet location	Notiona	Number of contracts	varue,		Gross liabili	ty
Asset derivatives							
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging	instruments						
Interest rate contracts							
Interest rate cap agreements	Other investments	\$22	n/a	\$—	<b>\$</b> —	\$ <i>-</i>	
Equity and index contracts							
Options	Other investments	585	6,798	110	113	(3	)
Financial futures contracts	Other assets	_	519	_		_	
Foreign currency contracts							
Foreign currency forwards	Other investments	344	n/a	(7)	2	(9	)
Credit default contracts		4.0		<i>(</i> <b>2</b> )		<b>4</b> 0	
Credit default swaps – buying protection	Other investments	49	n/a	(2)	. —	(2	)
Credit default swaps – selling protection	Other investments	80	n/a	1	1		
Other contracts							
Other contracts	Other assets	3	n/a	— +102	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Total asset derivatives		\$1,083	7,317	\$102	\$116	\$(14	)
** 1 M							
Liability derivatives							
Derivatives designated as accounting hedging ins							
Foreign currency swap agreements	Other liabilities &	\$49	n/a	\$2	\$2	<b>\$</b> —	
	accrued expenses						
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging	nstruments						
Interest rate contracts	Other liabilities &						
Interest rate cap agreements		37	n/a	1	1		
Equity and index contracts	accrued expenses						
Equity and index contracts	Other liabilities &						
Options and futures	accrued expenses		8,896	(42)	-	(42	)
Foreign currency contracts	accided expenses						
1 ofeign currency contracts	Other liabilities &						
Foreign currency forwards	accrued expenses	621	n/a	(2)	8	(10	)
Embedded derivative financial instruments	decrued expenses						
Guaranteed accumulation benefits	Contractholder funds	246	n/a	(28		(28	)
Guaranteed withdrawal benefits	Contractholder funds	282	n/a	(7)	·	(7	)
Equity-indexed and forward starting options in life	Fe .		11/4	` /			,
and annuity product contracts	Contractholder funds	1,753	n/a	(250)		(250	)
Credit default contracts							
	Other liabilities &						
Credit default swaps – buying protection	accrued expenses	173	n/a	(5)		(5	)
	Other liabilities &						
Credit default swaps – selling protection	accrued expenses	130	n/a				
Subtotal		3,242	8,896	(333)	9	(342	)
Total liability derivatives		3,291	8,896	(331)		\$ (342	
Total derivatives			16,213	\$(229)		. (= .2	,
		, ,	-,	. ( /			

(1)	Volume for OTC and cleared derivative contracts is represented by their notional amounts. Volume for exchange traded derivatives is represented by the number of contracts, which is the basis on which they are traded. $(n/a = not applicable)$						
Th	The Allstate Corporation 31						

The following table provides a summary of the volume and fair value positions of derivative instruments as well as their reporting location in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position as of December 31, 2016.

(\$ in millions, except number of contracts)		Volume	(1)				
	Balance sheet location	Notiona amount	Number of contracts	varae,		Gross liabilit	y
Asset derivatives							
Derivatives designated as accounting hedging inst	ruments						
Foreign currency swap agreements	Other investments	\$49	n/a	\$5	\$5	\$	
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments							
Interest rate contracts							
Interest rate cap agreements	Other investments	65	n/a	1	1		
Equity and index contracts							
Options	Other investments	_	3,972	88	88		
Financial futures contracts	Other assets		261		_		
Foreign currency contracts							
Foreign currency forwards	Other investments	759	n/a		24	(24	)
Credit default contracts							
Credit default swaps – buying protection	Other investments	87	n/a	(4)	—	(4	)
Credit default swaps – selling protection	Other investments	140	n/a	2	2		
Other contracts							
Other contracts	Other assets	3	n/a	1	1		
Subtotal		1,054	4,233	88	116	(28	)
Total asset derivatives		\$1,103	4,233	\$93	\$121	\$ (28	)
Liability derivatives							
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging	instruments						
Equity and index contracts	motramento						
• •	Other liabilities &						
Options and futures	accrued expenses	\$—	4,848	\$(39)	\$—	\$(39	)
Embedded derivative financial instruments	acoraca onponsos						
Guaranteed accumulation benefits	Contractholder funds	391	n/a	(34)	_	(34	)
Guaranteed withdrawal benefits	Contractholder funds	290	n/a	(9)	_	(9	)
Equity-indexed and forward starting options in life				, ,			,
and annuity product contracts	Contractholder funds	1,751	n/a	(247)		(247	)
Credit default contracts							
	Other liabilities &	126	,	(2)		(2	`
Credit default swaps – buying protection	accrued expenses	136	n/a	(2)		(2	)
Conditate front and a self-or and a self-or	Other liabilities &	105		(2)		(2	`
Credit default swaps – selling protection	accrued expenses	105	n/a	(3)	_	(3	)
Subtotal	-	2,673	4,848	(334)		(334	)
Total liability derivatives		2,673	4,848	(334)	<b>\$</b> —	\$(334	)
Total derivatives		\$3,776	9,081	\$(241)			

Volume for OTC and cleared derivative contracts is represented by their notional amounts. Volume for exchange (1) traded derivatives is represented by the number of contracts, which is the basis on which they are traded. (n/a = not applicable)

The following table provides gross and net amounts for the Company's OTC derivatives, all of which are subject to enforceable master netting agreements.

(\$ in millions) Offsets Net Cash Securities amount Counterepalateral collateral Net Gross amount netting (received) (received) amount balance pledged pledged sheet June 30, 2017 Asset derivatives \$ 20 \$(25) \$ 6 \$ 1 \$ 1 Liability derivatives (29 ) 25 (4 (8 ) 3 (5 December 31, 2016 Asset derivatives \$ 22 \$ \$ 31 \$(28) \$ 19 \$ 13 Liability derivatives (33 ) 28 (5 4 (1 )

The following table provides a summary of the impacts of the Company's foreign currency contracts in cash flow hedging relationships. Amortization of net gains from accumulated other comprehensive income related to cash flow hedges is expected to be a gain of \$3 million during the next twelve months. There was no hedge ineffectiveness reported in realized gains and losses for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2017 or 2016.

Three Six months months (\$ in millions) ended ended June 30, June 30, 20172016 2017 2016 \$-\$ 1 \$(2) \$(1) Gain (loss) recognized in OCI on derivatives during the period (Loss) gain recognized in OCI on derivatives during the term of the hedging relationship (1) 2(1) 2Gain reclassified from AOCI into income (net investment income) 1 3 Gain reclassified from AOCI into income (realized capital gains and losses) 3

The following tables present gains and losses from valuation and settlements reported on derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. For the three months and six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, the Company had no derivatives used in fair value hedging relationships.

(\$ in millions)	Realized capital gains and losses	Life and annuity	credited to contractholder	Operating costs and expenses	Total gain (loss) recognized in net income or derivative	d 1
Three months ended June 30, 2017 Equity and index contracts Embedded derivative financial instruments Foreign currency contracts Total	\$ — — (8 ) \$ (8 )	\$ — 1 — \$ 1	\$ 9 — — \$ 9	\$ 5 — 4 \$ 9	\$ 14 1	)
Six months ended June 30, 2017 Equity and index contracts Embedded derivative financial instruments Foreign currency contracts Credit default contracts Total	\$ (7 ) — (15 ) (1 ) \$ (23 )	\$ — 8 — — — \$ 8	\$ 22 (4 ) — \$ 18	\$ 12  5  \$ 17	\$ 27 4 (10 (1 \$ 20	)
Three months ended June 30, 2016 Interest rate contracts Equity and index contracts Embedded derivative financial instruments Foreign currency contracts Credit default contracts Total	\$ (1 ) (5 ) - 6 (1 ) \$ (1 )	\$ — 14 — — \$ 14	\$ — 2 (5 ) — \$ (3 )	\$ — 4 — (16 ) — \$ (12 )	\$ (1 ) 1 9 (10 (1 ) \$ (2 )	) ) ) )
Six months ended June 30, 2016 Interest rate contracts Equity and index contracts Embedded derivative financial instruments Foreign currency contracts Credit default contracts Total	\$ (1 ) (5 ) — 1 (5 ) \$ (10 )	\$ — (2 ) — (2 ) — (2 )	\$ — (5 ) (3 ) — — \$ (8 )	\$ — 4 — (21 ) — \$ (17 )	\$ (1 (6 (5 (20 (5 \$ (37	) ) ) )

The Company manages its exposure to credit risk by utilizing highly rated counterparties, establishing risk control limits, executing legally enforceable master netting agreements ("MNAs") and obtaining collateral where appropriate. The Company uses MNAs for OTC derivative transactions that permit either party to net payments due for transactions and collateral is either pledged or obtained when certain predetermined exposure limits are exceeded. As of June 30, 2017, counterparties pledged \$7 million in cash to the Company, and the Company pledged \$12 million in cash and securities to counterparties which includes \$3 million of collateral posted under MNAs for contracts containing credit-risk-contingent provisions that are in a liability position and \$9 million of collateral posted under MNAs for contracts without credit-risk-contingent features. The Company has not incurred any losses on derivative financial instruments due to counterparty nonperformance. Other derivatives, including futures and certain option contracts, are traded on organized exchanges which require margin deposits and guarantee the execution of trades, thereby mitigating any potential credit risk.

Counterparty credit exposure represents the Company's potential loss if all of the counterparties concurrently fail to perform under the contractual terms of the contracts and all collateral, if any, becomes worthless. This exposure is

measured by the fair value of OTC derivative contracts with a positive fair value at the reporting date reduced by the effect, if any, of legally enforceable master netting agreements.

The following table summarizes the counterparty credit exposure by counterparty credit rating as it relates to the Company's OTC derivatives.

(\$ in millions)	June 30, 201	7				December 3	1, 20	)16		
Rating (1)	Number of Notional counternt (2) parties	Cred		Exponet of collat		Number of Notional counternt (2) parties			net	oosure, of lateral <sup>(2)</sup>
AA-	2 \$ 140	\$	1	\$	_	2 \$ 80	\$	2	\$	2
A+	4 775	6		1		5 698	20		9	
A-		—		_		1 110	1		1	
Total	6 \$ 915	\$	7	\$	1	8 \$ 888	\$	23	\$	12

<sup>(1)</sup> Rating is the lower of S&P or Moody's ratings.

Market risk is the risk that the Company will incur losses due to adverse changes in market rates and prices. Market risk exists for all of the derivative financial instruments the Company currently holds, as these instruments may become less valuable due to adverse changes in market conditions. To limit this risk, the Company's senior management has established risk control limits. In addition, changes in fair value of the derivative financial instruments that the Company uses for risk management purposes are generally offset by the change in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged risk component of the related assets, liabilities or forecasted transactions. Certain of the Company's derivative instruments contain credit-risk-contingent termination events, cross-default provisions and credit support annex agreements. Credit-risk-contingent termination events allow the counterparties to terminate the derivative agreement or a specific trade on certain dates if AIC's, ALIC's or Allstate Life Insurance Company of New York's ("ALNY") financial strength credit ratings by Moody's or S&P fall below a certain level. Credit-risk-contingent cross-default provisions allow the counterparties to terminate the derivative agreement if the Company defaults by pre-determined threshold amounts on certain debt instruments. Credit-risk-contingent credit support annex agreements specify the amount of collateral the Company must post to counterparties based on AIC's, ALIC's or ALNY's financial strength credit ratings by Moody's or S&P, or in the event AIC, ALIC or ALNY are no longer rated by either Moody's or S&P.

The following summarizes the fair value of derivative instruments with termination, cross-default or collateral credit-risk-contingent features that are in a liability position, as well as the fair value of assets and collateral that are netted against the liability in accordance with provisions within legally enforceable MNAs.

(\$ in millions)		June 30,		, Decembe	
			201	6	
Gross liability fair value of contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features	\$ 20		\$	9	
Gross asset fair value of contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features and subject to MNAs	(12	)	(7		)
Collateral posted under MNAs for contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features	(3	)			
Maximum amount of additional exposure for contracts with credit-risk-contingent features if all features were triggered concurrently	\$ 5		\$	2	

Credit derivatives - selling protection

A credit default swap ("CDS") is a derivative instrument, representing an agreement between two parties to exchange the credit risk of a specified entity (or a group of entities), or an index based on the credit risk of a group of entities (all commonly referred to as the "reference entity" or a portfolio of "reference entities"), in return for a periodic premium. In selling protection, CDS are used to replicate fixed income securities and to complement the cash market when credit exposure to certain issuers is not available or when the derivative alternative is less expensive than the cash market alternative. CDS typically have a five-year term.

<sup>(2)</sup> Only OTC derivatives with a net positive fair value are included for each counterparty.

The following table shows the CDS notional amounts by credit rating and fair value of protection sold.

(\$ in millions)	Notional amount					
	AA	A	BBB	BB and lower	Total	Fair value
June 30, 2017						
Single name						
Corporate debt	\$	\$	\$25	\$ 5	\$30	<b>\$</b> —
First-to-default Basket						
Municipal	—		100		100	
Index						
Corporate debt	1	17	49	13	80	1
Total	\$1	\$17	\$174	\$ 18	\$210	\$ 1
December 31, 2016						
Single name						
Corporate debt	\$20	\$10	\$35	\$ —	\$65	\$ 1
First-to-default Basket						
Municipal	—		100		100	(3)
Index						
Corporate debt	1	19	50	10	80	1
Total	\$21	\$29	\$185	\$ 10	\$245	\$(1)

In selling protection with CDS, the Company sells credit protection on an identified single name, a basket of names in a first-to-default ("FTD") structure or credit derivative index ("CDX") that is generally investment grade, and in return receives periodic premiums through expiration or termination of the agreement. With single name CDS, this premium or credit spread generally corresponds to the difference between the yield on the reference entity's public fixed maturity cash instruments and swap rates at the time the agreement is executed. With a FTD basket, because of the additional credit risk inherent in a basket of named reference entities, the premium generally corresponds to a high proportion of the sum of the credit spreads of the names in the basket and the correlation between the names. CDX is utilized to take a position on multiple (generally 125) reference entities. Credit events are typically defined as bankruptcy, failure to pay, or restructuring, depending on the nature of the reference entities. If a credit event occurs, the Company settles with the counterparty, either through physical settlement or cash settlement. In a physical settlement, a reference asset is delivered by the buyer of protection to the Company, in exchange for cash payment at par, whereas in a cash settlement, the Company pays the difference between par and the prescribed value of the reference asset. When a credit event occurs in a single name or FTD basket (for FTD, the first credit event occurring for any one name in the basket), the contract terminates at the time of settlement. For CDX, the reference entity's name incurring the credit event is removed from the index while the contract continues until expiration. The maximum payout on a CDS is the contract notional amount. A physical settlement may afford the Company with recovery rights as the new owner of the asset.

The Company monitors risk associated with credit derivatives through individual name credit limits at both a credit derivative and a combined cash instrument/credit derivative level. The ratings of individual names for which protection has been sold are also monitored.

# 8. Reserve for Property-Liability Insurance Claims and Claims Expense

The Company's reserving process takes into account known facts and interpretations of circumstances and factors including the Company's experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, historical trends involving claim payment patterns and pending levels of unpaid claims, loss management programs, product mix and contractual terms, changes in law and regulation, judicial decisions, and economic conditions. In the normal course of business, the Company may also supplement its claims processes by utilizing third party adjusters, appraisers, engineers, inspectors, and other professionals and information sources to assess and settle catastrophe and non-catastrophe related claims. The effects

of inflation are implicitly considered in the reserving process.

