

MITEK SYSTEMS INC
Form 10-K
December 12, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to .

Commission File Number 001-35231

MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

87-0418827
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

8911 Balboa Ave., Suite B

San Diego, California

92123

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number: (858) 309-1700

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share

(Title of class)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based upon the closing sale price of the registrant's common stock on March 29, 2013, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market, was \$111,210,030. Shares of stock held by officers and directors have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

There were 30,430,191 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of November 29, 2013.

Table of Contents

MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.

FORM 10-K

For The Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2013

| | | |
|--|---|-----|
| <u>Important Note About Forward-Looking Statements</u> | | (i) |
| <u>Part I</u> | | |
| Item 1. | <u>Business</u> | 1 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 7 |
| Item 1B. | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 16 |
| Item 2. | <u>Properties</u> | 16 |
| Item 3. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 17 |
| Item 4. | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 17 |
| <u>Part II</u> | | |
| Item 5. | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 18 |
| Item 6. | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 20 |
| Item 7. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 21 |
| Item 7A. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 30 |
| Item 8. | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 30 |
| Item 9. | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 30 |
| Item 9A. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 30 |
| Item 9B. | <u>Other Information</u> | 31 |
| <u>Part III</u> | | |
| Item 10. | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 32 |
| Item 11. | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 32 |
| Item 12. | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 32 |
| Item 13. | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 32 |
| Item 14. | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 32 |
| <u>Part IV</u> | | |
| Item 15. | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 33 |
| | <u>Exhibit Index</u> | 33 |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | 36 |

Table of Contents

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K (Form 10-K), unless the context indicates otherwise, the terms Mitek, the Company, we, us, and our Mitek Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation.

IMPORTANT NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions that, if they never materialize or prove incorrect, could cause our results to differ materially and adversely from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are contained principally in Item 1 Business, Item 1A. Risk Factors and Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, but appear throughout this Form 10-K. Forward-looking statements may include, but are not limited to, statements relating to our outlook or expectations for earnings, revenues, expenses, asset quality or other future financial or business performance, strategies, expectations or business prospects, or the impact of legal, regulatory or supervisory matters on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Specifically, forward- looking statements may include statements relating to our future business prospects, revenue, income and financial condition.

Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as estimate, plan, project, forecast, intend, expect, anticipate, seek, target or similar expressions. Forward-looking statements reflect our judgment based on currently available information and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements.

In addition to those factors discussed under Item 1A Risk Factors, important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations. These factors include, but are not limited to:

adverse economic conditions;

general decreases in demand for our products and services;

changes in timing of introducing new products into the market;

intense competition (including entry of new competitors), including among competitors with substantially greater resources than us;

increased or adverse federal, state and local government regulation;

inadequate capital;

unexpected costs;

revenues and net income lower than forecasted;

litigation;

the possible fluctuation and volatility of operating results and financial conditions;

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

inability to carry out our marketing and sales plans; and

the loss of key employees and executives.

All forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-K speak only as of the date of this Form 10-K and you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect any events or circumstances that arise after the date of this Form 10-K or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. The above list is not intended to be exhaustive and there may be other factors that could preclude us from realizing the predictions made in the forward-looking statements. We operate in a continually changing business environment and new factors emerge from time to time. We cannot predict such factors or assess the impact, if any, of such factors on our financial position or results of operations.

(i)

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

Overview

Mitek Systems, Inc. is a mobile solutions provider engaged in the development, sale and service of its proprietary software solutions related to mobile imaging.

We apply our patented technology in image capture, correction and intelligent data extraction in the mobile financial and business applications markets. Our technology allows users to remotely deposit checks, pay bills, transfer credit card balances, open accounts and get insurance quotes by taking pictures of various documents with their camera-equipped smartphones and tablets instead of using the device keyboard. Our products use advanced algorithms to correct image distortion, extract relevant data, route images to their desired location and process transactions through users' financial institutions. As of November 21, 2013, we have been granted 18 patents and have an additional 21 patent applications pending.

Our Mobile Deposit[®] product is software that allows users to remotely deposit a check using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet. As of September 30, 2013, 1,420 financial institutions have signed agreements to deploy Mobile Deposit[®], and 805 of these financial institutions have deployed Mobile Deposit[®] to their customers, including all the top ten U.S. retail banks and more than two-thirds of the top 50 U.S. retail banks and payment processing companies, as ranked by SNL Financial for the second quarter of calendar 2013. Other mobile imaging software solutions we offer include Mobile Photo Bill Pay[®], a mobile bill payment product that allows users to pay their bills using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet, Mobile Balance Transfer[®], a product that allows credit card issuers to provide an offer to users and transfer an existing credit card balance by capturing an image of the user's current credit card statement, Mobile Photo Account Opening[®], a product that enables users to open a checking, savings or credit card account by capturing an image of the front and back of their driver's license with their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet, and Mobile Photo Quoting[®], a product that enables users to receive insurance quotes by using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet to take a picture of their driver's license and insurance card. Our mobile imaging software solutions can be accessed by smartphones and tablets using iOS and Android operating systems.

We market and sell our mobile imaging software solutions through channel partners or directly to enterprise customers that typically purchase licenses based on the number of transactions or subscribers that use our mobile software. Our mobile imaging software solutions are often embedded in other mobile banking or enterprise applications developed by banks, insurance companies or their partners, and marketed under their own proprietary brands.

We are headquartered in San Diego, California and were incorporated in the state of Delaware on May 29, 1986.

Product and Technology Overview

Our suite of mobile imaging solutions is provided as a software platform. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, we had only one operating segment: the development, sale and service of our proprietary software solutions related to mobile imaging.

Our technology processes images of documents in many ways, including quality analysis, image repair, document identification and the extraction of hand-printed and machine-printed text. Our capabilities can be deployed on any back office, industrial or desktop scanner, or on camera-equipped smartphones or tablets, to optimize and extract data from any scanned or photographed check, bill or other financial document. Our capabilities include mobile document capture, image recognition, repair and optimization, dynamic data extraction and several document-specific capabilities, such as courtesy amount recognition, legal amount recognition, and reading of barcodes.

Table of Contents

Our mobile imaging solutions are able to read and extract data from an image of financial and identity documents, in essence turning camera-equipped smartphones and tablets into virtual scanning devices.

Our proprietary, patented technology combines our core character recognition technology with advanced mobile image processing capabilities that transform a four-color photograph of a document into a digital image that is equivalent in size and resolution to scanned documents. Unlike scanned documents, mobile photographs of documents captured by smartphones and tablets are exposed to variable lighting conditions and various angles and focal distances. Raw photos of documents taken by a smartphone or tablet may be of an unknown size and resolution and are often geometrically distorted, skewed or warped. As a result, the raw mobile document image is virtually unusable without our technology. Our technology uses advanced algorithms designed to identify and correct geometric and optical distortions and automatically correct each mobile document image.

Mobile Imaging Solutions

The majority of our revenue in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was generated by our Mobile Deposit[®] product, which we sell to channel partners in the financial services industry. Our other mobile imaging solutions, which include Mobile Photo Bill Pay[®], Mobile Balance Transfer, Mobile Photo Account Opening, and Mobile Photo Quoting, are primarily sold directly to enterprise customers.

Mobile Deposit[®]

As of September 30, 2013, 1,420 financial institutions have signed agreements to deploy Mobile Deposit[®], and 805 of these financial institutions have deployed Mobile Deposit[®] to their customers, including all of the top 10 U.S. retail banks and more than two-thirds of the top 50 U.S. retail banks and payment processing companies, as ranked by SNL Financial for the second quarter of calendar 2013. Our Mobile Deposit[®] product was the first to utilize our mobile imaging analytics and character recognition software to allow financial institutions to accept check deposits via images of checks taken with camera-equipped smartphones and tablets. Mobile Deposit[®] allows users to make deposits by photographing the front and back of a check and submitting the image electronically to their bank using their smartphone or tablet. We began selling Mobile Deposit[®] in the second fiscal quarter of 2008, and received our first patent issued for this product in August 2010.

Mobile Photo Bill Pay[®]

Mobile Photo Bill Pay[®] provides a new level of service and convenience for customers who want to pay bills using their smartphone or tablet. Mobile Photo Bill Pay[®] connects to existing online bill pay systems and allows users to pay bills by taking pictures with their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet camera. The core technology of Mobile Photo Bill Pay[®], for which we have been granted two patents, enables this process by correcting image distortion, reading relevant data and processing the data into a bill payment form. With Mobile Photo Bill Pay[®], users can submit electronic payments from their smartphones or tablets without having to write checks, buy stamps, visit a payment location or even use their personal computers. As of September 30, 2013, 14 financial institutions have signed agreements to deploy Mobile Photo Bill Pay[®] and nine of these have deployed Mobile Photo Bill Pay to their customers, including the fifth largest U.S. commercial bank.

Mobile Balance Transfer

Mobile Balance Transfer is a new, cost-effective way for financial institutions to acquire new credit card customers. Mobile Balance Transfer accurately and securely extracts necessary data from an image of the user's credit card statement to create a balance transfer offer. The customer can accept the offer with a single touch of a button and the bank can then automatically transfer the balance and establish a new credit card account. We were granted a patent for Mobile Balance Transfer in July 2013.

Table of Contents

Mobile Photo Account Opening

Mobile Photo Account Opening was launched in October 2013 and was awarded Best of Show at the national Finovate conference in 2013. Mobile Photo Account Opening makes it convenient and easy for customers to open a new account using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet by capturing an image of the front and back of their driver's license. Our technology extracts data from the driver's license and automatically populates an account information form; thereby eliminating errors associated with manual account opening processes. Mobile Photo Account Opening can be used to open a new checking, savings or credit card account with a financial institution, retailer, or other organization with account opening needs. This process can be extended to capture the image of a check, allowing immediate funding of the newly-created account.

Mobile Photo Quoting

Mobile Photo Quoting enables property and casualty insurance companies to provide an insurance quote to potential customers using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet. Rather than requiring the user to manually complete a form, Mobile Photo Quoting extracts the data from the user's driver's license and insurance card to provide a quote via a smartphone or tablet. Mobile Photo Quoting allows customers to receive a quote simply, quickly, and without ever having to step foot in a store, call or complete an online application. As of September 30, 2013, two of the top five insurance carriers have signed agreements to deploy Mobile Photo Quoting and one of these has deployed Mobile Photo Quoting to its customers.

Maintenance and Support

We provide ongoing software support services to assist our customers with the use and maintenance of our software. We have a customer service department that handles installation and maintenance requirements. The majority of the inquiries we receive are handled by telephone and electronic mail. We maintain our customers' software largely through releases that provide our customers with technology enhancements and updated features. Substantially all of our customers purchase post-contract support from us. These services are a significant source of recurring revenue and are typically contracted on an annual basis.

Customers with maintenance agreements receive software updates from us on an if-and-when-available basis only. Technical support is provided by telephone as well as by on-site technical visits, if necessary.

Maintenance and support service fees are deferred and recognized over the contract period on a straight-line basis. Costs incurred by us to provide maintenance and support services are charged to cost of revenue as incurred.

Intellectual Property

Our success depends in large part upon our proprietary technology. We attempt to protect our intellectual property rights primarily through patents, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets, employee and third party nondisclosure agreements and other measures. If we are unable to protect our intellectual property or we infringe on the intellectual property rights of a third party, our operating results could be adversely affected.

As of November 12, 2013, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (the "PTO") had issued 18 patents to us and we have filed for 21 additional domestic and international patents. We have 25 registered trademarks and will continue to evaluate the registration of additional trademarks as appropriate. We claim common law protection for, and may seek to register, other trademarks. In addition, we generally enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees.

Sales and Marketing

We market our products and services through channel partners as well as through our internal, direct sales organization. We have an internal marketing group that develops corporate and product marketing strategies and

Table of Contents

executes marketing plans with the support of external resources as needed. We employ a technically oriented sales force with management assistance to identify the needs of existing and prospective customers. Our indirect sales strategy concentrates on OEMs, systems integrators, distributors, and software solution companies that we believe are key users and designers of automated document processing systems for high performance, large volume applications. Our direct sales strategy concentrates on large, financial institutions, non-bank financial services firms, and other large corporations that want to deliver innovative mobile services to their customers. The sales process is supported by a broad range of marketing programs, including trade shows, direct marketing, public relations and advertising.

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, we derived revenue of \$3,607,417, or 24% of our total revenue, from one customer, compared to revenue of \$3,787,730 from three customers, with such customers accounting for 15%, 15% and 12%, respectively, of our total revenue for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, we derived revenue of \$3,384,788 from two customers, with such customers accounting for 22% and 11%, respectively, of our total revenue.

International sales accounted for 3%, 5% and 12% of our total revenue for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We sell our products in U.S. currency only.

Market Opportunities, Challenges and Risks

The increase in the acceptance of mobile banking by financial institutions and their customers has helped drive our recent growth in revenue. In the past year, we experienced a significant increase in the number of financial institutions that have integrated and launched our mobile applications, particularly our Mobile Deposit[®] product, as part of their offering of mobile banking choices for their customers. We believe that financial institutions see our patented solutions as a way to provide an enhanced customer experience in mobile banking.

To sustain our growth in 2014 and beyond, we believe we must continue to offer imaging technology for mobile applications that address a growing market for mobile banking and mobile imaging solutions sold into other vertical markets. Factors adversely affecting the pricing of or demand for our mobile applications, such as competition from other products or technologies, any decline in the demand for mobile applications, or negative publicity or obsolescence of the software environments in which our products operate, could result in lower revenues or gross margins. Further, because substantially all of our revenues are from a single type of technology, our product concentration may make us especially vulnerable to market demand and competition from other technologies, which could reduce our revenues.

The implementation cycles for our software and services by our channel partners and customers can be lengthy, often a minimum of three to six months and sometimes longer for larger customers, and require significant investments. For example, as of September 30, 2013, we executed agreements indirectly through channel partners or directly with customers covering 1,420 Mobile Deposit[®] customers, 805 of whom have completed implementation and launched Mobile Deposit[®] to their customers. If implementation of our products by our channel partners and customers is delayed or otherwise not completed, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

We derive revenue predominately from the sale of licenses to use the products covered by our patented technologies, such as our Mobile Deposit[®] product, and to a lesser extent by providing maintenance and professional services for the products we offer. The revenue we derive from the sale of such licenses is primarily derived from the sale to our channel partners of licenses to sell the applications we offer. Revenues related to most of our licenses for mobile products are required to be recognized up front upon satisfaction of all applicable revenue recognition criteria. The recognition of future revenues from these licenses is dependent upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the term of our license agreements, the timing of implementation of our products by our channel partners and customers and the timing of any re-orders of additional licenses and/or license renewals by our channel partners and customers.

Table of Contents

During each of the last few years, sales of licenses to one or more channel partners have comprised a significant part of our revenue each year. This is attributable to the timing of renewals or purchases of licenses and does not represent a dependence on any channel partner. If we were to lose a channel partner relationship, we do not believe such a loss would adversely affect our operations because either we or another channel partner could sell our products to the end-users that purchased products from the channel partner we lost. However, in that case, we or another channel partner must establish a relationship with the end-users, which could take time to develop, if it develops at all.

We have numerous competitors in the mobile payments industry, many of which have greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. However, we believe our patented imaging and analytics technology, our growing portfolio of products for the financial services industry and our position as a pure play mobile payments company provides us with a competitive advantage. To remain competitive, we must be able to continue to offer products that are attractive to the ultimate end-user and that are secure, accurate and convenient. We intend to continue to further strengthen our portfolio of products through research and development to help us remain competitive. We may have difficulty adapting to changing market conditions and developing enhancements to our software applications on a timely basis in order to maintain our competitive advantage. Our continued growth will ultimately depend upon our ability to develop additional applications and attract strategic alliances to sell such technologies.

Competition

Our mobile imaging products address a new market for the use of camera-equipped smartphones and tablets and therefore face emerging competition. We believe our products are among the first smartphone and tablet solutions of their kind, but we anticipate growing competition as the market matures.

The market for mobile image processing software products is intensely competitive, subject to rapid change, and significantly affected by new product introductions and other market activities of industry participants. We face direct and indirect competition from a broad range of competitors who offer a variety of products and solutions to our current and potential customers. Our principal competition comes from: (i) customer-developed solutions; (ii) companies offering automated document processing systems; (iii) companies offering competing technologies capable of recognizing hand-printed and cursive characters; and (iv) companies offering check imaging systems to banks.

It is also possible that we will face competition from new industry participants or alternative technologies. Moreover, as the market for automated document processing, image recognition, check imaging and fraud detection software develops, a number of companies with significantly greater resources than we have could attempt to enter or increase their presence in our industry, either independently or by acquiring or forming strategic alliances with our competitors, or otherwise increase their focus on the industry. In addition, current and potential competitors have established or may establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties to increase the ability of their products to address the needs of our current and potential customers.

