

STEMCELLS INC  
Form 10-K  
March 14, 2008

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**Form 10-K**

- b ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007**
- or**
- o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 0-19871**

**STEMCELLS, INC.**

*(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)*

**A Delaware Corporation**  
*(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)*

**94-3078125**  
*(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)*

**3155 PORTER DRIVE**  
**PALO ALTO, CA**  
*(Address of principal offices)*

**94304**  
*(zip code)*

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code:**  
**(650) 475-3100**

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:**

<b>Title of Each Class</b>	<b>Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered</b>
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	Nasdaq Global Market
Junior Preferred Stock Purchase Rights	

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:**  
**None**

Edgar Filing: STEMCELLS INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

- Large accelerated filer
- Accelerated filer
- Non-accelerated filer  
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)
- Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates at June 30, 2007: \$182,141,740. Inclusion of shares held beneficially by any person should not be construed to indicate that such person possesses the power, direct or indirect, to direct or cause the direction of management policies of the registrant, or that such person is controlled by or under common control with the Registrant.

Common stock outstanding at February 29, 2008: 80,732,542 shares.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement relating to the registrant's 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A are incorporated by reference in Part III of this report.

**FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS**

THIS REPORT CONTAINS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AS DEFINED UNDER THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS. ACTUAL RESULTS COULD VARY MATERIALLY. FACTORS THAT COULD CAUSE ACTUAL RESULTS TO VARY MATERIALLY ARE DESCRIBED HEREIN AND IN OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION. READERS SHOULD PAY PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO THE CONSIDERATIONS DESCRIBED IN THE SECTION OF THIS REPORT ENTITLED MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AS WELL AS ITEM 1A UNDER THE HEADING RISK FACTORS.

## Table of Contents

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>PART I</u></b>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Business</u>	3
<u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u>	17
<u>Item 1B.</u> <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	25
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Properties</u>	25
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	26
<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	26
<b><u>PART II</u></b>	
<u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	27
<u>Item 6.</u> <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	29
<u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	30
<u>Item 7A.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	43
<u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	45
<u>Item 9.</u> <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	70
<u>Item 9A.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	70
<u>Item 9B.</u> <u>Other Information</u>	71
<b><u>PART III</u></b>	
<u>Item 10.</u> <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	71
<u>Item 11.</u> <u>Executive Compensation</u>	72
<u>Item 12.</u> <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	72
<u>Item 13.</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	72
<u>Item 14.</u> <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	72
<b><u>PART IV</u></b>	
<u>Item 15.</u> <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	72
<u>EXHIBIT 10.37</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 23.1</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 31.2</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 32.1</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 32.2</u>	

### NOTE REGARDING REFERENCES TO OUR COMMON STOCK

Throughout this Form 10-K, the words "we," "us," "our," and "StemCells" refer to StemCells, Inc., including StemCells California, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary, and the owner or licensee of most of our intellectual property. "Common stock" refers to StemCells, Inc., common stock, \$.01 par value.

**Table of Contents**

**PART I**

**Item 1. BUSINESS**

**Overview**

StemCells, Inc. is engaged in the discovery and development of cell-based therapeutics to treat damage to, or degeneration of, major organ systems. Our aim is to restore or support organ function, improve patients' lives and reduce the substantial health care costs associated with these diseases and disorders by identifying and developing stem and progenitor cells as potential therapeutic agents. We currently have product development programs for two cell types: the human neural stem cell and human liver engrafting cells. In our CNS Program, we are conducting a Phase I clinical trial to evaluate the safety and preliminary efficacy of our HuCNS-SC<sup>®</sup> product candidate (purified human neural stem cells) as a treatment for infantile and late infantile neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis (NCL), two forms of a group of disorders often referred to as Batten disease. We have completed enrollment and dosing in this six-patient trial and expect it to be completed in early 2009. In addition, we are conducting preclinical work for spinal cord injury, myelin disorders and retinal disorders. In our Liver Program, we are in preclinical development with, and are continuing to improve processes to isolate and purify, our human liver engrafting cells (hLEC).

Many degenerative diseases are caused by the loss of normal cellular function in a particular organ. When cells are damaged or destroyed, they no longer produce, metabolize or accurately regulate substances, such as sugars, amino acids, neurotransmitters, and hormones, which are essential to life. Although traditional pharmaceuticals and genetically engineered biologics may have some utility in addressing a degenerative condition, there is no technology existing today that can deliver these essential substances precisely to the sites of action, under the appropriate physiological regulation, in the appropriate quantity, or for the duration required to cure the degenerative condition. Cells, however, can do all this naturally. Thus, transplantation of stem or progenitor cells may prevent the loss of, or even generate new, functional cells and potentially maintain or restore organ function and the patient's health.

We believe that, if successfully developed, our cell technologies will create the basis for therapies that would address a number of conditions with significant unmet medical needs. Many neurodegenerative diseases involve the failure of an organ that cannot be transplanted, i.e., the brain or spinal cord. Many liver diseases, such as hepatitis, can be addressed by a liver transplant, but transplantable livers are in very limited supply. We estimate that degenerative conditions of the central nervous system (CNS) and the liver together affect more than 35 million people in the United States and account for nearly \$200 billion annually in health care costs.<sup>1</sup>

**The Potential of Our Tissue-Derived Cell-Based Therapeutics**

We are focused on identifying and purifying tissue-derived stem and progenitor cells for use in homologous therapy. Tissue-derived stem cells are developmentally pre-programmed to become the mature functional cells of the organ from which they were derived. We believe that homologous use of purified, unmodified tissue-derived cells (i.e., use of brain-derived neural stem cells for treatment of CNS disorders and liver-derived cells for treatment of liver disorders) is the most direct way to provide for engraftment and differentiation into functional cells, and should minimize the risk of transplantation of unwanted cell types.

To our knowledge, no one has developed an effective therapy for replacing lost or damaged tissues from the human nervous system. Replacement of tissues in other areas of the human body is mainly limited to those few cases, such as bone marrow or peripheral blood cell transplants, where transplantation of the patient's own cells is now feasible. In a few additional areas, including the liver, transplantation of donor organs is now used, but is limited by the scarcity of

organs available through donation. More recently, investigators have isolated

<sup>1</sup> This estimate is based on information from the Alzheimer's Association, the Alzheimer's Disease Education & Referral Center (National Institute on Aging), the National Parkinson Foundation, the National Institutes of Health's National Institute on Neurological Disorders and Stroke, the Foundation for Spinal Cord Injury Prevention, Care & Cure, the Travis Roy Foundation, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Wisconsin Chapter of the Huntington's Disease Society of America, the American Liver Foundation, the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, and JAIDS.

## **Table of Contents**

subpopulations of cells from a specific organ, such as hepatocytes from the liver or islet cells from the pancreas, which have been transplanted into patients with a measure of success. However, these types of cell transplants are also limited both by the quality of harvested cells and the availability of suitable organs.

Stem cells are rare and only available in limited supply. They have two defining characteristics: (i) they produce all of the mature cells making up the particular organ and (ii) they self renew that is, some of the cells developed from stem cells are themselves new stem cells, thus permitting the process to occur again and again. Because of this self-renewal property, we believe that cell-based therapeutics may facilitate the return to proper function potentially for the life of the patient. To date four human stem cells have been identified and characterized *in vivo*: the hemotopoietic stem cell, the mesenchymal stem cell, the neural stem cell, and the embryonic stem cell. Many researchers believe stem cells exist in other organ systems, including the liver, pancreas endocrine system, and the heart. Stem cells can produce all the mature functional cell types found in normal organs. Progenitor cells are cells that have already developed from the stem cells, but can still produce one or more mature cell type within an organ. We use cells derived from donated fetal or adult tissue sources, which are supplied to us in compliance with all applicable state and federal regulations. We are not developing embryonic stem cells for therapeutic use nor are we involved in any activity directed toward human cloning.

In order to develop cell-based therapeutics, three key challenges must be overcome: (i) identifying the stem or progenitor cells of a particular organ and testing them for therapeutic potential; (ii) creating processes to enable use of these rare cells in clinical applications, such as expanding and banking them in sufficient quantities to transplant into multiple patients, or purifying them for use in direct transplantation; and (iii) demonstrating the safety and efficacy of these potential therapeutics in human clinical trials. With respect to our HuCNS-SC product candidate, we believe we have overcome the first two challenges. We have (i) identified and characterized the human neural stem cell and (ii) have developed proprietary and reproducible processes to purify, expand and bank these cells.

## **Business Strategy**

Our strategy is to be the first to identify, isolate and patent multiple types of human stem and progenitor cells with therapeutic and commercial importance; to develop techniques and processes either to reproducibly purify these cells for direct transplant or to enable the expansion and banking of these cells; and then to take them into clinical development as therapeutics.

We believe that patent protection will be available to the first to identify and isolate any of the finite number of different types of human stem cells, and the first to define methods to culture such cells, making the commercial development of cell-based treatments for currently intractable diseases financially feasible. Thus, a central element of our business strategy is to obtain patent protection for the compositions, processes and uses of these multiple types of cells. We have obtained rights to certain inventions relating to stem cells and progenitor cells from academic institutions. We expect to continue to expand our search for, and to seek to acquire rights from third parties relating to, new stem and progenitor cells, and to further develop our intellectual property positions with respect to these cells in-house and through research at scholarly institutions.

## **Research and Development Programs**

### ***Overview***

The following table summarizes the current status of, and the anticipated initial indications for, our two product development programs. A more detailed discussion of each of these follows the table.

**Program Description and Objective**

**Status**

*CNS Program*

Cell-based therapeutics to restore or preserve function to central nervous system tissue by protecting, repairing or replacing dysfunctional or damaged cells. Initial indications are lysosomal storage diseases that affect

*Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis (also known as Batten disease)*

Enrollment and dosing completed for six-patient Phase I clinical trial; we expect trial completion in early 2009.



**Table of Contents**

**Program Description and Objective**

**Status**

the CNS, such as NCL, and disorders in which demyelination plays a central role.

Demonstrated *in vivo* proof of principle by showing in a mouse model for infantile NCL that transplanted HuCNS-SC cells can:  
continuously produce the enzyme that is deficient in infantile NCL  
protect host neurons from death  
extend the lifespan of the HuCNS-SC transplanted mice

*Spinal Cord Injury:*

Demonstrated *in vivo* proof of principle by showing in a mouse model for spinal cord injury that transplanted HuCNS- SC cells can:  
restore motor function in injured animals  
directly contribute to the functional recovery; when human cells are ablated restored function is lost  
become specialized oligodendrocytes and neurons

*Myelin Disorders:*

Demonstrated *in vivo* proof of principle by showing in the myelin deficient shiverer mouse and spinal cord injured mouse that transplanted HuCNS-SC cells can:  
integrate myelin producing oligodendrocytes into the mouse brain and spinal cord  
tightly wrap the mouse nerve axons to form myelin sheath

*Retinal Disorders:*

Established collaboration with Casey Eye Institute to obtain *in vivo* proof of principle that human neural stem cells protect retinal cells from degeneration and prevent or slow loss of vision in the Royal College of Surgeons rat model

*Liver Program*

Cellular therapy to restore function to liver tissue by replacing dysfunctional or damaged cells. Initial

Demonstrated the engraftment and survival of hLEC in an *in vivo* mouse liver degeneration model

indications may include liver-based metabolic disorders.

Detected human serum albumin and alpha-1-antitrypsin in serum of transplanted animals

Detected structural elements of the liver (bile canaliculi)

Identified cell surface markers and methods for selection of hLEC from livers of a broad age range, and including those deemed not suitable for liver transplantation

Identified *in vitro* culture assay for growth of hLEC containing cells that co-express markers for both bile duct cells and hepatocytes

## **Table of Contents**

### ***CNS Program***

Many neurodegenerative diseases involve the failure of central nervous system tissue (i.e., the brain, spinal cord and eye) due to the loss of functional cells. Our CNS Program is initially focusing on developing clinical applications to prevent the loss of, or restore function to, neural cells affected by genetic disorders such as neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis and certain other lysosomal storage diseases; diseases in which deficient myelination plays a central role, such as certain spinal cord injuries or brain disorders such as cerebral palsy; traumatic insults to the brain or spinal cord; and disorders in which retinal degeneration play a central role, such as age-related macular degeneration or retinitis pigmentosa. These disorders affect a significant number of people in the United States and there currently are no effective long-term therapies for them.

Our lead product candidate, HuCNS-SC cells, is a purified composition of normal human neural stem cells. As such, we believe it is better suited for transplantation and should provide a safer and more effective alternative to therapies that are based on cells derived from cancer cells, animal-derived cells or are an unpurified mix of cell types. Furthermore, our HuCNS-SC cells can be directly transplanted, unlike embryonic stem cells, which require a prerequisite differentiation step prior to administration in order to preclude teratoma formation (tumors of multiple differentiated cell types). Our preclinical research has shown *in vivo* that HuCNS-SC cells engraft, migrate, differentiate into neurons and glial cells, and survive for as long as one year with *no sign* of tumor formation or adverse effects; moreover, the HuCNS-SC cells were still producing progeny cells at the end of the test period. These findings show that our neural stem cells, when transplanted, act like normal stem cells, suggesting the possibility of a continual replenishment of normal human neural cells.

We hold a substantial portfolio of issued and allowed patents in the neural field. See Patents, Proprietary Rights and Licenses, below.

#### *Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis (NCL; also known as Batten disease).*

Neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis (NCL), which is often referred to as Batten disease, is a neurodegenerative disease that affects infants and young children. Two forms of NCL – infantile and late infantile – are caused by the deficiency of a lysosomal enzyme. Infantile and late infantile NCL are brought on by inherited genetic mutations in the *CLN1* gene, which codes for palmitoyl-protein thioesterase 1 (PPT1) and in the *CLN2* gene, which codes for tripeptidyl peptidase I (TPP-I), respectively. As a result of these mutations, the relevant enzyme is either defective or missing, leading to the accumulation of cellular waste product in various cell types. This accumulation eventually interferes with normal cellular and tissue function, and leads to seizures and progressive loss of motor skills, sight and mental capacity. Today, NCL is always fatal.

We have completed enrollment and dosing of a six-patient Phase I clinical trial at Oregon Health & Science University Doernbecher Children's Hospital to evaluate the safety and preliminary efficacy of our HuCNS-SC product candidate as a treatment for infantile and late infantile NCL. This trial is an open label study with two dose levels. Under the trial protocol, patients receive immunosuppression for one year following transplantation of the HuCNS-SC cells. In addition to evaluating the safety of HuCNS-SC cells, the trial will also evaluate the ability of the cells to affect the progression of the disease. We expect the trial to be completed in early 2009. We believe this clinical trial is the first FDA-approved trial to use purified human neural stem cells as a potential therapeutic agent.

Our preclinical data demonstrate that HuCNS-SC cells, when transplanted in a mouse model of infantile NCL, engraft, migrate throughout the brain, produce the missing PPT1 enzyme, measurably reduce the toxic storage material in the brain, and protect host neurons so that more of them survive. In addition, we have shown that the lifetime of the mice transplanted with HuCNS-SC cells is extended compared to the control group. We have also demonstrated *in vitro* that HuCNS-SC cells produce TPP-I, the enzyme that is deficient in late infantile NCL.

*Other Lysosomal Storage Diseases.*

NCL, or Batten disease, is one of a group of approximately 46 lysosomal storage diseases (LSDs). All LSDs are caused by defective or missing proteins involved in lysosomal function and some LSDs can be treated by enzyme replacement therapies. Examples of enzyme replacement products already approved are Cerezyme<sup>™</sup> for Gaucher disease, Fabryzyme<sup>™</sup> for Fabry disease, Myozyme<sup>®</sup> for Pompe disease, Aldurazyme<sup>™</sup> for MPS I, and

## **Table of Contents**

Naglazyme™ for MPS VI. All of these approved products, however, address LSDs which primarily affect peripheral organs and not the central nervous system. About half of the lysosomal storage diseases, however, do primarily affect the central nervous system; enzyme replacement therapy is not currently a practical treatment option for this subset of LSDs because enzymes are typically too large to cross the blood-brain barrier. We believe that transplanting HuCNS-SC cells directly into the CNS may have the potential to treat some LSDs that affect the CNS by supplying missing enzymes to the brain. In addition to infantile and late infantile NCL, we have found that HuCNS-SC cells can produce the relevant enzyme in a number of other LSDs that affect the CNS. And we continue to test for others.

### *Spinal Cord Injury.*

Stem cells may have the potential to treat various spinal cord indications. Using a mouse model of spinal cord injury, our collaborators, Drs. Aileen Anderson and Brian Cummings of the Reeve-Irvine Center at the University of California, have shown that HuCNS-SC cells have the potential to protect and regenerate damaged nerves and nerve fibers, and that injured mice transplanted with our human neural stem cells showed improved motor function compared to control animals. Inspection of the spinal cords from the treated mice showed significant levels of human neural cells derived from the transplanted stem cells. Some of these cells were oligodendrocytes, the specialized neural cell that forms the myelin sheath around axons, while others had become neurons and showed evidence of synapse formation, a requirement for proper neuronal function. Drs. Anderson and Cummings then selectively ablated the human cells, and found that the functional improvement was lost, thus demonstrating that the human cells had played a direct role in the functional recovery of the transplanted mice. We are continuing preclinical development on our HuCNS-SC product candidate for various spinal cord indications and our goal is to initiate a clinical trial for a spinal cord indication in mid-2008.

### *Myelin Disorders.*

Loss of myelin characterizes conditions such as multiple sclerosis, cerebral palsy and certain genetic disorders (for example, Krabbe's disease and metachromatic leukodystrophy), and also plays a role in certain spinal cord indications. Myelin is the substance that wraps around and insulates nerve axons and allows proper signal conductivity along the nerves. We have shown that HuCNS-SC cells differentiate into oligodendrocytes, the myelin producing cells, and produce myelin. We have transplanted HuCNS-SC cells into the brain of the mutant shiverer mouse, which is deficient in myelin, and shown widespread engraftment of human cells that matured into oligodendrocytes. Furthermore, analysis of these mice shows that the human oligodendrocytes myelinated the mouse axons. Pilot studies for understanding myelin production and repair are being conducted in collaboration with researchers at the Oregon Health & Science University. We are currently conducting preclinical development on our HuCNS-SC product candidate for a brain indication characterized by myelin deficiency and our goal is to initiate a clinical trial for such an indication by the end of 2008.

### *Retinal Disorders.*

Published studies have shown that in a well-established animal model of retinal degeneration known as the Royal College of Surgeons (RCS) rat model, human neural stem cells protect retinal function and thereby preserve vision. These studies indicate that our HuCNS-SC cells could have potential clinical application as a treatment for retinal degeneration. The retina is a thin layer of neural cells that lines the back of the eye and is responsible for converting external light into neural signals; loss of function in retinal cells leads to impairment or loss of vision. The most common forms of retinal degeneration are age-related macular degeneration and retinitis pigmentosa. In January 2008, we entered into a research collaboration with the Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) Casey Eye Institute to evaluate engraftment and function of our HuCNS-SC cells in the RCS rat model. If this research successfully demonstrates *in vivo* proof of principle with our proprietary cells, our goal would then be to prepare for and initiate a human clinical trial for a retinal disorder in 2009.

*Other Neural Collaborations*

We have established a number of research collaborations to assess both the *in vitro* potential of the HuCNS-SC cells and the effects of transplanting HuCNS-SC cells into preclinical animal models, including a collaboration with

## **Table of Contents**

researchers at the Stanford University School of Medicine to evaluate our human neural stem cells in animal models of stroke. The results of these studies demonstrate the targeted migration of the cells toward the stroke lesion and differentiation toward the neuronal lineage. Another study with researchers at Stanford's School of Medicine demonstrated that HuCNS-SC cells labeled with magnetic nanoparticles could non-invasively track the survival and migration of human cells within the brain. In addition, we concluded an NIH-funded collaboration with Dr. George A. Carlson of the McLaughlin Research Institute to investigate the role of Alzheimer's plaques in neuronal cell death in Alzheimer's disease. Under the collaboration, Dr. Carlson transplanted HuCNS-SC cells into mouse models of Alzheimer's disease and the cells showed robust engraftment in an environment riddled with Alzheimer's plaques.

### ***Liver Program***

According to the American Association for the study of Liver Diseases website, approximately 25 million Americans are afflicted with liver-related disease each year. To our knowledge there currently are no effective, long-term treatments for many of these. Liver stem or progenitor cells may be useful in the treatment of some of these diseases, such as hepatitis, liver failure, blood-clotting disorder, cirrhosis, and liver cancer. A source of defined human cells capable of engraftment and substantial liver regeneration could provide a cellular therapy or cell-based therapeutic product available to a wider patient base than whole liver transplants.

We have identified and isolated a cell population that we call human Liver Engrafting Cells (hLEC) which can be derived from all types of human livers, including those that would not otherwise be used for liver transplantation. When tested *in vitro*, hLEC produce enzymes needed for normal liver function, such as human serum albumin. When transplanted into immunodeficient mice, hLEC engraft and produce human proteins including albumin and alpha-1-antitrypsin and form structural elements of the liver. In September 2007, we entered into a research collaboration with Belgium's Université Catholique de Louvain (UCL) and the UCL-affiliated Cliniques Universitaires Saint Luc to further the development of hLEC as a potential cell-based liver therapy. Under this collaboration, we are working with UCL to improve processes to isolate and purify hLEC, which we believe is an important step prior to initiating any clinical study of the cells. Our initial indication for clinical application will likely be a liver-based metabolic disorder characterized by an enzyme deficiency.

We hold a portfolio of issued and allowed patents in the liver field. See Patents, Proprietary Rights and Licenses, below.

### **Manufacturing**

We have made considerable investments in our manufacturing operations. We believe we have the ability to process cells suitable for use in our ongoing and planned research and development activities and clinical trials.

### **Marketing**

Because of the early stage of our stem and progenitor cell programs, we have not yet addressed questions of channels of distribution and marketing of potential future products.

### **Patents, Proprietary Rights and Licenses**

We believe that proprietary protection of our inventions will be critical to our future business. We vigorously seek out intellectual property that we believe might be useful in connection with our products, and have an active program of protecting our intellectual property. We believe that our know-how will also provide a significant competitive advantage, and we intend to continue to develop and protect our proprietary know-how. We may also from time to time seek to acquire licenses to important externally developed technologies.

We have exclusive or non-exclusive rights to a portfolio of patents and patent applications related to various stem and progenitor cells and methods of deriving and using them. These patents and patent applications relate to compositions of matter, methods of obtaining such cells, and methods for preparing, transplanting and utilizing such cells. As of December 31, 2007, our U.S. patent portfolio included more than 50 issued or allowed U.S. patents from over 25 separate patent families. Four of our U.S. patents issued in 2007: (i) U.S. Patent No. 7,211,404,



**Table of Contents**

(ii) U.S. Patent No. 7,166,277, (iii) U.S. Patent No. 7,217,565, and (iv) U.S. Patent No. 7,204,979. These new patents have further strengthened our already extensive patent portfolio, which, we believe, gives us a competitive advantage, especially in the emerging field of neural stem cells, because our patents broadly cover methods for identification, isolation, expansion, and transplantation of neural stem cells as well as their use in drug discovery and testing.

We also have foreign counterparts to a majority of our U.S. patents and applications; a substantial number of these have issued in various countries, making a total of over 150 granted or allowed non-U.S. patents as of December 31, 2007.

