

Cogdell Spencer Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549
FORM 10-Q**

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

**For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010
OR**

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

**For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number 001-32649
COGDELL SPENCER INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

20-3126457
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

**4401 Barclay Downs Drive, Suite 300
Charlotte, North Carolina**
(Address of principal executive offices)

28209
(Zip code)

(704) 940-2900

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date: 50,024,806 shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share, outstanding as of August 4, 2010.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
<u>Item 1 Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
<u>Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	39
<u>Item 4 Controls and Procedures</u>	40
<u>PART II Other Information</u>	40
<u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u>	40
<u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u>	40
<u>Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	42
<u>Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	42
<u>Item 4 Removed and Reserved</u>	42
<u>Item 5 Other Information</u>	42
<u>Item 6 Exhibits</u>	42
<u>Exhibit 31.1</u>	
<u>Exhibit 31.2</u>	
<u>Exhibit 32.1</u>	

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****COGDELL SPENCER INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**(In thousands, except per share amounts)
(unaudited)

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Assets		
Real estate properties:		
Land	\$ 37,269	\$ 33,139
Buildings and improvements	578,885	527,985
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(106,004)	(93,247)
Net operating real estate properties	510,150	467,877
Construction in progress	7,951	43,338
Net real estate properties	518,101	511,215
Cash and cash equivalents	31,196	25,914
Restricted cash	7,888	3,060
Tenant and accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$2,624 in 2010 and \$2,817 in 2009	8,070	12,993
Goodwill	102,195	108,683
Trade names and trademarks	34,093	41,240
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$46,385 in 2010 and \$43,313 in 2009	18,670	21,742
Other assets	24,018	25,599
Other assets held for sale		2,217
Total assets	\$ 744,231	\$ 752,663
Liabilities and equity		
Mortgage notes payable	\$ 291,199	\$ 280,892
Revolving credit facility	55,000	80,000
Term loan	50,000	50,000
Accounts payable	11,081	15,293
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	4,657	13,189
Deferred income taxes	13,543	15,993
Other liabilities	48,123	47,312
Other liabilities held for sale		2,204
Total liabilities	473,603	504,883
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Cogdell Spencer Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 50,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding		

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-Q

Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 200,000 shares authorized, 49,962 and 42,729 shares issued and outstanding in 2010 and 2009, respectively	500	427
Additional paid-in capital	418,194	370,593
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(4,843)	(1,861)
Accumulated deficit	(182,332)	(164,321)
Total Cogdell Spencer Inc. stockholders equity	231,519	204,838
Noncontrolling interests:		
Real estate partnerships	3,810	5,220
Operating partnership	35,299	37,722
Total noncontrolling interests	39,109	42,942
Total equity	270,628	247,780
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 744,231	\$ 752,663

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Revenues:				
Rental revenue	\$ 20,995	\$ 19,574	\$ 42,240	\$ 39,150
Design-Build contract revenue and other sales	15,236	36,712	50,672	83,101
Property management and other fees	761	863	1,578	1,713
Development management and other income	17	227	120	3,027
Total revenues	37,009	57,376	94,610	126,991
Expenses:				
Property operating and management	8,387	7,821	16,585	15,686
Design-Build contracts and development management	11,407	31,242	36,026	71,407
Selling, general, and administrative	9,345	6,675	15,165	13,342
Depreciation and amortization	8,182	8,943	16,266	19,020
Impairment charges	13,635		13,635	120,920
Total expenses	50,956	54,681	97,677	240,375
Income (loss) from continuing operations before other income (expense) and income tax benefit	(13,947)	2,695	(3,067)	(113,384)
Other income (expense):				
Interest and other income	134	139	294	295
Interest expense	(5,393)	(5,559)	(10,481)	(11,550)
Debt extinguishment and interest rate derivative expense	(9)	(2,490)	(25)	(2,490)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated real estate partnerships		2	3	8
Total other income (expense)	(5,268)	(7,908)	(10,209)	(13,737)
Loss from continuing operations before income tax benefit	(19,215)	(5,213)	(13,276)	(127,121)
Income tax benefit	5,174	2,208	3,448	21,834
Net loss from continuing operations	(14,041)	(3,005)	(9,828)	(105,287)
Discontinued operations:				
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	24	(45)	6	(87)
Gain on sale of discontinued operations	264		264	
Total discontinued operations	288	(45)	270	(87)

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net loss	(13,753)	(3,050)	(9,558)	(105,374)
Net loss (income) attributable to the noncontrolling interest in:				
Real estate partnerships	(177)	(48)	(489)	(141)
Operating partnership	1,909	783	1,311	32,982
Net loss attributable to Cogdell Spencer Inc.	\$ (12,021)	\$ (2,315)	\$ (8,736)	\$ (72,533)
Per share data basic and diluted:				
Loss from continuing operations attributable to Cogdell Spencer Inc.	\$ (0.27)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.20)	\$ (3.21)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to Cogdell Spencer Inc.	0.01	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)
Net loss per share attributable to Cogdell Spencer Inc.	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.20)	\$ (3.21)
Weighted average common shares basic and diluted	46,111	27,088	44,449	22,569
Net income (loss) attributable to Cogdell Spencer Inc.:				
Loss from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ (12,267)	\$ (2,285)	\$ (8,967)	\$ (72,474)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	246	(30)	231	(59)
Net loss attributable to Cogdell Spencer Inc.	\$ (12,021)	\$ (2,315)	\$ (8,736)	\$ (72,533)

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

(In thousands)

(unaudited)

	Cogdell Spencer Inc. Stockholders				Noncontrolling		Noncontrolling	
	Accumulated		Accumulated		Additional	Interests	Interests	
	Other		Other		Paid-in	in	in	
	Common		Common		Capital	Operating	Real	
	Stock		Stock		Partnership	Partnerships	Estate	
	Deficit		Deficit		Partnerships	Partnerships	Partnerships	
	Income		Income		Partnerships	Partnerships	Partnerships	
	(Loss)		(Loss)		Partnerships	Partnerships	Partnerships	
	Equity		Equity		Partnerships	Partnerships	Partnerships	
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 247,780		\$ (164,321)	\$ (1,861)	\$ 427	\$ 370,593	\$ 37,722	\$ 5,220
Comprehensive income (loss):								
Net income (loss)	(9,558)	\$ (9,558)	(8,736)				(1,311)	489
Unrealized loss on interest rate swaps, net of tax	(4,396)	(4,396)		(2,970)			(493)	(933)
Comprehensive loss	(13,954)	\$ (13,954)						
Issuance of common stock, net of costs	47,115				71	47,044		
Conversion of operating partnership units to common stock				(12)	1	357	(346)	
Restricted stock and LTIP unit grants	1,467				1	200	1,266	
Dividends and distributions	(11,780)		(9,275)				(1,539)	(966)
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$ 270,628		\$ (182,332)	\$ (4,843)	\$ 500	\$ 418,194	\$ 35,299	\$ 3,810

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

(In thousands)

(unaudited)

	Cogdell Spencer Inc. Stockholders				Common Stock	Paid-in Capital	Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnerships	Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships
	Total Equity	Comprehensive Loss	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Comprehensive Loss				
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 282,994		\$ (77,438)	\$ (5,106)	\$ 177	\$ 275,380	\$ 85,324	\$ 4,657
Comprehensive loss:								
Net income (loss)	(105,374)	\$ (105,374)	(72,533)				(32,982)	141
Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps, net of tax	4,806	4,806		3,359			531	916
Comprehensive loss	(100,568)	\$ (100,568)						
Issuance of common stock, net of costs	76,527				230	76,297		
Conversion of operating partnership units to common stock				(474)	18	17,695	(17,239)	
Restricted stock and LTIP unit grants	818					80	738	
Amortization of restricted stock grants	50					32	18	
Dividends and distributions	(11,311)		(8,627)				(2,412)	(272)
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 248,510		\$ (158,598)	\$ (2,221)	\$ 425	\$ 369,484	\$ 33,978	\$ 5,442

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)
(unaudited)

	For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	June 30, 2009
	2010	
Operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (9,558)	\$ (105,374)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization (including amounts in discontinued operations)	16,266	19,089
Amortization of acquired above market leases and acquired below market leases, net (including amounts in discontinued operations)	(233)	(255)
Straight-line rental revenue	(452)	(235)
Amortization of deferred finance costs and debt premium	774	824
Provision for bad debts	(193)	9
Deferred income taxes	(2,589)	(21,223)
Deferred tax expense on intersegment profits	(1,078)	(748)
Equity-based compensation	1,162	868
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated real estate partnerships	(3)	(8)
Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements	(536)	(315)
Debt extinguishment and interest rate derivative expense	25	2,490
Impairment of goodwill, trade names and trademarks and intangible assets	13,635	120,920
Gain on sale of real estate property	(264)	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Tenant and accounts receivable and other assets	5,829	13,255
Accounts payable and other liabilities	(3,175)	(17,640)
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	(8,532)	4,531
Net cash provided by operating activities	11,078	16,188
Investing activities:		
Investment in real estate properties	(22,023)	(19,782)
Proceeds from sales-type capital lease	153	153
Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations	2,481	
Purchase of corporate property, plant and equipment	(287)	(1,287)
Distributions received from unconsolidated real estate partnerships	4	5
Increase in restricted cash	(4,828)	(118)
Net cash used in investing activities	(24,500)	(21,029)
Financing activities:		
Proceeds from mortgage notes payable	14,047	25,940
Repayments of mortgage notes payable	(5,948)	(11,018)
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	4,000	2,000
Repayments to revolving credit facility	(29,000)	(46,500)
Repayment of term loan		(50,000)
Net proceeds from sale of common stock	47,115	76,527
Dividends and distributions	(10,173)	(12,143)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships	(966)	(272)

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-Q

Payment of financing costs	(371)	(953)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	18,704	(16,419)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	5,282	(21,260)
Balance at beginning of period	25,914	34,668
Balance at end of period	\$ 31,196	\$ 13,408
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest	\$ 10,831	\$ 10,685
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 73	\$ 10
Non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Investment in real estate properties included in accounts payable and other liabilities	\$ 717	\$ 4,455
Accrued dividends and distributions	5,781	5,007
Operating Partnership Units converted into common stock	357	17,714
Equity-based compensation capitalized in real estate properties	305	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

1. Business

Cogdell Spencer Inc., incorporated in Maryland in 2005, together with its subsidiaries (the Company) is a fully-integrated, self-administered, and self-managed real estate investment trust (REIT) that invests in specialty office buildings for the medical profession, including medical offices and ambulatory surgery and diagnostic centers. The Company focuses on the ownership, delivery, acquisition, and management of strategically located medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities in the United States of America. The Company has been built around understanding and addressing the full range of specialized real estate needs of the healthcare industry. The Company operates its business through Cogdell Spencer LP, its operating partnership subsidiary (the Operating Partnership), and its subsidiaries. The Company has two segments: (1) Property Operations and (2) Design-Build and Development. Property Operations manages a portfolio of healthcare properties for which the Company has full or partial ownership interest as well as properties owned by third parties. Design-Build and Development provides strategic planning, design, construction, development, and project management services for properties owned by the Company and for third parties.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and represent the assets and liabilities and operating results of the Company. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the Company's accounts, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, as well as the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries. The condensed consolidated financial statements also include any partnerships for which the Company or its subsidiaries is the general partner or the managing member and the rights of the limited partners do not overcome the presumption of control by the general partner or managing member. The Company reviews its interests in entities to determine if the entity's assets, liabilities, noncontrolling interests and results of activities should be included in the condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Interim Financial Statements

The condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are unaudited, but include all adjustments consisting of normal recurring adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position, results of operations, changes in equity and cash flows for such periods. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the full fiscal years of 2010 or 2009 or any other future period. These condensed consolidated financial statements do not include all disclosures required by GAAP for annual consolidated financial statements. The Company's audited consolidated financial statements are contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and should be read in conjunction with these interim financial statements.

Use of Estimates in Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates and assumptions are used by management in determining the percentage of completion revenue, construction contingency and loss provisions, useful lives of real estate properties and improvements, the initial valuations and underlying allocations of purchase price in connection with business and real estate property acquisitions, and projected cash flow and fair value estimates used for impairment testing. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents

Concentrations and Credit Risk

The Company maintains its cash in commercial banks. Balances on deposit are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) up to specific limits. Balances on deposit in excess of FDIC limits are uninsured. At June 30, 2010, the Company had bank cash balances of \$32.6 million in excess of FDIC insured limits.

Two customers accounted for more than 10% of tenant and accounts receivable at June 30, 2010. One customer accounted for more than 10% of revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Two customers accounted for more than 10% of revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

One customer accounted for more than 10% of tenant and accounts receivable at June 30, 2009. Two customers accounted for more than 10% of revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2009. One customer accounted for more than 10% of revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Fair Value

The Company defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date.

The Company utilizes the GAAP fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. Fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities the Company has the ability to access. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, including quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. In instances when the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into multiple levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety has been determined is based on the lowest level input significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

To obtain fair values, observable market prices are used if available. In some instances, observable market prices are not readily available for certain financial instruments and fair value is determined using present value or other techniques appropriate for a particular financial instrument. These techniques involve some degree of judgment and as a result are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company would realize in a current market exchange. The use of different assumptions or estimation techniques may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. The Company does not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company considers the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, tenant and accounts receivable, accounts payable, and other liabilities to approximate fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments.

The Company has estimated the fair value of debt utilizing present value techniques taking into consideration current market conditions. At June 30, 2010, the carrying amount and estimated fair value of debt was approximately \$396.2 million and \$399.6 million, respectively.