Because reserves are estimates of unpaid portions of losses that have occurred, including incurred but not reported ("IBNR") losses, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophes and reserves and reinsurance recoverables for the Discontinued Lines and Coverages, is an inherently uncertain and complex process. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on management's best estimates. The highest degree of uncertainty is associated with reserves for losses incurred in the current reporting period as it contains the greatest proportion of losses that have not been reported or settled. The Company regularly updates its reserve estimates as new information becomes available and as events unfold that may affect the resolution of unsettled claims. Changes in prior year reserve estimates, which may be material, are

reported in property-liability insurance claims and claims expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period such changes are determined.

Management believes that the reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense, net of reinsurance recoverables, is appropriately established in the aggregate and adequate to cover the ultimate net cost of reported and unreported claims arising from losses which had occurred by the date of the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position based on available facts, technology, laws and regulations.

Activity in the reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense is summarized as follows:

received in the reserve for property interinty ins					
(\$ in millions)	Six months ended				
(\$ III IIIIIIOIIS)	June 30,				
	2017	2016			
Balance as of January 1	\$25,250	\$23,869			
Less reinsurance recoverables	6,184	5,892			
Net balance as of January 1	19,066	17,977			
SquareTrade acquisition as of January 3, 2017	17	_			
Incurred claims and claims expense related to:					
Current year	11,291	11,564			
Prior years	(186)	21			
Total incurred	11,105	11,585			
Claims and claims expense paid related to:					
Current year	6,060	6,138			
Prior years	4,450	4,553			
Total paid	10,510	10,691			
Net balance as of June 30	19,678	18,871			
Plus reinsurance recoverables	6,206	6,033			
Balance as of June 30	\$25,884	\$24,904			

Incurred claims and claims expense represents the sum of paid losses and reserve changes in the period. This expense includes losses from catastrophes of \$1.75 billion and \$1.79 billion in the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, net of reinsurance and other recoveries. Catastrophes are an inherent risk of the property-liability insurance business that have contributed to, and will continue to contribute to, material year-to-year fluctuations in the Company's results of operations and financial position.

During the six months ended June 30, 2017, incurred claims and claims expense related to prior years was primarily composed of net decreases in auto reserves of \$147 million primarily due to injury coverages claim severity development that was better than expected, net decreases in homeowners reserves of \$44 million due to favorable non-catastrophe reserve reestimates, and net increases in Discontinued Lines and Coverages of \$5 million. Incurred claims and claims expense includes favorable catastrophe loss reestimates of \$3 million, net of reinsurance and other recoveries.

#### 9. Reinsurance

Property-liability insurance premiums earned and life and annuity premiums and contract charges have been reduced by reinsurance ceded amounts shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	month ended 30,	hs	Six months ended June 30,		
	2017	2016	2017	2016	
Property-liability insurance premiums earned	\$254	\$248	\$500	\$497	
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges	75	78	150	152	

Property-liability insurance claims and claims expense, life and annuity contract benefits and interest credited to contractholder funds have been reduced by the reinsurance ceded amounts shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three	Six months
	months	ended June

ended June 30,

30,

2017 2016 2017 2016

Property-liability insurance claims and claims expense \$124 \$241 \$255 \$402 Life and annuity contract benefits 70 80 117 147

Interest credited to contractholder funds 6

6 11 11

#### 10. Company Restructuring

The Company undertakes various programs to reduce expenses. These programs generally involve a reduction in staffing levels, and in certain cases, office closures. Restructuring and related charges primarily include employee termination and relocation benefits, and post-exit rent expenses in connection with these programs, and non-cash charges resulting from pension benefit payments made to agents and certain legal expenses incurred in connection with the 1999 reorganization of Allstate's multiple agency programs to a single exclusive agency program. The expenses related to these activities are included in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as restructuring and related charges, and totaled \$53 million and \$11 million during the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, and \$63 million and \$16 million during the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Restructuring expenses in 2017 primarily related to Allstate brand claims process changes and office closures due to increased efficiencies and improvements in technology, including the expansion of virtual estimating capabilities through QuickFoto Claim® and video chat technology, a voluntary termination program extended to certain employees, and exiting of certain operations and realigning certain departments for the Esurance and Encompass brand operations.

The following table presents changes in the restructuring liability during the six months ended June 30, 2017.

(\$ in millions)	Employee	Exit	Total
(\$ III IIIIIIOIIS)	costs	costs	liability
Balance as of December 31, 2016	\$ —	\$2	\$ 2
Expense incurred	36	16	52
Payments applied against liability	(2)	(4)	(6)
Balance as of June 30, 2017	\$ 34	\$14	\$ 48

The payments applied against the liability for employee costs primarily reflect severance costs, and the payments for exit costs generally consist of post-exit rent expenses and contract termination penalties. As of June 30, 2017, the cumulative amount incurred to date for active programs totaled \$95 million for employee costs and \$77 million for exit costs.

#### 11. Guarantees and Contingent Liabilities

Shared markets and state facility assessments

The Company is required to participate in assigned risk plans, reinsurance facilities and joint underwriting associations in various states that provide insurance coverage to individuals or entities that otherwise are unable to purchase such coverage from private insurers. Underwriting results related to these arrangements, which tend to be adverse, have been immaterial to the Company's results of operations. Because of the Company's participation, it may be exposed to losses that surpass the capitalization of these facilities and/or assessments from these facilities. Guarantees

The Company provides residual value guarantees on Company leased automobiles. If all outstanding leases were terminated effective June 30, 2017, the Company's maximum obligation pursuant to these guarantees, assuming the automobiles have no residual value, would be \$40 million as of June 30, 2017. The remaining term of each residual value guarantee is equal to the term of the underlying lease that ranges from less than one year to four years. Historically, the Company has not made any material payments pursuant to these guarantees.

Related to the sale of LBL on April 1, 2014, ALIC agreed to indemnify Resolution Life Holdings, Inc. in connection with certain representations, warranties and covenants of ALIC, and certain liabilities specifically excluded from the transaction, subject to specific contractual limitations regarding ALIC's maximum obligation. Management does not believe these indemnifications will have a material effect on results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Company.

Related to the disposal through reinsurance of substantially all of Allstate Financial's variable annuity business to Prudential in 2006, the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries, ALIC and ALNY, have agreed to indemnify Prudential for certain pre-closing contingent liabilities (including extra-contractual liabilities of ALIC and ALNY and liabilities specifically excluded from the transaction) that ALIC and ALNY have agreed to retain. In addition, the Company, ALIC and ALNY will each indemnify Prudential for certain post-closing liabilities that may arise from the acts of ALIC, ALNY and their agents, including certain liabilities arising from ALIC's and ALNY's provision of transition services. The reinsurance agreements contain no limitations or indemnifications with regard to insurance

risk transfer and transferred all of the future risks and responsibilities for performance on the underlying variable annuity contracts to Prudential, including those related to benefit guarantees. Management does not believe this agreement will have a material effect on results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Company. In the normal course of business, the Company provides standard indemnifications to contractual counterparties in connection with numerous transactions, including acquisitions and divestitures. The types of indemnifications typically provided include indemnifications for breaches of representations and warranties, taxes and certain other liabilities, such as third party lawsuits. The indemnification clauses are often standard contractual terms and are entered into in the normal course of business based on

an assessment that the risk of loss would be remote. The terms of the indemnifications vary in duration and nature. In many cases, the maximum obligation is not explicitly stated and the contingencies triggering the obligation to indemnify have not occurred and are not expected to occur. Consequently, the maximum amount of the obligation under such indemnifications is not determinable. Historically, the Company has not made any material payments pursuant to these obligations.

The aggregate liability balance related to all guarantees was not material as of June 30, 2017. Regulation and Compliance

The Company is subject to extensive laws, regulations, administrative directives, and regulatory actions. From time to time, regulatory authorities or legislative bodies seek to influence and restrict premium rates, require premium refunds to policyholders, require reinstatement of terminated policies, prescribe rules or guidelines on how affiliates compete in the marketplace, restrict the ability of insurers to cancel or non-renew policies, require insurers to continue to write new policies or limit their ability to write new policies, limit insurers' ability to change coverage terms or to impose underwriting standards, impose additional regulations regarding agent and broker compensation, regulate the nature of and amount of investments, impose fines and penalties for unintended errors or mistakes, and otherwise expand overall regulation of insurance products and the insurance industry. In addition, the Company is subject to laws and regulations administered and enforced by federal agencies and other organizations, including but not limited to the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, the Department of Labor, the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and the U.S. Department of Justice. The Company has established procedures and policies to facilitate compliance with laws and regulations, to foster prudent business operations, and to support financial reporting. The Company routinely reviews its practices to validate compliance with laws and regulations and with internal procedures and policies. As a result of these reviews, from time to time the Company may decide to modify some of its procedures and policies. Such modifications, and the reviews that led to them, may be accompanied by payments being made and costs being incurred. The ultimate changes and eventual effects of these actions on the Company's business, if any, are uncertain.

Legal and regulatory proceedings and inquiries

The Company and certain subsidiaries are involved in a number of lawsuits, regulatory inquiries, and other legal proceedings arising out of various aspects of its business.

# Background

These matters raise difficult and complicated factual and legal issues and are subject to many uncertainties and complexities, including the underlying facts of each matter; novel legal issues; variations between jurisdictions in which matters are being litigated, heard, or investigated; changes in assigned judges; differences or developments in applicable laws and judicial interpretations; judges reconsidering prior rulings; the length of time before many of these matters might be resolved by settlement, through litigation, or otherwise; adjustments with respect to anticipated trial schedules and other proceedings; developments in similar actions against other companies; the fact that some of the lawsuits are putative class actions in which a class has not been certified and in which the purported class may not be clearly defined; the fact that some of the lawsuits involve multi-state class actions in which the applicable law(s) for the claims at issue is in dispute and therefore unclear; and the current challenging legal environment faced by corporations and insurance companies.

The outcome of these matters may be affected by decisions, verdicts, and settlements, and the timing of such decisions, verdicts, and settlements, in other individual and class action lawsuits that involve the Company, other insurers, or other entities and by other legal, governmental, and regulatory actions that involve the Company, other insurers, or other entities. The outcome may also be affected by future state or federal legislation, the timing or substance of which cannot be predicted.

In the lawsuits, plaintiffs seek a variety of remedies which may include equitable relief in the form of injunctive and other remedies and monetary relief in the form of contractual and extra-contractual damages. In some cases, the monetary damages sought may include punitive or treble damages. Often specific information about the relief sought, such as the amount of damages, is not available because plaintiffs have not requested specific relief in their pleadings. When specific monetary demands are made, they are often set just below a state court jurisdictional limit in order to seek the maximum amount available in state court, regardless of the specifics of the case, while still avoiding the risk of removal to federal court. In Allstate's experience, monetary demands in pleadings bear little relation to the ultimate

loss, if any, to the Company.

In connection with regulatory examinations and proceedings, government authorities may seek various forms of relief, including penalties, restitution, and changes in business practices. The Company may not be advised of the nature and extent of relief sought until the final stages of the examination or proceeding.

Accrual and disclosure policy

The Company reviews its lawsuits, regulatory inquiries, and other legal proceedings on an ongoing basis and follows appropriate accounting guidance when making accrual and disclosure decisions. The Company establishes accruals for such matters at management's best estimate when the Company assesses that it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company does not establish accruals for such matters when the Company does not

believe both that it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company's assessment of whether a loss is reasonably possible or probable is based on its assessment of the ultimate outcome of the matter following all appeals. The Company does not include potential recoveries in its estimates of reasonably possible or probable losses. Legal fees are expensed as incurred.

The Company continues to monitor its lawsuits, regulatory inquiries, and other legal proceedings for further developments that would make the loss contingency both probable and estimable, and accordingly accruable, or that could affect the amount of accruals that have been previously established. There may continue to be exposure to loss in excess of any amount accrued. Disclosure of the nature and amount of an accrual is made when there have been sufficient legal and factual developments such that the Company's ability to resolve the matter would not be impaired by the disclosure of the amount of accrual.

When the Company assesses it is reasonably possible or probable that a loss has been incurred, it discloses the matter. When it is possible to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued, if any, for the matters disclosed, that estimate is aggregated and disclosed. Disclosure is not required when an estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss cannot be made.

For certain of the matters described below in the "Claims related proceedings" and "Other proceedings" subsections, the Company is able to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued, if any. In determining whether it is possible to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss, the Company reviews and evaluates the disclosed matters, in conjunction with counsel, in light of potentially relevant factual and legal developments.

These developments may include information learned through the discovery process, rulings on dispositive motions, settlement discussions, information obtained from other sources, experience from managing these and other matters, and other rulings by courts, arbitrators or others. When the Company possesses sufficient appropriate information to develop an estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued, if any, that estimate is aggregated and disclosed below. There may be other disclosed matters for which a loss is probable or reasonably possible but such an estimate is not possible. Disclosure of the estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued, if any, for any individual matter would only be considered when there have been sufficient legal and factual developments such that the Company's ability to resolve the matter would not be impaired by the disclosure of the individual estimate.

The Company currently estimates that the aggregate range of reasonably possible loss in excess of the amount accrued, if any, for the disclosed matters where such an estimate is possible is zero to \$425 million, pre-tax. This disclosure is not an indication of expected loss, if any. Under accounting guidance, an event is "reasonably possible" if "the chance of the future event or events occurring is more than remote but less than likely" and an event is "remote" if "the chance of the future event or events occurring is slight." This estimate is based upon currently available information and is subject to significant judgment and a variety of assumptions, and known and unknown uncertainties. The matters underlying the estimate will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from the current estimate. The estimate does not include matters or losses for which an estimate is not possible. Therefore, this estimate represents an estimate of possible loss only for certain matters meeting these criteria. It does not represent the Company's maximum possible loss exposure. Information is provided below regarding the nature of all of the disclosed matters and, where specified, the amount, if any, of plaintiff claims associated with these loss contingencies. Due to the complexity and scope of the matters disclosed in the "Claims related proceedings" and "Other proceedings" subsections below and the many uncertainties that exist, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be predicted and in the Company's judgment, a loss, in excess of amounts accrued, if any, is not probable. In the event of an unfavorable outcome in one or more of these matters, the ultimate liability may be in excess of amounts currently accrued, if any, and may be material to the Company's operating results or cash flows for a particular quarterly or annual period. However, based on information currently known to it, management believes that the ultimate outcome of all matters described below, as they are resolved over time, is not likely to have a material effect on the financial position of the Company.

Claims related proceedings

The Company is litigating two class action cases in California in which the plaintiffs allege off-the-clock wage and hour claims. Plaintiffs in both cases seek recovery of unpaid compensation, liquidated damages, penalties, and

attorneys' fees and costs.

The first case is Christopher Williams, et al. v. Allstate Insurance Company. The Williams case is pending in Los Angeles Superior Court and was filed in December 2007. The case involves two classes. The first class includes auto field physical damage adjusters employed in the state of California from January 1, 2005 to the date of final judgment, to the extent the Company failed to pay for off-the-clock work to those adjusters who performed certain duties prior to their first assignments. The other class includes all non-exempt employees in California from December 19, 2006 until June 2011 who received pay statements from Allstate which allegedly did not comply with California law. On April 13, 2016, the court granted the Company's motion to decertify both classes; both classes are thus dissolved unless and until the appellate court orders the classes recertified. On May

17, 2016, plaintiffs filed their notice of appeal. Plaintiff's opening brief was filed on November 22, 2016. Allstate's response was filed on May 16, 2017. Plaintiff's reply brief was filed on July 6, 2017. Oral argument is likely, but has not been scheduled by the appellate court.

The second case is Jack Jimenez, et al. v. Allstate Insurance Company. Jimenez was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California in September 2010. The plaintiffs allege that they worked off-the-clock; they also allege other California Labor Code violations resulting from purported unpaid overtime. In April 2012, the court certified a class that includes all adjusters in the state of California, except auto field adjusters, from September 29, 2006 to final judgment. Allstate appealed the court's decision to certify the class, first to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and then to the U.S. Supreme Court. On June 15, 2015, the U.S. Supreme Court denied Allstate's petition for a writ of certiorari. The case was scheduled for trial on September 27, 2016. On May 4, 2016, the court vacated that trial date in part because the court had not approved a trial plan. No trial date has been scheduled because the parties continue to wait for the court's approval of a trial plan.