Our products are compliant with Service-Oriented Architecture standards and compete, to various degrees, with products produced by a number of substantial competitors. Competition among product providers in this market generally focuses on price, accuracy, reliability and technical support. We believe our primary competitive advantages in this market are: (i) recognition accuracy with regard to hand-printed characters; (ii) flexibility resulting from the ability of our products to operate in several Microsoft Web Services environments; (iii) scalability; and (iv) an architectural software design that allows our products to be more readily modified, improved with added functionality and configured for new products, thereby allowing our software to be easily upgraded.

Increased competition may result in price reductions, reduced gross margins and loss of market share, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Research and Development

We develop software products internally and we also purchase or license rights to third-party intellectual property. We believe that our future success depends in part on our ability to maintain and improve our core technologies, enhance our existing products and develop new products that meet an expanding range of customer requirements.

Internal research and development allows us to maintain closer technical control over our products and gives us the ability to designate which modifications and enhancements are most important and when they should be implemented to ensure the proper functioning of our software products. We intend to expand our existing product offerings and introduce new mobile image processing software solutions that meet the needs of our customers. We perform all quality assurance and develop documentation internally and strive to stay abreast of hardware advances that may affect our software design. We intend to continue to support the major industry standard operating environments.

Our team of specialists in recognition algorithms, software engineering, user interface design, product documentation and quality improvement is responsible for maintaining and enhancing the performance, quality and utility of all of our products. In addition to research and development, our engineering staff provides customer technical support on an as-needed basis.

Our research and development organization includes software engineers and scientists, many of whom have advanced degrees, as well as additional personnel in quality assurance and related disciplines. All of our software engineers are involved in applications development, including our mobile imaging platforms and products with solutions for mobile image capture; mobile check deposits; mobile bill payments; form identification; image quality analysis; detection for signatures; and quality assurance.

Our research and development expenses for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$6,793,412, \$6,664,030 and \$2,996,109, respectively. We expect research and development expenses during fiscal year 2014 to remain relatively consistent with those incurred in fiscal year 2013 as we continue our new product research and development efforts.

Employees and Labor Relations

As of September 30, 2013, we had 65 full-time employees, consisting of 22 in sales and marketing and professional services, 33 in research and development, product management and support, and 10 in executive, finance, network administration and other capacities. In addition, we engaged various consultants in the areas of research and development, product development, finance and marketing during fiscal year 2013. We have never had a work stoppage and none of our employees are represented by a labor organization. We consider our relations with our employees to be good.

Available Information

Our principal offices are located at 8911 Balboa Ave., Suite B, San Diego, CA 92123 and our telephone number is (858) 309-1700. We are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Consequently, we are required to file reports and information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), including reports on the following forms: annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. These reports and other information concerning us may be accessed, free of charge, through the SEC's website at www.sec.gov and our website at www.miteksystems.com. These reports are placed on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed with the SEC. Information contained in, or that can be accessed through, our website is not incorporated by reference into, nor is it in any way a part of, this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

The following risk factors and other information included in this Form 10-K should be carefully considered. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we presently deem less significant may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, projected results and future prospects could be materially and adversely affected. In these circumstances, the market price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose all or part of your investment or interest.

Risks Associated With Our Business

We have a history of losses and we may not achieve profitability in the future.

Our operations resulted in a net loss of \$7,275,706, \$7,839,996 and \$125,057 for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We have a history of losses and may continue to incur significant losses for the foreseeable future. As of September 30, 2013, we had an accumulated deficit of \$30,735,097. Our future profitability depends upon many factors, including several that are beyond our control. These factors include, without limitation:

changes in the demand for our products and services;

loss of key customers or contracts;

the introduction of competitive software;

the failure to gain market acceptance of our new and existing products;

the failure to successfully and cost effectively develop, introduce and market new products, services and product enhancements in a timely manner; and

the timing of recognition of revenue.

In addition, we incur significant legal, accounting, and other expenses related to being a public company. As a result of these expenditures, we will have to generate and sustain increased revenue to achieve and maintain future profitability.

We may need to raise additional capital to fund continuing operations and an inability to raise the necessary capital or to do so on acceptable terms could threaten the success of our business.

We currently anticipate that our available capital resources and operating cash flows will be sufficient to meet our expected working capital and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next 12 months. However, such resources may not be sufficient to fund the long-term growth of our business. If we determine that it is necessary to raise additional funds, we may choose to do so through strategic collaborations, licensing arrangements, public or private equity or debt financing, a bank line of credit, or other arrangements. We cannot be sure that any additional funding, if needed, will be available on terms favorable to us or at all. Furthermore, any additional equity or equity-related financing may be dilutive to our stockholders, new equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing holders of our shares of common stock, and debt or equity financing, if available, may subject us to restrictive covenants and significant interest costs. If we obtain funding through a strategic collaboration or licensing arrangement, we may be required to relinquish our rights to certain of our technologies, products or marketing territories. If we are unable to obtain the financing necessary to support our operations, we may be required to defer, reduce or eliminate certain planned expenditures or significantly curtail our operations.

Our ability to utilize our net operating loss carryforwards and certain other tax attributes may be limited.

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Federal and state tax laws impose restrictions on the utilization of net operating loss (NOL) and tax credit carryforwards in the event of an ownership change as defined by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of

Table of Contents

1986, as amended (Section 382). Generally, an ownership change occurs if the percentage of the value of the stock that is owned by one or more direct or indirect five percent shareholders increases by more than 50% over their lowest ownership percentage at any time during the applicable testing period (typically, three years). Under Section 382, if a corporation undergoes an ownership change, the corporation's ability to use its pre-change NOL carryforwards and other pre-change tax attributes to offset its post-change income may be limited. We have not completed a study to assess whether an ownership change has occurred or whether there have been multiple ownership changes since we became a loss corporation as defined in Section 382. Future changes in our stock ownership, which may be outside of our control, may trigger an ownership change. In addition, future equity offerings or acquisitions that have equity as a component of the purchase price could result in an ownership change. If an ownership change has occurred or does occur in the future, utilization of the NOL carryforwards or other tax attributes may be limited, which could potentially result in increased future tax liability to us.

We currently derive substantially all of our revenue from a single type of technology. If this technology and the related products do not achieve or continue to achieve market acceptance, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected.

We currently derive substantially all of our product revenues from licenses and sales of software products to customers incorporating our intelligent mobile imaging technology and software products. If we are unable to achieve or continue to achieve market acceptance of our core technology or products incorporating such technology, we will not generate significant revenue growth from the sale of our products.

Additionally, factors adversely affecting the pricing of or demand for our products and services, such as competition from other products or technologies, any decline in the demand for mobile image processing, negative publicity or obsolescence of the software environments in which our products operate could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If economic or other factors negatively affect the small and medium-sized business sector, our customers may become unwilling or unable to purchase our products and services, which could cause our revenue to decline.

Many of our existing and target customers are in the small and medium-sized business sector. These businesses are more likely to be significantly affected by economic downturns than larger, more established businesses. Additionally, these customers often have limited discretionary funds, which they may choose to spend on items other than our products and services. If small and medium-sized businesses experience economic hardship, it could negatively affect the overall demand for our products and services, and could cause our revenue to decline.

We face competition from several companies that may have greater resources than we do, which could result in price reductions, reduced margins or loss of market share.

We compete against numerous companies in the mobile imaging software market. Competition in this market may increase as a result of a number of factors, such as the entrance of new or larger competitors or alternative technologies. These competitors may have greater financial, technical, marketing and public relations resources, larger client bases and greater brand or name recognition than we do. These competitors could, among other things:

announce new products or technologies that have the potential to replace our existing product offerings;

force us to charge lower prices; or

adversely affect our relationships with current clients.

We may be unable to compete successfully against our current and potential competitors and if we lose business to our competitors or are forced to lower our prices, our revenue, operating margins and market share could decline.

Table of Contents

We must continue to engage in extensive research and development in order to remain competitive.

Our ability to compete effectively with our mobile imaging software products depends upon our ability to meet changing market conditions and develop enhancements to our products on a timely basis in order to maintain our competitive advantage. Rapidly advancing technology and rapidly changing user preferences characterize the markets for products incorporating mobile imaging software technology and products. Our continued growth will ultimately depend upon our ability to develop additional technologies and attract strategic alliances for related or separate products. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in developing and marketing product enhancements and additional technologies, that we will not experience difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction and marketing of these products, or that our new products and product enhancements will adequately meet the requirements of the marketplace, will be of acceptable quality, or will achieve market acceptance.

Our annual and quarterly results have fluctuated greatly in the past and will likely continue to do so, which may cause substantial fluctuations in our common stock price.

Our annual and quarterly operating results have in the past and may in the future fluctuate significantly depending on factors including the timing of customer projects and purchase orders, new product announcements and releases by us and other companies, gain or loss of significant customers, price discounting of our products, the timing of expenditures, customer product delivery requirements, availability and cost of components or labor and economic conditions, generally, and in the information technology market, specifically. Revenues related to our licenses for mobile imaging software products are required to be recognized upon satisfaction of all applicable revenue recognition criteria. The recognition of future revenues from these licenses is dependent on a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the terms of our license agreements, the timing of implementation of our products by our channel partners and customers and the timing of any re-orders of additional licenses and/or license renewals by our channel partners and customers.

In fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011, sales of licenses to channel partners has comprised a significant part of our revenue. This is attributable to the timing of the purchase or renewal of licenses and does not represent a dependence on any channel partner. If we were to lose a channel partner relationship, we do not believe such a loss would adversely affect our operations because either we or another channel partner could sell our products to the end-users that purchased products from the channel partner we lost. However, in that case, we or another channel partner must establish a relationship with the end-users, which could take time to develop, if it develops at all.

Any unfavorable change in these or other factors could have a material adverse effect on our operating results for a particular quarter or year, which may cause downward pressure on our common stock price. We expect quarterly and annual fluctuations to continue for the foreseeable future.

Our historical order flow patterns, which we expect to continue, have caused forecasting difficulties for us. If we do not meet our forecasts or analysts forecasts for us, the price of our common stock may decline.

Historically, a significant portion of our sales have resulted from shipments during the last few weeks of the quarter from orders received in the final month of the applicable quarter. We do, however, base our expense levels, in significant part, on our expectations of future revenue. As a result, we expect our expense levels to be relatively fixed in the short term. Any concentration of sales at the end of the quarter may limit our ability to plan or adjust operating expenses. Therefore, if anticipated shipments in any quarter do not occur or are delayed, expenditure levels could be disproportionately high as a percentage of sales, and our operating results for that quarter would be adversely affected. As a result, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations are not and will not necessarily be meaningful, and you should not rely upon them as an indication of future performance. If our operating results for a quarter are below the expectations of public market analysts and investors, the price of our common stock may be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Defects or malfunctions in our products could hurt our reputation, sales and profitability.

Our business and the level of customer acceptance of our products depend upon the continuous, effective and reliable operation of our products. Our products are extremely complex and are continually being modified and improved, and as such may contain undetected defects or errors when first introduced or as new versions are released. To the extent that defects or errors cause our products to malfunction and our customers use of our products is interrupted, our reputation could suffer and our revenue could decline or be delayed while such defects are remedied. We may also be subject to liability for the defects and malfunctions of third party technology partners and others with whom our products and services are integrated.

In addition, our products are typically intended for use in applications that are critical to a customer's business. As a result, we believe that our customers and potential customers have a greater sensitivity to product defects than the market for software products in general. There can be no assurance that, despite our testing, errors will not be found in new products or releases after commencement of commercial shipments, resulting in loss of revenues or delay in market acceptance, diversion of development resources, damage to our reputation, adverse litigation, or increased service and warranty costs, any of which would have a material adverse effect upon our business, operating results and financial condition.

We face risks related to the storage of our customers' and their end users' confidential and proprietary information.

Our products are designed to maintain the confidentiality and security of our customers' and their end users' confidential and proprietary information that is stored on our systems, which may include sensitive financial data. However, any accidental or willful security breaches or other unauthorized access to this data could expose us to liability for the loss of such information, time-consuming and expensive litigation and other possible liabilities as well as negative publicity. Techniques used to obtain unauthorized access or to sabotage systems change frequently and generally are difficult to recognize and react to. We may be unable to anticipate these techniques or to implement adequate preventative or reactionary measures.

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

If the patents we own or license, or our other intellectual property rights, do not adequately protect our technologies, we may lose market share to our competitors and be unable to operate our business profitably.

Our success depends significantly on our ability to protect our rights to the technologies used in our products, including Mobile Deposit[®]. We rely on trademark, trade secret, copyright and patent law, as well as a combination of non-disclosure, confidentiality and other contractual arrangements to protect our technology and rights. However, these legal means afford only limited protection and may not adequately protect our rights or permit us to gain or maintain any competitive advantage. In addition, we cannot be assured that any of our pending patent applications will result in the issuance of a patent to us. The PTO may deny or require significant narrowing of claims in our pending patent applications, and patents issued as a result of the pending patent applications, if any, may not provide us with significant commercial protection or may not be issued in a form that is advantageous to us. We could also incur substantial costs in proceedings before the PTO. Our issued and licensed patents and those that may be issued or licensed in the future may expire or may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, which could limit our ability to stop competitors from marketing related technologies. Additionally, upon expiration of our issued or licensed patents, we may lose some of our rights to exclude others from making, using, selling or importing products using the technology based on the expired patents. We also must rely on contractual provisions with the third parties that license technology to us and that obligate these third parties to protect our rights in the technology licensed to us. There is no guarantee that these third parties would be successful in attempting to protect our rights in any such licensed technology. There is no assurance that competitors will not be able to design around our patents or other intellectual property or any intellectual property or technology licensed to us. We also rely on unpatented proprietary technology. We cannot

Table of Contents

assure you that we can meaningfully protect all our rights in our unpatented proprietary technology or that others will not independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary products or processes or otherwise gain access to our unpatented proprietary technology.

We seek to protect our know-how and other unpatented proprietary technology with confidentiality agreements and intellectual property assignment agreements with our employees, consultants, partners, and customers. However, such agreements may not be enforceable or may not provide meaningful protection for our proprietary information in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure or other breaches of the agreements or in the event that our competitors discover or independently develop similar or identical designs or other proprietary information. In addition, we rely on the use of registered and common law trademarks with respect to the brand names of some of our products. Common law trademarks provide less protection than registered trademarks. Loss of rights in our trademarks could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Furthermore, the laws of foreign countries may not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the U.S. If we fail to apply for intellectual property protection or if we cannot adequately protect our intellectual property rights in these foreign countries, our competitors may be able to compete more effectively against us, which could adversely affect our competitive position, as well as our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Claims that we infringe upon the rights, or have otherwise utilized proprietary information, of third parties may give rise to costly and lengthy litigation, and we could be prevented from selling products, forced to pay damages, and defend against litigation.

In the past, third parties have asserted claims that certain technologies incorporated in our products infringe on their patent rights. Although we have resolved past claims, there is currently a claim pending against us by United Services Automobile Association (USAA) that we have utilized their proprietary information in our patents and Mobile Deposit® product and that they may have co-inventorship rights to such patents, and there can be no assurance that we will not receive notices in the future from parties asserting, directly or indirectly through our customers, that our products infringe, or may infringe, on their intellectual property rights, or otherwise utilize their proprietary information. If our technology and products are found to infringe upon or otherwise utilize the proprietary rights of other parties, we could incur substantial costs and we may have to:

obtain licenses, which may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all, and may be non-exclusive, thereby giving our competitors access to the same intellectual property licensed to us;

expend significant resources to redesign our products or technology to avoid infringement;

discontinue the use and sale of infringing products;

pay substantial damages; and

defend litigation or administrative proceedings which may be costly whether we win or lose, and which could result in a substantial diversion of our valuable management resources and limit our exclusive rights to the technology we have developed.

Furthermore, we may, as we have with the USAA claims and our current claims against Top Image Systems Ltd., described elsewhere in this Form 10-K, initiate claims or litigation against parties for infringement of our proprietary rights or to establish the validity of our proprietary rights. Litigation, either as plaintiff or defendant, could result in significant expense to us, whether or not such litigation is resolved in our favor. Even if we were to prevail, any litigation could be costly and time-consuming and would divert the attention of our management and key personnel from our business operations. As a result of a patent infringement or other intellectual property suit brought against us or our channel partners or licensees, we or our channel partners or licensees may be forced to stop or delay developing, manufacturing or selling technologies or potential products that are claimed to infringe on a third party's intellectual property rights unless that party grants us or our channel partners or

Table of Contents

licensees rights to use its intellectual property. Ultimately, we may be unable to develop some of our technologies or potential products or may have to discontinue development of a product candidate or cease some of our business operations as a result of patent infringement or other intellectual property claims, which could severely harm our business.