See Note 9 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q regarding the fair value of the Company's interest rate swap agreements.

Reclassification

During 2009, the Company reclassified the Harbison Medical Office Building, a wholly-owned real estate property, as discontinued operations. Accordingly, the Company has reclassified the assets and liabilities related to this discontinued operations real estate property to Other assets held for sale and Other liabilities held for sale, respectively, as well as the results of operations to Loss from discontinued operations in the consolidated statement of operations in this Form 10-Q for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The Company sold this property in the second quarter of 2010. This asset was part of the Property Operations segment.

Table of Contents***Recent Accounting Pronouncements***

In June 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standard, codified in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 810, Consolidation, which revises the consolidation guidance for variable-interest entities (VIE). The revisions include (1) no longer exempting qualifying special-purpose entities from the scope of the guidance, (2) requiring the continuous reconsideration for determining whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of another entity, (3) ignoring kick-out rights unless the rights are held by a single enterprise and (4) requiring consolidation if an entity has power and receives benefits or absorbs losses that are potentially significant to the VIE and not requiring consolidation if power is shared amongst unrelated parties. The revisions also include the enhancement of disclosure requirements. The adoption of this standard had no impact on the Company s balance sheet, statement of operations, or changes in equity.

In January 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standard, codified in ASC 810, Consolidation, which provides additional clarification regarding noncontrolling interest decrease-in-ownership provisions and expands the disclosures required upon deconsolidation of a subsidiary. The adoption of this standard had no impact on the Company s balance sheet, statement of operations, or changes in equity.

In January 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standard, codified in ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, which adds new requirements for disclosures about transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 and separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements. The standard, with the exception of the additional Level 3 disclosures, is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this standard had no impact on the Company s balance sheet, statement of operations, or changes in equity. Requirements related to additional Level 3 disclosures will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company is still evaluating the effect of this standard on the Company s balance sheet, statement of operations, or changes in equity.

In February 2010, the FASB issued additional guidance, codified in ASC 855, Subsequent Events, which includes, among other things, an exemption for SEC filers from the requirement to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. Accordingly, the Company has removed this disclosure from this Note 2 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q.

3. Investments in Real Estate Partnerships

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had an ownership interest in eight (nine as of August 6, 2010 with the addition of Bonney Lake MOB Investors, LLC) limited liability companies or limited partnerships.

The following is a description of the unconsolidated entities:

- Cogdell Spencer Medical Partners LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, founded in 2008, has no assets or liabilities, and is 20.0% owned by the Company;
- BSB Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 2, a Delaware limited partnership, founded in 2002, owns nine medical office buildings, and is 2.0% owned by the Company;
- Shannon Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 1, a Delaware limited partnership, founded in 2001, owns ten medical office buildings, and is 2.0% owned by the Company; and
- McLeod Medical Partners, LLC, a South Carolina limited liability company, founded in 1982, owns three medical office buildings, and is 1.1% owned by the Company.

The following is a description of the consolidated entities:

- Bonney Lake MOB Investors, LLC, a Washington limited liability company, founded in 2009, has one medical office building under construction, and is 61.7% owned by the Company as of August 6, 2010 (100% owned by the Company as of June 30, 2010);
- Genesis Property Holdings, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, founded in 2007, owns one medical office building, and is 40.0% owned by the Company;
- Cogdell Health Campus MOB, LP, a Pennsylvania limited partnership, founded in 2006, owns one medical office building, and is 80.9% owned by the Company;
- Mebane Medical Investors, LLC, a North Carolina limited liability company, founded in 2006, owns one medical office building, and is 35.1% owned by the Company; and

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-Q

Rocky Mount MOB, LLC, a North Carolina limited liability company, founded in 2002, owns one medical office building, and is 34.5% owned by the Company.

Table of Contents

The Company is the general partner or managing member of these real estate partnerships and manages the properties owned by these entities. The Company may receive design/build revenue, development fees, property management fees, leasing fees, and expense reimbursements from these real estate partnerships. For consolidated entities, these revenues and corresponding expenses are eliminated in consolidation.

The consolidated entities are included in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements because the limited partners or non-managing members do not have sufficient participation rights in the partnerships to overcome the presumption of control by the Company as the managing member or general partner. The limited partners or non-managing members have certain protective rights such as the ability to prevent the sale of building, the dissolution of the partnership or limited liability company, or the incurrence of additional indebtedness, in each case subject to certain exceptions.

The Company has a 2.0% ownership in Shannon Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 1 and a 2.0% ownership in BSB Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 2. The partnership agreements and tenant leases of the limited partners are designed to give preferential treatment to the limited partners as to the operating cash flows from the partnerships. The Company, as the general partner, does not generally participate in the operating cash flows from these entities other than to receive property management fees. The limited partners can remove the Company as the property manager and as the general partner. Due to the structures of the partnership agreements and tenant lease agreements, the Company reports the properties owned by these two joint ventures as fee managed properties owned by third parties.

The Company's unconsolidated entities are accounted for under the equity method of accounting based on the Company's ability to exercise significant influence as the entity's managing member or general partner. The following is a summary of financial information for the limited liability companies and limited partnerships for the periods indicated. The summary of financial information set forth below reflects the financial position and operations of the unconsolidated real estate partnerships in their entirety, not just the Company's interest in the entities (in thousands):

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Financial position:		
Total assets	\$ 55,052	\$ 54,725
Total liabilities	48,463	48,672
Member's equity	6,589	6,053

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Results of operations:				
Total revenues	\$ 3,072	\$ 3,091	\$ 6,197	\$ 6,232
Operating and general and administrative expenses	1,460	1,542	2,922	2,859
Net income	229	143	500	556

4. Business Segments

The Company has two identified reportable segments: (1) Property Operations and (2) Design-Build and Development. The Company defines business segments by their distinct customer base and service provided. Each segment operates under a separate management group and produces discrete financial information, which is reviewed by the chief operating decision maker to make resource allocation decisions and assess performance. Inter-segment sales and transfers are accounted for as if the sales and transfers were made to third parties, which involve applying a negotiated fee onto the costs of the services performed. All inter-company balances and transactions are eliminated during the consolidation process.

The Company's management evaluates the operating performance of its operating segments based on funds from operations (FFO) and funds from operations modified (FFOM). FFO, as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT), represents net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains

from sales of property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. The Company adjusts the NAREIT definition to add back noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships before real estate related depreciation and amortization. FFOM adds back to FFO non-cash amortization of non-real estate related intangible assets associated with purchase accounting. The Company considers FFO and FFOM important supplemental measures of the Company's operational performance. The Company believes FFO is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO when reporting their results. The Company believes that FFOM allows securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in evaluating current period results to results prior to the Erdman transaction. FFO and FFOM are intended to exclude GAAP

Table of Contents

historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets, which assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO and FFOM exclude depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income. The Company's methodology may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO utilized by other equity REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs. Further, FFO and FFOM do not represent amounts available for management's discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations, or other commitments and uncertainties.

The following table represents the segment information for the three months ended June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Property Operations	Design-Build and Development	Intersegment Eliminations	Unallocated and Other	Total
Revenues:					
Rental revenue	\$ 21,018	\$	\$ (23)	\$	\$ 20,995
Design-Build contract revenue and other sales		24,229	(8,993)		15,236
Property management and other fees	761				761
Development management and other income		2,266	(2,249)		17
Total revenues	21,779	26,495	(11,265)		37,009
Certain operating expenses:					
Property operating and management	8,387				8,387
Design-Build contracts and development management		20,940	(9,533)		11,407
Selling, general, and administrative		4,606	(23)		4,583
Impairment charges		13,635			13,635
Total certain operating expenses	8,387	39,181	(9,556)		38,012
	13,392	(12,686)	(1,709)		(1,003)
Interest and other income	134				134
Corporate general and administrative expenses				(4,762)	(4,762)
Interest expense				(5,393)	(5,393)
Interest rate derivative expense				(9)	(9)
Benefit from income taxes applicable to funds from operations modified				4,935	4,935
		(237)		(60)	(297)

Non-real estate related depreciation and amortization					
Earnings from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	3				3
Noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	(479)				(479)
Income from discontinued operations before gain on sale	(7)		31		24
Funds from operations modified (FFOM)	13,043	(12,923)	(1,709)	(5,258)	(6,847)
Amortization of intangibles related to purchase accounting, net of income tax benefit	(42)	(571)		239	(374)
Funds from operations (FFO)	13,001	(13,494)	(1,709)	(5,019)	(7,221)
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	(7,275)				(7,275)
Gain on sale of real estate property	264				264
Noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	479				479
Net income (loss)	6,469	(13,494)	(1,709)	(5,019)	(13,753)
Net income (loss) attributable to the noncontrolling interest in:					
Real estate partnerships	(177)				(177)
Operating partnership				1,909	1,909
Net income (loss) attributable to Cogdell Spencer Inc.	\$ 6,292	\$ (13,494)	\$ (1,709)	\$ (3,110)	\$ (12,021)
Total assets	\$ 577,286	\$ 166,607	\$	\$ 338	\$ 744,231

Table of Contents

The following table represents the segment information for the three months ended June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

	Property Operations	Design-Build and Development	Intersegment Eliminations	Unallocated and Other	Total
Revenues:					
Rental revenue	\$ 19,597	\$	\$ (23)	\$	\$ 19,574
Design-Build contract revenue and other sales		42,009	(5,297)		36,712
Property management and other fees	863				863
Development management and other income		1,434	(1,207)		227
Total revenues	20,460	43,443	(6,527)		57,376
Certain operating expenses:					
Property operating and management	7,821				7,821
Design-Build contracts and development management		35,948	(4,706)		31,242
Selling, general, and administrative		4,122	(23)		4,099
Total certain operating expenses	7,821	40,070	(4,729)		43,162
	12,639	3,373	(1,798)		14,214
Interest and other income	129	2		8	139
Corporate general and administrative expenses				(2,576)	(2,576)
Interest expense				(5,559)	(5,559)
Debt extinguishment and interest rate derivative expense				(2,490)	(2,490)
Benefit from income taxes applicable to funds from operations modified				1,670	1,670
Non-real estate related depreciation and amortization		(196)		(57)	(253)
Earnings from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	4				4
Noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	(224)				(224)
	25			(35)	(10)

Income from discontinued operations						
Funds from operations modified (FFOM)	12,573	3,179	(1,798)	(9,039)	4,915	
Amortization of intangibles related to purchase accounting, net of income tax benefit	(42)	(1,338)		538	(842)	
Funds from operations (FFO)	12,531	1,841	(1,798)	(8,501)	4,073	
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	(7,347)				(7,347)	
Noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	224				224	
Net income (loss)	5,408	1,841	(1,798)	(8,501)	(3,050)	
Net loss (income) attributable to the noncontrolling interest in:						
Real estate partnerships	(48)				(48)	
Operating partnership				783	783	
Net income (loss) attributable to Cogdell Spencer Inc.	\$ 5,360	\$ 1,841	\$ (1,798)	\$ (7,718)	\$ (2,315)	
Total assets	\$ 549,460	\$ 200,656	\$	\$ 852	\$ 750,968	

Table of Contents

The following table represents the segment information for the six months ended June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Property Operations	Design-Build and Development	Intersegment Eliminations	Unallocated and Other	Total
Revenues:					
Rental revenue	\$ 42,286	\$	\$ (46)	\$	\$ 42,240
Design-Build contract revenue and other sales		63,429	(12,757)		50,672
Property management and other fees	1,578				1,578
Development management and other income		3,152	(3,032)		120
Total revenues	43,864	66,581	(15,835)		94,610
Certain operating expenses:					
Property operating and management	16,585				16,585
Design-Build contracts and development management		49,588	(13,562)		36,026
Selling, general, and administrative		8,495	(46)		8,449
Impairment charges		13,635			13,635
Total certain operating expenses	16,585	71,718	(13,608)		74,695
	27,279	(5,137)	(2,227)		19,915
Interest and other income	280	3		11	294
Corporate general and administrative expenses				(6,716)	(6,716)
Interest expense				(10,481)	(10,481)
Interest rate derivative expense				(25)	(25)
Benefit from income taxes applicable to funds from operations modified				2,970	2,970
Non-real estate related depreciation and amortization		(457)		(118)	(575)
Earnings from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	9				9
Noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	(1,094)				(1,094)
	9			(3)	6

Income from discontinued operations before gain on sale						
Funds from operations modified (FFOM)	26,483	(5,591)	(2,227)	(14,362)	4,303	
Amortization of intangibles related to purchase accounting, net of income tax benefit	(85)	(1,141)		478	(748)	
Funds from operations (FFO)	26,398	(6,732)	(2,227)	(13,884)	3,555	
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	(14,471)				(14,471)	
Gain on sale of real estate property	264				264	
Noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	1,094				1,094	
Net income (loss)	13,285	(6,732)	(2,227)	(13,884)	(9,558)	
Net loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest in:						
Real estate partnerships	(489)				(489)	
Operating partnership				1,311	1,311	
Net income (loss) attributable to Cogdell Spencer Inc.	\$ 12,796	\$ (6,732)	\$ (2,227)	\$ (12,573)	\$ (8,736)	
Total assets	\$ 577,286	\$ 166,607	\$	\$ 338	\$ 744,231	

Table of Contents

The following table represents the segment information for the six months ended June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