Among our significant U.S. patents:

U.S. Patent No. 5,968,829, entitled Human CNS Neural Stem Cells, which covers our composition of matter for human CNS stem cells;

U.S. Patent No. 7,153,686, entitled Enriched Central Nervous System Stem Cell and Progenitor Cell Populations, and Methods for Identifying, Isolating and Enriching such Populations, which claims the composition of matter of various antibody-selected neural stem cell populations;

U.S. Patent No. 6,777,233, entitled Cultures of Human CNS Neural Stem Cells, which discloses a neural stem cell culture with a doubling rate of 5 to 10 days;

U.S. Patent No. 6,497,872, entitled Neural transplantation using proliferated multipotent neural stem cells and their progeny, which covers transplanting any neural stem cells or their differentiated progeny, whether the cells have been cultured in suspension or as adherent cells, for the treatment of any disease;

U.S. Patent No. 6,468,794, entitled Enriched central nervous system stem cell and progenitor cell populations, and methods for identifying, isolating and enriching for such populations, which covers the identification and purification of the human CNS stem cell;

U.S. Patent Nos. 6,238,922 and 7,049,141, both entitled Use of collagenase in the preparation of neural stem cell cultures, which describe methods to advance the *in vivo* culture and passage of human CNS stem cells that result in a 100-fold increase in CNS stem and progenitor cell production after 6 passages;

U.S. Patent No. 5,851,832, entitled *In Vitro* growth and proliferation of multipotent neural stem cells and their progeny, which covers our methods for selecting the human CNS cell cultures containing central nervous system stem cells, for compositions of human CNS cells expanded by these methods, and for use of cells derived from these cultures in human transplantation;

U.S. Patent No. 6,294,346, entitled Use of multipotent neural stem cells and their progeny for the screening of drugs and other biological agents, which describes the use of human neural stem cells as a tool for screening the effects of drugs and other biological agents on such cells, such as small molecule toxicology studies; and

U.S. Patent No. 7,211,404, entitled Liver engrafting cells, assays, and uses thereof, which covers the isolation of an enriched population of hepatic liver engrafting cells. These cells may be used for transplantation as well as targets for drug discovery, or as a source of identifying liver specific genes.

We also rely upon trade-secret protection for our confidential and proprietary information and take active measures to control access to that information.

Our policy is to require our employees, consultants and significant scientific collaborators and sponsored researchers to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of any employment or consulting relationship with us. These agreements generally provide that all confidential information developed or made known to the individual by us during the course of the individual's relationship with us is to be kept confidential and not disclosed to third parties except in specific circumstances. In the case of employees and consultants, the agreements generally provide that all inventions conceived by the individual in the course of rendering services to us shall be our exclusive property.

**Table of Contents**

***Licenses with Research Institutions***

We have entered into a number of research-plus-license agreements with academic organizations including The Scripps Research Institute (Scripps), the California Institute of Technology (Cal Tech), the Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU), and the University of Texas Medical Branch. The research components of these agreements have been concluded and have resulted in a number of licenses for resultant technology. Under these license agreements, we are typically subject to obligations of due diligence and the requirement to pay royalties on products that use patented technology licensed under these agreements. The license agreements with these institutions relate largely to stem or progenitor cells or to processes and methods for the isolation, identification, expansion, or culturing of stem or progenitor cells. Generally speaking, these license agreements will terminate upon expiration, revocation or invalidation of the patents licensed to us, unless governmental regulations require a shorter term. They also will terminate earlier if we breach our obligations under the agreement and do not cure the breach or if we declare bankruptcy. We can terminate these license agreements at any time upon notice.

In the case of Scripps, we must pay \$50,000 upon the initiation of a Phase II trial for our first product candidate using Scripps licensed technology, and upon completion of that Phase II trial we must pay Scripps an additional \$125,000. Upon approval of the first product for sale in the market, we must pay Scripps \$250,000.

Pursuant to the terms of our license agreement with Cal Tech, we must pay \$10,000 upon the issuance of the first patent in each family licensed to us under the relevant agreement and \$5,000 on the first anniversary of the issuance of each such patent, payable in cash or common stock at our option. We have paid \$50,000 on account of these patents through December 31, 2007; the \$10,000 due in 2007 was paid in common stock (3,865 shares). These amounts are creditable against royalties we must pay under the license agreements. The maximum royalties that we will have to pay to Cal Tech will be \$2 million per year, with an overall maximum of \$15 million. Once we pay the \$15 million maximum royalty, the licenses will become fully paid and irrevocable. In August 2002 we acquired an additional license from Cal Tech to different technology, pursuant to which we issued 27,535 shares of our common stock with a market value of approximately \$35,000; we have also issued 9,535 shares of our common stock with a market value of approximately \$15,000 to Cal Tech on the issuance of two patents covered under this additional license.

***Licenses with Commercial Entities***

*NeuroSpheres, Ltd.*

In March 1994, we entered into a contract research and license agreement with NeuroSpheres, Ltd., which was clarified in a license agreement dated as of April 1, 1997. Under the agreement as clarified, we obtained an exclusive patent license from NeuroSpheres in the field of transplantation, subject to a limited right of NeuroSpheres to purchase a nonexclusive license from us, which right was not exercised and has expired. We have developed additional intellectual property relating to the subject matter of the license. We entered into an additional license agreement with NeuroSpheres as of October 30, 2000, under which we obtained an exclusive license in the field of non-transplant uses, such as drug discovery and drug testing and clarified our rights under NeuroSpheres patents for generating cells of the blood and immune system from neural stem cells. Together, our rights under the licenses are exclusive for all uses of the technology. We made up-front payments to NeuroSpheres of 65,000 shares of our common stock in October 2000 and \$50,000 in January 2001, and we will make additional cash payments when milestones are achieved under the terms of the October 2000 agreement. In addition, in October 2000 we reimbursed NeuroSpheres for patent costs amounting to \$341,000. Milestone payments, payable at various stages in the development of potential products, would total \$500,000 for each product that is approved for market. In addition, beginning in 2004, annual payments of \$50,000 became due, payable by the last day of the year and fully creditable against royalties due to NeuroSpheres under the October 2000 Agreement. Our agreements with NeuroSpheres will terminate at the expiration of all patents licensed to us, but can terminate earlier if we breach our obligations under the

agreement and do not cure the breach, or if we declare bankruptcy. We have a security interest in the licensed technology.

## **Table of Contents**

### *ReNeuron Limited*

In July 2005, we entered into an agreement with ReNeuron Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of ReNeuron Group plc, a listed UK corporation (collectively referred to as ReNeuron). As part of the agreement, we granted ReNeuron a license that allows ReNeuron to exploit their c-mycER conditionally immortalized adult human neural stem cell technology for therapy and other purposes. We received a 7.5% fully-diluted equity interest in ReNeuron, subject to certain anti-dilution provisions, as well as a cross-license to the exclusive use of ReNeuron's technology for certain diseases and conditions, including lysosomal storage diseases, spinal cord injury, cerebral palsy, and multiple sclerosis. The agreement also provides for full settlement of any potential claims that either we or ReNeuron might have had against the other in connection with any putative infringement of certain of each party's patent rights prior to the effective date of the agreement. See Note 2 Financial Instruments ReNeuron in Part I, Item 8 of this Form 10-K and Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk in Part I, Item 7A of this Form 10-K for further information.

### *Stem Cell Therapeutics Corp.*

In August 2006, we entered into an agreement with Stem Cell Therapeutics Corp. (SCT), a Canadian corporation listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange, granting it a non-exclusive, royalty-bearing license to use several of our patents for treating specified diseases of the central nervous system; the grant does not include any rights to cell transplantation. SCT granted us a royalty-free non-exclusive license to certain of its patents for research and development and a royalty-bearing non-exclusive license for certain commercial purposes. SCT paid an up-front license fee; the license also provides for other payments including annual maintenance, milestones and royalties.

### *Other Commercial Licenses*

In 2002, we issued a license to BioWhittaker, Inc. for the exclusive right to make, sell and distribute one of our proprietary cells for the research market only. BioWhittaker was acquired by Cambrex Corporation, and the relevant Cambrex division was subsequently acquired by Lonza Group. This license is not expected to generate material revenue.

In 2003, we issued a non-exclusive license to StemCell Technologies, Inc. to make, use and sell certain proprietary mouse and rat neural stem cells and in 2004, we issued a non-exclusive license culture media for all mammalian neural stem cells, and to R&D Systems to make, use and sell certain stem cell expansion kits, also for the research market. These licenses are not expected to generate material revenue.

## **Competition**

In most instances, the targeted indications for our initial products in development have no effective long-term therapies at this time. However, we do expect that our initial products will have to compete with a variety of therapeutic products and procedures. Other pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies currently offer a number of pharmaceutical products to treat lysosomal storage diseases, neurodegenerative and liver diseases, and other diseases for which our technologies may be applicable. Many pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies are investigating new drugs and therapeutic approaches for the same purposes, which may achieve new efficacy profiles, extend the therapeutic window for such products, alter the prognosis of these diseases, or prevent their onset. We believe that our products, when and if successfully developed, will compete with these products principally on the basis of improved and extended efficacy and safety and their overall economic benefit to the health care system. The market for therapeutic products that address degenerative diseases is large and competition is intense. Many companies have significant products approved or in development that could be competitive with our potential products. We expect competition to increase.

Competition for any stem and progenitor cell products that we may develop may be in the form of existing and new drugs, other forms of cell transplantation, ablative and simulative procedures, medical devices, and gene therapy. We believe that some of our competitors are also trying to develop stem and progenitor cell-based technologies. We may also face competition from companies that have filed patent applications relating to the use of genetically modified cells to treat disease, disorder or injury. In the event our therapies should require the use of

**Table of Contents**

such genetically modified cells, we may be required to seek licenses from these competitors in order to commercialize certain of our proposed products, and such licenses may not be granted.

If we develop products that receive regulatory approval, they would then have to compete for market acceptance and market share. For certain of our potential products, an important success factor will be the timing of market introduction of competitive products. This is a function of the relative speed with which we and our competitors can develop products, complete the clinical testing and approval processes, and supply commercial quantities of a product to market. These competitive products may also impact the timing of clinical testing and approval processes by limiting the number of clinical investigators and patients available to test our potential products.

We expect that all of these products will compete with our potential stem and progenitor cell-based products based on efficacy, safety, cost, and intellectual property positions. While we believe that these will be the primary competitive factors, other factors include, in certain instances, obtaining marketing exclusivity under the Orphan Drug Act, availability of supply, manufacturing, marketing and sales expertise and capability, and reimbursement coverage.

**Government Regulation**

Our research and development activities and the future manufacturing and marketing of our potential products are, and will continue to be, subject to regulation for safety and efficacy by numerous governmental authorities in the United States and other countries.

***U.S. Regulations***

In the United States, pharmaceuticals, biologicals and medical devices are subject to rigorous regulation by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, the Public Health Service Act, applicable FDA regulations, and other federal and state statutes and regulations govern, among other things, the testing, manufacture, labeling, storage, export, record keeping, approval, marketing, advertising, and promotion of our potential products. Product development and approval within this regulatory framework takes a number of years and involves significant uncertainty combined with the expenditure of substantial resources. In addition, many jurisdictions, both federal and state, have restrictions on the use of fetal tissue.

***FDA Marketing Approval***

The steps required before our potential products may be marketed in the United States include:

<b>Steps</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
1. Preclinical laboratory and animal tests	Preclinical tests include laboratory evaluation of the cells and the formulation intended for use in humans for quality and consistency. <i>In vivo</i> studies are performed in normal animals and specific disease models to assess the potential safety and efficacy of the cell therapy product.
2. Submission of an Investigational New Drug (IND) application	The IND is a regulatory document submitted to the FDA with preclinical and manufacturing data, a proposed development plan and a proposed protocol for a study in humans. The IND becomes effective 30 days following receipt by the FDA, provided there are no questions, requests for delay or objections from the FDA. If the

FDA has questions or concerns, it notifies the sponsor, and the IND will then be on clinical hold until the sponsor responds satisfactorily. In general an IND must become effective before U.S. human clinical trials may commence.



**Table of Contents**

<b>Steps</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
3. Human clinical trials	<p>Clinical trials involve the evaluation of a potential product under the supervision of a qualified physician, in accordance with a protocol that details the objectives of the study, the parameters to be used to monitor safety and the efficacy criteria to be evaluated. Each protocol is submitted to the FDA as part of the IND. The protocol for each clinical study must be approved by an independent Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the institution at which the study is conducted and the informed consent of all participants must be obtained. The IRB reviews the existing information on the product, considers ethical factors, the safety of human subjects, the potential benefits of the therapy, and the possible liability of the institution. The IRB is responsible for ongoing safety assessment of the subjects during the clinical investigation.</p> <p>Clinical development is traditionally conducted in three sequential phases, Phase I, II and III.</p> <p>Phase I studies for a product are designed to evaluate safety in a small number of subjects in a selected patient population by assessing adverse effects, and may include multiple dose levels. This study may also gather preliminary evidence of a beneficial effect on the disease.</p> <p>Phase II studies typically involve a larger, but still limited, patient population to determine biological and clinical effects of the investigational product and to identify possible adverse effects and safety risks of the product in the selected patient population.</p> <p>Phase III studies are undertaken to demonstrate clinical benefit or effect in a statistically significant manner and to test further for safety within a broader patient population, generally at multiple study sites.</p> <p>The FDA continually reviews the clinical trial plans and results and may suggest changes or may require discontinuance of the trials at any time if significant safety issues arise.</p>
4. Submission of a Biologics Licensing Application (BLA)	<p>The results of the preclinical studies and clinical studies are submitted to the FDA in an application for marketing approval authorization.</p>
5. Regulatory Approval	<p>The testing and approval process will require substantial time, effort and expense. The time for approval is affected by a number of factors, including relative risks and benefits demonstrated in clinical trials, the availability of alternative treatments and the severity of the disease. Additional animal studies or clinical trials</p>

may be requested during the FDA review period, which might add to that time. FDA approval of the application(s) is required prior to any commercial sale or shipment of the therapeutic product. Biologic product manufacturing facilities located in certain states also may be subject to separate regulatory and licensing requirement.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Steps</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
6. Post-marketing studies	After receiving FDA marketing approval for a product for an initial indication, further clinical trials may be required to gain approval for the use of the product for additional indications. The FDA may also require post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor for adverse effects, which could involve significant expense, or the FDA may elect to grant only conditional approvals subject to collection of post-marketing data. In addition, the recently enacted FDA Amendments Act of 2007 provides the FDA with expanded authority over drug products after approval, including the authority to require post-approval studies and clinical trials, labeling changes based on new safety information, and compliance with risk evaluation and mitigation strategies approved by the FDA.

*FDA Manufacturing Requirements*

Among the conditions for product licensure is the requirement that the prospective manufacturer's quality control and manufacturing procedures conform to the FDA's current good manufacturing practice (cGMP) requirements. Even after a product's licensure approval, its manufacturer must comply with cGMP on a continuing basis, and what constitutes cGMP may change as the state of the art of manufacturing changes. Domestic manufacturing facilities are subject to regular FDA inspections for cGMP compliance, which are normally held at least every two years. Foreign manufacturing facilities are subject to periodic FDA inspections or inspections by the foreign regulatory authorities. Domestic manufacturing facilities may also be subject to inspection by foreign authorities.

*Orphan Drug Act*

The Orphan Drug Act provides incentives to drug manufacturers to develop and manufacture drugs for the treatment of diseases or conditions that affect fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States. Orphan drug status can also be sought for treatments for diseases or conditions that affect more than 200,000 individuals in the United States if the sponsor does not realistically anticipate its product becoming profitable from sales in the United States. We may apply for orphan drug status for certain of our therapies. Under the Orphan Drug Act, a manufacturer of a designated orphan product can seek tax benefits, and the holder of the first FDA approval of a designated orphan product will be granted a seven-year period of marketing exclusivity in the United States for that product for the orphan indication. While the marketing exclusivity of an orphan drug would prevent other sponsors from obtaining approval of the same compound for the same indication, it would not prevent other compounds or products from being approved for the same use including, in some cases, slight variations on the originally designated orphan product.

*FDA Human Cell and Tissue Regulations*

Our research and development is based on the use of human stem and progenitor cells. The FDA has initiated a risk-based approach to regulating Human Cell, Tissue and Cellular and Tissue-based (HCT/P) products and has published current Good Tissue Practice (cGTP) regulations. As part of this approach, the FDA has published final rules for registration of establishments that recover, process, store, label, package, or distribute HCT/P products or that screen or test the donor of HCT/P products, and for the listing of such products. In addition, the FDA has published

rules for determining the suitability of donors of cells and tissue, the eligibility of the cells and tissues for clinical use and for current good tissue practice for manufacturers using them, which came into effect in May 2005. We cannot yet determine the full effects of this regulatory initiative, including precisely how it may affect the extent of regulatory obligations associated with multipotent stem cell research, and the manufacture and marketing of stem cell products.

## **Table of Contents**

### *Other Regulations*

In addition to safety regulations enforced by the FDA, we are also subject to regulations under the Occupational Safety and Health Act, the Environmental Protection Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, and other present and potential future foreign, federal, state, and local regulations.

### *International Law*

Outside the United States, we will be subject to regulations that govern the import of drug products from the United States or other manufacturing sites and foreign regulatory requirements governing human clinical trials and marketing approval for our products. The requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing, and reimbursements vary widely from country to country. In particular, the European Union, or EU, is revising its regulatory approach to biotechnology products, and representatives from the United States, Japan and the EU are in the process of harmonizing and making more uniform the regulations for the registration of pharmaceutical products in these three markets. This process increases uncertainty over regulatory requirements in our industry. Furthermore, human stem and progenitor cells may be regulated in the EU and other countries as transplant material or as a somatic cell therapy medicinal product, depending on the processing, indication and country.

### **Environment**

We have made, and will continue to make, expenditures for environmental compliance and protection. Expenditures for compliance with environmental laws have not had, and are not expected to have, a material effect on our capital expenditures, results of operations or competitive position.

### **Reimbursement and Health Care Cost Control**

Reimbursement for the costs of treatments and products such as ours from government health administration authorities, private health insurers and others, both in the United States and abroad, is a key element in the success of new health care products. Significant uncertainty often exists as to the reimbursement status of newly approved health care products.

The revenue and profitability of some health care-related companies have been affected by the continuing efforts of governmental and third party payors to contain or reduce the cost of health care through various means. Payors are increasingly attempting to limit both coverage and the levels of reimbursement for new therapeutic products approved for marketing by the FDA, and are refusing, in some cases, to provide any coverage for uses of approved products for disease indications for which the FDA has not granted marketing approval. In certain foreign markets, pricing or profitability of prescription pharmaceuticals is subject to government control. In the United States, there have been a number of federal and state proposals to implement government control over health care costs.

### **Employees**

As of December 31, 2007, we had 63 full-time employees, 17 of whom have Ph.D., M.D. or D.V.M. degrees. 49 full-time employees work in research and development and laboratory support services. No employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements.

### **Scientific Advisory Board**

Members of our Scientific Advisory Board provide us with strategic guidance in regard to our research and product development programs, as well as assistance in recruiting employees and collaborators. Each Scientific Advisory

Board member has entered into a consulting agreement with us. These consulting agreements specify the compensation to be paid to the consultant and require that all information about our products and technology be kept confidential. All of the Scientific Advisory Board members are employed by employers other than us and may have commitments to, or consulting or advising agreements with, other entities that limit their availability to us. The Scientific Advisory Board members have generally agreed, however, for so long as they serve as consultants to us,

**Table of Contents**

not to provide any services to any other entities that would conflict with the services the member provides to us. We are entitled to terminate the arrangements if we determine that there is such a conflict. Members of our Scientific Advisory Board offer consultation on specific issues encountered by us as well as general advice on the directions of appropriate scientific inquiry for us. In addition, the Scientific Advisory Board members assist us in assessing the appropriateness of moving our projects to more advanced stages. The following persons are members of our Scientific Advisory Board:

Irving L. Weissman, M.D., Chairman of our Scientific Advisory Board, is the Virginia and Daniel K. Ludwig Professor of Cancer Research, Professor of Pathology and Professor of Developmental Biology at Stanford University, Director of the Stanford University Institute for Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine, and Director of the Stanford Comprehensive Cancer Center, all in Stanford, California. Dr. Weissman's lab was responsible for the discovery and isolation of the first ever mammalian tissue stem cell, the hematopoietic (blood-forming) stem cell. Dr. Weissman was responsible for the formation of three stem cell companies, SyStemix, Inc., StemCells, Inc. and Cellerant, Inc. Dr. Weissman co-discovered the mammalian and human hematopoietic stem cells and the human neural stem cell. He has extended these stem cell discoveries to cancer and leukemia, discovering the leukemic stem cells in human and mouse acute or blast crisis myeloid leukemias, and has enriched the cancer stem cells in several human brain cancers as well as human head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Past achievements of Dr. Weissman's laboratory include identification of the states of development between stem cells and mature blood cells, the discovery and molecular isolation and characterization of lymphocyte and stem cell homing receptors, and identification of the states of thymic lymphocyte development. His laboratory at Stanford has developed accurate mouse models of human leukemias, and has shown the central role of inhibition of programmed cell death in that process. He has also established the evolutionary origins of pre-vertebrate stem cells, and identified and cloned the transplantation genes that prevent their passage from one organism to another. Dr. Weissman has been elected to the National Academy of Science, the Institute of Medicine of the National Academies, the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, the American Society of Microbiology, and several other societies. He has received the Kaiser Award for Excellence in Preclinical Teaching, the Pasarow Foundation Award for Cancer Research, the California Scientist of the Year (2002), the Kovalenko Medal of the National Academy of Sciences, the Elliott Joslin Medal for Diabetes Research, the de Villiers Award for Leukemia Research, the Irvington Award for Immunologist of the Year, the Bass Award of the Society of Neurosurgeons, the New York Academy of Medicine Award for Medical Research, the Alan Cranston Award for Aging Research, the Linus Pauling Award for Biomedical Research, the E. Donnell Thomas Award for Hematology Research, the van Bekkum Award for Stem Cell Research, the Outstanding Investigator Award from the National Institutes of Health, and many other awards.

David J. Anderson, Ph.D., is Roger W. Sperry Professor of Biology, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California and Investigator, Howard Hughes Medical Institute. His laboratory was the first to isolate a multipotent, self-renewing, stem cell for the peripheral nervous system, the first to identify instructive signals that promote the differentiation of these stem cells along various lineages, and the first to accomplish a direct purification of peripheral neural stem cells from uncultured tissue. Dr. Anderson's laboratory also was the first to isolate transcription factors that act as master regulators of neuronal fate. More recently, he has identified signals that tell a neural stem cell to differentiate to oligodendrocytes, the myelinating glia of the central nervous system, as well as factors for astrocyte differentiation. Dr. Anderson is a co-founder of the Company and a member of our Scientific Advisory Board, and was a founding member of the scientific advisory board of the International Society for Stem Cell Research. Dr. Anderson also serves on the scientific advisory board of Allen Institute for Brain Science. He has held a presidential Young Investigator Award from the National Science Foundation, a Sloan Foundation Fellowship in Neuroscience, and has been Donald D. Matson lecturer at Harvard Medical School. He has received the Charles Judson Herrick Award from the American Association of Anatomy, the 1999 W. Alden Spencer Award in Neurobiology from Columbia University, and the

Alexander von Humboldt Foundation Award. Dr. Anderson has been elected to the National Academy of Science and is a member of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences.



## **Table of Contents**

Fred H. Gage, Ph.D., is Professor, Laboratory of Genetics, The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, California and Adjunct Professor, Department of Neurosciences, University of California, San Diego, California. Dr. Gage's lab was the first to discover Neurogenesis in the adult human brain. His research focus is on the development of strategies to induce recovery of function following central nervous system damage. Dr. Gage is a co-founder of StemCells and of BrainCells, Inc., and a member of the scientific advisory board of each. Dr. Gage also serves on the Scientific Advisory Board of Ceregene, Inc, and he is a founding member of the scientific advisory board of the International Society for Stem Cell Research. Dr. Gage has been the recipient of numerous awards, including the 1993 Charles A. Dana Award for Pioneering Achievements in Health and Education, the Christopher Reeves Medal, the Decade of the Brain Medal, the Max-Planck research Prize, and the Pasarow Foundation Award. Professor Gage is a member of the Institute of Medicine, a member of the National Academy of Science, and a Fellow of the American Academy of Arts and Science.

## **Available Information**

The following information can be obtained free of charge through our website at <http://www.stemcellsinc.com> or by sending an e-mail message to [irpr@stemcellsinc.com](mailto:irpr@stemcellsinc.com):

our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to these reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission;

our policies related to corporate governance, including StemCells' Code of Conduct and Ethics and Procedure for Submission of Complaints; and

the charters of the Audit Committee, the Compensation & Stock Option Committee and the Corporate Governance & Nominating Committee of our Board of Directors.