Risks Related to our Operations

If we are unable to retain and recruit qualified personnel, or if any of our key executives or key employees discontinues his or her employment with us, it may have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are highly dependent on the key members of our management team and other key technical personnel. If we were to lose the services of one or more of our key personnel, or if we failed to attract and retain additional qualified personnel, it could materially and adversely affect our customer relationships, competitive position and revenues. Furthermore, recruiting and retaining qualified highly skilled engineers involved in the ongoing developments required to refine our technologies and to introduce future applications is critical to our success. We may be unable to attract, assimilate and retain qualified personnel on acceptable terms given the competition within the high technology industry. We do not have any employment agreements providing for a specific term of employment with any member of our senior management. We do not maintain key man insurance policies on any of our officers or employees.

We plan to grant stock options or other forms of equity awards in the future as a method of attracting and retaining employees, motivating performance and aligning the interests of employees with those of our stockholders. As of November 29, 2013, we had 371,503 shares of common stock available for issuance pursuant to future grants of equity awards under our existing equity compensation plans, which will limit our ability to provide equity incentive awards to existing and future employees. If we are unable to adopt, implement and maintain equity compensation arrangements that provide sufficient incentives, we may be unable to retain our existing employees and attract additional qualified candidates. If we are unable to retain our existing employees, including qualified technical personnel, and attract additional qualified candidates, our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Legislation and governmental regulations enacted in the U.S. and other countries that apply to us or to our customers may require us to change our current products and services and/or result in additional expenses, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Legislation and governmental regulations affect how our business is conducted, including changes in legislation and governmental regulations impacting financial institutions, insurance companies and mobile device companies. Globally, legislation and governmental regulations also influence our current and prospective customers' activities, as well as their expectations and needs in relation to our products and services. Compliance with these laws and regulations may be onerous and expensive, and may be inconsistent from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, further increasing the cost of compliance. Any such increase in costs as a result of changes in these laws and regulations or in their interpretation could individually or in the aggregate make our products and services less attractive to our customers, delay the introduction of new products in one or more regions, cause us to change or limit our business practices or affect our financial condition and operating results.

Compliance with changing regulations concerning corporate governance and public disclosure may result in additional expenses.

In recent years, there have been several changes in laws, rules, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act), the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Sarbanes-Oxley) and various other new regulations promulgated by the SEC and rules promulgated by the national securities exchanges.

The Dodd-Frank Act, enacted in July 2010, expands federal regulation of corporate governance matters and imposes requirements on publicly-held companies, including us, to, among other things, provide stockholders

Table of Contents

with a periodic advisory vote on executive compensation and also adds compensation committee reforms and enhanced pay-for-performance disclosures. While some provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act are effective upon enactment, others will be implemented upon the SEC's adoption of related rules and regulations. The scope and timing of the adoption of such rules and regulations is uncertain and accordingly, the cost of compliance with the Dodd-Frank Act is also uncertain.

In addition, Sarbanes-Oxley specifically requires, among other things, that we maintain effective internal control over financial reporting and disclosure of controls and procedures. In particular, we must perform system and process evaluation and testing of our internal control over financial reporting to allow management to report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act (Section 404), and our independent registered public accounting firm is required to attest to our internal control over financial reporting. Our testing, or the subsequent testing by our independent registered public accounting firm may reveal deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting that are deemed to be material weaknesses. Our compliance with Section 404 will require that we incur substantial accounting expense and expend significant management efforts. We currently have limited internal audit capabilities and will need to hire additional accounting and financial staff with appropriate public company experience and technical accounting knowledge. Moreover, if we are not able to comply with the requirements of Section 404 in a timely manner, or if we or our independent registered public accounting firm identifies deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting that are deemed to be material weaknesses, the market price of our stock could decline, and we could be subject to sanctions or investigations by the SEC or other regulatory authorities, which would require additional financial and management resources.

These and other new or changed laws, rules, regulations and standards are, or will be, subject to varying interpretations in many cases due to their lack of specificity. As a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies, which could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure and governance practices. Our efforts to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards are likely to continue to result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities. Further, compliance with new and existing laws, rules, regulations and standards may make it more difficult and expensive for us to maintain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to accept reduced coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain coverage. Members of our board of directors and our principal executive officer and principal financial officer could face an increased risk of personal liability in connection with the performance of their duties. As a result, we may have difficulty attracting and retaining qualified directors and executive officers, which could harm our business. We continually evaluate and monitor regulatory developments and cannot estimate the timing or magnitude of additional costs we may incur as a result.

Our restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws provide for indemnification of officers and directors at our expense and limits their liability, which may result in a major cost to us and hurt the interests of our stockholders because corporate resources may be expended for the benefit of officers and/or directors.

Pursuant to our restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws and as authorized under applicable Delaware law, our directors and officers are not liable for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty, except for liability (i) for any breach of the director's duty of loyalty to the corporation or its stockholders; (ii) for acts or omissions not in good faith or that involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law; (iii) under Section 174 of the Delaware General Corporation Law (the DGCL); or (iv) for any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit.

We have entered into a separate Indemnification Agreement (the Indemnification Agreement) with each of our directors. Under the Indemnification Agreement, each director is entitled to be indemnified against all expenses, judgments, penalties, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by or on

Table of Contents

behalf of such director in connection with any claims, proceedings or other actions brought against such director as a result of the director's service to us, provided that the director (i) acted in good faith; (ii) reasonably believed the action was in our best interest; and (iii) in criminal proceedings, reasonably believed the conduct was not unlawful. Additionally, the Indemnification Agreement entitles each director to contribution of expenses from us in any proceeding in which we are jointly liable with such director, but for which indemnification is not otherwise available. The Indemnification Agreement also entitles each director to advancement of expenses incurred by such director in connection with any claim, proceeding or other action in advance of the final adjudication of any such claim, proceeding or other action, provided the director agrees to reimburse us for all such advances if it shall ultimately be determined that the director is not entitled to indemnification.

The foregoing limitations of liability and provisions for expenses may result in a major cost to us and hurt the interests of our stockholders because corporate resources may be expended for the benefit of officers and/or directors.

From time-to-time our board of directors explores and considers strategic alternatives, including financings, strategic alliances, acquisitions, or the possible sale of the Company. Our board of directors may not be able to identify or complete any suitable strategic alternatives and any such alternatives that are completed could have an impact on our operations or stock price.

From time-to-time our board of directors explores and considers potential strategic alternatives that may be available to us, including financings, strategic alliances, acquisitions, or the possible sale of the Company. We currently have no agreements or commitments to engage in any specific strategic transactions, and we cannot assure you that our exploration of various strategic alternatives will result in any specific action or transaction. If we determine to engage in a strategic transaction, we cannot predict the impact that such strategic transaction might have on our operations or stock price. We do not intend to provide updates or make further comments regarding the evaluation of strategic alternatives, unless otherwise required by law.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Concentration of ownership among our existing directors and executive officers may limit an investor's ability to influence significant corporate decisions.

As of November 29, 2013: (i) the Chairman of our board of directors and his spouse, who is also a member of our board of directors, beneficially owned approximately 8% of our outstanding common stock; and (ii) our directors and executive officers as a group beneficially owned approximately 15% of our outstanding common stock. Subject to any fiduciary duties owed to our other stockholders under Delaware law, these stockholders may be able to exercise significant influence over matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, and will have some control over our management and policies. Some of these persons may have interests that are different from yours. For example, these stockholders may support proposals and actions with which you may disagree. The concentration of ownership could delay or prevent a change in control of the Company or otherwise discourage a potential acquirer from attempting to obtain control of the Company, which in turn could reduce the price of our stock. In addition, these stockholders could use their voting influence to maintain our existing management and directors in office, delay or prevent changes in control of the Company, or support or reject other management and board proposals that are subject to stockholder approval, such as amendments to our employee stock plans and approvals of significant financing transactions.

Future sales of our common stock by our insiders may cause our stock price to decline.

A significant portion of our outstanding shares are held by directors and executive officers. Resales of a substantial number of shares of our stock by these stockholders, announcements of the proposed resale of substantial amounts of our stock or the perception that substantial resales may be made by such stockholders, could adversely impact the market price of our stock. Some of our directors and executive officers have entered

Table of Contents

into Rule 10b5-1 trading plans pursuant to which they have arranged to sell shares of our stock from time to time in the future. Actual or potential sales by these insiders, including those under a pre-arranged Rule 10b5-1 trading plan, could be interpreted by the market as an indication that the insider has lost confidence in our stock and adversely impact the market price of our stock.

We have registered and expect to continue to register shares reserved under our equity plans under a registration statement on Form S-8. All shares issued pursuant to a registration statement on Form S-8 can be freely sold in the public market upon issuance, subject to restrictions on our affiliates under Rule 144 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"). If a large number of these shares are sold in the public market, the sales could adversely impact the trading price of our stock.

Future sales of our common stock could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

We cannot predict the effect, if any, that market sales of shares of our common stock or the availability of shares of our common stock for sale will have on the market price of our common stock prevailing from time to time. On November 14, 2011, we filed a universal shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-177965), which provides for the potential issuance of shares of our common stock, preferred stock, debt securities, warrants and units up to an aggregate amount of \$100,000,000 and the resale of shares of our common stock up to an aggregate amount of 800,000 shares. Following the public offering of shares of our common stock that closed on June 28, 2013, including the exercise of the overallotment option (the "Offering"), we can potentially issue up to approximately \$82,750,000 in equity and debt securities pursuant to such registration statement. Sales of substantial amounts of shares of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that those sales could occur, may cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

The shares of common stock issued in connection with the Offering are freely tradable without restriction or further registrations under the Securities Act.

Our corporate documents and Delaware law contain provisions that could discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our company, prevent attempts to replace or remove current management and reduce the market price of our stock.

Provisions in our restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or acquisition involving us that our stockholders may consider favorable. For example, our restated certificate of incorporation authorizes our board of directors to issue up to one million shares of "blank check" preferred stock. As a result, without further stockholder approval, the board of directors has the authority to attach special rights, including voting and dividend rights, to this preferred stock. With these rights, preferred stockholders could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us.

We are also subject to the anti-takeover provisions of the DGCL. Under these provisions, if anyone becomes an "interested stockholder," we may not enter into a "business combination" with that person for three years without special approval, which could discourage a third party from making a takeover offer and could delay or prevent a change in control of us. An "interested stockholder" is, generally, a stockholder who owns 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock or an affiliate of ours who has owned 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock during the past three years, subject to certain exceptions as described in the DGCL.

The market price of our common stock has been volatile and your investment in our stock could suffer a decline in value.

The market price of our common stock has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations. For example, during the two year period ended September 30, 2013, the closing price of our common stock ranged from \$1.98 to \$12.58. In addition, the stock market has from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have particularly affected the market prices for the common stock of technology

Table of Contents

companies and that have often been unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. You may not be able to resell your shares at or above the price you paid for them due to fluctuations in the market price of our stock caused by changes in our operating performance or prospects and other factors.

Some specific factors, in addition to the other risk factors identified above, that may have a significant effect on the price of our stock, many of which we cannot control, include but are not limited to:

our announcements or our competitors' announcements of technological innovations;

quarterly variations in operating results;

changes in our product pricing policies or those of our competitors;

claims of infringement of intellectual property rights or other litigation;

the public's reaction to our press releases, our other public announcements and our filings with the SEC;

changes in accounting standards, policies, guidance, interpretations or principles;

changes in our growth rate or our competitors' growth rates;

developments regarding our patents or proprietary rights or those of our competitors;

our inability to raise additional capital as needed;

changes in financial markets or general economic conditions;

sales of stock by us or members of our management team or board of directors; and

changes in stock market analyst recommendations or earnings estimates regarding our stock, other comparable companies or our industry generally.

Because we do not intend to pay dividends, our stockholders will benefit from an investment in our common stock only if our stock price appreciates in value.

We have never declared or paid a dividend on our common stock. We currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, for use in the operation and expansion of our business and do not expect to pay any dividends in the foreseeable future. As a result, the success of an investment in our common stock will depend entirely upon any future appreciation in its value. There is no guarantee that our common stock will appreciate in value or even maintain the price at which it was purchased.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

Our principal executive offices, as well as our research and development facility, are located in approximately 22,523 square feet of office space in San Diego, California. The term of the lease for our offices continues through June 30, 2019. The annual base rent under the lease is approximately \$471,000 per year and is subject to annual increases of approximately 3% per year. Under the terms of the lease, we issued a standby letter of credit to the landlord that allows for one or more draws of up to \$210,000 over the term of the lease. We believe our existing properties are in good condition and are sufficient and suitable for the conduct of our business.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

USAA

On March 29, 2012, USAA filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas San Antonio Division against us seeking, among other things, a declaratory judgment that USAA does not infringe certain of our patents relating to Mobile Deposit® and that such patents are not enforceable against USAA. In addition, USAA alleges that it disclosed confidential information to us and that we used such information in our patents and Mobile Deposit® product in an unspecified manner. USAA seeks damages and injunctive relief. USAA subsequently amended its pleadings to assert a claim for false advertising and reverse palming off under the Lanham Act, and to seek reimbursement under the parties' license agreement.

On April 12, 2012, we filed a lawsuit against USAA in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging that USAA infringes five of our patents relating to image capture on mobile devices, breached the parties' license agreement by using our products beyond the scope of the agreed-upon license terms and breached the parties' license agreement by disclosing confidential pricing and other confidential information for our legacy product installation in the lawsuit USAA filed in Texas.

The courts consolidated the foregoing cases in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, and on November 19, 2012, we answered USAA's various claims and counterclaims, moved to dismiss USAA's Lanham Act cause of action and filed a counterclaim against USAA for violation of the Lanham Act. On February 15, 2013, the court granted our motion and dismissed USAA's Lanham Act claim.

We believe that USAA's claims are without merit and intend to vigorously defend against those claims and pursue our claims against USAA. We do not believe that the results of USAA's claims will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Top Image Systems Ltd.

On September 26, 2012, we filed a lawsuit against Israeli-based Top Image Systems Ltd. and TIS America Inc. (collectively, "TISA") in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging that TISA infringes five of our patents relating to image capture on mobile devices. We are seeking damages against TISA and injunctive relief to prevent them from selling their mobile imaging products.

On January 7, 2013, TISA answered our complaint by denying the allegations and raising several affirmative defenses. On January 11, 2013, we amended our complaint to add our sixth patent, which had recently been issued and also relates to image capture on mobile devices. On January 28, 2013, TISA responded to our amended complaint by again denying the allegations and raising the same affirmative defenses that they raised in their answer to our initial complaint.

Other Legal Matters

In addition to the foregoing, we are subject to various claims and legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of our business. While any legal proceeding has an element of uncertainty, we believe that the disposition of such matters, in the aggregate, will not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

None.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.****Market Information**

Our common stock trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the ticker symbol MITK. The closing sales price of our common stock on November 29, 2013 was \$6.99.

The following table sets forth, for the fiscal period indicated, the high and low closing sales prices per share of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market.

| | High | Low |
|---|---------|---------|
| FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 5.92 | \$ 4.90 |
| Third Quarter | 7.36 | 4.00 |
| Second Quarter | 4.97 | 3.30 |
| First Quarter | 3.61 | 2.05 |
| FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 4.89 | \$ 2.74 |
| Third Quarter | 9.37 | 1.98 |
| Second Quarter | 12.58 | 7.02 |
| First Quarter | 12.05 | 6.60 |

Holdings

As of November 29, 2012, there were 340 shareholders of record of our common stock and an undetermined number of beneficial owners.

Dividends

We have not paid any dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain earnings for use in our business and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement filed in connection with our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or an amendment to this Form 10-K to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended September 30, 2013.

Sales of Equity Securities During the Period

All equity securities that we sold during the period covered by this Form 10-K that were not registered under the Securities Act have been previously reported in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q or on our current reports on Form 8-K.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following information shall not be deemed to be filed with the SEC nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into such future filing.

The following graph and table compare the cumulative total stockholder return data for our common stock from September 30, 2008 through September 30, 2013 to the cumulative return over such period of (i) a broad market index, the NASDAQ Composite Index and (ii) an industry index, the NASDAQ-100 Technology Sector Index. The graph and table assume that \$100 was invested in our common stock at \$0.28 per share on September 30, 2008, and in each of the referenced indices, and assumes reinvestment of all dividends. The stock price performance on the following graph and table is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

Comparison of 5 Year Cumulative Total Return

Among Mitek Systems, Inc., the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ-100 Technology Sector Index

The graph above reflects the following values:

| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| MITK | \$ 100.00 | \$ 350.00 | \$ 635.71 | \$ 3,303.57 | \$ 1,153.57 | \$ 1,842.86 |
| NASDAQ Composite | \$ 100.00 | \$ 101.46 | \$ 113.23 | \$ 115.47 | \$ 148.97 | \$ 180.29 |
| NASDAQ-100 Technology Sector Index | \$ 100.00 | \$ 118.05 | \$ 139.18 | \$ 134.20 | \$ 159.47 | \$ 200.58 |

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.**

The following selected financial data has been derived from our audited financial statements. This data should be read in conjunction with Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our financial statements and related notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of operating results to be expected in the future.