	Property Operations	Design-Build and Development	Intersegment Eliminations	Unallocated and Other	Total
Revenues:					
Rental revenue	\$ 39,197	\$	\$ (47)	\$	\$ 39,150
Design-Build contract revenue and other sales		93,169	(10,068)		83,101
Property management and other fees	1,713				1,713
Development management and other income		5,070	(2,043)		3,027
Total revenues	40,910	98,239	(12,158)		126,991
Certain operating expenses:					
Property operating and management	15,686				15,686
Design-Build contracts and development management		81,066	(9,659)		71,407
Selling, general, and administrative		8,660	(47)		8,613
Impairment charges		120,920			120,920
Total certain operating expenses	15,686	210,646	(9,706)		216,626
	25,224	(112,407)	(2,452)		(89,635)
Interest and other income	270	4		21	295
Corporate general and administrative expenses				(4,729)	(4,729)
Interest expense				(11,550)	(11,550)
Debt extinguishment and interest rate derivative expense				(2,490)	(2,490)
Benefit from income taxes applicable to funds from operations modified				20,311	20,311
Non-real estate related depreciation and amortization		(390)		(111)	(501)
Earnings from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	14				14
Noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	(470)				(470)

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-Q

Income from discontinued operations	52			(70)	(18)
Funds from operations modified (FFOM)	25,090	(112,793)	(2,452)	1,382	(88,773)
Amortization of intangibles related to purchase accounting, net of income tax benefit	(85)	(3,820)		1,523	(2,382)
Funds from operations (FFO)	25,005	(116,613)	(2,452)	2,905	(91,155)
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	(14,689)				(14,689)
Noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	470				470
Net income (loss)	10,786	(116,613)	(2,452)	2,905	(105,374)
Net income (loss) attributable to the noncontrolling interest in:					
Real estate partnerships	(141)				(141)
Operating partnership				32,982	32,982
Net income (loss) attributable to Cogdell Spencer Inc.	\$ 10,645	\$ (116,613)	\$ (2,452)	\$ 35,887	\$ (72,533)
Total assets	\$ 549,460	\$ 200,656	\$	\$ 852	\$ 750,968

Table of Contents**5. Discontinued Operations**

In June 2010, the Company sold Harbison Medical Office Building, located in Columbia, South Carolina for \$2.5 million and recorded a gain on sale of \$0.3 million. In 2009, the criteria for classification as held for sale were met and the assets and liabilities related to this discontinued operations real estate property were reclassified to Other assets held for sale and Other liabilities held for sale, respectively, as well as the results of operations to income (loss) from discontinued operations in the consolidated statement of operations in this Form 10-Q for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Below is a summary of discontinued operations for the real estate property reclassified to discontinued operations (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Revenues-				
Rental revenues	\$ 61	\$ 89	\$ 139	\$ 177
Total revenues	61	89	139	177
Expenses:				
Property operating and management	68	64	130	125
Depreciation and amortization		35		69
Interest expense	(31)	35	3	69
Total expenses	37	134	133	263
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before gain on sale of real estate property	24	(45)	6	(86)
Gain on sale of real estate property	264		264	
Total discontinued operations	\$ 288	\$ (45)	\$ 270	\$ (86)

6. Contracts

Revenue and billings to date on uncompleted contracts, from their inception, as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	\$ 52,064	\$ 79,374
Billings to date	(54,801)	(90,701)
Net billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	\$ (2,737)	\$ (11,327)

These amounts are included in the condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 as shown below (in thousands). At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had retainage receivables of \$2.8 million and \$4.5 million, respectively, which are included in Tenant and accounts receivable in the condensed consolidated balance sheets in this Form 10-Q.

June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
------------------	----------------------

Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings ⁽¹⁾	\$	1,920	\$	1,862
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings		(4,657)		(13,189)
Net billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	\$	(2,737)	\$	(11,327)

(1) Included in
Other assets in
the consolidated
balance sheet

7. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The Company reviews the value of goodwill and intangible assets on an annual basis and when circumstances indicate a potential impairment may exist. The Company performed an annual review of goodwill for impairment as of December 31, 2009, and concluded there was no impairment of goodwill. The Company also performed an annual review for impairment for other non-amortizing intangible assets and concluded no impairment existed.

An interim review of the Company's intangible assets associated with the Design-Build and Development business segment was performed on June 30, 2010, due to indicators of impairment including a decrease in the market value of comparable engineering and construction companies, a decrease in the Company's forecasted cash flow projections for this business segment resulting from negative macro-economic factors and continual delays in new project construction starts, and a reduction in workforce that occurred within the business segment. As a result of the June 30, 2010 review, the Company recorded, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, a pre-tax, non-cash impairment charge of \$13.6 million and the Company recognized a non-cash income tax benefit of \$2.8 million, resulting in an after-tax impairment charge of \$10.8 million.

The following table presents information about the Company's goodwill and certain intangible assets measured at fair value as of June 30, 2010, the date at which the Company recorded an after-tax, non-cash impairment charge of \$10.8 million (in thousands):

Description	Recorded Value	Fair Value Measurement as of June 30,			Total Losses
	as of June 30, 2010	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Goodwill	\$ 102,195	\$	\$	\$ 102,195	\$ (6,488)
Trade names and trademarks	34,093			34,093	(7,147)
Acquired signed contracts				4,736	
Acquired proposals	895			1,101	
Acquired customer relationships	1,399			2,475	
	\$ 138,582	\$	\$	\$ 144,600	\$ (13,635)

Table of Contents

See Note 2 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q for a discussion of the Company's accounting policy regarding the fair value of financial and non-financial assets.

An interim review of the Design-Build and Development business segment's intangible assets was also performed on March 31, 2009, due to a decline in the Company's stock price, a decline in the cash flow multiples for comparable public engineering and construction companies, and changes in the cash flow projections for the Design-Build and Development business segment resulting from a decline in backlog and delays and cancellations of client building projects. As a result of the March 31, 2009 review, the Company recorded, during the three months ended March 31, 2009, a pre-tax, non-cash impairment charge of \$120.9 million and the Company recognized a non-cash income tax benefit of \$19.2 million, resulting in an after-tax impairment charge of \$101.7 million. The Company determined that there were no impairment indicators and therefore an interim review was not necessary during the three months ended June 30, 2009.

The following table presents information about the Company's goodwill and certain intangible assets measured at fair value as of March 31, 2009, the date at which the Company recorded an after-tax, non-cash impairment charge of \$101.7 million (in thousands):

Description	Recorded Value as of March 31, 2009	Fair Value Measurement as of March 31, 2009			Total Losses
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Goodwill	\$ 108,683	\$	\$	\$ 108,683	\$ (71,755)
Trade names and trademarks	41,240			41,240	(34,728)
Acquired signed contracts	1,398			5,281	
Acquired proposals	2,129			2,129	(1,833)
Acquired customer relationships	1,789			1,789	(12,604)
	\$ 155,239	\$	\$	\$ 159,122	\$ (120,920)

See Note 2 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q for a discussion of the Company's accounting policy regarding the fair value of financial and non-financial assets.

The goodwill impairment review at June 30, 2010, December 31, 2009, and March 31, 2009 involved a two-step process. The first step was a comparison of the reporting unit's fair value to its carrying value. Fair value was estimated by using two approaches, an income approach and a market approach. Each approach was weighted 50% in the Company's analysis. The income approach uses the reporting unit's projected operating results and discounted cash flows using a weighted-average cost of capital that reflects current market conditions, which was 14.0% for the June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 reviews and 14.5% for the March 31, 2009 review. The cash flow projections use estimates of economic and market information over the projection period, including growth rates in revenues and costs and estimates of future expected changes in operating margins and cash expenditures. Other significant estimates and assumptions include terminal value growth rates, future estimates of capital expenditures, and changes in future working capital requirements. The market approach estimates fair value by applying cash flow multiples to the reporting unit's operating performance. The multiples are derived from comparable publicly traded companies with similar operating and profitability characteristics. Additionally, the Company reconciled the total of the estimated fair values of all its reporting units to its market capitalization to determine if the sum of the individual fair values is reasonable compared to the external market indicators.

If the carrying value of the reporting unit is higher than its fair value, as it was for June 30, 2010 and March 31, 2009, then an indication of impairment may exist and a second step must be performed to measure the amount of impairment. The amount of impairment is determined by comparing the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill to the carrying value of the goodwill calculated in the same manner as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill, then an

impairment charge for the difference would be recorded.

For non-amortizing intangible assets, the Company estimates fair value by applying an estimated market royalty rate, 2.0% for the June 30, 2010, December 31, 2009, and March 31, 2009 reviews, to projected revenues, which are then discounted using a weighted-average cost of capital that reflects current market conditions, which was 14.0% for the June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 reviews and 14.5% for the March 31, 2009 review.

For amortizing intangible assets, the Company estimates fair value by applying the excess earnings method which uses the estimated future cash flows attributable to an asset for a discrete projection period less capital charges for use of all business assets, with the result then discounted using a rate of return that considers the relative risk of achieving the cash flow and the time value of money and then combined with the amortization tax benefit of the asset.

Table of Contents

The following tables show the change in carrying value related to the Design-Build and Development business segment's intangible assets from the March 31, 2009 measurement date to December 31, 2009 and from December 31, 2009 to the June 30, 2010 measurement date (in thousands):

		Recorded Value	Amortization for the Six Months Ended	Impairment Charges	Recorded Value
	Location of Asset	as of June 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	Recorded as of June 30, 2010	as of December 31, 2009
Goodwill	Goodwill	\$ 102,195	n/a	\$ (6,488)	\$ 108,683
	Trade				
Trade names and trademarks	names and trademarks	34,093	n/a	(7,147)	41,240
	Intangible				
Acquired signed contracts	assets		\$		
	Intangible				
Acquired proposals	assets	895	(894)		1,789
Acquired customer relationships	Intangible assets	1,399	(246)		1,645
		\$ 138,582	\$ (1,140)	\$ (13,635)	\$ 153,357

		Recorded Value	Amortization for the Nine Months Ended	Impairment Charges	Recorded Value
	Location of Asset	as of December 31, 2009	December 31, 2009	Recorded as of March 31, 2009	as of March 31, 2009
Goodwill	Goodwill	\$ 108,683	n/a	\$ (71,755)	\$ 108,683
	Trade				
Trade names and trademarks	names and trademarks	41,240	n/a	(34,728)	41,240
	Intangible				
Acquired signed contracts	assets		\$ (1,398)		1,398
	Intangible				
Acquired proposals	assets	1,789	(340)	(1,833)	2,129
Acquired customer relationships	Intangible assets	1,645	(144)	(12,604)	1,789
		\$ 153,357	\$ (1,882)	\$ (120,920)	\$ 155,239

Goodwill and trade names and trademarks are not amortized and are associated with the Design-Build and Development business segment. The following table shows the change in carrying value related to goodwill and trade names and trademarks intangible assets for the periods shown (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009
	Accumulated	Accumulated
	Net	Net

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-Q

	Gross Amount	Impairment	Carrying Value	Gross Amount	Impairment	Carrying Value
Goodwill as of January 1	\$ 180,438	\$ 71,755	\$ 108,683	\$ 180,438	\$	\$ 180,438
Accumulated impairment losses		6,488	(6,488)		71,755	(71,755)
Goodwill at end of period	\$ 180,438	\$ 78,243	\$ 102,195	\$ 180,438	\$ 71,755	\$ 108,683
Trade names and trademarks as of January 1	\$ 75,968	\$ 34,728	\$ 41,240	\$ 75,968	\$	\$ 75,968
Accumulated impairment losses		7,147	(7,147)		34,728	(34,728)
Trade names and trademarks at end of period	\$ 75,968	\$ 41,875	\$ 34,093	\$ 75,968	\$ 34,728	\$ 41,240

Amortizing intangible assets consisted of the following for the periods shown (in thousands):

	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Accumulated		Accumulated	
	Gross Amount	Amortization	Gross Amount	Amortization
Acquired signed contracts	\$ 13,253	\$ 13,253	\$ 13,253	\$ 13,253
Acquired proposals	2,129	1,234	2,129	340
Acquired customer relationships	1,789	390	1,789	144
Acquired above market leases	1,559	1,056	1,559	955
Acquired in place lease value and deferred leasing costs	40,666	29,194	40,666	27,512
Acquired ground leases	3,562	579	3,562	515
Acquired property management contracts	2,097	679	2,097	594
Total amortizing intangible assets	\$ 65,055	\$ 46,385	\$ 65,055	\$ 43,313

Amortization expense related to intangibles for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$3.1 million and \$6.4 million, respectively. The Company expects to recognize amortization expense from the acquired intangible assets for the remainder of the current year 2010 and thereafter as follows (in thousands):

For the year ending:	Future Amortization Expense
Remainder of 2010	\$ 2,719
2011	3,452
2012	2,461
2013	1,534
2014	1,390
Thereafter	7,114
	\$ 18,670

Table of Contents**8. Mortgage Notes Payable and Borrowing Agreements*****Scheduled Maturities***

The Company's mortgages are collateralized by property; principal and interest payments are generally made monthly. Scheduled maturities of mortgages, notes payable under the \$150.0 million secured revolving credit facility (Credit Facility), and the \$50.0 million senior secured term facility (Term Loan) as of June 30, 2010, are as follows (in thousands):

For the year ending:	Total
Remainder of 2010	\$ 8,607
2011	169,233
2012	24,900
2013	15,758
2014	60,812
Thereafter	116,814
	\$ 396,124

The Term Loan's financial covenants require a maximum consolidated senior indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA of 3.50 to 1.00. As of June 30, 2010, the Company's ratio for this covenant is 2.39 to 1.00. Unless the Company achieves a minimum trailing twelve months adjusted consolidated EBITDA of \$14.3 million, it must prepay an adequate amount of the Term Loan's principal balance in order to avoid a breach of the maximum consolidated senior indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA covenant ratio. The Company believes it has adequate resources from available cash and cash equivalents and its Credit Facility to make any necessary prepayment at any future reporting date.