In addition to the California class actions, the case of Maria Victoria Perez and Kaela Brown, et al. v. Allstate Insurance Company was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York. Plaintiffs allege that no-fault claim adjusters have been improperly classified as exempt employees under New York Labor Law and the Fair Labor Standards Act. The case was filed in April 2011, and the plaintiffs are seeking unpaid wages, liquidated damages, injunctive relief, compensatory and punitive damages, and attorneys' fees. On September 16, 2014, the court certified a class of no-fault adjusters under New York Labor Law and refused to decertify a Fair Labor Standards Act class of no-fault adjusters. There are 105 members of the Fair Labor Standards Act class and 137 members of the New York Labor Law class. The parties are currently engaged in discovery.

The Company has been involved in litigation challenging whether the Company's personal injury protection policies include sufficient language providing notice of the Company's election to apply the fee schedules. The Florida personal injury protection statute permits insurers to pay personal injury protection benefits for reasonable medical expenses based on certain benefit reimbursement limitations which are authorized by the personal injury protection statute (generally referred to as "fee schedules") resulting from automobile accidents.

On January 26, 2017, the Florida Supreme Court issued its decision in Allstate Insurance Company v. Orthopedic Specialists, et al., holding that Allstate's language was clear and unambiguous and provided adequate notice of its intent to use the fee schedules. On February 7, 2017, Orthopedic Specialists filed a motion for rehearing, which the Florida Supreme Court denied on March 27, 2017. Thus, the Florida Supreme Court's decision is final. In light of this ruling, the fee schedule issue is expected to be resolved favorably to Allstate in other pending cases. There were three other cases with petitions for leave to appeal to the Florida Supreme Court pending. In those cases, three District Courts of Appeal had previously ruled in favor of Allstate. The Florida Supreme Court issued "show cause" orders in each of those appeals directing the providers to file a response explaining why the Orthopedic Specialists decision is not controlling and why the Florida Supreme Court should not decline to exercise jurisdiction. In one appeal, the provider has acknowledged that Orthopedic Specialists governs and the court has declined jurisdiction in that appeal. In the other two appeals, the providers assert that their petitions to appeal should be granted because Orthopedic Specialists was wrongly decided, repeating the arguments previously asserted. Allstate's responses were filed on May 8, 2017. The parties await the Florida Supreme Court's decision as to whether it will exercise jurisdiction in those appeals.

This fee schedule issue has also been the subject of thousands of individual lawsuits filed against Allstate in Florida. The decision by the Florida Supreme Court has established Florida law on the sufficiency of Allstate's fee schedule policy language that is binding on all Florida courts. Allstate intends to seek final resolution in its favor of all fee schedule claims currently in litigation as well as those not in litigation. Allstate may seek restitution from some plaintiffs for attorneys' fees and costs.

#### Other proceedings

The Company is defending a consolidated proceeding relating to the reorganization of its agent sales force in 2000, when the Company discontinued employee agent programs, terminated the contracts of its employee agents, and offered those agents the opportunity to become Allstate Exclusive Agent independent contractors or to take severance benefits in exchange for a release of claims. The consolidated proceeding, captioned Gene Romero, et al. v. Allstate Insurance Company, et al., is pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This matter has a long and complex history, only relevant portions of which are summarized here. The case began in 2001 as two separate putative class actions filed by approximately 32 former employee agents. In one case, plaintiffs challenged the reorganization alleging claims of age discrimination under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA"), interference with benefits under ERISA, breach of contract, and breach of fiduciary duty. Plaintiffs also challenged the release of claims on various grounds including alleging that the release was retaliatory under the ADEA and ERISA. In the other case, plaintiffs challenged certain amendments to the Agents Pension Plan and sought to have service as Exclusive Agent independent contractors count toward eligibility for benefits. Plaintiffs sought various relief, including back pay, compensatory and punitive damages, liquidated damages, lost investment capital, loss of benefits as a result of their conversion to Exclusive Agent or retirement from

the Company, repeal of the challenged plan amendments with all attendant benefits revised and recalculated, and attorneys' fees. Allstate, among other defenses, asserted that the release bars all of the asserted claims.

In February, 2014, the court addressed motions for summary judgment filed by both the plaintiffs and the Company regarding the validity and enforceability of the release and determined that the question of whether the releases were knowingly and voluntarily signed raised disputed issues of fact to be resolved at trial. The court also held that the release, if valid, would bar all claims. In late 2014, the court denied plaintiffs' motion to certify a class for purposes of determining whether the releases were signed knowingly and voluntarily. The court also ordered that all statutes of limitations would resume running on March 2, 2015, after which approximately 460 additional individual plaintiffs filed separate similar lawsuits or sought to intervene.

A jury trial was held in June, 2015, to determine whether the releases of ten plaintiffs were knowingly and voluntarily signed. Additionally, plaintiffs asserted two equitable defenses to the release which were to be determined by the court and not the jury. The jury found that two plaintiffs signed their releases knowingly and voluntarily and eight plaintiffs did not. Subsequently, the court ruled in the Company's favor on the two equitable defenses to the release with respect to all ten plaintiffs. The result affecting these ten plaintiffs is final in the trial court.

On May 2, 2016, the court entered an order consolidating the original and intervening plaintiffs' claims, and ordering plaintiffs to file a consolidated amended complaint. A consolidated amended complaint was filed on behalf of 498 plaintiffs, most of whom had previously filed separate lawsuits or intervened in the earlier proceedings. The consolidated complaint alleged the claims asserted in the previously filed complaints. The Company asserted defenses, including the release. On July 6, 2016, the court denied the Company's motion to dismiss plaintiffs' state law breach of contract and fiduciary duty claims, but granted dismissal of plaintiffs' retaliation claims under the ADEA and ERISA challenging the release of claims.

The court separated the case into two phases to address "common issues" in plaintiffs' claims: (a) "Phase I" addressing claims by 118 plaintiffs alleging that certain plan amendments violated ERISA's anti-cutback provision by eliminating an accrued benefit and (b) "Phase II" addressing all plaintiffs' claims for alleged interference with employee benefits under ERISA and disparate impact under the ADEA.

Regarding Phase I, the court granted in part, and denied in part, the Company's summary judgment motion on plaintiffs' claims challenging certain Plan amendments. The court granted the motion with respect to one plaintiff whose claim was barred by the statute of limitations. The court also dismissed plaintiffs' claim that a 1993 Plan amendment resulted in an unlawful cutback of benefits and plaintiffs' claim for breach of fiduciary duty. A bench trial on the remaining Phase I claims was held in December, 2016.

On April 27, 2017, the court issued its Phase I opinion and ruled that (i) the Company's 1991 amendments to the Plan did not violate ERISA by improperly cutting back on plaintiffs' benefits, and (ii) with respect to only 118 plaintiffs, the Company's interpretation of the Plan's definition of "retire" violated ERISA's anti-cutback rule. The court required the parties to provide further information, in the form of an accounting, to determine whether any plaintiffs suffered a loss based on any such cutback. Plaintiffs submitted their Phase I accounting to the court, alleging that only two of the 118 plaintiffs asserting this cutback claim suffered a loss as a result of the court's order. The Company then submitted its objections to plaintiffs' accounting on May 26, 2017, arguing that no plaintiff suffered a compensable loss and that judgment should be entered in favor of the Company. We await a final ruling by the court.

Regarding Phase II, the court granted the Company's motion for summary judgment on both the ADEA disparate impact and ERISA interference with benefits claims and denied plaintiffs' cross-motion. This resolves these claims in the trial court as to all plaintiffs.

In June and July 2017, the Company and 41 plaintiffs reached agreements in principle to settle all claims of those plaintiffs on a confidential basis, subject to negotiating and executing appropriate written settlement agreements. In June, 2017, the court entered an order establishing Phases III and IV of the litigation. In Phase III, the remaining claims of the eight individual plaintiffs who reside in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania will be litigated, possibly culminating in two separate jury trials each addressing the claims of four plaintiffs. Those claims are ADEA disparate treatment (asserted by six of the eight plaintiffs), breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty and challenges to the release. The trials are scheduled for January and March, 2018. In Phase IV, which runs concurrently with Phase III, the parties will engage in further written discovery relating to the claims of all other plaintiffs (those residing outside the Eastern District of Pennsylvania) until the court determines the proper venue for depositions, dispositive motions,

and trials of those claims. In March, 2018, the parties are to submit further briefing setting forth their positions on resolving the non-resident plaintiffs' remaining individual claims, including whether to transfer those claims to each plaintiff's home jurisdiction.

Regarding Phase III, the Company filed a motion for summary judgment as to the six Phase III plaintiffs asserting ADEA disparate treatment claims arguing that none of those plaintiffs filed timely age discrimination charges with the EEOC and thus those claims must be dismissed. The Company is also seeking summary judgment on all eight of the Phase III plaintiffs' remaining

state law claims (breach of contract and breach of fiduciary duty) asserting that plaintiffs ratified the release by failing to return the consideration the Company gave them in exchange for signing the release.

The final resolution of these matters is subject to various uncertainties and complexities including how trials, post-trial motions, possible appeals with respect to the validity of the release, and any rulings on the merits will be resolved. Asbestos and environmental

Allstate's reserves for asbestos claims were \$867 million and \$912 million, net of reinsurance recoverables of \$419 million and \$444 million, as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Reserves for environmental claims were \$166 million and \$179 million, net of reinsurance recoverables of \$36 million and \$40 million, as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

Management believes its net loss reserves for asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines exposures are appropriately established based on available facts, technology, laws and regulations. However, establishing net loss reserves for asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims is subject to uncertainties that are much greater than those presented by other types of claims. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on management's best estimate. Among the complications are lack of historical data, long reporting delays, uncertainty as to the number and identity of insureds with potential exposure and unresolved legal issues regarding policy coverage; unresolved legal issues regarding the determination, availability and timing of exhaustion of policy limits; plaintiffs' evolving and expanding theories of liability; availability and collectability of recoveries from reinsurance; retrospectively determined premiums and other contractual agreements; estimates of the extent and timing of any contractual liability; the impact of bankruptcy protection sought by various asbestos producers and other asbestos defendants; and other uncertainties. There are also complex legal issues concerning the interpretation of various insurance policy provisions and whether those losses are covered, or were ever intended to be covered, and could be recoverable through retrospectively determined premium, reinsurance or other contractual agreements. Courts have reached different and sometimes inconsistent conclusions as to when losses are deemed to have occurred and which policies provide coverage; what types of losses are covered; whether there is an insurer obligation to defend; how policy limits are determined; how policy exclusions and conditions are applied and interpreted; and whether clean-up costs represent insured property damage. Further, insurers and claims administrators acting on behalf of insurers are increasingly pursuing evolving and expanding theories of reinsurance coverage for asbestos and environmental losses. Adjudication of reinsurance coverage is predominately decided in confidential arbitration proceedings which may have limited precedential or predictive value further complicating management's ability to estimate probable loss for reinsured asbestos and environmental claims. Management believes these issues are not likely to be resolved in the near future, and the ultimate costs may vary materially from the amounts currently recorded resulting in material changes in loss reserves. In addition, while the Company believes that improved actuarial techniques and databases have assisted in its ability to estimate asbestos, environmental, and other discontinued lines net loss reserves, these refinements may subsequently prove to be inadequate indicators of the extent of probable losses. Due to the uncertainties and factors described above, management believes it is not practicable to develop a meaningful range for any such additional net loss reserves that may be required.

#### 12. Benefit Plans

The components of net periodic cost for the Company's pension and postretirement benefit plans are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended June 30,			onths June
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Pension benefits				
Service cost	\$28	\$28	\$57	\$56
Interest cost	66	72	132	143
Expected return on plan assets	(102)	(100)	(204)	(199)
Amortization of:				
Prior service credit	(14)	(14)	(28)	(28)
Net actuarial loss	47	44	94	87
Settlement loss	8	8	16	16
Net periodic pension cost	\$33	\$38	\$67	\$75
Postretirement benefits				
Service cost	\$2	\$3	\$4	\$5
Interest cost	3	5	7	9
Amortization of:				
Prior service credit	(6)	(6)	(12)	(11)
Net actuarial gain	(6)	(8)	(12)	(16)
Net periodic postretirement credit	\$(7)	\$(6)	\$(13)	\$(13)

## 13. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Non-cash investing activities include \$9 million and \$270 million related to mergers and exchanges completed with equity securities, and modifications of certain mortgage loans and other investments for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Non-cash financing activities include \$42 million and \$39 million related to the issuance of Allstate common shares for vested equity awards for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Non-cash financing activities also included \$34 million related to debt acquired in conjunction with the purchase of an investment for the six months ended June 30, 2016.

Liabilities for collateral received in conjunction with the Company's securities lending program and over-the-counter and cleared derivatives are reported in other liabilities and accrued expenses or other investments. The accompanying cash flows are included in cash flows from operating activities in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows along with the activities resulting from management of the proceeds, which are as follows:

)

(\$ in millions)	Six months ended June 30,				
	2017	2016			
Net change in proceeds managed					
Net change in fixed income securities	\$10	<b>\$</b> —			
Net change in short-term investments	(7)	(56			
Operating cash flow provided (used)	\$3	\$(56)			
Net change in liabilities					
Liabilities for collateral, beginning of period	\$(1,129)	\$(840)			
Liabilities for collateral, end of period	(1,126)	(896			
Operating cash flow (used) provided	\$(3)	\$56			

# 14. Other Comprehensive Income The components of other comprehensive income on a pre-tax and after-tax basis are as follows:

The components of other comprehensive income on a pre-tax and									
(\$ in millions)	Three 2017	months	ended Ju	ıne	e 30, 2016				
	Pre-tax	z Tav	After-ta	v			Tax	After-ta	a <b>v</b>
Unrealized net holding gains and losses arising during the period, net of related offsets	\$479		\$ 312	ıA	\$663	•	\$(232)		ил
Less: reclassification adjustment of realized capital gains and losses	64	(22)	42		11		(4)	7	
Unrealized net capital gains and losses Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	415 17	,	<ul><li>270</li><li>11</li></ul>		652 7			424 5	
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost arising during the period	(3)	2	(1	)	1		_	1	
Less: reclassification adjustment of net periodic cost recognized in operating costs and expenses	(29)	10	(19	)	(24	)	9	(15	)
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost Other comprehensive income	26 \$458	(8 \$(159)	18 \$ 299		25 \$684		(9 \$(239)	16 \$445	
	Six mo	Six months ended J		2016					
	Pre-tax	кТах	After-ta	ıx	Pre-tax		Tax	After-ta	ax
Unrealized net holding gains and losses arising during the period, net of related offsets	\$901	\$(315)	\$ 586		\$1,381		\$(483)	\$898	
Less: reclassification adjustment of realized capital gains and losses	174	(61)	113		(163	)	57	(106	)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses	727	(254)	473		1,544		(540)	1,004	
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	12	(4)	8		29		(10 )	19	
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost arising during the period	(3)	2	(1	)	(7	)	3	(4	)
Less: reclassification adjustment of net periodic cost recognized in operating costs and expenses	(58)	20	(38	)	(48	)	17	(31	)
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost Other comprehensive income	55 \$794	(18) \$(276)	37 \$ 518		41 \$1,614	ļ	(14 ) \$(564)	27 \$1,050	
The Allstate Corporation 45									

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

The Allstate Corporation

Northbrook, Illinois 60062

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of financial position of The Allstate Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 30, 2017, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and of shareholders' equity and cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statement of financial position of The Allstate Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 17, 2017, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of financial position as of December 31, 2016 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated statement of financial position from which it has been derived. /s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Chicago, Illinois

August 1, 2017

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the Three-Month and Six-Month Periods Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

Overview

Allstate is focused on the following priorities:

better serve our customers;

achieve target economic returns on capital;

grow customer base;

proactively manage investments; and

build long-term growth platforms.