Selected Financial Data

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

| | Year Ended September 30, | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Income Statement Data | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 14,803 | \$ 9,093 | \$ 10,266 | \$ 5,119 | \$ 3,619 |
| Operating (loss) income | \$ (7,300) | \$ (7,881) | \$ 256 | \$ (384) | \$ (1,320) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (7,276) | \$ (7,840) | \$ (125) | \$ (682) | \$ (1,322) |
| Net loss per share - basic and diluted | \$ (0.26) | \$ (0.31) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.08) |
| Balance Sheet Data | | | | | |
| Working capital | \$ 25,363 | \$ 11,001 | \$ 17,344 | \$ 1,420 | \$ (280) |
| Total assets | \$ 32,853 | \$ 16,723 | \$ 19,852 | \$ 3,008 | \$ 1,601 |
| Long-term debt | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 680 | \$ |
| Stockholders' equity | \$ 25,729 | \$ 13,557 | \$ 18,055 | \$ 1,001 | \$ 126 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

You should read this discussion together with the financial statements, related notes and other financial information included in this Form 10-K. The following discussion may contain predictions, estimates and other forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties, including those discussed under Item 1A Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Form 10-K. These risks could cause our actual results to differ materially from any future performance suggested below. Please see Important Note About Forward Looking Statements at the beginning of this Form 10-K.

Overview

Mitek Systems, Inc. is a mobile solutions provider engaged in the development, sale and service of its proprietary software solutions related to mobile imaging.

We apply our patented technology in image capture, correction and intelligent data extraction in the mobile financial and business applications markets. Our technology allows users to remotely deposit checks, pay bills, transfer credit card balances, open accounts and get insurance quotes by taking pictures of various documents with their camera-equipped smartphones and tablets instead of using the device keyboard. Our products use advanced algorithms to correct image distortion, extract relevant data, route images to their desired location and process transactions through users' financial institutions. As of November 21, 2013, we have been granted 18 patents and have an additional 21 patent applications pending.

Our Mobile Deposit[®] product is software that allows users to remotely deposit a check using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet. As of September 30, 2013, 1,420 financial institutions have signed agreements to deploy Mobile Deposit[®], and 805 of these financial institutions have deployed Mobile Deposit[®] to their customers, including all of the top ten U.S. retail banks and more than two-thirds of the top 50 U.S. retail banks and payment processing companies, as ranked by SNL Financial for the second quarter of calendar 2013. Other mobile imaging software solutions we offer include Mobile Photo Bill Pay[®], a mobile bill payment product that allows users to pay their bills using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet, Mobile Balance Transfer, a product that allows credit card issuers to provide an offer to users and transfer an existing credit card balance by capturing an image of the user's current credit card statement, Mobile Photo Account Opening, a product that enables users to open a checking, savings or credit card account by capturing an image of the front and back of their driver's license with their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet, and Mobile Photo Quoting, a product that enables users to receive insurance quotes by using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet to take a picture of their driver's license and insurance card. Our mobile imaging software solutions can be accessed by smartphones and tablets using iOS and Android operating systems.

We market and sell our mobile imaging software solutions through channel partners or directly to enterprise customers that typically purchase licenses based on the number of transactions or subscribers that use our mobile software. Our mobile imaging software solutions are often embedded in other mobile banking or enterprise applications developed by banks, insurance companies or their partners, and marketed under their own proprietary brands.

Market Opportunities, Challenges and Risks

The increase in the acceptance of mobile banking by financial institutions and their customers has helped drive our recent growth in revenue. In the past year, we experienced a significant increase in the number of financial institutions that have integrated and launched our mobile applications, particularly our Mobile Deposit[®] product, as part of their offering of mobile banking choices for their customers. We believe that financial institutions see our patented solutions as a way to provide an enhanced customer experience in mobile banking.

Table of Contents

To sustain our growth in 2014 and beyond, we believe we must continue to offer imaging technology for mobile applications that address a growing market for mobile banking and mobile imaging solutions sold into other vertical markets. Factors adversely affecting the pricing of or demand for our mobile applications, such as competition from other products or technologies, any decline in the demand for mobile applications, or negative publicity or obsolescence of the software environments in which our products operate, could result in lower revenues or gross margins. Further, because most of our revenues are from a single type of technology, our product concentration may make us especially vulnerable to market demand and competition from other technologies, which could reduce our revenues.

The implementation cycles for our software and services by our channel partners and customers can be lengthy, often a minimum of three to six months and sometimes longer for larger customers, and require significant investments. For example, as of September 30, 2013, we executed agreements indirectly through channel partners or directly with customers covering 1,420 Mobile Deposit[®] customers, 805 of whom have completed implementation and launched Mobile Deposit[®] to their customers. If implementation of our products by our channel partners and customers is delayed or otherwise not completed, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

We derive revenue predominately from the sale of licenses to use the products covered by our patented technologies, such as our Mobile Deposit[®] product, and to a lesser extent by providing maintenance and professional services for the products we offer. The revenue we derive from the sale of such licenses is primarily derived from the sale to our channel partners of licenses to sell the applications we offer. Revenues related to most of our licenses for mobile products are required to be recognized up front upon satisfaction of all applicable revenue recognition criteria. The recognition of future revenues from these licenses is dependent upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the term of our license agreements, the timing of implementation of our products by our channel partners and customers and the timing of any re-orders of additional licenses and/or license renewals by our channel partners and customers.

During each of the last few years, sales of licenses to one or more channel partners have comprised a significant part of our revenue each year. This is attributable to the timing of renewals or purchases a license and does not represent a dependence on any channel partner. If we were to lose a channel partner relationship, we do not believe such a loss would adversely affect our operations because either we or another channel partner could sell our products to the end-user that purchased products from the channel partner we lost. However, in that case, we or another channel partner must establish a relationship with the end-users, which could take time to develop, if it develops at all.

We have numerous competitors in the mobile payments industry, many of which have greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. However, we believe our patented imaging and analytics technology, our growing portfolio of products for the financial services industry and our position as a pure play mobile payments company provides us with a competitive advantage. To remain competitive, we must be able to continue to offer products that are attractive to the ultimate end-user and that are secure, accurate and convenient. We intend to continue to further strengthen our portfolio of products through research and development to help us remain competitive. We may have difficulty adapting to changing market conditions and developing enhancements to our software applications on a timely basis in order to maintain our competitive advantage. Our continued growth will ultimately depend upon our ability to develop additional applications and attract strategic alliances to sell such technologies.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****Comparison of the Year Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012**

The following table summarizes certain aspects of our results of operations for the year ended September 30, 2013 compared to the year ended September 30, 2012 (in thousands, except percentages):

| | 2013 | 2012 | Change \$ | Change % |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Revenue | | | | |
| Software | \$ 10,716 | \$ 6,387 | \$ 4,329 | 68% |
| Maintenance and professional services | 4,087 | 2,706 | 1,381 | 51% |
| Total revenue | \$ 14,803 | \$ 9,093 | \$ 5,710 | 63% |
| Cost of revenue | \$ 1,604 | \$ 1,264 | \$ 340 | 27% |
| % of revenue | 11% | 14% | | |
| Selling and marketing | \$ 5,852 | \$ 3,450 | \$ 2,402 | 70% |
| % of revenue | 40% | 38% | | |
| Research and development | \$ 6,793 | \$ 6,664 | \$ 129 | 2% |
| % of revenue | 46% | 73% | | |
| General and administrative | \$ 7,853 | \$ 5,596 | \$ 2,257 | 40% |
| % of revenue | 53% | 62% | | |
| Other income (expense), net | \$ 25 | \$ 37 | \$ (12) | (32%) |
| % of revenue | 0% | 0% | | |

Revenue

Total revenue increased \$5,710,502, or 63%, to \$14,803,185 in 2013 compared to \$9,092,683 in 2012. The increase was primarily due to an increase in sales of software licenses of \$4,330,144, or 68%, to \$10,716,505 in 2013 compared to \$6,386,361 in 2012. The increase in software license revenue primarily relates to increases in sales of our Mobile Deposit® product due to an increase in the number of large software licenses purchased by partners and customers and the timing of license renewals in 2013 compared to 2012. Maintenance and professional services revenue increased \$1,380,358, or 51%, to \$4,086,680 in 2013 compared to \$2,706,322 in 2012 primarily due to the sale of additional software license arrangements, which typically include recurring maintenance contracts.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue includes the costs of royalties for third party products embedded in our products, the cost of reproduction of compact discs and other media devices and shipping costs, and personnel costs related to software support and billable professional services engagements. Cost of revenue increased \$339,679, or 27%, to \$1,603,599 in 2013 compared to \$1,263,920 in 2012. The increase in cost of revenue is primarily due to the increase in revenue and increased professional services activity on billable engagements. As a percentage of revenue, cost of revenue decreased to 11% in 2013 compared to 14% in 2012 primarily due to a larger mix of higher margin mobile products.

Selling and Marketing Expenses

Selling and marketing expenses include payroll, employee benefits and other headcount-related costs associated with sales and marketing personnel, non-billable time for professional services personnel and advertising, promotions, trade shows, seminars and other programs. Selling and marketing expenses increased

Table of Contents

\$2,402,394, or 70%, to \$5,852,448 in 2013 compared to \$3,450,054 in 2012. As a percentage of revenue, selling and marketing expenses increased to 40% in 2013 compared to 38% in 2012. The increase is primarily due to increased personnel-related costs, including stock-based and other incentive compensation expense and recruiting costs, totaling \$2,026,832 related to an increase in headcount associated with the growth of our business, as well as increased travel expenses of \$199,085 and depreciation of \$69,349.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses include payroll, employee benefits, consultant expenses and other headcount-related costs associated with software engineering, mobile imaging science, and product management and support. These costs are incurred to develop new software products and to maintain and enhance existing products. We retain what we believe to be sufficient staff to sustain our existing product lines and develop new, feature-rich products. We also employ research personnel, whose efforts are instrumental in ensuring product development from current technologies to anticipated future generations of products within our markets.

Research and development expenses increased \$129,382, or 2%, to \$6,793,412 in 2013 compared to \$6,664,030 in 2012. The increase is primarily due to higher personnel-related costs, including stock-based and other incentive compensation expense and recruiting costs, totaling \$378,201 related to an increase in headcount associated with the growth of our business, partially offset by a decrease in outside contract services of \$278,350. As a percentage of revenue, research and development expenses decreased to 46% in 2013, compared to 73% in 2012, primarily due to the increase in revenue.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses include payroll, employee benefits, and other headcount-related costs associated with finance, administration and information technology, as well as legal, accounting, and other administrative fees. General and administrative expenses increased \$2,257,421, or 40%, to \$7,853,264 in 2013 compared to \$5,595,843 in 2012. The increase is primarily due to an increase in legal fees of \$1,711,461 related to intellectual property litigation and patent prosecution activity, as well as increased personnel-related costs of \$562,702, including stock-based and other incentive compensation expenses related to an increase in headcount associated with the growth of our business. As a percentage of revenue, general and administrative expenses decreased to 53% in 2013 compared to 62% in 2012, primarily due to the increase in revenue.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Interest and other expense, net was \$6,862 in 2013 compared to \$7,224 in 2012, a decrease of \$362, or 5%, primarily related to capital lease interest. Interest income was \$31,770 in 2013 compared to \$44,384 in 2012, a decrease of \$12,614, or 28%, due to lower cash balances prior to the Offering and a decrease in investment returns during 2013.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****Comparison of the Year Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011**

The following table summarizes certain aspects of our results of operations for the year ended September 30, 2012 compared to the year ended September 30, 2011 (*in thousands, except percentages*):

| | 2012 | 2011 | Change \$ | Change % |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|------------|----------|
| Revenue | | | | |
| Software | \$ 6,387 | \$ 8,123 | \$ (1,736) | (21%) |
| Maintenance and professional services | 2,706 | 2,143 | 563 | 26% |
| Total revenue | \$ 9,093 | \$ 10,266 | \$ (1,173) | (11%) |
| Cost of revenue | \$ 1,264 | \$ 1,172 | \$ 92 | 8% |
| % of revenue | 14% | 11% | | |
| Selling and marketing | \$ 3,450 | \$ 2,411 | \$ 1,039 | 43% |
| % of revenue | 38% | 23% | | |
| Research and development | \$ 6,664 | \$ 2,996 | \$ 3,668 | 122% |
| % of revenue | 73% | 29% | | |
| General and administrative | \$ 5,596 | \$ 3,431 | \$ 2,165 | 63% |
| % of revenue | 62% | 33% | | |
| Other income (expense), net | \$ 37 | \$ (379) | \$ 416 | (110%) |
| % of revenue | 0% | (4%) | | |

Revenue

Total revenue decreased \$1,173,292, or 11%, to \$9,092,683 in 2012 compared to \$10,265,975 in 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in sales of software licenses of \$1,736,383, or 21%, to \$6,386,361 in 2012 compared to \$8,122,744 in 2011. The decrease in software license revenue primarily relates to decreases in sales of our Mobile Deposit® products due to fewer large software licenses by partners and customers in 2012, compared to 2011. Maintenance and professional services revenue increased \$563,091, or 26%, to \$2,706,322 in 2012 compared to \$2,143,231 in 2011 primarily due to an increase in recurring maintenance contracts, as well as additional software product sales during 2012.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue increased \$92,186, or 8%, to \$1,263,920 in 2012 compared to \$1,171,734 in 2011. As a percentage of revenue, cost of revenue increased to 14% in 2012 compared to 11% in 2011 primarily due to a smaller mix of higher margin mobile products. The increase is primarily due to an increase in personnel costs related to software support due to additional headcount and increased professional services activity on billable engagements.

Selling and Marketing Expenses

Selling and marketing expenses increased \$1,039,343, or 43%, to \$3,450,054 in 2012 compared to \$2,410,711 in 2011. As a percentage of revenue, selling and marketing expenses increased to 38% in 2012 compared to 23% in 2011. The increase is primarily due to increased personnel-related costs, including stock-based and other incentive compensation expense, totaling \$482,024 related to an increase in headcount associated with the growth of our business, as well as increased marketing program expenses totaling \$411,109.

Table of Contents***Research and Development Expenses***

Research and development expenses increased \$3,667,921, or 122%, to \$6,664,030 in 2012 compared to \$2,996,109 in 2011. As a percentage of revenue, research and development expenses increased to 73% in 2012 compared to 29% in 2011. The increase is primarily due to higher personnel-related costs, including stock-based and other incentive compensation expense, totaling \$2,671,638 related to an increase in headcount associated with the growth of our business, as well as an increase in outside contract services of \$961,020.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased \$2,164,820, or 63%, to \$5,595,843 in 2012 compared to \$3,431,023 in 2011. As a percentage of revenue, general and administrative expenses increased to 62% in 2012 compared to 33% in 2011. The increase is primarily due to increased personnel-related costs, including stock-based and other incentive compensation expenses, totaling \$1,254,414 related to an increase in headcount associated with the growth of our business, and an increase in legal fees of \$747,133 related to intellectual property litigation and patent prosecution activity.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Interest and other expense, net was \$7,224 in 2012 compared to \$389,494 in 2011, a decrease of \$382,270, or 98%. During 2011, we incurred expenses associated with the accretion of the discount on our convertible debentures and accrued interest on the principal amount of those convertible debentures, including the remaining unamortized discount of \$319,836 related to the beneficial conversion feature at the time of the conversion of the debentures. These expenses did not recur in 2012. Interest income was \$44,384 in 2012 compared to \$10,531 in 2011, an increase of \$33,853 due to higher cash balances and related investment returns during 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

On September 30, 2013, we had \$29,025,328 in cash and cash equivalents and investments compared to \$14,607,317 on September 30, 2012, an increase of \$14,418,011, or 99%. The increase in cash and cash equivalents and investments was primarily due to an increase in cash provided by investing and financing activities. During June 2013, we sold 2,857,142 shares of our common stock at a price of \$5.25 per share in the Offering and received \$13,877,447 in net proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and other offering expenses of \$1,122,549. Under the terms of the underwriting agreement for the Offering, we granted the underwriter a 30-day option to purchase an additional 428,571 shares of our common stock to cover overallocments. The underwriter exercised its overallocation option during June 2013 and the closing of the sale of shares of our common stock pursuant to such option occurred during July 2013, resulting in \$2,127,350 in additional net proceeds to us.