In April 2010, the Company refinanced the Mulberry Medical Park (Lenoir, North Carolina) mortgage note payable. The principal balance was unchanged at \$0.9 million. The mortgage note payable matures in September 2011, has a fixed interest rate of 6.25%, and requires monthly principal and interest payments based on an approximate ten year amortization.

In May 2010, the Company exercised its options to extend for one year the Alamance Regional Mebane Outpatient Center (Mebane, North Carolina) mortgage note payables, which now mature in May 2011. In connection with the extension, the Company repaid \$1.3 million of principal. Interest rate terms were unchanged.

In June 2010, the Company obtained a construction note payable related to its Puyallup, Washington project. The mortgage note payable has a maximum principal balance of \$16.3 million and a fixed interest rate between 7.10% and 7.50% based on leasing and operating income conditions. During the construction period, the interest rate is 7.85%. Monthly payments are interest only during the construction period and after construction completion, the monthly payments will be principal and interest based on a 25 year amortization. The mortgage note payable matures in June 2015.

In July 2010, the Company obtained construction note payable related to its Bonney Lake, Washington project. The mortgage note payable has a maximum principal balance of \$11.5 million and an interest rate of LIBOR plus 3.25%. Monthly payments are interest only during the construction period and after construction completion, the monthly payments will be principal and interest based on a 25 year amortization. The mortgage note payable matures in January 2018.

The Credit Facility will terminate and all amounts outstanding thereunder shall be due and payable in March 2011. The Credit Facility provides for a one-year extension at the Company's option conditioned upon the Lenders being satisfied with the Company and its subsidiaries' financial condition and liquidity, and taking into consideration any payment, extension or refinancing of the Term Loan. As of June 30, 2010, the Company expects to exercise the extension option. There can be no assurance if and on what terms the Lenders may be willing to extend the Credit Facility upon its maturity in March 2011.

The Company has \$50.0 million outstanding under a \$50.0 million Term Loan. The Term Loan was initially \$100.0 million and the Company repaid \$50.0 million in June 2009. The Term Loan is secured by the stock and certain accounts receivable of the Design-Build and Development segment and is guaranteed by the Company. The Term Loan matures in March 2011, and is subject to a one-time right to a one-year extension at the Company's option. As of June 30, 2010, the Company expects to exercise the extension option. At June 30, 2010, the Company believes it was in compliance with all its loan covenants. See Liquidity and Capital Resources in the Management Discussion and Analysis section of this Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents**9. Derivative Financial Instruments**

Interest rate swap agreements are utilized to reduce exposure to variable interest rates associated with certain mortgage notes payable, the Term Loan, and the Credit Facility. These agreements involve an exchange of fixed and floating interest payments without the exchange of the underlying principal amount (the notional amount). The interest rate swap agreements are reported at fair value in the condensed consolidated balance sheet within Other assets or Other liabilities and changes in the fair value, net of tax where applicable, are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (AOCI) exclusive of ineffectiveness amounts. The following table summarizes the terms of the agreements and their fair values at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

Entity/Property	Notional Amount	As of June 30, 2010		Effective Date	Expiration Date	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
		Receive Rate	Pay Rate			Asset	Liability	Asset	Liability
MEA Holdings, LLC	\$ 100,000	1 Month LIBOR	2.82%	4/1/2008	3/1/2011	\$	\$ 1,542	\$	\$ 2,397
Cogdell Spencer LP	30,000	1 Month LIBOR	3.11%	10/15/2008	3/10/2011		541		697
St. Francis Community MOB LLC	6,779	1 Month LIBOR	3.32%	10/15/2008	6/15/2011		189		246
St. Francis Medical Plaza (Greenville)	7,282	1 Month LIBOR	3.32%	10/15/2008	6/15/2011		203		264
Beaufort Medical Plaza	4,700	1 Month LIBOR	3.80%	8/18/2008	8/18/2011		176		216
East Jefferson Medical Plaza	11,600	1 Month LIBOR	1.80%	1/15/2009	12/23/2011		205		121
River Hills Medical Plaza	3,472	1 Month LIBOR	1.78%	1/15/2009	1/31/2012		58		33
HealthPartners Medical Office Building	5,900	1 Month LIBOR	3.55%	6/1/2010	11/1/2014		855		186
Lancaster ASC MOB	10,513	1 Month LIBOR	4.03%	3/14/2008	3/2/2015		1,017		567
Woodlands Center for Specialized Medicine	16,751	1 Month LIBOR	4.71%	4/1/2010	10/1/2018		2,577		1,166
Medical Center Physicians Tower	14,770	1 Month LIBOR	3.69%	9/1/2010	3/1/2019		1,064	271	
University Physicians	10,439	1 Month LIBOR	3.70%	10/1/2010	4/1/2019		729	217	
Grants		1 Month LIBOR							

Ferry

LIBOR

\$ 9,156 \$ 488 \$ 5,893

The following table shows the effect of the Company's derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges for the three months ended June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010				
	Gain or (Loss) Recognized in AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships into Income - Effective Portion (1)	Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships into Income - Effective Portion (1)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized - Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing	Gain or (Loss) Recognized - Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ (2,932)	Interest Expense	\$ (1,741)	Interest rate derivative expense	\$ (10)

The following table shows the effect of the Company's derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges for the six months ended June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010				
	Gain or (Loss) Recognized in AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships into Income - Effective Portion (1)	Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships into Income - Effective Portion (1)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized - Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing	Gain or (Loss) Recognized - Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing

	Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships	Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships	Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized - Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing	Gain or (Loss) Recognized - Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ (4,396)	Interest Expense	\$ (1,995)	Interest rate derivative expense	\$ (25)

Table of Contents

The following table shows the effect of the Company's derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges for the three months ended June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2009				
	Gain or (Loss) Recognized in AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships into Income - Effective Portion	Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships into Income - Effective Portion (1)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships into Income - Effective Portion (1)	Gain or (Loss) Recognized - Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ 4,970	Interest Expense	\$ (1,246)	Interest rate derivative expense	\$ (1,529)

The following table shows the effect of the Company's derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges for the six months ended June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June, 2009				
	Gain or (Loss) Recognized in AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships into Income - Effective Portion	Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships into Income - Effective Portion (1)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI, Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships into Income - Effective Portion (1)	Gain or (Loss) Recognized - Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing

	Partnership, and Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships - Effective Portion (1)	Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships into Income - Effective Portion Interest Expense	Partnership, and Noncontrolling Interests in Real Estate Partnerships into Income - Effective Portion (1)	(Loss) Recognized - Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing Interest rate derivative expense	Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing (1,529)
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ 4,806		\$ (2,740)		\$ (1,529)

(1) Refer to the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity of this Form 10-Q, which summarizes the activity in Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps, net of tax related to the interest rate swap agreements.

The following tables present information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, and indicates the fair value hierarchy referenced in Note 2 within this Form 10-Q, of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements as of June 30, 2010			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Liabilities:				
Derivative financial instruments	\$ (9,156)	\$	\$ (9,156)	\$
	Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2009			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Derivative financial instruments	\$ 488	\$	\$ 488	\$

Liabilities:

Derivative financial instruments	\$	(5,893)	\$	\$	(5,893)	\$
----------------------------------	----	---------	----	----	---------	----

Table of Contents

The valuation of derivative financial instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. The fair values of variable to fixed interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash payments and the discounted expected variable cash receipts. The variable cash receipts are based on an expectation of future interest rates forward curves derived from observable market interest rate curves. To comply with GAAP, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

The MEA Holdings, LLC \$100.0 million interest rate swap agreement was designated as a hedge instrument from its inception through June 3, 2009, the date of the \$50.0 million repayment and amendment of the Term Loan. The agreement was not designated as a hedge instrument from June 4, 2009, through July 19, 2009. On July 20, 2009, the agreement was re-designated as a hedge instrument and was used to fix the floating rate portion on \$50.0 million outstanding on the Term Loan and \$50.0 million outstanding under the Credit Facility. On May 19, 2010, the Company repaid \$25.0 million that was outstanding under the Credit Facility. Due to the outstanding one month LIBOR variable rate debt falling to a level that the hedge designation no longer supported, the swap was de-designated on May 19, 2010. The agreement was not designated as a hedge instrument from May 20, 2010, through May 24, 2010. On May 25, 2010, the agreement was re-designated as a hedge instrument and as of June 30, 2010 was used to fix the floating rate portion on the \$50.0 million outstanding on the Term Loan and \$25.0 million outstanding under the Credit Facility.

10. Equity***Cogdell Spencer Inc. Stockholders' Equity***

The following is a summary of changes of the Company's common stock for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Common stock shares at beginning of period	42,729	17,699
Issuance of common stock	7,133	23,000
Conversion of OP Units to common stock	65	1,814
Restricted stock grants	35	13
Common stock shares at end of period	49,962	42,526

The following is net loss attributable to Cogdell Spencer Inc. and the issuance of common stock in exchange for redemptions of OP units for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Net loss attributable to Cogdell Spencer Inc.	\$ (8,736)	\$ (72,533)
Increase in Cogdell Spencer Inc. additional paid-in capital for the conversion of OP units into common stock	357	17,695
Change from net loss attributable to Cogdell Spencer Inc. and transfers from noncontrolling interests	\$ (8,379)	\$ (54,838)

In May 2010, the Company issued approximately 7.1 million shares of common stock, resulting in net proceeds to the Company of \$47.1 million. The net proceeds were used to reduce borrowings under the Credit Facility, to fund build to suit development projects, and for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership

As of June 30, 2010, there were approximately 57.8 million OP units outstanding, of which approximately 50.0 million, or 86.4%, were owned by the Company and approximately 7.8 million, or 13.6%, were owned by other partners, including certain directors, officers and other members of senior management. As of June 30, 2010, the fair market value of the OP units not owned by the Company was approximately \$53.1 million, based on a market value of \$6.76 per unit, which was the closing stock price of the Company's shares of common stock on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) on June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents***Dividends and Distributions***

On June 11, 2010, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per share and operating partnership unit that was paid in cash on July 21, 2010 to holders of record on June 25, 2010. The \$5.0 million dividend covered the Company's second quarter of 2010. Additionally, distributions declared to OP unit holders, excluding inter-company distributions, totaled \$0.8 million for the second quarter of 2010.

11. Incentive and Share-Based Compensation

The Company's 2010 and 2005 Long-Term Stock Incentive Plans ("Incentive Plans") provide for the grant of incentive awards to employees, directors and consultants to attract and retain qualified individuals and reward them for superior performance in achieving the Company's business goals and enhancing stockholder value. Awards issuable under the incentive award plans include stock options, restricted stock, dividend equivalents, stock appreciation rights, long-term incentive plan units ("LTIP units"), cash performance bonuses and other incentive awards. Only employees are eligible to receive incentive stock options under the incentive award plan. The Company has reserved a total of 2,512,000 shares of common stock for issuance pursuant to the Incentive Plans, subject to certain adjustments set forth in the plans. Each LTIP unit issued under the Incentive Plans will count as one share of stock for purposes of calculating the limit on shares that may be issued under the plan and the individual award limit discussed below.

In January 2010, each non-employee member of the Company's Board of Directors was granted 6,981 shares of the Company's restricted stock or LTIP units, at each director's option, that all vested upon issuance. Messrs. Georgius, Lubar, Jennings, and Neugent, and Dr. Smoak each elected to receive restricted stock and Mr. Lee elected to receive LTIP units. The restricted stock and LTIP units were valued at \$5.73 per share, which was the Company's closing stock price on the NYSE on the grant date. The Company has recorded compensation expense of \$0.2 million in connection with these issuances.

In January 2010, the Company issued 500 LTIP units that vested upon issuance to one employee. The LTIP units were valued at \$6.46 per unit, which was the Company's closing stock price on the NYSE on the grant date. The Company has recorded compensation expense of less than \$0.1 million in connection with this issuance.

In February 2010, the Company issued an aggregate of 14,394, LTIP units to certain employees based on specific performance goals. The LTIP units were valued at \$6.23 per unit, which was the Company's closing stock price on the grant date. The Company recorded compensation expense of less than \$0.01 million and capitalized \$0.07 million as a development project cost in connection with these issuances.

In June 2010, the Company issued an aggregate of 46,392, LTIP units to certain employees based on specific performance goals. The LTIP units were valued at \$7.05 per unit, which was the Company's closing stock price on the grant date. The Company recorded compensation expense of \$0.2 million and capitalized \$0.1 million as a development project cost in connection with these issuances.

In June 2010, the Company issued an aggregate of 64,199, LTIP units to certain employees based on specific performance goals. The LTIP units were valued at \$6.63 per unit, which was the Company's closing stock price on the grant date. The Company recorded compensation expense of \$0.3 million and capitalized \$0.1 million as a development project cost in connection with these issuances.

In June 2010, the Company issued an aggregate of 1,603, LTIP units to certain employees based on specific performance goals. The LTIP units were valued at \$6.55 per unit, which was the Company's closing stock price on the grant date. The Company recorded compensation expense of less than \$0.1 million and capitalized less than \$0.1 million as a development project cost in connection with these issuances.