The public may read and copy any material we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington D.C., 20549. The public may obtain information on the operations of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site, <http://www.sec.gov>, which contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

## **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

*This annual report of Form 10-K contains forward looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our business, operating results, financial performance, and share price may be materially adversely affected by a number of factors, including but not limited to the following risk factors, any one of which could cause actual results to vary materially from anticipated results or from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by us in this annual report of Form 10-K or in other reports, press releases or other statements issued from time to time. Additional factors that may cause such a difference are set forth elsewhere in this annual report of Form 10-K.*

### **Risks Related to our Business**

*Any adverse development relating to our HuCNS-SC product candidate, such as a significant clinical trial failure, could substantially depress our stock price and prevent us from raising additional capital.*

At present our ability to progress as a company is significantly dependent on a single product candidate, our HuCNS-SC cells (purified human neural stem cells), and on a single early stage clinical trial, our Phase I clinical trial for neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis (NCL, also often referred to as Batten disease). Any clinical, regulatory or other development that significantly delays or prevents us from completing this trial, any material safety issue or adverse side effect to any study participant in this trial, or the failure of this trial to show the results expected would likely depress our stock price significantly and could prevent us from raising the substantial additional capital we will need to further develop our cellular technologies. Moreover, any material adverse occurrence in our first clinical trial for Batten disease could substantially impair our ability to initiate clinical trials to test our HuCNS-SC cells in patients with spinal cord injuries, myelin disorders or other potential indications. This, in turn, could

**Table of Contents**

adversely impact our ability to raise additional capital and pursue our planned research and development efforts in both our CNS and liver programs.

***We have limited capital resources and we may not obtain the significant additional capital needed to sustain our research and development efforts.***

We have limited liquidity and capital resources and must obtain significant additional capital resources in order to sustain our product development efforts, acquire businesses, technologies and intellectual property rights which may be important to our business, continue preclinical and clinical testing of our investigative products, pursue regulatory approvals, acquire capital equipment, laboratory and office facilities, establish production capabilities, maintain and enforce our intellectual property portfolio, and support our general and administrative expenses and other working capital requirements. We rely on cash reserves and proceeds from equity and debt offerings, proceeds from the transfer, license, lease, or sale of our intellectual property rights, equipment, facilities, or investments, and government grants and funding from collaborative arrangements, if obtainable, to fund our operations.

We intend to pursue opportunities for additional fundraising in the future through equity or debt financings, corporate alliances or combinations, grants or collaborative research arrangements, or any combination of these. However, the source, timing and availability of any future fundraising will depend principally upon market conditions, interest rates and, more specifically, on progress in our research, preclinical and clinical development programs. Funding may not be available when needed at all or on terms acceptable to us. While we actively manage our programs and resources in order to conserve cash between fundraising opportunities, our existing capital resources may not be sufficient to fund our operations beyond the next twelve to eighteen months. If we exhaust our cash reserves and are unable to realize adequate additional fundraising, we may be unable to meet operating obligations and be required to initiate bankruptcy proceedings or delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research and product development programs.

***Our product development programs are based on novel technologies and are inherently risky.***

We are subject to the risks of failure inherent in the development of products based on new technologies. The novel nature of these therapies creates significant challenges in regard to product development and optimization, manufacturing, government regulation, third party reimbursement, and market acceptance. For example, the pathway to regulatory approval for cell-based therapies, including our product candidates, may be more complex and lengthy than the pathway for conventional drugs. These challenges may prevent us from developing and commercializing products on a timely or profitable basis or at all.

***Our technology is at an early stage of discovery and development, and we may fail to develop any commercially acceptable or profitable products.***

We have incurred significant operating losses and negative cash flows since inception. We have not achieved profitability and may not be able to realize sufficient revenue to achieve or sustain profitability in the future. We have yet to develop any products that have been approved for marketing and we do not expect to become profitable within the next several years, but rather expect to incur additional and increasing operating losses. Before commercializing any medical product, we will need to obtain regulatory approval from the FDA or from equivalent foreign agencies after conducting extensive preclinical studies and clinical trials that demonstrate that the product candidate is safe and effective. Except for the NCL trial currently being conducted at the Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU), we have had no experience conducting human clinical trials. We expect that none of our cell-based therapeutic product candidates will be commercially available for several years, if at all.

While the FDA has permitted us to initiate our Phase I clinical trial of our proprietary HuCNS-SC product candidate in NCL, and the Institutional Review Board of OHSU has approved the protocol and we have completed dosing the six patients planned for the trial, there can be no assurance that the trial will be completed or result in a successful outcome. We may elect to delay or discontinue other studies or clinical trials based on unfavorable results. Any product developed from or based on cellular technologies may fail to:

survive and persist in the desired location;

**Table of Contents**

provide the intended therapeutic benefit;

engraft into existing tissue in the desired manner; or

achieve therapeutic benefits equal to, or better than, the standard of treatment at the time of testing.

In addition, our products may cause undesirable side effects. Results of preclinical research in animals may not be indicative of future clinical results in humans.

Ultimately if regulatory authorities do not approve our products or if we fail to maintain regulatory compliance, we would be unable to commercialize our products, and our business and results of operations would be harmed. Even if we do succeed in developing products, we will face many potential obstacles such as the need to develop or obtain manufacturing, marketing and distribution capabilities. Furthermore, because transplantation of cells is a new form of therapy, the marketplace may not accept any products we may develop.

Moreover, because our cell-based therapeutic products will be derived from tissue of individuals other than the patient (that is, they will be non-self or allogeneic transplant products), patients will likely require the use of immunosuppressive drugs. While immunosuppression is now standard in connection with allogeneic transplants of various kinds, such as heart or liver transplants, long-term maintenance on immunosuppressive drugs can result in complications such as infection, cancer, cardiovascular disease, and renal dysfunction. Immunosuppression is currently being tested with our therapeutic product candidate in our Phase I clinical trial for NCL.

***Our success will depend in large part on our ability to develop and commercialize products that treat diseases other than neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis (Batten disease).***

Although we have initially focused on evaluating our neural stem cell product for the treatment of infantile and late infantile NCL (Batten disease), this disease is rare and the market for treating this disease is small. Accordingly, even if we obtain marketing approval for our HuCNS-SC product candidate for infantile and late infantile NCL, in order to achieve profitability, we will likely need to obtain approval to treat additional diseases that present more significant market opportunities.

***Acquisitions of companies, businesses or technologies may substantially dilute our stockholders and increase our operating losses.***

We may make acquisitions of businesses, technologies or intellectual property rights or otherwise expand our business activities in ways we believe to be necessary, useful or complementary to our current product development efforts and cell-based therapeutics business. Any such acquisition or change in business activities may require assimilation of the operations, products or product candidates and personnel of the acquired business and the training and integration of its employees, and could substantially increase our operating costs, without any offsetting increase in revenue. Acquisitions may not provide the intended technological, scientific or business benefits and could disrupt our operations and divert our limited resources and management's attention from our current operations, which could harm our existing product development efforts. We would likely issue equity securities to pay for any future acquisitions. The issuance of equity securities for an acquisition could be substantially dilutive to our stockholders. In addition, our results of operations may suffer because of acquisition-related costs or the post-acquisition costs of funding the development of an acquired technology or product candidates or operation of the acquired business, or due to amortization or impairment costs for acquired goodwill and other intangible assets. Any investment made in, or funds advanced to, a potential acquisition target could also significantly adversely affect our results of operation and could further reduce our limited capital resources. Any acquisition or action taken in anticipation of a potential acquisition

or other change in business activities could substantially depress the price of our stock.

***We have payment obligations resulting from real property owned or leased by us in Rhode Island, which diverts funding from our cell-based therapeutics research and development.***

Prior to our reorganization in 1999 and the consolidation of our business in California, we carried out our former encapsulated cell therapy programs in Lincoln, Rhode Island, where we also had our administrative offices. Although we have vacated the Rhode Island facilities, we remain obligated to make lease payments and payments

**Table of Contents**

for operating costs for our former science and administrative facility, which we have leased through June 30, 2013. These costs, before sub-tenant rental income, amounted to approximately \$1,523,000 in 2007; our rent payments will increase over the term of the lease, and our operating costs may increase as well. In addition to these costs of our former science and administrative facility, we are obligated to make debt service payments and payments for operating costs of approximately \$400,000 per year for our former encapsulated cell therapy pilot manufacturing facility, which we own. We have currently subleased a portion of the science and administrative facility, and we are seeking to sublease the remaining portion, but we cannot be sure that we will be able to keep any part of the facility subleased for the duration of our obligation. We are currently seeking to sublease the pilot manufacturing facility, but may not be able to sublease or sell the facility in the future. These continuing costs significantly reduce our cash resources and adversely affect our ability to fund further development of our cellular technologies. In addition, changes in real estate market conditions and assumptions regarding the length of time it may take us to either fully sublease, assign or sell our remaining interest in the our former research facility in Rhode Island may have a significant impact on and cause large variations in our quarter to quarter results of operations. In 1999, in connection with exiting our former research facility in Rhode Island, we created a reserve for the estimated lease payments and operating expenses related to it. The reserve is periodically re-evaluated and adjusted based on assumptions relevant to real estate market conditions and the estimated time until we can either, fully sublease, assign or sell our remaining interests in the property. At December 31, 2007, the reserve was \$6,143,000. For the year 2007, we incurred \$1,420,000 in operating expenses net of sub-tenant income for this facility. Expenses for this facility will fluctuate based on changes in tenant occupancy rates and other operating expenses related to the lease. Even though it is our intent to sublease, assign, sell, or otherwise divest ourselves of our interests in the facility at the earliest possible time, we cannot determine with certainty a fixed date by which such events will occur. In light of this uncertainty, based on estimates, we will periodically re-evaluate and adjust the reserve, as necessary, and we may make significant adverse adjustments to the reserve in the future.

***We may be unable to obtain partners to support our cell-based therapeutic product development efforts when needed to commercialize our technologies.***

Equity and debt financings alone may not be sufficient to fund the cost of developing our cellular technologies, and we may need to rely on partnering or other arrangements to provide financial support for our cellular discovery and development efforts. In addition, in order to successfully develop and commercialize our technologies, we may need to enter into various arrangements with corporate sponsors, pharmaceutical companies, universities, research groups, and others. While we have engaged, and expect to continue to engage, in discussions regarding such arrangements, we have not reached any agreement, and we may fail to obtain any such agreement on terms acceptable to us. Even if we enter into such arrangements, we may not be able to satisfy our obligations under them or renew or replace them after their original terms expire. Furthermore, these arrangements may require us to grant rights to third parties, such as exclusive marketing rights to one or more products, may require us to issue securities to our collaborators and may contain other terms that are burdensome to us or result in a decrease in our stock price.

***If we are unable to protect our patents and proprietary rights, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be materially harmed.***

We either own or license a number of patents and pending patent applications related to various stem and progenitor cells, including human neural stem cell cultures, as well as methods of deriving and using them. The process of obtaining patent protection for products such as those we propose to develop is highly uncertain and involves complex and continually evolving factual and legal questions. The governmental authorities that consider patent applications can deny or significantly reduce the patent coverage requested in an application either before or after issuing the patent. For example, under the procedures of the European Patent Office, third parties may oppose our issued European patents during the relevant opposition period. These proceedings and oppositions could result in substantial uncertainties and cost for us, even if the eventual outcome is favorable to us, and the outcome might not be favorable

to us. In the United States, third parties may seek to invalidate issued patents through a U.S. PTO reexamination process or through the courts. In addition, changes to the laws protecting intellectual property rights could adversely impact the perceived or actual value of our Company. Consequently, we do not know whether any of our pending applications will result in the issuance of patents, whether any of our issued patents will be invalidated or restricted, whether any existing or future patents will provide sufficient protection or significant



## **Table of Contents**

commercial advantage, or whether others will circumvent these patents, whether or not lawfully. In addition, our patents may not afford us adequate protection from competing products. Moreover, because patents issue for a limited term, our patents may expire before we can commercialize a product covered by the issued patent claims or before we can utilize the patents profitably. Some of our most important patents begin to expire in 2015.

If we learn of third parties who infringe our patent rights, we may decide to initiate legal proceedings to enforce these rights. Patent litigation is inherently unpredictable and highly risky and may result in unanticipated challenges to the validity or enforceability of our intellectual property, which could result in the loss of these rights. In general litigation proceedings are also very costly and the parties we bring actions against may have significantly greater financial resources than our own. We may not prevail in these proceedings.

Proprietary trade secrets and unpatented know-how are also important to our research and development activities. We cannot be certain that others will not independently develop the same or similar technologies on their own or gain access to our trade secrets or disclose such technology or that we will be able to meaningfully protect our trade secrets and unpatented know-how. We require our employees, consultants and significant scientific collaborators and sponsored researchers to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of an employment or consulting relationship with us. These agreements may, however, fail to provide meaningful protection or adequate remedies for us in the event of unauthorized use, transfer or disclosure of such information or technology.

***If we are unable to obtain necessary licenses to third-party patents and other rights, we may not be able to commercially develop our expected products.***

A number of pharmaceutical, biotechnology and other companies, universities and research institutions have filed patent applications or have received patents relating to cell therapy, stem and progenitor cells and other technologies potentially relevant to, or necessary for, our expected products. We cannot predict which, if any, of these applications will issue as patents or how many of these issued patents will be found valid and enforceable. There may also be existing issued patents which we are currently unaware of which would be infringed by the commercialization of one or more of our product candidates. If so, we may be prevented from commercializing these products unless the third party is willing to grant a license to us. We may be unable to obtain licenses to the relevant patents at a reasonable cost, if at all, and may also be unable to develop or obtain alternative non-infringing technology. If we are unable to obtain such licenses or develop non-infringing technology at a reasonable cost, our business could be significantly harmed. Also, any infringement lawsuits commenced against us may result in significant costs, divert our management's attention and result in an award against us for substantial damages, or potentially prevent us from continuing certain operations.

We are aware of intellectual property rights held by third parties that relate to products or technologies we are developing. For example, some aspects of our cell-based therapeutic product candidates involve the use of growth factors, antibodies and other reagents that may, in certain cases, be the subject of third party rights. Before we commercialize any product using these growth factors, antibodies or reagents, we may need to obtain license rights from third parties or use alternative growth factors, antibodies and reagents that are not then the subject of third party patent rights. We currently believe that the commercialization of our products as currently planned will not infringe these third party rights, or, alternatively, that we will be able to obtain necessary licenses or otherwise use alternative non-infringing technology. However, third parties may nonetheless bring suit against us claiming infringement. If we are unable to prove that our technology does not infringe their patents, or if we are unable to obtain necessary licenses or otherwise use alternative non-infringing technology, we may not be able to commercialize any products.

We have obtained rights from companies, universities and research institutions to technologies, processes and compounds that we believe may be important to the development of our products. These licensors, however, may cancel our licenses or convert them to non-exclusive licenses if we fail to use the relevant technology or otherwise

breach these agreements. Loss of these licenses could expose us to the risk that our technology infringes the rights of third parties. We can give no assurance that any of these licenses will provide effective protection against our competitors.

**Table of Contents**

***We compete with companies that have significant advantages over us.***

The market for therapeutic products to treat diseases of, or injuries to, the central nervous system (CNS) is large and competition is intense. The majority of the products currently on the market or in development are small molecule pharmaceutical compounds, and many pharmaceutical companies have made significant commitments to the CNS field. We believe cellular therapies, if proven safe and effective, will have unique properties that will make them desirable over small molecule drugs, none of which currently replace damaged tissue. However, any cell-based therapeutic to treat diseases of, or injuries to, the CNS is likely to face intense competition from the small molecule sector, biologics, as well as medical devices. We expect to compete with a host of companies, some of which are privately owned and some of which have resources far greater than ours.

In the liver field, there are no broad-based therapies for the treatment of liver disease at present. The primary therapy is liver transplantation, which is limited by the availability of matched donor organs. Liver-assist devices, when and if they become available, could also be used to help patients while they await suitably matched organs for transplantation. Liver transplantation may remain the standard of care even if we successfully develop a cellular therapy. In addition, new therapies may become available before we successfully develop a cell-based therapy for liver disease.

***Development of our technologies is subject to, and restricted by, extensive government regulation, which could impede our business.***

Our research and development efforts, as well as any future clinical trials, and the manufacturing and marketing of any products we may develop, will be subject to, and restricted by, extensive regulation by governmental authorities in the United States and other countries. The process of obtaining FDA and other necessary regulatory approvals is lengthy, expensive and uncertain. We or our collaborators may fail to obtain the necessary approvals to commence or continue clinical testing or to manufacture or market our potential products in reasonable time frames, if at all. In addition, the U.S. Congress and other legislative bodies may enact regulatory reforms or restrictions on the development of new therapies that could adversely affect the regulatory environment in which we operate or the development of any products we may develop.

We base our research and development on the use of human stem and progenitor cells obtained from human tissue, including fetal tissue. The federal and state governments and other jurisdictions impose restrictions on the use of fetal tissue, including those incorporated in federal Good Tissue Practice, or cGTP, regulations. These regulatory and other constraints could prevent us from obtaining cells and other components of our products in the quantity or quality needed for their development or commercialization. These restrictions change from time to time and may become more onerous. Additionally, we may not be able to identify or develop reliable sources for the cells necessary for our potential products that is, sources that follow all state and federal guidelines for cell procurement. Certain components used to manufacture our stem and progenitor cell product candidates will need to be manufactured in compliance with the FDA's Good Manufacturing Practices, or cGMP. Accordingly, we will need to enter into supply agreements with companies that manufacture these components to cGMP standards.

***We are dependent on the services of key personnel.***

We are highly dependent on the principal members of our management and scientific staff and some of our outside consultants, including the members of our scientific advisory board, our chief executive officer, our chief operating officer, our vice presidents, and the heads of key departments or functions within the company. Although we have entered into employment agreements with some of these individuals, they may terminate their agreements at any time. In addition, our operations are dependent upon our ability to attract and retain additional qualified scientific and management personnel. We may not be able to attract and retain the personnel we need on acceptable terms given the

competition for experienced personnel among pharmaceutical, biotechnology and health care companies, universities and research institutions.

**Table of Contents**

***Our activities involve hazardous materials and experimental animal testing; improper handling of these animals and materials by our employees or agents could expose us to significant legal and financial penalties.***

Our research and development activities involve the controlled use of test animals as well as hazardous chemicals and potentially hazardous biological materials such as human tissue. Their use subjects us to environmental and safety laws and regulations such as those governing laboratory procedures, exposure to blood-borne pathogens, use of laboratory animals, and the handling of biohazardous materials. Compliance with current or future laws and regulations may be expensive and the cost of compliance could adversely affect us.

Although we believe that our safety procedures for using, handling, storing, and disposing of hazardous and potentially hazardous materials comply with the standards prescribed by California and federal regulations, the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials cannot be eliminated. In the event of such an accident or of any violation of these or future laws and regulations, state or federal authorities could curtail our use of these materials; we could be liable for any civil damages that result, the cost of which could be substantial; and we could be subjected to substantial fines or penalties. In addition, any failure by us to control the use, disposal, removal, or storage, or to adequately restrict the discharge, or to assist in the cleanup, of hazardous chemicals or hazardous, infectious or toxic substances could subject us to significant liability. Any such liability could exceed our resources and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, an accident could damage our research and manufacturing facilities and operations and result in serious adverse effects on our business.

***The development, manufacturing and commercialization of cell-based therapeutic products expose us to product liability claims, which could lead to substantial liability.***

By developing and, ultimately, commercializing medical products, we are exposed to the risk of product liability claims. Product liability claims against us could result in substantial litigation costs and damage awards against us. We have obtained liability insurance that covers our clinical trials, and we will need to increase our insurance coverage if and when we begin commercializing products. We may not be able to obtain insurance on acceptable terms, if at all, and the policy limits on our insurance policies may be insufficient to cover our liability.

***The manufacture of cell-based therapeutic products is novel, highly regulated, critical to our business, and dependent upon specialized key materials.***

The proliferation and manufacture of cell-based therapeutic products are complicated and difficult processes, dependent upon substantial know-how and subject to the need for continual process improvements to be competitive. Our manufacturing experience is limited and the technologies are comparatively new. In addition, our ability to scale-up manufacturing to satisfy the requirements of our planned clinical trials is uncertain. Manufacturing disruptions may occur and despite efforts to regulate and control all aspects of manufacturing, the potential for human or system failure remains. Manufacturing irregularities or lapses in quality control could have a serious adverse effect on our reputation and business, which could cause a significant loss of stockholder value. Many of the materials that we use to prepare our cell-based products are highly specialized and available from a limited number of suppliers. At present, some of our material requirements are single sourced, and the loss of one or more of these sources may adversely affect our business if we are unable to obtain alternatives or alternative sources at all or upon terms that are acceptable to us.

***Because health care insurers and other organizations may not pay for our products or may impose limits on reimbursements, our ability to become profitable could be adversely affected.***

In both domestic and foreign markets, sales of potential products are likely to depend in part upon the availability and amounts of reimbursement from third-party health care payor organizations, including government agencies, private health care insurers and other health care payors, such as health maintenance organizations and self-insured employee plans. There is considerable pressure to reduce the cost of therapeutic products. Government and other third party payors are increasingly attempting to contain health care costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement for new therapeutic products and by refusing, in some cases, to provide any coverage for

**Table of Contents**

uses of approved products for disease indications for which the FDA or other relevant authority has not granted marketing approval. Moreover, in some cases, government and other third party payors have refused to provide reimbursement for uses of approved products for disease indications for which the FDA or other relevant authority *has* granted marketing approval. Significant uncertainty exists as to the reimbursement status of newly approved health care products or novel therapies such as ours. Even if we obtain regulatory approval to market our products, we can give no assurance that reimbursement will be provided by such payors at all or without substantial delay or, if such reimbursement is provided, that the approved reimbursement amounts will be sufficient to enable us to sell products we develop on a profitable basis. Changes in reimbursement policies could also adversely affect the willingness of pharmaceutical companies to collaborate with us on the development of our cellular technologies. In certain foreign markets, pricing or profitability of prescription pharmaceuticals is subject to government control. We also expect that there will continue to be a number of federal and state proposals to implement government control over health care costs. Efforts to change regulatory and reimbursement standards are likely to continue in future legislative sessions. We do not know what legislative proposals federal or state governments will adopt or what actions federal, state or private payors for health care goods and services may take in response to such proposals or legislation. We cannot predict the effect of government control and health care reimbursement practices on our business.

***Ethical and other concerns surrounding the use of stem or progenitor-based cell therapy may negatively affect regulatory approval or public perception of our product candidates, which could reduce demand for our products or depress our stock price.***

The use of stem cells for research and therapy has been the subject of debate regarding related ethical, legal and social issues. Although these concerns have mainly been directed to the use of embryonic stem cells, which we do not use, the distinction between embryonic and non-embryonic stem cells is frequently overlooked; moreover, our use of human stem or progenitor cells from fetal sources might raise these or similar concerns. Negative public attitudes toward stem cell therapy could result in greater governmental regulation of stem cell therapies, which could harm our business. For example, concerns regarding such possible regulation could impact our ability to attract collaborators and investors. Also, existing regulatory constraints on the use of embryonic stem cells may in the future be extended to use of fetal stem cells, and these constraints might prohibit or restrict us from conducting research or from commercializing products. Existing and potential U.S. government regulation of embryonic tissue may lead researchers to leave the field of stem cell research or the country altogether, in order to assure that their careers will not be impeded by restrictions on their work. Similarly, these factors may induce graduate students to choose other fields less vulnerable to changes in regulatory oversight, thus exacerbating the risk that we may not be able to attract and retain the scientific personnel we need in face of the competition among pharmaceutical, biotechnology and health care companies, universities and research institutions for what may become a shrinking class of qualified individuals.