Credit Facility

In January 2011, we entered into a loan and security agreement with our primary operating bank (the *Loan Agreement*). The *Loan Agreement* permitted us to borrow, repay and re-borrow up to \$400,000 from time to time until January 31, 2013, subject to the terms and conditions of the *Loan Agreement*. The *Loan Agreement* expired on January 31, 2013, at which time there were no borrowings outstanding.

Net Cash Used in Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities during fiscal 2013 was \$615,061 and resulted primarily from hiring additional personnel and other investments in the business. The net loss was partially offset by increased cash provided by working capital of \$2,110,612, and increases in the non-current portion of deferred revenue of \$511,125 and other long-term liabilities of \$731,457. The primary non-cash adjustments to operating activities

Table of Contents

were stock-based compensation expense, depreciation and amortization, and accretion and amortization on debt securities totaling \$2,791,862, \$323,383, and \$182,162, respectively.

During fiscal 2012, net cash used in operating activities was \$1,778,764, which resulted primarily from hiring additional personnel and other investments in the business. The primary non-cash adjustments to operating activities were stock-based compensation expense, accretion and amortization on debt securities, and depreciation and amortization totaling \$2,599,858, \$261,398 and \$231,981, respectively. These changes in cash used in operating activities were partially offset by a decrease in accounts receivable of \$1,862,555 associated with decreased sales and the timing of customer billings and receipt of payments and an increase in deferred revenue of \$758,855.

Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$570,888 during fiscal 2013, which consisted of \$6,090,734 related to the sale and maturity of investments, partially offset by investments of \$4,058,975, and \$1,460,871 related to the purchase and sale of property and equipment.

During fiscal 2012, net cash provided by investing activities was \$2,107,767, which consisted of \$14,635,005 related to the sale and maturity of investments, partially offset by investments of \$12,187,523, and \$339,715 related to the purchase of property and equipment.

Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$16,636,539 during fiscal 2013, which included net proceeds of \$16,004,797 from the Offering and net proceeds of \$648,656 from the exercise of stock options, partially offset by principal payments on capital lease obligations of \$16,914.

During fiscal 2012, net cash provided by financing activities was \$717,371, which included net proceeds of \$732,287 from the exercise of stock options partially offset by principal payments on capital lease obligations of \$14,916.

Other Liquidity Matters

On September 30, 2013, we had investments of \$5,730,872, designated as available-for-sale marketable securities, which consisted of commercial paper and corporate issuances, carried at fair value as determined by quoted market prices for identical or similar assets, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, and reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. All securities whose maturity or sale is expected within one year are classified as *current* on the balance sheet. All other securities are classified as *long-term* on the balance sheet. At September 30, 2013, all of our available-for-sale securities were classified as current. At September 30, 2012, we had \$5,819,537 of our available-for-sale securities classified as current and \$2,085,690 classified as long-term.

We had working capital of \$25,363,197 at September 30, 2013, compared to \$11,001,447 at September 30, 2012.

Based on our current operating plan, we believe the current cash balance and cash expected to be generated from operations will be adequate to satisfy our working capital needs for the next 12 months.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements as defined in Item 304(a)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-K.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2013 (*in thousands*):

Contractual

obligations by period

| as of September 30, 2013 | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | More than 5 years | Total |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Operating lease obligations | \$ 481,535 | \$ 1,006,842 | \$ 1,485,118 | \$ | \$ 2,973,495 |
| Capital lease obligations | 28,715 | 54,958 | | | 83,673 |
| Purchase obligations | 245,000 | 675,000 | | | 920,000 |
| Total | \$ 755,250 | \$ 1,736,800 | \$ 1,485,118 | \$ | \$ 3,977,168 |

Critical Accounting Policies

Our financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (GAAP). Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, stockholders' equity, revenue, expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management regularly evaluates its estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions are based on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, and form the basis for making management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain. Actual results could vary from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Our critical accounting policies include revenue recognition, allowance for accounts receivable, investments, fair value of equity instruments, accounting for income taxes and capitalized software development costs.

Revenue Recognition

We enter into contractual arrangements with integrators, resellers and directly with our customers that may include licensing of our software products, product support and maintenance services, consulting services or various combinations thereof, including the sale of such products or services separately. Our accounting policies regarding the recognition of revenue for these contractual arrangements is fully described in Note 1 to our financial statements included in this Form 10-K.

We consider many factors when applying GAAP to revenue recognition. These factors include, but are not limited to, whether:

Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists;

Delivery of the product or performance of the service has occurred;

The fees are fixed or determinable;

Collection of the contractual fee is probable; and

Vendor-specific objective evidence of the fair value of undelivered elements or other appropriate method of revenue allocation exists.

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Each of the relevant factors is analyzed to determine its impact, individually and collectively with other factors, on the revenue to be recognized for any particular contract with a customer. Management is required to make judgments regarding the significance of each factor in applying the revenue recognition standards, as well as whether or not each factor complies with such standards. Any misjudgment or error by management in its evaluation of the factors and the application of the standards, especially with respect to complex or new types of transactions, could have a material adverse effect on our future revenues and operating results.

Table of Contents

Accounts Receivable

We consistently monitor collections from our customers and maintain a provision for estimated credit losses that is based on historical experience and on specific customer collection issues. While such credit losses have historically been within our expectations and the provisions established, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that we have in the past. Since our revenue recognition policy requires customers to be deemed creditworthy, our accounts receivable are based on customers whose payment is reasonably assured. Our accounts receivable are derived from sales to a wide variety of customers. We do not believe a change in liquidity of any one customer or our inability to collect from any one customer would have a material adverse impact on our financial position.

Investments

We determine the fair value of our assets and liabilities based on the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. We use a fair value hierarchy with three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, to measure fair value:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; and

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

In using this fair value hierarchy, management may be required to make assumptions about pricing by market participants and assumptions about risk, specifically when using unobservable inputs to determine fair value. These assumptions are subjective in nature and may significantly affect our results of operations.

Fair Value of Equity Instruments

The valuation of certain items, including valuation of warrants, the beneficial conversion feature related to convertible debt and compensation expense related to stock options granted, involves significant estimates based on underlying assumptions made by management. The valuation of warrants and stock options are based upon a Black-Scholes valuation model, which involves estimates of stock volatility, expected life of the instruments and other assumptions.

Deferred Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. We maintain a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets due to uncertainty regarding the future realization based on historical taxable income, projected future taxable income, and the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary differences. Until such time as we can demonstrate that we will no longer incur losses or if we are unable to generate sufficient future taxable income, we could be required to maintain the valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets.

Table of Contents

Capitalized Software Development Costs

Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred. Costs incurred for the development of computer software that will be sold, leased or otherwise marketed are capitalized when technological feasibility has been established. These capitalized costs are subject to an ongoing assessment of recoverability based on anticipated future revenues and changes in hardware and software technologies. Costs that are capitalized include direct labor and related overhead. No such costs were capitalized during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Amortization of capitalized software development costs begins when product sales commence. Amortization is provided on a product-by-product basis on either the straight-line method over periods not exceeding three years or the sales ratio method. Unamortized capitalized software development costs determined to be in excess of the net realizable value of the product are expensed immediately.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing after-tax yields without significantly increasing risk. To achieve this objective, we maintain our investment portfolio of cash equivalents and marketable securities in a variety of securities, including corporate debt securities, commercial paper and certificates of deposit. We have not used derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio, and none of our investments are held for trading or speculative purposes. Short-term and long-term marketable securities are generally classified as available-for-sale and consequently are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of estimated tax. Marketable securities as of September 30, 2013 had remaining maturities between approximately one and eight months. Our short-term marketable securities had a fair market value of \$5,730,872 at September 30, 2013, representing approximately 17% of our total assets.

The fair value of our cash equivalents and marketable securities is subject to change as a result of changes in market interest rates and investment risk related to the issuers' credit worthiness. We do not utilize financial contracts to manage our investment portfolio's exposure to changes in market interest rates. A hypothetical 100 basis point increase or decrease in market interest rates would not have a material impact on the fair value of our cash equivalents and marketable securities due to the relatively short maturities of these investments. While changes in market interest rates may affect the fair value of our investment portfolio, any gains or losses will not be recognized in our results of operations until the investment is sold or if the reduction in fair value was determined to be an other-than-temporary impairment.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

Our financial statements and supplementary data required by this item are set forth at the pages indicated in Part IV, Item 15(a)(1) and (a)(2), respectively, of this Form 10-K.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal

Table of Contents

financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required financial disclosures. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-K. We recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2013.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2013.

Our internal control over financial reporting has been audited by Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C., an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report appearing below, which expresses an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

On November 5, 2012, our Board of Directors (the Board), based in part on input from management, approved our executive bonus program for the 2013 fiscal year ending September 30, 2013 (the 2013 Plan). Pursuant to the terms of the 2013 Plan, our executives will be eligible to earn an annual cash bonus for their contributions to the achievement of corporate goals during the fiscal year. This Plan is intended to ensure a competitive total compensation opportunity and to foster a team effort in the attainment of corporate goals.

Under the 2013 Plan, annual cash bonuses are computed as a percentage of the participant's annualized salary earned during the 2013 fiscal year. Our Chief Executive Officer's (CEO) bonus target is equal to 80% of his annualized salary. The bonus target for our Chief Financial Officer, Chief Technology Officer, and Chief Marketing Officer is equal to 40% of their respective annualized salaries. The bonus target for our Chief Scientific Officer is 20% of his annualized salary.

Half of the annual cash bonus will be paid based on the achievement of a minimum percentage of the annual revenue plan as approved by the Board, and the remaining half paid on the achievement of a minimum percentage of individual performance goals determined by our CEO, or in the case of our CEO's bonus, at the discretion of the Compensation Committee of the Board.

The foregoing description is intended only as a summary of the material terms of the executive bonus program for the 2013 fiscal year and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full 2013 Plan, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 10.22 to this Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement filed in connection with our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or an amendment to this Form 10-K to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended September 30, 2013.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement filed in connection with our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or an amendment to this Form 10-K to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended September 30, 2013.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement filed in connection with our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or an amendment to this Form 10-K to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended September 30, 2013.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement filed in connection with our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or an amendment to this Form 10-K to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended September 30, 2013.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement filed in connection with our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or an amendment to this Form 10-K to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents**PART IV****ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.****(a)(1) Financial Statements**

The Financial Statements of Mitek Systems, Inc. and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm are included in a separate section of this Form 10-K beginning on page F-1.

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

These schedules have been omitted because the required information is included in the financial statements or notes thereto or because they are not applicable or not required.

(a)(3) Exhibits

| Exhibit No. | Description | Incorporated by Reference from Document |
|-------------|--|---|
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Mitek Systems, Inc. | (1) |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Amendment of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Mitek Systems, Inc. | (2) |
| 3.3 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Mitek Systems, Inc. | (3) |
| 4.1 | Form of debenture issued on December 10, 2009. | (4) |
| 4.2 | Form of warrant issued on December 10, 2009. | (4) |
| 10.1 | Mitek Systems, Inc. 2000 Stock Option Plan. | (5) |
| 10.2 | Mitek Systems, Inc. 2002 Stock Option Plan. | (6) |
| 10.3 | Mitek Systems, Inc. 2006 Stock Option Plan. | (7) |
| 10.4 | Mitek Systems, Inc. 2010 Stock Option Plan. | (8) |
| 10.5 | Mitek Systems, Inc. 2012 Incentive Plan. | (9) |
| 10.6 | Mitek Systems, Inc. Director Restricted Stock Unit Plan. | (10) |
| 10.7 | Mitek Systems, Inc. 401(k) Savings Plan. | * |
| 10.8 | Stock Option Agreement, dated May 19, 2003, by and between James B. DeBello and Mitek Systems, Inc., as amended. | (11) |
| 10.9 | Form of Securities Purchase Agreement, dated December 10, 2009, between Mitek Systems, Inc. and certain accredited investors. | (4) |
| 10.10 | Form of Security Agreement dated, December 10, 2009, between Mitek Systems, Inc. and certain secured parties. | (4) |
| 10.11 | Form of Securities Purchase Agreement, dated September 30, 2010, between Mitek Systems, Inc. and certain accredited investors. | (12) |
| 10.12 | Form of Securities Purchase Agreement, dated May 5, 2011, between Mitek Systems, Inc. and certain accredited investors. | (13) |

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

10.13

Underwriting Agreement, dated June 25, 2013, between Mitek Systems, Inc. and William Blair & Company, L.L.C.

(14)

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description | Incorporated by Reference from Document |
|--------------------|---|--|
| 10.14 | Executive Severance and Change of Control Plan, dated February 28, 2011, by and between Mitek Systems, Inc. and James B. DeBello. | (15) |
| 10.15 | Offer Letter, dated October 3, 2011, by and between Mitek Systems, Inc. and Russell C. Clark. | (16) |
| 10.16 | Executive Severance and Change of Control Plan, dated October 11, 2011, by and between Mitek Systems, Inc. and Russell C. Clark. | (16) |
| 10.17 | Offer Letter, dated June 6, 2012, by and between Mitek Systems, Inc. and Michael Diamond. | * |
| 10.18 | Offer Letter, dated June 11, 2012, by and between Mitek Systems, Inc. and Michael Strange. | * |
| 10.19 | Offer Letter, dated May 10, 2013, by and between Mitek Systems, Inc. and Scott Carter. | * |
| 10.20 | Form of Executive Severance and Change of Control Plan. | (15) |
| 10.21 | Form of Indemnification Agreement. | (15) |
| 10.22 | Mitek Systems, Inc. Executive Bonus Program Fiscal Year 2013 | * |
| 10.23 | Lease, dated September 13, 2005, by and between Arden Realty Finance V, L.L.C. and Mitek Systems, Inc., as amended. | (17) |
| 23.1 | Consent of Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. | * |
| 24.1 | Power of Attorney (included on the signature page). | * |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. | * |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. | * |
| 32.1 | Certification Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | * |
| 101 | Financial statements from the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Mitek Systems, Inc. for the year ended September 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Balance Sheets, (ii) the Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Loss, (iii) the Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (iv) the Statements of Cash Flows, (v) the Notes to the Financial Statements. | * |

* Filed herewith.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-177965) filed with the SEC on November 14, 2011.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 14, 2013.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1987.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 16, 2009.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on March 30, 2001.

Table of Contents

- (6) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on July 7, 2003.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on May 3, 2006.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on March 14, 2011.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on March 7, 2012.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on January 18, 2011.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 filed with the SEC on December 15, 2011.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September, 30, 2010 filed with the SEC on November 16, 2010.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 9, 2011.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 25, 2013.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 1, 2011.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 13, 2011.
- (17) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 filed with the SEC on December 7, 2012.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

December 12, 2013

MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.

By: /s/ James B. DeBello
 James B. DeBello
 President and Chief Executive Officer
 (Principal Executive Officer)

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below hereby severally constitutes and appoints James B. DeBello and Russell C. Clark, his or her true and lawful agent and attorney-in-fact, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this report, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Commission, granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite or necessary fully to all intents and purposes as he or she might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney-in-fact and agent or his or her substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| | | |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------|
| /s/ James B. DeBello | President and Chief Executive Officer and Director | December 12, 2013 |
| James B. DeBello | (Principal Executive Officer) | |
| /s/ Russell C. Clark | Chief Financial Officer | December 12, 2013 |
| Russell C. Clark | (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | |
| /s/ John M. Thornton | Chairman of the Board of Directors and Director | December 12, 2013 |
| John M. Thornton | | |
| /s/ Vinton P. Cunningham | Director | December 12, 2013 |
| Vinton P. Cunningham | | |
| /s/ Gerald I. Farmer | Director | December 12, 2013 |
| Gerald I. Farmer | | |
| /s/ Bruce E. Hansen | Director | December 12, 2013 |
| Bruce E. Hansen | | |
| /s/ Alex W. Hart | Director | December 12, 2013 |

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Alex W. Hart

/s/ Sally B. Thornton

Director

December 12, 2013

Sally B. Thornton

36

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.

| | |
|--|-----|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Loss for the Years Ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | F-7 |
| <u>Notes to Financial Statements for the Years Ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | F-8 |

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Mitek Systems, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Mitek Systems, Inc. as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, and the related statements of operations and other comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mitek Systems, Inc. as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Mitek Systems Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated December 12, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.
San Diego, California
December 12, 2013

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Mitek Systems, Inc.