The following is a summary of restricted stock and LTIP unit activity for the six months ended June 30, 2010 (in thousands, except weighted average grant price):

	Restricted Stock	LTIP Units	Weighted Average Grant Price
Unvested balance at December 31, 2009	5	120	\$ 15.43
Granted	35	134	6.49
Vested	(40)	(139)	6.28

Unvested balance at June 30, 2010	115	\$	15.72
-----------------------------------	-----	----	-------

Table of Contents

12. Related Party Transactions

The Fork Farm, a working farm owned by the Company's Chairman, periodically hosts events on behalf of the Company. Charges of less than \$10,000 for each six month period ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, are reflected in Selling, general, and administrative expenses in the condensed consolidated statement of operations.

13. Subsequent Events

In July 2010, the Company acquired St. Francis Outpatient Center in Greenville, South Carolina for \$16.6 million. St. Francis Outpatient Center is approximately 72,000 square feet and houses outpatient operating rooms and inpatient and outpatient radiology. The property is 100% leased by St. Francis Hospital, Inc., a subsidiary of Bon Secours Health System, Inc. The Company developed the property and has managed the property since its opening in 2001. In July 2010, Bonney Lake MOB Investors, LLC issued limited member units to third party investors, which reduced the Company's ownership interest from 100% to 61.2%. After this issuance, the entity will be reported as a consolidated real estate partnership. See Note 3 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q.

In July 2010, the Company obtained construction note payable related to its Bonney Lake, Washington project. The mortgage note payable has a maximum principal balance of \$11.5 million and an interest rate of LIBOR plus 3.25%. Monthly payments are interest only during the construction period and after construction completion, the monthly payments will be principal and interest based on a 25 year amortization. The mortgage note payable matures in January 2018.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

When used in this discussion and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the words believes, anticipates, projects, should, estimates, expects, and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements with the meaning of that term in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and in Section 21F of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Actual results may differ materially due to uncertainties including:

- the Company's business strategy;
- the Company's ability to comply with financial covenants in its debt instruments;
- the Company's access to capital;
- the Company's ability to obtain future financing arrangements;
- estimates relating to the Company's future distributions;
- the Company's understanding of the Company's competition;
- the Company's ability to renew the Company's ground leases;
- legislative and regulatory changes (including changes to laws governing the taxation of REITs and individuals);
- increases in costs of borrowing as a result of changes in interest rates and other factors;
- the Company's ability to maintain its qualification as a REIT due to economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations;
- changes in the reimbursement available to the Company's tenants by government or private payors;

Table of Contents

the Company's tenants' ability to make rent payments;

defaults by tenants and customers;

access to financing by customers;

delays in project starts and cancellations by customers;

market trends; and

projected capital expenditures.

Forward-looking statements are based on estimates as of the date of this report. The Company disclaims any obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements reflecting new estimates, events or circumstances after the date of this report.

The risks included here are not exhaustive. Other sections of this report may include additional factors that could adversely affect the Company's business and financial performance. Moreover, the Company operates in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on the Company's business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

Overview

The Company is a fully-integrated, self-administered, and self-managed REIT that invests in specialty office buildings for the medical profession, including medical offices and ambulatory surgery and diagnostic centers. The Company focuses on the ownership, delivery, acquisition, and management of strategically located medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities in the United States of America. The Company has been built around understanding and addressing the specialized real estate needs of the healthcare industry and provides services from strategic planning to long-term property ownership and management. Integrated delivery service offerings include strategic planning, design, construction, development and project management services for properties owned by the Company and for third parties.

The Company derives a majority of its revenues from two sources: (1) rents received from tenants under existing leases in medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities, and (2) from design-build services for healthcare customers. To a lesser degree, the Company has revenues from consulting and property management agreements.

The Company's rental revenue is derived from its Property Operations segment. Generally, the Company's property operating revenues and expenses have remained consistent over time except for growth due to property developments and property and business acquisitions. The Company expects that rental revenue will remain stable due to multi-year, non-cancellable leases with annual rental increases based on the Consumer Price Index (CPI).

The Company's design-build revenue is derived from its Design-Build and Development segment. The demand for this segment's services has been, and will likely continue to be, cyclical in nature. Financial results can be affected by the amount and timing of capital spending by healthcare systems and providers, the demand for the Company's services in the healthcare facilities market, the availability of construction level financing, and weather at the construction sites. In periods of adverse economic conditions, design-build customers may be unwilling or unable to make capital expenditures and they may be unable to obtain debt or equity financings for projects. As a result, customers may defer projects to a later date, which could reduce design-build revenues. Due to the current adverse economic environment and the volatility in the credit markets, the Design-Build and Development segment is experiencing delays in client project starts and cancellations. Deterioration of market or economic conditions and volatility in the financial market has and could continue to influence future revenues, interest, and other costs, and could result in future impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets. The Company expects its design-build revenue and FFOM contribution from the

Design-Build and Development segment for the year ending December 31, 2010 to be significantly less than revenue and FFOM contribution from the Design-Build and Development segment for the same period in the prior year.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2010, the Company's portfolio consisted of 113 properties totaling approximately 5.9 million square feet. The Company's portfolio was comprised of the following at June 30, 2010:

64 consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties, comprising a total of approximately 3.5 million net rentable square feet and an overall leased percentage of 91.0%,

One wholly-owned property in lease-up totaling approximately 0.1 million net rentable square feet and is 75% leased,

Three unconsolidated joint venture properties comprising a total of approximately 0.2 million net rentable square feet, and

45 properties managed for third party clients comprising a total of approximately 2.1 million net rentable square feet.

At June 30, 2010, approximately 76.9% of the net rentable square feet of the Company's wholly-owned properties were situated on hospital campuses. As such, the Company believes that its assets occupy a premier franchise location in relation to local hospitals, providing its properties with a distinct competitive advantage over alternative medical office space in an area. The Company believes that its property locations and relationships with hospitals will allow the Company to capitalize on the increasing healthcare trend of outpatient procedures.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q, which have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in conformity with GAAP. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses in the reporting period. The Company's actual results may differ from these estimates. Management has provided a summary of the Company's significant accounting policies in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Critical accounting policies are those judged to involve accounting estimates or assumptions that may be material due to the levels of subjectivity and judgment necessary to account for uncertain matters or susceptibility of such matters to change. Other companies in similar businesses may utilize different estimation policies and methodologies, which may impact the comparability of the Company's results of operations and financial condition to those companies.

Acquisition of Real Estate

The price that the Company pays to acquire a property is impacted by many factors, including the condition of the buildings and improvements, the occupancy of the building, the existence of above and below market tenant leases, the creditworthiness of the tenants, favorable or unfavorable financing, above or below market ground leases and numerous other factors. Accordingly, the Company is required to make subjective assessments to allocate the purchase price paid to acquire investments in real estate among the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on the Company's estimate of the fair values of such assets and liabilities. This includes determining the value of the buildings and improvements, land, any ground leases, tenant improvements, in-place tenant leases, tenant relationships, the value (or negative value) of above (or below) market leases and any debt assumed from the seller or loans made by the seller to the Company. Each of these estimates requires significant judgment and some of the estimates involve complex calculations. The Company's calculation methodology is summarized in Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. These allocation assessments have a direct impact on the Company's results of operations because if the Company were to allocate more value to land there would be no depreciation with respect to such amount or if the Company were to allocate more value to the buildings as opposed to allocating to the value of tenant leases, this amount would be recognized as an expense over a much longer period of time, since the amounts allocated to buildings are depreciated over the estimated lives of the buildings whereas amounts allocated to tenant leases are amortized over the terms of the leases. Additionally, the amortization of value (or negative value) assigned to above (or below) market rate leases is recorded as an adjustment to rental revenue as compared to amortization of the value of in-place leases and tenant relationships, which is included in depreciation and amortization in the Company's

consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

Acquisition of Business

The price that the Company pays to acquire a business is impacted by many factors, including projected future cash flows, customer lists, contracts and proposals, trade names and trademarks, condition of property, plant, and equipment, and numerous other factors. Accordingly, the Company is required to make subjective assessments to allocate the purchase price paid to acquire investments in business among the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on the Company's estimate of the fair values of such assets and liabilities. This includes determining the value of contacts, proposals, customer lists, workforce, trade names and trademarks, receivables, accruals and reserves, and property, plant, and equipment. Each of these estimates requires significant judgment and some of the estimates involve complex calculations. The Company's calculation methodology is summarized in Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. These allocation assessments have a direct impact on the Company's results of operations because if the Company were to allocate more value to goodwill or a non-amortizing intangible asset there would be no amortization with respect to such amount or if the Company were to allocate more value to a longer-lived asset as opposed to allocating to a shorter-lived asset, this amount would be recognized as an expense over a longer period of time.

Useful Lives of Assets

The Company is required to make subjective assessments as to the useful lives of the Company's properties and intangible assets for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation and amortization to record on an annual basis with respect to the Company's assets. These assessments have a direct impact on the Company's net income (loss) because if the Company were to shorten the expected useful lives, then the Company would depreciate or amortize such assets over fewer years, resulting in more depreciation or amortization expense on an annual basis.

Asset Impairment Valuation

The Company reviews the carrying value of its properties, investments in real estate partnerships, and amortizing intangible assets annually and when circumstances, such as adverse market conditions or changes in the intended use of the asset, indicate that a potential impairment may exist. The Company bases its review on an estimate of the future cash flows (excluding interest charges) expected to result from the real estate or business investment's use and eventual disposition. The Company considers factors such as future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. If the Company's evaluation indicates that it may be unable to recover the carrying value of an investment, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the asset. These losses have a direct impact on the Company's net income (loss) because recording an impairment loss results in an immediate negative adjustment to operating results. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is highly subjective and is based in part on assumptions regarding future sales, backlog, occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results in future periods. Because cash flows on properties considered to be long-lived assets to be held and used are considered on an undiscounted basis to determine whether an asset has been impaired, the Company's strategy of holding properties over the long-term directly decreases the likelihood of recording an impairment loss for properties. If the Company's strategy changes or market conditions otherwise dictate an earlier sale date, an impairment loss may be recognized and such loss could be material. If the Company determines that impairment has occurred, the affected assets must be reduced to their fair value. The Company estimates the fair value of rental properties utilizing a discounted cash flow analysis that includes projections of future revenues, expenses and capital improvement costs, similar to the income approach that is commonly utilized by appraisers.

The Company reviews the value of goodwill using an income approach and market approach on an annual basis and when circumstances indicate a potential impairment may exist. The Company's methodology to review goodwill impairment, which includes a significant amount of judgment and estimates, provides a reasonable basis to determine whether impairment has occurred. However, many of the factors employed in determining whether or not goodwill is impaired are outside of the Company's control and it is likely that assumptions and estimates will change in future periods. These changes can result in future impairments which could be material.

Table of Contents

The goodwill impairment review involves a two-step process. The first step is a comparison of the reporting unit's fair value to its carrying value. Fair value is estimated by utilizing two approaches, an income approach and a market approach. The income approach uses the reporting unit's projected operating results and discounted cash flows using a weighted-average cost of capital that reflects current market conditions. The cash flow projections use estimates of economic and market information over the projection period, including growth rates in revenues and costs and estimates of future expected changes in operating margins and cash expenditures. Other significant estimates and assumptions include terminal value growth rates, future estimates of capital expenditures, and changes in future working capital requirements. The market approach estimates fair value by applying cash flow multiples to the reporting unit's operating performance. The multiples are derived from comparable publicly traded companies with similar operating and profitability characteristics. Additionally, the Company reconciles the total of the estimated fair values of all its reporting units to its market capitalization to determine if the sum of the individual fair values is reasonable compared to the external market indicators.

If the carrying value of the reporting unit is higher than its fair value, then an indication of impairment may exist and a second step must be performed to measure the amount of impairment. The amount of impairment is determined by comparing the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill to the carrying value of the goodwill calculated in the same manner as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill, then an impairment charge for the difference would be recorded. For non-amortizing intangible assets, the Company estimates fair value by applying an estimated market royalty rate to projected revenues and discounted using a weighted-average cost of capital that reflects current market conditions. For amortizing intangible assets, the Company estimates fair value by applying the excess earnings method which uses the estimated future cash flows attributable to an asset for a discrete projection period less capital charges for use of all business assets, with the result then discounted using a rate of return that considers the relative risk of achieving the cash flow and the time value of money and then combined with the amortization tax benefit of the asset.

If market and economic conditions deteriorate and cause (1) declines in the Company's stock price, (2) increases the estimated weighted-average cost of capital, (3) changes in cash flow multiples or projections, or (4) changes in other inputs to goodwill assessment estimates, then a goodwill impairment review may be required prior to the Company's next annual test. It is reasonably possible that changes in the numerous variables associated with the judgments, assumptions, and estimates could cause the goodwill or non-amortizing intangible assets to become impaired. If goodwill or non-amortizing intangible assets are impaired, the Company would be required to record a non-cash charge that could have a material adverse affect on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

Rental income related to non-cancelable operating leases is recognized using the straight line method over the terms of the tenant leases. Deferred rents included in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets represent the aggregate excess of rental revenue recognized on a straight line basis over the rental revenue that would be recognized under the cash flow received, based on the terms of the leases. The Company's leases generally contain provisions under which the tenants reimburse the Company for all property operating expenses and real estate taxes incurred by the Company. Such reimbursements are recognized in the period that the expenses are incurred. Lease termination fees are recognized when the related leases are canceled and the Company has no continuing obligation to provide services to such former tenants. The Company records amortization of the value of acquired above or below market rate leases as a reduction of rental revenue in the case of above market leases or an increase to rental revenue in the case of below market leases.