The following discussion highlights significant factors influencing the consolidated financial position and results of operations of The Allstate Corporation (referred to in this document as "we," "our," "us," the "Company" or "Allstate"). It should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto found under Part I. Item 1. contained herein, and with the discussion, analysis, consolidated financial statements and notes thereto in Part I. Item 1. and Part II. Item 7. and Item 8. of The Allstate Corporation Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2016. Further analysis of our insurance segments is provided in the Property-Liability Operations (which includes the Allstate Protection and the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segments) and in the Allstate Financial Segment sections of Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A"). The segments are consistent with the way in which we use financial information to evaluate business performance and to determine the allocation of resources. Resources are allocated by the chief operating decision maker and performance is assessed for Allstate Protection, Discontinued Lines and Coverages and Allstate Financial. Allstate Protection and Allstate Financial performance and resources are managed by committees of senior officers of the respective segments. Allstate Protection segment also includes SquareTrade and Arity.

With the objective of aligning our key product and service offerings, including the acquisition of SquareTrade and the strategic focus and expansion of Arity and other emerging businesses, management continuously evaluates its oversight structure and resource allocation processes. As a result, we are planning to adopt a new segment reporting structure in fourth quarter 2017 that would provide enhanced transparency and allow for an evaluation of our businesses grouped by attributes including traditional property and casualty protection, services businesses, life insurance, voluntary benefits products, and the annuity business. The chief operating decision maker will receive financial results organized by the new segments in connection with reporting changes and the evaluation of our 2018 operating plan.

Goodwill is required to be evaluated upon the change to our new reporting structure, including the allocation to any new goodwill reporting units using a relative fair value approach. We expect to allocate the \$1.09 billion of goodwill recorded in conjunction with the acquisition of SquareTrade to our services businesses segment along with an immaterial amount of goodwill from the Allstate Protection segment related to other business areas that will be transferred into this segment. Allstate Financial has \$396 million of goodwill that would be reallocated between the new life insurance, voluntary benefits, and annuities segments. While substantially all of the Allstate Financial goodwill relates to the acquisition of Allstate Benefits, we would be required to allocate a portion of the goodwill to the new life insurance and annuities segments. Goodwill will be tested for impairment in conjunction with the segment changes during the fourth quarter and will continue to be tested for impairment at least annually thereafter. We currently evaluate reserve adequacy on an aggregate basis for traditional life insurance products and immediate annuities with life contingencies. We also evaluate these policies on an aggregate basis for circumstances where projected profits would be recognized in early years followed by projected losses in later years. As of December 31, 2016, both traditional life insurance and immediate annuities with life contingencies had projected profit; however, the aggregate sufficiency substantially relates to traditional life insurance.

We record an adjustment to the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits, if applicable, that represents the amount by which the reserve balance would increase if the net unrealized gains in the applicable product investment portfolios were realized and reinvested at current lower interest rates, resulting in a premium deficiency. The offset to this liability is recorded as a reduction of the unrealized net capital gains included in accumulated other comprehensive income. We currently evaluate the need for this reserve adjustment on an aggregate basis for life insurance products and immediate annuities with life contingencies; however, the aggregate sufficiency substantially relates to traditional

life insurance. This liability was zero as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

In conjunction with changes to our segments during fourth quarter 2017, we will evaluate reserve adequacy and the need for a reserve adjustment separately for traditional life insurance and immediate annuities with life contingencies.

## Highlights

Consolidated net income applicable to common shareholders was \$550 million in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$242 million in the second quarter of 2016, and \$1.22 billion in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$459 million in the first six months of 2016. Net income applicable to common shareholders per diluted common share was \$1.49 in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$0.64 in the second quarter of 2016, and \$3.29 in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$1.21 in the first six months of 2016.

Property-Liability net income applicable to common shareholders was \$484 million in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$198 million in the second quarter of 2016, and \$1.14 billion in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$420 million in the first six months of 2016.

The Property-Liability combined ratio was 97.2 in the second quarter of 2017 compared to 100.8 in the second quarter of 2016, and 95.4 in the first six months of 2017 compared to 99.6 in the first six months of 2016.

Allstate Financial net income applicable to common shareholders was \$146 million in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$116 million in the second quarter of 2016, and \$254 million in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$184 million in the first six months of 2016.

Total revenues were \$9.59 billion in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$9.16 billion in the second quarter of 2016, and \$19.02 billion in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$18.04 billion in the first six months of 2016. Property-Liability premiums earned totaled \$8.02 billion in the second quarter of 2017, an increase of 2.6% from \$7.81 billion in the second quarter of 2016, and \$15.98 billion in the first six months of 2017, an increase of 2.8% from \$15.54 billion in the first six months of 2016.

Investments totaled \$81.31 billion as of June 30, 2017, decreasing from \$81.80 billion as of December 31, 2016. Net investment income was \$897 million in the second quarter of 2017, an increase of 17.7% from \$762 million in the second quarter of 2016, and \$1.65 billion in the first six months of 2017, an increase of 10.2% from \$1.49 billion in the first six months of 2016.

Net realized capital gains were \$81 million in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$24 million in the second quarter of 2016, and net realized capital gains were \$215 million in the first six months of 2017 compared to net realized capital losses of \$125 million in the first six months of 2016.

Book value per diluted common share (ratio of common shareholders' equity to total common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares outstanding) was \$53.83 as of June 30, 2017, an increase of 7.6% from \$50.05 as of June 30, 2016, and an increase of 6.0% from \$50.77 as of December 31, 2016.

For the twelve months ended June 30, 2017, return on the average of beginning and ending period common shareholders' equity of 13.1% increased by 5.1 points from 8.0% for the twelve months ended June 30, 2016. As of June 30, 2017, shareholders' equity was \$21.50 billion. This total included \$2.11 billion in deployable assets at the parent holding company level comprising cash and investments that are generally saleable within one quarter. On January 3, 2017, we acquired SquareTrade Holding Company, Inc. ("SquareTrade"), a consumer product protection plan provider that distributes through many of America's major retailers and some of Europe's mobile operators, for \$1.4 billion in cash. SquareTrade provides protection plans primarily covering consumer appliances and electronics, such as TVs, smartphones and computers. This acquisition broadens Allstate's unique product offerings to better meet consumers' needs.

Consolidated Net Income						
(\$ in millions)	Three n ended J		Six months ended June 30,			
	2017	2016	2017	2016		
Revenues						
Property-liability insurance premiums	\$8,018	-		\$15,537		
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges	591	564	1,184	1,130		
Net investment income	897	762	1,645	1,493		
Realized capital gains and losses:						
Total other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses	(47	) (77	) (109 )	(168)		
OTTI losses reclassified to (from) other comprehensive income	(3)	) (2	) —	8		
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings	(50	) (79	) (109 )	(160)		
Sales and other realized capital gains and losses	131	103	324	35		
Total realized capital gains and losses	81	24	215	(125)		
Total revenues	9,587	9,164	19,021	18,035		
Costs and expenses	(F (90 )	( <b>5</b> 001	(11 105 )	(11 505 )		
Property-liability insurance claims and claims expense				(11,585)		
Life and annuity contract benefits	` '	,		(909 )		
Interest credited to contractholder funds	` '	,		(375)		
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs		(1,126		(2,255)		
Operating costs and expenses		(1,040		(2,022 )		
Restructuring and related charges		•		(16 )		
Interest expense		•		(145)		
Total costs and expenses	(8,748)	(8,789	) (17,172)	(17,307)		
Gain on disposition of operations (1)	12	1	14	3		
Income tax expense (2)		_		(214)		
Net income	579	271	1,274	517		
		_,_	-,			
Preferred stock dividends	(29	(29	) (58	(58)		
Net income applicable to common shareholders	\$550	\$242	\$1,216	\$459		
Property-Liability	\$484	\$198	\$1,136	\$420		
Allstate Financial	146	116	254	184		
Corporate and Other	(80			(145)		
Net income applicable to common shareholders	\$550	\$242	\$1,216	\$459		

Primarily represents the conclusion of a contractual arrangement related to the sale of Sterling Collision Centers, Inc. in 2014.

Income tax expense includes a tax benefit of \$10 million and \$33 million in the second quarter and first six months (2) ended June 30, 2017, respectively, related to the adoption of the new accounting standard on January 1, 2017 for share-based payments.

#### Property-Liability

#### **Property-Liability Operations**

Overview Our Property-Liability operations consist of two reporting segments: Allstate Protection and Discontinued Lines and Coverages. Allstate Protection comprises three brands where we accept underwriting risk: Allstate, Esurance and Encompass. Allstate Protection is principally engaged in the sale of personal property and casualty insurance, primarily private passenger auto and homeowners insurance, to individuals in the United States and Canada. Allstate Protection also includes services businesses Allstate Roadside Services, Allstate Dealer Services and Arity, which are included in Allstate brand, and SquareTrade. Discontinued Lines and Coverages includes results from property-liability insurance coverage that we no longer write and results for certain commercial and other businesses in run-off. These segments are consistent with the groupings of financial information that management uses to evaluate performance and to determine the allocation of resources. We are evaluating a plan to adopt a new segment reporting structure in the fourth quarter of 2017. Please see the Overview section at the beginning of Item 2 of this Form 10-Q for further information.

Underwriting income is calculated as premiums earned, less claims and claims expense ("losses"), amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs ("DAC"), operating costs and expenses and restructuring and related charges, as determined using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). We use this measure in our evaluation of results of operations to analyze the profitability of the Property-Liability insurance operations separately from investment results. Underwriting income is reconciled to net income applicable to common shareholders below.

The table below includes GAAP operating ratios we use to measure our profitability. We believe that they enhance an investor's understanding of our profitability. They are calculated as follows:

Claims and claims expense ("loss") ratio - the ratio of claims and claims expense to premiums earned. Loss ratios include the impact of catastrophe losses.

Expense ratio - the ratio of amortization of DAC, operating costs and expenses, and restructuring and related charges to premiums earned.

Combined ratio - the ratio of claims and claims expense, amortization of DAC, operating costs and expenses, and restructuring and related charges to premiums earned. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio and the expense ratio. The difference between 100% and the combined ratio represents underwriting income as a percentage of premiums earned, or underwriting margin.

We have also calculated the following impacts of specific items on the GAAP operating ratios because of the volatility of these items between fiscal periods.

Effect of catastrophe losses on combined ratio - the percentage of catastrophe losses included in claims and claims expense to premiums earned. This ratio includes prior year reserve reestimates of catastrophe losses.

Effect of prior year reserve reestimates on combined ratio - the percentage of prior year reserve reestimates included in claims and claims expense to premiums earned. This ratio includes prior year reserve reestimates of catastrophe losses

Effect of amortization of purchased intangible assets on combined ratio - the percentage of amortization of purchased intangible assets to premiums earned. Amortization of purchased intangible assets is reported in operating costs and expenses on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Effect of restructuring and related charges on combined ratio - the percentage of restructuring and related charges to premiums earned.

Effect of Discontinued Lines and Coverages on combined ratio - the ratio of claims and claims expense and operating costs and expenses in the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment to Property-Liability premiums earned. The sum of the effect of Discontinued Lines and Coverages on the combined ratio and the Allstate Protection combined ratio is equal to the Property-Liability combined ratio.

#### Property-Liability

## Property-Liability Highlights

Net income applicable to common shareholders was \$484 million in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$198 million in the second quarter of 2016, and \$1.14 billion in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$420 million in the first six months of 2016.

Premiums written totaled \$8.29 billion in the second quarter of 2017, an increase of 3.0% from \$8.05 billion in the second quarter of 2016, and \$16.01 billion in the first six months of 2017, an increase of 2.9% from \$15.57 billion in the first six months of 2016. Premiums written related to SquareTrade were \$85 million and \$166 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively. Excluding SquareTrade, premiums written totaled \$8.20 billion and \$15.85 billion, respectively.

Premiums earned totaled \$8.02 billion in the second quarter of 2017, an increase of 2.6% from \$7.81 billion in the second quarter of 2016, and \$15.98 billion in the first six months of 2017, an increase of 2.8% from \$15.54 billion in the first six months of 2016. Premiums earned related to SquareTrade included \$70 million and \$129 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively. Excluding SquareTrade, premiums earned totaled \$7.95 billion and \$15.85 billion, respectively.

The loss ratio was 71.0 in the second quarter of 2017 compared to 75.5 in the second quarter of 2016, and 69.5 in the first six months of 2017 compared to 74.6 in the first six months of 2016.

Catastrophe losses were \$993 million in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$961 million in the second quarter of 2016, and \$1.77 billion in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$1.79 billion in the first six months of 2016. The effect of catastrophes on the combined ratio was 12.4 in the second quarter of 2017 compared to 12.3 in the second quarter of 2016, and 11.1 in the first six months of 2017 compared to 11.5 in the first six months of 2016. Prior year reserve reestimates totaled \$89 million favorable in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$3 million

favorable in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$3 million favorable in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$21 million unfavorable in the first six months of 2016.

Underwriting income was \$227 million in the second quarter of 2017 compared to an underwriting loss of \$66 million in the second quarter of 2016, and underwriting income was \$734 million in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$59 million in the first six months of 2016.

Investments were \$43.08 billion as of June 30, 2017, an increase of 0.8% from \$42.72 billion as of December 31, 2016. Net investment income was \$391 million in the second quarter of 2017, an increase of 23.7% from \$316 million in the second quarter of 2016, and \$702 million in the first six months of 2017, an increase of 13.6% from \$618 million in the first six months of 2016.

Net realized capital gains were \$85 million in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$26 million in the second quarter of 2016, and net realized capital gains were \$220 million in the first six months of 2017 compared to net realized capital loss of \$73 million in the first six months of 2016.

## Property-Liability

Summarized financial data, a reconciliation of underwriting income to net income applicable to common shareholders, and GAAP operating ratios for our Property-Liability operations are presented in the following table.

and of the operating ratios for our Property Enabliney operations are presented		Three months Six months						
(\$ in millions, except ratios)		une 30,	June 30,					
	2017	2016	2017	2016				
Premiums written		\$8,051	\$16,012					
Tennuns witten	Ψ0,207	ψ0,031	ψ10,012	Ψ15,500				
Revenues								
Premiums earned	\$8,018	\$7,814	\$15,977	\$15,537	,			
Net investment income	391	316	702	618				
Realized capital gains and losses	85	26	220	(73	)			
Total revenues	8,494	8,156	16,899	16,082				
Costs and expenses								
Claims and claims expense	(5 680 )	(5 901 )	(11,105)	(11 585	`			
Amortization of DAC			(2,193)					
Operating costs and expenses  Restructuring and related charges		(912 ) (10 )		(1,765	)			
Restructuring and related charges	` ,			(15, 479)	)			
Total costs and expenses	(7,791)	(7,880)	(15,243)	(13,478	)			
Gain on disposition of operations (1)	10	_	10					
Income tax expense (2)	(229)	(78)	(530	(184	)			
Net income applicable to common shareholders	\$484	\$198	\$1,136	\$420				
Underwriting income	\$227	\$(66)	\$734	\$59				
Net investment income	391	316	702	618				
Income tax expense on operations					`			
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	56	18	145	-	)			
Gain on disposition of operations, after-tax	6	10	6	(40	,			
		<u> </u>						
Net income applicable to common shareholders	\$484	\$198	\$1,136	\$420				
Catastrophe losses	\$993	\$961	\$1,774	\$1,788				
GAAP operating ratios								
Claims and claims expense ratio	71.0	75.5	69.5	74.6				
Expense ratio	26.2	25.3	25.9	25.0				
Combined ratio	97.2	100.8	95.4	99.6				
Effect of catastrophe losses on combined ratio	12.4	12.3	11.1	11.5				
Effect of prior year reserve reestimates on combined ratio	(1.1)			0.1				
Effect of catastrophe losses included in prior year reserve reestimates on								
combined ratio (3)		0.2	,	) —				
Effect of amortization of purchased intangible assets on combined ratio (4)	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1				
Effect of restructuring and related charges on combined ratio	0.6	0.1	0.4	0.1				
Effect of Discontinued Lines and Coverages on combined ratio	0.1		—	_				

Represents the conclusion of a contractual arrangement related to the sale of Sterling Collision Centers, Inc. in 2014.