We have audited Mitek Systems, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Mitek Systems, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Mitek Systems, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the balance sheets and the related statements of operations and other comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity, and cash flows of Mitek Systems, Inc., and our report dated December 12, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.
San Diego, California
December 12, 2013

Table of Contents**MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.****BALANCE SHEETS**

| | September 30, | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 23,294,456 | \$ 6,702,090 |
| Short-term investments | 5,730,872 | 5,819,537 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 1,494,627 | 1,097,311 |
| Other current assets | 661,706 | 485,165 |
| Total current assets | 31,181,661 | 14,104,103 |
| Long-term investments | | 2,085,690 |
| Property and equipment, net | 1,629,664 | 491,079 |
| Other non-current assets | 42,049 | 42,049 |
| Total assets | \$ 32,853,374 | \$ 16,722,921 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 1,875,909 | \$ 711,950 |
| Accrued payroll and related taxes | 1,455,487 | 726,965 |
| Deferred revenue, current portion | 2,335,532 | 1,632,085 |
| Other current liabilities | 151,536 | 31,656 |
| Total current liabilities | 5,818,464 | 3,102,656 |
| Deferred revenue, non-current portion | 511,125 | |
| Other non-current liabilities | 795,043 | 63,586 |
| Total liabilities | 7,124,632 | 3,166,242 |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 60,000,000 and 40,000,000 shares authorized, respectively; 30,361,442 and 25,995,216 issued and outstanding, respectively | 30,361 | 25,995 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 56,431,640 | 36,990,691 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive gain (loss) | 1,838 | (616) |
| Accumulated deficit | (30,735,097) | (23,459,391) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 25,728,742 | 13,556,679 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 32,853,374 | \$ 16,722,921 |

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.****STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS**

| | For the years ended September 30, | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenue | | | |
| Software | \$ 10,716,505 | \$ 6,386,361 | \$ 8,122,744 |
| Maintenance and professional services | 4,086,680 | 2,706,322 | 2,143,231 |
| Total revenue | 14,803,185 | 9,092,683 | 10,265,975 |
| Operating costs and expenses | | | |
| Cost of revenue-software | 744,842 | 540,321 | 662,080 |
| Cost of revenue-maintenance and professional services | 858,757 | 723,599 | 509,654 |
| Selling and marketing | 5,852,448 | 3,450,054 | 2,410,711 |
| Research and development | 6,793,412 | 6,664,030 | 2,996,109 |
| General and administrative | 7,853,264 | 5,595,843 | 3,431,023 |
| Total costs and expenses | 22,102,723 | 16,973,847 | 10,009,577 |
| Operating (loss) income | (7,299,538) | (7,881,164) | 256,398 |
| Other income (expense), net | | | |
| Interest and other expense | (6,862) | (7,224) | (389,494) |
| Interest income | 31,770 | 44,384 | 10,531 |
| Total other income (expense), net | 24,908 | 37,160 | (378,963) |
| Loss before income taxes | (7,274,630) | (7,844,004) | (122,565) |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | 1,076 | (4,008) | 2,492 |
| Net loss | \$ (7,275,706) | \$ (7,839,996) | \$ (125,057) |
| Net loss per share basic and diluted | \$ (0.26) | \$ (0.31) | \$ (0.01) |
| Shares used in calculating net loss per share basic and diluted | 27,492,670 | 25,124,179 | 21,506,508 |
| Other comprehensive loss: | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (7,275,706) | \$ (7,839,996) | \$ (125,057) |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on investments | 2,454 | 9,239 | (9,855) |
| Other comprehensive loss | \$ (7,273,252) | \$ (7,830,757) | \$ (134,912) |

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.****STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**

For the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011

| | Common Stock Outstanding (Shares) | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Total Stockholders Equity |
|--|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Balance, September 30, 2010 | 17,816,249 | \$ 17,816 | \$ 16,477,981 | \$ (15,494,338) | \$ | \$ 1,001,459 |
| Conversion of debentures | 1,418,573 | 1,419 | 1,062,507 | | | 1,063,926 |
| Issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs of \$1,154,634 | 3,357,143 | 3,357 | 14,592,009 | | | 14,595,366 |
| Exercise of stock options | 895,287 | 894 | 219,403 | | | 220,297 |
| Exercise of warrants | 657,114 | 658 | 37,259 | | | 37,917 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | 1,271,238 | | | 1,271,238 |
| Components of comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Net loss | | | | (125,057) | | (125,057) |
| Change in unrealized loss on investments | | | | | (9,855) | (9,855) |
| Total comprehensive loss | | | | | | (134,912) |
| Balance, September 30, 2011 | 24,144,366 | \$ 24,144 | \$ 33,660,397 | \$ (15,619,395) | \$ (9,855) | \$ 18,055,291 |
| Exercise of stock options | 1,739,924 | 1,740 | 730,547 | | | 732,287 |
| Exercise of warrants | 110,926 | 111 | (111) | | | |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | 2,599,858 | | | 2,599,858 |
| Components of other comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Net loss | | | | (7,839,996) | | (7,839,996) |
| Change in unrealized gain on investments | | | | | 9,239 | 9,239 |
| Total other comprehensive loss | | | | | | (7,830,757) |
| Balance, September 30, 2012 | 25,995,216 | \$ 25,995 | \$ 36,990,691 | \$ (23,459,391) | \$ (616) | \$ 13,556,679 |
| Issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs of \$1,245,196 | 3,285,713 | 3,286 | 16,001,511 | | | 16,004,797 |
| Exercise of stock options | 1,042,872 | 1,043 | 713,426 | | | 714,469 |
| Settlement of restricted stock units | 37,641 | 37 | (65,850) | | | (65,813) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | 2,791,862 | | | 2,791,862 |
| Components of other comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Net loss | | | | (7,275,706) | | (7,275,706) |
| Change in unrealized gain on investments | | | | | 2,454 | 2,454 |
| Total other comprehensive loss | | | | | | (7,273,252) |
| Balance, September 30, 2013 | 30,361,442 | \$ 30,361 | \$ 56,431,640 | \$ (30,735,097) | \$ 1,838 | \$ 25,728,742 |

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.****STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | For the years ended September 30, | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (7,275,706) | \$ (7,839,996) | \$ (125,057) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 2,791,862 | 2,599,858 | 1,271,238 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 323,383 | 231,981 | 179,291 |
| Accretion and amortization on debt securities | 182,162 | 261,398 | |
| Provision for bad debt | (5,773) | (3,571) | 15,340 |
| Gain on sale of property and equipment | (1,097) | | |
| Non-cash interest expense on convertible debt | | | 384,124 |
| Amortization of capitalized debt issuance costs | | | 53,945 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (391,543) | 1,862,555 | (1,750,036) |
| Other assets | (213,653) | (180,367) | (181,873) |
| Accounts payable | 1,163,959 | 353,043 | 130,393 |
| Accrued payroll and related taxes | 728,522 | 230,956 | 299,478 |
| Deferred revenue | 1,214,572 | 758,855 | 41,858 |
| Other liabilities | 868,251 | (53,476) | (2,533) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (615,061) | (1,778,764) | 316,168 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Purchases of investments | (4,058,975) | (12,187,523) | (10,614,723) |
| Sales and maturities of investments | 6,090,734 | 14,635,005 | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (1,497,492) | (339,715) | (204,358) |
| Sale of property and equipment | 36,621 | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 570,888 | 2,107,767 | (10,819,081) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs of \$1,245,196, \$0 and \$1,154,634, respectively | 16,004,797 | | 14,595,366 |
| Proceeds from exercise of warrants and stock options | 648,656 | 732,287 | 258,214 |
| Principal payments on capital lease obligations | (16,914) | (14,916) | |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 16,636,539 | 717,371 | 14,853,580 |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 16,592,366 | 1,046,374 | 4,350,667 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 6,702,090 | 5,655,716 | 1,305,049 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD | \$ 23,294,456 | \$ 6,702,090 | \$ 5,655,716 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 8,093 | \$ 10,397 | \$ 5,333 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ 1,076 | \$ 800 | \$ 2,492 |

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

NON-CASH FINANCING AND INVESTING ACTIVITIES

| | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|--------------|
| Unrealized holding gain (loss) on available for sale investments | \$ 2,454 | \$ 9,239 | \$ (9,855) |
| Cashless exercise of option and warrants | \$ 125 | \$ 410 | \$ 859 |
| Cashless settlement of restricted stock units | \$ 32 | \$ | \$ |
| Capital lease obligations | \$ | \$ 95,388 | \$ |
| Conversion of debt to common stock | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,063,926 |

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these financial statements.

F-7

Table of Contents

MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013, 2012 AND 2011

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations

Mitek Systems, Inc. (the Company) is a mobile solutions provider engaged in the development, sale and service of its proprietary software solutions.

The Company applies its patented technology in image capture, correction and intelligent data extraction in the mobile financial and business services markets. The Company's technology allows users to remotely deposit checks, pay bills, transfer credit card balances, open accounts and get insurance quotes by taking pictures of various documents with their camera-equipped smartphones and tablets instead of using the device keyboard. The Company's products use advanced algorithms to correct image distortion, extract relevant data, route images to their desired location and process transactions through users' financial institutions. As of November 21, 2013, the Company has been granted 18 patents and it has an additional 21 patent applications pending.

The Company's Mobile Deposit® product is software that allows users to remotely deposit a check using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet. As of September 30, 2013, 1,420 financial institutions have signed agreements to deploy Mobile Deposit®, and 805 of these financial institutions have deployed Mobile Deposit® to their customers, including all of the top ten U.S. retail banks and more than two-thirds of the top 50 U.S. retail banks and payment processing companies, as ranked by SNL Financial for the second quarter of calendar 2013. Other mobile imaging software solutions offered by the Company include Mobile Photo Bill Pay®, a mobile bill payment product that allows users to pay their bills using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet, Mobile Balance Transfer, a product that allows credit card issuers to provide an offer to users and transfer an existing credit card balance by capturing an image of the user's current credit card statement, Mobile Photo Account Opening, a product that enables users to open a checking, savings or credit card account by capturing an image of the front and back of their driver's license with their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet, and Mobile Photo Quoting, a product that enables users to receive insurance quotes by using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet to take a picture of their driver's license and insurance card. The Company's mobile imaging software solutions can be accessed by smartphones and tablets using iOS and Android operating systems.

The Company markets and sells its mobile imaging software solutions through channel partners or directly to enterprise customers that typically purchase licenses based on the number of transactions or subscribers that use our mobile software. The Company's mobile imaging software solutions are often embedded in other mobile banking or enterprise applications developed by banks, insurance companies or their partners, and marketed under their own proprietary brands.

Basis of Presentation

The financial statements are prepared under the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 105-10, *Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (GAAP).

Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications do not impact the reported net loss and do not have a material impact on the presentation of the overall financial statements.

Table of Contents*Use of Estimates*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, management reviews its estimates based upon currently available information. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Net Loss Per Share

The Company calculates net income (loss) per share in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 260, *Earnings Per Share*. Basic net income (loss) per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share also gives effect to all potentially dilutive securities outstanding during the period, such as options, warrants and restricted stock units (RSUs), if dilutive. In a period with a net loss position, potentially dilutive securities are not included in the computation of diluted net loss because to do so would be antidilutive, and the number of shares used to calculate basic and diluted net loss is the same.

At September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the following potentially dilutive common shares were excluded from the net loss per share calculation, as they would have been antidilutive:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Stock options | 2,824,964 | 3,512,286 | 4,553,904 |
| Warrants | 6,667 | 6,667 | 132,189 |
| Restricted stock units | 692,504 | 515,834 | 300,000 |
| Total potentially dilutive common shares outstanding | 3,524,135 | 4,034,787 | 4,986,093 |

The computation of basic and diluted net loss per share for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Net loss | \$ (7,275,706) | \$ (7,839,996) | \$ (125,057) |
| Weighted-average common shares and share equivalents outstanding basic | 27,492,670 | 25,124,179 | 21,506,508 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options | | | |
| Weighted-average common shares and share equivalents outstanding diluted | 27,492,670 | 25,124,179 | 21,506,508 |
| Net loss per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.26) | \$ (0.31) | \$ (0.01) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.26) | \$ (0.31) | \$ (0.01) |

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from sales of software licenses sold through direct and indirect channels is recognized upon shipment of the related product, if the requirements of FASB ASC Topic 985-605, *Software Revenue Recognition* (ASC 985-605) are met, including evidence of an arrangement, delivery, fixed or determinable fee, collectability and vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) of the fair value of the undelivered element. If the requirements of ASC 985-605 are not met at the date of shipment, revenue is not recognized until such elements are known or resolved. Revenue from customer support services, or maintenance revenue, includes post-contract support and the rights to unspecified upgrades and enhancements. VSOE of fair value for customer

Table of Contents

support services is determined by reference to the price the customer pays for such element when sold separately; that is, the renewal rate offered to customers. In those instances when objective and reliable evidence of fair value exists for the undelivered items but not for the delivered items, the residual method is used to allocate the arrangement consideration. Under the residual method, the amount of arrangement consideration allocated to the delivered items equals the total arrangement consideration less the aggregate fair value of the undelivered items. Revenue from post-contract customer support is recognized ratably over the term of the contract. Certain customers have agreements that provide for usage fees above fixed minimums. Fixed minimum transaction fees are recognized as revenue ratably over the term of the arrangement. Usage fees above fixed minimums are recognized as revenue when such amounts are reasonably estimable and billable. Revenue from professional services is recognized when such services are delivered. When a software sales arrangement requires professional services related to significant production, modification or customization of software, or when a customer considers professional services essential to the functionality of the software product, revenue is recognized based on predetermined milestone objectives required to complete the project, as those milestone objectives are deemed to be substantive in relation to the work performed. Any expected losses on contracts in progress are recorded in the period in which the losses become probable and reasonably estimable.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are defined as highly liquid financial instruments with original maturities of three months or less. A substantial portion of the Company's cash is deposited with one financial institution. The Company monitors the financial condition of this financial institution and does not believe that funds on deposit are subject to a significant degree of risk.

Investments

Investments consist of corporate notes and bonds, and commercial paper. The Company classifies investments as available-for-sale at the time of purchase and reevaluates such classification as of each balance sheet date. All investments are recorded at estimated fair value. Unrealized gains and losses for available-for-sale securities are included in accumulated other comprehensive income, a component of stockholders' equity. The Company evaluates its investments to assess whether those with unrealized loss positions are other than temporarily impaired. Impairments are considered to be other-than-temporary if they are related to deterioration in credit risk or if it is likely that the Company will sell the securities before the recovery of its cost basis. Realized gains and losses and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary are determined based on the specific identification method and are reported in other income (expense), net in the Statements of Operations. No other-than-temporary impairment charges were recognized in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

All investments whose maturity or sale is expected within one year are classified as *current* on the balance sheet. All other securities are classified as *long-term* on the balance sheet.

Fair Value Measurements

The carrying amounts of cash equivalents, investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable and other accrued liabilities are considered representative of their respective fair values because of the short-term nature of those instruments.

Table of Contents*Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts*

Accounts receivable, net, is as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2013 | September 30, 2012 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Accounts receivable | \$ 1,506,627 | \$ 1,115,084 |
| Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts | (12,000) | (17,773) |
| Accounts receivable, net | \$ 1,494,627 | \$ 1,097,311 |

Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the net invoice value and are not interest bearing. The Company considers receivables past due based on the contractual payment terms. Allowances for doubtful accounts are established based on various factors including credit profiles of the Company's customers, contractual terms and conditions, historical payments, and current economic trends. The Company reviews its allowances by assessing individual accounts receivable over a specific aging and amount. Accounts receivable are written off on a case-by-case basis, net of any amounts that may be collected. The Company had no write-offs of the allowance for doubtful accounts for the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Deferred Maintenance Fees

Deferred maintenance fees consist of capitalized costs associated with software maintenance fees paid to vendors who supply licenses and maintenance for software embedded in the Company's products that it sells to customers. These software maintenance fees, which are included in other current assets on the balance sheet, are typically billed annually to the Company and are amortized to cost of revenue-maintenance and professional services in the Statements of Operations over the maintenance period, which is typically one year.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are carried at cost. The following is a summary of property and equipment as of September 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| Property and equipment at cost: | | |
| Equipment | \$ 1,054,868 | \$ 684,552 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 227,189 | 130,559 |
| Leasehold improvements | 975,838 | 65,227 |
| | 2,257,895 | 880,338 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | (628,231) | (460,223) |
| Construction in progress | | 70,964 |
| Total property and equipment, net | \$ 1,629,664 | \$ 491,079 |

Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment are provided using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives ranging from three to five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lease term. Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment totaled \$323,383, \$140,146 and \$42,133 for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Included in property and equipment as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 in the table above is equipment of \$95,388 purchased under a capital lease. There were no fixed assets acquired under capital leases at September 30, 2011. Depreciation expense related to the equipment purchased under the capital lease was \$19,078 and \$17,488 in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and accumulated depreciation was \$36,566 and \$17,488 at September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company recorded no depreciation expense related to capital leases in the fiscal year

Table of Contents

ended September 30, 2011, nor was there any related accumulated depreciation at September 30, 2011. Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to operations. Total repairs and maintenance expenses were \$97,532, \$57,815 and \$43,115 for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets, including license agreements and other intangible assets, when events and circumstances indicate that these assets may be impaired or in order to determine whether any revision to the related amortization periods should be made. This evaluation is based on management's projections of the undiscounted future cash flows associated with each product or asset. If management's evaluation indicates that the carrying values of these intangible assets were impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. The Company did not record any impairment for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Capitalized Software Development Costs

Costs incurred for the development of software that will be sold, leased, or otherwise marketed are capitalized when technological feasibility has been established. Software development costs consist primarily of compensation of development personnel and related overhead incurred to develop new products and upgrade and enhance the Company's current products, as well as fees paid to outside consultants. Capitalization of software development costs ceases and amortization of capitalized software development costs commences when the products are available for general release. For the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, no software development costs were capitalized because the time period and cost incurred between technological feasibility and general release for all software product releases were not material.