For design-build contracts, the Company recognizes revenue under the percentage of completion method. Due to the volume, varying complexity, and other factors related to the Company's design-build contracts, the estimates required to determine percentage of completion are complex and use subjective judgments. Changes in labor costs and material inputs can have a significant impact on the percentage of completion calculations. The Company has a long history of developing reasonable and dependable estimates related to design-build contracts with clear requirements and rights of the parties to the contracts. As long-term design-build projects extend over one or more years, revisions in cost and estimate earnings during the course of the work are reflected in the accounting period in which the facts which require the revision become known. At the time a loss on a design-build project becomes known, the entire amount of the

estimated ultimate loss is recognized in the consolidated financial statements.

The Company receives fees for property management and development and consulting services from time to time from third parties which are reflected as fee revenue. Management fees are generally based on a percentage of revenues for the month as defined in the related property management agreements. Revenue from development and consulting agreements is recognized as earned per the agreements. Due to the amount of control retained by the Company, most joint venture developments will be consolidated; therefore, those development fees will be eliminated in consolidation.

Table of Contents

Other income shown in the statement of operations generally includes interest income, primarily from the amortization of unearned income on a sales-type capital lease recognized in accordance with GAAP, and other income incidental to the Company's operations and is recognized when earned.

The Company must make subjective estimates as to when the Company's revenue is earned and the collectability of the Company's accounts receivable related to design-build contracts and other sales, deferred rent, expense reimbursements, lease termination fees and other income. The Company specifically analyzes accounts receivable and historical bad debts, tenant and customer concentrations, tenant and customer creditworthiness, and current economic trends when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for bad debts. These estimates have a direct impact on the Company's net income because a higher bad debt allowance would result in lower net income, and recognizing rental revenue as earned in one period versus another would result in higher or lower net income for a particular period.

REIT Qualification Requirements

The Company is subject to a number of operational and organizational requirements to qualify and then maintain qualification as a REIT. If the Company does not qualify as a REIT, its income would become subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxes at regular corporate rates that would be substantial and the Company cannot re-elect to qualify as a REIT for four taxable years following the year it failed to qualify as a REIT. The resulting adverse effects on the Company's results of operations, liquidity and amounts distributable to stockholders would be material.

Results of Operations

The Company's income (loss) from operations is generated primarily from operations of its properties and design-build services. The changes in operating results from period to period reflect changes in existing property performance, changes in the number of properties due to development, acquisition, or disposition of properties, and the operating results of the Design-Build and Development segment.

Business Segments

The Company has two identified reportable segments: (1) Property Operations and (2) Design-Build and Development. The Company defines business segments by their distinct customer base and service provided. Each segment operates under a separate management group and produces discrete financial information, which is reviewed by the chief operating decision maker to make resource allocation decisions and assess performance. See Note 4 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q.

Property Summary

The following is an activity summary of the Company's in-service and lease-up property portfolio (excluding unconsolidated partnership properties) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2009:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	
Properties at beginning of the period	63	62	62	62	
Developments (including lease-up properties)	2		3		
Properties at end of the period	65	62	65	62	
					Year Ended December 31, 2009
Properties at January 1					62
Developments					1
Discontinued operations					(1)
Properties at December 31					62
Table of Contents					58

Table of Contents

The above tables include East Jefferson Medical Specialty Building, which is accounted for as a sales-type capital lease.

The Company considers a property to be in-service upon the earlier of (1) lease-up and substantial completion of tenant improvements, or (2) one year after cessation of major construction. For portfolio and operational data, a single in-service date is used. For GAAP reporting, a property is placed into service in stages as construction is completed and the property and tenant space is available for its intended use.

Comparison of the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009**Funds from Operations Modified (FFOM)**

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, FFOM, excluding non-recurring events and impairment charges, increased \$0.1 million, or 1.7%, compared to the same period in the prior year. The \$0.1 million increase is due to increased Property Operations FFOM, decreased corporate general and administrative expenses, and increased income tax benefit applicable to FFOM, all of which were offset by a decrease in Design Build and Development FFOM.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, FFOM, excluding non-recurring events and impairment charges, increased \$3.2 million, or 22.1%, compared to the same period in the prior year. The \$3.2 million increase is due to increased Property Operations FFOM and decreased corporate general and administrative expenses.

The following is a summary of FFOM for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30, 2009
	2010	2009	2010	June 30, 2009
FFOM attributable to:				
Property operations	\$ 13,043	\$ 12,573	\$ 26,483	\$ 25,090
Design-Build and development, excluding impairment charges	712	3,179	8,044	8,127
Intersegment eliminations	(1,709)	(1,798)	(2,227)	(2,452)
Unallocated and other, excluding non-recurring events	(5,500)	(7,519)	(14,604)	(16,272)
FFOM, excluding non-recurring events and impairment charges	6,546	6,435	17,696	14,493
Non-recurring events and impairment charges:				
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges, net of tax benefit	(10,848)		(10,848)	(101,746)
CEO retirement compensation expense, net of tax benefit	(2,545)		(2,545)	
Debt extinguishment and interest rate derivative expense, net of income tax benefit		(1,520)		(1,520)
FFOM	\$ (6,847)	\$ 4,915	\$ 4,303	\$ (88,773)

Table of Contents

See Note 4 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q for business segment information and management's use of FFO and FFOM to evaluate operating performance. The following table presents the reconciliation of FFO and FFOM to net loss, which is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to FFO and FFOM, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Net loss	\$ (13,753)	\$ (3,050)	\$ (9,558)	\$ (105,374)
Add:				
Real estate related depreciation and amortization:				
Wholly-owned and consolidated properties, including amounts in discontinued operations	7,272	7,344	14,465	14,684
Unconsolidated real estate partnerships	3	3	6	5
Less:				
Noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	(479)	(224)	(1,094)	(470)
Gain on sale of real estate property	(264)		(264)	
Funds from Operations (FFO)	(7,221)	4,073	3,555	(91,155)
Amortization of intangibles related to purchase accounting, net of income tax benefit	374	842	748	2,382
Funds from Operations Modified (FFOM)	\$ (6,847)	\$ 4,915	\$ 4,303	\$ (88,773)

FFOM attributable to Property Operations, net of intersegment eliminations

The following is a summary of FFOM attributable to the Property Operations segment, net of intersegment eliminations, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended	
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Rental revenue, net of intersegment eliminations of \$23 in 2010 and 2009	\$ 20,995	\$ 19,574
Property management and other fee revenue	761	863
Property operating and management expenses	(8,387)	(7,821)
Other income (expense)	134	129
Earnings from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	3	4
Noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	(479)	(224)
Income from discontinued operations, before real estate related depreciation and amortization and gain on sale	(7)	25
FFOM, net of intersegment eliminations	\$ 13,020	\$ 12,550

	For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2010	June 30, 2009
Rental revenue, net of intersegment eliminations of \$46 in 2010 and \$47 in 2009	\$ 42,240	\$ 39,150
Property management and other fee revenue	1,578	1,713
Property operating and management expenses	(16,585)	(15,686)
Other income (expense)	280	270
Earnings from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	9	8
Noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	(1,094)	(470)
Income from discontinued operations, before real estate related depreciation and amortization and gain on sale	9	58
FFOM, net of intersegment eliminations	\$ 26,437	\$ 25,043

See Note 4 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q for a reconciliation of above segment FFOM to net income (loss).

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, FFOM attributable to Property Operations, net of intersegment eliminations, increased \$0.5 million, or 3.7%, and \$1.4 million, or 5.6%, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. The increase in rental revenue is primarily due to the addition of two properties, the Woodlands Center for Specialized Medicine property (a consolidated real estate partnership) which began operations in December 2009, and Medical Center Physicians Tower which began operations in February 2010, as well as increases in rental rates associated with CPI increases and reimbursable expenses. The increase in property operating and management expenses and the increase in noncontrolling interests in real estate partnerships before real estate related depreciation and amortization are primarily due to the addition of the properties previously mentioned.

Table of Contents***FFOM attributable to Design-Build and Development, net of intersegment eliminations***

The following is a summary of FFOM attributable to the Design-Build and Development segment, net of intersegment eliminations, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended	
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Design-Build contract revenue and other sales, net of intersegment eliminations of \$8,993 in 2010 and \$5,297 in 2009	\$ 15,236	\$ 36,712
Development management and other income, net of intersegment eliminations of \$2,249 in 2010 and \$1,207 in 2009	17	227
Design-Build contract and development management expenses, net of intersegment eliminations of \$9,533 in 2010 and \$4,706 in 2009	(11,407)	(31,242)
Selling, general, and administrative expenses, net of intersegment eliminations of \$23 in 2010 and 2009	(4,583)	(4,099)
Other income (expense)	2	2
Depreciation and amortization	(237)	(196)
FFOM, excluding impairment charge, net of intersegment eliminations	(974)	1,404
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges	(13,635)	
FFOM, net of intersegment eliminations	\$ (14,609)	\$ 1,404

	For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Design-Build contract revenue and other sales, net of intersegment eliminations of \$12,757 in 2010 and \$10,068 in 2009	\$ 50,672	\$ 83,101
Development management and other income, net of intersegment eliminations of \$3,032 in 2010 and \$2,043 in 2009	120	3,027
Design-Build contract and development management expenses, net of intersegment eliminations of \$13,562 in 2010 and \$9,659 in 2009	(36,026)	(71,407)
Selling, general, and administrative expenses, net of intersegment eliminations of \$46 in 2010 and \$47 in 2009	(8,449)	(8,613)
Other income (expense)	3	4
Depreciation and amortization	(457)	(390)
FFOM, excluding impairment charge, net of intersegment eliminations	5,863	5,722
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges	(13,635)	(120,920)
FFOM, net of intersegment eliminations	\$ (7,772)	\$ (115,198)

See Note 4 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in the Form 10-Q for a reconciliation of above segment FFOM to net income (loss).

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, FFOM, excluding impairment charge, attributable to the Design-Build and Development segment, net of intersegment eliminations, decreased \$2.4 million compared to the same period last year. The decrease is due to fewer active revenue generating third-party design-build construction projects offset by an improved gross margin percentage.

Design-build contract revenue and other sales plus development management and other income, all net of intersegment eliminations (Design-Build Revenues) decreased \$21.7 million, or 58.7%, for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period last year and decreased \$35.3 million, or 41.0%, for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period last year. These decreases are due to a lower volume of activity as the number of active revenue generating design-build construction projects has decreased from 24 at June 30, 2009 to eight at June 30, 2010. The decreased activity is due to the current economic environment, general uncertainty regarding government health care reform and government payor reimbursement rates, and volatility in the financial markets, which have resulted in clients delaying project starts and client project cancellations. Unless new revenue generating design-build construction projects for third parties are added by the Company, the number of revenue generating design-build construction projects will decline further as existing projects are completed. The Company is actively pursuing a number of new project opportunities for third parties and is starting to see some pick-up in requests for proposals and client advance opportunities but there is no assurance that any of these opportunities will result in new engagements for the Company.

Table of Contents

Gross margin percentage (Design-Build Revenues less design-build contract and development management expenses and as a percent of revenues) increased from 15.4% in 2009 to 25.2% in 2010, or an increase of 63.6%, for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and increased from 17.1% in 2009 to 29.1% in 2010, or an increase of 70.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. This increase is primarily due to cost controls implemented by the Company, favorable pricing in the sub-contracting market leading to lower overall costs, and the timing and type of work being performed for each project during the time periods. The gross margin percentage experienced during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, is not indicative of the results that may be expected for other interim periods during the current fiscal year. The Company expects gross margin percentage to decrease to normalized levels for the remainder of the current year and in 2011 due to a reversal of the favorable pricing in the sub-contracting market.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses attributable to the Design-Build and Development segment increased \$0.5 million, or 11.8%, for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period last year. The increase is primarily due to severance charges related to a reduction in force that occurred in June 2010.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses attributable to the Design-Build and Development segment decreased \$0.2 million, or 1.9%, for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period last year. This decrease is due to cost controls implemented by the Company offset by the severance charges discussed above.

Selling, general, and administrative

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, selling, general, and administrative expenses increased \$2.7 million, or 40.0%, and \$1.8 million, or 13.7%, respectively, as compared to the same period last year. Excluding the changes attributable to the Design-Build and Development segment, which are discussed above, selling, general and administrative expense increased \$2.2 million and \$2.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, primarily due to a non-recurring compensation expense associated with the retirement of the Company's Chief Executive Officer.

Depreciation and amortization

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, depreciation and amortization expenses decreased \$0.8 million, or 8.5%, and \$2.8 million, or 14.5%, respectively, as compared to the same period last year. The decrease is primarily due to a decrease in amortization of intangible assets due to lower carrying values due to the impairment recorded in the first quarter of 2009, as discussed below.

Impairment charges

The Company reviews the value of goodwill and intangible assets on an annual basis and when circumstances indicate a potential impairment may exist. As of June 30, 2010, the Company performed an interim impairment review of goodwill and intangible assets related to the Design-Build and Development business segment. The interim review was performed due to indicators of impairment including a decrease in the market value of comparable engineering and construction companies, a decrease in the Company's forecasted cash flow projections for the business segment resulting from negative macro-economic factors and continual delays in new project construction starts, and a reduction in workforce that occurred within the business segment. As a result of the June 30, 2010 review, the Company recorded, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, a pre-tax, non-cash impairment charge of \$13.6 million and the Company recognized a non-cash income tax benefit of \$2.8 million, resulting in a non-cash, after-tax impairment charge of \$10.8 million.