<sup>(2)</sup> Income tax expense includes a tax benefit of \$10 million and \$33 million in the second quarter and first six months ended June 30, 2017, respectively, related to the adoption of the new accounting standard on January 1, 2017 for

share-based payments.

Prior year reserve reestimates included in catastrophe losses totaled \$7 million and \$3 million favorable in the three (3) and six months ended June 30, 2017, respectively, compared to \$13 million and \$10 million unfavorable in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively.

Amortization of purchased intangible assets totaled \$24 million and \$49 million for the three and six months ended (4) June 30, 2017, respectively, of which \$23 million and \$46 million related to the acquisition of SquareTrade for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017, respectively.

## Property-Liability

Premiums written is the amount of premiums charged for policies issued during a fiscal period. Premiums are considered earned and are included in the financial results on a pro-rata basis over the policy period. The portion of premiums written applicable to the unexpired term of the policies is recorded as unearned premiums on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.

A reconciliation of premiums written to premiums earned is shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three mended Ju		Six months ended June 30,			
	2017	2016	2017	2016		
Premiums written						
Allstate Protection	\$8,289	\$8,051	\$16,012	\$15,566		
Discontinued Lines and Coverages	_	_	_	_		
Property-Liability premiums written	8,289	8,051	16,012	15,566		
Increase in unearned premiums	(301)	(264)	(67)	(98)		
Other	30	27	32	69		
Property-Liability premiums earned	\$8,018	\$7,814	\$15,977	\$15,537		
Premiums earned						
Allstate Protection	\$8,018	\$7,814	\$15,977	\$15,537		
Discontinued Lines and Coverages	_	_	_	_		
Property-Liability	\$8,018	\$7,814	\$15,977	\$15,537		

# Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

## Allstate Protection Segment

Underwriting results are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)		onths	Six months ended			
(\$ III IIIIIIOIIS)	ended J	une 30,	June 30,	June 30,		
	2017	2016	2017	2016		
Premiums written	\$8,289	\$8,051	\$16,012	\$15,566	5	
Premiums earned	\$8,018	\$7,814	\$15,977	\$15,537	7	
Claims and claims expense	(5,686)	(5,899)	(11,100)	(11,582	)	
Amortization of DAC	(1,103)	(1,057)	(2,193)	(2,113	)	
Other costs and expenses	(945)	(912	(1,881)	(1,764	)	
Restructuring and related charges	(52)	(10	(62)	(15	)	
Underwriting income (loss)	\$232	\$(64	\$741	\$63		
Catastrophe losses	\$993	\$961	\$1,774	\$1,788		
Underwriting income (loss) by line of business						
Auto	\$185	\$(86	\$634	\$(68	)	
Homeowners	24	26	96	133		
Other personal lines (1)	44	37	69	54		
Commercial lines	(2)	(43	(6)	(71	)	
Other business lines (2)	3	4	6	18		
SquareTrade	(22)		(57)			
Answer Financial		(2	(1)	(3	)	
Underwriting income (loss)	\$232	\$(64	\$741	\$63		

<sup>(1)</sup> Other personal lines include renter, condominium, landlord and other personal lines products.

<sup>(2)</sup> Other business lines primarily include Allstate Roadside Services, Allstate Dealer Services, Arity and Ivantage.

## Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

The following table summarizes the changes in underwriting results from the prior year by the components of the increase (decrease) in underwriting income (loss) by line of business. The 2017 columns present changes in the second quarter and the first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. The 2016 columns present changes in the second quarter and first six months of 2016, respectively, compared to the the same periods of 2015.

(\$ in millions)	Three	month	S	ended	l J	une 30,	,										
	Auto			Hon	ne	owners		Other perso lines		Comn	nercial		Square	eT1	rade	Allstat Protect	
	2017	2016		2017	7	2016			2016	2017	2016		2017		2016	52017	2016
Underwriting income (loss) - prior period	\$(86)	\$(111	1)	\$26		\$91		\$37	\$24	\$(43)	\$(17)	)	\$ —		\$ -	\$(64)	\$(8)
Changes in underwriting income (loss) from: Increase (decrease) premiums earned (Increase) decrease incurred claims and claims expense ("losses"):	131	220		_		40		12	1	(9 )	(1	)	70		_	204	265
Incurred losses, excluding catastrophe losses and reserve reestimates	198	(207	)	(20	)	16		3	13	16	(9	)	(29	)	_	178	(186)
Catastrophe losses, excluding reserve reestimates	(21)	(52	)	(42	)	(89)	)	3	(16)	8	(2	)	_		_	(52)	(159)
Non-catastrophe reserve reestimates	27	47		20		(7)	)	(3)	16	23	(21	)	_		_	67	36
Catastrophe reserve reestimates Losses subtotal (Increase) decrease expenses	(2 ) 202 (62 )	(212 17	)	19 (23 21	)	(88)	)	1 4 (9 )	1 14 (2)	2 49 1	2 (30 5		— (29 (63	)	_ 	20 213 (121)	(5 ) (314 ) (7 )
Underwriting income (loss) - current period	\$185	\$(86	)	\$24		\$26		\$44	\$37	\$(2)	\$(43)	)	\$ (22	)	\$ -	\$232	\$(64)
	Six m	onths e	enc	ded Ji	un	e 30,											
	Auto			Hom	ne	owners		Other perso lines		Comn	nercial		Square	èTı	rade	Allstat Protect	
	2017	2016		2017	7	2016			2016	2017	2016		2017		2016	52017	2016
Underwriting income (loss) - prior period	` ′	\$(35	)	\$133	3	\$457		\$54	\$61	\$(71)	\$(28)	)	\$ —		\$ -	\$63	\$461
Changes in underwriting income (loss) from: Increase (decrease) premiums earned (Increase) decrease incurred claims and claims expense	299	461		5		89		22	2	(13)	3		129		_	440	562
("losses"): Incurred losses, excluding catastrophe losses and reserve	347	(441	)	(52	)	66		2	25	21	(18	)	(65	)		272	(359)

***	ti en	2422
reest	шш	ates

recommutes												
Catastrophe losses, excluding reserve reestimates	42	(180	) (63	) (457	) 14	(49)	8	(4	) —	_	- 1	(690)
Non-catastrophe reserve reestimates	113	66	43	1	1	12	39	(29	) —	_	- 196	51
Catastrophe reserve reestimates	3		13	(8	) (7	) 1	4				- 13	(7)
Losses subtotal	505	(555	) (59	) (398	) 10	(11)	72	(51	) (65	) —	- 482	(1,005)
(Increase) decrease expenses	(102)	61	17	(15	) (17	) 2	6	5	(121	) —	- (244)	45
Underwriting income (loss) -	\$634	\$(68	) \$96	\$133	\$69	\$54	\$(6)	\$(71)	\$ (57	) \$	<del>\$741</del>	\$63

Includes other business lines underwriting income of \$3 million and \$4 million in the second quarter of 2017 and

Underwriting income totaled \$232 million in the second quarter of 2017, an improvement from an underwriting loss of \$64 million in the second quarter of 2016 and \$741 million in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$63 million in the first six

<sup>2016,</sup> respectively, and \$6 million and \$18 million in the first six months of 2017 and 2016, respectively. Includes Answer Financial underwriting loss of zero and \$2 million in the second quarter of 2017 and 2016, respectively, and \$1 million and \$3 million in the first six months of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

<sup>(2)</sup> Arity had affiliate revenues and expenses of \$20 million and \$27 million in the second quarter of 2017 and \$40 million and \$46 million the first six months of 2017, which have been eliminated in consolidation.

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

months of 2016, primarily due to increased premiums earned, lower claim frequency and higher favorable prior year reserve reestimates.

Investment results are not included in the underwriting income analysis above. We do not allocate Property-Liability investment income, realized capital gains and losses, or assets to the Allstate Protection and Discontinued Lines and Coverages segments. Management reviews assets at the Property-Liability level for decision-making purposes. For a more detailed discussion on investment results, see the Property-Liability Investment Results section of the MD&A and Reporting Segments Footnote (Note 4) of the consolidated financial statements.

Premiums written and earned by line of business are shown in the following table.

Freimums written and e	arneu by	/ IIIIe oi	business a	are snowi		
(\$ in millions)	Three n	nonths	Six mont	ths ended		
(\$ III IIIIIIIOIIS)	ended J	une 30,	June 30,			
Premiums written	2017	2016	2017	2016		
Auto	\$5,459	\$5,305	\$10,905	\$10,628		
Homeowners	1,979	1,971	3,489	3,478		
Other personal lines	468	457	858	833		
Subtotal – Personal line	s7,906	7,733	15,252	14,939		
Commercial lines	124	135	247	261		
Other business lines	174	183	347	366		
SquareTrade	85	_	166	_		
Total	\$8,289	\$8,051	\$16,012	\$15,566		
Premiums earned						
Auto	\$5,437	\$5,306	\$10,825	\$10,526		
Homeowners	1,815	1,815	3,630	3,625		
Other personal lines	436	424	867	845		
Subtotal – Personal line	s7,688	7,545	15,322	14,996		
Commercial lines	118	127	243	256		
Other business lines	142	142	283	285		
SquareTrade	70	_	129			
Total	\$8,018	\$7,814	\$15,977	\$15,537		
Combined ratios by line	of busin	ness are	analyzed	in the fol		
	L	oss	Expens	se Com		
	rs	atio (1)	ratio (1)	ratio		

llowing table.

Combined ratios by fine of bu	siness are ar	naryzeu iii u	ie ionownig i
	Loss	Expense	Combined
	ratio (1)	ratio (1)	ratio (1)
	2017 2016	2017 2016	2017 2016
Three months ended June 30,			
Auto	71.2 76.7	25.4 24.9	96.6 101.6
Homeowners	75.9 74.7	22.8 23.9	98.7 98.6
Other Personal lines	61.7 64.4	28.2 26.9	89.9 91.3
Commercial lines	72.9 106.3	28.8 27.6	101.7 133.9
Other business lines	38.0 45.1	59.9 52.1	97.9 97.2
SquareTrade	41.4 —	90.0 —	131.4 —
Total	70.9 75.5	26.2 25.3	97.1 100.8
Six months ended June 30,			
Auto	69.2 76.0	24.9 24.6	94.1 100.6
Homeowners	74.2 72.6	23.2 23.7	97.4 96.3
Other Personal lines	64.1 67.0	27.9 26.6	92.0 93.6
Commercial lines	74.9 99.2	27.6 28.5	102.5 127.7
Other business lines	37.5 43.9	60.4 49.8	97.9 93.7
SquareTrade	50.4 —	93.8 —	144.2 —
Total	69.5 74.6	25.9 25.0	95.4 99.6

<sup>(1)</sup> Ratios are calculated using the premiums earned for the respective line of business.

<sup>56</sup> www.allstate.com

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

Loss ratios by line of business are analyzed in the following table and discussed in detail in the brand sections below.

Loss ratios by fine of business		ratio	Effect of catastrophe		Effect of prior year reserve reestimates		Effect catast losses include prior y reserv reesting	t of rophe led in year
	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016		
Three months ended June 30,	2017	_010	_01,	2010	2017	_010	_01,	_010
Auto	71.2	76.7	4.2	3.9	(1.1)	(0.7)		(0.1)
Homeowners	75.9	74.7	38.6	37.4	(1.1)	1.0	(0.2)	0.8
Other Personal lines	61.7	64.4	13.8	15.1	(2.1)	(2.6)	(0.2)	
Commercial lines	72.9	106.3	1.7	9.5	(1.7)	18.1	(0.9)	0.8
Other business lines	38.0	45.1	_	_	_	_	_	
SquareTrade	41.4	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Total	70.9	75.5	12.4	12.3	(1.2)	_	(0.1)	0.2
Six months ended June 30,								
Auto	69.2	76.0	2.8	3.3	(1.4)	(0.3)	(0.1)	
Homeowners	74.2	72.6	37.0	35.6	(1.2)	0.3		0.3
Other Personal lines	64.1	67.0	13.9	15.1		(0.7)	0.7	(0.1)
Commercial lines	74.9	99.2	3.7	8.2	_	16.8	_	1.6
Other business lines	37.5	43.9	_	_	_	_	_	
SquareTrade	50.4	_						
Total	69.5	74.6	11.1	11.5	(1.2)	0.1	(0.1)	

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

Catastrophe losses were \$993 million and \$1.77 billion in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to \$961 million and \$1.79 billion in the second quarter and first six months of 2016, respectively. The first six months of 2017 and 2016 have been impacted by higher than normal historical year-to-date catastrophe losses compared to the past five years.

We define a "catastrophe" as an event that produces pre-tax losses before reinsurance in excess of \$1 million and involves multiple first party policyholders, or a winter weather event that produces a number of claims in excess of a preset, per-event threshold of average claims in a specific area, occurring within a certain amount of time following the event. Catastrophes are caused by various natural events including high winds, winter storms and freezes, tornadoes, hailstorms, wildfires, tropical storms, hurricanes, earthquakes and volcanoes. We are also exposed to man-made catastrophic events, such as certain types of terrorism or industrial accidents. The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be reliably predicted.

Catastrophe losses by the size of event are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Th	ree mont	hs ended	June 3	0, 2	017		
		imber events	Claims and claims expense			Combined ratio impact	Average catastrop loss per event	he
Size of catastrophe loss								
Greater than \$250 million	—	%	<b>\$</b> —	_	%		\$	_
\$101 million to \$250 million	1	2.4	209	21.1		2.6	209	
\$50 million to \$100 million	2	4.8	144	14.5		1.8	72	
Less than \$50 million	39	92.8	619	62.3		7.7	16	
Total	42	100.0%	972	97.9		12.1	23	
Prior year reserve reestimates			(7)	(0.7)	)	(0.1)		
Prior quarter reserve reestimates			28	2.8		0.4		
Total catastrophe losses			\$993	100.0	%	12.4		

Six months ended June 30, 2017

		mber	Claims and claims expense		Combined ratio impact	Average catastroph loss per event	ne
Size of catastrophe loss			-				
Greater than \$250 million	_	%	\$	%		\$	_
\$101 million to \$250 million	3	4.3	568	32.0	3.6	189	
\$50 million to \$100 million	4	5.7	289	16.3	1.8	72	
Less than \$50 million	63	90.0	920	51.9	5.8	15	
Total	70	100.0%	1,777	100.2	11.2	25	
Prior year reserve reestimates			(3)	(0.2)	(0.1)		
Total catastrophe losses			\$1,774	100.0 %	11.1		
				0 11 .			