The Company evaluates its capitalized software development costs at each balance sheet date to determine if the unamortized balance related to any given product exceeds the estimated net realizable value of that product. Any such excess is written off through accelerated amortization in the quarter in which it is identified. Determining net realizable value, as defined by FASB ASC Topic 985-20, *Accounting for the Costs of Software to Be Sold, Leased or Otherwise Marketed*, requires making estimates and judgments in quantifying the appropriate amount to write off, if any. Actual amounts realized from the software products could differ from those estimates. Also, any future changes to the Company's product portfolio could result in significant increases to its cost of license revenue as a result of the write-off of capitalized software development costs.

The Company recorded no amortization of software development costs for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. The Company recorded amortization of software development costs of \$91,438 and \$137,157 for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company records amortization of software development costs as cost of revenue-software in the Statements of Operations.

Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenues represent advance payments or billings for software licenses, professional services and maintenance billed in advance of the time we recognize the related revenues. Deferred maintenance revenue represents customer billings, paid up front, generally annually at the beginning of each maintenance period, with revenue recognized ratably over such period. For certain other licensing arrangements, revenue attributable to undelivered elements, including post-contract customer support which typically includes telephone support and the right to receive unspecified upgrades and enhancements of software on a when-and-if-available basis, is based upon the sales price of those elements when sold separately and is recognized ratably on a straight-line basis over the term of the arrangement.

Table of Contents

Guarantees

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is not subject to potential obligations under guarantees that fall within the scope of FASB ASC Topic 460, *Guarantees* (ASC 460), except for standard indemnification and warranty provisions that are contained within many of the Company's customer license and service agreements and certain supplier agreements, and give rise only to the disclosure requirements prescribed by ASC 460. Indemnification and warranty provisions contained within the Company's customer license and service agreements and certain supplier agreements are generally consistent with those prevalent in the Company's industry. The Company has not historically incurred significant obligations under customer indemnification or warranty provisions and does not expect to incur significant obligations in the future. Accordingly, the Company does not maintain accruals for potential customer indemnification or warranty-related obligations.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes* (ASC 740). Deferred tax assets and liabilities arise from temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years.

Management evaluates the available evidence about future taxable income and other possible sources of realization of deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance reduces deferred tax assets to an amount that represents management's best estimate of the amount of such deferred tax assets that more likely than not will be realized. See Note 5 for additional details.

The Company recognizes the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax positions will be sustained on examination by the tax authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefit is measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. See Note 5 for additional details.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company records stock-based compensation in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation* (ASC 718). The Company estimates the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The fair value of stock options granted is recognized as an expense over the requisite service period. Stock-based compensation expense for all share-based payment awards is recognized using the straight-line single-option method.

The Black-Scholes option pricing model requires subjective assumptions, including future stock price volatility and expected time to exercise, which greatly affect the calculated values. The expected term of options granted is derived from historical data on employee exercises and post-vesting employment termination behavior. The risk-free rate selected to value any particular grant is based on the U.S. Treasury rate that corresponds to the expected life of the grant effective as of the date of the grant. The expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock price. These factors could change in the future, affecting the determination of stock-based compensation expense in future periods.

Advertising Expense

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred and totaled \$123,905, \$72,053 and \$76,026 during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents*Research and Development*

Research and development costs are expensed in the period incurred.

Leases

Leases are reviewed and classified as capital or operating at their inception. For leases that contain rent escalations, the Company records the total rent payable on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. The difference between rent payments and straight-line rent expense is recorded as deferred rent.

Segment Reporting

FASB ASC Topic 280, *Segment Reporting*, requires the use of a management approach in identifying segments of an enterprise. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, management determined that the Company has only one operating segment: the development, sale and service of our proprietary software solutions related to mobile imaging.

Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss consists of net loss and unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities. The following table summarizes the components of comprehensive loss for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Net loss | \$ (7,275,706) | \$ (7,839,996) | \$ (125,057) |
| Other comprehensive loss: | | | |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) on marketable securities | 2,454 | 9,239 | (9,855) |
| Total comprehensive loss | \$ (7,273,252) | \$ (7,830,757) | \$ (134,912) |

Included on the balance sheet at September 30, 2013 is an accumulated other comprehensive gain of \$1,838, compared to an accumulated other comprehensive loss \$616 at September 30, 2012, related to the Company's available-for-sale-securities.

2. INVESTMENTS

The Company determines the appropriate designation of investments at the time of purchase and reevaluates such designation as of each balance sheet date. All of the Company's investments are designated as available-for-sale debt securities. As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company's short-term investments have maturity dates of greater than 90 days and less than one year from the balance sheet date. The Company's long-term investments have maturity dates of greater than one year from the balance sheet date.

Available-for-sale marketable securities are carried at fair value as determined by quoted market prices for identical or similar assets, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, and reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Management reviews the fair value of the portfolio at least monthly, and evaluates individual securities with fair value below amortized cost at the balance sheet date. For debt securities, in order to determine whether impairment is other-than-temporary, management must conclude whether the Company intends to sell the impaired security and whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovering its amortized cost basis. If management intends to sell an impaired debt security or it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security prior to recovering its amortized cost basis, an other-than-temporary impairment is deemed to have occurred. The amount of an other-than-temporary impairment related to a credit loss, or securities that management intends to sell before recovery,

Table of Contents

is recognized in earnings. The amount of an other-than-temporary impairment on debt securities related to other factors is recorded consistent with changes in the fair value of all other available-for-sale securities as a component of stockholders' equity in other comprehensive income. No other-than-temporary impairment charges were recognized in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Amortization of premiums, accretion of discounts, interest, dividend income, and realized gains and losses are included in investment income.

The following table summarizes investments by security type as of September 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | | September 30, 2013 | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Market Value |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities, short-term | \$ 5,729,034 | \$ 2,378 | \$ (540) | \$ 5,730,872 |
| Corporate debt securities, long-term | | | | |
| Total | \$ 5,729,034 | \$ 2,378 | \$ (540) | \$ 5,730,872 |

| | | September 30, 2012 | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Market Value |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities, short-term | \$ 5,818,549 | \$ 3,343 | \$ (2,355) | \$ 5,819,537 |
| Corporate debt securities, long-term | 2,087,294 | 684 | (2,288) | 2,085,690 |
| Total | \$ 7,905,843 | \$ 4,027 | \$ (4,643) | \$ 7,905,227 |

Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures

FASB ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements* (ASC 820) defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined under ASC 820 as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value under ASC 820 must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. ASC 820 describes a fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, that may be used to measure fair value which consists of the following:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; and

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Table of Contents

Based on the fair value hierarchy, all of the Company's investments were classified as Level 2 at September 30, 2013 and 2012, as represented in the following table:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Short-term investments: | | |
| Corporate debt securities | | |
| Financial | \$ 3,411,661 | \$ 1,604,618 |
| Industrial | 1,517,327 | 2,264,934 |
| Utility | 401,984 | |
| Commercial paper | | |
| Industrial | 399,900 | 348,817 |
| Financial | | 1,197,730 |
| Certificate of deposit financial | | 403,438 |
| Total short-term investments | \$ 5,730,872 | \$ 5,819,537 |
| Long-term investments: | | |
| Corporate debt securities | | |
| Financial | \$ | \$ 1,237,992 |
| Industrial | | 426,974 |
| Utility | | 420,724 |
| Total long-term investments | \$ | \$ 2,085,690 |

3. DEBT*Convertible Debt*

In December 2009, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company agreed to issue the following securities in exchange for aggregate consideration of \$1,012,500: (i) 5% senior secured convertible debentures in the principal amount of approximately \$1,000,000, and (ii) warrants to purchase an aggregate of 337,501 shares of the Company's common stock with an exercise price of \$0.91 per share. Each investor received a warrant to purchase that number of shares of the Company's common stock that equals 25% of the quotient obtained by dividing such investor's aggregate subscription amount by \$0.75. The transaction resulted in proceeds to the Company of \$922,223, net of transaction costs and expenses.

In December 2010, the Company converted the then-outstanding balance of the debentures of \$1,063,926, including accrued interest of \$51,426, into 1,418,573 shares of the Company's common stock at a conversion price of \$0.75 per share. In addition, the Company recognized as interest expense the remaining unamortized discount of \$319,836 related to the beneficial conversion feature at the time of conversion in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 470-20, *Debt with Conversion and Other Options*.

Prior to the conversion, interest was payable in cash or stock at the rate of 5% per annum on each conversion date (as to the principal amount being converted), on each early redemption date (as to the principal amount being redeemed) and on the maturity date. The principal amount of the debentures, if not paid earlier, was due and payable on December 10, 2011. The Company had the right to redeem all or a portion of the debentures before maturity by payment in cash of the outstanding principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest on the principal amount being redeemed. The Company agreed to honor any notices of conversion that it received from the holder before the date the Company paid off the debentures. The debentures were convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at any time at the discretion of the holder at a conversion price of \$0.75 per share, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and the like. The Company had the right to force conversion of the debentures if (i) the closing price of its common stock exceeded 200% of the then-effective conversion price for 20 trading days out of a consecutive 30 trading-day period or (ii) the average daily

Table of Contents

trading volume for its common stock exceeded 100,000 shares per trading day for 20 trading days out of a consecutive 30 trading-day period and the closing price of its common stock exceeded 100% of the then-effective conversion price for 20 trading days out of a consecutive 30 trading-day period. The debentures imposed certain covenants on the Company including restrictions against paying cash dividends or distributions on shares of its outstanding common stock. The debentures were secured by all of the Company's assets under the terms of a security agreement it entered into with the investors dated December 10, 2009.

In evaluating the accounting for the convertible debentures, the Company considered whether the conversion option related to the convertible debentures required bifurcation and separate accounting as a liability at fair value. Because the conversion option entitled the holder to convert to a fixed number of shares at a fixed price, the Company was not required to bifurcate the conversion option and the related debt host. Similarly, the warrant contract entitled the holder to convert to a fixed number of shares at a fixed price and was therefore recorded in stockholders' equity.

Of the gross proceeds, \$786,432 was allocated to the debentures and \$226,068 to the warrants. The value of the warrants was estimated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. The amount allocated to the warrants was recorded as a discount on the debentures and was being amortized to interest expense in the accompanying Statements of Operations over the term of the debentures. In addition, based on the conversion price of \$0.75 and relative value of the debentures, a beneficial conversion feature of \$401,568 was recorded as an additional discount on the debentures and was being amortized to interest expense in the accompanying Statements of Operations over the term of the debentures. The remaining unrecognized interest expense was recorded at the time of conversion.

The fair value of the vested warrants was estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

| | |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.19% |
| Expected term (in years) | 5 |
| Stock price volatility | 207% |
| Expected dividend yield | 0% |

Credit Facility

In January 2011, the Company entered into a loan and security agreement with its primary operating bank (the "Loan Agreement"). The Loan Agreement permitted the Company to borrow, repay and re-borrow up to \$400,000 from time to time until January 31, 2013, subject to the terms and conditions of the Loan Agreement. The Loan Agreement expired on January 31, 2013, at which time there were no borrowings outstanding.

4. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY*Common Stock*

In October 2010, the Company sold 500,000 shares of common stock at \$1.50 per share to accredited investors in a private placement, resulting in net proceeds of \$750,000.

In December 2010, the Company issued 1,418,573 shares of common stock upon the conversion of outstanding convertible debentures as discussed in greater detail in Note 3.

In May 2011, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement with certain accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold to the investors an aggregate of 2,857,143 shares of the Company's common stock at a purchase price of \$5.25 per share for aggregate gross proceeds of \$15,000,000. The Company paid cash compensation of approximately \$1,050,000 in placement agent fees and reimbursed \$25,000 of placement agent out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the financing. In addition, the Company

Table of Contents

incurred legal fees of approximately \$80,000 in connection with the private placement, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$13,845,000.

In June, 2013, the Company sold 2,857,142 shares of its common stock at a price of \$5.25 per share in an underwritten public offering (the Offering) and received \$13,877,447 in net proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and other offering expenses of \$1,122,549. Under the terms of the underwriting agreement for the Offering, the Company granted the underwriter a 30-day option to purchase an additional 428,571 shares of its common stock to cover overallotments. The underwriter exercised its overallotment option during June 2013 and the closing of the sale of shares of the Company s common stock pursuant to such option occurred during July 2013, resulting in \$2,127,350 in additional net proceeds to the Company.

Warrants

Historically, the Company has granted warrants to purchase its common stock to service providers and investors. As of September 30, 2013, there were warrants to purchase 6,667 shares of the Company s common stock outstanding with an exercise price of \$0.91 per share, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and the like. These warrants expire in December 2014.

In connection with the issuance of shares of common stock to John H. Harland Company (JHH Co.) in February and May 2005, the Company issued to JHH Co. warrants to purchase 321,428 shares of the Company s common stock at an exercise price of \$0.70 per share, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and the like. In June 2011, JHH Co. exercised the warrants, which were due to expire between February and May 2012. The warrants were exercised under the cashless exercise method, resulting in the issuance of 288,582 shares of common stock to the warrant holder and the cancellation of the remaining 32,846 shares in consideration of the issuance.

In connection with issuance of convertible debentures in December 2009, the Company issued warrants to purchase an aggregate of 337,501 shares of the Company s common stock with an exercise price of \$0.91 per share, as discussed in greater detail in Note 3. Of such warrants, warrants to purchase 330,834 shares of the Company s common stock have been exercised and warrants to purchase 6,667 shares of common stock remain outstanding as of September 30, 2013. These warrants expire in December 2014.

The following table summarizes warrant activity in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

| | Number of shares | Weighted-average exercise price |
|---|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2010 | 895,283 | \$ 0.84 |
| Granted | | |
| Exercised | (763,094) | \$ 0.83 |
| Cancelled | | |
| Outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2011 | 132,189 | \$ 0.91 |
| Granted | | |
| Exercised | (125,522) | \$ 0.91 |
| Cancelled | | |
| Outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2012 | 6,667 | \$ 0.91 |
| Granted | | |
| Exercised | | |
| Cancelled | | |
| Outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2013 | 6,667 | \$ 0.91 |

Table of Contents*Stock-based Compensation*

The Company applies the fair value recognition provisions of ASC 718.

The fair value of stock options granted to employees and directors is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes option pricing model requires subjective assumptions, including future stock price volatility and expected time to exercise, which greatly affect the calculated values. The expected term of options granted is derived from historical data on employee exercises and post-vesting employment termination behavior. The risk-free rate selected to value any particular grant is based on the U.S. Treasury rate that corresponds to the expected life of the grant effective as of the date of the grant. The expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock price. These factors could change in the future, affecting the determination of stock-based compensation expense in future periods.

The value of stock-based compensation is based on the single option valuation approach under ASC 718. It is assumed no dividends will be declared. The estimated fair value of stock-based compensation awards is amortized using the straight-line method over the vesting period of the option.

ASC 718 requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. The estimated average forfeiture rate for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 of 15.3% for all stock option grants was based on historical forfeiture experience.

ASC 718 requires the cash flows from tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for options to be classified as financing cash flows. Due to the Company's valuation allowance from losses in the previous years, there were no such tax benefits during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Prior to the adoption of ASC 718, any tax benefits received by the Company related to stock option exercises would have been reported as operating cash flows.

The fair value calculations for stock-based compensation awards to employees for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were based on the following assumptions:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.18% - 1.20% | 0.35% - 1.06% | 0.26% - 2.26% |
| Expected life (years) | 5.3 | 5.0 | 5.5 |
| Expected volatility | 175% | 110% | 193% |
| Expected dividends | None | None | None |

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense related to stock options and RSUs under ASC 718 for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, which were allocated as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Sales and marketing | \$ 433,130 | \$ 471,716 | \$ 235,710 |
| Research and development | 617,377 | 592,249 | 290,239 |
| General and administrative | 1,741,355 | 1,535,893 | 745,289 |
| Stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options included in operating expenses | \$ 2,791,862 | \$ 2,599,858 | \$ 1,271,238 |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes vested and unvested options, weighted average exercise price per share, weighted average remaining term and aggregate intrinsic value at September 30, 2013:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--------------|------------------|---|--|---------------------------|
| Vested | 1,454,952 | \$ 3.26 | 6.05 | \$ 4,177,830 |
| Unvested | 1,370,012 | \$ 4.97 | 8.61 | 1,821,844 |
| Total | 2,824,964 | \$ 4.09 | 7.29 | \$ 5,999,673 |

The Company recognized \$2,023,023, \$2,070,786 and \$1,091,894 in stock-based compensation expense related to outstanding stock options in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of September 30, 2013, the Company had \$5,350,198 of unrecognized compensation expense related to outstanding stock options expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.73 years.

The following table summarizes stock option activity under the Company's stock option plans during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in Years) |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---|--|
| Outstanding, September 30, 2010 | 4,534,328 | \$ 0.66 | 6.21 |
| Granted | 976,531 | \$ 3.70 | |
| Exercised | (942,639) | \$ 0.53 | |
| Cancelled | (14,316) | \$ 0.57 | |
| Outstanding, September 30, 2011 | 4,553,904 | \$ 1.34 | 6.15 |
| Granted | 1,095,750 | \$ 8.54 | |
| Exercised | (1,812,215) | \$ 0.81 | |
| Cancelled | (325,153) | \$ 6.41 | |
| Outstanding, September 30, 2012 | 3,512,286 | \$ 3.39 | 6.46 |
| Granted | 651,563 | \$ 3.63 | |
| Exercised | (1,103,582) | \$ 0.90 | |
| Cancelled | (235,303) | \$ 7.26 | |
| Outstanding, September 30, 2013 | 2,824,964 | \$ 4.09 | 7.29 |

The following table summarizes significant ranges of outstanding and exercisable options as of September 30, 2013:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Number of Options Outstanding | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years) | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of Exercisable Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price of Exercisable Options | Number of Unvested Options |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| \$0.09 to \$0.79 | 405,841 | 6.27 | \$ 0.74 | 405,841 | \$ 0.74 | |
| \$0.80 to \$1.95 | 360,695 | 2.43 | \$ 0.94 | 360,695 | \$ 0.94 | |
| \$2.34 to \$2.60 | 802,258 | 7.83 | \$ 2.51 | 290,015 | \$ 2.60 | 512,243 |
| \$3.33 to \$9.97 | 951,586 | 8.76 | \$ 5.81 | 271,013 | \$ 7.17 | 680,573 |
| \$11.05 to \$11.68 | 304,584 | 8.38 | \$ 11.08 | 127,388 | \$ 11.08 | 177,196 |
| | 2,824,964 | 7.29 | \$ 4.09 | 1,454,952 | \$ 3.26 | 1,370,012 |

F-20

Table of Contents

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$3,832,374, \$14,215,750 and \$4,731,689, respectively. The per-share weighted average fair value of options granted during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$3.57, \$5.97 and \$3.54, respectively.

2012 Incentive Plan

In January 2012, the Company's board of directors adopted the Mitek Systems, Inc. 2012 Incentive Plan (the 2012 Plan), upon the recommendation of the compensation committee of the board of directors. The total number of shares of the Company's common stock reserved for issuance under the 2012 Plan is 2,000,000 shares, plus that number of shares of the Company's common stock that would otherwise return to the available pool of unissued shares reserved for awards under the Company's 1999 Stock Option Plan, 2000 Stock Option Plan, 2002 Stock Option Plan, 2006 Stock Option Plan and 2010 Stock Option Plan (collectively, the Prior Plans). There were no awards granted under the Prior Plans after the approval of the 2012 Plan by the Company's stockholders on February 22, 2012. Stock options granted under the Prior Plans that were outstanding at such date remain in effect until such options are exercised or expire.

The 2012 Plan authorizes the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, RSUs and cash awards. Stock options granted under the 2012 Plan may be either options intended to constitute incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options, in each case as determined by the compensation committee of the board of directors in accordance with the terms of the 2012 Plan. As of September 30, 2013, stock options to purchase 1,214,397 shares of the Company's common stock and 217,504 RSUs were outstanding under the 2012 Plan, and 776,308 shares of the Company's common stock were reserved for future grants.

The following table summarizes the number of stock options outstanding under the Prior Plans as of September 30, 2013:

| | |
|--|------------------|
| 2000 Stock Option Plan | 215,412 |
| 2002 Stock Option Plan | 226,100 |
| 2006 Stock Option Plan | 49,000 |
| 2010 Stock Option Plan | 1,120,055 |
| Total stock options outstanding under the Prior Plans | 1,610,567 |

In May 2003, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company was granted an option to purchase up to 400,000 shares of the Company's common stock in connection with his appointment as President and Chief Executive Officer. This grant was made without shareholder approval as an inducement award pursuant to Rule 5635(c)(4) of the NASDAQ Listing Rules. The Company filed a registration statement on Form S-8 with the Securities and Exchange Commission registering the shares subject to the grant on December 15, 2011.

Restricted Stock Units

In January 2011, the Company's board of directors adopted, subject to stockholder approval, the Mitek Systems, Inc. Director Restricted Stock Unit Plan, as amended and restated (the Director Plan), reserving up to 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock for the issuance of RSUs to both employee and non-employee members of the board of directors of the Company. On February 23, 2011, the Director Plan was approved by the Company's stockholders at its annual meeting.

In addition, the Company has awarded RSUs to certain of its employees under the 2012 Plan. The RSUs vest in equal annual installments over four years.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes RSU activity in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

| | Number of shares | Weighted-average fair value per share |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Outstanding at September 30, 2010 | | |
| Granted | 300,000 | \$ 5.12 |
| Settled | | |
| Cancelled | | |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2011 | 300,000 | \$ 5.12 |
| Granted | 255,835 | \$ 8.44 |
| Settled | | |
| Cancelled | (40,001) | \$ 11.05 |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2012 | 515,834 | \$ 6.30 |
| Granted | 255,000 | \$ 3.15 |
| Settled | (50,829) | \$ 7.76 |
| Cancelled | (27,501) | \$ 11.05 |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2013 | 692,504 | \$ 4.85 |

The cost of a RSU is determined using the fair value of the Company's common stock on the award date, and the compensation expense is recognized ratably over the vesting period. The Company recognized \$768,839, \$529,072 and \$179,343 in stock-based compensation expense related to outstanding RSUs in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of September 30, 2013, the Company had approximately \$2,274,490 of unrecognized compensation expense related to outstanding RSUs expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 3.1 years.

5. INCOME TAXES

For the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 the income tax provision (benefit) was as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|-----------------|----------|------------|----------|
| Federal current | \$ | \$ (4,808) | \$ |
| State current | 1,076 | 800 | 2,492 |
| Total | \$ 1,076 | \$ (4,008) | \$ 2,492 |

Significant components of the Company's net deferred tax assets and liabilities as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|---------------|--------------|
| Deferred tax assets (liabilities): | | |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | \$ 10,473,905 | \$ 8,428,034 |
| Capitalized research and development costs | 134,550 | 336,475 |
| Stock based compensation | 408,588 | 380,989 |
| Prepaid License Fees | | 4,641 |
| AMT credit carryforwards | 66,320 | 66,320 |
| Other | 186,212 | 78,620 |
| Research credit carryforwards | 43,802 | 49,310 |

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| Total deferred assets | 11,313,377 | 9,344,389 |
| Valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets | (11,313,377) | (9,344,389) |
| Total | \$ | \$ |

F-22

Table of Contents

The Company has provided a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets recorded as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 due to uncertainties regarding the realization of such assets.

The net change in the total valuation allowance for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was an increase of \$1,968,988 and \$2,247,615, respectively. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, the Company considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. The Company considers projected future taxable income and planning strategies in making this assessment. Based on the level of historical operating results and projections for future taxable income, the Company has determined that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Accordingly, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to zero. There can be no assurance that the Company will ever be able to realize the benefit of some or all of the federal and state loss carryforwards or the credit carryforwards, either due to ongoing operating losses or due to ownership changes, which limit the usefulness of the loss carryforwards.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company has available net operating loss carryforwards of \$45,392,347 for federal income tax purposes, which will start to expire in 2018. The net operating loss carryforwards for state purposes are \$35,164,486 and will begin to expire in 2013. Included in these amounts are federal and state net operating losses of \$17,654,877 attributable to stock option deductions of which the tax benefit will be credited to equity when realized. As of September 30, 2013, the Company has available federal research and development credit carryforwards of \$29,306 and alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards of \$66,320. The research and development credits will start to expire in 2023. As of September 30, 2013, the Company has available state research and development credit carryforwards and manufacturers investment credit carryforwards of \$21,963 and \$8,346, respectively. The state research and development credits have no expiration date and the state manufacturers investment credits started to expire in the current year.

The Company's ability to use its net operating loss and research and development credit carryforwards may be substantially limited due to ownership change limitations that may have occurred or that could occur in the future, as required by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Section 382), as well as similar state provisions. The Company has not completed a study to assess whether an ownership change has occurred or whether there have been multiple ownership changes since the Company became a loss corporation as defined in Section 382. Due to the existence of the valuation allowance, it is not expected that any possible limitation will have an impact on the results of operations or financial position of the Company.

The difference between the income tax provision (benefit) and income taxes computed using the U.S. federal income tax rate was as follows for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Amount computed using statutory rate | \$ (2,473,374) | \$ (2,666,961) | \$ (42,519) |
| Net change in valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets | 1,968,987 | 2,247,615 | (18,388) |
| Non-deductible items | 548,839 | 807,533 | 798 |
| Other | | | (18,378) |
| State income tax | (43,376) | (392,195) | 80,979 |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | \$ 1,076 | \$ (4,008) | \$ 2,492 |

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state jurisdictions. Because the Company is carrying forward federal and state net operating losses from 1997 and 2002, respectively, the Company is subject to U.S. federal and state income tax examinations by tax authorities for all

Table of Contents

years since 1997 and 2002, as the case may be. The Company does not have any uncertain tax positions. As of September 30, 2013, no accrued interest or penalties are recorded in the financial statements.

6. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Legal Matters

USAA

On March 29, 2012, United Services Automobile Association (USAA) filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas San Antonio Division against the Company seeking, among other things, a declaratory judgment that USAA does not infringe certain of the Company's patents relating to Mobile Deposit[®], and that such patents are not enforceable against USAA. In addition, USAA alleges that it disclosed confidential information to the Company and that the Company used such information in its patents and Mobile Deposit[®] product in an unspecified manner. USAA seeks damages and injunctive relief. USAA subsequently amended its pleadings to assert a claim for false advertising and reverse palming off under the Lanham Act, and to seek reimbursement under the parties' license agreement.

On April 12, 2012, the Company filed a lawsuit against USAA in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging that USAA infringes five of the Company's patents relating to image capture on mobile devices, breached the parties' license agreement by using the Company's products beyond the scope of the agreed-upon license terms and breached the parties' license agreement by disclosing confidential pricing and other confidential information for the Company's legacy product installation in the lawsuit USAA filed in Texas.

The courts consolidated the foregoing cases in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, and on November 19, 2012, the Company answered USAA's various claims and counterclaims, moved to dismiss USAA's Lanham Act cause of action and filed a counterclaim against USAA for violation of the Lanham Act. On February 15, 2013, the court granted the Company's motion and dismissed USAA's Lanham Act claim.

The Company believes USAA's claims are without merit and intends to vigorously defend against those claims and pursue its claims against USAA. The Company does not believe that the results of USAA's claims will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

Top Image Systems Ltd.

On September 26, 2012, the Company filed a lawsuit against Israeli-based Top Image Systems Ltd. and TIS America Inc. (collectively, TISA) in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging that TISA infringes five of the Company's patents relating to image capture on mobile devices. The Company is seeking damages against TISA and injunctive relief to prevent them from selling their mobile imaging products.

On January 7, 2013, TISA answered the Company's complaint by denying the allegations and raising several affirmative defenses. On January 11, 2013, the Company amended its complaint to add its sixth patent, which had recently been issued and also relates to image capture on mobile devices. On January 28, 2013, TISA responded to the Company's amended complaint by again denying the allegations and raising the same affirmative defenses that they raised in their answer to the Company's initial complaint.

Other Legal Matters

In addition to the foregoing, the Company is subject to various claims and legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of its business. While any legal proceeding has an element of uncertainty, the Company believes that the disposition of such matters, in the aggregate, will not have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents*Employee 401(k) Plan*

The Company has a 401(k) plan that allows participating employees to contribute a percentage of their salary, subject to Internal Revenue Service annual limits. The Company's board of directors may, at its sole discretion, approve matching contributions by the Company. During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company's board of directors did not approve any Company matching contributions to the plan.

Facility Lease

The Company's principal executive offices, as well as its research and development facility, are located in approximately 22,523 square feet of office space in San Diego, California. The term of the lease for the Company's offices continues through June 30, 2019. The annual base rent under the lease is approximately \$471,000 per year and is subject to annual increases of approximately 3% per year. In connection with the lease, the Company received tenant improvement allowances totaling \$675,690. These lease incentives are being amortized as a reduction of rent expense over the term of the lease. As of September 30, 2013, the unamortized balance of the lease incentives was \$603,202, of which \$104,905 has been included in other current liabilities and \$498,297 has been included in other non-current liabilities. Under the terms of the lease, the Company issued a standby letter of credit to the landlord that allows for one or more draws of up to \$210,000 over the term of the lease. The Company believes its existing properties are in good condition and are sufficient and suitable for the conduct of its business.

Future annual minimum rental payments payable under the lease are as follows:

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Years ending September 30: | |
| 2014 | \$ 481,535 |
| 2015 | 495,981 |
| 2016 | 510,861 |
| 2017 | 526,187 |
| 2018 | 541,972 |
| Thereafter | 416,959 |
| Total | \$ 2,973,495 |

Rent expense for the Company's operating lease for its facility for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 totaled \$379,529, \$335,946 and \$288,041, respectively.

7. REVENUE AND VENDOR CONCENTRATIONS*Revenue Concentration*

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, the Company derived revenue of \$3,607,417, or 24% of the Company's total revenue, from one customer, compared to revenue of \$3,787,730 from three customers, with such customers accounting for 15%, 15% and 12%, respectively, of the Company's total revenue for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, the Company derived revenue of \$3,384,788 from two customers, with such customers accounting for 22% and 11%, respectively, of the Company's total revenue. The corresponding accounts receivable balances of customers from which revenues were in excess of 10% of total revenue were \$588,475, \$675,074 and \$2,235,500 at September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company's revenue is derived primarily from the sale by the Company to channel partners, including systems integrators and resellers, and end-users of licenses to sell products covered by the Company's patented technologies. In most cases, the channel partners purchase the license from the Company after they receive an order from an end-user. The channel partners receive orders from various individual end-users; therefore, the sale of a license to a channel partner may represent sales to multiple end-users. End-users can purchase the Company's products through more than one channel partner.

Table of Contents

Revenues can fluctuate based on the timing of license renewals by channel partners. When a channel partner purchases or renews a license, the Company receives a license fee in consideration for the grant of a license to sell the Company's products and there are no future payment obligations related to such agreement; therefore, the license fee the Company receives with respect to a particular license renewal in one period does not have a correlation with revenue in future periods. During the last few years, sales of licenses to one or more channel partners have comprised a significant part of the Company's revenue. This is attributable to the timing of renewals or purchases of licenses and does not represent a dependence on any single channel partner. The Company believes that it is not dependent upon any single channel partner, even those from which revenues were in excess of 10% of the Company's total revenue in a specific reporting period, and that the loss or termination of the Company's relationship with any such channel partner would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's future operations because either the Company or another channel partner could sell the Company's products to the end-user that purchased from the channel partner the Company lost.

International sales accounted for approximately 3%, 5% and 12% of the Company's total revenue for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company sells its products in U.S. currency only.

Vendor Concentration

The Company purchases its integrated software components from multiple third-party software providers at competitive prices. For the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company did not make purchases from any one vendor comprising 10% or more of the Company's total purchases. The Company made purchases from one vendor that comprised approximately 13% of the Company's total purchases for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011. The Company has entered into contractual relationships with some of its vendors; however, the Company does not believe it is substantially dependent upon nor exposed to any significant concentration risk related to purchases from any of its vendors, given the availability of alternative sources for its necessary integrated software components.