An interim review of the Design-Build and Development's intangible assets was also performed on March 31, 2009, due to a decline in the Company's stock price, a decline in the cash flow multiples for comparable public engineering and construction companies, and changes in the cash flow projections for the Design-Build and Development business segment resulting from a decline in backlog and delays and cancellations of client building projects. As a result of the March 31, 2009 review, the Company recorded, during the three months ended March 31, 2009, a pre-tax, non-cash impairment charge of \$120.9 million and the Company recognized a non-cash income tax benefit of \$19.2 million, resulting in a non-cash, after-tax impairment charge of \$101.7 million.

Interest expense

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, interest expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 3.0%, and \$1.1 million, or 9.3%, respectively as compared to the same period last year. The decrease was primarily due to lower debt balances as the Company used a portion of the proceeds from its May 2010 and June 2009 equity offerings to repay debt. This

decrease was offset by increases in interest expense related to mortgage debt on properties that became operational in December 2009 and February 2010.

Table of Contents**Income tax benefit (expense)**

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, income tax benefit increased \$3.0 million, or 134.3%, as compared to the same period last year. The increase was primarily due to the \$2.8 million income tax benefit recorded in the current year as a result of the impairment charge described above. No such benefit was recorded for the same period in the prior year.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, income tax benefit decreased \$18.4 million, or 84.2%, as compared to the same period last year. The decrease was primarily due to the \$19.2 million income tax benefit recorded in the prior year as a result of the impairment charge recorded in first quarter of 2009.

Cash Flows**Comparison of the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009**

Cash provided by operating activities decreased \$5.1 million, or 31.6%, for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the same period last year, and is summarized below (in thousands):

	For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2010	June 30, 2009
Net income (loss) plus non-cash adjustments	\$ 16,956	\$ 16,042
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	(5,878)	146
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 11,078	\$ 16,188

The net income (loss) plus non-cash adjustments increased \$0.9 million, or 5.7%, for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the same period last year. The changes in operating assets and liabilities decreased \$6.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the same period last year. The decrease is primarily due to a decrease in design-build billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts, which decreases cash provided by operations. Contract billings are generally collected prior to revenue recognition, which increases cash flow from operations. However, due to the decrease in the number of active design-build projects and the timing of the current projects, there was a significant decrease in billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted projects.

Cash used in investing activities increased \$3.5 million, or 16.5%, for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the same period last year. The Company has an increased number of build to suit development projects that it has completed or has under construction. The increase in restricted cash is related to funds that the Company deposited with its construction lender for the Puyallup, Washington project. These funds will be drawn down at the beginning of construction and then the Company will draw from the construction loan. The increase in cash used for investment in real estate properties was offset by cash proceeds received from the sale of Harbison Medical Office Building. See Note 5 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q.

Investment in real estate properties consisted of the following for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2010	June 30, 2009
Development, redevelopment, and acquisitions	\$ 20,660	\$ 17,954
Second generation tenant improvements	1,321	1,353
Recurring property capital expenditures	42	475
Investment in real estate properties	\$ 22,023	\$ 19,782

Cash provided by (used in) financing activities changed by \$35.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to same period last year. The change is primarily due to \$67.5 million less being repaid to the Credit Facility and the Term Loan in 2010 compared to 2009 offset by \$29.4 million fewer proceeds from the sale of common stock in 2010 compared to 2009.

Table of Contents**Construction in Progress**

Construction in progress consisted of the following as June 30, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Property	Location	Estimated Completion Date	Net Rentable Square Feet	Investment to Date	Estimated
					Total Investment
Good Sam MOB Investors, LLC	Puyallup, WA	3Q 2011	80,000	\$ 4,161	\$ 24,700
Bonney Lake MOB Investors, LLC	Bonney Lakes, WA	3Q 2011	56,000	1,671	17,700
Land and pre-construction developments				2,119	
			136,000	\$ 7,951	\$ 42,400

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had approximately \$31.2 million available in cash and cash equivalents. The Company is required to distribute at least 90% of the Company's net taxable income, excluding net capital gains, to the Company's stockholders on an annual basis due to qualification requirements as a REIT. Therefore, as a general matter, it is unlikely that the Company will have any substantial cash balances that could be used to meet the Company's liquidity needs. Instead, these needs must be met from cash generated from operations and external sources of capital.

The Company has a \$150.0 million secured revolving credit facility with a syndicate of financial institutions (including Bank of America, N.A., KeyBank National Association, Branch Banking and Trust Company, Wachovia Bank, National Association, M&I Marshall and Ilsley Bank, and Citicorp North America, Inc.) (collectively, the Lenders). The Credit Facility is available to fund working capital and for other general corporate purposes; to finance acquisition and development activity; and to refinance existing and future indebtedness. The Credit Facility permits the Company to borrow up to \$150.0 million of revolving loans, with sub-limits of \$25.0 million for swingline loans and \$25.0 million for letters of credit.

The Credit Facility will terminate and all amounts outstanding thereunder shall be due and payable in March 2011. The Credit Facility provides for a one-year extension at the Company's option conditioned upon the Lenders being satisfied with the Company and its subsidiaries' financial condition and liquidity, and taking into consideration any payment, extension or refinancing of the Term Loan. As of June 30, 2010, the Company expects to exercise the extension option. There can be no assurance if and on what terms the Lenders may be willing to extend the Credit Facility upon its maturity in March 2011.

The Credit Facility also allows for up to \$100.0 million of increased availability (to a total aggregate available amount of \$250.0 million), at the Company's option but subject to each Lender's option to increase its commitment. The interest rate on loans under the Credit Facility equals, at the Company's election, either (1) LIBOR (0.35% as of June 30, 2010) plus a margin of between 95 to 140 basis points based on the Company's total leverage ratio (1.15% as of June 30, 2010) or (2) the higher of the federal funds rate plus 50 basis points or Bank of America, N.A.'s prime rate (3.25% as of June 30, 2010).

The Credit Facility contains customary terms and conditions for credit facilities of this type, including, but not limited to: (1) affirmative covenants relating to the Company's corporate structure and ownership, maintenance of insurance, compliance with environmental laws and preparation of environmental reports, maintenance of the Company's REIT qualification and listing on the NYSE, (2) negative covenants relating to restrictions on liens, indebtedness, certain investments (including loans and certain advances), mergers and other fundamental changes, sales and other dispositions of property or assets and transactions with affiliates, and (3) financial covenants to be met by the

Company at all times, including a maximum total leverage ratio (70%), maximum real estate leverage ratio (70%), minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (1.50 to 1.00), maximum total debt to real estate value ratio (90%) and minimum consolidated tangible net worth (\$45 million plus 85% of the net proceeds of equity issuances issued after the closing date).

As of June 30, 2010, there was \$87.0 million available under the Credit Facility. There was \$55.0 million outstanding at June 30, 2010 and \$8.0 million of availability was restricted related to outstanding letters of credit.

Table of Contents

The Credit Facility has the following financial covenants as of June 30, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Financial Covenant	June 30, 2010
Maximum total leverage ratio (0.70 to 1.00)	0.48 to 1.00
Maximum real estate leverage ratio (0.70 to 1.00)	0.53 to 1.00
Minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (1.50 to 1.00)	2.40 to 1.00
Minimum consolidated tangible net worth (\$182,032)	\$272,120

Maximum total debt to real estate value ratio (0.90 to 1.00)	0.61 to 1.00
--	--------------

The Company has \$50.0 million outstanding under a \$50.0 million Term Loan. The Term Loan was initially \$100.0 million and the Company repaid \$50.0 million in June 2009. The Term Loan is secured by the stock and certain accounts receivable of the Design-Build and Development segment and is guaranteed by the Company. The Term Loan matures in March 2011, and is subject to a one-time right to a one-year extension at the Company's option. As of June 30, 2010, the Company expects to exercise the extension option.

The volatile economic and financial environments have affected and, most likely, will continue to affect the Company's results of operations and financial position and in particular, the results of operations and financial position of the Design-Build and Development segment. During the year ended December 31, 2009 as well as the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company experienced delays in client project starts and some contract cancellations. Due to the uncertainty of Design-Build and Development segment's future operating results, the Company and the Term Loan lenders amended the Term Loan in June 2009. The amendment, among other things, amended certain financial covenants relating to the Design-Build and Development segment, as well as certain other provisions of the Term Loan, including (1) the elimination of the minimum adjusted consolidated EBITDA covenant (previously \$22.5 million), (2) a modification of the maximum adjusted consolidated senior indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA covenant to 3.50 to 1.00 through March 2011, with a one-time ability to exceed 3.50 to 1.00 but not greater than 3.75 to 1.00, and 3.00 to 1.00 from April 2011 to final maturity (previously 4.25 to 1.00 as of March 31, 2009, decreasing to 3.75 to 1.00 as of July 1, 2009), (3) an increase in the interest rate from LIBOR plus 3.50% to LIBOR plus 4.50%, and (4) payment of a market based modification fee. The amendment was subject to the repayment of \$50.0 million of the outstanding balance under the Term Loan by the Borrower (which amount was repaid on June 3, 2009) and certain other customary terms and conditions.

The Term Loan, as amended, also has the following financial covenants relating only to the Design-Build and Development segment as of June 30, 2010:

Financial Covenant	June 30, 2010
Minimum adjusted consolidated EBITDA to consolidated fixed charges (2.00 to 1.00)	4.12 to 1.00
Maximum consolidated senior indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA (3.50 to 1.00, with a one-time ability to exceed 3.50 to 1.00, but not greater than 3.75 to 1.00)	2.39 to 1.00

Maximum consolidated indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA (5.50 to 1.00)	2.39 to 1.00
--	--------------

The Term Loan also contains customary covenants similar to the Credit Facility and financial covenants to be met by the Company at all times under the guaranty, including a maximum total leverage ratio (70%), maximum real estate leverage ratio (70%), minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (1.50 to 1.00), maximum total debt to real estate value ratio (90%) and minimum consolidated tangible net worth (\$45 million plus 85% of the net proceeds of equity issuances), as well as being cross defaulted to the Company's Credit Facility.

If the Company were in default under the Credit Facility or the Term Loan, then the Lenders can declare the Company in default under the other agreement as well. As of June 30, 2010, the Company believes that it is in compliance with

all of its debt covenants under the Credit Facility and the Term Loan.

Table of Contents

The Term Loan's financial covenants require a maximum consolidated senior indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA of 3.50 to 1.00. As of June 30, 2010, the Company's ratio for this covenant is 2.39 to 1.00. Unless the Company achieves a minimum trailing twelve months adjusted consolidated EBITDA of \$14.3 million, it must prepay an adequate amount of the Term Loan's principal balance in order to avoid a breach of the maximum consolidated senior indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA covenant ratio. The Company believes it has adequate resources from available cash and cash equivalents and its Credit Facility to make any necessary prepayment at any future reporting date.

Short-Term Liquidity Needs

The Company believes that it will have sufficient capital resources as a result of operations and the borrowings in place to fund ongoing operations and distributions required to maintain REIT compliance. Subject to IRS guidelines, the Company is permitted to pay a portion of its dividends in the form of common stock in lieu of cash. The Company anticipates using its cash and cash equivalents and Credit Facility availability for changes in operating assets and liabilities, principal maturities, and the Company's equity funding portion for new developments and acquisitions. For 2010, the Company has one redevelopment project planned with an expected investment of approximately \$4.0 million, of which approximately \$2.4 million has been expended as of June 30, 2010.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had approximately \$8.6 million of principal and maturity payments related to mortgage note payables remaining due in 2010. The \$8.6 million is comprised of \$2.3 million for principal amortization and \$6.3 million for maturities. The Company believes it will be able to refinance the \$6.3 million 2010 balloon maturities as a result of the current loan to value ratios at individual property and preliminary discussions with lenders.

Should the Company be unable to refinance the 2010 balloon maturities, the Company has \$118.2 million combined cash and cash equivalents and Credit Facility availability as of June 30, 2010, which exceeds the 2010 principal and maturity payments due in 2010.

See the Liquidity and Capital Resources section above, as well as Note 8 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q, for a discussion of the Credit Facility and Term Loan maturities in March 2011.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company has no outstanding equity commitments to unconsolidated joint ventures formed prior to June 30, 2010. The Cogdell Spencer Medical Partners LLC acquisition joint venture with Northwestern Mutual has no properties under contract to acquire as of June 30, 2010, thus the Company has no equity commitment to the joint venture as of June 30, 2010.

On June 11, 2010, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had declared a quarterly dividend and distribution of \$0.10 per share and OP unit that was paid in cash on July 21, 2010 to stockholders and holders of OP units of record on June 25, 2010. The dividend and distribution covered the second quarter of 2010 and totaled \$5.8 million. The dividend and distribution were equivalent to an annual rate of \$0.40 per share and OP unit.

Long-Term Liquidity Needs

The Company's principal long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of new property development, property acquisitions, and principal payments under various mortgages and other credit facilities and non-recurring capital expenditures. The Company does not expect that its net cash provided by operations will be sufficient to meet all of these long-term liquidity needs. Instead, the Company expects to finance new property developments through modest cash equity capital contributed by the Company together with construction loan proceeds, as well as through cash equity investments by its tenants or third parties. The Company intends to have construction financing agreements in place before construction begins on development projects. The Company expects to fund property acquisitions through a combination of borrowings under its Credit Facility and traditional secured mortgage financing. In addition, the Company may use OP units issued by the Operating Partnership to acquire properties from existing owners seeking a tax deferred transaction.