Catastrophe losses by the type of event are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,			
	Number I		Number	Number			
	Number 2017 of events	of	2016	of 2017	of	2016	
	of events	events		events	events		
Hurricanes/Tropical storms	— \$—		<b>\$</b> —	— \$—		<b>\$</b> —	
Tornadoes	1 43		_	3 98			
Wind/Hail	41 929	21	985	64 1,657	36	1,699	

Wildfires		2	21	1	1	2	21
Other events				2	21	2	58
Prior year reserve reestimates	(7	)	13		(3	)	10
Prior quarter reserve reestimates	28		(58	)			_
Total catastrophe losses	42 \$99	3 23	\$961	70	\$1,7	74 40	\$1,788

Catastrophe reinsurance Our catastrophe reinsurance program supports our goal to have no more than a 1% likelihood of exceeding average annual aggregate catastrophe losses by \$2 billion, net of reinsurance, from hurricanes and earthquakes, based on modeled assumptions and applications currently available. Our program provides reinsurance protection for catastrophes resulting from multiple perils, including hurricanes, windstorms, hail, tornadoes, earthquakes, fires following earthquakes, riots, freeze, and wildfires. These reinsurance agreements are part of our catastrophe management strategy, which is intended to provide our shareholders an acceptable return on the risks assumed in our personal lines business, and to reduce variability of earnings while providing protection to our customers. For a complete summary of the 2017 reinsurance placement, please read this in conjunction with the discussion and analysis in Part I. Item 2. of The Allstate Corporation Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2017.

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

During the second quarter of 2017, we completed the Florida component of the program that is designed to address the distinct needs of our separately capitalized companies in that state. Our 2017 Florida program provides \$658 million of reinsurance limits per occurrence subject to a \$20 million retention. The Florida program includes reinsurance agreements placed with the traditional market, the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund ("FHCF"), and the Insurance Linked Securities ("ILS") market as follows:

The traditional market placement comprises \$269 million of reinsurance limits for losses to personal lines property in Florida arising out of multiple perils. The 2017 Excess contract, which forms a part of the traditional market placement, with \$231 million of limits, subject to a \$20 million retention, provides coverage for perils not covered by the FHCF contracts which only cover hurricanes.

The FHCF contracts provide 90% of \$188 million of reinsurance limits or approximately \$169 million of limits for qualifying losses to personal lines property in Florida caused by storms the National Hurricane Center declares to be hurricanes.

The ILS placement provides \$200 million of reinsurance limits for qualifying losses to personal lines property in Florida caused by a Named Storm Event, a Severe Thunderstorm Event, an Earthquake Event, a Wildfire Event, a Volcanic Eruption Event, or a Meteorite Impact Event.

In addition to the Florida program, we placed a Gap Fill contract and an Aggregate Excess Catastrophe contract in the second quarter of 2017, as follows:

The Gap Fill contract forms part of our Nationwide per Occurrence Catastrophe Program that is more fully disclosed in The Allstate Corporation Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2017, and provides \$25 million of reinsurance limits for losses to personal lines property and automobile business arising out of multiple perils subject to a \$2.75 billion retention.

The Aggregate Excess Catastrophe Florida and Southeast States Automobile contract provides \$200 million of reinsurance limits for losses to personal lines automobile business (physical damage only) arising out of multiple perils and provided such losses arise out of a catastrophe and result in covered qualifying losses in the State of Florida. Once losses are incurred in the State of Florida, coverage is also provided for losses to personal lines automobile business (physical damage only) arising out of that same catastrophe and occurring in Alabama, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, and/or South Carolina. The \$200 million of reinsurance limits is subject to a \$300 million aggregate retention for losses arising out of one or all qualifying catastrophes commencing during the contract's one year term.

The cost of our property catastrophe reinsurance programs during each of the first and second quarters of 2017 was \$93 million and the total cost of our catastrophe reinsurance programs for 2016 was \$393 million or an average quarterly cost of \$98 million.

Expense ratio increased 0.9 points in both the second quarter and first six months of 2017 compared to the same periods of 2016. The expense ratios by line of business are shown in the following table.

	Three	Six		
	months	months		
	ended	ended		
	June 30,	June 30,		
	20172016	2017 2016		
Auto	25.4 24.9	24.9 24.6		
Homeowners	22.8 23.9	23.2 23.7		
Other personal lines	28.2 26.9	27.9 26.6		
Commercial lines	28.8 27.6	27.6 28.5		
Other business lines	59.9 52.1	60.4 49.8		
SquareTrade (1)	90.0 —	93.8 93.8		
Total expense ratio (1)	26.2 25.3	25.9 25.0		

(1) Includes \$23 million and \$46 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, of amortization of purchased intangible assets related to the acquisition of SquareTrade that was completed on January 3, 2017, an impact of 32.8 points and 35.7 points to the SquareTrade expense ratio in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, and an impact of 0.3 points to the total expense ratio in both the second quarter and first six months of 2017.

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

The impact of specific costs and expenses on the expense ratio are shown in the following table.

	Three		S1X		
	months		mont	hs	
	ended		ende	d	
	June	June 30,		30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016	
Amortization of DAC	13.8	13.5	13.7	13.6	
Advertising expense	2.2	2.7	2.3	2.3	
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	
Other costs and expenses	9.3	8.9	9.2	8.9	
Restructuring and related charges	0.6	0.1	0.4	0.1	
Total expense ratio	26.2	25.3	25.9	25.0	

Reserve reestimates The tables below show reserves, net of reinsurance, representing the estimated cost of outstanding claims as they were recorded at the beginning of years 2017 and 2016 and the effect of reestimates in each year.

(\$ in millions)	January 1	reserves
	2017	2016
Auto	\$13,530	\$12,459
Homeowners	1,990	1,937
Other personal lines	1,456	1,490
Commercial lines	621	554
Other business lines	24	21
<b>Total Allstate Protection</b>	\$17,621	\$16,461

(\$ in millions, except ratios) Three months ended June 30,					Six months ended June 30,			
	Reserve	Effect	on	1	Reserve	Effect on		
	reestimate (1)	combi	neo	d ratio (2)	reestimate (1)	combine	d ratio (2)	
	2017 2016	2017		2016	2017 2016	2017	2016	
Auto	\$(61) \$(36)	(0.8)	)	(0.5)	\$(147) \$(31)	(0.9)	(0.2)	
Homeowners	(20) 19	(0.3)	)	0.3	(44 ) 12	(0.3)	0.1	
Other personal lines	(9) (11)	(0.1)	)	(0.1)	<b>—</b> (6 )	_	(0.1)	
Commercial lines	(2) 23			0.3	43		0.3	
Total Allstate Protection (3)	\$(92) \$(5)	(1.2	)		\$(191) \$18	(1.2)	0.1	
Allstate brand	\$(83) \$(2)	(1.1	`		\$(188) \$11	(1.2)	0.1	
	. , , , ,	(1.1	)	_		(1.2)		
Esurance brand	(1) $(4)$				(1 ) (8 )		(0.1)	
Encompass brand	(8) 1	(0.1)	)		(2) 15	_	0.1	
Total Allstate Protection	\$(92) \$(5)	(1.2	)	—	\$(191) \$18	(1.2)	0.1	

<sup>(1)</sup> Favorable reserve reestimates are shown in parentheses.

Favorable reserve reestimates in the second quarter and first six months of 2017 primarily relate to severity development for auto injury coverages and homeowners that was better than expected. Severity development for auto injury coverages was due in part to claims process improvements.

<sup>(2)</sup> Ratios are calculated using Property-Liability premiums earned.

Prior year reserve reestimates included in catastrophe losses totaled \$7 million and \$3 million favorable in the three

<sup>(3)</sup> and six months ended June 30, 2017, respectively, compared to \$13 million and \$10 million unfavorable in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively.

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

The following table presents premiums written, policies in force ("PIF") and underwriting income (loss) by line of business for Allstate brand, Esurance brand, Encompass brand and Allstate Protection for the six months ended June 30, 2017. Detailed analysis of underwriting results, premiums written and earned, and the combined ratios, including loss and expense ratios are discussed in the brand sections below.

Encompass

(\$ in millions)	Allstate b	orand		nce brand	brand	3	Allstate F	Protection
Premiums written	Amount	Percent to total	Amou	Percent nt to total	Amount to t	cent otal	Amount	Percent to total
Auto	\$9,807	67.8 %	\$825	95.4 %	\$273 52.	1 %	\$10,905	68.1 %
Homeowners	3,250	22.5	36	4.1	203 39.	)	3,489	21.8
Other personal lines	809	5.6	4	0.5	45 8.6		858	5.4
Commercial lines	247	1.7	_	_			247	1.5
Other business lines	347	2.4	_				347	2.2
SquareTrade	_	_	_	_			166	1.0
Total	\$14,460	100.0 %	\$865	100.0 %	\$521 100	.0 %	\$16,012	100.0 %
Percent to total Allstate Protection		90.3 %	)	5.4 %	3.3	%		
PIF (thousands)								
Auto	19,548	55.9 %	1,388	92.3 %	571 61.	l %	21,507	31.3 %
Homeowners	6,075	17.4	69	4.6	273 29.	2	6,417	9.4
Other personal lines	4,199	12.0	47	3.1	91 9.7		4,337	6.3
Commercial lines	262	0.8	_				262	0.4
Other business lines	4,863	13.9					4,863	7.1
SquareTrade							31,258	45.5
Total	34,947	100.0 %	1,504	100.0 %	935 100	.0 %	68,644	100.0 %
Percent to total Allstate Protection		50.9 %	)	2.2 %	1.4	%		
Underwriting income (loss)								
Auto	\$660	75.0 %	\$(17)	47.2 %	\$(9) 20.	) %	\$634	85.6 %
Homeowners	154	17.5	(20)	55.6	(38 ) 84.	1	96	12.9
Other personal lines	66	7.5	1	(2.8)	2 (4.4	. )	69	9.3
Commercial lines	(6)	(0.7)	_	_			(6)	(0.8)
Other business lines	6	0.7	_	_			6	0.8
SquareTrade	_	_	_				(57)	(7.7)
Answer Financial							(1)	(0.1)
Total	\$880	100.0 %	\$(36)	100.0 %	\$(45) 100	.0 %	\$741	100.0 %

When analyzing premium measures and statistics for all three brands the following calculations are used as described below.

PIF: Policy counts are based on items rather than customers. A multi-car customer would generate multiple item (policy) counts, even if all cars were insured under one policy.

New issued applications: Item counts of automobiles or homeowners insurance applications for insurance policies that were issued during the period, regardless of whether the customer was previously insured by another Allstate Protection brand. Allstate brand includes automobiles added by existing customers when they exceed the number allowed (currently 10) on a policy.

Average premium-gross written ("average premium"): Gross premiums written divided by issued item count. Gross premiums written include the impacts from discounts, surcharges and ceded reinsurance premiums and exclude the

impacts from mid-term premium adjustments and premium refund accruals. Average premiums represent the appropriate policy term for each line. Allstate and Esurance brands policy terms are 6 months for auto and 12 months for homeowners. Encompass brand policy terms are 12 months for auto and homeowners.

Renewal ratio: Renewal policies issued during the period, based on contract effective dates, divided by the total policies issued 6 months prior for auto (12 months prior for Encompass brand) or 12 months prior for homeowners.

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

#### Allstate brand

Underwriting results are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three m	onths	Six months ended			
(\$\psi\$ in minions)	ended Ju	ine 30,	June 30,			
	2017	2016	2017	2016		
Premiums written	\$7,511	\$7,344	\$14,460	\$14,144		
Premiums earned	\$7,245	\$7,095	\$14,443	\$14,105		
Claims and claims expense	(5,112)	(5,349)	(9,943)	(10,499)		
Amortization of DAC	(1,032)	(990)	(2,052)	(1,979 )		
Other costs and expenses	(763)	(757)	(1,514)	(1,452)		
Restructuring and related charges	(46)	(9)	(54)	(14)		
Underwriting income (loss)	\$292	\$(10)	\$880	\$161		
Catastrophe losses	\$917	\$913	\$1,623	\$1,696		
Underwriting income (loss) by line of business						
Auto	\$206	\$(57)	\$660	\$(12)		
Homeowners	47	51	154	162		
Other personal lines (1)	38	35	66	64		
Commercial lines	(2)	(43)	(6)	(71)		
Other business lines (2)	3	4	6	18		
Underwriting income (loss)	\$292	\$(10)	\$880	\$161		

<sup>(1)</sup> Other personal lines include renter, condominium, landlord and other personal lines products.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup> Other business lines primarily include Allstate Roadside Services, Allstate Dealer Services, Arity and Ivantage. The following table summarizes the changes in underwriting results from the prior year by the components of the increase (decrease) in underwriting income. The 2017 columns present changes in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. The 2016 columns present changes in the second quarter and first six months of 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2015.

(\$ in millions)	month ended 30,		Six mo ended 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Underwriting income (loss) - prior period	\$(10)	\$86	\$161	\$612
Changes in underwriting income from:				
Increase (decrease) premiums earned	150	266	338	556
(Increase) decrease incurred claims and claims expense ("losses"):				
Incurred losses, excluding catastrophe losses and reserve reestimates	179	(194)	297	(387)
Catastrophe losses, excluding reserve reestimates	(23)	(175)	60	(686)
Non-catastrophe reserve reestimates	62	36	186	68
Catastrophe reserve reestimates	19	(8)	13	(6)
Losses subtotal	237	(341)	556	(1,01)
(Increase) decrease expenses	(85)	(21)	(175)	4
Underwriting income (loss) - current period	\$292	\$(10)	\$880	\$161

Underwriting income totaled \$292 million in the second quarter of 2017, an improvement from an underwriting loss of \$10 million in the second quarter of 2016 and \$880 million in the first six months of 2017, an increase from \$161 million in the first six months of 2016. Both period increases were primarily due to increased premiums earned, lower claim frequency and higher favorable prior year reserve reestimates, partially offset by higher restructuring and agents compensation costs.

For auto insurance, we are taking a balanced approach to profitable growth and margin improvement by continuing to execute our auto profit improvement plan in markets with returns below target levels while implementing growth plans in areas with

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

acceptable returns. As auto margins have improved, we have expanded the number of states in which we are implementing growth plans. While we continue to file rate increases to keep pace with loss trends, the overall magnitude of rates taken has moderated as profitability trends have improved. We expect the magnitude of rate increases to continue to moderate if improving loss trends persist.

As we execute on this plan, our trusted advisor strategy for Allstate exclusive agencies remains critical. This includes enhancements to our approach to initiating relationships, building personalized solutions and cultivating trust based relationships. We are also focused on broadening our distribution footprint, both in terms of agency owners and licensed sales professionals, to expand sales and service capacity and making other sales and marketing investments to increase new business volume.

We are leveraging data and analytic capabilities to generate business value. This includes the strategic deployment of new agencies, improved operational efficiency to create a better customer experience, enhanced target marketing as well as enhanced sophistication of product and pricing capabilities.

For homeowners insurance, we continue to be disciplined in how we manage margins through underwriting guidelines, risk management policies, property inspections and implement rate and other actions to maintain or improve returns where required. Our growth actions include continuing to implement updated competitive products, including our House & Home product and a new condominium product, leveraging agency sales practices focused on multi-line households, increasing availability in coastal markets, improving penetration in underserved markets in the middle of the country and targeted advertising campaigns.

Premiums written and earned by line of business are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three n	nonths	Six months ended		
(\$ III IIIIIIIIIII)	ended J	une 30,	June 30,		
Premiums written	2017	2016	2017	2016	
Auto	\$4,925	\$4,767	\$9,807	\$9,513	
Homeowners	1,847	1,831	3,250	3,223	
Other personal lines	441	428	809	781	
Subtotal – Personal line	s7,213	7,026	13,866	13,517	
Commercial lines	124	135	247	261	
Other business lines	174	183	347	366	
Total	\$7,511	\$7,344	\$14,460	\$14,144	
Premiums earned					
Auto	\$4,883	\$4,745	\$9,722	\$9,412	
Homeowners	1,691	1,684	3,379	3,362	
Other personal lines	411	397	816	790	
Subtotal – Personal line	\$6,985	6,826	13,917	13,564	
Commercial lines	118	127	243	256	
Other business lines	142	142	283	285	
Total	\$7,245	\$7,095	\$14,443	\$14,105	

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

Auto insurance premium measures and statistics used to analyze the business are shown in the following table.

	Three				
	montl	Six r	iths		
	ended	June	ende	d Ju	ine 30,
	30,				
	2017	2016	2017	7	2016
PIF (thousands)	19,54	20,061	19,5	48	20,061
New issued applications (thousands)	639	582	1,249	9	1,166
Average premium	\$544	\$ 516	\$541	l	\$ 511
Renewal ratio (%)	87.4	88.0	87.4		88.0
Approved rate changes (1):					
# of locations <sup>(2)</sup>	23	35	37		46
Total brand (%) (3)	0.7	3.2	2.4	(6)	4.9
Location specific (%) (4) (5)	3.2	6.2	4.9	(6)	6.6

Rate changes that are indicated based on loss trend analysis to achieve a targeted return will continue to be

- (1) pursued. Rate changes do not include rating plan enhancements, including the introduction of discounts and surcharges that result in no change in the overall rate level in a location. These rate changes do not reflect initial rates filed for insurance subsidiaries initially writing business in a location.
- (2) Allstate brand operates in 50 states, the District of Columbia, and 5 Canadian provinces.
- (3) Represents the impact in the states, the District of Columbia and Canadian provinces where rate changes were approved during the period as a percentage of total brand prior year-end premiums written.
  - Represents the impact in the states, the District of Columbia and Canadian provinces where rate changes were
- (4) approved during the period as a percentage of its respective total prior year-end premiums written in those same locations.
  - Based on historical premiums written in the locations noted above, the annual impact of rate changes approved for auto totaled \$137 million and \$475 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2017, respectively,
- (5) compared to \$581 million and \$901 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively. Approximately 42% of the Allstate brand rate increases approved in the first half of 2017 are expected to be earned in 2017, with the remainder expected to be earned in 2018 and 2019.
- (6) Includes a rate increase in California in first quarter 2017. Excluding California, Allstate brand auto total brand and location specific rate changes were 1.8% and 4.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2017, respectively. Auto insurance premiums written totaled \$4.93 billion in the second quarter of 2017, a 3.3% increase from \$4.77 billion in the second quarter of 2016, and \$9.81 billion in the first six months of 2017, a 3.1% increase from \$9.51 billion in the first six months of 2016. Factors impacting premiums written were the following:
- 2.6% or 513 thousand decrease in PIF as of June 30, 2017 compared to June 30, 2016. PIF slightly decreased by 0.1% or 17 thousand as of June 30, 2017 compared to March 31, 2017. Auto PIF increased in 10 states, including 2 of our largest 10 states, as of June 30, 2017 compared to June 30, 2016.
- 9.8% and 7.1% increase in new issued applications in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. 33 states, including 6 of our 10 largest states, experienced increases in new issued applications in the second quarter of 2017 compared to the same period of 2016. 36 states, including 6 of our 10 largest states, experienced increases in new issued applications in the first six months of 2017 compared to the same period of 2016.
- 5.4% and 5.9% increase in average premium in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016, primarily due to rate increases. Rate changes approved for auto do not assume eustomer choices such as non-renewal or changes in policy terms which might reduce future premiums.

Approximately 36.3% of the change in rates approved for auto in the second quarter of 2017 are driven by the increases approved in 4 of our 10 largest states.

•

0.6 point decrease in the renewal ratio in both the second quarter and first six months of 2017 compared to the same periods of 2016. 2 of our largest 10 states experienced increases in the renewal ratio in both the second quarter and first six months of 2017 compared to the same periods of 2016. The renewal ratio in second quarter 2017 was comparable to first quarter 2017.

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

Homeowners insurance premium measures and statistics used to analyze the business are shown in the following table.

	Three n	nonths	Six mor		
	ended J	une 30,	ended June 30		
	2017	2016	2017	2016	
PIF (thousands)	6,075	6,158	6,075	6,158	
New issued applications (thousands)	195	193	358	357	
Average premium	\$1,192	\$1,171	\$1,190	\$1,173	}
Renewal ratio (%)	87.0	87.8	87.0	88.0	
Approved rate changes (1):					
# of locations <sup>(2)</sup>	3	11	17	25	
Total brand (%)	0.1	0.8	1.1	0.4	(4)
Location specific (%) (3)	2.0	4.9	3.9	1.1	(4)

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes rate changes approved based on our net cost of reinsurance.

Homeowners insurance premiums written totaled \$1.85 billion in the second quarter of 2017, a 0.9% increase from \$1.83 billion in the second quarter of 2016, and \$3.25 billion in the first six months of 2017, a 0.8% increase from \$3.22 billion in the first six months of 2016. Factors impacting premiums written were the following:

1.3% or 83 thousand decrease in PIF as of June 30, 2017 compared to June 30, 2016. PIF slightly decreased by 0.2% or 15 thousand as of June 30, 2017 compared to March 31, 2017. Allstate brand homeowners PIF increased in 17 states, including 3 of our largest 10 states, as of June 30, 2017 compared to June 30, 2016.

1.0% and 0.3% increase in new issued applications in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. Of our largest 10 states, 6 experienced increases in new issued applications in both the second quarter and first six months of 2017 compared to the same periods of 2016.

1.8% and 1.4% increase in average premium in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016, primarily due to rate changes and increasing insured home valuations due to inflationary costs.

0.8 point and 1.0 point decrease in the renewal ratio in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. Of our largest 10 states, 1 experienced an increase in the renewal ratio in both the second quarter and first six months of 2017 compared to the same periods of 2016. The renewal ratio in second quarter 2017 decreased by 0.1 points compared to first quarter 2017.

\$12 million decrease in the cost of our catastrophe reinsurance program to \$75 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$87 million in the second quarter of 2016, and \$22 million decrease to \$154 million in the first six months of 2017 from \$176 million in the first six months of 2016. Catastrophe reinsurance premiums are recorded primarily in Allstate brand and are a reduction of premium.

Premiums written for Allstate's House and Home product, our homeowners new business offering currently available in 41 states, totaled \$621 million in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$506 million in the second quarter of 2016, and \$1.07 billion in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$863 million in the first six months of 2016. Other personal lines Allstate brand other personal lines premiums written totaled \$441 million in the second quarter of 2017, a 3.0% increase from \$428 million in the second quarter of 2016, and \$809 million in the first six months of 2017, a 3.6% increase from \$781 million in the first six months of 2016. The increases in both periods were primarily

<sup>(2)</sup> Allstate brand operates in 50 states, the District of Columbia, and 5 Canadian provinces.

Based on historical premiums written in the locations noted above, the annual impact of rate changes approved for

<sup>(3)</sup> homeowners totaled \$5 million and \$75 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2017, respectively, compared to \$55 million and \$27 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively. Includes the impact of a rate decrease in California in first quarter 2016. Excluding California, Allstate brand

<sup>(4)</sup> homeowners total brand and location specific rate changes were 1.4% and 4.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively.

due to involuntary auto and personal umbrella insurance premiums.

Commercial lines premiums written totaled \$124 million in the second quarter of 2017, an 8.1% decrease from \$135 million in the second quarter of 2016, and \$247 million in the first six months of 2017, a 5.4% decrease from \$261 million in the first six months of 2016. The decreases in both periods were driven by decreased new business and lower renewals due to profit improvement actions, partially offset by increased average premiums.

Other business lines premiums written totaled \$174 million in the second quarter of 2017, a 4.9% decrease from \$183 million in the second quarter of 2016, and \$347 million in the six months of 2017, a 5.2% decrease from \$366 million in the first six

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

months of 2016. The decreases in both periods were primarily driven by lower wholesale rescue volume primarily due to lower partner renewals and retail memberships in force at Allstate Roadside Services. Allstate Roadside Services continues to implement profit improvement actions, which have impacted premium, but are reducing loss costs. Combined ratios by line of business are analyzed in the following table.

	Loss		Expense		Combined	
	ratio (	1)	ratio	(1)	ratio (	1)
	2017 2	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016
Three months ended June 30,						
Auto	70.5	76.6	25.3	24.6	95.8	101.2
Homeowners	75.3	74.8	21.9	22.2	97.2	97.0
Other personal lines	62.8	64.5	28.0	26.7	90.8	91.2
Commercial lines	72.9	106.3	28.8	27.6	101.7	133.9
Other business lines	38.0 4	45.1	59.9	52.1	97.9	97.2
Total	70.6	75.4	25.4	24.7	96.0	100.1
Six months ended June 30,						
Auto	68.5	76.0	24.7	24.1	93.2	100.1
Homeowners	73.0	72.9	22.4	22.3	95.4	95.2
Other Personal lines	64.1	65.4	27.8	26.5	91.9	91.9
Commercial lines	74.9	99.2	27.6	28.5	102.5	127.7
Other business lines	37.5	43.9	60.4	49.8	97.9	93.7
Total	68.8	74.5	25.1	24.4	93.9	98.9

<sup>(1)</sup> Ratios are calculated using the premiums earned for the respective line of business.

Loss ratios by line of business are analyzed in the following table.

	Loss	ratio	Effect catast losses	rophe	Effec prior reserv reesti	year	Effect catast losses include prior reserv reserv	rophe led in year
	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016		
Three months ended June 30,	2017	2010	2017	2010	2017	2010	2017	2010
Auto	70.5	76.6	4.2	4.1	(1.2)	(0.8)		(0.1)
Homeowners						1.1		1.0
Other personal lines	62.8	64.5	13.9	15.6	(0.7)	(1.7)	(0.5)	
Commercial lines	72.9	106.3	1.7	9.5	(1.7)	18.1	(0.9)	0.8
Other business lines	38.0	45.1		_		_		
Total	70.6	75.4	12.7	12.9	(1.1)	_	_	0.3
Six months ended June 30,								
Auto	68.5	76.0	2.8	3.5	(1.5)	(0.3)	(0.1)	
Homeowners	73.0	72.9	36.2	36.2	(1.3)	0.3	_	0.3
Other Personal lines	64.1	65.4	14.2	15.8	0.4	(1.6)	0.6	
Commercial lines	74.9	99.2	3.7	8.2	_	16.8	_	1.6
Other business lines	37.5	43.9						
Total	68.8	74.5	11.2	12.1	(1.3)	0.1		0.1

Auto loss ratio decreased 6.1 points in the second quarter of 2017 compared to the second quarter of 2016, due to increased premiums earned, lower claim frequency and higher favorable prior year reserve reestimates. Auto loss ratio decreased 7.5 points in the first six months of 2017 compared to the first six months of 2016, due to increased premiums earned, lower claim frequency, higher favorable prior year reserve reestimates and lower catastrophe losses. The auto loss ratio for the first six months of 2017 has benefited from lower weather related accidents and lower claim frequency during first quarter 2017 and continued improved claim frequency in second quarter 2017. Frequency and severity statistics, which are influenced by driving patterns, inflation and other factors, are provided to describe the trends in loss costs of the business. Our reserving process incorporates changes in loss patterns, operational statistics and changes in claims reporting processes to determine our best estimate of recorded reserves.

### Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

Paid claim frequency is calculated as annualized notice counts closed with payment in the period divided by the average of policies in force with the applicable coverage during the period. Gross claim frequency is calculated as annualized notice counts received in the period divided by the average of policies in force with the applicable coverage during the period. Gross claim frequency includes all actual notice counts, regardless of their current status (open or closed) or their ultimate disposition (closed with a payment or closed without payment). Frequency statistics exclude counts associated with catastrophe events. The percent change in paid or gross claim frequency is calculated as the amount of increase or decrease in the paid or gross claim frequency in the current period compared to the same period in the prior year; divided by the prior year paid or gross claim frequency.

Paid claim frequency trends will often differ from gross claim frequency trends due to differences in the timing of when notices are received and when claims are settled. For property damage claims, paid frequency trends reflect little differences as timing between opening and settlement is minimal. For bodily injury, gross frequency trends reflect emerging trends since the difference in timing between opening and settlement is much greater and gross frequency does not experience the same volatility in quarterly fluctuations seen in paid frequency. In evaluating frequency, we typically rely upon paid frequency trends for physical damage coverages such as property damage and gross frequency for casualty coverages such as bodily injury to provide an indicator of emerging trends in overall claim frequency while also providing insights for our analysis of severity.

Paid claim severity is calculated by dividing the sum of paid losses and loss expenses by claims closed with a payment during the period. The percent change in paid claim severity is calculated as the amount of increase or decrease in paid claim severity in the current period compared to the same period in the prior year; divided by the prior year paid claims severity.

Claims is operating in a continuous improvement environment, actively focusing on effective loss cost management, process efficiency and leveraging emerging technologies to enhance the customer experience. The aim is to ensure a fast, fair and easy claims experience. We have been simplifying and streamlining the claim handling process using leading technology, self-servicing capabilities and electronic payments. We will continue to expand our capabilities. We have opened two Digital Operating Centers to handle auto claims countrywide utilizing our virtual estimation capabilities, which includes estimating damage through photos with the use of QuickFoto Claim® and Virtual Assist<sup>SM</sup> (video chat technology used to review supplemental damage with auto body shops). We are assessing wind and hail property claims through the use of drones, piloted airplanes and satellite imagery. Additionally, we launched Quick Card Pay in late 2016, which is an immediate payment via deposit to debit cards. We are continuing to aggressively seek new technology and process solutions to provide strong loss cost accuracy, efficient processes and customer experiences that are simple, fast and produce high degrees of satisfaction. While these claims organizational and process changes are occurring, frequency and severity statistics may be impacted as changes in claim opening and closing practices, if any, can impact comparisons to prior periods.

Property damage paid claim frequency decreased 3.4% and 3.3% in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. 42 states experienced a year over year decrease in property damage paid claim frequency in second quarter 2017 when compared to second quarter 2016. Property damage paid claim severities increased 1.6% and 3.2% in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. The second quarter 2017 property damage paid claim severity trend is being impacted by claims process changes involving QuickFoto Claim and Virtual Assist (video chat technology), which impacts the timing of claim payments.

Bodily injury gross claim frequency decreased 4.7% and 5.4% in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. Bodily injury paid claim frequency decreased 23.7% and 22.1% while bodily injury paid claim severity increased 28.3% and 26.6% in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. These changes are related and reflect payment mix and claim closure patterns that were impacted by changes in bodily injury claim processes in the second half of 2016 related to enhanced documentation of injuries and related medical treatments. Paid claim severity was impacted by a reduced number of claims opened and a change in the mix of paid claims toward a higher proportion of larger severity payments and increases in medical inflationary trends that were offset by improvements in loss cost management.

Homeowners loss ratio increased 0.5 points and 0.1 points in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016, primarily due to higher catastrophe losses and increased claim frequency, partially offset by increased premiums earned and favorable prior year reserve reestimates. Paid claim frequency excluding catastrophe losses increased 7.1% and 4.7% in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. Paid claim severity excluding catastrophe losses decreased 0.2% and increased 1.9% in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. Homeowner paid claim severity was impacted by both the mix of perils and the magnitude of specific losses paid during the quarter.

Other personal lines loss ratio decreased 1.7 points and 1.3 points in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016, primarily due to increased premiums earned and lower catastrophe losses.

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

Commercial lines loss ratio decreased 33.4 points and 24.3 points in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016, primarily due to favorable reserve reestimates compared to unfavorable reserve reestimates in prior year, lower catastrophe losses and lower claim frequency. Catastrophe losses were \$917 million and \$1.62 billion in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to \$913 million and \$1.70 billion in the second quarter and first six months of 2016, respectively.

Expense ratio The expense ratios by line of business are shown in the following table.

	Three	Six
	months	months
	ended	ended
	June 30,	June 30,
	2017 2016	2017 2016
Auto	25.3 24.6	24.7 24.1
Homeowners	21.9 22.2	22.4 22.3
Other personal lines	28.0 26.7	27.8 26.5
Commercial lines	28.8 27.6	27.6 28.5
Other business lines (1)	59.9 52.1	60.4 49.8
Total expense ratio	25.4 24.7	25.1 24.4

Increases in the second quarter and first six months of 2017 compared to the same periods of 2016 are primarily due to Allstate Roadside Services increase in strategic investments in the Good Hands Rescue Network and Allstate Dealer Services increase in employee-related and technology costs.

The impact of specific costs and expenses on the expense ratio are shown in the following table.

Three

	Tince		SIX	
	months		mont	hs
	ended		ende	d
	June 30,		June	30,
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Amortization of DAC	14.3	14.0	14.2	14.0
Advertising expense	1.8	2.2	1.9	1.9
Other costs and expenses	8.7	8.4	8.6	8.4
Restructuring and related charges	0.6	0.1	0.4	0.1
Total expense ratio	25.4	24.7	25.1	24.4

Expense ratio increased 0.7 points in both the second quarter and first six months of 2017 compared to the same periods of 2016. During the second quarter of 2017, as a result of increased efficiencies and improvements in technology, including the expansion of virtual estimating capabilities through QuickFoto Claim and Virtual Assist (video chat technology), Allstate brand reorganized certain claims functions and recognized restructuring and related charges. Changes relate to advances in the claims handling process to further enhance the claims customer experience and reduce cycle times, which also reduced expenses involved in the claim processes. The increases in the expense ratio due to restructuring were 0.5 points in the second quarter of 2017 compared to the second quarter of 2016, and 0.3 points in the first six months of 2017 compared to the first six months of 2016. Amortization of DAC primarily includes agent remuneration and premium taxes. Allstate agency total incurred base commissions, variable compensation and bonuses in the second quarter and first six months of 2017 were higher than the same periods of 2016.

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

#### Esurance brand

Underwriting results are shown in the following table.

	Three months	Six months		
(\$ in millions)	ended June	ended June		
	30,	30,		
	2017 2016	2017 2016		
Premiums written	\$408 \$392	\$865 \$844		
Premiums earned	\$429 \$415	\$848 \$819		
Claims and claims expense	(346) (319)	(660) (613)		
Amortization of DAC	(10 ) (10 )	(20 ) (20 )		
Other costs and expenses	(98) (123)	(201) (248)		
Restructuring and related charges	(1 ) —	(3 ) —		
Underwriting loss	\$(26) \$(37)	\$(36) \$(62)		
Catastrophe losses	\$24 \$14	\$32 \$17		

#### Underwriting income (loss) by line of business

Auto	\$(13	)	\$(12)	\$(17)	\$(30)
Homeowners	(13	)	(25)	(20)	(32)
Other personal lines	_		_	1	_
Underwriting loss	\$(26	)	\$(37)	\$(36)	\$(62)

The following table summarizes the changes in underwriting results from the prior year by the components of the increase (decrease) in underwriting income (loss). The 2017 columns present changes in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. The 2016 columns present changes in the second quarter and first six months of 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2015.

(\$ in millions)	months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,		
	2017	2016	2017	2016	
Underwriting income (loss) - prior period	\$(37)	\$(41)	\$(62)	\$(110	))
Changes in underwriting income (loss) from:					
Increase (decrease) premiums earned	14	13	29	30	
(Increase) decrease incurred claims and claims expense ("losses"):					
Incurred losses, excluding catastrophe losses and reserve reestimates	(13)	(10)	(24)	(2	)
Catastrophe losses, excluding reserve reestimates	(11)	(6)	(16)	(9	)
Non-catastrophe reserve reestimates	(4)	1	(8)	1	
Catastrophe reserve reestimates	1	_	1	_	
Losses subtotal	(27)	(15)	(47)	(10	)
(Increase) decrease expenses	24	6	44	28	
Underwriting income (loss) - current period	\$(26)	\$(37)	\$(36)	\$(62	)

Underwriting loss totaled \$26 million in the second quarter of 2017, an improvement from \$37 million in the second quarter of 2016 and \$36 million in the first six months of 2017, compared to \$62 million in the first six months of 2016. The improvements in both periods were primarily due to increased premiums earned and decreased homeowners marketing and amortization of purchased intangible assets, partially offset by higher catastrophe losses and lower favorable reserve reestimates.

We are focused on improving our results through profit improvement actions that include rate increases, underwriting guideline adjustments, and decreased homeowners marketing while enhancing processes and operations to improve the customer experience.

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

Premiums written and earned by line of business are shown in the following table.

Three		Six months		
month	ıs			
ended	June		June	
30,		30,		
2017	2016	2017	2016	
\$386	\$376	\$825	\$815	
20	14	36	25	
2	2	4	4	
\$408	\$392	\$865	\$844	
\$411	\$403	\$814	\$797	
16	10	30	18	
2	2	4	4	
\$429	\$415	\$848	\$819	
	month ended 30, 2017 \$386 20 2 \$408 \$411 16 2	months ended June 30, 2017 2016 \$386 \$376 20 14 2 2 \$408 \$392 \$411 \$403 16 10 2 2	months ended June 30, 2017 2016 2017 \$386 \$376 \$825 20 14 36 2 2 4 \$408 \$392 \$865 \$411 \$403 \$814 16 10 30	

Auto insurance premium measures and statistics used to analyze the business are shown in the following table.

	Three months ended June 30,		Six m ended 30,		
	2017	2016	2017	2016	
PIF (thousands)	1,388	1,409	1,388	1,409	
New issued applications (thousands)	120	141	263	309	
Average premium	\$564	\$538	\$568	\$543	
Renewal ratio (%)	81.9	80.0	81.1	79.8	
Approved rate changes (1):					
# of locations (2)	12	15	19	21	
Total brand (%) (3)	1.7	1.3	2.4	1.6	
Location specific (%) (4) (5)	5.6	5.6	5.5	4.6	

Rate changes that are indicated based on loss trend analysis to achieve a targeted return will continue to be

- (2) Esurance brand operates in 43 states and 2 Canadian provinces.
- (3) Represents the impact in the states and Canadian provinces where rate changes were approved during the period as a percentage of total brand prior year-end premiums written.
- (4) Represents the impact in the states and Canadian provinces where rate changes were approved during the period as a percentage of its respective total prior year-end premiums written in those same locations.
  - Based on historical premiums written in the locations noted above, the annual impact of rate changes approved for
- (5) auto totaled \$28 million and \$39 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2017, respectively, compared to \$21 million and \$25 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively.

Esurance brand auto premiums written totaled \$386 million in the second quarter of 2017, a 2.7% increase from \$376 million in the second quarter of 2016, \$825 million in the first six months of 2017, a 1.2% increase from \$815 million in the first six months of 2016. Factors impacting premiums written were the following:

- •1.5% or 21 thousand decrease in PIF as of June 30, 2017 compared to June 30, 2016.
- 14.9% decrease in new issued applications in both the second quarter and first six months of 2017 compared to the same periods of 2016, primarily due to the impact of rate increases and marketing spending reductions.

121

<sup>(1)</sup> pursued. Rate changes do not include rating plan enhancements, including the introduction of discounts and surcharges that result in no change in the overall rate level in a location. These rate changes do not reflect initial rates filed for insurance subsidiaries initially writing business in a location.

- 4.8% and 4.6% increase in average premium in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016.
- 1.9 point and 1.3 point increase in the renewal ratio in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016.

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

Homeowners insurance premium measures and statistics used to analyze the business are shown in the following table.

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
PIF (thousands)	69	44	69	44
New issued applications (thousands)	9	11	17	18
Average premium	\$910	\$855	\$915	\$870
Renewal ratio (%) (1)	86.1	83.9	85.1	83.0
Approved rate changes (2):				
# of locations (3)	_	N/A	_	N/A
Total brand (%)	_	N/A	_	N/A
Location specific (%)	_	N/A	_	N/A

Esurance's renewal ratios exclude the impact of risk related cancellations. Customers can enter into a policy without

N/A reflects not applicable.

Homeowners insurance premiums written totaled \$20 million in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$14 million in the second quarter of 2016, and \$36 million in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$25 million in the first six months of 2016. Factors impacting premiums written were the following:

25 thousand increase in PIF as of June 30, 2017 compared to June 30, 2016.

6.4% and 5.2% increase in average premium in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016, primarily due to rate changes. As of June 30, 2017, Esurance is writing homeowners insurance in 31 states with lower hurricane risk, contributing to lower average premium.

Combined ratios by line of business are analyzed in the following table.

				C 1: 1		
	Loss		Expense			
	ratio (1)		ratio (1)		ratio (	1)
	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016
Three months ended June 30,						
Auto	78.9	76.4	24.3	26.6	103.2	103.0
Homeowners	131.3	100.0	50.0	250.0	181.3	350.0
Total	80.7	76.9	25.4	32.0	106.1	108.9
Six months ended June 30,						
Auto	76.7	74.9	25.4	28.9	102.1	103.8
Homeowners	113.4	77.8	53.3	200.0	166.7	277.8
Total	77.8	74.9	26.4	32.7	104.2	107.6

<sup>(1)</sup> Ratios are calculated using the premiums earned for the respective line of business.

<sup>(1)</sup> a physical inspection. During the underwriting review period, a number of policies may be canceled if upon inspection the condition is unsatisfactory.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes rate changes approved based on our net cost of reinsurance.

<sup>(3)</sup> Esurance brand operates in 31 states and 2 Canadian provinces.

<sup>18.2%</sup> and 5.6% decrease in new issued applications in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016.

Effect of

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

Loss ratios by line of business are analyzed in the following table.

Loss r	ratio	catast	rophe	prior reserv	year ⁄e	catastro losses in prior reserve	ophe inclu r yea	uded ar
						reestin	nates	5
2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017		2016
78.9	76.4	3.6	2.2	0.3	(1.0)			
131.3	100.0	56.3	50.0	(6.3)		(6.3	)	
80.7	76.9	5.6	3.4	(0.2)	(1.0)	(0.2	)	
76.7	74.9	2.4	1.4	0.1	(1.0)	_		_
113.4	77.8	43.4	33.4	(3.3)		(3.3	)	
77.8	74.9	3.7	2.1	(0.1)	(1.0)	(0.1	)	
	2017 78.9 131.3 80.7 76.7 113.4	78.9 76.4 131.3 100.0 80.7 76.9 76.7 74.9 113.4 77.8	Loss ratio catast losses  2017 2016 2017  78.9 76.4 3.6 131.3 100.0 56.3 80.7 76.9 5.6  76.7 74.9 2.4 113.4 77.8 43.4	losses  2017 2016 2017 2016  78.9 76.4 3.6 2.2  131.3 100.0 56.3 50.0  80.7 76.9 5.6 3.4  76.7 74.9 2.4 1.4	Loss ratio catastrophe losses reserves reesting prior reserves reesting reserves reesting prior reserves reesting reserves ree	Loss ratio       catastrophe losses       prior year reserve reestimates         2017       2016       2017       2016       2017       2016         78.9       76.4       3.6       2.2       0.3       (1.0)         131.3       100.0       56.3       50.0       (6.3)       —         80.7       76.9       5.6       3.4       (0.2)       (1.0)         76.7       74.9       2.4       1.4       0.1       (1.0)         113.4       77.8       43.4       33.4       (3.3)       —	Effect of catastrophe losses  2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017  78.9 76.4 3.6 2.2 0.3 (1.0) — 131.3 100.0 56.3 50.0 (6.3) — (6.3 80.7 76.9 5.6 3.4 (0.2) (1.0) (0.2)  76.7 74.9 2.4 1.4 0.1 (1.0) — 113.4 77.8 43.4 33.4 (3.3) — (3.3)	Loss ratio catastrophe losses reserve reestimates reserve reestimates reserve reestimates reserve reestimates reserve reastimates reserve reestimates reserve reserve reestimates reserve reestimates reserve reestimates reserve reestimates reserve reestimates reserve

Auto loss ratio increased 2.5 points and 1.8 points in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016, primarily due to higher catastrophe losses and unfavorable prior year reserve reestimates compared to favorable prior year reserve reestimates in 2016.

Catastrophe losses were \$24 million and \$32 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to \$14 million and \$17 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2016, respectively. As Esurance increases its homeowners business, we are exposed to more catastrophe losses.

Expense ratio The expense ratios by line of business are shown in the following table.

```
Three Six months months ended June ended June 30, 30, 2017 2016 2017 2016
Auto 24.3 26.6 25.4 28.9
Homeowners 50.0 250.0 53.3 200.0
Other personal lines 50.0 50.0 25.0 50.0
Total expense ratio 25.4 32.0 26.4 32.7
```

The impact of specific costs and expenses on the expense ratio are shown in the following table.

	Three		Six	
	months		months	
	ended		ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Amortization of DAC	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.4
Advertising expense	8.6	12.2	8.6	12.0
Amortization of purchased intangible assets		1.7	0.1	1.6
Other costs and expenses	14.2	15.7	15.0	16.7
Restructuring and related charges	0.2	—	0.4	_
Total expense ratio	25.4	32.0	26.4	32.7

Expense ratio decreased 6.6 points and 6.3 points in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. Esurance uses a direct distribution model, therefore its primary acquisition-related costs are advertising as opposed to commissions. Esurance advertising expense ratio decreased 3.6 points and 3.4 points in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of

2016 primarily due to reductions in homeowners marketing spending.

Other costs and expenses, including salaries of telephone sales personnel and other underwriting costs related to customer acquisition, were lower in the second quarter and first six months of 2017 compared to the same periods of 2016. Expense ratio includes amortization of purchased intangible assets from the original acquisition in 2011. Starting in 2017, the portion of the remaining purchased intangible asset related to the Esurance brand name was classified as an infinite-lived intangible and is no longer being amortized, but instead tested for impairment on an annual basis. During the first half of 2017, Esurance reorganized, realigned and regionalized certain departments to improve business performance and the customer experience. The costs associated with this change have been recorded as restructuring and related expenses.

Allstate Protection Allstate brand Esurance brand Encompass brand SquareTrade

### **Encompass brand**

Underwriting results are shown in the following table.

	Inree months Six month			
(\$ in millions)	ended June	ended June		
	30,	30,		
	2017 2016	2017 2016		
Premiums written	\$285 \$315	\$521 \$578		
Premiums earned	\$274 \$304	\$557 \$613		
Claims and claims expense	(199) (231)	(432) (470)		
Amortization of DAC	(51) (57)	(103) (114)		
Other costs and expenses	(31 ) (30 )	(62 ) (61 )		
Restructuring and related charges	(5)(1)	(5) (1)		
Underwriting loss	\$(12) \$(15)	\$(45) \$(33)		
Catastrophe losses	\$52 \$34	\$119 \$75		

#### Underwriting income (loss) by line of business

Auto	\$(8	) \$(17	) \$(9	)	\$(26	)
Homeowners	(10	) —	(38	)	3	
Other personal lines	6	2	2		(10	)
Underwriting loss	\$(12	) \$(15	\$ (45	5)	\$(33	)

The following table summarizes the changes in underwriting results from the prior year by the components of the increase (decrease) in underwriting income (loss). The 2017 columns present changes in the second quarter and first six months of 2017, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2016. The 2016 columns present changes in the second quarter and first fix months of 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2015.

(\$ in millions)	months ended June 30,	Six months ended June 30,
	2017 2016	2017 2016
Underwriting income (loss) - prior period	\$(15) \$(50)	\$(33) \$(36)
Changes in underwriting loss from:		
Increase (decrease) premiums earned	(30) (14)	) (56 ) (24 )
(Increase) decrease incurred claims and claims expense ("losses"):		
Incurred losses, excluding catastrophe losses and reserve reestimates	41 18	64 30
Catastrophe losses, excluding reserve reestimates	(18) 22	(43) 5
Non-catastrophe reserve reestimates	9	