***Our corporate documents and Delaware law contain provisions that could make it difficult for us to be acquired in a transaction that might be beneficial to our stockholders.***

Our board of directors has the authority to issue shares of preferred stock and to fix the rights, preferences, privileges, and restrictions of these shares without stockholder approval. In addition, we have adopted a rights plan that generally permits our existing stockholders to acquire additional shares at a substantial discount to the market price in the event of certain attempts by third parties to acquire us. These rights, along with certain provisions in our corporate documents and Delaware law, may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us or discourage a third party from attempting to acquire us, even if the acquisition might be beneficial to our stockholders.

**Table of Contents**

**Risks Related to the Securities Market**

*Our stock price has been, and will likely continue to be, highly volatile, which may negatively affect our ability to obtain additional financing in the future.*

The market price per share of our common stock has been and is likely to continue to be highly volatile due to the risks and uncertainties described in this section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as other factors, including:

our ability to develop and test our technologies;

our ability to patent or obtain licenses to necessary technologies;

conditions and publicity regarding the industry in which we operate, as well as the specific areas our product candidates seek to address;

competition in our industry;

price and volume fluctuations in the stock market at large that are unrelated to our operating performance; and

comments by securities analysts, or our failure to meet market expectations.

Over the two-year period ended December 31, 2007, the trading price of our common stock as reported on the Nasdaq Global Market ranged from a high of \$4.06 to a low of \$1.40. As a result of this volatility, your investment in our stock is subject to substantial risk. Furthermore, the volatility of our stock price could negatively impact our ability to raise capital or acquire businesses or technologies.

*We are contractually obligated to issue shares in the future, diluting the interest of current stockholders.*

As of December 31, 2007, there were outstanding warrants to purchase 1,355,000 shares of our common stock, at a weighted average exercise price of \$1.85 per share. Also as of December 31, 2007, there were outstanding options to purchase 9,028,810 shares of our common stock, at a weighted average exercise price of \$2.36 per share. Moreover, we expect to issue additional options to purchase shares of our common stock to compensate employees, consultants and directors, and may issue additional shares to raise capital, to acquire other companies or technologies, to pay for services, or for other corporate purposes. Any such issuances will have the effect of diluting the interest of current stockholders.

**Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

As part of a review by the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Staff) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, we have received and responded to comments from the Staff. The Staff's comments pertain to (i) our determination as to which of our license agreements are considered material contracts required to be filed as exhibits, (ii) our determination as to which of our consulting agreements are considered material contracts required to be filed as exhibits, (iii) our description of certain patent related disputes, including the patents at issue in those proceedings, and (iv) our disclosures with respect to our research and development activities. We filed our response to the Staff on February 29, 2008, but as of the date of the filing of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Staff's comments remain unresolved.



**Item 2. *PROPERTIES***

We entered into a 5-year lease, as of February 1, 2001, for a 40,000 square foot facility, located in the Stanford Research Park in Palo Alto, California. This facility includes space for animals as well as laboratories, offices and a suite designed to be used to manufacture materials for clinical trials. Effective July 1, 2006, under an agreement that extends the lease through March 31, 2010, we leased the remainder of the building, adding approximately 27,500 square feet to our leased premises. We have a space-sharing agreement with Stanford University for part of the animal facility not needed for our own use.

**Table of Contents**

We continue to lease the following facilities in Lincoln, Rhode Island obtained in connection with our former encapsulated cell technology: our former research laboratory and corporate headquarters building which contains 62,500 square feet of wet labs, specialty research areas and administrative offices held on a lease agreement that goes through June 2013, as well as a 21,000 square-foot pilot manufacturing facility and a 3,000 square-foot cell processing facility financed by bonds issued by the Rhode Island Industrial Facilities Corporation. We have subleased small portions of the 62,500 square foot facility, amounting to approximately 21 percent of the total space. We are actively seeking to sublease, assign or sell our remaining interests in these properties.

**Item 3. *LEGAL PROCEEDINGS***

In July 2006, we filed suit against Neuralstem, Inc., in the Federal District Court for the District of Maryland, alleging that Neuralstem's activities violate claims in four of the patents we exclusively licensed from NeuroSpheres. Neuralstem has filed a motion for dismissal or summary judgment in the alternative, citing Title 35, Section 271(e)(1) of the United States Code, which says that it is not an act of patent infringement to make, use or sell a patented invention solely for uses reasonably related to the development and submission of information to the FDA. Neuralstem argues that because it does not have any therapeutic products on the market yet, the activities complained of fall within the protection of Section 271(e)(1) that is, basically, that the suit is premature. This issue will be decided after discovery is complete. Subsequent to filing its motion to dismiss, in December 2006, Neuralstem petitioned the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) to reexamine two of the patents in our infringement action against Neuralstem, namely U.S. Patent No. 6,294,346 (claiming the use of human neural stem cells for drug screening) and U.S. Patent No. 7,101,709 (claiming the use of human neural stem cells for screening biological agents). In April 2007, Neuralstem petitioned the PTO to reexamine the remaining two patents in the suit, namely U.S. Patent No. 5,851,832 (claiming methods for proliferating human neural stem cells) and U.S. Patent No. 6,497,872 (claiming methods for transplanting human neural stem cells). These requests were granted by the PTO and, in June 2007, the parties voluntarily agreed to stay the pending litigation while the PTO considers these reexamination requests. In October 2007, Neuralstem petitioned the PTO to reexamine a fifth patent, namely U.S. Patent No. 6,103,530, which claims a culture medium for proliferating mammalian neural stem cells. In September 2007, the PTO issued first office actions in each of the first four reexaminations. The Company has since filed its first responses to each of these, and expects all four patents to re-issue in 2008.

In 2003, Geron Corporation filed an opposition to two of our issued European patent cases, namely EP0594669 (claiming, among other things, methods for proliferating and using human neural stem cells as therapeutic and drug screening agents) and EP0669973 (claiming, among other things, methods for proliferating and differentiating human neural stem cells). Both oppositions were heard in 2005, and the patents were maintained in somewhat altered form by the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office. In essence the scope of each patent was limited to proliferation using specific growth factors and each had to disclaim derivation of human neural stem cells from human embryonic tissue in order to comply with the European law which precludes the patenting of embryonic stem cells. The time for appeal has run in each case. U.S. counterparts to these patents are part of our issued patent portfolio; they are not subject to opposition, because that procedure does not exist under U.S. patent law, although other types of proceedings may be available to third parties to contest our U.S. patents.

**Item 4. *SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS***

None.

**Table of Contents****PART II****Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****(a) Market price and dividend information**

Our stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol STEM. The quarterly ranges of high and low bid prices for the last two fiscal years as reported by Nasdaq are shown below:

<b>2007</b>	<b>High</b>	<b>Low</b>
First Quarter	\$ 3.63	\$ 2.36
Second Quarter	\$ 3.09	\$ 2.27
Third Quarter	\$ 2.45	\$ 1.90
Fourth Quarter	\$ 2.53	\$ 1.40
<b>2006</b>		
First Quarter	\$ 4.06	\$ 3.45
Second Quarter	\$ 3.58	\$ 1.77
Third Quarter	\$ 2.55	\$ 1.90
Fourth Quarter	\$ 3.49	\$ 2.05

No cash dividends have been declared on our common stock since our inception.

**Table of Contents****PERFORMANCE GRAPH**

We show below the cumulative total return to our stockholders during the period from December 31, 2002 through December 31, 2007<sup>1</sup> in comparison to the cumulative return on the Standard & Poor's 500 Index and the Amex Biotechnology Index during that same period.

The stock price performance shown on the graph below is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

	<b>December 31, 2002</b>	<b>December 31, 2003</b>	<b>December 31, 2004</b>	<b>December 31, 2005</b>	<b>December 31, 2006</b>	<b>December 31, 2007</b>
StemCells, Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ 181.65	\$ 388.07	\$ 316.51	\$ 243.12	\$ 137.61
S&P 500 Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 126.38	\$ 137.75	\$ 141.88	\$ 161.20	\$ 166.89
Amex Biotechnology Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 144.91	\$ 160.92	\$ 201.32	\$ 223.01	\$ 232.54

(1) Cumulative total returns assumes a hypothetical investment of \$100 on December 31, 2002.

The information under "Performance Graph" is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filing of StemCells, Inc. under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date of this 10-K and irrespective of any general incorporation language in those filings.

**(b) Approximate Number of Holders of Common Stock**

As of February 29, 2008, there were approximately 582 holders of record of our common stock and the closing price per share of our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Market was \$1.51.

**(c) Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities (last three years ending December 31, 2007)**

We issued the following unregistered securities in 2007:

In June 2007, we issued 3,865 shares of common stock to the California Institute of Technology (Cal Tech) for payment of annual fees of \$5,000 for each of two patents to which we hold a license from Cal Tech, payable in cash or stock at our choice. We elected to pay the fees in stock. The shares were issued in a transaction not involving any public offering pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

**Table of Contents**

We issued the following unregistered securities in 2006:

In August 2006, we issued 3,848 shares of common stock to the California Institute of Technology (Cal Tech) as payment of annual fees of \$5,000 for each of two patents to which we hold a license from Cal Tech, payable in cash or stock at our choice. We elected to pay the fees in stock. The shares were issued in a transaction not involving any public offering pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

No unregistered securities were issued in 2005.

**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table provides certain information with respect to all of our equity compensation plans in effect as of December 31, 2007.

Plan category	Equity Compensation Plan Information		
	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column(a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders(1)	9,028,810	\$ 2.36	3,024,408
Equity compensation arrangements not approved by security holders(2)	100,000	\$ 1.20	N/A
Totals	9,128,810	\$ 2.33	3,024,408

(1) Consists of options issued to employees and directors and options issued as compensation to consultants for consultation services. These options were issued under our 1992 Equity Incentive Plan, Directors Stock Option Plan, StemCells, Inc. Stock Option Plan, or our 2001, 2004 and 2006 Equity Incentive Plans.

(2) Represents the portion outstanding of a fully vested warrant issued in January 2003 to purchase 200,000 shares with an exercise price of \$1.20 per share and exercisable, in whole or in part, for five years from the date of issuance. The warrant which constitutes an equity compensation arrangement not approved by security holders was issued in exchange for advisory services by non-employees.

**Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following selected financial and operating data are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The selected financial and operating data should be read in conjunction with Item 7. Management's

**Table of Contents**

Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

	<b>Year Ended December 31,</b>				
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	<b>(In thousands, except per share amounts)</b>				
<b>Consolidated Statement of Operations</b>					
Revenue from licensing agreements and grants	\$ 57	\$ 93	\$ 206	\$ 141	\$ 273
Research and development expenses	19,937	13,600	8,226	7,844	5,479
General and administrative expenses	7,927	7,154	5,540	4,870	4,056
Wind-down expenses(1)	783	709	2,827	2,827	2,885
License & settlement agreement income, net(2)	551	103	3,736		
Gain on sale of marketable securities	716				
Loss before deemed dividends and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	(25,023)	(18,948)	(11,738)	(15,330)	(12,291)
Net loss	(25,023)	(18,948)	(11,738)	(15,330)	(14,425)
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$ (0.31)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.31)	\$ (0.45)
Shares used in computing basic and diluted loss per share amounts	79,772	74,611	63,643	49,606	32,080

	<b>December 31,</b>				
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>				
<b>Consolidated Balance Sheet</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,759	\$ 51,795	\$ 34,541	\$ 41,060	\$ 13,082
Marketable securities	29,847	7,266	3,721		
Total assets	48,283	66,857	44,839	47,627	19,786
Accrued wind-down expenses	6,143	6,750	7,306	5,528	3,823
Long-term debt, including capital leases	1,034	1,145	1,351	1,646	1,850
Stockholders' equity	35,212	54,376	32,376	36,950	10,964

(1) Relates to wind-down expenses in respect of our Rhode Island facility. See Note 7 Wind-down and exit costs in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information.

(2) Relates to an agreement with ReNeuron Limited. See Note 2 Financial Instruments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information.

**Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

This report contains forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. Such statements include, without limitation, all statements as to expectation or belief and statements as to our future results of operations; the progress of our research, product development and clinical programs; the need for, and timing of, additional capital and capital expenditures; partnering prospects; costs of manufacture of products; the protection of, and the need for, additional intellectual property rights; effects of regulations; the need for additional facilities; and potential market opportunities. Our actual results may vary materially from those contained in such forward-looking statements because of risks to which we are subject, including uncertainty as to whether the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or other regulatory authorities will permit us to proceed with clinical testing of proposed products despite the novel and unproven nature of our technologies; the risk that our initial clinical trial and any other clinical trials or studies could be substantially delayed beyond their expected dates or cause us to incur substantial unanticipated costs; uncertainties in our ability to obtain the capital resources needed to continue our current research and development operations and to conduct the research, preclinical development and clinical trials necessary for regulatory approvals; the uncertainty regarding our ability to obtain a corporate partner or partners, if



## **Table of Contents**

needed, to support the development and commercialization of our potential cell-based therapeutics products; the uncertainty regarding the outcome of our Phase I clinical trial in NCL and any other clinical trials or studies we may conduct in the future; the uncertainty regarding the validity and enforceability of our issued patents; the uncertainty whether any products that may be generated in our cell-based therapeutics programs will prove clinically safe and effective; the uncertainty whether we will achieve revenue from product sales or become profitable; uncertainties regarding our obligations with respect to our former encapsulated cell therapy facilities in Rhode Island; obsolescence of our technologies; competition from third parties; intellectual property rights of third parties; litigation risks; and other risks to which we are subject. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or to persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements and risk factors set forth in Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K.

## **Overview**

### ***The Company***

Our research and development (R&D) programs are focused on identifying and developing potential cell-based therapeutics which can either restore or support organ function. Since we relocated our corporate headquarters and research laboratories to California in 1999 our R&D efforts have primarily been directed at refining our methods for identifying, isolating, culturing, and purifying the human neural stem cell and human liver engrafting cells (hLEC) and developing these as potential cell-based therapeutics for the central nervous system (CNS) and the liver, respectively. We are currently conducting a Phase I clinical trial of our HuCNS-SC<sup>®</sup> product candidate (purified human neural stem cells) as a treatment for infantile and late infantile neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis (NCL), a fatal neurodegenerative disease often referred to as Batten disease. We have completed enrollment and dosing for this six-patient trial and expect it to be completed in early 2009. Our CNS Program is continuing basic research and preclinical development for additional potential indications in the CNS field. We are targeting to initiate clinical trials to test our HuCNS-SC product candidate for a spinal cord indication by mid-2008 and for a myelin disorder in the brain by the end of 2008. In our Liver Program, we are in preclinical development with our human liver engrafting cells and are exploring their applicability as a cellular therapy to restore function to liver tissue by replacing dysfunctional or damaged cells. See Overview Research and Development Programs in the Business Section of Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-K for a brief description of our significant research and development programs. We have also conducted research on several other cell types and in other areas, which could lead to other possible product candidates, process improvements or further research activities.

We have not derived any revenue or cash flows from the sale or commercialization of any products except for license revenue for certain of our patented cells and media for use in research. As a result, we have incurred annual operating losses since inception and expect to incur substantial operating losses in the future. Therefore, we are dependent upon external financing from equity and debt offerings and revenue from collaborative research arrangements with corporate sponsors to finance our operations. We have no such collaborative research arrangements at this time and there can be no assurance that such financing or partnering revenue will be available when needed or on terms acceptable to us.

Before we can derive revenue or cash inflows from the commercialization of any of our product candidates, we will need to: (i) conduct substantial *in vitro* testing and characterization of our proprietary cell types, (ii) undertake preclinical and clinical testing for specific disease indications; (iii) develop, validate and scale-up manufacturing processes to produce these cell-based therapeutics, and (iv) pursue required regulatory approvals. These steps are risky, expensive and time consuming.

Overall, we expect our R&D expenses to be substantial and to increase for the foreseeable future as we continue the development and clinical investigation of our current and future product candidates. However, expenditures on R&D

programs are subject to many uncertainties, including whether we develop our product candidates with a partner or independently. We cannot forecast with any degree of certainty which of our current product candidates will be subject to future collaboration, when such collaboration agreements will be secured, if at all, and to what degree such arrangements would affect our development plans and capital requirements. In addition, there are numerous factors associated with the successful commercialization of any of our cell-based therapeutics, including future trial design and regulatory requirements, many of which cannot be determined with accuracy at this

## **Table of Contents**

time given the stage of our development and the novel nature of stem cell technologies. The regulatory pathways, both in the United States and internationally, are complex and fluid given the novel and, in general, clinically unproven nature of stem cell technologies. At this time, due to such uncertainties and inherent risks, we cannot estimate in a meaningful way the duration of, or the costs to complete, our R&D programs or whether, when or to what extent we will generate revenues or cash inflows from the commercialization and sale of any of our product candidates. While we are currently focused on advancing each of our product development programs, our future R&D expenses will depend on the determinations we make as to the scientific and clinical prospects of each product candidate, as well as our ongoing assessment of the regulatory requirements and each product candidate's commercial potential.

Given the early stage of development of our product candidates, any estimates of when we may be able to commercialize one or more of these products would not be meaningful. Moreover, any estimate of the time and investment required to develop potential products based upon our proprietary HuCNS-SC and hLEC technologies will change depending on the ultimate approach or approaches we take to pursue them, the results of preclinical and clinical studies, and the content and timing of decisions made by the FDA and other regulatory authorities. There can be no assurance that we will be able to develop any product successfully, or that we will be able to recover our development costs, whether upon commercialization of a developed product or otherwise. We cannot provide assurance that any of these programs will result in products that can be marketed or marketed profitably. If certain of our development-stage programs do not result in commercially viable products, our results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

### ***Significant Events***

In January 2008, we completed enrollment and dosing of a six-patient Phase I clinical trial of our HuCNS-SC product candidate as a treatment for infantile and late infantile neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis (NCL) at Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) Doernbecher Children's Hospital.

In January 2008, we entered into a research collaboration with the OHSU Casey Eye Institute to evaluate our HuCNS-SC product candidate as a potential treatment for retinal degeneration, a leading cause of blindness.

In December 2007, we announced that we were exploring the possible acquisition of privately held Progenitor Cell Therapy, LLC (PCT), a provider of cGMP-quality cell processing services headquartered in Hackensack, NJ. PCT agreed to a period of exclusivity to allow for due diligence and negotiations, and in consideration thereof, we agreed to make a secured loan of up to \$3.8 million to PCT, of which \$1.0 million was lent. In March 2008, we terminated discussions to acquire PCT because the parties were unable to reach mutually agreeable terms and conditions. We anticipate the \$1.0 million loan will be repaid in accordance with its terms.

In September 2007, we entered into a research collaboration with Belgium's Université Catholique de Louvain (UCL) and the UCL-affiliated Cliniques Universitaires Saint Luc to further the development of hLEC as a potential cell-based liver therapy. Under the collaboration, we are working with UCL to improve processes to isolate and purify hLEC, which we believe is an important step prior to initiating any clinical study of the cells.

In June 2007, we announced that we had successfully completed enrollment and dosing of the low-dose cohort in our Phase I trial. A review of the trial data to that point, conducted by an independent Data Safety Monitoring Committee comprised of experts in pediatric neurosurgery, pediatric neurology, solid organ transplantation, and genetics, identified no safety issues to preclude advancing to the next dose level.

In June 2007, we announced the publication of a paper describing a new technique for non-invasive tracking of human neural stem cells transplanted into the brains of mice. The technique, which involves tagging the human neural stem cells with Feridex<sup>®</sup>, a commonly used magnetic resonance imaging agent approved by the FDA for use in humans,

does not appear to alter the stem cells function or viability.

In February 2007, we raised net proceeds of approximately \$3 million through the sale of 5,275,000 ordinary shares of ReNeuron Group plc. We received the shares as part of a license and settlement agreement we entered into with ReNeuron in 2005 in which we granted ReNeuron a license under some of our patents to exploit their conditionally immortalized adult human neural stem cell technology for therapy and other purposes.

## **Table of Contents**

In January 2007, Desmond H. O'Connell, Jr., joined our Board of Directors. Currently an independent management consultant and private investor, Mr. O'Connell is a director of Abiomed, Inc. and was formerly a director, acting chief executive officer and chairman of the board of Serologicals Corporation until that company was sold in 2006.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and the Use of Estimates**

The accompanying discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our Consolidated Financial Statements and the related disclosures, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The preparation of these Consolidated Financial Statements requires management to make estimates, assumptions, and judgments that affect the reported amounts in our Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes. These estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, and we have established internal controls related to the preparation of these estimates. Actual results and the timing of the results could differ materially from these estimates.

### ***Stock-Based Compensation***

On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123 (revised 2004) (SFAS 123R), *Share-Based Payment*, which revises SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. SFAS 123R requires us to recognize expense related to the fair value of our stock-based compensation awards, including employee stock options. Under the provisions of SFAS 123R, employee stock-based compensation is estimated at the date of grant based on the award's fair value using the Black-Scholes-Merton (Black-Scholes) option-pricing model and is recognized as expense ratably over the requisite service period. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model requires the use of certain assumptions, the most significant of which are our estimates of the expected volatility of the market price of our stock and the expected term of the award. Our estimate of the expected volatility is based on historical volatility. We currently use the simplified method to estimate the expected term of the option. Under this method, the expected term is the average of the contractual life of the option and its vesting period. As required under SFAS 123R, we review our valuation assumptions at each grant date and, as a result, our assumptions in future periods may change. For the year ended December 31, 2007, employee stock-based compensation expense was approximately \$3,061,000. As of December 31, 2007, total compensation cost related to unvested stock options not yet recognized was approximately \$6,956,000, which is expected to be recognized as expense over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years.

### ***Wind-down expenses***

In connection with our wind-down of our research and manufacturing operations in Lincoln, Rhode Island, and the relocation of our corporate headquarters and remaining research laboratories to California in October 1999, we provided a reserve for our estimate of the exit cost obligation in accordance with EITF 94-3, *Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (Including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)*. The reserve reflects estimates of the ongoing costs of our former research and administrative facility in Lincoln, which we hold on a lease that terminates on June 30, 2013. We are seeking to sublease, assign, sell, or otherwise divest ourselves of our interest in the facility at the earliest possible time, but we cannot determine with certainty a fixed date by which such events will occur, if at all.

In determining the facility exit cost reserve amount, we are required to consider our lease payments through to the end of the lease term and estimate other relevant factors such as facility operating expenses, real estate market conditions in Rhode Island for similar facilities, occupancy rates, and sublease rental rates projected over the course of the

leasehold. We re-evaluate the estimate each quarter, taking account of changes, if any, in each underlying factor. The process is inherently subjective because it involves projections over time from the date of the estimate through the end of the lease and it is not possible to determine any of the factors except the lease payments with certainty over that period.

**Table of Contents**

Management forms its best estimate on a quarterly basis, after considering actual sublease activity, reports from our broker/realtor about current and predicted real estate market conditions in Rhode Island, the likelihood of new subleases in the foreseeable future for the specific facility and significant changes in the actual or projected operating expenses of the property. We discount the projected net outflow over the term of the leasehold to arrive at the present value, and adjust the reserve to that figure. The estimated vacancy rate for the facility is an important assumption in determining the reserve because changes in this assumption have the greatest effect on estimated sublease income. In addition, the vacancy rate estimate is the variable most subject to change, while at the same time it involves the greatest judgment and uncertainty due to the absence of highly predictive information concerning the future of the local economy and future demand for specialized laboratory and office space in that area. The average vacancy rate of the facility over the last five years (2003 through 2007) was approximately 73%, varying from 66% to 89%. As of December 31, 2007, based on current information available to management, the vacancy rate is projected to be 80% for 2008, and approximately 70% from 2009 through the end of the lease. These estimates are based on actual occupancy as of December 31, 2007, predicted lead time for acquiring new subtenants, historical vacancy rates for the area and assessments by our broker/realtor of future real estate market conditions. If the assumed vacancy rate for 2009 to the end of the lease had been five percentage points higher or lower at December 31, 2007, then the reserve would have increased or decreased by approximately \$214,000. Similarly, a 5% increase or decrease in the operating expenses for the facility from 2008 on would have increased or decreased the reserve by approximately \$122,000, and a 5% increase or decrease in the assumed average rental charge per square foot would have increased or decreased the reserve by approximately \$80,000. Management does not wait for specific events to change its estimate, but instead uses its best efforts to anticipate them on a quarterly basis.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded actual expenses against this reserve of approximately \$1,420,000. Based on management's evaluation of the factors mentioned above, and particularly the projected vacancy rates described above, we adjusted the reserve to \$6,143,000 at December 31, 2007 by recording an additional \$783,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007.

***Income Taxes***

We account for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (SFAS 109) and FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, as amended by FASB Staff Position No. 48-1 (FIN 48). Under SFAS 109 and FIN 48, we must recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Income tax receivables and liabilities, and deferred tax assets and liabilities, are recognized based on the amounts that more likely than not would be sustained upon ultimate settlement with taxing authorities.

Developing our provision for income taxes and analyzing our tax positions requires significant judgment and knowledge of federal and state income tax laws, regulations and strategies, including the determination of deferred tax assets and liabilities and, any valuation allowances that may be required for deferred tax assets.

We assess the likelihood of realizing our deferred tax assets to determine whether an income tax valuation allowance is required. Based on such evidence that can be objectively verified, we determine whether it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The main factors that we consider include:

- cumulative losses in recent years;
- income/losses expected in future years; and
- the applicable statute of limitations.

Tax benefits associated with uncertain tax positions are recognized in the period in which one of the following conditions is satisfied: (1) the more likely than not recognition threshold is satisfied; (2) the position is ultimately settled through negotiation or litigation; or (3) the statute of limitations for the taxing authority to examine and challenge the position has expired. Tax benefits associated with an uncertain tax position are reversed in the period in which the more likely than not recognition threshold is no longer satisfied.



**Table of Contents**

We concluded that the realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon future earnings, if any, the timing and amount of which are uncertain. Accordingly, the net deferred tax assets have been fully offset by a valuation allowance.

***Contingencies***

We are currently involved in certain legal proceedings. See Note 8, Commitments and Contingencies, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information on these matters.

**Results of Operations**

Our results of operations have varied significantly from year to year and quarter to quarter and may vary significantly in the future due to the occurrence of material recurring and nonrecurring events, including without limitation the receipt and payment of recurring and nonrecurring licensing payments, the initiation or termination of research collaborations, the on-going expenses to lease and maintain our Rhode Island facilities, and the increasing costs associated with operating our California facility. To expand and provide high quality systems and support to our research and development programs, we will need to hire more personnel, which will lead to higher operating expenses.

***Revenue***

Revenue totaled approximately \$57,000 in 2007, \$93,000 in 2006, and \$206,000 in 2005.

	2007	2006	2005	Change in 2007 Versus 2006		Change in 2006 Versus 2005	
				\$	%	\$	%
Revenue							
Licensing agreements and grants	\$ 56,722	\$ 92,850	\$ 205,914	\$ (36,128)	(39)%	\$ (113,064)	(55)%

The decrease in licensing and grant revenue in 2007 as compared to 2006 was primarily attributable to the completed draw down in 2006 of a \$464,000 Small Business Technology Transfer Grant for studies in Alzheimer's disease that was awarded in September 2004. The grant supported joint work with Dr. George A. Carlson of the McLaughlin Research Institute (MRI) in Great Falls, Montana. The decrease in licensing and grant revenue in 2006 as compared to 2005 was primarily attributable to lesser amounts drawn down in 2006 from the grant as compared to 2005. We received and recognized approximately \$38,000 in 2006, \$186,000 in 2005, and \$26,000 in 2004 as grant revenue, the remainder was reimbursed to MRI.

**Table of Contents****Operating Expenses**

Operating expense totaled approximately \$28,648,000 in 2007, \$21,464,000 in 2006, and \$16,594,000 in 2005.

	2007	2006	2005	Change in 2007 Versus 2006		Change in 2006 Versus 2005	
				\$	%	\$	%
Operating Expenses							
Research & development	\$ 19,937,426	\$ 13,600,433	\$ 8,226,734	\$ 6,336,993	47%	\$ 5,373,699	65%
General & administrative	7,927,443	7,154,042	5,539,845	773,401	11%	1,614,197	29%
Wind-down expenses	783,022	709,209	2,827,403	73,813	10%	(2,118,194)	(75)%
Total expense	\$ 28,647,891	\$ 21,463,684	\$ 16,593,982	\$ 7,184,207	33%	\$ 4,869,702	29%

**Research and Development Expenses**

Our R&D expenses consist primarily of salaries and related personnel expenses, costs associated with clinical trials and regulatory submissions; costs associated with preclinical activities such as toxicology studies; certain patent-related costs such as licensing; facilities-related costs such as depreciation; lab equipment and supplies. Clinical trial expenses include payments to vendors such as clinical research organizations, contract manufacturers, clinical trial sites, laboratories for testing clinical samples and consultants. Cumulative R&D costs incurred since we refocused our activities on developing cell-based therapeutics (fiscal years 2000 through 2007) were approximately \$75 million. Over this period, the majority of these cumulative costs were related to: (i) characterization of our proprietary HuCNS-SC cell, (ii) expenditures for toxicology and other preclinical studies, preparation and submission of our Investigational New Drug (IND) application for our Phase I trial for NCL to the FDA, and obtaining FDA clearance; and (iii) expenditures in connection with our HuCNS-SC Phase I clinical trial.

We use and manage our R&D resources, including our employees and facilities, across various projects rather than on a project-by-project basis for the following reasons. The allocations of time and resources change as the needs and priorities of individual projects and programs change, and many of our researchers are assigned to more than one project at any given time. Furthermore, we are exploring multiple possible uses for each of our proprietary cell types, so much of our R&D effort is complementary to and supportive of each of these projects. Lastly, much of our R&D effort is focused on manufacturing processes, which can result in process improvements useful across cell types. We also use external service providers to assist in the conduct of our clinical trials, to manufacture certain of our product candidates and to provide various other R&D related products and services. Many of these costs and expenses are complementary to and supportive of each of our programs. Because we do not have a development collaborator for any of our product programs, we are currently responsible for all costs incurred with respect to our product candidates.

R&D expense totaled approximately \$19,937,000 in 2007, as compared to \$13,600,000 in 2006 and \$8,227,000 in 2005. At December 31, 2007, we had 49 full-time employees working in research and development and laboratory support services as compared to 35 at December 31, 2006 and 33 at December 31, 2005.

*2007 versus 2006.* The increase of approximately \$6,337,000, or 47%, from 2006 to 2007 was primarily attributable to the continued expansion of our operations in cell processing and clinical development, including an increase in external services and clinical study costs of approximately \$3,954,000, and an increase in personnel costs of

approximately \$1,442,000, of which approximately \$206,000 was attributable to stock-based compensation expense. The remainder of the increase in 2007 was due to increases in supplies, rent, and other operating expenses.

*2006 versus 2005.* The increase of approximately \$5,374,000, or 65%, from 2005 to 2006 was primarily attributable to expansion of our operations in cell processing and clinical development, which consisted of an increase in personnel costs of approximately \$2,669,000, an increase in external services of approximately

**Table of Contents**

\$1,464,000, an increase in supplies and other expenses of \$771,000 and the cost of additional space leased in 2006 allocated to research and development. Of the approximately \$2,669,000 increase in personnel costs, approximately \$1,344,000 was attributable to stock-based compensation expense. The remaining increase was primarily attributable to increased head count.

*General and Administrative Expenses*

General and administrative (G&A) expenses totaled approximately \$7,927,000 in 2007, compared with \$7,154,000 in 2006 and \$5,540,000 in 2005.

*2007 versus 2006.* The increase of approximately \$773,000, or 11%, from 2006 to 2007 was primarily attributable to an increase in external services of approximately \$763,000, driven by an increase in legal fees related to patent prosecutions and litigation, and an increase in personnel costs of approximately \$425,000, of which approximately \$211,000 was attributable to an increase in stock-based compensation expense. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in other G&A expenses.

*2006 versus 2005.* The increase of approximately \$1,614,000, or 29%, from 2005 to 2006 was primarily due to the increase in personnel costs of approximately \$1,826,000, of which approximately \$1,423,000 was attributable to stock-based compensation expense. The increase in personnel costs was partially offset by a net decrease in other costs primarily attributable to the expensing of the fair value of options granted to a consultant in 2005. No such options were granted in 2006.

*Wind-down Expenses*

In 1999, in connection with exiting our former research facility in Rhode Island, we created a reserve for the estimated lease payments and operating expenses related to it. The reserve has been re-evaluated and adjusted based on assumptions relevant to real estate market conditions and the estimated time until we could either fully sublease, assign or sell our remaining interests in the property. The reserve was approximately \$6,145,000 at December 31, 2007 and \$6,750,000 at December 31, 2006. Payments net of subtenant income were recorded against this reserve of \$1,420,000 in 2007, \$1,295,000 in 2006, and \$1,079,000 in 2005. We re-evaluated the estimate and adjusted the reserve by recording in aggregate, additional wind-down expenses of \$783,000 in 2007, \$709,000 in 2006, and \$2,827,000 in 2005. Expenses for this facility will fluctuate based on changes in tenant occupancy rates and other operating expenses related to the lease. Even though it is our intent to sublease, assign, sell, or otherwise divest ourselves of our interests in the facility at the earliest possible time, we cannot determine with certainty a fixed date by which such events will occur. In light of this uncertainty, based on estimates, we will periodically re-evaluate and adjust the reserve, as necessary. See Note 7 Wind-down and exit costs, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information.

**Other Income (Expense)**

Other income totaled approximately \$3,568,000 in 2007, compared with \$2,422,000 in 2006 and \$4,650,000 in 2005.

**Table of Contents**

	2007	2006	2005	Change in 2007 Versus 2006		Change in 2006 Versus 2005	
				\$	%	\$	%
Other income (expense):							
License and settlement agreement	\$ 550,467	\$ 103,359	\$ 3,735,556	\$ 447,108	433%	\$ (3,632,197)	(97)%
Interest income	2,459,820	2,479,740	1,122,963	(19,920)	(1)%	1,356,777	121%
Interest expense	(123,606)	(143,001)	(171,909)	19,395	(14)%	28,908	(17)%
Gain on sale of investment	715,584			715,584	*%		*%
Other expense, net	(33,899)	(17,644)	(36,892)	(16,255)	92%	19,248	(52)%
Total other income, net	\$ 3,568,366	\$ 2,422,454	\$ 4,649,718	\$ 1,145,912	47%	\$ (2,227,264)	(48)%

\* Calculation can not be performed or is not meaningful.

*License and Settlement Agreement*

In July 2005, we entered into an agreement with ReNeuron Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of ReNeuron Group plc, a listed UK corporation (collectively referred to as ReNeuron). As part of the agreement, we granted ReNeuron a license that allows ReNeuron to exploit their c-mycER conditionally immortalized adult human neural stem cell technology for therapy and other purposes. We received a 7.5% fully-diluted equity interest in ReNeuron, subject to certain anti-dilution provisions, and a cross-license to the exclusive use of ReNeuron's technology for certain diseases and conditions, including lysosomal storage diseases, spinal cord injury, cerebral palsy, and multiple sclerosis. The agreement also provides for full settlement of any potential claims that either we or ReNeuron might have had against the other in connection with any putative infringement of certain of each party's patent rights prior to the effective date of the agreement.

Other income from the license and settlement agreement totaled approximately \$550,000 in 2007, \$103,000 in 2006, and \$3,736,000 in 2005, which was the fair value of the ReNeuron shares we received under such agreement, net of legal fees and the value of the shares that were transferred to NeuroSpheres Ltd., an Alberta corporation from which we have licensed some of the patent rights that are the subject of the agreement with ReNeuron. See Note 2 Financial Instruments ReNeuron License Agreement in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information regarding this transaction.

*Interest Income*

Interest income totaled approximately \$2,460,000 in 2007, \$2,480,000 in 2006, and \$1,123,000 in 2005. Interest income in 2007 was relatively flat compared to 2006, as a result of lower average bank balances offset by higher average yields. The increase in interest income from 2005 to 2006 was primarily attributable to a higher average bank

balance as a result of our financing transactions. See Cash Used in or Provided by Financing Activities, in Liquidity and Capital Resources below for further information.

*Interest Expense*

Interest expense was approximately \$124,000 in 2007, \$143,000 in 2006, and \$172,000 in 2005. The decreases in 2007 as compared to 2006 and in 2006 as compared to 2005 were attributable to lower outstanding debt and capital lease balances. See Note 8 Commitment and Contingencies, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information.

**Table of Contents***Gain on Sale of Marketable Equity Securities*

The gain on sale of marketable equity securities of approximately \$716,000 in 2007 was attributable to sales of ReNeuron shares. See Note 2 Financial Instruments, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information on this transaction.

*Other Expense, net*

Other expense, net for 2007 were approximately \$34,000, which included approximately \$34,000 for state franchise taxes paid, partially offset by a gain of approximately \$1,500 from the disposal of old equipment. Other expense, net for 2006 were approximately \$18,000, which included approximately \$20,000 for state franchise taxes paid, partially offset by a gain of approximately \$2,000 from the disposal of old equipment. Other expense, net for 2005 were approximately \$37,000, which included approximately \$36,000 for state franchise taxes and approximately \$1,000 from a write-off of obsolete equipment.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Since our inception, we have financed our operations through the sale of common and preferred stock, the issuance of long-term debt and capitalized lease obligations, revenue from collaborative agreements, research grants, license fees, and interest income.

	2007	2006	2005	Change in 2007 Versus 2006		Change in 2006 Versus 2005	
				\$	%	\$	%
At December 31:							
Cash and highly liquid investments(1)	\$ 37,645,085	\$ 51,795,529	\$ 34,540,908	\$ (14,150,444)	(27)%	\$ 17,254,621	50%
Year ended December 31:							
Net cash used in operating activities	\$ (20,856,746)	\$ (16,104,120)	\$ (11,870,568)	\$ (4,752,626)	29%	\$ (4,233,552)	36%
Net cash used in investing activities	(27,155,656)	(1,297,124)	(847,505)	(25,858,532)	1994%	(449,619)	53%
Net cash provided by financing activities	5,976,042	34,655,865	6,199,449	(28,679,823)	(83)%	28,456,416	459%

(1) Cash and highly liquid investments include unrestricted cash, cash equivalents, and short-term and long-term marketable debt securities. Marketable equity securities, which are comprised of 4,821,924 ordinary shares of ReNeuron, are excluded from the amounts above. See Note 2, Financial Instruments, in the Notes to the

Consolidated Financial Statements of Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information.

Total cash and highly liquid investments were approximately \$37,645,000 at December 31, 2007, compared with approximately \$51,796,000 at December 31, 2006, and \$34,541,000 at December 31, 2005.

*2007 versus 2006.* The decrease in our cash and highly liquid investments of approximately \$14,150,000, or 27%, from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2007 was primarily attributable to cash used in operating activities; partially offset by cash generated from financing activities.

*2006 versus 2005.* The increase in our cash and highly liquid investments of approximately \$17,255,000, or 50%, from December 31, 2005 to December 31, 2006 was primarily attributable to cash generated from financing activities; partially offset by cash used in operating activities.

***Net Cash Used in Operating Activities***

In our operating activities we used approximately \$20,857,000 in cash in 2007, \$16,104,000 in cash in 2006, and \$11,871,000 in cash in 2005. The increase in cash used in operating activities in 2007 as compared to 2006 and 2006 as compared to 2005 was primarily attributable to the expansion of our operations in cell processing and clinical development, including increases in headcount and headcount related expenses and external services.



**Table of Contents**

***Net Cash Used in Investing Activities***

The increase from 2006 to 2007 of approximately \$25,859,000 for net cash used in investing activities was almost entirely due to the redeployment of cash held in money market funds (classified as cash equivalents) to marketable debt securities (classified as marketable securities). In February 2007, we sold 5,275,000 ordinary shares of ReNeuron for net proceeds of approximately \$3,077,000. In addition, cash used in investing activities in 2007 includes a secured loan of \$1,000,000 made to PCT in December 2007. See Note 2, Financial Instruments, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information on our investing activities. The increase from 2005 to 2006 of approximately \$450,000 for net cash used in investing activities was primarily attributable to an increase in capital expenditures.

***Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities***

The decrease from 2006 to 2007 of approximately \$28,680,000 and the increase in 2006 from 2005 of approximately \$28,456,000 for net cash provided by financing activities was primarily attributable to the sale, on April 6, 2006, of 11,750,820 shares of our common stock to a limited number of institutional investors at a price of \$3.05 per share. We received total proceeds, net of offering expenses and placement agency fees, of approximately \$33,422,000.

Listed below are key financing transactions entered into by us in the last three years:

In April 2007, a warrant issued as part of a June 16, 2004 financing arrangement, was exercised to purchase an aggregate of 575,658 shares of our common stock at \$1.90 per share. We issued 575,658 shares of our common stock and received proceeds of approximately \$1,094,000.

On December 29, 2006, we filed a Prospectus Supplement announcing the entry of a sales agreement with Cantor Fitzgerald & Co. under which up to 10,000,000 shares may be sold from time to time under a shelf registration statement. In 2007, we sold a total of 1,807,000 shares of our common stock under this agreement at an average price per share of \$2.84 for gross proceeds of approximately \$5,133,000. Cantor is paid compensation equal to 5.0% of the gross proceeds pursuant to the terms of the agreement.

On April 6, 2006, we sold 11,750,820 shares of our common stock to a limited number of institutional investors at a price of \$3.05 per share, for gross proceeds of approximately \$35,840,000. The shares were offered as a registered direct offering under an effective shelf registration statement previously filed with and declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission. We received total proceeds, net of offering expenses and placement agency fees, of approximately \$33,422,000. No warrants were issued as part of this financing transaction.

In March 2006, a warrant issued as part of a June 16, 2004 financing arrangement was exercised to purchase an aggregate of 526,400 shares of our common stock at \$1.89 per share. We issued 526,400 shares of our common stock and received proceeds of approximately \$995,000.

In 2005, warrants for the purchase of up to an aggregate of 2,958,348 shares were exercised. For the exercise of these warrants, we issued 2,842,625 shares of our common stock and received proceeds of approximately \$5,939,000.

We have incurred significant operating losses and negative cash flows since inception. We have not achieved profitability and may not be able to realize sufficient revenue to achieve or sustain profitability in the future. We do not expect to be profitable in the next several years, but rather expect to incur additional operating losses. We have limited liquidity and capital resources and must obtain significant additional capital resources in order to sustain our

product development efforts, for acquisition of technologies and intellectual property rights, for preclinical and clinical testing of our anticipated products, pursuit of regulatory approvals, acquisition of capital equipment, laboratory and office facilities, establishment of production capabilities, for general and administrative expenses and other working capital requirements. We rely on cash balances and proceeds from equity and debt offerings, proceeds from the transfer or sale of our intellectual property rights, equipment, facilities or investments, and government grants and funding from collaborative arrangements, if obtainable, to fund our operations.

## **Table of Contents**

We intend to pursue opportunities to obtain additional financing in the future through equity and debt financings, grants and collaborative research arrangements. We have a shelf registration statement which, as of December 31, 2007, covered shares of our common stock up to a value of approximately \$59 million that could be available for financings. On December 29, 2006, we filed a Prospectus Supplement announcing the entry of a sales agreement with Cantor Fitzgerald & Co. under which up to 10,000,000 shares may be sold from time to time under the shelf registration statement. The source, timing and availability of any future financing will depend principally upon market conditions, interest rates and, more specifically, on our progress in our exploratory, preclinical and future clinical development programs. Funding may not be available when needed at all, or on terms acceptable to us. Lack of necessary funds may require us, among other things, to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research and product development programs, planned clinical trials, and/or our capital expenditures or to license our potential products or technologies to third parties.

### ***Commitments***

See Note 8, *Commitments and Contingencies* in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information.

### ***Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements***

We have certain contractual arrangements that create potential risk for us and are not recognized in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Discussed below are those off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a material current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenue or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

### ***Operating Leases***

We lease various real properties under operating leases that generally require us to pay taxes, insurance, maintenance, and minimum lease payments. Some of our leases have options to renew.

We entered into and amended a lease agreement for an approximately 68,000 square foot facility located at the Stanford Research Park in Palo Alto, California. At December 31, 2007, we had a space-sharing agreement covering approximately 10,451 square feet of this facility. We receive base payments plus a proportionate share of the operating expenses based on square footage over the term of the agreement. For the year 2008, we expect to receive, in aggregate, approximately \$376,000 as part of the space-sharing agreement. As a result of the above transactions, our estimated net cash outlay for rent will be approximately \$1,921,000 for 2008.

We continue to have outstanding obligations in regard to our former facilities in Lincoln, Rhode Island. In 1997, we had entered into a fifteen-year lease for a scientific and administrative facility (the SAF) in a sale and leaseback arrangement. The lease includes escalating rent payments. For the year 2008, we expect to pay approximately \$1,172,000 in operating lease payments and estimated operating expenses of approximately \$550,000, before receipt of sub-tenant income. For the year 2008, we expect to receive, in aggregate, approximately \$282,000 in sub-tenant rent. As a result of the above transactions, our estimated cash outlay net of sub-tenant rent for the SAF will be approximately \$1,440,000 for 2008.

With the exception of leases discussed above, we have not entered into any off balance sheet financial arrangements and have not established any special purpose entities. We have not guaranteed any debts or commitments of other entities or entered into any options on non-financial assets.

See Note 8, Commitments and Contingencies, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information.

**Table of Contents****Contractual Obligations**

In the table below, we set forth our legally binding and enforceable contractual cash obligations:

	<b>Total Obligations at 12/31/07</b>	<b>Payable in 2008</b>	<b>Payable in 2009</b>	<b>Payable in 2010</b>	<b>Payable in 2011</b>	<b>Payable in 2012</b>	<b>Payable in 2013 and Beyond</b>
Operating lease payments(1)	\$ 11,849,336	\$ 3,469,017	\$ 3,536,843	\$ 1,767,304	\$ 1,171,875	\$ 1,171,875	\$ 732,422
Capital lease (equipment)	46,347	19,862	19,862	6,623			
Bonds Payable (principal & interest)(2)	1,589,093	244,531	244,572	242,559	242,321	240,666	374,444
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 13,484,776	\$ 3,733,410	\$ 3,801,277	\$ 2,016,486	\$ 1,414,196	\$ 1,412,541	\$ 1,106,866

(1) Operating lease payments exclude space-sharing and sub-lease income. See [Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements](#) [Operating Leases](#) above for further information.

(2) See Note 8, [Commitments and Contingencies](#) in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information.

We do not have any material unconditional purchase obligations or commercial commitments related to capital expenditures, clinical development, clinical manufacturing, or other external services contracts at December 31, 2007.

The above table excludes our obligation to lend up to an additional \$2.8 million to PCT. This obligation is subject to the satisfaction of certain preconditions, which we do not expect to occur. See [Overview](#) above for further information.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework and gives guidance regarding the methods used for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently assessing the impact that SFAS 157 may have on our results of operations and financial position.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 is expected to expand the use of fair value accounting but does not affect existing standards which require certain assets or liabilities to be carried at fair value. The objective of SFAS 159 is to improve financial reporting by providing companies with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. Under SFAS 159, a company may choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible items at fair value and report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS 159 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently assessing the impact that SFAS 159 may have on our results of operations and financial position.

In December 2007, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin 110, *Share-Based Payment (SAB 110)*, which amends SAB 107, *Share-Based Payment*, to permit public companies, under certain circumstances, to use the simplified method in SAB 107 for employee option grants after December 31, 2007. Use of the simplified method after December 2007 is permitted only for companies whose historical data about their employees' exercise behavior does not provide a reasonable basis for estimating the expected term of the options. We currently use the simplified method to estimate the expected term for employee option grants as adequate historical experience is not available to provide a reasonable estimate. SAB 110 is effective for employee options granted after December 31, 2007. We intend to adopt SAB 110 effective January 1, 2008 and we are currently assessing our historical experience to evaluate if it can provide a reasonable estimate of the expected term for employee option grants.

**Table of Contents****Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK****Interest Rate and Credit Risk**

Our interest-bearing assets, or interest-bearing portfolio, consists of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, and marketable debt securities. The balance of our interest-bearing portfolio, was approximately \$38,414,000, or 79%, of total assets at December 31, 2007 and \$52,567,000, or 79%, of total assets at December 31, 2006. Interest income earned on these assets was approximately \$2,460,000 in 2007 and \$2,478,000 in 2006. Our interest income is sensitive to changes in the general level of interest rates, primarily U.S. interest rates. Our debt securities are primarily comprised of commercial paper, corporate debt and asset-backed securities. Generally, corporate obligations must have senior credit ratings of A2/A or the equivalent. See Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Financial Instruments and Note 2 Financial Instruments section in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information.

Our long-term debt is comprised of industrial revenue bonds issued by the State of Rhode Island to finance the construction of our pilot manufacturing facility in Rhode Island. See Note 8, Commitments and Contingencies, section in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information.

**Equity Security and Foreign Exchange Risks**

In July 2005, we entered into a license and settlement agreement with ReNeuron Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of ReNeuron Group plc, a publicly listed UK corporation (collectively referred to as ReNeuron). As part of the agreement, we granted ReNeuron a license that allows ReNeuron to exploit their c-mycER conditionally immortalized adult human neural stem cell technology for therapy and other purposes. In return for the license, we received a 7.5% fully-diluted equity interest in ReNeuron, subject to certain anti-dilution provisions, and a cross-license to the exclusive use of ReNeuron's technology for certain diseases and conditions, including lysosomal storage diseases, spinal cord injury, cerebral palsy and multiple sclerosis. The agreement also provides for full settlement of any potential claims that either we or ReNeuron might have had against the other in connection with any putative infringement of certain of each party's patent rights prior to the effective date of the agreement. In February 2007, we sold 5,275,000 ordinary shares of ReNeuron for net proceeds of approximately \$3,075,000. We recorded approximately \$716,000 as realized gain for this transaction. In February 2007, ReNeuron issued additional shares of common stock as a consequence of certain anti-dilution provisions in the agreement. We were entitled to approximately 822,000 shares net of approximately 12,000 shares were transferred to NeuroSpheres. We recorded approximately \$551,000 as other income for the fair value of the additional shares received.

Changes in market value as a result of changes in market price per share or the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the British pound are accounted for under other comprehensive income (loss) if deemed temporary and are not recorded as other income or loss until the shares are disposed of and a gain or loss realized. The unrealized loss as of December 31, 2007 was approximately \$249,000.

Company/Stock Symbol	Exchange	Associated Risks	No. of Shares at December 31, 2007	Share price at December 31, 2007 in GBP(£)	Exchange Rate at December 31, 2007	Market Value in USD at December 31, 2007	Expected Future Cash Flows

**1 GBP =  
USD**

ReNeuron Group plc/RENE	AIM (AIM is the London Stock Exchange s Alternative Investment Market)	Lower share price Foreign currency translation Liquidity Bankruptcy	4,821,924	0.205	1.9843	\$ 1,961,469	(1)
----------------------------	---	---	-----------	-------	--------	--------------	-----

(1) It is our intention to liquidate this investment when we can do so at prices acceptable to us. Although we are not legally restricted from selling the stock, the share price is subject to change and the volume traded has often been very small since the stock was listed on the AIM on August 12, 2005. The performance of ReNeuron Group plc stock since its listing does not predict its future value.



**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM  
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

Board of Directors and Stockholders  
StemCells, Inc.

We have audited StemCells, Inc.'s (a Delaware corporation) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). StemCells, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on StemCells, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. In our opinion, StemCells, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of StemCells, Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 and our report dated March 7, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

San Francisco, California

March 7, 2008

**Table of Contents**

**Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

**STEMCELLS, INC.  
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	46
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	47
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	48
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	49
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	50
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	51

**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

Board of Directors and Stockholders  
StemCells, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of StemCells, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and subsidiary as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of StemCells, Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2006 the Company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, applying the modified-prospective method.

We also have audited, in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), StemCells, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 7, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

San Francisco, California  
March 7, 2008

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,759,169	\$ 51,795,529
Marketable securities, current	26,696,413	4,132,646
Other receivables	264,631	482,850
Note receivable	1,000,000	
Prepaid assets	1,032,482	1,119,467
Total current assets	38,752,695	57,530,492
Marketable securities, non current	3,150,971	3,133,632
Property, plant and equipment, net	3,905,404	3,596,150
Other assets, non-current	1,710,829	1,720,361
Intangible assets, net	762,667	876,182
Total assets	\$ 48,282,566	\$ 66,856,817
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,813,595	\$ 620,765
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	2,462,252	2,053,902
Accrued wind-down expenses, current	1,374,632	1,252,483
Deferred revenue, current	43,909	16,826
Capital lease obligation, current	17,530	
Bonds payable, current	136,250	205,833
Total current liabilities	5,848,168	4,149,809
Capital lease obligation, non-current	25,269	
Bonds payable, non-current	1,009,166	1,145,416
Deposits and other long-term liabilities	527,804	547,392
Accrued wind-down expenses, non-current	4,768,859	5,497,774
Deferred rent	727,535	959,732
Deferred revenue, non-current	163,865	180,691
Total liabilities	13,070,666	12,480,814
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 125,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding 80,681,087 at December 31, 2007 and 78,046,304 at	806,810	780,462

Edgar Filing: STEMCELLS INC - Form 10-K

December 31, 2006		
Additional paid-in capital	264,603,711	255,299,508
Accumulated deficit	(229,914,747)	(204,891,945)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(283,874)	3,187,978
Total stockholders' equity	35,211,900	54,376,003
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 48,282,566	\$ 66,856,817

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Operations**

	<b>Year Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Revenue:			
Revenue from licensing agreements and grants	\$ 56,722	\$ 92,850	\$ 205,914
Operating Expenses:			
Research and development	19,937,426	13,600,433	8,226,734
General and administrative	7,927,443	7,154,042	5,539,845
Wind-down expenses	783,022	709,209	2,827,403
Total operating expenses	28,647,891	21,463,684	16,593,982
Operating losses	(28,591,169)	(21,370,834)	(16,388,068)
Other Income (expense):			
License and settlement agreement, net	550,467	103,359	3,735,556
Realized gain on sale of marketable securities	715,584		
Interest income	2,459,820	2,479,740	1,122,963
Interest expense	(123,606)	(143,001)	(171,909)
Other income (expense)	(33,898)	(17,644)	(36,892)
Total other income, net	3,568,367	2,422,454	4,649,718
Net loss	\$ (25,022,802)	\$ (18,948,380)	\$ (11,738,350)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.31)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.18)
Shares used to compute basic and diluted loss per share	79,772,351	74,611,196	63,643,176

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Stockholder s Equity**

	Common Stock		Additional	Accumulated	Accumulated	Deferred	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-in		Other		
			Capital	Deficit	Comprehensive		Equity
					Income		
					(Loss)		
<b>ances,</b>							
<b>ember 31, 2004</b>	62,129,407	\$ 621,294	\$ 211,419,300	\$ (174,205,215)	\$	\$ (885,832)	\$ 36,949,5
prehensive loss							
loss				(11,738,350)			(11,738,3
ange in unrealized							
on securities							
lable-for-sale					(254,147)		(254,1
prehensive loss							(11,992,4
enses related to							
ty financing			(193,946)				(193,9
mon stock issued							
external services	2,022	20	8,310				8,3
mon stock issued							
uant to employee							
efit plan	28,459	285	110,772				111,0
mpensation expense							
n grant of options							
stock (fair value)			461,675				461,6
rcise of employee							
consultant stock							
ons	393,509	3,935	733,753				737,6
rcise of warrants	2,842,625	28,426	5,910,680				5,939,1
ferred compensation			(531,208)			531,208	
ortization of							
ferred compensation						354,624	354,6
<b>ances,</b>							
<b>ember 31, 2005</b>	65,396,022	653,960	217,919,336	(185,943,565)	(254,147)		32,375,5
prehensive loss							
loss				(18,948,380)			(18,948,3
ange in unrealized							
on securities							
lable-for-sale					3,442,125		3,442,1
prehensive loss							(15,506,2



Balance of common stock related to equity financing net of purchase cost of 118,467	11,750,820	117,508	33,304,026			33,421,5
Common stock issued in licensing agreements	3,848	38	9,962			10,0
Common stock issued pursuant to employee benefit plan	50,120	501	121,955			122,4
Compensation expense on grant of options stock (fair value)			2,409,509			2,409,5
Exercise of employee consultant stock options	319,094	3,191	545,088			548,2
Exercise of warrants	526,400	5,264	989,632			994,8
<b>Balances, September 30, 2006</b>	78,046,304	780,462	255,299,508	(204,891,945)	3,187,978	54,376,0
Comprehensive loss				(25,022,802)		(25,022,8
Change in unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale					(3,471,852)	(3,471,8
Comprehensive loss						(28,494,6
Balance of common stock related to equity financing net of purchase cost of 7,465	1,807,000	18,070	4,816,983			4,835,0
Common stock issued in licensing agreements	3,865	39	9,961			10,0
Common stock issued pursuant to employee benefit plan	73,074	731	172,429			173,1
Compensation expense on grant of options stock (fair value)			3,008,315			3,008,3
Exercise of employee stock options	175,186	1,752	208,521			210,2
Exercise of warrants	575,658	5,756	1,087,994			1,093,7
<b>Balances, September 30, 2007</b>	80,681,087	\$ 806,810	\$ 264,603,711	\$ (229,914,747)	\$ (283,874)	\$ 35,211,9

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

	<b>Year Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>			
Net loss	\$ (25,022,802)	\$ (18,948,380)	\$ (11,738,350)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	1,174,510	1,044,688	1,082,793
Amortization of deferred compensation			354,624
Issue of shares and options in exchange for services	3,181,475	2,531,966	581,062
(Gain) loss on disposal of fixed assets	(1,500)	1,573	1,377
Gain on sale of marketable securities	(715,584)		
Non-cash income from license and settlement agreement, net	(550,467)	(103,359)	(3,974,941)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accrued interest and other receivables	218,219	(280,931)	(20,956)
Prepaid assets	86,985	(732,501)	(177,892)
Intangible and other assets, net	19,532	56,270	(47,053)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	1,601,180	554,245	48,135
Accrued wind-down expenses	(606,766)	(555,469)	1,777,697
Deferred revenue	10,257	197,517	
Deferred rent	(232,198)	105,735	330,196
Deposits and other long-term liabilities	(19,587)	24,526	(87,260)
Net cash used in operating activities	(20,856,746)	(16,104,120)	(11,870,568)
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>			
Purchase of marketable securities	(27,861,561)		
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities	3,074,654		
Advance made under note receivable	(1,000,000)		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment, net	(1,319,374)	(1,258,749)	(817,505)
Purchase of other assets	(49,375)	(38,375)	(30,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	(27,155,656)	(1,297,124)	(847,505)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>			
Proceeds (expense) from issuance of common stock, net	4,835,053	33,421,534	(193,946)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	210,273	548,279	737,688
Proceeds from the exercise of warrants	1,093,750	994,896	5,939,106
Proceeds (repayments) of capital lease obligations	42,799	(54,676)	(39,232)
Repayments of debt obligations	(205,833)	(254,168)	(244,167)
Net cash provided by financing activities	5,976,042	34,655,865	6,199,449

Edgar Filing: STEMCELLS INC - Form 10-K

Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(42,036,360)	17,254,621	(6,518,624)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	51,795,529	34,540,908	41,059,532
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year	\$ 9,759,169	\$ 51,795,529	\$ 34,540,908
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Interest paid	\$ 123,606	\$ 143,001	\$ 171,909
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Stock issued for licensing agreements <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	

(1) Under terms of a license agreement with the California Institute of Technology (Cal Tech), annual fees of \$5,000 were due on each of two patents to which StemCells holds a license from Cal Tech, payable in cash or stock at our choice. We elected to pay the fees in stock and issued shares of 3,865 in 2007 and 3,848 in 2006 to Cal Tech.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents**

**StemCells, Inc.**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2007**

**Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

***Nature of Business***

StemCells, Inc., a Delaware corporation, is a biopharmaceutical company that operates in one segment, the development of novel cell-based therapeutics designed to treat human diseases and disorders.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the basis that we will continue as a going concern. Since inception, we have incurred annual losses and negative cash flows from operations and an accumulated deficit of approximately \$230 million at December 31, 2007. We have not derived revenue from the sale of products, and do not expect to receive revenue from product sales for at least several years. We may never be able to realize sufficient revenue to achieve or sustain profitability in the future.

We expect to incur additional operating losses over the foreseeable future. We have limited liquidity and capital resources and must obtain significant additional capital and other resources in order to sustain our product development efforts, to provide funding for the acquisition of technologies and intellectual property rights, preclinical and clinical testing of our anticipated products, pursuit of regulatory approvals, acquisition of capital equipment, laboratory and office facilities, establishment of production capabilities, general and administrative expenses and other working capital requirements. We rely on our cash reserves, proceeds from equity and debt offerings, proceeds from the transfer or sale of intellectual property rights, equipment, facilities or investments, government grants and funding from collaborative arrangements, to fund our operations. If we exhaust our cash reserves and are unable to obtain adequate financing, we may be unable to meet our operating obligations and we may be required to initiate bankruptcy proceedings. The financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

***Principles of Consolidation***

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of StemCells, Inc., and our wholly owned subsidiary, StemCells California, Inc. Material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

***Use of Estimates***

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make judgments, assumptions and estimates that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Significant estimates include the following:

Accrued wind-down expenses (See Note 7).

The grant date fair value of share-based awards recognized as compensation expense in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (Revised 2004) Share Based Payment (SFAS 123R). (See Note 6).

Valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets (See Note 12).

***Financial Instruments***

*Cash Equivalents and Marketable Securities*

All money market and highly liquid investments with a maturity of 90 days or less at the date of purchase are classified as cash equivalents. Highly liquid investments with maturities of 365 days or less not previously classified as cash equivalents are classified as marketable securities, current. Investments with maturities greater than 365 days

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

are classified as marketable securities, non-current. Our marketable debt and equity securities have been classified and accounted for as available-for-sale. Management determines the appropriate classification of its investments in marketable debt and equity securities at the time of purchase and reevaluates the available-for-sale designations as of each balance sheet date. These securities are carried at fair value (see Note 2, Financial Instruments, below), with the unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of stockholders' equity. The cost of securities sold is based upon the specific identification method.

If the estimated fair value of a security is below its carrying value, we evaluate whether we have the intent and ability to retain our investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery to the cost of the investment, and whether evidence indicating that the cost of the investment is recoverable within a reasonable period of time outweighs evidence to the contrary. Other-than-temporary declines in estimated fair value of all marketable securities are charged to other income (expense), net. No such impairment was recognized during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

*Other Receivables*

Our non-trade receivables generally consist of interest income on our financial instruments, revenue from licensing agreements and rent from our sub-lease tenants.

*Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

The estimated fair value of cash and cash equivalents, other receivables, accounts payable and the current portion of the bonds payable approximates their carrying values due to the short maturities of these instruments. The estimated fair value of our marketable debt securities approximates its carrying value based on current rates available to us for similar debt securities.

*Property, Plant and Equipment*

Property, plant, and equipment, including those held under capital lease, are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed by use of the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, or the lease term if shorter, as follows:

Building and improvements	3 - 20 years
Machinery and equipment	3 - 10 years
Furniture and fixtures	3 - 10 years

Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

*Intangible Assets (Patent and License Costs)*

Prior to fiscal year 2001, we capitalized certain patent costs, which are being amortized over the estimated life of the patent and would be expensed at the time such patents are deemed to have no continuing value. Since 2001, all patent costs are expensed as incurred. License costs are capitalized as incurred and amortized over the estimated life of the license agreement.

***Impairment of Long-Lived Assets***

We review property, plant, and equipment and certain identifiable intangibles for impairment in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of*. Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of these assets is measured by comparing the carrying amount to future undiscounted cash flows the assets are expected to generate. If property, plant, and equipment and patents are



**Table of Contents**

**StemCells, Inc.**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized equals the amount by which the carrying value of the assets exceeds its estimated fair market value. No such impairment was recognized during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

***Revenue Recognition***

We currently recognize revenue resulting from the licensing and use of our technology and intellectual property. Such licensing agreements may contain multiple elements, such as upfront fees, payments related to the achievement of particular milestones and royalties. Revenue from upfront fees for licensing agreements that contain multiple elements are generally deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the agreement. Fees associated with substantive at risk performance-based milestones are recognized as revenue upon completion of the scientific or regulatory event specified in the agreement, and royalties received are recognized as earned. Revenue from collaborative agreements and grants are recognized as earned upon either the incurring of reimbursable expenses directly related to the particular research plan or the completion of certain development milestones as defined within the terms of the relevant collaborative agreement or grant.

***Research and Development Costs***

Our research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries and related personnel expenses, costs associated with clinical trials and regulatory submissions; costs associated with preclinical activities such as toxicology studies; certain patent-related costs such as licensing; facilities-related costs such as depreciation; lab equipment and supplies. Clinical trial expenses include payments to vendors such as clinical research organizations, contract manufacturers, clinical trial sites, laboratories for testing clinical samples and consultants. All research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

***Stock-Based Compensation***

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004) (SFAS 123R), *Share-Based Payment*, which revises SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25 (APB 25), *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. SFAS 123R requires us to expense the fair value of our stock-based compensation awards to employees. We elected to use the modified prospective transition method as permitted by SFAS 123R and therefore have not restated our financial results for prior periods. Under this transition method, we apply SFAS 123R to new awards, as well as to awards that vest, are modified, repurchased, or cancelled after January 1, 2006. The compensation cost we record for these awards are based on their grant-date fair value as calculated and amortized over their vesting period. See Note 6, *Stock-Based Compensation* for further information.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, we accounted for stock-based compensation awards using the intrinsic value method of APB 25. Accordingly, we did not recognize compensation expense in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for options we granted that had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. As required by SFAS 123, we also provided certain pro forma disclosures for stock-based awards as if the fair-value-based approach of SFAS 123 had been applied.

We account for stock options granted to non-employees in accordance with SFAS 123 and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 96-18 *Accounting For Equity Instruments That Are Issued To Other Than Employees For Acquiring, Or*

*In Conjunction With Selling, Goods Or Services*, and accordingly, expense the estimated fair value of such options as calculated using the Black-Scholes model over the service period. The estimated fair value is re-measured at each reporting date and is amortized over the remaining service period.

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)*****Income Taxes***

We account for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (SFAS 109) and FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, as amended by FASB Staff Position No. 48-1 (FIN 48). This approach requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. Income tax receivables and liabilities and deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on the amounts that more likely than not will be sustained upon ultimate settlement with taxing authorities.

Developing our provision for income taxes and analyzing our uncertain tax positions requires significant judgment and knowledge of federal and state income tax laws, regulations and strategies, including the determination of deferred tax assets and liabilities and, any valuation allowances that may be required for deferred tax assets.

We assess the realization of our deferred tax assets to determine whether an income tax valuation allowance is required. Based on such evidence that can be objectively verified, we determine whether it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The main factors that we consider include:

Cumulative losses in recent years;

Income/losses expected in future years;

The applicable statute of limitations.

Tax benefits associated with uncertain tax positions are recognized in the period in which one of the following conditions is satisfied: (1) the more likely than not recognition threshold is satisfied; (2) the position is ultimately settled through negotiation or litigation; or (3) the statute of limitations for the taxing authority to examine and challenge the position has expired. Tax benefits associated with an uncertain tax position are derecognized in the period in which the more likely than not recognition threshold is no longer satisfied.

We concluded that the realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon future earnings, if any, the timing and amount of which are uncertain. Accordingly, the net deferred tax assets have been fully offset by a valuation allowance.

***Net Loss per Share***

Basic net loss per share is computed based on the weighted-average number of shares of our common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per share is computed based on the weighted-average number of shares of our common stock and other dilutive securities.

The following are the basic and dilutive net loss per share computations for the last three fiscal years:

2007	2006	2005
------	------	------

Edgar Filing: STEMCELLS INC - Form 10-K

Net loss	\$ (25,022,802)	\$ (18,948,380)	\$ (11,738,350)
Weighted average shares outstanding used to compute basic and diluted net loss per share	79,772,351	74,611,196	63,643,176
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.31)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.18)

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Outstanding options and warrants to purchase shares of our common stock were excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share because the effect would have been anti-dilutive for all periods presented below:

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Outstanding options	9,028,810	8,501,503	6,608,109
Outstanding warrants	1,355,000	1,930,658	2,521,400
Total	10,383,810	10,432,161	9,129,509

***Comprehensive Income (Loss)***

Comprehensive income (loss) is comprised of net losses and other comprehensive income (or OCI). OCI includes certain changes in stockholders' equity that are excluded from net losses. Specifically, we include in OCI changes in unrealized gains and losses on our marketable securities. Comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 has been reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity.

The activity in OCI is as follows:

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
(Decrease) increase in unrealized gains/(losses) on marketable securities	\$ (2,756,268)	\$ 3,442,125	\$ (254,147)
Reclassification adjustment for gains on marketable securities included in net income	(715,584)		
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (3,471,852)	\$ 3,442,125	\$ (254,147)

***Recent Accounting Pronouncements***

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework and gives guidance regarding the methods used for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently assessing the impact that SFAS 157 may have on our results of operations and financial position.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 is expected to expand the use of fair value accounting but does not affect existing standards which require certain assets or liabilities to be carried at fair value. The objective of SFAS 159 is to improve financial reporting by providing companies with the opportunity

to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. Under SFAS 159, a company may choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible items at fair value and report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS 159 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently assessing the impact that SFAS 159 may have on our results of operations and financial position.

In December 2007, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin 110, *Share-Based Payment (SAB 110)*, which amends SAB 107, *Share-Based Payment*, to permit public companies, under certain circumstances, to use the simplified method in SAB 107 for employee option grants after December 31, 2007. Use of the simplified method after December 2007 is permitted only for companies whose historical data about their employees' exercise behavior does not provide a reasonable basis for estimating the expected term of the options. We currently use the simplified method to estimate the expected term for employee option grants as adequate historical experience is not

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

available to provide a reasonable estimate. SAB 110 is effective for employee options granted after December 31, 2007. We intend to adopt SAB 110 effective January 1, 2008 and we are currently assessing our historical experience to evaluate if it can provide a reasonable estimate of the expected term for employee option grants.

**Note 2. Financial Instruments*****Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities***

The following table summarizes the fair value of our cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities held in our investment portfolio:

	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
<b>December 31, 2007</b>				
Cash	\$ 549,544	\$	\$	\$ 549,544
Money market accounts	5,079,564			5,079,564
Marketable debt securities (maturity within 90 days)	4,130,404		(343)	4,130,061
Total cash equivalents	9,209,968		(343)	9,209,625
Marketable debt securities (maturity within 1 year)	26,680,824	19,137	(3,548)	26,696,413
Total marketable securities, current	26,680,824	19,137	(3,548)	26,696,413
Marketable debt securities	1,180,394	9,109		1,189,503
Marketable equity securities	2,269,697		(308,229)	1,961,468
Total marketable securities, non-current	3,450,091	9,109	(308,229)	3,150,971
Total cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities	\$ 39,890,427	\$ 28,246	\$ (312,120)	\$ 39,606,553
<b>December 31, 2006</b>				
Cash	\$ 162,685	\$	\$	\$ 162,685
Money market accounts	51,632,844			51,632,844
Total cash and cash equivalents	51,795,529			51,795,529
	2,319,505	1,813,141		4,132,646

Marketable equity securities, current				
Marketable equity securities, non-current	1,758,795		1,374,837	3,133,632
Total cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities	\$ 55,873,829	\$	3,187,978	\$ 59,061,807

Our investment in marketable debt securities consist primarily of commercial paper, corporate debt securities, and asset-backed securities. Our marketable debt securities classified as a non-current investment consists of a single security which has an effective maturity date in February 2009.

Our investment in marketable equity securities consists of shares in ReNeuron Group plc, a publicly listed UK corporation. In July 2005, we entered into a license and settlement agreement with ReNeuron Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of ReNeuron Group plc, (collectively referred to as ReNeuron ). As part of the agreement, we granted ReNeuron a license that allows ReNeuron to exploit their c-mycER conditionally immortalized adult



**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

human neural stem cell technology for therapy and other purposes. In return for the license, we received a 7.5% fully-diluted equity interest in ReNeuron, subject to certain anti-dilution provisions, and a cross-license to the exclusive use of ReNeuron's technology for certain diseases and conditions, including lysosomal storage diseases, spinal cord injury, cerebral palsy and multiple sclerosis. The agreement also provides for full settlement of any potential claims that either we or ReNeuron might have had against the other in connection with any putative infringement of certain of each party's patent rights prior to the effective date of the agreement. In February 2007, we sold 5,275,000 ordinary shares of ReNeuron for net proceeds of approximately \$3,075,000. We recognized approximately \$716,000 as realized gain from this transaction. In February 2007, as a consequence of certain anti-dilution provisions in the agreement, ReNeuron issued us an additional 822,000 shares of common stock net of approximately 12,000 shares which were transferred to NeuroSpheres Ltd., (NeuroSpheres) an Alberta corporation from which we have licensed some of the patent rights that are subject to the agreement with ReNeuron. We recorded approximately \$550,000 as other income for the additional shares. We owned 4,821,924 ordinary shares of ReNeuron at December 31, 2007 and 9,274,837 at December 31, 2006.

Changes in market value as a result of changes in market price per share or the exchange rate between the US dollar and the British pound are accounted for under other comprehensive income (loss) if deemed temporary and are not recorded as other income or loss until the shares are disposed of and a gain or loss realized.

In accordance with FASB Staff Position FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*, the following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value for those investments that were in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2007, aggregated by investment category and the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous loss position:

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months of Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
<b>December 31, 2007</b>						
Marketable debt securities	\$ 9,418,713	\$ (3,891)	\$ ( )	\$ ( )	\$ 9,418,713	\$ (3,891)
Marketable equity securities	1,961,468	(308,229)			1,961,468	(308,229)
Total	\$ 11,380,181	\$ (312,120)	\$ ( )	\$ ( )	\$ 11,380,181	\$ (312,120)

Unrealized losses in our marketable debt securities portfolio are due to eight U.S. corporate debt securities primarily consisting of commercial paper. For these securities, the unrealized losses are primarily due to a change in interest rates. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a forecasted recovery of carrying value, which may be maturity or call date, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of December 31, 2007. See Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Cash Equivalents and Marketable Securities, for further discussion of the criteria used to determine impairment of our marketable securities.

***Note Receivable***

In December 2007, we committed to make a secured loan of up to \$3.8 million to Progenitor Cell Therapy, LLC (PCT) in return for a period of exclusivity to allow for due diligence and negotiation of a possible acquisition transaction. Of this amount, \$1.0 million was lent and outstanding at December 31, 2007 with the maturity date within twelve months from the effective date of the loan. In March 2008, we terminated discussions to acquire PCT. We anticipate the \$1.0 million loan will be repaid in accordance with its terms.

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Note 3. Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment balances at December 31 are summarized below:

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Building and improvements	\$ 3,397,639	\$ 3,369,775
Machinery and equipment	6,002,945	4,712,950
Furniture and fixtures	369,068	366,053
	9,769,652	8,448,778
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(5,864,248)	(4,852,628)
Net property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 3,905,404	\$ 3,596,150

Depreciation expense was approximately \$1,012,000 in 2007, \$944,000 in 2006, and \$958,000 in 2005.

**Note 4. Intangible and Other Assets**

The components of our intangible assets at December 31 are summarized below:

<b>Intangible Asset Class</b>	<b>Gross Carrying amount</b>	<b>Accumulated Amortization</b>	<b>Net Carrying Amount</b>
<b>2007</b>			
Patents	\$ 979,612	\$ (459,452)	\$ 520,160
License agreements	1,761,623	(1,519,116)	242,507
Total intangible assets	\$ 2,741,235	\$ (1,978,568)	\$ 762,667
<b>2006</b>			
Patents	\$ 979,612	\$ (403,650)	\$ 575,962
License agreements	1,712,248	(1,412,028)	300,220
Total intangible assets	\$ 2,691,860	\$ (1,815,678)	\$ 876,182

Amortization expense was approximately \$163,000 in 2007, \$101,000 in 2006, and \$125,000 in 2005.

The expected future annual amortization expense based on current balances of our intangible assets is as follows:

**For the year ending December 31:**

2008	\$ 107,249
2009	\$ 107,249
2010	\$ 107,249
2011	\$ 69,468
2012	\$ 68,295

Other assets at December 31 are summarized below:

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Prepaid royalties	\$ 180,250	\$ 189,782
Security deposit (building lease)	752,500	752,500
Restricted cash (letter of credit)	778,079	778,079
Total other long-term assets	\$ 1,710,829	\$ 1,720,361

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Note 5. Accrued Expenses and Other**

Accrued expenses at December 31 are summarized below:

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
External services	\$ 360,340	\$ 323,162
Employee compensation	1,885,249	1,570,915
Other	216,663	159,825
Total other accrued liabilities	\$ 2,462,252	\$ 2,053,902

**Note 6. Stock-Based Compensation**

We currently grant options under three equity incentive plans and as of December 31, 2007, we had 12,000,000 shares authorized under these three plans. However, at our annual stockholders meeting held on June 12, 2007, our stockholders approved an amendment to our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan to provide for an annual increase in the number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the plan each January 1 (beginning January 1, 2008) equal to 4% of the outstanding common shares as of that date. The amendment further provided an aggregate limit of 30,000,000 shares issuable pursuant to incentive stock options under the plan. Under these three plans we may grant incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, and performance-based shares to our employees, directors and consultants, at prices determined by our Board of Directors. Incentive stock options may only be granted to employees under these plans with a grant price not less than the fair market value on the date of grant.

Generally, stock options granted to employees have a maximum term of ten years, and vest over a four year period from the date of grant; 25% vest at the end of one year, and 75% vest monthly over the remaining three-year service period. We may grant options with different vesting terms from time to time. Upon employee termination of service, any unexercised vested option will be forfeited three months following termination or the expiration of the option, whichever is earlier.

Our compensation expense for stock options issued from our equity incentive plans for the last two fiscal years was as follows:

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Research and development expense	\$ 1,347,239	\$ 1,048,697
General and administrative expense	1,558,056	1,236,334
Total employee stock-based compensation expense and effect on net loss	\$ 2,905,295	\$ 2,285,031

Effect on basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.03)
---	----	--------	----	--------

As of December 31, 2007, we have approximately \$6,956,000 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested awards granted under our various share-based plans that we expect to recognize over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years.

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The following table illustrates the effect of net loss and net loss per common share as if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to stock-based compensation for the year ended December 31, 2005:

	<b>2005</b>
Net loss:	
Net loss, as reported	\$ (11,738,350)
Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value-based method for all awards	(1,019,120)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (12,757,470)
Basic and diluted earnings per common share:	
As reported	\$ (0.18)
Pro forma	\$ (0.20)
Shares used in computing basic and diluted loss per share	63,643,176

The fair value of options granted is estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which requires certain assumptions as of the date of grant. The weighted-average assumptions used for the last three fiscal years are as follows:

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Expected life (years)(1)	6.25	6.25	5.00
Risk-free interest rate(2)	4.36%	4.72%	4.14%
Expected volatility(3)	95.2%	109.0%	100.7%
Expected dividend yield(4)	0%	0%	0%

- (1) The expected term in 2007 and 2006 is equal to the average of the contractual life of the stock option and its vesting period as of the date of grant. In 2005 we assumed a reasonable term based on information available at the time.
- (2) The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury debt securities with maturities close to the expected term of the option as of the date of grant.
- (3) Expected volatility is based on historical volatility over the most recent historical period equal to the length of the expected term of the option as of the date of grant.

- (4) We have neither declared nor paid dividends on any share of common stock and we do not expect to do so in the foreseeable future.

At the end of each reporting period we estimate forfeiture rates based on our historical experience within separate groups of employees and adjust the stock-based compensation expense accordingly.



Table of Contents**StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

A summary of our stock option activity and related information for the last three fiscal years is as follows:

	<b>Shares Available for Grant</b>	<b>Number of Shares</b>	<b>Weighted- Average Exercise Price</b>	<b>Weighted-Average remaining contractual term</b>	<b>Aggregate Intrinsic Value(1)</b>
<b>Balance at December 31, 2004</b>	6,339,406	6,682,201	\$ 2.67		
Granted	(1,075,481)	1,075,481	\$ 4.75		
Exercised		(423,989)	\$ 1.76		
Cancelled (forfeited and expired)	725,584	(725,584)	\$ 3.11		
<b>Balance at December 31, 2005</b>	5,989,509	6,608,109	\$ 3.02		
Granted	(2,818,684)	2,818,684	\$ 2.38		
Exercised		(369,214)	\$ 1.82		
Cancelled (forfeited and expired)	556,076	(556,076)	\$ 2.82		
<b>Balance at December 31, 2006</b>	3,726,901	8,501,503	\$ 2.88		
Granted	(2,484,100)	2,484,100	\$ 2.33		
Exercised		(175,186)	\$ 1.20		
Cancelled (forfeited and expired)	1,781,607	(1,781,607)	\$ 4.91		
<b>Balance at December 31, 2007</b>	3,024,408	9,028,810	\$ 2.36	7.26	\$ 826,558
Exercisable at December 31, 2007		4,600,618	\$ 2.24	5.68	\$ 826,558
Vested and expected to vest(2)		8,337,077	\$ 2.34	7.34	\$ 826,558

(1) Aggregate intrinsic value represents the value of the closing price per share of our common stock on the last trading day of the fiscal period in excess of the exercise price multiplied by the number of options outstanding

or exercisable.

(2) Shares include options vested and those expected to vest net of estimated forfeitures.

The estimated weighted average fair value per share of options granted was approximately \$1.85 in 2007, \$2.37 in 2006, and \$3.75 in 2005, based on the assumptions in the Black-Scholes model discussed above. Total intrinsic value of options exercised at time of exercise was approximately \$396,700 in 2007, \$453,000 in 2006, and \$519,000 in 2005.

The following is a summary of changes in unvested options:

<b>Unvested Options</b>	<b>Number of options</b>		<b>Weighted average grant date fair value</b>
Unvested options at December 31, 2006	3,598,784	\$	2.16
Granted	2,484,100		1.85
Vested	(1,485,573)		2.14
Cancelled	(169,119)		1.88
Unvested options at December 31, 2007	4,428,192	\$	2.00

The estimated fair value of options vested were approximately \$3,173,000 in 2007 and \$2,292,000 in 2006.

Table of Contents

## StemCells, Inc.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

The following table presents weighted average exercise price and term information about significant option groups outstanding at December 31, 2007:

<b>Options outstanding at December 31, 2007</b>					
<b>Range of</b>		<b>Weighted</b>		<b>Weighted</b>	
<b>Exercise Prices</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Term (Yrs.)</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Aggregate Intrinsic</b>
	<b>Outstanding</b>	<b>Remaining</b>		<b>Exercise</b>	<b>Value</b>
				<b>Price</b>	
Less than \$2.00	2,383,594		5.4	\$ 1.19	\$ 826,558
\$2.00 \$3.99	5,882,631		8.1	\$ 2.45	
\$4.00 \$5.99	762,585		7.0	\$ 5.23	
	9,028,810				\$ 826,558

<b>Options vested at December 31, 2007</b>					
<b>Range of</b>		<b>Weighted</b>		<b>Weighted</b>	
<b>Exercise Prices</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Term (Yrs.)</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Aggregate Intrinsic</b>
	<b>Outstanding</b>	<b>Remaining</b>		<b>Exercise</b>	<b>Value</b>
				<b>Price</b>	
Less than \$2.00	2,100,174		5.1	\$ 1.14	\$ 826,558
\$2.00 \$3.99	1,999,056		6.1	\$ 2.65	
\$4.00 \$5.99	501,388		6.7	\$ 5.17	
	4,600,618				\$ 826,558

<b>Options expected to vest after at December 31, 2007</b>					
<b>Range of</b>		<b>Weighted</b>		<b>Weighted</b>	
<b>Exercise Prices</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Term (Yrs.)</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Aggregate Intrinsic</b>
	<b>Outstanding</b>	<b>Remaining</b>		<b>Exercise</b>	<b>Value</b>
				<b>Price</b>	
Less than \$2.00	232,886		7.5	\$ 1.61	\$
\$2.00 \$3.99	3,278,971		9.1	\$ 2.35	
\$4.00 \$5.99	224,602		7.7	\$ 5.34	
	3,736,459				\$

*Stock Appreciation Rights*

In July 2006, we granted cash-settled Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs) to certain employees under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. The SARs give the holder the right, upon exercise, to the difference between the price per share of our common stock at the time of exercise and the exercise price of the SAR. The exercise price of the SAR is equal to the market price of our common stock at the date of grant. The SARs vest 25% on the first anniversary of the grant date and 75% vest monthly over the remaining three-year service period. Compensation expense is based on the fair value of SARs which is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The share-based compensation expenses and liability are re-measured at each reporting date through the date of settlement.

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The following is a summary of the changes in non-vested SARs for the last two fiscal years:

	<b>2007</b>		<b>2006</b>	
	<b>Number</b>	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>
Outstanding at January 1	1,564,599	\$ 2.00		
Granted			1,564,599	\$ 2.00
Exercised				
Forfeited and expired	(86,380)			
Outstanding SARs at December 31	1,478,219	\$ 2.00	1,564,599	\$ 2.00
SARs exercisable at December 31	47,370	\$ 2.00		

The total compensation expense related to SARs was approximately \$135,000 in 2007 and \$294,000 in 2006. At December 31, 2007, approximately \$752,000 of unrecognized compensation expense related to SARs is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 1.5 years. The resulting effect on net loss and net loss per share attributable to common stockholders is not likely to be representative of the effects in future periods, due to changes in the fair value calculation which is dependent on the stock price, volatility, interest and forfeiture rates, additional grants and subsequent periods of vesting.

**Note 7. Wind-down and exit costs**

In October 1999, we relocated to California from Rhode Island and established a wind down reserve for the estimated lease payments and operating costs of the Rhode Island facilities through an expected disposal date of June 30, 2000. We did not fully sublet the Rhode Island facilities in 2000. Even though we intend to dispose of the facility at the earliest possible time, we cannot determine with certainty a fixed date by which such disposal will occur. In light of this uncertainty, we periodically re-evaluate and adjust the reserve. We consider various factors such as our lease payments through to the end of the lease, operating expenses, the current real estate market in Rhode Island, and estimated subtenant income based on actual and projected occupancy.

The components of our wind-down reserve at December 31 are as follows:

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Accrued wind-down reserve at beginning of period	\$ 5,512,000	\$ 6,098,000
Less actual expenses recorded against estimated reserve during the period	(1,420,000)	(1,295,000)
Additional expense recorded to revise estimated reserve at period-end	783,000	709,000

Revised reserve at period-end	4,875,000	5,512,000
Add deferred rent at period end	1,268,000	1,238,000
Total accrued wind-down expenses at period-end (current and non current)	\$ 6,143,000	\$ 6,750,000
Accrued wind-down expenses, current	\$ 1,374,000	\$ 1,252,000
Non current	4,769,000	5,498,000
Total accrued wind-down expenses	\$ 6,143,000	\$ 6,750,000

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Note 8. Commitments and Contingencies***Leases**Capital leases*

We entered into direct financing transactions with the State of Rhode Island and received proceeds from the issuance of industrial revenue bonds totaling \$5,000,000 to finance the construction of Rhode Island's pilot manufacturing facility. The related lease agreements are structured such that lease payments fully fund all semiannual interest payments and annual principal payments through maturity in August 2014. Interest rates vary with the respective bonds' maturities, ranging from 8.2% to 9.5%. The bonds contain certain restrictive covenants which limit, among other things, the payment of cash dividends and the sale of the related assets.

*Operating leases*

We entered into a fifteen-year lease agreement for a laboratory facility in Rhode Island in connection with a sale and leaseback arrangement in 1997. The lease term expires June 30, 2013. The lease contains escalating rent payments, which we recognize on a straight-line basis. At December 31, 2007, deferred rent expense was approximately \$1,268,000 for this facility and is included as part of the wind-down accrual on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet.

We entered into and amended a lease agreement for an approximately 68,000 square foot facility located at the Stanford Research Park in Palo Alto, California. The facility includes space for animals, laboratories, offices, and a GMP (Good Manufacturing Practices) suite. GMP facilities can be used to manufacture materials for clinical trials. The lease term expires March 31, 2010. Under the term of the agreement we were required to provide a letter of credit for a total of approximately \$778,000, which serves as a security deposit for the duration of the lease term. The letter of credit issued by our financial institution is collateralized by a certificate of deposit for the same amount, which is reflected as restricted cash in other assets, non-current on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The lease contains escalating rent payments, which we recognize on a straight-line basis. At December 31, 2007, deferred rent was approximately \$728,000, and is reflected as deferred rent on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. At December 31, 2007, we had a space-sharing agreement covering approximately 10,451 square feet of this facility. We receive base payments plus a proportionate share of the operating expenses based on square footage over the term of the agreement.

The table below summarizes the components of rent expense at fiscal year end as follows:

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Rent expense	\$ 2,963,339	\$ 2,967,911	\$ 2,983,879
Sublease income	(492,306)	(616,600)	(962,757)
Rent expense, net	\$ 2,471,033	\$ 2,351,311	\$ 2,021,122





**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Future minimum lease payments under all leases at December 31, 2007 are as follows:

	<b>Bonds Payable</b>	<b>Capital Leases</b>	<b>Operating Leases</b>	<b>Sublease Income</b>
2008	\$ 244,531	\$ 19,862	\$ 3,469,017	\$ 388,989
2009	244,572	19,862	3,536,843	387,210
2010	242,559	6,623	1,767,304	97,508
2011	242,321		1,171,875	
2012	240,666		1,171,875	
Thereafter	374,444		732,422	
<b>Total minimum lease payments</b>	<b>1,589,093</b>	<b>46,347</b>	<b>\$ 11,849,336</b>	<b>\$ 873,707</b>
Less amounts representing interest	443,677	3,548		
Present value of bonds payable payments	1,145,416	42,799		
Less current maturities	136,250	17,530		
<b>Bonds payable, less current maturities</b>	<b>\$ 1,009,166</b>	<b>\$ 25,269</b>		

***Commitments******Consulting Arrangements***

In September 1997, we entered into consulting arrangements with the principal scientific founders of StemCells California, Dr. Irving Weissman, Dr. Fred H. Gage, and Dr. David Anderson and with Dr. Richard M. Rose, then President and CEO of StemCells California. To attract and retain Drs. Rose, Weissman, Gage, and Anderson, and to expedite the progress of our stem cell program, we awarded these individuals options to acquire a total of approximately 1.6 million shares of our common stock, vesting over a period of eight years and at an exercise price of \$5.25 per share, the quoted market price at the grant date. Based on the fair value of these options and their respective vesting schedules, we recorded an expense of approximately \$355,000 in 2006 and \$830,000 in 2005, no expense was recorded in 2007. The fair value was determined using the Black Scholes method. As of December 31, 2005, these options were fully vested and expensed.

***Other***

In December 2007, we committed to make a secured loan of up to \$3.8 million to Progenitor Cell Therapy, LLC (PCT) in return for a period of exclusivity to allow for due diligence and negotiation of a possible acquisition transaction. Of this amount, \$1.0 million was lent and outstanding at December 31, 2007 with the maturity date within twelve months from the effective date of the loan. In March 2008, we terminated discussions to acquire PCT. We anticipate the \$1.0 million loan will be repaid in accordance with its terms.

***Contingencies***

In July 2006, we filed suit against Neuralstem, Inc., in the Federal District Court for the District of Maryland, alleging that its Neuralstem's activities violate claims in four of the patents we exclusively licensed from NeuroSpheres. Neuralstem has filed a motion for dismissal or summary judgment in the alternative, citing Title 35, Section 271(e)(1) of the United States Code, which says that it is not an act of patent infringement to make, use or sell a patented invention solely for uses reasonably related to the development and submission of information to the FDA. Neuralstem argues that because it does not have any therapeutic products on the market yet, the activities complained of fall within the protection of Section 271(e)(1) that is, basically, that the suit is premature. This issue will be decided after discovery is complete. Subsequent to filing its motion to dismiss, in December 2006, Neuralstem petitioned the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) to reexamine two of the patents in our infringement action against Neuralstem, namely U.S. Patent No. 6,294,346 (claiming the use of human neural stem

**Table of Contents**

**StemCells, Inc.**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

cells for drug screening) and U.S. Patent No. 7,101,709 (claiming the use of human neural stem cells for screening biological agents). In April 2007, Neuralstem petitioned the PTO to reexamine the remaining two patents in the suit, namely U.S. Patent No. 5,851,832 (claiming methods for proliferating human neural stem cells) and U.S. Patent No. 6,497,872 (claiming methods for transplanting human neural stem cells). These requests were granted by the PTO and, in June 2007, the parties voluntarily agreed to stay the pending litigation while the PTO considers these reexamination requests. In October 2007, Neuralstem petitioned the PTO to reexamine a fifth patent, namely U.S. Patent No. 6,103,530, which claims a culture medium for proliferating mammalian neural stem cells. In September 2007, the PTO issued first office actions in each of the first four reexaminations. The Company has since filed its first responses to each of these, and expects all four patents to re-issue in 2008.

**Note 9. Common Stock**

We have neither declared nor paid dividends on any share of common stock and do not expect to do so in the foreseeable future.

***Sale of common stock***

Major transactions involving our common stock for the previous three years include the following:

In April 2007, a warrant issued as part of a June 16, 2004 financing arrangement, was exercised to purchase an aggregate of 575,658 shares of our common stock at \$1.90 per share. We issued 575,658 shares of our common stock and received proceeds of approximately \$1,094,000.

On December 29, 2006, we filed a Prospectus Supplement announcing the entry of a sales agreement with Cantor under which up to 10,000,000 shares may be sold from time to time under a shelf registration statement. In 2007, we sold a total of 1,807,000 shares of our common stock under this agreement at an average price per share of \$2.84 for gross proceeds of approximately \$5,133,000. Cantor is paid compensation equal to 5.0% of the gross proceeds pursuant to the terms of the agreement.

On April 6, 2006, we sold 11,750,820 shares of our common stock to a limited number of institutional investors at a price of \$3.05 per share, for gross proceeds of approximately \$35,840,000. The shares were offered as a registered direct offering under an effective shelf registration statement previously filed with and declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission. We received total proceeds, net of offering expenses and placement agency fees, of approximately \$33,422,000. No warrants were issued as part of this financing transaction.

In March 2006, a warrant issued as part of a June 16, 2004 financing arrangement was exercised to purchase an aggregate of 526,400 shares of our common stock at \$1.89 per share. We issued 526,400 shares of our common stock and received proceeds of approximately \$995,000.

In 2005, an aggregate of 2,958,348 warrants were exercised. For the exercise of these warrants, we issued 2,842,625 shares of our common stock and received proceeds of approximately \$5,939,000.

***Stock Issued For Technology Licenses***

Under license agreements with NeuroSpheres, Ltd., we obtained an exclusive patent license covering all uses of certain neural stem cell technology. We made up-front payments to NeuroSpheres of 65,000 shares of our common stock and \$50,000, and will make additional cash payments as stated milestones are achieved. Effective in 2004, we began making annual \$50,000 payments, creditable against certain royalties.

Pursuant to the terms of a license agreement with the California Institute of Technology (Cal Tech) and our acquisition of its wholly owned subsidiary, StemCells California, we issued 14,513 shares of common stock to Cal Tech. We issued an additional 12,800 shares of common stock to Cal Tech with a market value of approximately \$40,000 in May 2000, upon execution of an amendment adding four families of patent applications to the license

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

agreement. In August 2002, we acquired an additional license from Cal Tech for a different technology, pursuant to which we issued 27,535 shares of our common stock with a market value of approximately \$35,000. We also issued 3,865 shares (market value of approximately \$10,000) in 2007, 3,848 shares (market value of approximately \$10,000) in 2006, and 9,535 shares (market value of approximately \$15,000) in 2004 of our common stock to Cal Tech for the issuance and annual license fees of two patents covered under this additional license.

***Common Stock Reserved***

We reserved the following shares of common stock for the exercise of options, warrants and other contingent issuances of common stock, as of December 31, 2007:

Shares reserved for exercise of stock options	13,574,178
Shares reserved for warrants related to financing transactions	1,255,000
Shares reserved for compensation related to external services	100,000
Shares reserved for warrants related to previously converted 3% convertible preferred stock	514,072
Shares reserved for license agreements	92,287
Shelf reserve for possible future issuances of shares	9,264,962
Total	24,800,499

**Note 10. Grant Revenue**

In September 2004, we were awarded a Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) grant for approximately \$464,000 for studies in Alzheimer's disease conducted over an 18 month period. The grant supported joint work with Dr. George A. Carlson of the McLaughlin Research Institute (MRI) in Great Falls, Montana. We received and recognized approximately \$26,000 in 2006, \$186,000 in 2005, and \$38,000 in 2004 as grant revenue, the remainder was reimbursed to MRI.

**Note 11. 401(k) Plan**

Our 401(k) Plan covers substantially all of our employees. Participants in the plan are permitted to contribute a fixed percentage of their total annual cash compensation to the plan (subject to the maximum employee contribution defined by law). We match 50% of employee contributions, up to a maximum of 6% of each employee's eligible compensation in the form of shares of common stock. We recorded expense of \$179,000 in 2007, \$157,000 in 2006, and \$111,000 in 2005 for our contributions under our 401(k) Plan.

**Note 12. Income Taxes**

In July 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48 which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes by prescribing the recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. We adopted FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007. The adoption of FIN 48 did not impact our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. At the adoption date of January 1, 2007 and as of December 31, 2007,

we have not recorded any unrecognized tax benefits. Deferred income taxes reflect the tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting

**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of our deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31 are as follows:

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Deferred tax assets:		
Capitalized research and development costs	\$ 31,779,000	\$ 25,058,000
Net operating losses	42,730,000	41,015,000
Research and development credits	6,103,000	5,671,000
Accrued wind down cost	1,950,000	2,205,000
Stock-based compensation	245,000	180,000
Other	315,000	361,000
	83,122,000	74,490,000
Valuation allowance	(83,122,000)	(74,490,000)
Net deferred tax assets	\$	\$

Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon future earnings, if any, the timing and amount of which are uncertain. Accordingly, the net deferred tax assets have been fully offset by a valuation allowance. The valuation allowance increased by approximately \$8,632,000 in 2007, \$7,105,000 in 2006, and \$5,027,000 in 2005.

As of December 31, 2007, we had the following:

Net operating loss carry forwards for federal income tax purposes of approximately \$122,230,000 which expire in the years 2008 through 2027.

Federal research and development tax credits of approximately \$4,696,000 which expire in the years 2008 through 2027.

Net operating loss carry forwards for state income tax purposes of approximately \$19,309,000 which expire in the years 2009 through 2017.

State research and development tax credits of approximately \$2,132,000 (\$1,407,000 net of federal tax effect) which do not expire.

The effective tax rate as a percentage of income before income taxes differs from the statutory federal income tax rate (when applied to income before income taxes) for the years ended December 31 as follows:

<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
-------------	-------------	-------------

Edgar Filing: STEMCELLS INC - Form 10-K

Statutory federal income tax (benefit) rate	(34)%	(34)%	(34)%
State income tax (benefit) rate	(6)	(6)	(6)
Increase (decrease) resulting from:			
Expenses not deductible for taxes	4.9	5.3	2.8
Increase in valuation allowance	35.1	34.7	37.2
Effective tax (benefit) rate	0%	0%	0%

Our policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. Because we have no tax liabilities, no tax-related interest and penalties have been expensed in our consolidated statements of operations during 2007 or accrued as a liability in our consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2007. We do not anticipate any significant changes to total unrecognized tax benefits as a result of settlement of audits or the expiration of statute of limitations within the next twelve months.



**Table of Contents****StemCells, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

We file U.S. federal income tax returns, as well as tax returns with the State of California and the State of Rhode Island. Due to the carry forward of unutilized net operating losses and research and development credits, our federal tax returns from 1993 forward remain subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service, and our State of California tax returns from 1999 forward and our State of Rhode Island tax returns from 2002 forward remain subject to examination by the respective state tax authorities.

\*\*\*\*\*

**QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (unaudited)***(in thousands, except per share amounts)*

	<b>2007 Quarter Ended</b>			
	<b>December 31</b>	<b>September 30</b>	<b>June 30</b>	<b>March 31</b>
Total revenue	\$ 30	\$ 13	\$ 8	\$ 6
Operating expenses(1)	8,353	7,749	6,041	6,505
Other income, net	497	582	609	1,880
Net loss	(7,825)	(7,154)	(5,424)	(4,620)
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.06)
	<b>2006 Quarter Ended</b>			
	<b>December 31</b>	<b>September 30</b>	<b>June 30</b>	<b>March 31</b>
Total revenue	\$ 12	\$ 18	\$ 21	\$ 42
Operating expenses(1)	6,583	5,581	4,775	4,525
Other income, net	668	699	765	291
Net loss	(5,903)	(4,863)	(3,989)	(4,193)
Basic and diluted (loss) per share	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.06)

(1) Includes adjustment of wind-down accrual see Note 7.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 9. *CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE***

None.

**Item 9A. *CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES***

***Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

The Company's management, with the participation of its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this annual report. Based on this evaluation, the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the requisite time periods, and to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in such reports is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

***Changes in Internal Controls***

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2007, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

***Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, assessed the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting based on the framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The evaluation of the design and operating effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting include among others those policies and procedures that:

Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and

Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

During the fiscal year 2007, the Company periodically tested the design and operating effectiveness of its internal controls. Among other matters, the Company sought in its evaluation to determine whether there were any significant deficiencies or material weakness in its internal control over financial reporting, or whether it had identified any acts

of fraud involving management or other employees.

Based on the above evaluation, the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that as of December 31, 2007, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting were effective. Nonetheless, it is important to acknowledge that due to its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

**Table of Contents****Item 9B. *Other Information***

None

**PART III****Item 10. *DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT*****Executive Officers**

Below are the name, age and principal occupations for the last five years of each executive officer of StemCells, Inc., as of February 29, 2008. All such persons have been elected to serve until their successors are elected and qualified or until their earlier resignation or removal.

Martin M. McGlynn, President and Chief Executive Officer	61	Martin M. McGlynn joined the company on January 2001, when he was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer of the company and of its wholly-owned subsidiary, StemCells California, Inc. He was elected to the Board of Directors in February 2001.
Ann Tsukamoto, PhD Chief Operating Officer	55	Ann Tsukamoto, Ph.D., joined the company in November 1997 as Senior Director of Scientific Operations; was appointed Vice President, Scientific Operations in June 1998 and Vice President, Research and Development in February 2002. In November 2006, she was promoted to Chief Operating Officer, in which role she retains responsibility for the company's research and development efforts.
Rodney K.B. Young, Chief Financial Officer and Vice President, Finance and Administration	45	Rodney K.B. Young joined the company in September 2005 as Chief Financial Officer and Vice President, Finance. In November 2006 he became CFO and Vice President, Finance and Administration, with responsibilities for administrative functions including Human Resources and Information Technology in addition to Finance. From 2003 to 2005, Mr. Young was Chief Financial Officer and a director of Extropy Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a private biopharmaceutical company focused on developing drugs for pediatric indications.

**Directors**

Below are the name, age and principal occupations for the last five years of each Director of StemCells, Inc., as of February 29, 2008. Directors are elected to staggered three year terms.

Eric H. Bjerkholt	48	Eric H. Bjerkholt was elected to the Board of Directors in March 2004. Mr. Bjerkholt joined Sunesis Pharmaceuticals, Inc., in 2004 as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Since February 2007, he has served as Senior Vice President, Corporate Development and Finance, and Chief Financial Officer. From 2002 to 2004, Mr. Bjerkholt was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer at IntraBiotics Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
-------------------	----	--

Ricardo B. Levy, Ph.D.	63	Ricardo B. Levy, Ph.D. was elected to the Board of Directors in September 2001. He currently serves on several boards of directors.
Martin M. McGlynn	61	Martin M. McGlynn was elected to the Board of Directors in February 2001. He is President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, a position he has held since January 2001.
Desmond H. O Connell, Jr.	72	Desmond H. O Connell, Jr. was elected to the Board of Directors in January 2007. He has been an independent management consultant and private investor since 1990.

**Table of Contents**

Roger Perlmutter, M.D., Ph.D.	55	Roger M. Perlmutter, M.D., Ph.D., was elected to the Board of Directors in December 2000. He is Executive Vice President, Research and Development, of Amgen, Inc., a position he has held since January 2001.
John J. Schwartz, Ph.D.	73	John J. Schwartz, Ph.D., was elected to the Board of Directors in December 1998 and was elected Chairman of the Board at the same time. He is currently President of Quantum Strategies Management Company.
Irving Weissman, M.D.	68	Irving L. Weissman, M.D., was elected to the Board of Directors in September 1997. He is the Virginia and Daniel K. Ludwig Professor of Cancer Research, Professor of Pathology and Professor of Developmental Biology at Stanford.

Certain other information required by this Item regarding our officers, Directors, and corporate governance is incorporated herein by reference to the information appearing under the headings "Information About Our Directors and Information About Ownership of Our Common Stock" in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of December 31, 2007 (the "2008 Proxy Statement").

**Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from Item 5 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and our Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from Item 5 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and from our Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS**

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES**

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**PART IV**

**Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

**(a) The following documents are included as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.**

(1) Financial Statements:

The financial statements filed as part of this Report are listed and indexed under Item 8 above.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

Schedules are not included herein because they are not applicable or the required information appears in the Financial Statements or Notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits.

The documents set forth below are filed herewith or incorporated by reference to the location indicated.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Title or Description</b>
3.1-	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant
3.2--	Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Registrant
4.1^ ^	Specimen common stock Certificate
4.2++	Form of Warrant Certificate issued to a certain purchaser of the Registrant's common stock in April 1995
4.3X	common stock Purchase Warrant
4.4X	Callable Warrant
4.5XXX	Callable Warrant, dated June 21, 2001, issued to Millennium Partners, L.P.
4.6XXX	Common stock Purchase Warrant, Class A, dated June 21, 2001, issued to Millennium Partners, L.P.
4.7{*}	Certificate of Designations of the Powers, Preferences and Relative, Participating, Optional and other Special Rights of Preferred Stock and Qualifications, Limitations and Restrictions Thereof of 3% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock for StemCells, Inc.
4.8{*}	Warrant to Purchase common stock Riverview Group, LLC
4.9XXXX	Warrant to Purchase common stock Cantor Fitzgerald & Co.
4.10&&	Warrant to Purchase common stock Riverview Group, LLC
10.1*	Form of at-will Employment Agreement between the Registrant and most of its employees
10.2*	Form of Agreement for Consulting Services between the Registrant and members of its Scientific Advisory Board
10.3*	Form of Nondisclosure Agreement between the Registrant and its Contractors
10.4*	1992 Equity Incentive Plan
10.5*	1992 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors
10.7+	Research Agreement, dated as of March 16, 1994, between NeuroSpheres, Ltd. and Registrant
10.8+	Lease Agreement between the Registrant and Rhode Island Industrial Facilities Corporation, dated as of August 1, 1992
10.9+	First Amendment to Lease Agreement between Registrant and The Rhode Island Industrial Facilities Corporation dated as of September 15, 1994
10.10#	Lease Agreement, dated as of November 21, 1997, by and between Hub RI Properties Trust, as Landlord, and CytoTherapeutics, Inc., as Tenant
10.11!!	CTI individual stockholders option agreement, dated as of July 10, 1996, among the Company and the individuals listed therein
10.12!	Consulting Agreement, dated as of September 25, 1997, between Dr. Irving Weissman and the Registrant
10.13!!!	StemCells, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan
10.14!!!	1997 StemCells Research Stock Option Plan (the 1997 Plan )
10.15!!!	Form of Performance-Based Incentive Option Agreement issued under the 1997 Plan
10.16\$**	License Agreement, dated as of October 27, 1998, between The Scripps Research Institute and the Registrant
10.17\$**	License Agreement, dated as of October 27, 1998, between The Scripps Research Institute and the Registrant
10.18\$**	License Agreement, dated as of November 20, 1998, between The Scripps Research Institute and the Registrant
10.19+++**	License Agreement, dated as of June 1999, between The Scripps Research Institute and the Registrant
10.20+++**	License Agreement, dated as of June 1999, between The Scripps Research Institute and the Registrant



Edgar Filing: STEMCELLS INC - Form 10-K

10.21XX	License Agreement, dated as of October 30, 2000, between the Registrant and NeuroSpheres Ltd.
10.22XX	Letter Agreement, dated January 2, 2001, between the Registrant and Martin McGlynn
10.23XX	Lease, dated February 1, 2001, between the Board of Trustees of Stanford University and the Registrant
10.24\$\$	2001 Equity Incentive Plan
10.25&	Agreement, dated as of April 9, 2003, between the Registrant and Riverview Group, L.L.C.
10.26&&	Form of Registration Rights Agreement between the Registrant and Riverview Group, L.L.C.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Title or Description</b>
10.27&&&	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 7, 2003, between the Registrant and Riverview Group, L.L.C.
10.28%	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 9, 2003, between the Registrant and Riverview Group, L.L.C.
10.29^ ^ ^	Form of Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 16, 2004, between the Registrant and certain Purchasers parties thereto
10.30^ ^ ^	Form of Warrant
10.31^ ^ ^ ^	Amended and Restated 2004 Equity Incentive Plan of the Registrant
10.32§	License Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2005, between the Registrant and ReNeuron Limited
10.33§§	Letter Agreement, effective as of September 6, 2005, between the Registrant and Rodney K.B. Young
10.34§§	Consulting Agreement, effective as of September 6, 2005, between the Registrant and Judi R. Lum
10.35^	Sales Agreement with Cantor Fitzgerald
10.36XX	Side Letter, dated March 17, 2001, between the Company and Oleh S. Hnatiuk regarding NeuroSpheres License Agreement, dated October 30, 2000
10.37	Note Purchase Agreement with Progenitor Cell Therapy, LLC, dated December 3, 2007.
10.38@	License Agreement, dated April 1, 1997, by and among Registrant, NeuroSpheres Ltd. and NeuroSpheres Holdings Ltd.
14.1%%	Code of Ethics
21X	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1	Consent of Grant Thornton, LLP , Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13(a)-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Martin McGlynn, Chief Executive Officer)
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13(a)-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rodney K.B. Young, Chief Financial Officer)
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Martin McGlynn, Chief Executive Officer)
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rodney K.B. Young, Chief Financial Officer)

! Previously filed with the Commission as Exhibits to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1997 and filed on November 14, 1997.

!! Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to and incorporated by reference to, the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1996.

!!! Previously filed with the Commission as Exhibits to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-37313.

§ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998 and filed on March 31, 1999.

Edgar Filing: STEMCELLS INC - Form 10-K

\$\$ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed May 1, 2001.

% Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K on December 10, 2003.

%% Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003

& Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K on April 15, 2003.

&& Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K on May 13, 2003.

**Table of Contents**

- &&& Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K on May 15, 2003.
- \* Previously filed with the Commission as Exhibits to, and incorporated herein by reference to, Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-45739.
- \*\* Confidential treatment requested as to certain portions. The term confidential treatment and the mark \*\* as used throughout the indicated Exhibits mean that material has been omitted and separately filed with the Commission.
- ^ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K on December 29, 2006.
- ^^ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 333-117360.
- ^^^ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on June 17, 2004.
- ^^^^ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-118263.
- {\*} Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on December 7, 2001.
- + Previously filed with the Commission as Exhibits to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-85494.
- ++ Previously filed with the Commission as Exhibits to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-91228.
- Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 and filed on March 15, 2007.
- Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K on May 7, 2007.
- § Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
- §§ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on September 7, 2005.
- X Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 333-45496.

XX

Edgar Filing: STEMCELLS INC - Form 10-K

Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000 and filed on April 2, 2001.

XXX Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 as amended to Form S-3, File No. 333-61726.

XXXX Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement filed on Form S-3, File No. 333-75806.

@ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 and filed on April 1, 1997.

# Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997 and filed on March 30, 1998.

**Table of Contents****SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

STEMCELLS, INC.

By: /s/ MARTIN MCGLYNN  
 Martin McGlynn  
 PRESIDENT AND CHIEF  
 EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Dated: March 13, 2008

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<b>Signature</b>	<b>Capacity</b>	<b>Date</b>
/s/ Martin McGlynn Martin McGlynn	President and Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer)	March 13, 2008
/s/ Rodney K.B. Young Rodney K.B. Young	Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)	March 13, 2008
/s/ George Koshy George Koshy	Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer)	March 13, 2008
/s/ Eric Bjerkholt Eric Bjerkholt	Director	March 11, 2008
/s/ Ricardo B. Levy, Ph.D. Ricardo B. Levy, Ph.D.	Director	March 9, 2008
/s/ Desmond H. O Connell, Jr. Desmond H. O Connell, Jr.	Director	March 10, 2008
/s/ Roger M. Perlmutter, M.D.	Director	March 10, 2008

Roger M. Perlmutter, M.D.

/s/ John J. Schwartz, Ph.D.

Director, Chairman of the Board

March 11, 2008

John J. Schwartz, Ph.D.

/s/ Irving L. Weissman, M.D.

Director

March 11, 2008

Irving L. Weissman, M.D.

**Table of Contents****Exhibit Index**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Title or Description</b>
3.1-	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant
3.2--	Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Registrant
4.1^ ^	Specimen common stock Certificate
4.2++	Form of Warrant Certificate issued to a certain purchaser of the Registrant's common stock in April 1995
4.3X	common stock Purchase Warrant
4.4X	Callable Warrant
4.5XXX	Callable Warrant, dated June 21, 2001, issued to Millennium Partners, L.P.
4.6XXX	Common stock Purchase Warrant, Class A, dated June 21, 2001, issued to Millennium Partners, L.P.
4.7{*}	Certificate of Designations of the Powers, Preferences and Relative, Participating, Optional and other Special Rights of Preferred Stock and Qualifications, Limitations and Restrictions Thereof of 3% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock for StemCells, Inc.
4.8{*}	Warrant to Purchase common stock Riverview Group, LLC
4.9XXXX	Warrant to Purchase common stock Cantor Fitzgerald & Co.
4.10&&	Warrant to Purchase common stock Riverview Group, LLC
10.1*	Form of at-will Employment Agreement between the Registrant and most of its employees
10.2*	Form of Agreement for Consulting Services between the Registrant and members of its Scientific Advisory Board
10.3*	Form of Nondisclosure Agreement between the Registrant and its Contractors
10.4*	1992 Equity Incentive Plan
10.5*	1992 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors
10.7+	Research Agreement, dated as of March 16, 1994, between NeuroSpheres, Ltd. and Registrant
10.8+	Lease Agreement between the Registrant and Rhode Island Industrial Facilities Corporation, dated as of August 1, 1992
10.9+	First Amendment to Lease Agreement between Registrant and The Rhode Island Industrial Facilities Corporation dated as of September 15, 1994
10.10#	Lease Agreement, dated as of November 21, 1997, by and between Hub RI Properties Trust, as Landlord, and CytoTherapeutics, Inc., as Tenant
10.11!!	CTI individual stockholders option agreement, dated as of July 10, 1996, among the Company and the individuals listed therein
10.12!	Consulting Agreement, dated as of September 25, 1997, between Dr. Irving Weissman and the Registrant
10.13!!!	StemCells, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan
10.14!!!	1997 StemCells Research Stock Option Plan (the 1997 Plan )
10.15!!!	Form of Performance-Based Incentive Option Agreement issued under the 1997 Plan
10.16\$**	License Agreement, dated as of October 27, 1998, between The Scripps Research Institute and the Registrant
10.17\$**	License Agreement, dated as of October 27, 1998, between The Scripps Research Institute and the Registrant
10.18\$**	License Agreement, dated as of November 20, 1998, between The Scripps Research Institute and the Registrant
10.19++**	License Agreement, dated as of June 1999 between The Scripps Research Institute and the Registrant



Edgar Filing: STEMCELLS INC - Form 10-K

10.20++**	License Agreement, dated as of June 1999, between The Scripps Research Institute and the Registrant
10.21XX	License Agreement, dated as of October 30, 2000, between the Registrant and NeuroSpheres Ltd.
10.22XX	Letter Agreement, dated January 2, 2001, between the Registrant and Martin McGlynn
10.23XX	Lease, dated February 1, 2001, between the Board of Trustees of Stanford University and the Registrant
10.24\$\$	2001 Equity Incentive Plan
10.25&	Agreement, dated as of April 9, 2003, between the Registrant and Riverview Group, L.L.C.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Title or Description</b>
10.26&&	Form of Registration Rights Agreement between the Registrant and Riverview Group, L.L.C.
10.27&&&	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 7, 2003, between the Registrant and Riverview Group, L.L.C.
10.28%	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 9, 2003, between the Registrant and Riverview Group, L.L.C.
10.29^ ^ ^	Form of Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 16, 2004, between the Registrant and certain Purchasers parties thereto
10.30^ ^ ^	Form of Warrant
10.31^ ^ ^ ^	Amended and Restated 2004 Equity Incentive Plan of the Registrant
10.32§	License Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2005, between the Registrant and ReNeuron Limited
10.33§§	Letter Agreement, effective as of September 6, 2005, between the Registrant and Rodney K.B. Young
10.34§§	Consulting Agreement, effective as of September 6, 2005, between the Registrant and Judi R. Lum
10.35^	Sales Agreement with Cantor Fitzgerald
10.36XX	Side Letter, dated March 17, 2001, between the Company and Oleh S. Hnatiuk regarding NeuroSpheres License Agreement, dated October 30, 2000
10.37	Note Purchase Agreement with Progenitor Cell Therapy, LLC, dated December 3, 2007.
10.38@	License Agreement, dated April 1, 1997, by and among Registrant, NeuroSpheres Ltd. and NeuroSpheres Holdings Ltd.
14.1%%	Code of Ethics
21X	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1	Consent of Grant Thornton, LLP , Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13(a)-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Martin McGlynn, Chief Executive Officer)
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13(a)-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rodney K.B. Young, Chief Financial Officer)
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Martin McGlynn, Chief Executive Officer)
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rodney K.B. Young, Chief Financial Officer)

! Previously filed with the Commission as Exhibits to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1997 and filed on November 14, 1997.

!! Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to and incorporated by reference to, the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1996.

!!! Previously filed with the Commission as Exhibits to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-37313.

\$ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant s annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998 and filed on March 31, 1999.

\$\$ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed May 1, 2001.

% Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K on December 10, 2003.

%% Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003

& Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K on April 15, 2003.

**Table of Contents**

- && Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K on May 13, 2003.
- &&& Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K on May 15, 2003.
- \* Previously filed with the Commission as Exhibits to, and incorporated herein by reference to, Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-45739.
- \*\* Confidential treatment requested as to certain portions. The term "confidential treatment" and the mark "\*" as used throughout the indicated Exhibits mean that material has been omitted and separately filed with the Commission.
- ^ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K on December 29, 2006.
- ^^ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 333-117360.
- ^^^ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on June 17, 2004.
- ^^^^ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-118263.
- {\*} Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on December 7, 2001.
- + Previously filed with the Commission as Exhibits to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-85494.
- ++ Previously filed with the Commission as Exhibits to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-91228.
- Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 and filed on March 15, 2007.
- Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K on May 7, 2007.
- § Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
- §§ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on September 7, 2005.

X

Edgar Filing: STEMCELLS INC - Form 10-K

Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 333-45496.

XX Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000 and filed on April 2, 2001.

XXX Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 as amended to Form S-3, File No. 333-61726.

XXXX Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Registration Statement filed on Form S-3, File No. 333-75806.

@ Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 and filed on April 1, 1997.

# Previously filed with the Commission as an Exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference to, the Registrant's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997 and filed on March 30, 1998.