Table of Contents

The Company continues to expect to meet long-term liquidity requirements through net cash provided by operations and through additional equity and debt financings, including loans from banks, institutional investors or other lenders, bridge loans, letters of credit, and other lending arrangements, most of which will be secured by mortgages.

Notwithstanding the Company's expectations discussed above, financial markets continue to experience unusual volatility and uncertainty. Financial systems throughout the world have become illiquid with banks no longer willing to lend substantial amounts to other banks and borrowers. Consequently, there is greater uncertainty regarding the Company's ability to access the credit market in order to attract financing or capital on reasonable terms or on any terms. The Company may also issue unsecured debt in the future. However, with the current volatility of the debt and equity markets, there can be no assurance as to the Company's ability to raise new debt or equity. The Company does not, in general, expect to meet its long-term liquidity needs through dispositions of its properties. In the event that the Company were to sell any of its properties in the future, depending on which property were to be sold, the Company may need to structure the sale or disposition as a tax deferred transaction which would require the reinvestment of the proceeds from such transaction in another property or, however, the proceeds that would be available to the Company from such sales may be reduced by amounts that the Company may owe under the tax protection agreements entered into in connection with the Company's formation transactions and certain property acquisitions. In addition, the Company's ability to sell certain of its assets could be adversely affected by the general illiquidity of real estate assets and certain additional factors particular to the Company's portfolio such as the specialized nature of its target property type, property use restrictions and the need to obtain consents or waivers of rights of first refusal or rights of first offers from ground lessors in the case of sales of its properties that are subject to ground leases.

The Company intends to repay indebtedness incurred under its Credit Facility from time to time, for acquisitions or otherwise, out of cash flow from operations and from the proceeds, to the extent possible and desirable, of additional debt or equity issuances. In the future, the Company may seek to increase the amount of the Credit Facility, negotiate additional credit facilities or issue corporate debt instruments. Any indebtedness incurred or issued by the Company may be secured or unsecured, short-, medium- or long-term, fixed or variable interest rate and may be subject to other terms and conditions the Company deems acceptable. The Company intends to refinance at maturity the mortgage notes payable that have balloon payments at maturity.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes the Company's contractual obligations as of June 30, 2010, including the maturities and scheduled principal repayments and the commitments due in connection with the Company's ground leases and operating leases for the periods indicated (in thousands):

		Remainder of 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Thereafter	Total
Obligation:								
Long-term debt principal payments and maturities ⁽¹⁾	\$	8,607	\$ 169,233	\$ 24,900	\$ 15,758	\$ 60,812	\$ 116,813	\$ 396,123
Standby letters of credit ⁽²⁾		6,821	1,186					8,007
Interest payments ⁽³⁾		16,718	12,990	10,630	9,606	7,957	17,149	75,050
Purchase commitments ⁽⁴⁾		638						638
Ground and air rights leases ⁽⁵⁾		401	801	439	407	407	11,223	13,678
Operating leases ⁽⁶⁾		2,715	4,886	4,120	3,280	3,248	23,621	41,870
Total	\$	35,900	\$ 189,096	\$ 40,089	\$ 29,051	\$ 72,424	\$ 168,806	\$ 535,366

(1)

Includes notes payable under the Company's Credit Facility and the Term Loan.

- (2) As collateral for performance, the Company is contingently liable under standby letters of credit, which also reduces the availability under the Credit Facility 3.
- (3) Assumes one-month LIBOR of 0.35% and Prime Rate of 3.25%, which were the rates as of June 30, 2010.
- (4) These purchase commitments are related to the Company's development projects that are currently under construction. The Company has a committed construction loan that will fund the obligation.
- (5) Substantially all of the ground and air rights leases effectively limit our control over various aspects

of the operation of the applicable property, restrict our ability to transfer the property and allow the lessor the right of first refusal to purchase the building and improvements.

All of the ground leases provide for the property to revert to the lessor for no consideration upon the expiration or earlier termination of the ground or air rights lease.

- (6) Payments under operating lease agreements relate to several of our properties equipment and office space leases. The future minimum lease commitments under these leases are as indicated.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company may guarantee debt in connection with certain of its development activities, including unconsolidated joint ventures, from time to time. As of June 30, 2010, the Company did not have any such guarantees or other off-balance sheet arrangements outstanding.

Real Estate Taxes

The Company's leases generally require the tenants to be responsible for all real estate taxes.

Inflation

The Company's leases at wholly-owned and consolidated partnership properties generally provide for either indexed escalators, based on CPI or other measures, or to a lesser extent fixed increases in base rents. The leases also contain provisions under which the tenants reimburse the Company for a portion of property operating expenses and real estate taxes. The Company's property management and related services provided to third parties typically provide for fees based on a percentage of revenues for the month as defined in the related property management agreements. The revenues collected from leases are generally structured as described above, with year over year increases. The Company also pays certain payroll and related costs related to the operations of third party properties that are managed by the Company. Under terms of the related management agreements, these costs are reimbursed by the third party property owners. The Company believes that inflationary increases in expenses will be offset, in part, by the contractual rent increases and tenant expense reimbursements described above.

Seasonality

Business under the Design-Build and Development segment can be subject to seasonality due to weather conditions at construction sites. In addition, construction starts and contract signings can be impacted by the timing of budget cycles at healthcare systems and providers.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For additional information, see Note 2 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company's future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevalent market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. The Company uses some derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to the Company's borrowings. The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and only enters into contracts with major financial institutions based on their credit rating and other factors.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had \$396.1 million of consolidated debt outstanding (excluding any discounts or premiums related to assumed debt). Of the Company's total consolidated debt, \$70.9 million, or 17.9%, was variable rate debt that is not subject to variable to fixed rate interest rate swap agreements. Of the Company's total indebtedness, \$325.2 million, or 82.1%, was subject to fixed interest rates, including variable rate debt that is subject to variable to fixed rate swap agreements. The weighted average interest rate for fixed rate debt was 5.8% as of June 30, 2010.

If LIBOR were to increase by 100 basis points based on June 30, 2010 one-month LIBOR of 0.35%, the increase in interest expense on the Company's June 30, 2010 variable rate debt would decrease future annual earnings and cash flows by approximately \$0.7 million. Interest rate risk amounts were determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on the Company's financial instruments. These analyses do not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur in that environment. Further, in the event of a change of that magnitude, the Company may take actions to further mitigate the Company's exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, these analyses assume no changes in the Company's financial structure.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, based on their evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) required by paragraph (b) of Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15, have concluded that as of June 30, 2010, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to give reasonable assurances to the timely collection, evaluation and disclosure of information relating to the Company that would potentially be subject to disclosure under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, there was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute assurance that it will detect or uncover failures within the Company to disclose material information otherwise required to be set forth in our periodic reports.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is not involved in any material litigation nor, to the Company's knowledge, is any material litigation pending or threatened against it, other than routine litigation arising out of the ordinary course of business or which is expected to be covered by insurance and not expected to harm the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

See the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. There have been no significant changes to the Company's risk factors during the six months ended June 30, 2010, other than those listed below.

Risks Related to Recent Healthcare Legislation

Healthcare legislation and adverse trends in healthcare provider operations may negatively affect the Company's lease revenues and its ability to make distributions to its stockholders.

The healthcare industry is currently experiencing:

- changes in the demand for and methods of delivering healthcare services;
- changes in third party reimbursement policies;
- substantial competition for patients among healthcare providers;
- continued pressure by private and governmental payors to reduce payments to providers of services; and
- increased scrutiny of billing, referral and other practices by U.S. federal and state authorities.

In addition, the U.S. Congress enacted on March 23, 2010 the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) that is intended to have a significant impact on the delivery and reimbursement of healthcare items and services. These factors, including the enactment of PPACA, may adversely affect the economic performance of some or all of the Company's tenants and, in turn, its lease revenues, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, its ability to make distributions to its stockholders and the trading price of its common stock.

Reductions in reimbursement from third party payors, including Medicare and Medicaid, could adversely affect the profitability of the Company's tenants and hinder their ability to make rent payments to the Company.

Sources of revenue for the Company's tenants may include the U.S. federal Medicare program, state Medicaid programs, private insurance carriers and health maintenance organizations, among others. Healthcare providers continue to face increased government and private payor pressure to control or reduce costs. As noted above, PPACA is intended to have a significant impact on the delivery and reimbursement of healthcare items and services, and the Company cannot predict the impact that PPACA and future health care reform legislation may have on the Company's tenants. Efforts by government and private payors to reduce healthcare costs will likely continue, which may result in reductions or slower growth in reimbursement for certain services provided by some of the Company's tenants. In addition, the failure of any of the Company's tenants to comply with various laws and regulations could jeopardize

their ability to continue participating in Medicare, Medicaid and other government sponsored payment programs. A reduction in reimbursements to the Company's tenants from third party payors for any reason could adversely affect the Company's tenants' ability to make rent payments to the Company, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, its ability to make distributions to its stockholders and the trading price of its common stock.

Table of Contents

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated, and new laws or regulations, changes to existing laws or regulations, loss of licensure or failure to obtain licensure could result in the inability of the Company's tenants to make rent payments to the Company.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated by U.S. federal, state and local governmental bodies. The Company tenants generally will be subject to laws and regulations covering, among other things, licensure, certification for participation in government programs and relationships with physicians and other referral sources, and the privacy and security of individually identifiable health information. Also, PPACA included amendments to laws that may apply to the Company's tenants which enhance the ability of the government to investigate, enforce and impose fines and penalties for, violations of these laws, as described in the risk factor below. This enhanced government authority to enforce these laws and the imposition of any resulting fines or penalties upon one of the Company's tenants or associated hospitals could jeopardize that tenant's ability to operate or to make rent payments or affect the level of occupancy in the Company's medical office buildings or healthcare related facilities associated with that hospital, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, its ability to make distributions to its stockholders and the trading price of its common stock.

In addition, state and local laws regulate expansion, including the addition of new beds or services or acquisition of medical equipment, and the construction of healthcare related facilities, by requiring a certificate of need, which is issued by the applicable state health planning agency only after that agency makes a determination that a need exists in a particular area for a particular service or facility, or other similar approval. New laws and regulations, changes in existing laws and regulations or changes in the interpretation of such laws or regulations could negatively affect the financial condition of the Company's tenants. These changes, in some cases, could apply retroactively. The enactment, timing or effect of legislative or regulatory changes cannot be predicted. In addition, certain of the Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities and their tenants may require licenses or certificates of need to operate. Failure to obtain a license or certificate of need, or loss of a required license would prevent a facility from operating in the manner intended by the tenant. These events could adversely affect the Company's tenants' ability to make rent payments to the Company, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, its ability to make distributions to its stockholders and the trading price of its common stock.

Privacy and security regulations issued pursuant to Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) extensively regulate the use and disclosure of individually identifiable health information. The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH Act), signed into law on February 17, 2009, broadened the scope of those requirements and contains enhanced enforcement provisions, including: (i) increased civil monetary penalties; (ii) allowing state attorneys general to bring civil actions for HIPAA violations; and (iii) requiring the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to conduct audits of covered entities, such as healthcare providers, to determine their compliance with HIPAA. The cost of complying with these requirements or the imposition of penalties for HIPAA violations could adversely affect the ability of a tenant to make rent payments to the Company, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, its ability to make distributions to its stockholders and trading price of its common stock.

The Company's tenants are subject to the Stark Law and fraud and abuse laws, the violation of which by a tenant may jeopardize the tenant's ability to make rent payments to the Company.

There are various federal and state laws prohibiting fraudulent and abusive business practices by healthcare providers who participate in, receive payments from or are in a position to make referrals in connection with government-sponsored healthcare programs, including the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The Company's lease arrangements with certain tenants may also be subject to the Stark Law and fraud and abuse laws, to the extent these lease arrangements create indirect financial relationships between the tenants and the Company that are subject to these laws and regulations.

Table of Contents

These laws that may apply to tenants include:

the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, the offer, payment, solicitation or receipt of any form of remuneration in return for, or to induce, the referral of Medicare and Medicaid patients;

the Stark Law, which, subject to specific exceptions, restricts physicians who have financial relationships with healthcare providers from making referrals for specifically designated health services for which payment may be made under Medicare or Medicaid programs to an entity with which the physician, or an immediate family member, has a financial relationship;

the False Claims Act, which prohibits any person from knowingly presenting false or fraudulent claims for payment to the federal government, including under the Medicare and Medicaid programs; and the Civil Monetary Penalties Law, which authorizes the Department of Health and Human Services to impose monetary penalties for certain fraudulent acts.

Each of these laws includes criminal and/or civil penalties for violations that range from punitive sanctions, damage assessments, penalties, imprisonment, denial of Medicare and Medicaid payments and/or exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Additionally, certain laws, such as the False Claims Act, allow for individuals to bring whistleblower actions on behalf of the government for violations thereof. PPACA included amendments to each of these laws which enhance the ability of the government to investigate, enforce, and impose fines and penalties for violation of these laws. The enhanced government authority to enforce these laws and the imposition of any resulting penalties upon one of the Company's tenants or associated hospitals could jeopardize that tenant's ability to operate or to make rent payments or affect the level of occupancy in the Company's medical office buildings or healthcare related facilities associated with that hospital, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, its ability to make distributions to its stockholders and the trading price of its common stock.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- | | |
|------|---|
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adapted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.

Registrant

Date: August 9, 2010

/s/ Frank C. Spencer

Frank C. Spencer

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 9, 2010

/s/ Charles M. Handy

Charles M. Handy

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer